

CHRISTIAN CLASSICS ETHEREAL LIBRARY

**The New
Schaff-Herzog
Encyclopedia of
Religious
Knowledge, Vol XI:
Son of Man -
Tremellius**

Philip Schaff



The New Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge, Vol. XI: Son of Man - Tremellius

Author(s): Schaff, Philip (1819-1893)

Publisher: Grand Rapids, MI: Christian Classics Ethereal Library

Description: The *New Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge* is a well-known reference work for Christianity. This encyclopedia was originally an English adaptation of German theologian Johann Jakob Herzog's "*Realencyklopadie fur protestantische Theologie und Kirche*." The adaptation began under the leadership of Philip Schaff, but since then has seen the contributions of over 100 editors and 600 scholars. It is the most comprehensive, detailed, and significant encyclopedia for the Christian religion in the English language. It covers a wide range of topics, including church history, comparative religion, geography, doctrinal theology, archeology, and biblical studies. A powerful reference tool, the *New Schaff-Herzog Encyclopedia of Religious Knowledge* contains thousands of entries, which are concise but highly informative. Ideal for learning about unfamiliar terms and ideas, these volumes are an indispensable resource.

Tim Perrine

CCEL Staff Writer

Subjects: Christianity

Contents

Title Page	1
S	11
Son of Man to Sozomen	11
Spain to Spinoza	22
Spires to Stein	36
Steinbeck to Stockton	49
Stoddard to Subdeacon	62
Subintration to Sun	75
Sunday to Sverdrup	85
Sweden to Symbolics	100
Symbolism to Szegedinus	115
T	136
Tabernacle to Taverner	136
Taxation to Terminism	155
Territorialism to Theodorus	167
Theodosius to Theological Science	179
Theological Seminaries	188
Theology to Tholuck	214
Thoma to Time	228
Timothy to Toussain	242
Townsend to Tremellius	255
Indexes	271
Index of Pages of the Print Edition	272



This PDF file is from the Christian Classics Ethereal Library, www.ccel.org. The mission of the CCEL is to make classic Christian books available to the world.

- This book is available in PDF, HTML, and other formats. See <http://www.ccel.org/ccel/schaff/encyc11.html>.
- Discuss this book online at <http://www.ccel.org/node/2965>.

The CCEL makes CDs of classic Christian literature available around the world through the Web and through CDs. We have distributed thousands of such CDs free in developing countries. If you are in a developing country and would like to receive a free CD, please send a request by email to cd-request@ccel.org.

The Christian Classics Ethereal Library is a self supporting non-profit organization at Calvin College. If you wish to give of your time or money to support the CCEL, please visit <http://www.ccel.org/give>.

This PDF file is copyrighted by the Christian Classics Ethereal Library. It may be freely copied for non-commercial purposes as long as it is not modified. All other rights are reserved. Written permission is required for commercial use.

THE NEW
SCHAFF-HERZOG
ENCYCLOPEDIA
OF
RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE

Editor-in-Chief
SAMUEL MACAULEY JACKSON, D.D., LL.D.

Editor-in-Chief
of
Supplementary Volumes
LEFFERTS A. LOETSCHER, Ph.D., D.D.
ASSOCIATE PROFESSOR OF CHURCH HISTORY
PRINCETON THEOLOGICAL SEMINARY

BAKER BOOK HOUSE
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN





THE NEW
SCHAFF-HERZOG ENCYCLOPEDIA
OF
RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE

EDITED BY
SAMUEL MACAULEY JACKSON, D.D., LL.D.
(Editor-in-Chief)

WITH THE SOLE ASSISTANCE, AFTER VOLUME VI, OF
GEORGE WILLIAM GILMORE, M.A.
(Associate Editor)

AND THE FOLLOWING DEPARTMENT EDITORS

CLARENCE AUGUSTINE BEEKWITH, D.D. <i>(Department of Systematic Theology)</i>	JAMES FREDERIC M'CURDY, PH.D., LL.D. <i>(Department of the Old Testament)</i>
HENRY KING CARROLL, LL.D. <i>(Department of Minor Denominations)</i>	HENRY SYLVESTER NASH, D.D. <i>(Department of the New Testament)</i>
JAMES FRANCIS BRISOLL, D.D. <i>(Department of Liturgics and Religious Orders)</i>	ALBERT HENRY NEWMAN, D.D., LL.D. <i>(Department of Church History)</i>
FRANK HORACE VIEITELLY, LL.D., F.S.A. <i>(Department of Punctuation and Typography)</i>	

VOLUME XI
SON OF MAN — TREMELLIUS

BAKER BOOK HOUSE
GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN
1953



EXCLUSIVE AMERICAN PUBLICATION RIGHTS
SECURED BY BAKER BOOK HOUSE FROM FUNK AND WAGNALLS

PHOTOLITHOPRINTED BY CUSHING - MALLORY, INC.,
AND GRAND RAPIDS, MICHIGAN, UNITED STATES OF AMERICA.
1953



EDITORS

SAMUEL MACAULEY JACKSON, D.D., LL.D. (EDITOR-IN-CHIEF)

Professor of Church History, New York University, New York.

GEORGE WILLIAM GILMORE, M.A. (ASSOCIATE EDITOR)

Formerly Professor of History and Lecturer on Comparative Religion, Union Theological Seminary.

DEPARTMENT EDITORS, VOLUME XI

CLARENCE AUGUSTINE BECKWITH, D.D. (Department of Systematic Theology)

Professor of Systematic Theology, Chicago Theological Seminary.

HENRY KING CARROLL, LL.D. (Department of Moral Theology)

Secretary of Executive Committee of the Western Section for the Fourth Ecumenical Medical Conference.

JAMES FRANCIS DRISCOLL, D.D. (Department of Liturgical and Religious Orders)

Rector of St. Gabriel's, New Rochelle, N. Y.

JAMES FREDERICK MCGURDY, Ph.D., LL.D. (Department of the Old Testament)

Professor of Oriental Languages, University College, Toronto.

HENRY SYLVESTER NASH, D.D. (Department of the New Testament)

Professor of the Literature and Interpretation of the New Testament, Robinson Theological School, Cambridge, Mass.

ALBERT HENRY NEWMAN, D.D., LL.D. (Department of Church History)

Professor of Church History, Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Fort Worth, Tex.

FRANK HORACE VIEVEITTELLI, LL.D., F.S.A. (Department of Pseudepigraphy)

Managing Editor of the STRONGS DICTIONARY, etc., New York City.

CONTRIBUTORS AND COLLABORATORS, VOLUME XI

JUSTIN EDWARDS ABBOTT, D.D. (Missionary in Bombay, India)

HANS ACHELS, Ph.D., Th.D. (Professor of Church History, University of Bonn)

WILLIAM HENRY ALLISON, Ph.D. (President of the Faculty and Director of the Institute of Theological Studies, Colgate University, Hamilton, N. Y.)

FRANK DE GRAFF ALTMAN, D.D. (Former President of the Lutheran Women Theological Guild, New York)

BRAYMAN WILLIAM ANTHONY, D.D., LL.D. (President of Adams College, Adrian, Mich.)

GUSTAF EMANUEL HILDEBRAND AULIN, Th.Lic. (Dissert. in the University of Uppsala, Sweden)

HENRY AMATEUS AYDREHAC, S.S., D.D., LL.D. (President of the Pacific Seminary, Seattle, Wash., Cal.)

JOHN WALTER BEARDSLEE, D.D., LL.D. (President of Western Theological Seminary, Holland, Mich.)

HERMANN GREGO JULIUS BECK, (Consultant Compiler and First Reader, Bayreuth)

CLARENCE AUGUSTINE BECKWITH, D.D. (Professor of Systematic Theology, Chicago Theological Seminary)

WILLIS JUDSON BEECHER, D.D. (Former Professor of Holy Scriptures and Lecturer, Auburn Theological Seminary, Auburn, N. Y.)

GREGO BEER, Ph.D., Th.Lic. (Professor of Old Testament Language, University of Halle)

JOHANNES BELSHEIM (I), (Late Pastor Evangelist in Charlottenburg)

KARL BENNATH, Ph.D., Th.D. (Professor of Church History, University of Konigsberg)

HELMUTH GUSTAV ADOLF BENZINGER, Ph.D., Th.Lic. (German Orientalist and Vice-Rector for Reform in Jerusalem)

CARL BERTHAU (I), Th.D. (Late Pastor of St. Michael's, Hamburg)

CONTRIBUTORS AND COLLABORATORS, VOLUME XI

AMY GASTON CHARLES AUGUSTE ROBERT MAURY, D.D., LL.D. (Professor of Church History, Independent School of Jersey, Paris)

GOTTLIEB NATHANIEL BONWETSCH, Th.D. (Professor of Church History, University of Gottingen)

FRIEDRICH WILHELM BERNHARD BORNEMANN, Th.D. (Senior of the Protestant Mission, Frankfurt)

GUSTAV BOSSEBT, Ph.D., Th.D. (Retired Pastor, Stuttgart)

EDWARD INCREASE BOSWORTH, D.D. (Dean of Oberlin Theological Seminary, Oberlin, Ohio)

FRIEDRICH HELMUTH BRANDES, Th.D. (Retired Minister and Chairman at Bielefeld, Schaumburg-Lippe)

ARTHUR LOUIS BRUNELICH, Ph.D. (President of German Walden College, Iowa, Ohio)

MARSHALL BROOKHALL, B.A. (Editorial Secretary for the China Inland Mission, London)

WILLIAM ADAMS BROWN, Ph.D., D.D. (Professor of Systematic Theology, Union Theological Seminary, New York)

AUGUSTUS ROBERT BUCKLAND, M.A. (Secretary of the Indological Trust Society, London)

OSKAR GOTTLIEB RUDOLF BUDDEBERG (I), Ph.D., Th.D. (Late Author and Educator, Dresden)

FRANZ PETER WILLIAM BUEHL, Ph.D., Th.D. (Professor of Semitic Languages, University of Copenhagen)

KARL GEORGE ADOLF BUCHH, B.A., B.S. (Professor of Mathematics and Natural Sciences, and Secretary, Luther Seminary, St. Paul, Minn.)

JAMES A. BUSHNELL, (President of the Board of Trustees, Regius Bible University, Eugene, Oregon)

AUGUSTUS STILES CARRER, D.D. (Professor of Hebrew and Chaldee Languages, McCormick Theological Seminary, Chicago)

BENJAMIN STUART CHAMBERS, D.D. (Assistant Rector of the Church of the Holy Sacrament, New York)

OTTO KONSTANTIN CLEMEN, Ph.D., Th.Lic. (Gymnasial Professor at Zurich)

EDWARD BENYON COE, D.D., S.T.D., LL.D. (Senior Minister of the Orthodox Church, New York)

FREDERICK EDWARD THEODORE COHBE, Th.D. (Considered Domestic, Irish, Germany)

ALEXIS DENREE DU FORT COLEMAN, M.A. (Instructor in English, College of the City of New York)

CHARLES CORREYON, (Pastor of the French Reformed Church, Frankfurt)

SAMUEL CRAMER, Th.D. (Professor of the History of Christianity, University of Aberdeen, and Prof. of Practical Theology, Aberdeen Theological Seminary, Aberdeen)

GUSTAF HERMAN DALMAN, Ph.D., Th.D. (Evangelical Professor of the Old Testament, University of Leipzig, and Prof. of the Old Testament, Theological Seminary, Halle)

HERMANN DECHERT, Ph.D. (Pastor in Frankfurt)

GABRIEL DOETTER VON JONG, V.D.M. (Professor of Historical Theology, Theological School of the Church of the Evangelical Mission, Bonn, Prussia)

FRANCIS BRIGHAM DENIO, D.D. (Professor of Old Testament Language and Literature, Boston Theological Seminary, Boston, Mass.)

FRANZ WILHELM DEBELIUS, Ph.D., Th.D. (Supreme Consistorial Councillor, City Superintendent, and Pastor of the Evangelical, Dresden)

WILLIAM DIMWIDDE, LL.D. (Chairman of the Synodology, Free-Prussia University, Charlottenburg, Berlin)

ERNEST ADOLF ALFRED OSKAR ADALBERT VON DOMSCHUTZ, Th.D. (Professor of New Testament Exegesis, University of Bremen)

JAMES FRANCIS DRISCOLL, D.D. (Rector of St. Gabriel's, New Rochelle, N. Y.)

JOSEPH HEALTY DOLLE, M.A. (Literary Professor, Theological Seminary, Posen, Prussia)

HUGH LUTHER ELDERDICE, D.D. (President of Wesleyan Theological Seminary, Westminster, Md.)

JOHN OLUF EVREN, Ph.D. (Professor of Theology, Augustana Seminary, Minneapolis, Minn.)

PAUL KARL EDUARD FEINE, Ph.D., Th.D. (Professor of New Testament Exegesis, University of Halle)

JOHANNES FICKER, Ph.D., Th.D. (Professor of Church History, Evangelical Theological Seminary, University of Strasbourg)

LEWIS REALS FISHER, D.D., LL.D. (President of Lombard College and Rector, Oberlin School, Gambourg, Ill.)

ALBERT FRIEZE, Ph.D., Th.D. (Emotion Gymnasial Professor, Paderborn, Morkenburger)

EMIL ALBERT FRIEDBERG (I), Th.D., Dr. Jur. (Late Professor of International Public and German Law, University of Leipzig)

GEORGE JOHN FRITSCHER, A.M. (Professor of Church History, Hartung Evangelical Lutheran Seminary, Underwood, Ill.)

OCTAVIUS BROOKS FROTHINGHAM (I), M.A. (Late Historian of Transcendentalism, Boston, Mass.)

PAUL REVERE FROTHINGHAM, S.T.B. (Minister of Arlington St. Church (Unitarian), Boston, Mass.)

JOSEPH HALL FUSSELL, (Secretary, Universal Workmanhood and Theosophical Society)

SOLOMON J. GAMBERTFELDER, Ph.D., D.D. (Principal of the Evangelical Theological Seminary, Naperville, Ill.)





CONTRIBUTORS AND COLLABORATORS, VOLUME XI

- THOMAS JAMES GARLAND, B.D.**, Secretary of the Divinity School of the Protestant Episcopal Church.
- OWEN HAMILTON GATES, Ph.D.**, Librarian, Andover Theological Seminary, Andover, Mass.
- GEORGE WILLIAM GILMORE, M.A.**, Former Professor of Biblical History and Lecturer on Comparative Theology.
- FRANK GOEBERS, Ph.D.**, Assistant Librarian, University of Bonn.
- WILHELM GOETZ (1), Ph.D.**, Late Professor, University of Leipzig, High School, and Professor, Military Academy, Munich.
- JUAN OTEZ GONZALEZ, D.D.**, Richmond, Va.
- JAMES ISAAC GOOD, D.D.**, Professor of Historical Church History, University of Virginia, Central Theological Seminary, Lynchburg, Va.
- THOMAS WAKEFIELD GOODSPEED, D.D.**, Registrar, University of Chicago.
- FRANK GREYER, D.D.**, Professor of Evangelical Theology, Mission House, Springfield, Mo.
- GEORG GRUETZMACHER, Ph.D., Th.Lic.**, Extraordinary Professor of Church History, University of Halle.
- RICHARD HEINRICH GRUETZMACHER, Ph.D.**, Professor of Systematic Theology, University of Rostock.
- EUGENE GUENIN**, Chief of the Staff of Stenographen, Senate of France.
- ALMON GUTHRIE, D.D., LL.D.**, President of St. Lawrence University, Canton, N. Y.
- HERMANN GUTHE, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Extraordinary Professor of New Testament Exegesis, University of Leipzig.
- WILHELM HANSEN, Th.Lic.**, Pastor in Bonn and Lecturer on New Testament Exegesis, University of Bonn.
- ALFRED MARTIN HAGAARD, M.A.**, Dean of the Bible College, Drake University, Des Moines, Iowa.
- ARTHUR CHALMERS ALLISTON HALL, D.D., LL.D.**, Professor of Theology, University of Toronto.
- JULIUS HAMBROEK (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Professor of Theology, University of Bonn.
- FREDERICK WILLIAM HAMILTON, D.D., LL.D.**, President of Tufts College, Mass.
- ADOLF HARNACK, M.D., Ph.D., Th.D., Dr. Jur.**, General Director of the Royal Library, Berlin.
- JAMES BEWES HARRIS, M.D., LL.D.**, Director of Studies at the Federal Seminary, Woodbrooke, near Birmingham, England.
- SAMUEL HART, D.D., D.O.L.**, Dean of Wesleyan Divinity School, Middletown, Conn.
- ALBERT HAUCK, Ph.D., Th.D., Dr. Jur.**, Professor of Church History, University of Leipzig, Editor-in-Chief of *Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte*.
- JOHANNES HAUSLEITER, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of the New Testament, University of Göttingen.
- CHARLES GUYEN KESSEK, D.D.**, President of Hanna Divinity School, Springfield, Ohio.
- MAX KERNER (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Professor of Philology, University of Leipzig.
- CHARLES ROBERT HEMPHILL, D.D., LL.D.**, President of the Presbyterian Theological Seminary of Kentucky, Louisville, Ky.
- ERNST HENKE (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Professor of Theology, University of Marburg.
- HEINRICH HERMELINK, Ph.D., Th.Lic.**, Privat-docent in Church History, University of Leipzig.
- ALFRED HILLER, D.D.**, Senior Professor of Theology, Episcopal Seminary, near Cooperstown, N. Y.
- GEORGE HODDER, D.D., D.C.L.**, Dean of the Episcopal Theological School, Cambridge, Mass.
- HEINRICH FRIEDRICH MAX HOFFMANN, Ph.D., Th.Lic.**, Privat-docent in Church History, University of Leipzig.
- RUDOLF YUGO HOFMANN, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Hellenistic and Latin, University of Leipzig.
- Hjalmer Fredrik HOLMQUIST, Th.D.**, Professor of Church History, University of Lund, Sweden.
- EDMUND LYMAN HOOD, Ph.D.**, Professor of Atlantic Theological Seminary, Atlantic, Va.
- FRANKLIN EVANS HOSKINS, D.D.**, Ministry at Beirut, Syria.
- GEORGE RICE HOWEY, D.D.**, President of Virginia Union University, Richmond, Va.
- HENRY KYSTER JACOBS, D.D., LL.D., M.A., D.D.**, Dean of the Lutheran Theological Seminary, Mount Airy, North Carolina.
- JOSEPH JACOBI, LL.D.**, Professor of English Literature and History, French Theological Seminary of America, New York.
- HENRY HARRIS JESUP (1), D.D.**, Late Missionary at Beirut, Syria.
- EDMUND TALMA JILLSON, B.A.**, Kansas City Baptist Theological Seminary.
- ARTHUR KEWTON JOHNSON, M.A.**, Home Secretary of the London Missionary Society.
- GUSTAV ADOLF JUELICHER, Ph.D.**, Professor of Church History of New Testament Exegesis, University of Marburg.
- MARTIN KABELER, Th.D.**, Professor of Dogmatics and New Testament Exegesis, University of Halle.
- Ferdinand Friedrich Wilhelm Kattenbusch, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Dogmatics, University of Halle.



CONTRIBUTORS AND COLLABORATORS, VOLUME XI

- EMIL FRIEDRICH KAUFMANN (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Professor of Old Testament Exegesis, University of Halle.
- PETER GUSTAV KAWERAC, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Supreme Cassation Court President at the King's, Berlin, and Honorary Professor, University of Berlin.
- JAMES ANDERSON KEEL, Ph.D., D.D.**, President of Western Theological Seminary, Winchester, Pa.
- OTTO KIRN (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Professor of Dogmatics, University of Leipzig.
- RUDOLF KUTTEL, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Old Testament Exegesis, University of Leipzig.
- RUDOLF KOEHL (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Court Preacher, Berlin.
- FRIEDRICH EDUARD KOENIG, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Old Testament Exegesis, University of Bonn.
- HEINRICH ADOLF KOBSTLIN (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Privy Councillor at Christiania, formerly Professor of Theology, University of Göttingen.
- KAUFMANN KOHLER, Ph.D.**, President of Hebrew Union College, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- THEODORE FRIEDRICH HERMANN KOLBE, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Church History, University of Erlangen.
- OTTO EDWARD KRIBBE, D.D.**, President of the German Theological Seminary, Central Theological College, Washington, D.C.
- HERMANN GUSTAV EDUARD KRUGGER, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Church History, University of Göttingen.
- EUGEN LACHENMANN**, City Pastor in Leuzing, Württemberg.
- WARREN HALL LAYDON, D.D.**, President of the Franciscan Theological Seminary, San Francisco, Cal.
- WILLIAM HENRY LARRABEE, LL.D.**, Fairfield, N. J.
- GEORGE THATCHER LASCELLE**, Secretary to the Dean of Nashotah House, Nashotah, Wis.
- WILHELM JOHANNES LEIPOLDT, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of New Testament Exegesis, University of Kiel.
- LUDWIG LEMKE, Th.D.**, Professor of Systematic Theology, University of Halle.
- EDUARD LEMPF, Ph.D.**, Chief Inspector of the Royal Prussian Archives, Stuttgart.
- JAMES OTIS LINCOLN, M.A.**, Secretary and Librarian of the Church Divinity School of the Pacific, San Mateo, Cal.
- CONRAD EMIL LINDBERG, D.D., LL.D.**, Vice-president and Professor of Theology, Lutheran Theological Seminary, Holy Family, St. Louis, Mo.
- OSKAR LOEBCKE, Th.Lic.**, Privat-docent in Church History, University of Bonn.
- FRIEDRICH ARMIN LOOP, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Church History, University of Halle.
- WILHELM PHILIPP FRIEDRICH FERDINAND LOTZ, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Old Testament Exegesis, University of Erlangen.
- FRANK ARTHUR MCELWAIN, B.D.**, Warren, Seabury Divinity School, Fairfield, Conn.
- JOHN KNOX MCLAN, D.D.**, President of Pacific Theological Seminary, Berkeley, Cal.
- WILLIAM MARCELLUS McPHEETERS, D.D., LL.D.**, Chairman of the Faculty, Columbia Theological Seminary, Columbia, S. C.
- FRANKLIN PIERCE MANHART, D.D.**, Dean of the School of Theology and Vice-president of St. Ambrose University, Elmhurst, Ill.
- ERNEST CHRISTIAN MARBRANDER, D.D.**, Chancellor of the Orthodox Catholic Archbishopric of America.
- ALBERT BRAINERD MARSHALL, D.D.**, President of the Presbyterian Theological Seminary, Omaha, Neb.
- AYLMER MAUDE**, Author and Lecturer, Great Badley, Oxfordshire, England.
- PAUL MEYER**, Pastor in Gr. Urbinen near Ternstedt.
- FRIEDRICH MEYER, Th.D.**, Secretary of the Smithsonian Museum, Berlin, Prussia.
- PHILIPP MEYER, Th.D.**, Supreme Cassation Court Councillor, Hannover.
- GEROLD MEYER VON KROSAU, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of History, University of Bonn.
- KONRAD CHRISTIAN ERNST MICHLESEN**, Secretary of the Vereinigung Verein für Betriebskunde und International. Altstadt in Strassburg.
- CARL FERDINAND MILITZ, Th.D.**, Professor of Church History, University of Marburg.
- WALTER WILLIAM MOORE, D.D., LL.D.**, President of Union Theological Seminary, Richmond, Va.
- WILLIAM GARGOLLY MOOREHEAD, D.D., LL.D.**, President of Xenia Theological Seminary, Xenia, Ohio.
- ROBERT SWAIN MORISON, S.T.B.**, Librarian, Episcopal Divinity School of Harvard University, Cambridge, Mass.
- EDWARD DAVID MORRIS, D.D., LL.D.**, Former Professor of Theology, Leaz Theological Seminary, Leaz, England.
- WILLIAM CHARLES MORRO, B.D., Ph.D.**, Dean of the Faculty, College of the Bible, Lexington, Ky.
- ERNST FRIEDRICH KARL MUELLER, Th.D.**, Professor of Reformed Theology, University of Erlangen.
- EDGAR YOUNG MULLINS, D.D., LL.D.**, President of the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, Nashville, Tenn.
- HENRY SYLVESTER NASH, D.D.**, Professor of the Literature and Interpretation of the New Testament, Episcopal Theological School, Middletown, Conn.



CONTRIBUTORS AND COLLABORATORS, VOLUME XI ix

- HEINRICH FRIEDRICH WILHELM WELLE, Th.D.**, Superintendent in Hesse, Weingarten.
- CHRISTOPH EBERHARD NESTLE, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor in the Theological Seminary, Marburg, Prussia.
- ALBERT HENRY NEWMAN, D.D., LL.D.**, Professor of Church History, Northampton Baptist Theological Seminary, New York, New York.
- JOSEPH JOHN NEWTON, M.A., Th.M.**, Professor of English, University of Iowa.
- THEODORE JULIUS NEY, Th.D.**, Supreme Consistorial Consistorial Officer, Saxonia.
- FREDERIK KRISTIAN NIELSEN (1), D.D.**, Late Bishop of Aarhus, Denmark.
- DAVID NYVALL**, President of Valparaiso College, Valparaiso, Wis.
- GUSTAV FRIEDRICH VON OEHLEB (1), D.D.**, Late Professor of Old Testament Theology, Tübingen.
- CONRAD VON OEHLEB, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Old Testament Exegesis and History of Israel.
- CHRISTIAN VON PALMER (1), Th.D.**, Late Professor of Theology, Tübingen.
- CARL PFENDER**, Pastor of St. Paul's Evangelical Lutheran Church, Peala.
- LEANDER LYCURGUS POGGENT**, Field Secretary of Ashby Gilespie, Wilmore, Ky.
- FRANZ AUGUST OTTO PIEPER, D.D.**, President of Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, Mo.
- MARIE POITZ**, Pastor of the Theological Seminary, Norfolk, Va.
- WALDO SELDEN PRATT, M.A., D.**, Professor of Logic and Metaphysics, Hartford Theological Seminary, Hartford, Conn.
- ERWIN FRIEDRICH WILHELM FERDINAND RAUSCHEN, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Pastor at Hirschberg-on-the-Boeker, Germany.
- HARRY KEEFER PRICE, M.A., B.D.**, President of Westminster College of Theology, Tennessee, Tenn.
- RALPH EARL PRIME, D.C.L., LL.D.**, Attorney, Yonkers, N. Y.
- TRAGOTT OTTO RADLACH**, Pastor at Lützelbach, Prussia.
- HERMANN RAUENBROCK**, Pastor in Cologne.
- DELANAY BLOODGOOD REED, D.D.**, Professor of New Testament Language and Literature and of Ecclesiastical History, Hillsdale, Wis.
- RICHARD CLARK REED, D.D., LL.D.**, Professor of Church History, Presbyterian Theological Seminary, Columbia, S. C.
- GERHARD REICHEL, Th.Lic.**, Instructor in the Theological Seminary at Gießen, Prussia.
- JOHN BALLARD RENDALL, D.D.**, President of Lincoln University, Pa.
- EDWIN WILBUR RICE, D.D.**, Editor, American Bible-School Union, Philadelphia, Pa.
- GEORGE WARREN RICHARDS, D.D.**, Professor of Church History, Theological Seminary of the Reformed Church, Lancaster, Pa.
- ROBERT WILLIAM ROGERS, M.A., Ph.D., LL.D.**, Professor of Hebrew and Old Testament Exegesis, Drew Theological Seminary, Madison, New Jersey.
- HENRY KALLOCH ROWE, Ph.D.**, Assistant Professor of Church History, Western Theological Institution, Newton Center, Mass.
- WILLIAM OTIS RYFON, D.D., LL.D.**, Dean of the Faculty, Johns Hopkins College and University, Baltimore.
- PHILIPP KEINRICH WILHELM THEODOR SCHLAFFER, Th.D.**, Head of the Theological Institute, Altona.
- DAVID SCHLEY SCHAFF, D.D.**, Professor of Church History, Western Theological Seminary, Williamsport, Pa.
- PHILIP SCHLAFF (1), D.D., LL.D.**, Late Professor of Church History, Union Theological Seminary, New York.
- JOHN SCHALLER**, President of the Lutheran Theological Seminary, Waukegan, Wis.
- GEORGE HENRY SCHOODE, Ph.D., D.D.**, Secretary of the Faculty, Central University, Columbia, S. C.
- MAXIMILIAN VICTOR SCHULTZE, Th.D.**, Professor of Church History and Christian Archaeology, University of Göttingen.
- JOHANN KARL EDWARD SCHWARZ (1), Th.D.**, Late Professor of Theology, University of Jena.
- WILLIAM NATHANIEL SCHWARZ, Ph.D.**, Resident Professor, Monaca College and Theological Seminary, Monaca, Pa.
- PAUL SCHWEIZER, Ph.D.**, Professor of History, University of Zurich.
- JOHN PRESTON SHABLE, D.D.**, President of the Theological Seminary of the Reformed Church, New Brunswick, N. J.
- REINHOLD SIEBERG, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Exegetical Theology, University of Berlin.
- EMIL SEHLING, Dr.-Jur.**, Professor of Jurisprudence and Christian Law, University of Erlangen.
- FRANK NEWELL, B.T.D.**, President of the American Scientific Association, Philadelphia.
- WILLIAM GREENOUGH THAYER SHEPARD (1), D.D., LL.D.**, Professor of Systematic Theology, Union Theological Seminary, New York.
- FRIEDRICH ANTON EMIL SIEFFERT, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of New Testament Exegesis, University of Bonn.
- ERNEST GOTTLIEB SIEHLER, Ph.D.**, Professor of Latin, New York University.
- EDUARD SIMONS, Th.D.**, Extraordinary Professor of Practical Theology, University of Berlin.

x CONTRIBUTORS AND COLLABORATORS, VOLUME XI

- JOHN ALDEN SIMONMASTER, D.D.**, President of the Lutheran Theological Seminary.
- FRANKLIN CHESTER SOUTHWORTH, D.D.**, President and Dean of the Louisville, Louisville Theological Seminary, Louisville, Ky.
- ERNST STAEHELIN, Ph.D.**, Pastor in Basel.
- ADOLF VON STAHELIN (1), Th.D.**, Late Bavarian Royal Commissioner.
- ANTHOY ANASTASIOU STASOULLI**, Formerly Member of the Editorial Staff of *Isis*, Athens, Greece.
- K. F. STEIGER (1)**, Late Pastor in England.
- GEORGE BLACK STEWART, D.D., LL.D.**, President of Auburn Theological Seminary, Auburn, N. Y.
- HERMANN LEBERRECHT STRACK, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Honorary Professor of Old Testament Exegesis and of Canon Law, University of Bonn.
- ULRICH STUTZ, Dr.-Jur.**, Professor of Ecclesiastical Law, University of Bonn.
- GEORGE SVEDBRUP, Jr., M.A.**, President of Ashbury Seminary, Minneapolis, Minn.
- JUDSON SWIFT, D.D.**, General Secretary of the American Tract Society, New York.
- MILTON SPENCER TERRY, D.D., LL.D.**, Professor of Christian Doctrine, Garrett Biblical Institute, Evanston, Ill.
- FRIEDRICH TEUTSCH (1), Th.D.**, Late Consistorial Consistorial Secretary.
- FRIEDRICH AUGUST THEODOR THOLKE (1), Th.D.**, Late Professor of Theology, University of Halle.
- JAMES WESTFALL THOMPSON, A.B.**, Associate Professor of History, University of Chicago.
- FRIEDRICH WILHELM THUEMMEL, Th.D.**, Professor of Hamilton and Calculus, University of Jena.
- WILHUR FISK TILLET, D.D., LL.D.**, Dean of the Theological Faculty, Vanderbilt University, Nashville, Tenn.
- FRANCIS EDWARD TOUSCHER, O.S.A., D.D.**, Regent of Studies, Monastery of St. Thomas, Villanova, Pa.
- PAUL TOCHACKERT (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Professor of Church History, University of Göttingen.
- FENWELL PARKER TURNER, B.A.**, General Secretary of the Student Volunteer Movement for Foreign Missions, New York.
- JOHANN GERHARD WILHELM THIEHMEN (1), Th.D.**, Late Abbot of Lalkon, Germany.
- HENRIK VAYNINER, D.D.**, President of Trinity University, Ufa, Russia.
- HENRY GLAY VEEDER, D.D.**, Professor of Church History, Cooper Theological Seminary, New York.
- SIEMSE DOUWES VAN VEEN, Th.D.**, Professor of Church History and Christian Archaeology, University of Utrecht.
- JOHN VINCOT, Th.D.**, Professor of Church History, Edinburgh School of Divinity.
- ROBERT ERNEST VINSON, D.D., LL.D.**, President of Austin Presbyterian Theological Seminary, Austin, Texas.
- EDWARD HARMON VIRGIN, B.A.**, Librarian, General Theological Seminary, New York City.
- ANDREW GEORGE VOIGT, D.D.**, Dean of Lutheran Theological Seminary, Columbia, S. C.
- JULIUS AUGUST WAGNER (1), Th.D.**, Late Consistorial Consistorial, Göttingen.
- WILLISTON WALKER, Ph.D., D.D.**, Professor of Ecclesiastical History, Yale University, New Haven, Conn.
- CARL GIBSON WALLENIUS, B.A.**, President of Swedish Theological Seminary, Uppsala, S. C.
- SAMUEL ALFRED WALLIS, D.D.**, Secretary of the Faculty of the Protestant Episcopal Theological Seminary in Virginia, near Alexandria, Va.
- WILLIAM FAIRFIELD WARREN, B.T.D., LL.D.**, Dean of the School of Theology, Boston University.
- HENRY JACOB WEBER, Ph.D., D.D.**, Professor of Theology and Church History, German Theological Seminary, Halle.
- REVVER FRANKLIN WEINER, B.T.D., D.D., LL.D.**, President of the Chicago Lutheran Theological Seminary.
- LOUIS WESSEL**, Professor of Theology, Concordia College, Springfield, Ill.
- CARL MARCUS WESWIG, B.D.**, Professor of Church History and Statistics, Seminary of the Union Theological Seminary, New York.
- EDWARD ELLIOTT WHITEFIELD, M.A.**, Rector, Public School, London.
- FRIEDRICH LUDWIG WILHELM WIEGAND, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Professor of Church History, University of Göttingen.
- KARL MORSE WILBUR, D.D.**, Dean of the Faculty, Theological School for the Ministry, Ashbury Park, Minn.
- DAVID BURT WILLSON, D.D.**, Professor of Biblical Literature, Reformed Presbyterian Seminary, Philadelphia, Pa.
- PAUL WOLFF (1)**, Late Pastor at Gießen, Prussia, and Editor of the *Sammlung Kerkenschriften*.
- WILLIAM LORING WORRESTER, B.A.**, President of New Church Theological School, Cambridge, Mass.
- KARL AUGUST WURMSCHER, Ph.D., Th.D.**, Retired Professor, Dresden.
- HEDOLF ZENPFUND, Ph.D.**, Pastor in Osnabrück, Germany.
- THEODOR ZIEGLER, Ph.D.**, Professor of Philosophy and Pedagogy, University of Göttingen.
- OTTO ZORNIER (1), Ph.D., Th.D.**, Late Professor of Church History and Apologetics, University of Göttingen.





BIBLIOGRAPHICAL APPENDIX—VOLS. I—XI

The following list of books is supplementary to the bibliography given at the end of the articles contained in vols. I—XI, and brings the literature down to June 30, 1911. In this list each title entry is printed in capital letters. It is to be noted that, throughout the work, in the articles as a rule only first editions are given. In the bibliography the aim is to give either the best or the latest edition, and in case the book is published both in America and in some other country, the American place of issue is usually given the preference.

ASSOLT, L. *The Problem of Human Destiny, as Confronted by Free Will*. Dickinson, Boston, 1911.

AFRICA: G. B. A. GARDNER, *Studies in the Evangelization of South Africa*, London, 1911.

A. B. TUCKER, *Eighteen Years in Uganda and East Africa*, New York, 1911.

ALBERTUS MAGNUS: *Albertus Magnus, Being the approved, verified, sympathetic and natural Egyptian, Arabic, Hindu and Greek text for Man and Beast, by that celebrated occult Student, new and revised ed.*, ed. by W. de LAMOTTE, Chicago, 1910.

ANTICHREST: H. FROST, *Die Verfallensgenen vom Antichrist zu spätem Mittelalter*, bei Luther und in der konfessionellen Polemik, Leipzig, 1906.

ARCHEOLOGIE: W. H. CAMPBELL, *The Early Christian Architecture*, London, 1911.

W. GERT, *Prolegomena der Christenheitsgeschichte, Skizze zur Geschichte der Apologetik*, Leipzig, 1911.

A. E. GARIN, *Christian Life and Belief. A Description and Defense of the Gospel*, London, 1911.

D. MACLEOD, *Truth in Religion, Studies in the Nature of Christian Certainty*, London, 1911.

C. H. ROBINSON, *Studies in the Character of Christ: an Argument for the Truth of Certain Contents*, New York, 1911.

ARCHEOLOGIE BIBLICAL: See below, HERMAN, A.

ARCHITECTURE: G. H. WEST, *Gothic Architecture in England and France*, London, 1911.

ATHEISM: CHERRY, R. O. P. TAYLOR, *The Athanasian Creed in the Fourteenth Century*, Edinburgh and New York, 1911.

ATHEISM: S. H. LANGDON, in *Epochary Times*, April, 1911, pp. 325-326, and C. T. BUNNEY in the same, pp. 323-327 (important).

ATHEISM: H. SCHULZ, *Glaube und Unglaube in der Philosophie*, Eine Kommentar zu Auguste Comte's *Le système des sciences*, Leipzig, 1911.

BARTHOLOMÄUS: A. POEHL, *Die apostolischen Predigten zur Zeit der Dynamik von Loretum und der ersten Dynamik von Babylonien*, Breslau, 1910.

BARTHOLOMÄUS: C. FRANK, *Studien zur heidnischen Religion*, vol. 1, Strausburg, 1911.

J. KRANZ, *Die Göttergötter in den heidnischen Religionsgeschichten*, Leipzig, 1911.

S. LANGDON, *A Summary Overview and Chronology, with a Vocabulary of the Principal Words in Current and a List of the most important Symbols and Visual Transcriptions*, New York, 1911.

BARRENE: J. LOEBNER, *Die Geschichte des Bistums Bamberg. Nach den Quellen bearbeitet*, vol. VII, Das Bistum Bamberg von 1739-1809, fasc. 2, Von 1747-1809, Bamberg, 1910.

BARTHOLOMÄUS: D. E. DAVIES, *Bible Lights on Baptism*, Tulsa, Oklahoma, 1911.

BARTHOLOMÄUS: W. J. McLELLIN, *Baptist Confessions of Faith*, Philadelphia, 1911.

BARRENE: S.: *Geschichte der Vererbung Maries*, vol. 2, Freiburg, 1910.

BARRENE: D. C. BOALKE, *Religion of the Bible*, New York, 1911.

BARRENE: A. FRANK, *Die biblischen Beschreibungen in Mithrasdarstellungen*, 2 vols., Freiburg, 1909.

BENNETT, W. H.: *The Mosaic Stone*, Edinburgh, 1911.

BIBLE SOCIETIES: W. CANTON, *History of the British and Foreign Bible Society*, London, 1911.

BIBLE TEXT: *The Four Gospels from the Codex Vaticanus, with Introduction, description of the MS. by E. S. SHARPLESS. Old Latin Edition Test* (no. 6), London, 1911.

BIBLE TRANSLATION: J. BROWN, *The History of the English Bible*, London, 1911.

CRITICAL VERSIONS OF THE BIBLE: *The Complete Version of the Holy Scriptures in the Authorized Version, with Introduction, description of the MS. by E. S. SHARPLESS. Old Latin Edition Test* (no. 6), London, 1911.

W. W. FOSTER, *The Religious Experience of the Roman Empire from the Earliest Times to the Age of Augustus*, London, 1911.

J. G. FRANK, *The Magic Art and the Evolution of Kings*, 2 vols., London, 1911 (a part of the vol. of the Golden Bough).

Also see below, LOEY.

DAVIES, T. W.: See below, MALIN.

DECIUS: P. M. MEYER, *Die Lohli und der archaischen Christenreligion*, Berlin, 1910.

DECIUS AND THE HEALING ART: HERBERT, J. FROST, *Biblical-archaische Medizin. Beiträge zur Geschichte der Heilkräfte und der Kultur überhaupt*, Berlin, 1911.

DIVORCE: H. RINGEN, *Marriage and Divorce Laws of the World*, London, 1911.

DOCTRINE: REPORT OF J. P. KINCH, *The Doctrine of the Communion of Saints in the Ancient Church*, St. Louis, 1911.

DOGMA: DOMINIQUE, I. LEBLANC, *Le dogme dogmatique. Dogmatique spéciale*, vol. 1, Paris, 1910.

DRAMA: H. ELLIS, *The World of Drama*, London, 1911.

DUMM, B. L.: *The Re-creating Kingdom of God, a Discourse on religious Progress*, New York, 1911.

Egypt, V. ERNOU, *Le Religion de l'Égypte ancienne*, Paris, 1911.

ERASMOUS: J. S. HAY, *The Amazing Emperor Hierocles*, London, 1911.

ENGLAND, CHURCH OF: *Visitation Articles and Instructions of the Priests of the Reformation*, ed. W. H. FRY, 3 vols., London, 1910.

G. A. COBBIN, *The Church of England*, London, 1911.

J. S. WALKER, *The Religious Aspects of Disestablishment and Disendowment*, London, 1911.

EPICUREANISM: A. E. TAYLOR, *Epicurus*, London, 1911.

ERICK: S. W. DAVIS, *Origin and Evolution of Ethics*, Los Angeles, Cal., 1910.

ERKORSS: D. KUNZINGER, *Althindische Bibeldogmatik*, Berlin, 1911.

FATH: A. CHAMBER, *Faith and Experience: an Analysis of the Factors of Religious Knowledge*, London, 1911.

FRAZER AND FRETWELL: F. BÜNGER, *Geschichte der Psychologie in der Kirche*, Göttingen, 1911.



xii BIBLIOGRAPHICAL APPENDIX—VOLUMES I-XI

BIBLE VERSIONS: *Records of the English Bible. The Documents relating to the Translation and Publication of the Bible in English: 1517-1701*, ed. with an introduction by A. W. POLIST, London and New York, 1911.

The Hesperian Psalter, Being the Book of Psalms in the English Version, ed. by W. A. WRIGHT, Cambridge, 1911.

BIBLICAL CRITICISM: C. W. DUNNET, *The Evolutionary Question in the Gospels, and Other Studies in Recent New Testament Criticism*, Edinburgh, 1911.

A. FRIEDL, *Geschichte der historisch-kritischen Theologie des Neuen Testaments*, Gießen, 1911.

E. A. HUTTON, *An Atlas of Textual Criticism. Being an attempt to show the Mutual Relationship of the Authorities for the Text of the New Testament up to about 1000 A. D.*, London, 1911.

BIBLICAL INTRODUCTION: J. MOHRT, *An Introduction to the Literature of the New Testament*, London and New York, 1911.

BIBLICAL THEOLOGY: M. WOLFF, *Das neutestamentliche Christentum, eine apologetische Grundlegung dargestellt*, Tübingen, 1910, 1911.

M. STAVRO, *Das Epistolische und Kolossentestament. Leben über die Person Christi und sein Heilswort*, Vienna, 1911.

F. C. SMITH, *Evolution of Christianity: its Origin, Nature, and development of the Religion of the Bible*, Anderson, Ind., 1911.

Also see below, ROBINSON.

BOYAVITTA: I. COSTELLO, *Saint Bernardino, the Seraphic Doctor*, London and New York, 1911.

BROWN: SIR THOMAS: W. SCHÖNBACH, *Sir Thomas Brownus Religio Medici* [in German]. Ein vollständiges Deutsches des englischen Textes, Tübingen, 1911.

BURDETT: T. W. RYAN DAVID, *Sacred Books of the Buddhists, Translated by various Oriental Scholars. Discourses of the Buddha. Part II, Translated by T. W. and C. A. F. RHYNDERS*, London, 1911.

G. A. ESSENGIN, *Le visioni del Buddha*, Turin, 1911.

W. W. HICKS, *The Sinitary*, Boston, 1911.

BREHMER: W. RUDOLPH, *Geschichte der Religionen*, Berlin, 1911.

CHERRY, T. K.: *The Two Religions of Israel. With a Re-examination of the Prophecy Narratives and Overviews*, London, 1910, New York, 1911.

CHINA: W. H. GIFFE, *China's Story in Myth, Legend, Art and Drama*, Boston, 1910.

A. E. HUNTS, *Half a Century in China. Recollections and Observations*, London, 1911.

LIU SHAO-YANG, *A Chinese Appeal to Christianity concerning Christian Missions*, New York, 1910.

CHRISTIAN SOCIALISM: T. FALLOT, *Christianisme social*, Paris, 1910.

CHRISTOLOGY: H. B. SWETE, *The Ascended Christ: a Study in the earliest Christian Teaching*, London and New York, 1910.

W. J. SIMPSON, *The Resurrection and Modern Thought*, London, 1911.

N. THOMAS, *Von der Gottheit Christi. Gegen den religiösen Rückstand in Orthodoxen Dreieinigkeitstheologie*, Gießen, 1911.

CHURCH HISTORY: See above, BIBLICAL THEOLOGY.

WOLFF: T. H. WOLFF, *The Origin and Development of the Christian Church in Gaul during the First Six Centuries of the Christian Era*, London, 1911.

COHEN: J. KVARNEN, *Analoga Commentaria*, Berlin, 1910.

COMMON PRAYER, BOOK OF: W. H. FRY, *Some Fragments of Liturgical Reform. A Contribution towards the Revision of the Book of Common Prayer*, London, 1911.

COMPARATIVE RELIGION: M. REICHERT, *Der archaische und epikureische Gottesdienst in den orientalischen Religionen und ihr Verhältnis zum Christentum*, Tübingen, 1908.

W. W. FOSTER, *The Religious Experience of the Roman Empire from the Earliest Times to the Age of Augustus*, London, 1911.

J. G. FRANK, *The Magic Art and the Evolution of Kings*, 2 vols., London, 1911 (a part of the vol. of the Golden Bough).

Also see below, LOEY.

DAVIES, T. W.: See below, MALIN.

DECIUS: P. M. MEYER, *Die Lohli und der archaischen Christenreligion*, Berlin, 1910.

DECIUS AND THE HEALING ART: HERBERT, J. FROST, *Biblical-archaische Medizin. Beiträge zur Geschichte der Heilkräfte und der Kultur überhaupt*, Berlin, 1911.

DIVORCE: H. RINGEN, *Marriage and Divorce Laws of the World*, London, 1911.

DOCTRINE: REPORT OF J. P. KINCH, *The Doctrine of the Communion of Saints in the Ancient Church*, St. Louis, 1911.

DOGMA: DOMINIQUE, I. LEBLANC, *Le dogme dogmatique. Dogmatique spéciale*, vol. 1, Paris, 1910.

DRAMA: H. ELLIS, *The World of Drama*, London, 1911.

DUMM, B. L.: *The Re-creating Kingdom of God, a Discourse on religious Progress*, New York, 1911.

Egypt, V. ERNOU, *Le Religion de l'Égypte ancienne*, Paris, 1911.

ERASMOUS: J. S. HAY, *The Amazing Emperor Hierocles*, London, 1911.

ENGLAND, CHURCH OF: *Visitation Articles and Instructions of the Priests of the Reformation*, ed. W. H. FRY, 3 vols., London, 1910.

G. A. COBBIN, *The Church of England*, London, 1911.

J. S. WALKER, *The Religious Aspects of Disestablishment and Disendowment*, London, 1911.

EPICUREANISM: A. E. TAYLOR, *Epicurus*, London, 1911.

ERICK: S. W. DAVIS, *Origin and Evolution of Ethics*, Los Angeles, Cal., 1910.

ERKORSS: D. KUNZINGER, *Althindische Bibeldogmatik*, Berlin, 1911.

FATH: A. CHAMBER, *Faith and Experience: an Analysis of the Factors of Religious Knowledge*, London, 1911.

FRAZER AND FRETWELL: F. BÜNGER, *Geschichte der Psychologie in der Kirche*, Göttingen, 1911.



BIBLIOGRAPHICAL APPENDIX—VOLUMES I—XI

xiii

FRANCK: D. Lortsch, *Histoire de la Bible en France*, Geneva, 1911.
 Also see CHURCH HISTORY, Holmes.

FRANCK, SAUND: *On André: H. Grimley, Saint Francis and his Predecessor, rendered into English from Franciscan Chronicles*, Cambridge and New York, 1908.

N. Tammann, *Saint Francis of Assisi and His Legend*, London, 1911.

GRUBER, RAYNE: *Saint George for England. The Life, Legends and Lore of our Greatest Patron*, Compiled by H. O. F., 2d ed., London, 1911.

GUMBART, J.: Add to the bibliography: R. Hopfeld, *Das Bild des Gertruden*, Berlin, 1908.

GUMBART, F.: *Uthman, Geschichte der christlichen Kirche*, 2 vols., Leipzig, 1911.

GOD: J. H. Hingworth, *Jesus Transmuted, and its Reflection in Religious Authority: an Essay*, London, 1911.
 Also see below: FOULLE, J.

GOSSEL and GOSSEL: G. Philipp, *Source des exemplaires*, Paris, 1910, Geneva, 1911.

G. Friedländer, *The Jewish Sources of the Sermon on the Mount*, London, 1911.

E. Maignan, *Les évangiles apocryphes. Classification apocryphes*, Paris, 1911.

Studies in the Synoptic Problem, by Members of the University of Oxford, ed. W. Sanday, New York, 1911.
 Also see below: HARNACK; LOHSE; LECKE.

GREGORY VIII: Add to appendix A Solution of the Letters of Hildegard, Pope Gregory VII, by G. F. Fish, London, 1911.

HABAKUK: G. N. Stobbe, *Introduction, Translation, and Notes on the Hebrew Text of the Book of Habakuk*, London, 1911.

HAMMURABI and his CODE: E. Wölfflin, *Die Hammurabi und sein Gesetz*, Leipzig, 1910.

HARNACK: A. Von Gutschmied, *Das Apostelgeschick und die Apologetik der apostolischen Bewegung*, Leipzig, 1911.

HEBREW LANGUAGE: C. E. Hornigby, *The Hebrew Language of the Bible*, New York, 1911.

HEGEL: O. Knott, *Seneca after Seneca*, Boston, 1911 (the work is considered as: Knott, Paris, Knott, Leipzig, and Broomfield).

HEILIGENSTEIN: G. L. Heilmann, *Neuzeitliche Gemälde*, Tübingen, 1911.

HEKATYUS: J. N. Giffiths, *The Poetics of Dactylometry*, London, 1911.

F. P. Rieu, *An Interpretation of Genesis*, Washington, D. C., 1911.

HETTER: A. Gley, *Historische Studien*, part 1, Leipzig, 1910.

HOLLAND: *Gedichtenschatz der germanischen Völker*, von 1780 bis 1840, vol. v., ed. H. T. Götter, The Hague, 1911.

Acta der particuliere Synoden van Zeeland-Holland, vol. III, 1640-1641, ed. W. P. C. Knip, The Hague, 1910.

HUGO: LECTURES: E. A. Ewald, *The Revolution of the Son of God: some Questions and Considerations arising out of a Study of Second Century Christianity, Being the Hulsean Lectures for 1910-11*, London and New York, 1911.

IDEALISM: C. Duran, *Les Deux Idéalismes*, Paris, 1910.

P. Natorp, *Philosophie. Its Problem and its Problems*, Fribourg, in: *den Antiken Idealismus*, Göttingen, 1911.

A. Wierzbicki, *Der Ursprung des deutschen Idealismus durch Immanuel Kant. Ein Beitrag zum Verständnis des spirituellen Wiffens von Goethe und Schiller*, Braunschweig, 1911.

IGNATIUS OF LOYOLA: D. Argoli, *Saint Ignazio de Loyola nella vita e nell'arte*, Lucca, 1910.

IMMORTALITY: F. Blakes, *Is the Life of Man eternal?* New York, 1911.

G. L. Duffin, *Religion and Immortality*, Boston, 1911.

INDIA: T. C. Hodson, *The Naga Tribes of Manipur*, New York, 1911.

INNESS MURDER: J. F. Ohl, *The Innes Murder: a Handbook for Christian Workers*, Philadelphia, 1911.

IRAILI: G. D. Ginsburg, *Iraili. Dilettante veritas juxta Maimonem super ultimis principis cum variis lectionibus et cum antiquis versionibus indicis*, Leipzig, 1910.

R. H. Kennet, *The Composition of the Book of Isaiah in the Light of History and Archaeology*, London, 1911; *Idem, The Servant of the Lord*, New York, 1911.

G. W. Wals, *The Book of the Prophet Isaiah*, With Introduction and Notes, London, 1911.

ISRAEL: HISTORY OF: R. Lescovsky, *Die Juden in Arabien*, zur Zeit Mohammeds, Berlin, 1910.

M. Gersch, *Grundriss zur Geschichte Israels. Alttestamentliche Studien*, Leipzig, 1911.

C. F. Lehmann-Haupt, *Israel. Seine Entstehung, sein Werden, sein Verfall*, Göttingen, 1911.

M. Licht, *Israel. Kultur- und Wissenschaftsgeschichte*, 1911.

JAMES: W. F. Robertson, *William James*, Paris, 1911.

JEREMIAS: A. The Old Testament in the Light of the Ancient East. *Manual of Biblical Archaeology*, 2 vols., London, 1911.

JESUIT: C. Coppens, *Who are the Jesuits?* St. Louis, 1911.

JESUS CHRIST: K. Diefenbach, *Der Christliche Jesus, der mythische Christus und Jesus der Kirche*, Leipzig, 1910.

F. Jensen, *Hat der Jesus der Evangelien wirklich gelebt?* Frankfurt, 1910.

A. Drews, *Die Christenlehre part 2, Die Entstehung der Geschichtlichen Jesu*, Jena, 1911.

W. A. Graft, *The Historic Jesus in the Faith of Today*, London, 1911.

G. Jahn, *Über die Person Jesu und über die Entstehung der Christenlehre und den Wert derselben für moderne Gebildete, mit einer Kritik der Kämpfer und der neueren abgeleiteten über Jesu*, Leyden, 1911.

JESUS CHRIST: MORGANSON: F. J. Diller, *Jesus. Das Evangelium in der christlichen Zeit*, vol. I, Bonn, 1910.

JEW: MORGANSON: F. J. Diller, *A Manual of Christian Evidence for Jewish People*, Cambridge, 1911.

JOB: See above, HEGEL.

xiv

BIBLIOGRAPHICAL APPENDIX—VOLUMES I—XI

xiv

JOHN THE APOSTLE: J. Chapman, *John the Preacher and the Fourth Gospel*, London, 1910.

J. T. Dow, *John and Revelation. Discourses on the Apocalypse*, London, 1911.

A. Metz, *Das Evangelium des Johannes nach der apostolischen in den Apostelgeschichten und dem Paulusbriefen*, Berlin, 1911.

JOHN, SAINT, ORDER OF: J. Delaville le Roulx, *Mémoires sur l'ordre de St. Jean de Jérusalem*, Paris, 1910.

KANT: See above, HEGEL.

KINDOM OF GOD: See above, DURK.

KORNER: M. C. Fournier, *The Church of Christ in Corsica*, New York, 1911.

LABAREE: A. Cunningham, *Leeds*, London, 1911.

A. H. Francke, *History of Western Tibet*, London, 1911.

LOAN, A.: *A propos d'histoire des religions*, Paris, 1911.

LOAN, I.: *Idem, Jesus et la tradition évangélique*, ib., 1911.

LOLLARD: J. Gaillet, *Lollardy and the Reformation in England*, vol. III, London, 1911.

LORD'S SUPPER: F. Grubb, *Die Konstruktion der Abendmahlstheorie Luther in ihrer Entwicklung*, Leipzig, 1908.

LORE: H. Koch, *Die Abhängigkeit des hebraischen Geschichtswortes. Eine historisch-kritische und sprachliche Untersuchung*, Leipzig, 1911.

Also see above, HARNACK.

LORENZ: L. P. Weller, *A Life of Martin Luther, the Great Reformer of the 16th Century*, Nashville, 1911.

LUTHERANS: *The Book of Concord, or the Symbolical Books of the Evangelical Lutheran Church: transl. from the original Languages, with Analysis and expository Index*, ed. by H. Bente, Jacobs, Philadelphia, 1911.

I. H. Wolf, *Memorial Service of the Lutheran Church*, Philadelphia, 1911.

MCINTOSH, A. G.: *Protestant Thought before Kant*, New York, 1911.

MAGIC: T. W. Davies, "Magic," *Black and White* (ed. of Magic, Divination, and Demonology among the Hebrews and Their Neighbors), London, 1897, Chicago, 1910.

T. de Lamoignon, *Les Magis et le sorcellerie en France*, vol. III, *Les Sorcelleries de la Bretagne à la Révolution. Les Couvents sorcelleries. Les Prêtres-Magiciens. La Magie pendant l'antiquité*, Paris, 1911.

MAKI: M. J. Lapergue, *Évangile selon saint Marc*, Paris, 1911 (Introduction and commentary).

MANKIEWICZ: See above, DRYDEN.

MANTON: J.: *Prayers in the Communion and in College*, London, 1911.

MART: MOTHER OF JESUS CHRIST: See above, BRUNEL.

MENSTRUUM: D. Pflanz, *Rehabilitation: or Hand Book of the Christian Doctrine and Religion. Sermons for the Use of God's People from the Holy Scriptures*, ed. by J. J. G. Pflanz, New York, 1911.

MESSE: R. A. Gordon, *Messiah: the Ancestral Type of the Christ*, London, 1911.

E. F. Scott, *The Messiah and the Messiah*, Edinburgh, 1911.

METHODISM: J. R. Gregory, *A History of Methodism, chiefly for the Use of Students*, 2 vols., London, 1911.

MIRACLES: J. M. Thompson, *Miracles in the New Testament*, New York, 1911.

MISBURN: J. M. Buckley, *Theory and Practice of Foreign Missions*, New York, 1911.

S. M. Gower, *The Unconquered Peoples of Africa and Asia*, London and New York, 1911.

Also see above, LUTHERANS.

MITHRAS: F. E. Higgins, *Die Mithraslehre. Seine Ätymologie, Entstehungsgeschichte und seine Deutlichkeit*, Leipzig, 1911.

MOBERT STONE: See above, BENNETT.

MORLEY: G. H. A. E. Morley, *Order of the Garter. Its History, its Family and its Friends*, London, 1911.

MODERNISM: *The Priest: a Tale of Modernism in New England. By the Author of "Letters to His Holiness, Pope Pius X."* Boston, 1911.

MOFFATT: J.: See above, BIBLICAL INTERPRETATION.

MORGANSON: D. E. Morgan, *Aspects of Islam*, New York, 1911.

E. Morgan, *De fait présent et de l'avenir de l'Islam*, Paris, 1911.

MORGANSON: C. A. Shook, *The True Origin of Mormon Polygamy*, Mendota, Ill., 1911.

MORSON, R.: J. F. Goussier, *Concept of the Minority Concept*, chap. 1-2, New York, 1911.

MYSTICISM: E. Underhill, *Mysticism: a Study in the Nature and Development of Man's Spiritual Consciousness*, London, 1911.

NATHAN: F. J. A. Deane, *Phylarch's Hystoria. The Dispersion of the Jews*, Chicago, 1911.

NON-COMMUNION: G. L. Turner, *Original Records of Early Non-Communion under Persecution and Persecution*, London, 1911.

NON-PROB: H. Buxton, *A Biography of Thomas Dixon*, the Manchester Non-Juror, London, 1911.

OCAM, SALVADOR: F. E. Higgins, *Ocam and his Order*, Göttingen, 1910.

OWARD, SAINT: A. C. Champneys, *Saint Oswald*, London, 1911.

PALESTINE: Kate B. Schuyler, *The Holy Land as seen through Bible Eyes. Being a Record of a Journey through Syria, Palestine and Egypt in the Years 1904-05*, Seattle, 1911.

I. L. Henson, *Researches in Palestine*, Boston, 1911.

E. Huntington, *Palestine and its Transformation*, London and Boston, 1911.

PALON, J. G.: A. K. Langley and F. H. L. Palon, *John G. Palon. Later Years and Farewell. A Sketch to John G. Palon, an Autobiography*, ed. ed., New York, 1910.

PAUL THE APOSTLE: B. Bultmann, *Der Stil der apostolischen Predigt und die apokalyptische Dichtung*, Göttingen, 1910.

J. B. Giffiths, *St. Paul in the Light of Modern Research*, New York and London, 1911.

J. Weiss, *Der J. Kirchenschrift*, Göttingen, 1910.

T. W. Druce, *The Prison-Ministry of St. Paul*, London, 1911.

C. H. Dingley, *St. Paul's Friendships and his Friends*, Boston, 1911.

A. Robertson and A. Finster, *A Critical and Speculative Commentary on the First Epistle of St. Paul to the Corinthians*, London, 1911.





BIBLIOGRAPHICAL APPENDIX—VOLUMES I-XI

xv

PEACE MOVEMENTS: H. M. Chittenden, *War or Peace: a Present Duty and a Future Hope*, Chicago, 1911.

PREBIERS AND SANDOZ: W. Casari, *Die Pharisäer bis in die Schicksale des Neuen Testaments*, Gross Lichterfeld, 1909.

PLATONISM: See above, HEBREW.

POPE, J.: *Dynastic Theology*, vol. 1, Gal, St. Louis, 1911.

POPE, PAPACY: Add to bibliography: H. Grisar, *Giuliano Rossi and the Pope in Milan*, Freiburg, 1908 seq. (to be in 6 vols.), Eng. transl., *History of Rome and the Pope in the Middle Ages*, vol. 1, London, 1911.

PRELUDES: L. B. Macdonald, *Life in the Making: an Approach to Religion through the Method of Modern Progression*, Boston, 1911.

PROPHET: O. Proebisch, *Die kleinen prophetischen Schriften von dem. Stuttgart*, 1910.

Mary A. Taylor, *The Historic Meaning of Prophecy*, Cincinnati, 1911.

H. Wron, *Prophecy: Jewish and Christian*, London and Milwaukee, 1911.

PROTESTANT RENOVATIONISM: *Continuation and Causes for the Government of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States of America Adopted in General Convention, 1880-1910*, New York, 1910.

PREDERBOROMIA, I. VIVIAN, *Les Papyrus de Salsomon*, Paris, 1910 (with introduction, Greek text, translation, and notes).

REN CHAN SOCIETY: H. Brandt, *With the Red Cross in the Franco-German War, A. D., 1870-71*, Some Reminiscences, London, 1911.

REFORMATION: *Documents Illustrating of the Council of Reformation*, ed. H. J. Todd, London, 1911.

REINHOLD: J. M. Hudson, *Reinhold: the Quest of the Ideal*, Edinburgh, 1911.

RELIGION, PHILOSOPHY OF: J. A. Gould, *Philosophie de la religion*, Paris, 1910.

REVELATION: G. A. Coles, *The Progress of Revelation*, New York, 1911.

Rev. H. B. Kilpatrick, *Our Protestant Eschatology*, New York, 1911.

REYNARD: V. Klobukow, *Der Jahn*—in the above *Philosophie, ein bürgerliches Buch, behandelt die Tugend*, Frankfurt, Gieseler, 1909.

ROBINSON, J. A.: *The Atoned Hope in St. Paul's Epistles*, New York, 1911.

ROSCHELMAN, F. Flavet, *Roschelm, philosophie de l'histoire, d'après les principes de l'histoire moderne. Sa place dans l'histoire générale et comparée des philosophes modernes*, Paris, 1911.

ROUSSEAU: G. Vallet, *Jean Jacques Rousseau Genevois*, Paris, 1911.

RUBIAL: M. Tamarit, *L'Eglise gorgienne des origines jusqu'à nos jours*, Lérida, 1910.

SALUS: *Historiographia orientalis. Bibliographie des travaux bibliographiques publiés en russe, en français, en allemand, en espagnol, en anglais, en suédois, en danois*, ed. M. C. Gesta, part 2, Copenhagen, 1910.

SALVATION ARMY: A. M. Nicol, *General Booth and the Salvation Army*, London, 1911.

SANDAY, W.: See GOSPEL AND GOSPEL.

SCHOPFHEIMER: T. Ruyssen, *Schopenhauer*, Paris, 1911.

SCOTLAND: G. Ashdown, *The Scottish Pastor*, Edinburgh, 1911.

SCOTLAND: J. Dowden, *The Medical Church in Scotland: its Constitution, Organization and Law*, New York, 1911.

SEVERE SUFFERING OF FRENCH: P. M. Huber, *Die Plandertage von den letzten Schicksalen*, Leipzig, 1910.

SIAM: Mrs. L. Mize, *Siam at Home*, London, 1910 (contains 2 chapters on Siam history and literature by W. W. Cochran).

SIN: M. L. Burton, *The Problem of Evil*, Chicago, 1910.

SINAI: M. J. Rendall, *Sinai in Spring*, Chicago, 1911.

SOCIALISM: G. Noel, *Socialism in Church History*, Milwaukee, 1911.

SOCIETY: V. J. Manikka, *Ueber russische Zerkelungen und Erziehungslehren der Ethik- und Verknüpfungswesen*, Hainburg, 1910.

SOTERIOLOGY: E. Krich, *Der Kampf der Heiden im ersten Jahrhundert. Ein religions- und dogmenhistorischer Beitrag zur Erlösungslehre*, Freiburg, 1911.

SOTER, J.: H. M. Du Bose, *Life of Joshua Soter*, Nashville, 1911.

SOUTH SEA ISLANDS: W. Churchill, *The Polynesian Wanderings. Tracks of the Migration deduced from an Examination of the Protochthonous Content of the Hymn and other Languages of Melanesia*, Washington, D. C., 1911.

SPAIN: G. H. R. Ward, *The Truth about Spain*, New York, 1911.

SPEAKING WITH TONGUES: E. Lombard, *De la possibilité chez les premiers chrétiens de des phénomènes similaires*, Paris, 1911.

E. Moisan, *Das Zerkelwesen*, Tübingen, 1911.

SPERAN, F.: Add to bibliography: P. Schaf, *Die Städte wider den heiligen Geist . . . selbst stem . . . Ankamp über das Lebenwende des Franzosen*, Halle, 1911.

STOICISM: Y. Arnold, *Roman Stoicism*, Cambridge, 1911.

STOICATHEOLOGICAL: A. H. McKim, *Practical Pedagogy in the Sunday School*, New York and London, 1911.

STOICISM: F. E. Hulme, *The History, Principles, and Fringe of Stoicism in Christian Art*, Osh, London, 1911.

TALMUD: Add to bibliography: The most important parts of the Mishnah are edited from Strack as follows, all at Leipzig: Yoma, 1904; Abotnah Zara, 1907; Sanhedrin, Makoth, 1911; Pesachim, 1911; Berachoth and the three Berachoth will appear 1912. Add also: H. L. Strack, *Jaina, die Hebräer und die Christen nach älteren jüdischen Quellen*, Leipzig, 1910.

TERTULLIAN: F. Heine, *Tertullianus apostolicus*, Leipzig, 1911.

TERTULIAN: G. Wachsmann, *Manichaeus und Manichaeismus*, Tübingen, 1911.

TIMONAEUS: *Kerkelgeschichten*, ed. L. Panzerbieter for the Prussian Academy, Leipzig, 1911.

THOMAS AQUINAS: F. Conway, *Saint Thomas Aquinas of the Order of Preachers, 1225-74*, London, 1911.

TIME, BIBLICAL RECKONING OF: F. Weisberg, *Zur geschichtlichen Chronologie und Geographie Ostjude*, Leipzig, 1911.

TRANSPARANT: E. Carling, *The Transfiguration. With other Sermons*, London, 1911.

XV

BIOGRAPHICAL ADDENDA—VOLUMES I-XI

xvi

BIOGRAPHICAL ADDENDA

ASHES, H. N.: d. in London July 18, 1911.

ATKINSON, W. W.: d. at Bennington, Vt., Aug. 8, 1911.

BERNARD, J. H.: Chosen bishop of Osney, 1911.

CURTIS, E. L.: d. near Rockland, Me., Aug. 26, 1911.

DANFORTH, E. C.: Elected professor of homiletics in the Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary, Fort Worth, Tex., 1911.

DEVINIS, J. B.: d. in Brooklyn, N. Y., Aug. 26, 1911.

DUNNING, A. E.: Retired from the editorial staff of *The Congregationalist*, 1911.

ERWALD, H. A. P.: d. at Erlangen, Germany, May 27, 1911.

HUGHES, T. P.: d. at King's Park, Long Island, Aug. 4, 1911.

INGS, W. E.: Deceased dean of St. Paul's, London, 1911.

KING, G.: d. at Leipzig Aug. 18, 1911.

KIMBURN, G. T.: d. at Medford, Mass., Sept. 10, 1911.

MORAN, F. P.: d. at Sydney, New South Wales, Aug. 16, 1911.

MORAN, G. C.: Deceased president of Chestnut College, Cambridge, in 1911, without resignation in previous work.

PACER, F.: d. in London, England, Aug. 2, 1911.

PARKINSON, S. C.: Deceased bishop of Kansas City Aug. 27, 1911.

PURSON, A. T.: d. in Brooklyn, N. Y., June 2, 1911.

POWER, F. D.: d. at Washington, D. C., June 14, 1911.

REVER, A.: d. at Fiesole, Italy, Nov. 22, 1888 (see vol. x, p. 3).

ROBSON, G.: d. at Edinburgh Aug. 2, 1911.

SIMPSON, W. E.: Succeeded Archdeacon as professor of practical theology at Marburg, 1911.

STRONG, A. H.: Resigned presidency of Rochester Theological Seminary to take effect in 1912.

XVI

ADDENDA ET CORRIGENDA

Vol. i., p. 173, col. 2, line 23 from bottom: Read "Olio" for "Lio"; p. 208, col. 2, line 2: Read "T. J. Crawford" for "T. G. Crawford"; p. 312, col. 1, line 37: Read "Trumbull" for "Trumbell".

Vol. ii., p. 68, col. 1, line 44 and 47: Read "Greek Testament" for "Bible"; "Schultz Johnson" for "Schultz Johnson".

Vol. vi., p. 19, col. 1, line 45: Read "Foster" for "Foster"; and line 19 from bottom, read "E. Elliot" for "E. Elliot"; p. 124, col. 2, line 18: Read "R. H. Boyd" for "E. H. Boyd"; p. 208, col. 1, line 23: Read "H. R. Reynolds" for "R. H. Reynolds"; p. 223, line 4 from bottom: Read "1880" for "1894"; p. 227, col. 1, line 12: Read "Thomson" for "Thompson"; p. 254, col. 1, line 18: Read "410" for "140"; p. 267, col. 1, line 38: Read "Albert" for "Robert"; p. 345, col. 2, line 4 from bottom: Read "hemlock" for "palm-tree"; and line 2 from bottom: Add "not did not accept"; p. 346, col. 1, line 28 from bottom: Read "Spark" for "Spark"; p. 456, col. 2, line 18: Read "Cassell" for "Cassell".

Vol. vii., p. 378, col. 1, line 5: Read "Birks" for "Birks".

Vol. viii., p. 3, col. 1, line 4: Read "passed" for "passed"; p. 143, col. 2, signature: Read "Othner" for "Othner".

Vol. x., p. 110, col. 1, line 49: Read "Chamber" for "Chamber"; p. 111, col. 2, line 18: Read "G. K." for "G. K."; p. 131, col. 1, line 29: Read "Felt" for "Felt"; p. 138, col. 1, line 22: Read "M. Bristol" for "T. Bristol"; p. 362, col. 1, line 19 from bottom: Read "Balme" for "Balme"; p. 370, col. 1, line 31 from bottom: Read "1887-88" for "1889"; p. 401, col. 2, line 16: Read "W. R. Gray" for "W. R. Gray"; p. 452, col. 1, line 28 from bottom: Read "New York" for "London".

Vol. xi., p. 19, col. 2, signature: Read "G. E." for "D."; p. 139, col. 2, line 17 from bottom: Read "monastic" for "monastic".



LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Table listing various abbreviations and their corresponding full names, including O. B. R., O. T., P., P. A., P. B., P. C., P. D., P. E., P. F., P. G., P. H., P. I., P. J., P. K., P. L., P. M., P. N., P. O., P. P., P. Q., P. R., P. S., P. T., P. U., P. V., P. W., P. X., P. Y., P. Z.

SYSTEM OF TRANSLITERATION

The following system of transliteration has been used for Hebrew:

Table showing the transliteration system for Hebrew, mapping Hebrew characters to Latin letters. Examples include: Mem (m) or omitted (), beginning of a word (), Shin (sh), etc.

The vowels are transcribed by a, e, i, o, u, without attempt to indicate quantity or quality. Arabic and other Semitic languages are transliterated according to the same system as Hebrew. Greek is written with Roman characters, the common equivalents being used.

KEY TO PRONUNCIATION

When the pronunciation is self-evident the titles are not respelled; when by mere division and accentuation it can be shown sufficiently clearly the titles are divided into syllables, and the accented syllables indicated.

Table providing a key to pronunciation for various words, showing syllable division and accentuation. Examples include: o as in soda, o as in not, u as in full, etc.

*In accented syllables only; in unaccented syllables s approximates the sound of a c over. The letter z, with an dot beneath it, indicates the sound of a z in English. *In German and French names s approximates the sound of a z in German.



THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG
ENCYCLOPEDIA OF RELIGIOUS KNOWLEDGE

SON OF MAN: An expression occurring in the four Gospels as referring to Jesus no less than eighty-one times, elsewhere in the New Testament in this sense only once (Acts viii 36). In addition to these instances, it is found in Dan. vii. 13 and Knoch xxxvii. 1-2. It is also found in Rev. xix. 14. In the Gospels this title is associated with Jesus in three relations: his earthly life (Mark x. 45; Luke xix. 10), his sufferings (Mark viii. 31), and his second advent (Matt. xxv. 31, xvi. 6). The obscurity which veils the origin of the term whether traced to the book of Enoch, or to Daniel, or to both, as well as the various and contrasting uses of it, has given rise to wide diversity of interpretations. Among these are: (1) he was man and nothing but man was foretold to him (P. C. Baur, ZFTW, 1869, pp. 274 seq.); (2) he is the heavenly ideal man (W. Bousset, Die Christologie des Neuen Testaments, pp. 9 seq., Berlin, 1886); (3) he is head of the race in which type and ideal are realized (V. H. Stoltz, Jewish and Christian Messiah, p. 246, New York, 1898); (4) it indicates a Messiah to whom suffering and crucifixion are natural, destined to glory through suffering (A. B. Bruce, Kingdom of God, p. 176, New York, 1893); (5) it calls attention first of all to the lowliness of his appearance (H. H. Wendt, Die Lehre Jesu, p. 448, Göttingen, 1895; Eng. transl., Teaching of Jesus, ii. 139, Edinburgh, 1892); (6) as man, his glory lies through suffering (J. B. Conybeare and J. Ellicott, The Epistles of Paul the Apostle, Dec. 1892, pp. 427-43), or as the head of Daniel (H. C. Chanler, Book of Enoch, Appendix B, Oxford, 1893); (7) a contrast is set up between his lowliness and his greatness (G. Lüdemann, Die Worte Jesu, Leipzig, 1888; Eng. transl., The Words of Jesus, pp. 283 seq., Edinburgh, 1892); (8) it connotes a verbal designation of messiahship (G. B. Stevens, Theology of the New Testament, p. 83, New York, 1899; cf. Otto Holtzmann, Life of Jesus, p. 168, London, 1904); (9) it signifies Jesus' human nature, i. e., "man" in general (N. Schmidt, Prophecy of Isaiah, p. 129, New York, 1903). The expression "son of man" means that the kingdom of God, although originating in a superhuman world (Dan. vii. 13-18), is established among men by one who is exempted from sin and yet whose being essentially is that of a lowly man in the purpose of God. It is by virtue of their essential unity with the Father Jesus has become aware of his unique vocation as

Messiah, yet he will interpret this consciousness by a term which, instead of dividing the tie between him and other men, only discloses the deeper identity of ideal aim which belongs to him and them alike. C. A. Beckwith.
Messiahism: The subject is discussed in the principal volumes in the life of Jesus Christ, e. g., Klein, op. cit. (see above), and also in the following works: (1) The Messiah in the Gospels, pp. 277-283; (2) The Messiah in the Epistles, pp. 277-283; (3) The Messiah in the Acts, pp. 277-283; (4) The Messiah in the Revelation, pp. 277-283; (5) The Messiah in the Apocrypha, pp. 277-283; (6) The Messiah in the Talmud, pp. 277-283; (7) The Messiah in the Midrash, pp. 277-283; (8) The Messiah in the Zohar, pp. 277-283; (9) The Messiah in the Kabbalah, pp. 277-283; (10) The Messiah in the Hasidic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (11) The Messiah in the Christian Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (12) The Messiah in the Jewish Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (13) The Messiah in the Islamic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (14) The Messiah in the Hindu Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (15) The Messiah in the Buddhist Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (16) The Messiah in the Jain Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (17) The Messiah in the Sikh Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (18) The Messiah in the Confucian Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (19) The Messiah in the Taoist Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (20) The Messiah in the Shamanic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (21) The Messiah in the Animistic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (22) The Messiah in the Polytheistic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (23) The Messiah in the Monotheistic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (24) The Messiah in the Unitarian Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (25) The Messiah in the Deistic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (26) The Messiah in the Atheistic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (27) The Messiah in the Agnostic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (28) The Messiah in the Gnostic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (29) The Messiah in the Hermetic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (30) The Messiah in the Rosicrucian Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (31) The Messiah in the Freemasonic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (32) The Messiah in the Spiritualist Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (33) The Messiah in the Theosophical Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (34) The Messiah in the Anthroposophical Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (35) The Messiah in the Esoteric Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (36) The Messiah in the Occultic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (37) The Messiah in the Magical Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (38) The Messiah in the Alchemical Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (39) The Messiah in the Astrological Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (40) The Messiah in the Numerological Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (41) The Messiah in the Gematric Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (42) The Messiah in the Cabalistic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (43) The Messiah in the Kabbalistic Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (44) The Messiah in the Sufi Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (45) The Messiah in the Whirling Dervish Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (46) The Messiah in the Sama Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (47) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (48) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (49) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (50) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (51) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (52) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (53) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (54) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (55) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (56) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (57) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (58) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (59) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (60) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (61) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (62) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (63) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (64) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (65) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (66) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (67) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (68) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (69) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (70) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (71) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (72) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (73) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (74) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (75) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (76) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (77) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (78) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (79) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (80) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (81) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (82) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (83) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (84) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (85) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (86) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (87) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (88) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (89) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (90) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (91) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (92) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (93) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (94) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (95) The Messiah in the Qadiriyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (96) The Messiah in the Naqshbandiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (97) The Messiah in the Rumiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (98) The Messiah in the Hafiziyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (99) The Messiah in the Suhrawardiyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283; (100) The Messiah in the Shadhiliyya Mysticism, pp. 277-283.



SONG OF SOLOMON THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

is the question. Its date is long after Solomon's time. Since the time of Herod it has been denied, and it has been regarded as a collection of love songs. But contemporary mentions agree on the principal dramatic characters as being King Solomon, his Theory, beloved (a peasant maiden), and the daughters (i. e., female residents) of Jerusalem. Personifications of speech abound from beginning to end. And there are characteristic expressions which repeat themselves with slight variations throughout (cf. ii. 7, iii. 5, viii. 4, and v. 8; iii. 6, vi. 10, and vii. 17, iv. 6, and viii. 14; ii. 6, and viii. 3; i. 2 and iv. 10; ii. 5 and v. 8). Many parts are possible (cf. i. 8 seq., iii. 1 seq., iv. 2 seq.). In view of the many unmistakable interrelations and indications of unity which bind the poem together (cf. i. 6 with viii. 12), it may be regarded as proved that the parts of the poem are well welded together. But since different voices are heard in the song and since the scenes change, the poem can not be taken as openly lyric; it is dramatic poetry, examples of which are found also in the Psalms (ii. and xxv.). But it is necessary for the understanding of the whole to mark the scenes, to determine the dramatic personae, and to appon the text among them although the text contains no express directions for doing this. Near to King Solomon stands a celebrated beauty who is called the Shulamite (from the village of Shulam, modern Sulam, formerly Shunem; cf. 1 Kings i. 3), a maiden from the country characterized by a noble grace and unadorned humility. According to the older view not only is this one beloved by the king, but his enraptured preference is prized and his affection tendlely returned; the newer and till recently dominant conception was that she affirms her love for a third person and cover against the homage of the king sets the praise of a simple shepherd of her native beach until finally the king yields the field and fidelity ceases. Into the mouth of this rival of Solomon's certain parts are put, at least the maiden speaks them as though they were the words which he would speak were he present. It is evident how differently the poem will be construed whether the viewpoint is that of a pouring-out of confession of love by two united spirits or the content of two trials in which the simple shepherd gains the victory over the king. The last view is held by an equally authoritative text; it is in the main points to be followed. According to Donald and others the following story is gained from indications in the poem: 1. Narrative: One of his journey to the north of his kingdom the king had come to the Drama, a neighborhood of Shulam when some 11-12 an attractive maiden in a condition of de-lighted ecstasy. Although somewhat hardly treated by her own people and put to guard a vineyard near, she employs to rear a grape that the king desires her for his harem. With this encounter the first scene begins (i. 1 seq.), in which she states that she has given her heart to a shepherd of her own home to whom she will be true in spite of all the allurements of the king and of his surroundings.

The conflict intensifies in the course of the poem as the wit of the king becomes more eager and pressing. While he prizes her, she sees with the eagerness of her beloved. In this elevated state of feeling she hopes to see him and to hear his voice (ii. 8 seq., iii. 5, of. vii. 4); in her dream she seeks him in the streets of Jerusalem until she finds him. The content reaches its climax when Solomon makes her the offer of his throne. As his queen in due right he carries her to his capital, but even this fails of its purpose, since her vision returns to her beloved. The king then makes a final attempt to win her by the influence of magical words (cf. 4 seq.). But as her longing for home becomes still more irresistibly he renounces her and dismisses her in peace to her own possession. In the last act she arrives home with her friends where the bonds of love are unbroken. The moral of the piece is in vii. 6, love is unconquerable, indistinguishable, unperishable. True love wins the victory. It can not be denied that this hypothesis is very attractive and avoids many difficulties, putting as it does at the close a moral which is drawn from an incident portrayed in dramatic colors, 3. Objec- but perhaps not altogether fictionless, "Home" is in the life of the splendor-loving king, this Theory. The moral victory harmoniously expressed at the close becomes not unworthy of the higher tone of the canonical books generally, even though allegory have almost nothing to do with the poem. The finally-traced betrothal may as well have her memorial in the Scriptures as the orthodox wife. Still on a close examination this understanding of the poem is not altogether un-satisfactory. Decidedly against it is the following circumstance: iii. 6 to v. 1 describes precisely the royal wedding-day, ending in the royal bridegroom's gratification in the assured obtaining of all his desires. If this wedding, according to the conception of the rival of the shepherd, must become tragic, why not see in this passage how the required impotence of love appears, through the last words of the Shulamite (v. 10) the whole finely conceived theory of the unwillingness of the shepherd-betrothal to yield to the king falls apart. In this section, where the relations of love find their most concrete form, the groom for the shepherd theory find no support. Decisive appears vii. 11 seq. where the Shulamite, in words impossible to misunderstand, promises herself, her person (her own victory), fully and wholly to Solomon, but only a moderate reward to her guardians, her brothers, in which she refers to the general custom followed by Solomon. Other objections against the shepherd hypothesis have only recently been appreciated. The hypothesis set forth by Herod and others of an a. Syrian has been accepted, for example by 4. Marriage: Duhde and others who find in Wetz-stein's communications about the cele- Theory in relation to marriage in modern Syria the solution of the puzzle. The latter published in Baseler's Zeitschrift für Ethnologie 1872, an article on the "Syrian Threshing Floor" in which the threshing-floor in the "king's work" comes in for discussion. The primitive threshing-





is a later phenomenon in development, is allied to Magic (q.v.), is found alongside of religion, but in its religion itself is not to be found. Indeed, sorcery tends to drop out of religion or to drive it into the background; the more magic religion is the more luxuriant are the parasitic growths of sorcery. Yet it is a fact that the sorcerer or Shaman (q.v.) often seeks power through a fully conceived divinity regarded as good, aiming to subject lower and ill-disposed spirits. Magic is sorcery technically developed. Among races of the lower order there is often a sense of access to which only the concentrated are admitted. Sorcery also has relations with Divination (q.v.), and the professions of sorcerer and diviner are often filled by the same person, who claims to have insight into the unseen and to be able to control more or less the course of events.

Among primitive peoples, then, sorcery is especially a magic of nature leads to the belief in the possibility of supernatural operations in the sphere of man. The sorcerer cures himself with a veil of sorcery, speaks in dark figures, performs acts that are secret, thus giving

2. Among the impression of secret power and initiative ascertainable. The results expected

Races. From the exercise of these activities over the field of man's needs and desires; moreover, evil spirits are wanted off or controlled, the cooperation of good spirits is gained. There are sorcerers and counter-sorcerers; the dangerous sorcerer of those powers is mastered by a more powerful one. The method is not to subject oneself to the will of God, as in true religion, but magic works as a concurrent with religion, and is thus irreligious and irrational. It is regarded in two ways—as a serious crime, when it produces damage; and as a high art when it averts injury and brings a blessing. To the user it seems not at all wrong to injure an enemy by sorcery, though it is a crime to use it against a friend or blood brother. Among the vicious means of sorcery, as regarded by the most varied peoples, is the evil eye, which is believed by many to be able to affect with illness and death those on whom it is cast, while the possessors are supposed, as in Africa, to meet in the desert to discuss how they shall effect their purposes. So the suspicion regarding vengeance as the cause of death and illness is a concomitant of sorcery. From this "illegitimate" use of these means is distinguished a "legitimate" method, which takes especially the form of protection from evil powers and the increase of the natural good of man in life—well-being, fruitfulness, and possession—having the ability to ward off evil spirits. Charms and potions are employed, which, however, require for their proper use the advice of the expert. In positions and epidemics the control of these experts is needed to define the cause, and in case of guilt to determine the blame. Thus a connection is made with soothsaying and the deliverance of oracles, while the Ordeal (q.v.) is often the guarantying of this branch in the preparation of poisons. Through these means the sorcerer in some regions, as in Africa, wield enormous power and influence, especially as sickness is regarded as the work of demons, whose work must be undone through the counter-sorcerer or the medi-

cal man. One of the means employed by this class is the word of power, which binds to or looses from evil and this word only the sorcerer knows and can turn to a hundred uses. The formula is usually an unadorned or formulaic expression, the names of divine and demonic powers being included and their assistance invoked. At times the ring of the person to whom evil is to be done is treated as the person himself is expected to suffer (after the manner of sympathetic magic; see CONTRASTIVE ILLUSION, VI, 1, n. 15; other methods of using magic are described in that place). The formula used has often a similarity to prayer, but it is utterly without ethical relations and has in mind the arbitrary will of the sorcerer, not submission to deity. A similar difference exists between prophecy and soothsaying; prophecy depends upon the will of God, soothsaying contemplates man's self-chosen purposes and employs not inspiration but certain means of obtaining its ends, such as the Lot (q.v.), the interpretation of various natural phenomena, and the like, a set of rules being formulated to this purpose. The spirits of the dead are also evoked. See also DIAK.

Sorcery appears also as a custom of the civilized people of antiquity, and shows a great tenacity of persistence even in connection with a grade of culture with which it is not in harmony. In course of time sorcery becomes a complicated art, and the bonds are dissolved only by the ad-

1. Among various of thought, as when magic in

Civilized Illusion gives way to medicine, astrology, magic, and astrology, and the like, though superstitious practices persist in real advance in knowledge (see CIVILIZATION). There seems to remain a feeling that external and corporal affairs are governed by the unseen, and irrational elements and practices abide, even in partial connection with religion. This is especially true of people like the Chinese, among whom a certain stage of civilization has been reached with a resulting stagnation. The religion of early people had magical elements and therein showed their heathen character. The relation to deity is not purely religious, but is influenced by external factors. Thus, in Babylon (q.v.) while such literature as the "penitential psalms" shows high ethical consciousness and a realization of sin and repentance, the usages reveal magic practice, burning of spices, and the like. So in Egypt (q.v.), the "Book of the Dead" contains a chapter dealing with purity of heart and conscience as the essential condition of happiness after death, yet the most of the book is taken up with directions of magical character directing the soul in its course. Similarly Zoroastrianism (see ZOROASTRIAN, ZOROASTRIANISM) is full of ethical truths, yet magical conceptions abound and Ahura Mazda comes to earth to act as priest of sorcery. Similar facts meet one in India. Among primitive peoples, so among the more advanced exists the idea of an illegitimate sorcery, which is a crime. Thus the Twelve Tables of the Roman law contained injunctions against these practices, as did the Cornelian law against assassins and poisoners; the possession of books on magic was a crime [cf. for a strong passage the sixth satire of Juvenal],

Apollon stood trial for wickedness about 130 a. b. and Constantian and Justinian legislation dealt with it.

The Hebrew religion took strong ground against sorcery from the beginning, though ordinary traces from the former heathenism or reintroduction from surrounding sources occurred and had

4. Among to be combated. The Hebrew word

Hebrew. *kešep*, which forms the basis of the

Hebrew has its Assyrian cognate, and its occurrence

in the feminine indicates that women were the chief

practitioners of the art. Death was the penalty for

the crime (Lev. xv. 17; 1 Sam. xvi. 9, cf. xv. 23); it being a sin which ranks with idolatry. Passages like

Isa. ii. 6 show the importation of the practice from the East and from Phœnicia, but the

prophets inveigh against the degradation of the worship of Yahweh into a spirit cult. The heathen

prophecy religion was not maintained among the people, especially under Assyrian influence sorcery

remained an unaverted way; and after monotheism had come to its own, magical residues and

superstition furnished a background of demonic powers among which the imagination worked.

Especially was belief in demon life in the post-biblical period, though their place was that of subjection, not of equality with God, and did not affect

the doctrine of his unity; the name of God was invoked as an aversive power. Yet this very fact

was employed magically, the name of God and of the archangel, particularly the tetragrammaton,

being used both orally and written and regarded as a powerful charm. So people fall into sorcery

almost unconsciously, these means being used as a sort of holy magic to oppose the subtle magic of

other kinds of sorcery. The Talmud treats often of the sorcerers referred to in the Old Testament, as

interpreting their names generally arbitrarily; its general spirit is that of condemnation; though the

methods of sorcery were to be studied, the better

to combat them. Some of the great rabbis received

instruction in the art, while men generally accepted sorcery as a fact; still the true Israelite was

regarded as no under the protection of God that the art was powerless against him. The Cabala (q.v.)

contributed to the degradation of religion from this source, as is often the case with mystic Superstition (q.v.). The Hagada and Midrashic references

to the superstition of the people are numerous, and around the person of Solomon stories gathered with

reference to his mastery of the demons, whose help he had called, e. g. he compelled. These legends were

taken over by Islam, where the same general position with regard to sorcery obtained as in Judaism. Mohammedan missionaries often sell sentences from

the Koran as amulets, and indeed the entire book serves such a purpose to those who can not read it, being regarded as an aversive of evil and a means to insure good fortune.

Christianity from its beginning has been no less uncompromisingly opposed to sorcery than Judaism; it has regarded those practices as a turning

away from God and as dealing with ungodly powers. Jesus was himself suspected of using sorcery (Mark

iii. 22; Luke xi. 15, etc.), to which suspicion he re-

sponded by showing that this would be dividing the kingdom of evil against itself. The exorcists of

1. In the work. The episode of Jesus in their

Christian VIII. 9 seq.) is instructive, while not

less illustrative of the common estimation

is the episode of Elymas in Cyprus

(Acts xiii.), who rejected the sorcery of Paul and

severe punishment. A center of heathen sorcery

at that time was Ephesus, where amulets with an

ambiguous inscription and a representation of

Diana were sold; and one of the triumphs of Christianity was the burning of costly books dealing with

the art (Acts xix. 19). Identification was made then through the wonder-working of the apostles and ordinary

magic (1 Cor. xii. 12), though that might be misinterpreted as simple magic (Acts v. 15, xix. 12)

and the real connection lost, the conception passing to the shadow and the magic, etc. from the person

of the apostle. So on the confessions of Christianity belief in magic showed itself in the materializing

of the means of grace after heathen-magical methods of thought, in the magical use of "the

word of power" and like ceremonies. Of course, a

more spiritual and more nearly religious conception

inherent in Christian surroundings, the divine powers being supposed to work under ethical conditions.

The Christian ritual and cultus were affected by the magical remains which entered in the life of the

peoples converted to this faith, which came in through contact with heathen peoples, though such

ideas were always attacked by the Church. In the early Church, Gnosticism was a breeding-point for

these conceptions and practices. In the Middle Ages the belief in witches had its rise in the old German

faith in spirits. Even those who combated the effects of this heathen heritage showed themselves

under the spell of surviving superstitions, and the legislation manifested more of gruesome real than

of wisdom in their measures. These errors were done, however, rather to the condition of the natural,

mental, and juristic notions than to theology. New forms constantly arise, an example of which is Spiritism

(q.v.), in which direct opposition to biblical commandments is discernible. Another example of this

same class of novelties is the so-called crystal-gazing, while the various phenomena of spiritualism, hypnotism, somnambulism, and the like illustrate

the older sorcery in its connection with soothsaying (see MEDIUM). Hardly less dangerous are the phenomena of suggestion, even in the relation to the

medical profession, though it is brought into connection with the Bible and prayer. These illustrations show that danger of lapse into sorcery is not

altogether a thing of the past. See STRAUSMANN. (C. VOE ORSAL.)

REFERENCES: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY

RELIGION: Much of the literature under CHEMISTRY





RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Soteriology and Sothology

Las Pines... Soteriology... Sothology... Soteriology is that branch of Christian theology which treats of the work of the Saviour; it is the doctrine of salvation...

...the work of the Saviour; it is the doctrine of salvation... Soteriology is that branch of Christian theology which treats of the work of the Saviour...



Soteriology and Sothology THE NEW SCHAFHEERZOO 10

In justice, whereby, under an economy of grace, every one who believes in him, the Father and the Spirit co-operating are saved... Soteriology is that branch of Christian theology...

through the formal emanation of saving truth, and indirect teaching through the appropriated power of example and personality... Soteriology is that branch of Christian theology...

11

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Soteriology

sin, and accepting sinners as if they were righteous on the ground generally of all that Christ has done...

4. Relation to Christ's work: It is an essential part of the Christian's life, and is inseparable from the sacrificial work of Christ.

5. Summary: preaches the full doctrine of salvation as wrought out by him in behalf of man. There is indeed a subjective soteriology...

REMARKS: The idea of the soul is extremely dear to G. H. Colvins and J. F. Hart. The latter (Theosophical and Metaphysical, pp. 410-417, New York, 1884)...

NOTA. SOTO, DOMINGO DE: Spanish Dominican; b. at Segovia (45 m. n.w. of Madrid) 1494; d. at Salamanca Nov. 15, 1560. Educated after a youth of poverty which obliged him to begin as a cleric in the village of Oñando, at Alcala and Paris, he became, in 1520, professor of philosophy at the former university, gaining a reputation as an opponent of nominalism...

REMARKS: N. Antonio, Bibliotheca Hispanica, i, 254-56; Baeza, 1672; G. S. Gifford and J. E. Ford, Cosmologia christiana, p. 171 sq.; Pons, 1721; N. Pons, De soto et de fide, p. 171; Pons, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209; J. B. de Medina, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209; J. B. de Medina, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209; J. B. de Medina, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209.

Soul and Spirit

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

10

SOTO, PERRIN DE: Spanish Dominican; b. at Cordova about 1500; d. at Trent Aug. 20, 1543. He entered the Dominican order at Salamanca in 1519 and quickly attained a reputation as a rigid and learned Theomist. Charles V. made him his confessor, and he ordered appointed him vicar for the Netherlands, and later he became professor of theology at the newly founded university of Dillingen, where he wrote his ecclesiastical treatise Christianae Theologiae (Augsburg, 1546). Methodus commentaria, sine doctrinae praecipue Christianae epistola (Dillingen, 1555), Compendium doctrinae Catholicae (Antwerp, 1556), and Tractatus de constitutione sacerdotum et sub episcopis omnium eorum generalis, sine mensurae doctrinae (Dillingen, 1558), the latter his chief work. His Assensio Catholica ad omnia articulos confessionis (Antwerp, 1587) involved him in a controversy with Johann Brenz (q.v.), then occupying the Silesian Catholic episcopate of Breslau, which Philip II. to England, where Mary appointed him professor of theology at Oxford, but on the queen's death in 1558 he returned to Dillingen. In 1561 Pius IV. summoned him to Trent, where he bravely defended the sacramental nature of the priestly and episcopal rights, but died before the council adjourned. (O. ZOCKLER.)

REMARKS: J. Gifford and J. E. Ford, Cosmologia christiana, p. 171 sq.; Pons, 1721; N. Pons, De soto et de fide, p. 171; Pons, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209; J. B. de Medina, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209; J. B. de Medina, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209.

REMARKS: N. Antonio, Bibliotheca Hispanica, i, 254-56; Baeza, 1672; G. S. Gifford and J. E. Ford, Cosmologia christiana, p. 171 sq.; Pons, 1721; N. Pons, De soto et de fide, p. 171; Pons, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209; J. B. de Medina, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209; J. B. de Medina, in the Encyclopaedia, 1801, 208-209.

are not seldom sharply distinguished—not merely in point of view (Wendell). (1) Dying is a giving up of the presence and of the people, but it is never said that the spirit, but only that the soul, dies or is killed (Judges xvi. 16; Matt. x. 28; Mark xiv. 24). (2) Presence and people are often used interchangeably with reference to animation and impulse, knowledge and self-consciousness (Matt. vi. 29; I Cor. xv. 18; Luke i. 46, 47), but only the soul is the subject of willing and desire, indignation and aversion (Matt. vi. 29; I Sam. ii. 16; Job xxiii. 13; Prov. xxi. 10; Isa. xlv. 1; Jer. xlviii. 41, 42; and of redemption (Isa. xxviii. 17; Matt. xvi. 26; cf., however, I Cor. v. 5; I Pet. iv. 6). Conscience, perception, and willing are indeed ordinarily referred to the heart, but when the emphasis is to be laid on the hidden state to which these feelings belong, soul and spirit are used (see HENRY, BIBLEICAL THEOL.). (3) The dead are designated as spirits (Luke xxiv. 37, 39; Acts xxiii. 8-9; Job. xli. 23; I Pet. iii. 19; cf., however, Rev. vi. 9). (4) Most important of all, soul and spirit refer to the individual, the subject of life, while soul and presence are never used of the subject as individual.

As an independent spirit, presence is always something other than the human spirit. The distinction depends on the original difference in terms: spirit is the condition, soul the maintenance, of life. Whatever belongs to the spirit belongs to the soul also. Soul and spirit are not two poles between which is the soul. It does not suffice to speak of the inner being of man, now as spirit, now as soul; one must regard the spirit as the principle of the soul, the divine principle of life, indelible in it but identical with the individual. Spirit may be distinguished but not separated from the soul. Body and spirit are not two poles between which is the soul. Since the soul includes the spirit as part of itself, it may be called spirit. The soul may sin and die, but the spirit, as a divine principle having the source in God, can neither sin nor die. The human soul is indeed bound to corporeality, yet it survives death because it possesses the Spirit of God as its immovable principle of life. The loss of the body caused by death will in those who share in the consummation give place to a redeemed corporeality (I Cor. xiv. 42 sq.; Rev. vi. 9). The occasion for a distinction between soul and spirit lies in the religious consciousness of the difference between the actual man and his divine destination (cf. Plato's distinction between a rational and an irrational, a mortal and an immortal division (E. Zeller, Plato and the Older Academy, pp. 413 sq., London, 1888)). To understand this one has but to see the meaning of spirit for man, and the relation of the human spirit to the Spirit of God. The Spirit of God is indeed wherever life is, but man possesses this in a unique degree (Gen. i. 26-27; Ps. 104; cf. Eccles. iii. 19-21), since he alone is conscious of dependence upon God. And it is the Spirit of God in him—the principle of his true life—which gives him his special relation to other creatures and to God and provides the foundation for his consciousness and will. Here then arises the question whether the Spirit

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA South Sea Islands

north latitude, with the exception of Fiji and the Hawaiian Islands, to which separate articles are devoted.
Annual or Tubual Islands: A small group extending from about 146° to 151° 50' west longitude in about 22° south latitude, under French control, with a steadily decreasing population (1,400 in 1880, 1,000 in 1900). The principal islands are Bourou, Tubual, and Kaga Ii. A terrible epidemic having appeared in Bourou in 1821, two of the chiefs refused to allow a stranger land. One of them, however, after long exposure reached the Society Islands and eventually landed at Raiatea, where he met the Rev. John Williams (q.v.) of the London Missionary Society. In three months he and his companions had learned to read and went back to Bourou accompanied by some Christians from Raiatea. These were the first of a large company of South Sea Islanders who have been foreign missionaries. The islands were soon given up, and Christianity was firmly established. John Williams visited some of the islands in 1825. In 1887 two of the members of the native church in Bourou volunteered for mission work in New Guinea. As the islands passed to French rule the Paris Missionary Society took over the work in 1890, and now has 4 stations, 10 native pastors, 47 church-members, and 624 scholars.
Bismarck Archipelago: A large group lying north of eastern New Guinea, in 145°-155° east longitude, and about 6° north latitude, part of which was formerly known as the New Britain Archipelago since 1884 under the German flag. The native population (1900) is about 188,000 with 299,000 native children, and 463 whites. The principal islands are New Pommern, Neu Mecklenburg, Neu Sleswig, Neu Hannover, Admiralty, Auckland, Commerson, and Hermit. The Methodist Missionary Society of Australia under Rev. George Brown, with teachers from Fiji and Samoa, began work in 1875 in New Britain and New Ireland. In 1876 New Pommern and Neu Mecklenburg, 11 has 180 churches, 18 preaching-stations, 5 missionaries, 2 missionary sisters, 7 native ministers, 12 catechists, 168 native teachers, 249 class leaders, 4,608 church-members, one college, named after Rev. George Brown, 6 training-institutions with 100 students, 189 Sunday-schools with 5,481 scholars, 196 day schools with 5,463 scholars, and 21,017 learners. In New Pommern the Roman Catholic number 15,045, with 24 mission priests, 37 lay fathers, 29 sisters, 82 native catechists, 75 head- and sub-stations, 85 schools, 4123 scholars, and 476 children in 13 orphan asylums.
Caroline Islands: Lying north of the Bismarck Archipelago, these islands cover about 140°-160° east longitude, in north latitude 9°-10°. Since 1899 they have been in possession of Germany by purchase from Spain. The native population is about 50,000, with about 140 whites. The Spanish discovered in these seas in 1598 were followed by a series of religious expeditions. The American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions began work on Kusaie and Pohna under Revs. H. G. Snow and Luther Halsey Gulick (q.v.) in 1852, and with valuable help from the Hawaiian Evangelical Association the work prospered. In 1857

the Rev. Hiram Bingham (q.v.) of the American Board arrived, and work was soon begun in the Marshall and Gilbert Islands (see below). In 1865 the mission was extended to the Turk Archipelago. The Protestant missionaries were expelled by the Spanish government in 1887, but returned in 1900, and before long there were 131 native workers, 27 stations, 96 schools, 2 printing-houses, 2 dispensaries and 5,000 communicants. The American Board is handling over its work in the Caroline Islands to the Lutheran Mission, and has now only five missionaries in these islands. The Roman Catholic mission was established in 1887, and now has 1,880 adherents, 12 priests, 12 lay brothers, 6 sisters, 18 head- and sub-stations, 7 schools, and 200 scholars.
Cook or Hervey Islands: These islands, belonging to Great Britain, lie between 17° and 17° west longitude and about 20° south latitude. The principal islands are Bartolomeo, Manoa, Aitutaki, and Aia (Vatia). The group was annexed to New Zealand in 1901. In 1821 Papeha and Valopeta, Christians connected with the London Missionary Society from Raiatea in the Society Islands, landed in Aitutaki where Christianity was soon accepted. Papeha passed on to Manoa, but it was not till 1825 that the mission was established there. Papeha was also the apostle of Bartolomeo, which was discovered by the Rev. John Williams in 1821, who frequently visited the island between 1821 and 1824. When he landed the people were ignorant of Christian worship, when he left he did not know of a house in the island where family prayer was not offered morning and evening. Over 500 men and women have passed through the Training Institution begun in 1839, many of whom have gone to evangelize other islands. The London Missionary Society now has 3 missionaries, 21 ordained natives, 23 day schools with 1,083 scholars and 102 Sunday-schools with 1,122 scholars, and 4,888 adherents. The Roman Catholics arrived in 1894, and now have 6 priests and six sisters and about 100 converts. The Seventh Day Adventists began work in 1890, and have one missionary and 10 adherents.
Ellice Islands: These islands, under British control, are situated 175°-182° east longitude and 9° to 11° north latitude. The area is about fifteen square miles, and the population about 2,400. The principal islands are Sopia, Elio, Nukuleta, and Vaitupu. In 1861 Elikana and other Christians from Manihili in the Futunys Group were carried by stress of weather some 1,200 miles to Nukuleta in the Ellice Islands. Elikana, who was a deacon, began preaching Christianity. Rev. Archibald Wright Murray, of the London Missionary Society, from Samoa visited the island and settled Samoan teachers there in 1865. Some years previously a knowledge of the true God had been brought by a man named Stuart, who was the master of a trading vessel from Sydney. The group is now worked with the Tokelau Islands as part of the Samoan mission. In the two groups there are 13 ordained natives, 1,488 church-members, 2,431 adherents, 13 day schools with 1,428 scholars, and 13 Sunday-schools with 1,542 scholars.
Gilbert Islands: These islands, belonging to Great

South Sea Islands

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

Britain and consisting of islands, lies on both sides of the equator between 172° and 177° east longitude. They have an area of 166 square miles and a population of about 30,000 natives and 100 whites. The principal islands are Tarava, Apamama, Aruanak, Tuamoa, Maraki, and Nemoa. After a brief visit in 1855 the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions began work in 1857 under the Rev. Hiram Bingham, with the help of Hawaiian teachers, and he after seven years' labor retired because of ill-health to Honolulu, where he devoted himself to Henry labor for the Gilbert Islands, and took charge of a Gilbert Island colony. The American Board now works in the nine northern islands, two southern islands, and Ouan Island. There are training-institutions at Kumele in the Carolines, and in Ouan Island. These missionaries work for the group, and much progress is made. The London Missionary Society began work in 1870 and for thirty years the islands were served by native teachers from Samoa. In 1900 a resident missionary was placed in the island of Bora. The London Missionary Society now has 2 stations, with 5 stations in the Southern Islands, 13 ordained natives, 19 preachers, 376 church-members, 5,281 adherents, 28 Sunday-schools with 1,568 scholars, 29 day schools with 1,462 scholars, and a training-institution. The Roman Catholics started work in 1892, and there are 12,965 Roman Catholics, 1,800 catechists, 19 priests, 13 lay brothers, 20 sisters, 87 catechists, 15 head- and sub-stations, 98 schools, and 13,310 scholars.
Loyalty Islands: The French group, consisting of the three large islands of Uvea, Lifu, and Maré, and a number of very small ones, lies in 166°-168° east longitude and about 20°-22° south latitude. They have an area of about 800 square miles and a population of over 15,000. The Rev. Archibald Wright Murray of the London Missionary Society, from Samoa, visited Maré in 1841, and found that a Christian from Tonga had been working there for seven years. Two teachers from Samoa were settled in Maré and the work prospered. In 1854 two missionaries began their residence there. In 1841 the Rev. Samuel Macfarlane began his apostolic service. The Rev. Samuel Macfarlane arrived in 1859. Two years later a training-institution was started. Native Christians from Maré carried the Gospel to Uvea in 1856. The London Missionary Society has now one missionary in Lifu, and in Lifu and Uvea there are 37 ordained natives, 101 preachers, 37 Sunday-schools with 2,243 scholars, 2,248 church-members, and 6,173 scholars. The Paris Missionary Society has one missionary in Maré. The Roman Catholics came in 1864, but were not firmly established till 1875.
Maritime or Ladrones Islands: The Ladrones group, bought from Spain by Germany in 1899 (with the exception of Guam, which is held by the United States), consists of about twenty islands in 142°-148° east longitude and 13°-21° north latitude, with a population of about 2,700 natives. Guam has an area of about 200 square miles and a population of 11,600, of whom 231 are foreigners. The Jesuits settled in these islands in 1667. In 1907 the mission became an apostolic prefecture, and now has 13,216

adherents and 6 priests. The American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions opened a station in Guam in 1900, and is represented by one married missionary and 20 church-members.
Marquesa Islands: These islands, under the French flag, are closely grouped on both sides of 160° west longitude and in 0°-11° north latitude. They have an area of about 400 square miles and a population of about 4,000. The largest islands are Nukunono and Hiroua. In 1797 William Pascoe Crook of the London Missionary Society landed from the ship "Duff" and stayed two years. Other abortive attempts were made by the same society in 1828, 1850, and 1854, and by the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions in 1853. In 1853 a Marquesan chief whose daughter had married a Hawaiian asked for missionaries from Hawaii, and in response Kurokawa and others went. There are now 600 Christians under the care of Hawaiian teachers. The Paris Missionary Society has 3 stations, one missionary, and 12 native pastors. The Roman Catholics number 2,800 with 8 priests, 7 lay brothers, 12 sisters, and 29 head- and sub-stations.
Marshall Islands: This group, belonging to Germany and situated northwest of the Carolines (at sup.) in about 161°-171° east longitude and 4°-12° north latitude, has an area of about 1,400 square miles and an estimated population of about 10,000. The principal islands are Jalap, Aduik, Madgve, Tokelau, and Metchickoff. The American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions paid these islands a brief visit in 1853, and opened a mission in 1857 under Dr. G. Pierce and Rev. E. T. Douane with the help of Hawaiian native teachers. In 1890 the headquarters were removed to Kumele in the Caroline Islands, and a training-college was opened there. Some Gilbert Islanders trained at Kusaie opened work in Nauru or Pohna Island, where the Pacific Phosphate Co. employs about 1,500 Marshall and other islanders. In 1899 a resident missionary was placed there, and substantial progress ensued. The American Board has now 4 missionaries for the group, two residing at Kusaie, 20 churches, 83 places of worship, 3,171 church-members, 4,163 Christian Endeavorers, 37 schools, and 1,417 scholars. The Roman Catholic mission has 7 priests, 8 lay brothers, 15 sisters, 4 head- and sub-stations, 6 schools, 170 scholars, 323 Roman Catholics, and 822 catechists.
New Caledonia: This island is united under French control with the Loyalty Islands (at sup.) and the Isle of France. It is a long, narrow island lying northwest and southeast in 164°-169° east longitude and 20°-25° south latitude. Its area is 7,650 square miles, and the native population of the group is about 28,000; the white and other population, including convicts, numbers about 26,000. The London Missionary Society settled native missionaries from Samoa in the Isle of France and New Caledonia in 1840. Four years later three of them were murdered in the Isle of France, and the rest were removed in 1846. The French, who took possession in 1853, would not allow the mission to be recommenced in 1861 and subsequently, but some native evangelists from Uvea in the Loyalty Islands have worked there occasionally. New Caledonia is now a French penal colony, with over 7,000 convicts. The Roman

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA South Sea Islands

Catholics began work in 1847, and have a bishop, 49 priests, 33 lay brothers, 109 sisters, 32 head- and sub-stations, 50 churches, 45 schools, 1,933 scholars, and 32,500 adherents. The Paris Missionary Society maintains two missionaries.

German New Guinea (Kaiser Wilhelm's Land): The northeastern section of New Guinea, together with some adjacent islands, has been in German possession since 1884. The area is estimated at 10,000 square miles, and the native population at 110,000, with 184 whites and 207 others (mostly Chinese). The Protestant Mission began work in 1886. It has 13 stations, 45 churches, 2,180 church-members, 1,414 communicants, 1,259 catechumens, 3,293 adherents, 35 missionaries and assistants, 18 native preachers, and 23 schools. The Rheinisch Missionary Society began work in 1887. It has 4 stations, 12 missionaries, 3 native teachers, 94 baptized natives, 75 communicants, and 7 schools with 298 scholars. The Roman Catholics, under the joint supervision and protection of France and Great Britain. The population is estimated at 80,000. The principal islands are Epiritia, Sanao, Malicolo, Auron, Pentecost, Tanna, New-Iviti, and Elise or Viti. The mission history of the New Hebrides falls into three periods: (1) From 1839 to 1848, when it was under the care of the London Missionary Society. (2) From 1848 to 1861, when the Presbyterian missionaries from Nova Scotia and Scotland had charge, assisted by the Marine Service of the London Missionary Society. (3) From 1861 onward, when the Wesleyan churches of Australia undertook the responsible control.

XI-2

18

THE NEW SCHIAFF-HERZOG 10

well-established mission stations in Tanna with scores of out-stations and some thousands of converts. Paton spent afterwards fifteen years at Aneityern. In 1857 the Rev. George N. Gordon, Presbyterian from Nova Scotia, settled on Erromanga. Three years later he was killed there with his wife, and some twelve years later his brother, James D. Gordon, who also ministered there. In 1864 the Australian Presbyterians took the responsible control of this mission, now called the New Hebrides Mission. It works in the southern islands of the group, and is supported by the Presbyterian Church in Canada, New Zealand, Australia, and Scotland, with the help of the special John G. Paton Mission Fund. It has now 27 missionaries, 5 hospitals, 300 native teachers, 20,000 professing Christians, and 20,000 other adherents. The work in the northern Hebrides is carried on by the Melanesian Mission. When George Augustus Selwyn (q.v.) was consecrated bishop of New Zealand in 1841, it was suggested that he should carry on a mission among the Melanesian Islands. From 1847 to 1849 he made many missionary voyages among these islands. In 1850 that part of the island world was adopted at a meeting of bishops of Australia as his special sphere under the Australian Board of Missions. The Rev. John Coleridge Patteson (q.v.) joined the mission in 1853 and was consecrated bishop of Melanesia in 1861; he made many missionary voyages and established teachers in many islands. He was murdered in 1871 at Nakupu in the Solomon Islands (see below). The work was effectively carried on by Rev. H. Codrington and George Selwyn, the native doctor. In 1877 Rev. John Richard Selwyn was made bishop and carried on the work successfully. The headquarters are now in Norfolk Island. The language of Mel in the Banks Islands has been made the lingua franca of the mission, and every scholar is trained in it at Norfolk Island also, and teaches it on his return home. The work is carried on in three of the north islands of the New Hebrides, and also in the Banks Islands and Torres Islands, with 9 clergy, 344 teachers, 1,181 communicants, and 2,202 hearers. It has a hospital and training-school in Norfolk Island, with central schools in several of the groups.

Sumat: The Sumatran group, extending over approximately 167°-174° west longitude and 13°-19° south latitude, is (area 19,000) partitioned between the United States and Germany, the latter possessing the larger islands. The largest islands under German rule are Upolu, Manono, Apolonia, and Savaii; and under American, Tontola and Malua, in the former is the commodious harbor of Pago Pago. The Rev. John Williams visited the islands of this group in 1830, and found that a mission had been started by some Christians from the Marquesas Islands, who after drifting about for three months had been carried to Manua. The first resident white missionary settled in 1836, the printing-press was established in 1839, the Manua Training Institution was founded in 1844. Under the Revs. George Turner and Charles Hallise, a central school for girls at Pago was opened in 1861. There are now 11 missionaries, 174 ordained natives, 329 preachers, about 200 churches, 8,861 church-members, 232 Sunday-schools with 9,263 scholars, 211 day schools with 7,073 scholars, and 24,912 adherents. The Wesleyan Missionary Society began work in 1833, and that mission is now under the charge of the Methodist Missionary Society of Australia. It has 47 churches, 29 preaching stations, 3 missionaries, 5 native ministers, 35 catechists, 66 teachers, 467 class leaders, 253 head preachers, 76 Sunday-schools with 1,783 scholars, and about the same number of day schools and scholars, 2,688 church-members, and 773 attendants at public worship. The Roman Catholics began work in 1846, and have a bishop, 22 priests, 12 lay brothers, 13 sisters, 15 stations, 25 schools, and 6,315 adherents. The Mormon Mission has 17 sisters and 303 adherents. The Seventh Day Adventists arrived in 1890, and have 2 missionaries, 10 adherents, and one school.

XI-2

18

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

gender historian Sommer probably used only Olypiades. A comparison with Zonaras who also made use of this writer...

His studies in 1861, and after being a tutor in the family of the Duke of Angles in 1863...

Sommer

Spain: A kingdom occupying the greater part of the most westerly peninsula in southwestern Europe...

SPARTH, apt. PHILIP FRIEDRICH ADOLF FRIEDRICH; Lutheran; b. at Bollingen (T m. a. c. of Stuttgart), Württemberg, Oct. 29, 1810; d. in Philadelphia June 26, 1910...

Spain

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERDICK

24

Catholic faith in Spain. Hand in hand with this was the work of the new mendicant orders...

of the Rhine toward creating an Evangelical fellowship composed of native Spanish. Most successful was the Rhinish Pastor Flويدer, in Madrid. There is, however, no Spanish Evangelical Church as such...

By the concordat of 1801, the hierarchical appointment of the country provided seven metropolitan dioceses with fifty-eight bishoprics...

By the concordat of 1801, the hierarchical appointment of the country provided seven metropolitan dioceses with fifty-eight bishoprics...

SPAIN, EVANGELICAL WORK IN.

- I. The Reformation in Spain.
 - a. The Early Movement (1).
 - 1. Protestant Movement (1).
 - 2. Political Opposition (1).
 - 3. Protestantism (1).
 - b. Protestantism (1).
 - c. Protestantism (1).
 - d. Protestantism (1).
 - e. Protestantism (1).
 - f. Protestantism (1).
 - g. Protestantism (1).
 - h. Protestantism (1).
 - i. Protestantism (1).
 - j. Protestantism (1).
 - k. Protestantism (1).
 - l. Protestantism (1).
 - m. Protestantism (1).
 - n. Protestantism (1).
 - o. Protestantism (1).
 - p. Protestantism (1).
 - q. Protestantism (1).
 - r. Protestantism (1).
 - s. Protestantism (1).
 - t. Protestantism (1).
 - u. Protestantism (1).
 - v. Protestantism (1).
 - w. Protestantism (1).
 - x. Protestantism (1).
 - y. Protestantism (1).
 - z. Protestantism (1).

I. The Reformation in Spain: At the close of the Middle Ages the type of Christianity prevailing in Spain was more militant, more independent, more Evangelical, than that to be found in any other nation of Christendom. More militant because the 700 years' war which the Christians of Spain had waged with the Mohammedans had given strength and tenacity to their religious sentiments; more independent, because the unbroken spirit of the Spanish rulers and people had secured the interpretation of the Roman Curia upon the local church; more Evangelical, because twenty years before Luther ruled his theses to the church door at Wittenberg the Spanish church had felt the purifying and energizing influence of a reformation impulse upon the union of the peninsula states to form the Spanish kingdom in 1492. Its creation was accomplished under the leadership of Francisco Ximenes de Cisneros (see XIMENES DE CISNEROS), a Franciscan monk and confessor to the pope. The concordat of 1512 had given the Spanish crown the right of visitation and of nomination to benefices. Cisneros was permitted to use these powers to restore the strictest monastic discipline in the convents, and to purge the secular clergy of those abuses which were common to the time. Having improved the morals of the Spanish clergy he set himself to overcome their ignorance and lack of culture. The reading and study of the Bible were made a special feature in their training, something previously unknown; new schools of theology were established, with courses in Bible exegesis; and a kind of school was collected at Alcala in 1502, who undertook at the expense of Cisneros the preparation of the celebrated Complutensian Polyglot (see HERRERA, POLYGLOT, I.). About the same time he was instrumental in the establishment of universities at Alcala, Seville, and Toledo, where the study of the classics was fostered and a large sympathy was shown with the labors of Erasmus and the Humanists. Unlike Luther, Cisneros made no direct attack on the abuses or authority of the papacy, yet when he encountered the opposition of the pope, in dealing with the abuses of the local church, he assumed an attitude of virtual independence, and was protected in it by the Spanish rulers. The immediate influence of this movement was largely confined to the clergy, but it gradually wrought a distinct change in the religious life of the whole nation and developed in Spain a unique

type of Roman Catholicism. In its essential features it represents a partial and limited development of the Protestant thesis, and, with its Humanistic and Evangelical tendencies, it was fitted to serve as the natural forerunner of a truly Protestant Reformation. At the same time, coinciding as it did the religious zeal and initiative of the Spanish people and fusing them into a relatively pure and intelligent form of Catholicism, it forged the very weapon that was destined to give the death stroke to Evangelical Christianity on Spanish soil, and trained the leaders who were to rally the force of Roman Catholicism in the sixteenth century for the long and bitter struggle against Protestant principles throughout Western Christendom. The advancement of the Spanish monarch to the imperial throne in 1520, as Charles V, opened a wide channel for the introduction of Lutheran and Reformed teachings into Spain. At first, Protestant doctrines were generally resisted among the educated classes with interest and favor, and their spread was helped for a time by the liberal tendencies prevailing among the Spanish hierarchy, as well as by the tempering policy of Charles V. In dealing with Luther and the Protestant princes of Germany. With respect to Charles' attitude, it was even asserted by the confessor of the emperor, who himself favored the Protestants, that Charles secretly sympathized with the movement and that he had been Luther as a lever for forcing upon the German church a Reformation after the Spanish model. Subsequently a gradual reaction against reform among the Spanish clergy and a change in the policy of Charles made Protestantism a proscribed religion in Spain, narrowed the circle of adherents to the more earnest and daring spirits, and, after the Diet of Augsburg in 1530, subjected the Protestants to a persecution constantly growing in severity. The principal features marking its growth were its almost exclusive confinement to the privileged and educated classes; the lack of organization, except small congregations at Seville and Valladolid; the large numbers from the Roman Catholic clergy and theologians who embraced it; and especially the large numbers of persons among its converts, illustrious either for their rank or learning. Notable among them are the following: Alphonso Valdes, secretary of Charles V.; Alphonso de Bernades, chaplain to the emperor, who suffered condemnation in 1537; Bartolome Carranza y Miranda, archbishop of Toledo, who was condemned for holding Protestant views; Rodrigo de Valera, who laid the foundation of the church in Seville and was condemned by the Inquisition in 1541; Juan Gili, otherwise known as Doctor Egilón, a famous preacher of Seville who was nominated in 1550 to the bishopric of Tortosa, but before his installation was condemned for heresy; Don Carlos de Sosa, a distinguished nobleman who did much for the Protestant cause throughout Spain; Jerome Entinas and his brother Francisco de Entinas (qv.), two young men of noble birth who were converted to this doctrine, the former of whom suffered martyrdom in Rome in 1546, and the latter translated the first Spanish ver-

Spain THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 26

sion of the New Testament and had it printed at Antwerp in 1543 for distribution in Spain. Besides, many converts of monks and nuns, especially those in the neighborhood of Seville and Valladolid, were largely leavened with the Protestant heresy. In spite of this impetus, Protestantism was effectively suppressed in Spain after a brief career of scarcely half a century. The chief repressive agency was the Inquisition, which assumed in Spain, as the joint instrument of civil and religious absolutism, its sternest form, and made use of the most drastic and arbitrary methods. But it is to be remarked that the Protestant forces in Spain were paralyzed and finally overthrown, not so much by the violent persecution at home as by the unfavorable impression made upon the Spanish people by the actions of Protestants abroad. The uprising of the German peasants in 1524 in behalf of social reform caused great alarm among the privileged classes in Spain and greatly prejudiced them against the introduction of doctrines which seemed to foment revolution elsewhere. Greater antagonism was aroused by the alliance of the Protestant princes of Germany with the king of France, Francis I., the bitter enemy of Spain, and later was increased by the growth of the Protestants in the Netherlands against Spanish rule, so that after a time Protestants came to be looked upon not only as heretics but as traitors and rebels, and it became increasingly difficult for any loyal Spaniard to embrace Protestantism. Prior to the accession of Charles V. in 1546 the activities of the Inquisition against Protestants were somewhat restricted, and though Philip II. on his accession gave it a free hand, the work of extermination was not begun in deep earnest until 1567. The first "auto da fe" was celebrated at Valladolid in 1559, and thereafter the work of executing Protestant victims in the principal cities of Spain was conducted under the joint auspices of Church and State, combining the features of a religious festival and a popular holiday. In 1559 it is estimated that there were 1,000 Protestants in each of the cities, Seville and Valladolid, and a relatively large number in other sections of Spain. By the year 1570 Protestantism in Spain was cut off, root and branch, practically all of its converts having suffered either banishment or martyrdom, and for three centuries that followed the blood of its martyrs was as red in barren soil. II. Antireligious Movements: If it was fanatical patriotism allied with ecclesiasticism that crushed the Protestant movement in Spain in the sixteenth century, it has been an enlightened opposition. Evangelical forces in Spain in modern times. The radical and revolutionary philosophy of the French skeptics of the eighteenth century early found an ally, though a restricted, ground in Spain. Under its tuition many Spaniards saw their country fastened on by a parasitic tribe of nearly 160,000 priests, nuns, and ecclesiastics, and they welcomed the Voltairian estimate of their worth. The estimates of the French Revolution did still more to disseminate the seeds of popular freedom, and before the downfall of Napoleon, liberalism was fully

born in Spain and prepared to enter, as in other Latin countries, into the long war against absolutism and clericalism. The first decisive step was taken in 1812, during the exile of King Ferdinand VII. The Spanish cortes, assembled for the first time in many years, was largely composed of lawyers and literary men, and though they were to tolerate no faith but Roman Catholicism in the land, they abolished the Inquisition, curtailed the power of the clergy, and framed a constitution. The restoration of Ferdinand in 1814 resulted in the overturning of their work and a violent persecution in the vain attempt to exterminate the Liberal party. From the death of Ferdinand in 1833, until 1873 occurred a series of heated revolutionary conflicts between the liberal and conservative elements, with alternating victories, but with the anti-clerical cause steadily gaining ground. In 1851, by the concordat established with the pope (see CONCORDATS AND TREATIES HERRERA, VII.), the monastic orders were limited to three. In 1858 the Liberals, being then in power, after granting compensation, sold the church lands. In 1868 and again in 1869 religious liberty and freedom of worship were proclaimed, but this meant only freedom to attack the Church of Rome, and full religious tolerance was by no means established. In 1873 the cortes proclaimed a republic, but this was overthrown by the army and Alphonso XII. was seated on the throne. From that time onward the monarchy has continued, and political questions have usually been settled by an appeal to the electorate, rather than by force. Politics among the leaders has largely degenerated into a scramble for the spoils of office, accompanied by more or less compromise with the church party, but there has come to Spain in these years, through the spread of liberal principles, an increasing measure of civil and religious liberty. The most significant event for Protestantism of late years was the returning to power in the election of 1903 of Premier José Canalejas and his party, upon a platform pledging, among other reforms, absolute freedom of worship, civil supervision of conventual establishments, and the limitation of schools and colleges. The significance of this may be seen when it is understood that, previous to 1910, the Protestant denominations and missions were prohibited from displaying any insignia of worship or of propagating their doctrine publicly; and that, according to a recent count, the number of monks and nuns and other ecclesiastical officials in Spain totaled 154,617, receiving about eight million dollars yearly directly from the national treasury, besides various exemptions and privileges. The first step in the execution of this program brought about a break with Rome, the papal annuo was recalled, and at the close of the year he had not returned to the Spanish court. Another phase of this antireligious movement is the constantly diminishing respect shown by laymen and ecclesiastics for ecclesiastical authority even in the sphere of religion. and This tendency is noticeable in the Independent spirit animating the several orders in their relation to each other and to the local clergy. Indeed, so loose has grown the bond between them and so bitter has



become the antagonism that the assertion is more than justified that the ecclesiastical unity existing within the Roman Catholic Church in Spain is scarcely more real than that existing between the principal Evangelical denominations of Protestant countries. Again, this spirit has manifested itself within the ranks of the secular clergy in their protests against the tyranny and abuses of their superiors in the hierarchy, and they have usually been supported in their contentions by the common people. The most striking instance of this occurred in a movement originating in Sept., 1878, and led by an eminent Spanish priest, Sepúlveda Pey Ordiz. This brilliant priest was born in Vich, in the north of Spain, educated in the University of Salamanca, and was for many years a parish priest in Mallorca and Barcelona. The despotism of the bishops became an offensive that he began to combat them in a weekly newspaper which he published and called *El Orizon*. This paper being suppressed by the bishop of Mallorca, Pey Ordiz went to Barcelona and founded a second paper, and, when this was suppressed, still a third, *El Cosmopolita*, which was condemned by the church in Nov., 1900. The rupture finally came because of the refusal of Pey Ordiz to obey an arbitrary order of the bishop of Barcelona, whereupon he was publicly suspended by the bishop, and forbidden to enter a church. He began to speak to the people in the open air, in theaters, and in public halls, attacking clericalism and preaching the Gospel. He at once became the popular idol and quickly gathered a great company of followers estimated at 100,000 or more. Among the number were at least 1,000 of the most ardent points and firms in the various provinces of Spain. The movement was not properly organized, and, after two years, when the enthusiasm had somewhat abated, Pey Ordiz fell into a trap skillfully laid by the Jesuits and was compromised in the eyes of his followers; his influence was destroyed, and the movement collapsed, but it had demonstrated the readiness of many people and priests to respond to a stirring appeal against ecclesiastical abuses in behalf of freedom and purity in religion. Still another manifestation of this spirit has been the gradual, silent growth of the great body of intelligent laymen against the asserted authority of the Roman Catholic Church. This drift has been in progress for more than a century and it has moved upon with the spread of culture and republican principles. Joseph McCabe (*Doors of the Church of Rome*, p. 88, London, 1900), writing in 1900, says: "Of the five or six million Catholics in the country [Spain], only about one million are Roman Catholics, and these are for the most part illiterate." A distinguished visitor to Spain in 1910, speaking of the men of intelligence, says: "There are tens of thousands in the country who only use for the Church is at marriage, christening and burial services." And this must be the feeling that impresses the visitor to Spain when he sees the few scattered worshippers in the magnificent cathedrals in the cities, and hears the contemptions and jailing imams which the average intelligent Spaniard refers to the liaisons of the priests, the worship of saints and images, the miracles wrought by relics, the pretentious ceremonies of

church, or the solemn assumptions of the Roman pontiff.

III. Evangelical Activities: The memorable revolution of Sept., 1808, and the proclamation of liberty of conscience and worship by the new "provisional governments" threw Spain open for the first time to all kinds of Evangelical work. The opportunity was speedily improved by the entrance

1. Protest of missionaries, representing various Protestant denominations of Great Britain, Ireland, the United States, Germany, Holland, Prussia, and Sweden. In 1910 Protestant missions were conducted in forty-four large cities, with outstations in 149 villages and towns; the total number of buildings regularly employed for Protestant worship being 116. The following societies were at work: (1) *Iglesia Española Reformada*, or the Reformed Church of Spain. This church is the outgrowth of an independent movement initiated and conducted exclusively by native Spaniards, but fostered and supported by the Spanish and Portuguese Church Aid Society, organized in 1867 among English and Irish Episcopalians. Under its auspices the Church of the Redeemer was organized in Madrid in 1869, and subsequently ten other churches were founded and united to constitute the Reformed Church of Spain, under the leadership of bishop Juan Bautista Cabrer, formerly a Roman Catholic friar who was consecrated to his office by the archbishop of Dublin, in 1867. The number of congregations has increased to more than a score, the most important of which are found at Madrid, Valladolid, Salamanca, Villaseca, Monistrol, San Vicente, Malaga, and Seville. Schools are conducted at each of these places and at numerous others. (2) *The American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions* opened its first mission in Spain at Santander in 1871, in the charge of Rev. William H. Gulick, a Congregationalist minister, and his wife, Alice Gordon Gulick. At Santander Mrs. Gulick first established an orphan-asylum for girls. Later, in 1881, it was moved to San Sebastian, and after the Spanish-American War it was moved to Madrid and established in commodious quarters under the name of the "International Institute for Girls." An offshoot of this same school is the International College, now under the charge of the (Congregational) Woman's Board of Missions of the United States. In these schools many girls have been fitted to become Protestant teachers, or the wives of Protestant workers throughout Spain. The work of this organization in Santander in 1876 with seventeen members. Subsequently churches and day schools were established at San Sebastian and other points with a central station at Madrid. In 1899 these churches, seven in number, of the Congregational polity, were united with twelve of the Presbyterian order which had been founded by the several Presbyterian societies named below. This is an organic union which has a ministry of twenty-three ordained pastors and five evangelists, and is called *The Spanish Evangelical Church*. (3) *The United Free Church of Scotland*, through the agency of the Spanish Evangelical Society (founded in Edinburgh in 1885), has established missions and schools in Seville,



Cadiz, Huelva, Granada, and various other places. (4) *The Irish Presbyterian Church* has opened missions and schools in Cordova and Puerto Santa Maria, and conducts a theological school in the latter place which has done not a little in training evangelists and pastors. (5) *The Dutch Presbyterians* are reported to have stations at Malaga, Almeria, and Cartagena. (6) *The Reformed Churches of Lausanne and Geneva, Switzerland*, maintain missions at Barcelona, Baza, Turis, and Pontevedra. (7) *The English Wesleyan Methodists* undertook their first mission in Spain in 1816 from Gibraltar. This was soon abandoned because of the Roman Catholic opposition. Other efforts were made from 1820 to 1840, with Cadiz as a center, but were also abandoned. The mission was revived in 1869 at Barcelona, and a growing work has been conducted in that vicinity and in the Balearic Isles, just off the coast. The work at Barcelona has prospered greatly of late years under the leadership of Rev. Franklin C. Smith. (8) *The German Lutherans* have an important work in Madrid, with several stations in the province, and a publication house which has done much to supply the country with evangelical literature. In Madrid also is located, in a fine building, the celebrated Lutheran "College of the Future" (*Colegio de Porvenir*). (9) *The American Baptist Missionary Union* has a station at Barcelona, with several preaching-points in the province. (10) *The Swedish Baptists* support one missionary in Valencia who has charge of several small churches. (11) *The Plymouth Brethren* (v.) have chapels and schools in La Coruña, Marín, San Tomé, Vigo, Figueras, Barcelona, Madrid, and various other places throughout the kingdom. (12) *The Christian Endeavor Societies* have been organized in connection with the Protestant churches throughout Spain, and to quote the words of a Protestant missionary on the field, "No other agency yet operating in Spain has [so visibly] produced the spirit of Christian fellowship and [so] helped toward vital union in Evangelical work as the Christian Endeavor." The number of societies is forty-eight, with a total membership of 1,549. Conventions are frequently held in the principal cities and practically all the Protestant communities are represented.

In 1910 statistics show that primary schools were conducted by Protestants in fifty-one of the principal cities and towns of Spain, with 167 teachers and 6,462 pupils. Secondary schools were conducted in the larger Evangelical centers such as:

2. Schools at Almeria, Huelva, Rio Tinto, Madrid, and other Santander, and Seville. The higher Agnoscic institutions of learning were the "Protestant Theological Institute," at Puerto Santa Maria, the "International College," and the "International Institute for Girls," both at Madrid, and at Barcelona. The College of the Future." Two Protestant hospitals are located in Madrid, one in Barcelona, and a medical dispensary in Figueras. The Protestants have two orphanages in Madrid, and one at Escorial. The principal Evangelical periodicals are *La Luz*, *Arriba de la Palabra*, and *España Cristiana*, all published at Madrid; *El Evangelista*, at Barcelona; *El Herald*, at Figuer-

as; *El Corvo*, at Valencia, with others making dozens in all, most of which are issued monthly. The British and Foreign Bible Society, which entered Spain in 1826, has a central depot in Madrid and supports several colporteurs. Three other Protestant depositories and publishing-houses in Madrid, two in Barcelona, and one in Figueras, issue devotional, controversial, and educational literature at a low price. All of these agencies have been useful in the spread of Protestant culture and Evangelical Christianity throughout Spain.

The great hindrance to the propagation of Evangelical Christianity in Spain in modern times is the existing ecclesiastical corporatism, with the ignorance, intolerance, and prejudice which it has fostered among the people. The strongest condition, ally emerged in the course of a century and has come in large measure to demoralize Spanish thought and feeling, especially since the loss of colonial possessions has centered the interests of the nation on internal enterprises.

It is not surprising that the transition from the medieval to the modern point of view in the national consciousness of the Spanish people has been accompanied by a general drift toward skepticism. To them the Roman Church has appeared as the opponent of progress in every sphere, religious, social, intellectual. Therefore they say, "away with the Church"; and as Rome has consistently claimed to be the only representative of Christianity, the only true religion, they say "religion is Romanism, and we will have none of it." At the same time it could hardly be expected that they should assume other than an indifferent, or even hostile, attitude toward Protestantism. Their knowledge of Protestantism has come exclusively from their priests, who have presented to the people only caricatures of the Reformers and of Protestantism and have filled the minds of the people with prejudice and contempt for any enterprise promoted by Protestants. More than this, the Roman Catholic Church, by its emphasis on form, ceremony, and non-essentials, and by its failure to give the people the Bible or adequate instruction in the fundamental principles of morality, has perverted the conscience and corrupted the morals of the great mass of the people to such an extent that there can be little to appeal to them in the high moral teachings of Evangelical Christianity; and this is especially true of the entire Roman Catholic body, notwithstanding the fact that within it there are now thousands of devout and faithful Christians, especially in the convents.

If the Protestant propaganda is to meet successfully the present crisis in Spain, the Protestant leaders by taking a stronger grasp on the agencies already in use and those which lie ready at hand, and by a sympathetic approach, and a more specific adaptation of their methods to the Spanish point of view, must speedily strive to attract the attention and win the respect of all classes. The opposition of the ecclesiastical corporation can best be met by an intelligent and earnest effort to reach the individuals within the ranks of the clergy, to invite them to

enter the Protestant ranks, and to provide means for their support and training until they can be fitted for active work. A converted Spanish friar, one who has the right to know, asserts that there are thousands of the purest and most ardent patriots and friars in Spain, who are dissatisfied with their ignominious status and impudently grieved at the pitiable moral conditions which prevail among their brethren throughout Spain, and these would gladly welcome Protestantism, if their minds could be disabused of prejudice and they could be convinced that it was more than Romanism. This is a point of strategic importance, which has hitherto been almost entirely overlooked by Protestants. The ignorance of the great mass of the people can be overcome only through the public schools, and Protestants should not only prosecute with all vigor the work of their own schools but should show their sympathy in every way with the cause of liberal education. To meet the intolerance and prejudice of the people the Protestant forces must become more aggressive. Through the secular press and on the platforms they must challenge the assertions of Rome and show themselves willing and able to defend the doctrine and history of Protestantism before the bar of reason, and must show that Protestantism is at least entitled to the consideration of intelligent men. It must be demonstrated that the history and various practices of the Roman Catholic Church, and that true Christianity is not inconsistent with human freedom and progress in any sphere, scientific, social, or religious. In other words, they must provoke both the Romanists and infidels to public discussion of the issues involved, and must project the Protestant enterprise generally upon such a plane as will appeal to the intelligence, the imagination, and the patriotism of the Spanish people. This was the method of Luther and the Reformers, and is justified by its fruits. To meet the intolerance of the people the strict method, both of attack and defense, is to give them the Bible. This must be accompanied by an aggressive evangelism that will restore vital religion and enlighten the consciences of all classes; while everywhere Protestant leaders must insist upon such standards of morality among the converts as will commend the teachings of Protestantism to the whole people and put to flight the licentious abuses tolerated under the present religious régime.

JAMES CORRO.
The following is the text of an address (reprinted from *Evangelical Christian*, Nov.-Dec., 1910, p. 120), signed on behalf of the British organization of the Evangelical Alliance by the president, chairman, treasurer, and general secretary, which was forwarded to Señor Canals, the president of the council of ministers, for transmission to H. M. the king of Spain:

TO HIS MAJESTY DON ALFONSO XIII., KING OF SPAIN.
Sir,—On behalf of the Council and Members of the Evangelical Alliance (British Organization), representing Evangelical Christians of various Churches throughout the British Empire, we humbly address to Your Majesty the expression of our heartfelt

gratitude for the publication of the Royal Order of June 6th, 1910, which interprets in its natural sense Article XI. of the Spanish Constitution, and grants to Spanish Evangelicals the toleration which the framers of the Constitution intended to give them.

We are convinced that this wise step has secured for Spain the good-will of all progressive peoples.

We rejoice with all friends of Religious Liberty that those who are unable to accept the Roman religion are permitted to worship God in accordance with their consciences, free from the disabilities which compelled them to conceal their existence as members of the Evangelical Churches, and subjected them to many inconveniences.

We earnestly trust that this enlightened policy will be continued until Spanish Evangelicals enjoy the Religious Liberty extended to Roman Catholics by Protestant nations.

References: *Spain: the Struggle under the following articles, except H. Dalm: the economic situation in Spain*, Winterset, 1912; *W. Pineda: Los Evangelicos en España*, Zamora, 1877; *J. and H. A. Clark: The Dawn of a New Spain*, New York, 1896; *J. M. Chas: The Dawn of the Church of Rome*, N. Y., 1906.

SPAIN, SIXTEENTH-CENTURY REFORMATION MOVEMENTS IN: The Evangelical movement in Spain was preceded by, and partly simultaneous with, the movement of the mystics and Humanists. The mystics, called *abrazados*, "enlightened," followed Platonism, and showed a certain independent attitude toward the external precepts of the Church. Francesco de Osuna, 1577, laid stress on the worthlessness of all good works, and on "faith alone." The Humanism of Erasmus found an enthusiastic admirer in Alfonso de Valde (c. v.), the imperial secretary. His brother Juan (c. v.) labored in behalf of the principle of justification by faith within the Roman Church, particularly in Italy, before attempts at reconciliation with the Protestants had been given up. Great persecution was encountered by the brothers Jaime and Francesco de Enzinas (c. v.) of Old Castile in the new apostolic Church. Francesco de San Roman, sent to Bremen, 1541, attended an Evangelical church service and was deeply stirred by the sermon of Jacobus Probst. He had Evangelical friends and drew up a Spanish catechism. Upon his return to Antwerp, he was seized and imprisoned for eight months. At Louvain Enzinas discouraged him from preaching because of his meager training and experience, but, borne away by his zeal, he went to Regensburg, where the emperor was presiding over the diet. Here his importunities caused his arrest and at the departure of the emperor, July 29, 1541, he was taken to Italy and Spain in chains and at Malaga delivered to the Spanish Inquisition. He was brought to Valladolid, and, refusing to recant, was burned in 1545. Francesco de Enzinas, after going to Wittenberg and translating the Greek New Testament into Spanish, was imprisoned in 1545, but escaped two years later. His brother Jaime attained a cathedra into Spanish, but in 1545 was arrested in Rome and died at the stake, 1547. Juan Diaz de Cisneros, the native town of Valencia, studied theology at Paris for thirteen years, and was made a convert by Jaime Enzinas. After enjoying several months

at Geneva with Calvin, 1545, and assisting Luther at the college at Regensburg, he retired to Nuremberg on the Danube and published his brief *Summa* (1546). At the instigation of his brother Alfonso, attached to the papal court at Rome, he was treacherously assassinated, Mar. 27, 1546.

The first Evangelical progress as nuclei of a congregation were formed at Seville. Juan Perez de Pineda, prior of the church of Osuna, and secretary of the imperial embassy at Rome, 1547, was harassed by the papal abuses. After his return to Andalus he became director of the Colegio de doctrina at Seville, and made an effort to promote true piety. Translated by the Inquisition, he emigrated to the Isles to Geneva. In the same time, Rodrigo de Valera, a layman, who by diligent study of the Latin Bible had been led to depart from the Roman doctrine and who had preached his new faith in the streets, influenced Juan Egido, who worked in union with Constantino Ponce de la Fuente, from 1533 powerful preacher at the cathedral. The latter issued *Confesio Aemulica* (protestor's published in the *Revista de Madrid*, 1847). Egido, suspended by the Inquisition (1552) from preaching and lecturing for six years, retreated, but died in repentance at Seville, 1558. In 1553 seven men and women from Seville fled to Geneva, and likewise twelve monks from the Italian monastery at Seville. Pena who had been at Frankfurt, 1556-58, secured permission at Geneva to be preacher of a Spanish congregation. He had published a Spanish translation of the New Testament (Geneva, 1560); *Summa breve de doctrina Christiana* (1556); the *Comentarios* by Juan de Valde on Romans (c. v., 1557), and on 1 Corinthians (1557). In 1557 some of his publications were brought to Seville. Their discovery led to the arrest of a great number of people who were suspected of heresy; others fled from the country. Constantino was placed under arrest. Simultaneously three arose an Evangelical movement in the capital, Valladolid, and vicinity, on the initiative of Carlos de Soto, of Verona, who in Italy had become acquainted with the doctrine of the Reformation. He enthusiastically gathered adherents, particularly the family of Canals, among them the court preacher Augustin de Canals. In 1558 the Inquisition interfered and May 21, 1559, three took place in Valladolid on one of the Protestants. Canals retreated but was burned alive; a brother and sister were garroted; a brother and sister condemned to imprisonment; and the exhausted remains of the mother were burned. The only one who refused to retreat was the advocate Antonio de Hierro, who suffered a heroic death. In Aug., 1559, Carranza, archbishop of Toledo, was arrested; after an imprisonment of seventeen years he was condemned to a life of banishment. On Sept. 24, 1559, an *auto de fe* took place in Seville. A house in which Evangelicals had frequently held meetings was torn down. The king attended a second *auto de fe* in Valladolid, Oct. 1559, and took on each that he would assist and favor the Inquisition. Carlos de Soto was burned; also Juan Sanchez the secretary of another brother of Augustin Canals, who in turn was garroted. In Seville, Dec. 27, 1560, Julian

Hernandez, a lay brother of the *doctrina* circle, and others were sent to the stake. The remains of Egido and Constantino, who had died in prison, and the effects of Pineda were consigned to the flames. Several *autos de fe* followed in 1562 with a number of victims including Garcia Aza, called Maestro Blanco, who had kindled evangelism in the monastery. With these dates, but barely mentioned, the Evangelical movement in Spain was practically smothered. The rest of the acts of the Inquisition pertain to resident French, Dutch, and English traders and seamen, apart from any national movement. A group of French Protestants were thus executed at Toledo, 1560.

From the group of fugitive monks of San Isidro originated the *Orden Papistissima* (Hildesberg, 1577), under the pseudonym Esteban Gonzalez Montanus, the reliability of which was evidently made uncertain by the author's hatred of his tormentors, and his southern temperament. Of the other fugitive monks of San Isidro Antonio del Corro arrived at Geneva, 1557; he soon went to Lausanne to study at the academy. Theodor Beza (c. v.) honored him with his friendship. In 1559 Corro with the recommendation of Calvin returned to southern France in order to be nearer to his countrymen. In 1565, he, together with his convert friend Casiodoro de Reyna and Valera (c. sup.) printed the Spanish New Testament in one of the castles of the Queen of Navarre. Corro was prosecuted at Toulouse, but escaped by flight. In Bergerac, where Reyna visited him, he was forbidden to preach because he was a foreigner. Juan Perez de Pineda met the same fate in Blois. All these fugitives from Seville were sheltered in Montargis by Ronde de France (c. v.). In 1560 Corro followed a call as preacher to Antwerp. For the queen regent, however, a Spaniard as Evangelical preacher was objectionable. William of Orange desired that the Evangelicals of the Netherlands should declare for the Anglican Confession in order to assure imperial aid. The Evangelical preachers were banished from the Netherlands, however, and Alva's régime began. In the same time Corro had gone to England. At London his known friendship with Reyna, who had gone there from Geneva, 1561, and taken charge of the Spanish congregation and left England because of unfounded charges, barred Corro from the French congregation. He served the Italian, but was denied the communion and deprived of the pulpit by the bishop. He united with the Anglican Church, and under the auspices of the legal corporation of the English Templars in London delivered Latin theological lectures. He became religious teacher in the institutes of the University of Oxford, 1567; was theological owner of Christ Church College, 1581-85; received a professor of St. Paul's, London, 1582; and died 1591, in London. He transformed the Epistle to the Romans into a dialogue between the apostle and a Roman (London, 1573). His Latin paraphrase of Ecclesiastes (1579) has been printed several times. Highly esteemed as a theologian by the Anabaptists, he denied predestinated reprobation and is said to have opposed the interference of the State against heretics. When Casiodoro de Reyna left England in 1560 he settled with his family at Frankfurt-on-

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

the-Main, where he made his living in the silk trade and worked on his translation of the Bible (Basle, 1568-69), which is the first complete Spanish Bible translated from the original languages. Frankfurt conferred on him citizenship. In 1578 he became French pastor of the adherents of the Augsburg Confession at Antwerp. In 1581 he returned to Frankfurt, and became, 1604, preacher of the Netherlands of the Lutheran persuasion. Captain de Valera (d. 1615) with his friends from St. Isidoro to Geneva and in 1602 was burned in effigy like Keyns and Corro. He studied at Cambridge (B.A., 1600; M.A., 1603); was fellow of Magdalen College; and, 1606, was connected with Oxford. He published *Los diez Tratados de Pape i de la Misa* (1585); *Tratado para confirmar los pobres Confesores de Eboracia* (1594); a new edition of the Spanish catechism of Geneva of 1559 (1600); *El Tratamiento Divino de C. de Reyna* (1596; 1870); *Instituciones de la Religión Christiana* (1597); a translation of J. Calvin's *Instituciones*; and *La Biblia de C. de Reyna* (Antwerp, 1602 seq.; 1869). Pedro Gallo, a young Calvinist, was arrested about 1650 at Rome because he had asserted that it was unnecessary to confine to a priest and to abstain from meat on certain days, and was compelled to abjure. He studied at Bologna and Paris, and became professor at Geneva, 1652. Afterward he went to southern France and taught in several places until a Calvinist pastoral conference found him unworthy in doctrine. On the way to Bordeaux, with wife and children, he was captured by members of the holy league and in 1653 surrendered to Spain. In the prison of the Inquisition at Saragossa he declared that the doctrine of the Roman church was frequently in contradiction with the words of the Apostles. His second trial was completed after his death, and his remains were dug up and reburied. Apr. 17, 1686, Melchior Roman of Argon entered the order of the Jacobins. In the province of Toul he was appointed Procurator Provincial and sent to Rome; subsequently he became provincial prior and confessor of the Dames du Chapelet d'Agon. The night of a victim burned at the stake made a deep impression upon him, and he entered the Reformed church at Bergerie in 1690. (Dictionnaire Schœner.)

he changed to Spaldin—from his birthplace—after a frequent custom of the humanists. He was educated at the universities of Erfurt (1498-99, 1500) and Wittenberg (1502-03), early coming into contact with humanistic circles. In 1503 he began to teach in the monastery of Georghaus, and in 1508 became Elector John Frederick's although here, as at the monastery, his innovating tendencies rendered his position uncomfortable. In 1511 he was for a time one of the guardians of the prince Otto and Ernest of Brunswick-Lüneburg, although without severing his connection with the court of their mother, Elector Frederick the Wise, who, in the following year, appointed him his own librarian—a most congenial post. Spaldin gradually became the elector's most trusted confidant and a power at court, though he was a priest; he had taken orders merely to escape the trials of a poverty-stricken humanist and poet. His association with Luther, whom he seems first to have met at Wittenberg, changed his life, and even before he broke with the ancient faith, he had found in the Wittenberg theologian his most acceptable adviser. It was Spaldin, moreover, who won the elector to sympathy with Luther, even while endeavoring to restrain the more impetuous Augustinians from the course into which he was plunging, and it is to Spaldin that the vacillating tactics of Luther during the earlier years of the Reformation are to be traced. In 1518 Spaldin accompanied the elector to the diet of Augsburg, and conducted negotiations with Cajetan and Melita, and he was likewise present at the election and coronation of Charles V, as well as at the Diet of Worms, while during Luther's confinement at the Wartburg he provided means for him to correspond with Wittenberg. Despite the difficulty of his position with the elector, who still remained faithful to the Roman Catholic Church, Spaldin constantly sought to win him over to the views of Luther, who demanded the abolition of the ritual maintained in the sanctuary at Wittenberg. After the death of Frederick the Wise, Spaldin still remained in the service of the elector, although he was now able to take up permanent residence in Altenburg, where he had received a monastery in 1511, and where he also assumed the position of preacher vacated by the departure of Werderus Link (q.v.). On Aug. 13, 1525, he delivered his first sermon, but his demand for a change of ecclesians in the Altenburg sanctuary led to his temporary expulsion, and by his speedy marriage, which led to his deprivation, although by the aid of secular he re-instated himself and gradually carried out his proposed reformation. In 1526 he accompanied Elector John to the Diet of Speyer, where he took a prominent part in formulating instructions for the permanent embassy to the emperor determined upon by the diet. He was also employed repeatedly in visitations. In 1530 he attended the Diet of Augsburg, later accompanying the elector to the election of Ferdinand at Cologne. In 1532 he attended the Diet of Schweinfurt; in 1535 he went with Elector John Frederick when he laid siege to Vienna to do homage; and he was a leading figure in

most important matters as the peace of Caden (1534) and the formulation of the Schmalkald Articles. Throughout his life he was deeply interested in the University of Wittenberg, of which he had been designated a visitor as early as 1515, and which he regularly visited two or three times each year. In 1530 he sought to be relieved of his many duties, and from this time on he became more and more indolently, although he remained active until the last.

Spaldin was a prolific writer, although some of his works still remain unpublished. His only really original contributions, however, are historical studies, especially on Saxon and contemporary themes, these including his *Chronicon annales* (ed. J. H. Meusel, *Scriptores rerum Germanicarum*, II, 299 seq., Leipzig, 1778-80) and his biography of Frederick the Wise (ed. C. G. Neudecker and E. Pfeiffer, *Georg Spaldin Kurfürstlicher Prediger und Briefe aus dem Originalhandschriften*, Jena, 1851). A still more valuable source for the history of the Reformation period is afforded by his voluminous correspondence, of which only a small portion has appeared in print, although almost all the archives of Germany contain specimens, the library at Weimar being especially rich in this respect. (T. Kollman.)

Spaldin, C. Schöler, *Wie sich G. Spaldin, Jena, 1602*; J. Wagner, *G. Spaldin und die Reformation der Kirche und Schulen in Thüringen*, Leipzig, 1900; E. Engelhardt, *G. Spaldin's Leben*, Leipzig, 1901; A. Schöler, *G. Spaldin als Prediger, Pädagoge, Historiker*, Berlin, 1901; E. Engelhardt, *Die Reformation in Meissen*, Leipzig, 1901; also, *Spaldin und die Reformation in Thüringen*.

SPALDING, spelling, FRANKLIN SPENCER: Protestant Episcopal missionary bishop of Salt Lake; b. at Erie, Pa., Mar. 15, 1856. He was educated at Princeton (A.B., 1877) and at the General Theological Seminary (graduated, 1891), after having taught in the Princeton Preparatory School in 1887-88. He was ordained deacon in 1891 and ordained priest in the following year, being minister of all Saints, Denver, Col., during this time, and from 1892 to 1898 was principal of Jarvis Hall Military Academy in the same city. He was then rector of St. Paul's, Erie, Pa. (1898-1904), and in 1904 was consecrated missionary bishop of Salt Lake, his diocese comprising all Utah, the eastern half of Nevada, the western half of Colorado, and part of a county of Wyoming.

SPALDING, JOHANN JOACHIM: German Lutheran; b. at Trossen (21 m. s.w. of Greifswald) Nov. 1, 1714; d. at Berlin May 22, 1804. After studying at the University of Frankfurt (1731-35), he was for several years private tutor, private secretary, etc., also finding considerable time for writing. In 1748 he published at Greifswald the work which first brought him distinction, the *Gründers über die Bestimmung des Menschen*, in which he earnestly combated the increasing materialism of his time. A year later he was chosen pastor of Lützen, where, though too radical for his congregation, he found opportunity for studying and translating standard works of English deism and agnosticism. In 1757 Spalding was called to Barth as first preacher and provost, and here he wrote, against Pevism, his second great work, *Gedanken über den*

Wort der Größe im Christenthum (Leipzig, 1761; Eng. transl., *Thoughts on the Value of Faith in Religion*, London, 1827). In 1764 he was called to Berlin as provost, supreme consistorial councillor, and first preacher at the Marienkirche and St. Nikolai. Here for more than twenty years he enjoyed the highest reputation as a pulpiter orator, his sermons being collected in a number of volumes. It was at this time also that he published the work which exposed him to much attack, the *Ueber die Nützlichkeits des Protestantismus und dem Beförderungs* (1st ed. anonymously, Berlin, 1772), in which he advocated the preaching of ethical sermons only, to the complete ignoring of dogmatic problems. The true motive of this position was the desire to retain only what he deemed essential, to oppose the shallow infidelity proceeding from France, England, and to reconcile Christianity with the spirit of the times. This same attitude led Spalding to write his *Vermehrte Briefe, die Religion betreffend* (1st ed. anonymously, Berlin, 1784), which have a distinct interest in that they give a vivid picture of the shallowness and religious indifference then prevailing in the higher circles of society.

In 1786 his situation was abruptly changed by the accession of Frederick William II, and feeling himself put at a decided disadvantage, Spalding secured the acceptance of his resignation in 1788. He then retired to private life, and now wrote his last work, *Religion, eine dogmatische Darstellung* (1st ed. anonymously, Berlin, 1797), while after his death his autobiographical *Lebensbeschreibung von dem selbst* was edited by his son, G. L. Spalding (Halle, 1865). He was neither a great theologian nor a great philosopher; he was essentially a popularizer who sought to bring the divine truths of Christianity close to the hearts and wills of rational men, though himself far from being an adherent of the Enlightenment, Rationalism, or Deism (q.v.). (J. A. W. Wiersma.)

SPALDING, JOHN LANCASTER: Roman Catholic bishop of Focira, Ill., successor of the following: b. at Lebanon, Ky., June 2, 1840. He was educated at Mount St. Mary's College, Emmitsburg, Md., at the University of Louvain, Belgium, and in Rome, where he was ordained priest in 1863. After an additional year of study, he returned to the United States. In 1865 he was secretary to the bishop of Louisville, Ky., and in 1869 he was rector of St. Augustine's (colored) Church at Louisville, while in 1871 he was chancellor of the diocese of Louisville. From 1872-73 he was curate of St. Michael's, New York City, and in the latter year was consecrated bishop of the newly created diocese of Peoria, which office he resigned in 1908. He is the author of *Life of Archbishop Spalding of Baltimore* (New York, 1872); *Energy and Resource* (1877); *Religious Mission of the Irish People* (1880); *Lectures and Discourses* (1882); *Education and the*

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

Researches

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Higher Life (Chicago, 1900); *Plains of the Mind* (1864); *Means and Ends of Education* (1866); *Songs, chiefly from the German* (1865); *Thoughts and Phrases of Life and Education* (1867); *Opposition and other Essays* (1900); *Aphorisms and Reflections* (1901); *God and the Soul* (New York, 1901); *Religion, Appearances and Education* (Chicago, 1902); *Socialism and Labor* (1902); *Glories of Truth* (1903); *The Spalding Year Book* (1905); *Religion and Art*, and other Essays (1905).

SPALDING, MARTIN JOHN: Roman Catholic, b. near Lebanon, Ky., May 23, 1810; d. at Baltimore, Md., Feb. 7, 1872. He was graduated from St. Mary's College, Lebanon, Ky., 1829; studied theology in Rome, where he was ordained priest, Aug. 13, 1834; was pastor of the cathedral at Bardonia, Ky., 1834-1838; 1841-48; president of St. Joseph's Theological Seminary, Bardonia, 1838-40; pastor of St. Peter's Church, Lexington, Ky., 1840-41; coadjutor bishop of Louisville, Ky., 1848-50; bishop 1850-54; archbishop of Baltimore from 1854 till his death. He founded *The Catholic Advocate*, Louisville, in 1833, and was connected with it until 1838; *The Louisville Guardian* in 1858; was main promoter of the Catholic Publication Society and *Catholic World*, both New York City. While coadjutor bishop, he established a colony of Trappist monks at Gethsemani, near Bardonia, Ky., and a house of Magdalene nuns in connection with the Convent of the Good Shepherd, and while bishop of Louisville he built a magnificent cathedral in that city. In 1857 he founded the American College in Louvain. Spalding was the author of *D. D. Wagner's History of the Great Reformation in Germany and Switzerland* (New York, 1841); subsequently enlarged and renamed as *History of the Protestant Reformation in Germany and Switzerland*; and in *England, Ireland, Scotland, the Netherlands, France, Northern Europe*, 2 vols., Louisville, 1860; *Sketches of the Early Catholic Missions in Kentucky, 1767-1807*; . . . *Complete from authentic sources, with the Assistance of . . . J. Baslin* (1844); *Lectures on the General Principles of Catholicity* (1847); *Life, Times, and Character of the Right Rev. B. J. Flaget* (Louisville, 1852); *Massachusetts: comprising Reviews, Lectures, and Essays on Historical, Theological, and Miscellaneous Subjects* (1853); and edited, with introduction and notes, *Miss J. E. Dumas' General History of the Catholic Church* (4 vols., New York, 1855-60). **SPANGBERG, SPIEGELBERG, ADRIEG GOTT-LEIB:** Bishop of the Unity of the Brethren; b. at Kletzingen (in S. of Göttingen) July 15, 1704; d. at Herrnhut Sept. 18, 1792. In 1717 he entered the cloister school of Hildorf and in 1722 the University of Jena. Here he became assistant of Johannes Franciscus Buddeus (v. v.), whose house was a center of Pietism, through whose influence his entire life was transformed, and he resolved to study theology. In 1723 his development underwent a new change as he was attracted by a circle of mystical separatists and afterward by Gichtelism (see XI-3

Germany, JONAS GRUND), but after the death of Johann Otto Gising, the leader of the Gichtelians, in 1727, and his first contact with the Herrnhuters, he renounced the simple faith of the Bible and the Church. In the summer of 1728 Zinzendorf accompanied at Jena advocating his movement, and soon gathered a circle of Pietistic students, among whom Spangberg took a leading position. In 1729 Spangberg took his master's degree and delivered philological and philosophical lectures, but his whole heart was with the movement of Zinzendorf, with whom his relations became most intimate, especially after a visit to Herrnhut (Apr. 21-28, 1730). He continually took part in the affairs of the community, and Zinzendorf at various times claimed him as collaborator. In spring 1732, however, Spangberg accepted a call to Halle as adjunct in the theological faculty and assistant in the orphan home, but did not sever his connection with Herrnhut. By his attempts to connect himself with a circle of Pietistic citizens of separatist tendencies, he became involved in a conflict with his superiors. Early in 1733 he was called before a series of conferences of officers of the orphan homes; it being considered a duty of the trustees to conform with the principles and practice of the church. Spangberg was finally dismissed and left the city on Apr. 4, 1733. With his dismissal the rupture between the movement of Zinzendorf and the Halle movement became complete. Spangberg then formally joined the Brethren. Immediately after his dismissal from Halle Zinzendorf made him his assistant and entrusted him with various diplomatic missions in connection with his plans of colonization. Spangberg brought colonists to Copenhagen and made the contract in 1733, superintended the beginnings of the colony on the Savannah river (1733), and finally traveled to Pennsylvania in order to care for the Schrockschilans (1738-39) who had originated under the protection of the Moravian Brethren. The time from 1739 to 1744 Spangberg spent in his native country. During this stay in his native country he had opportunities to show his talents for organization. He organized the Brethren in England and founded in London an auxiliary society for mission work, the Society for the Furtherance of the Gospel among the Heathen (1741). But his best work was achieved in America. In 1744 the synod of Marburg appointed him bishop and entrusted to him the supervision of the work in America. Here two settlements of the Brethren were founded in Bethlehem and Nazareth; large areas of land were purchased in New York and Philadelphia congregations were formed, while preaching-stations and school-houses were scattered all over the country. The financial difficulties which arose were solved by Spangberg through the peculiar organization at Bethlehem, the so-called "common economy," according to which all work was done in the interest of the whole community, which in its turn provided for the needs of individuals. Spangberg returned in 1749 to Europe, but in 1751 he resumed his work in America and founded a second great complex of colonies in North Carolina. In 1752 he again left America and made his permanent abode in Germany.

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

He became member of the provincial board of directors formed after the death of Zinzendorf (1760), and until his death took a leading position among the Brethren, one of his services being his assistance in formulating their system of doctrine. It is chiefly owing to Spangberg that the Congregation of Brethren was saved from developing into separatism and that it maintained friendly relations with the Evangelical Church. Among his literary works were *Deklaration über die weitherigen uns unangenehmen Beschlüsse* (1728); *Leben des Herrn Nicolaus Ludwig Grafen und Herrn von Zinzendorf* (9 parts, 1722-1775; Eng. transl., *The Life of N. L., Count Zinzendorf*, London, 1838); *Idem Aho Jyrtten oder huser Sjuff* für christlichen Leben in den evangelischen Brädersameten (Bauby, 1779); Eng. transl., *Exposition of Christian Justice*, London, 1784). Spangberg was also a writer of hymns, ten of which went into the denominational hymn-book of 1778. Some of these have been rendered into English, among them "The Church of Christ that he hath hallowed here," by Miss Winkworth. **SPANGBERG:** Spangberg left in manuscript these accounts of his life, of which the first has not been printed, the second and third printed in *Actes de la Société de l'Allemagne*, 40 vols., 4to-8vo; and the relations see *Brüdergemeine*, 1872, pp. 156-180. Letters of his are printed in *Der Pietismus*, 1875, pp. 9 sqq., 24 sqq., 1874, pp. 30 sqq., 309 sqq.; and in J. Bernold's *Deutsche Lieder*, 1806, pp. 100-101 (1784); 106 sqq., in *Israel's Abend* of him by a contemporary, *Justus*. *Sketches* of his life have been written by G. F. Lohmann, *Historie*, 1808; C. F. Schickel, *Evangelische Jahrbuch*, 1843, pp. 107 sqq.; G. C. Köpcke, *in G. F. Froh, Halle*, 1861, and Dietz, *in G. F. Froh, Halle*, 1861.

SPANGBERG, CYRIAKUS: Son of Johann Spangberg; b. at Neuhausen (10 1/2 m. w. of Leipzig) June 7, 1828; d. at Strassburg Feb. 10, 1904. He began study at the University of Wittenberg in 1842, took his master's degree in 1850, and in the same year the counts of Mansfeld made him preacher at the Church of St. Andrew in Eisenach. Afterward he became town and court preacher in Mansfeld, and in 1859, after the death of Michael Collins, general dean of the county and member of the Eisenach consistory. He was a notable champion of pure Lutheranism, combating the school of Melancthon. The theologians of Mansfeld became the staunchest partisans of Flacius. The three counts, Volrad, Karl, and Hans Ernst, were in ecclesiastical affairs under the influence of Spangberg, whose authority grew wherever anti-Philippine Lutheranism appeared. The seven investitures of Elector Ernst, general superintendent of Mansfeld, and of Spangberg induced Elector August in 1567 to give them to Dresden, but did not violate themselves, but as Count Volrad and Christoph protested against such summons as an infringement of their rights, the two theologians refused to go. Spangberg had offended the theologians of Electoral Saxony especially by seven sermons *De praedestinatione* (Erfurt, 1567), in

which he taught the *seruum arbitrium* in the sense of the other Reformed theologians. In Mansfeld there developed also the tragedy of the controversy on heresy after which had a fatal influence upon the future life of Spangberg. As early as 1560 Flacius had used against Strigel the expression that hereditary sin is the substance of man. Spangberg came to the defense of Flacius after the issuance of Johann Wagners treatise, *Von der Erbsünde*, with his blunt condemnation of Flacius, which had the final result that in 1575 Spangberg and his adherents were recommended and Spangberg himself was forced to be into the district of Saargau where he occupied himself with the composition of historical works and of polemical treatises. In 1578 he, together with his protector, Count Volrad, was expelled from Herrnhut and went to Strassburg; but in 1581 he was appointed preacher at Söllingen-on-the-Pfalz in Hesse, where he was allowed to remain until 1590. During this quiet time he concluded his large works on history, but in 1591 he was deprived of office though he was allowed to live in Yachsbach-on-the-Werra. About 1595 Count Ernst of Mansfeld, the nephew of Count Volrad, brought about Spangberg's return to Strassburg, where he spent the rest of his life. In many respects faithfully following the lines of his father's literary activity, he furnished practical commentaries on *Themenreden* (1597), the pastoral epistles (1599 sqq.), *Corinthians* (1599 sqq.); and compiled tables on the Psalter (1605) and other historical books of the Old Testament (1607). He also compiled the hymnological work of his father, *Christliche Gesangsblätter*, *Von den Herrnhutern Psalmen* (137 songs, among them some of his own, 1603); *Chören Lieder*, a series of sermons on the hymns of Luther (1566), reprinted Berlin, 1850; *Der gute Psalter* . . . *psalmen und 714 andere geistliche Lieder* . . . *der lieben Psalteristen* (1602). Among his sermons special mention may be made of *Throner Luthers* (1589), a cycle of twenty-one sermons on Luther. His polemical writings refer chiefly to the controversy on original sin, on synergism, and on the Lord's Supper. In German literature he has a place as composer of spiritual comedies (1589-90). But his chief services were in the sphere of history, his most prominent work being *Chronicon Carolinum* (1602); *Manifolische Chronica* (Eisenach 1672); *Historia Manichaeorum* (Tübingen, 1673); *Sächsische Chronica* (Frankfurt, 1669); *Quintus Artische Chronica* (Erfurt, 1690); *Adel Spiegel* (Schmalkalden, 1591-94); *Herrnhuterische Chronica* (Strassburg, 1699); *Reformation oder deutsche Kirchen-Historie von 714-765* (1603), and others. **SPANGBERG:** The principal collection of Spangberg's sermons is by J. Fiedler, *Historia ecclesiastica saeculi XVII*, 1808; *Proben*, 1814, and in *Kirchlicher Blätter*, vol. 185 sqq. On his life see *Miss. M. Adm. Year-Gewissen*, *Blätter*, pp. 771 sqq.; *Praktiker*, 1861; J. Fiedler, *in Ann. Amsterd.*, pp. 107 sqq.; J. G. Lohmann, *Lehr. Evangelien*, *Lehrbuch*, 1808; *Evangelische Jahrbuch*, 1843; W. Dietz, *in Historie der hessischen Kirchen*, 1858, pp. 107 sqq.; J. G. Lohmann, *Die Reformation*, II, 270 sqq.; Spangberg, 1848;

and in verses 9-11 are named nations representatives of which each heard in his own tongue the disciples make known the wonders of God. While only four nations of speech are necessarily involved, the implication is that these Galileans were enabled to speak the Gospel in the languages of the world. But the problem here presented is difficult. How could men of different nationalities hear, each of them, all the disciples speaking his mother tongue? and is not suggested that certain disciples addressed groups? Indeed, this appears to be within the region of legend. Moreover, it would not be strange for the Jew of verse 9 to hear a Galilean speak his mother tongue; the conjectures of Tertullian, Jerome, and of modern men that some other word is to be read for "Jude" does not help in view of the text, and the conclusion is that the story of the miracle is a late tradition. The speech of Peter in verse 17-20 imitates a prophetic inspiration, but says nothing of strange tongues. The enlargement which is to be seen here can be traced to Isidore's account, as in the belief that the law of Sinai was not to be restricted to the Hebrews but to be given to the nations in a miracle like that of Pentecost (cf. *Paul, De scripturis*, and *De doctrina* 11 § 11). Such a conception as this, embodied in the work of the Alexandrian Jew, could easily become the basis of an inscription like that in Acts II. This conception is the more easily understood in that the character of Luke's representation is to make Christianity universalistic. Related phenomena appear elsewhere. In I Cor. xii. 1-3 Paul evidently means by the pneumatist especially those in ecstasy; in verse 4-11 he shows that the working of the Spirit is varied, and in xiv. 27-30 the pneumatist may be those who speak with tongues. He also shows here the prophets who were endowed with the Spirit alongside those speaking with tongues; with verse 30 should be added 1. Manifestly compared I Thes. v. 19-20. Paul had taken in not but occasion to warn at Thessalonica the Early Asia against ecstatic and related phenomena (I Thes. II 2). Gal. iv. 6 and Rom. viii. 15-16, 26-27 are to be brought into this relation, in which the cry (Gk. *brachia*) of the Spirit and its testimony are distinguished from that of man's spirit. It is not how to pray, the Spirit makes intercession with unutterable groanings (Rom. viii. 26), and that God understands (verse 27). The apostle himself has had experience of this speaking with tongues (I Cor. xiv. 18; of I Cor. xii. 1), in which he describes ecstasy, and notes verse 4, which is to be placed with I Cor. II, 9). Somewhat unrelated to this species of ecstasy are the phenomena of Rev. 1, iv. 2; with I Cor. xii. 10, which deal with apocalyptic vision. Justin Martyr relates that in his own times spiritual gifts were active in the Church (*Trypho*, lxxxi, lxxxvii, Eng. transl., ANF, I, 243-244) though it is not certain that speaking with tongues is here intended; in chap. xxxix, he speaks of seven kinds of gifts, and this seems to combine in. xi. 2 and I Cor. xii. 7-10, though speaking with tongues is again not mentioned. The Address to the Greeks' chap. x, hardly comes into account here, since the Greek doctrine of inspiration is here under discussion.

In the *Acta Perpetua et Felicitatis*, viii, the Spirit overpowers Perpetua and constrains her to utterance of a name of which she had not thought. The description of the outbreak of Montanism in Eusebius, *Hist. eccl.*, v. xvi. 7 (Eng. transl., 1917, 2 ser., 233) occurs here is not with unassuming and unimpeachable speech but with prophetic utterance, and not only Montanus but two women had the witness. Eusebius (*Acta*, xliii, 4) makes Montanus describe his experience as a taking-out of his own heart by the Lord and the implanting of a new one. Tertullian (*Adv. Marcionem*, v, viii, Eng. transl., ANF, III, 145-146) seems to indicate, among his demands of Marcion, that the latter explain what seems to be a chain of glossolalia, and the same thing is probably meant when in his *De resurrectione carnis* there is a kind of utterance mentioned which no one can hear without interpretation. A weighty witness for the continuation of this gift is presented by Irenaeus (*Adv. H. v. 7, 1*), who speaks of "many brethren in the Church who . . . through the Spirit speak in kinds of languages" (*ANF*, I, 52), and he evidently refers to the phenomena noted by Paul. Yet it can not be denied whether Irenaeus meant speech in foreign languages like that of Pentecost or a phenomenon like that of Cortinales. But that some such phenomena were in his mind is clear, with a probable reference to I Cor. xiv. Chrysostom appears at a loss to describe the facts, which are no longer manifested in his time. In a book that is half Jewish and half Christian, the Testament of Job, is a description of the ecstatic speech of the daughters of Job, one of whom used the method of one class of angels; and this import the conception of a foreign tongue. Yet the phenomenon is not altogether common, and it can not have been important in the apostolic Church; later manifestations of which church history knows, such as those of the Frigidians, must be explained as repetitions of the events of Pentecost and early Christianity. Conditions similar to those outlined in the foregoing are indicated in the Old Testament, where the influence either of the Spirit of God or of an evil spirit is represented as producing ecstasies, enthusiasms, ecstatic speech or action. To the examples noted under *Ecstasy* (q.v.) may be added: 1. *Old-Test.* added the seventy elders of Num. xlii, 1-3, and the illustrations furnished by Jer. xlii, 32, xxxi, 26. Having a parallel connection with these phenomena is the condition of the prophet when having his vision; the consciousness however permits the prophet to give a clear and connected account of what he sees and an interpreter is not needed, and nothing is said in this relation of ecstatic speech. But the things seen in the visions appear to the prophet to be psychological realities. The Greek-Roman world furnished many evident parallels. The Greek oracles were mediated through priests or priestesses who uttered what the divinity suggested to them, while their consciousness was complete absence. Another characteristic of the giving of oracles is the obscurity or unintelligibility of the oracles, which ever needed explanation.

Plutarch (*De pythia oraculis*) brings out the complete passivity of the pythia. Herodotus (*Coro.*, lxxix), notes the necessity of elucidation of the oracles. Dio Chrysostom (*Oratio*, xi) remarks upon the use of rather uncommon, poetic, strange, and circumlocutory expressions. Very illustrative for this class of phenomena is the description which Plato gives in the *Timaeus* of the music or prophet. He says that the inspired and true seer's art is not produced under full consciousness, but that the vision comes when the understanding is under constraint, or in sleep, sickness, or ecstasy; and what he sees or says under such circumstances is to be interpreted by one who has his reason. The last is the gift of the prophet. This representation is analogous to that of Paul, except that the latter does not make the prophet interpret the utterances, but speaks of an interpreter of the same. In post-Homeric times the cult of the Dionysiac orgies made their entrance into the Greek world. According to this, music, the whirling dances, and scenes of intoxication had power to make men "full of deity," to produce a condition in which the normal state was left behind and the inspired perceived what was external to himself and to sense. The soul was supposed to leave the body, hence the word "ecstasy," a being out of oneself, while other expressions used were "to rave" and "to be in the divinity," the latter expressing the thought that in its absence from the body the soul was united with deity, and so the deity spoke in and from the person in that condition. At such times the ecstatic person had no consciousness of his own. It was to this quality that Plato attributed the prophet's power (*De resp. rep.*, IV, i, viii), while Plato regarded true poetry as the result of divine inspiration through the poet's being reduced "in the divinity." Out of the Dionysiac rites, then, developed a species of prophesying which through ecstasy put itself into connection with the divine and spirit world and so forecast the future. Cicero (*Pro Zete*, x) joins prophesying and madness and in *De divinatione*, I, lxxvii, asserts that it was not Cassandra who spoke, but the divinity inhaled in the human body. A prophesy obtained in a Thracian temple of Dionysus as did the pythia in Delphi. And this name Henry spread into Italy (*Livy*, XXXIX, viii, esp.). Ovid (*Metam.*, viii, lxx, Eng. transl., ANF, iv, 614) quotes Ovid to the effect that both in and outside the poetries the people exhibited ecstatic phenomena and uttered unknown, unintelligible speech. In modern times, such demonstrations are not entirely unknown, as in the case of the dervishes (see *Dervishes*). Consideration of these examples enables one to arrive at a definition regarding the New-Testament speaking with tongues. It is significant in this connection that the same phrase, Jerusalem and Corinth, where the phenomena in question appeared, occur in the Old-Testament phenomena and the practice in the Greek world. Accord-

but they had no means of discriminating. Paul thus recalls for them that they had had experience of the power of demons, but that now they were ruled by the Spirit of God; so one who ruled could call Jesus accursed, nor could one call Jesus Lord except in the Holy Spirit (I Cor. 2:3-8). Paul then made the distinction rest upon the content of spiritual qualification (I Cor. xiv.). While the Greek-Roman world furnished many evident parallels. The Greek oracles were mediated through priests or priestesses who uttered what the divinity suggested to them, while their consciousness was complete absence. Another characteristic of the giving of oracles is the obscurity or unintelligibility of the oracles, which ever needed explanation.

some part of the archdiocese of Mainz, the larger portion of the see being on the right bank of the Rhine, and the smaller portion on the left bank.

For a long time after the rise of Lutheranism the diocese of Speyer, although almost invariably administered by faithful and able prelates, was exposed to many vicissitudes. In 1540 the ducal army of Brandenburg-Culmbach plundered and desolated the cathedral. The majority of the old monasteries came into the possession of adherents of the new faith, although sturdy resistance was made to Protestantism both in its religious and its political aspects. In 1623 Ernest of Mansfeld again sacked Speyer, and in 1632 the victorious advances of Gustavus Adolphus led the bishop to make alliance with the French. This union, even though aided by Swedish neutrality, could not protect the diocese against the horrors of the Thirty-Year War, and for ten years (1635-45) the bishop was a prisoner at Vienna. The years following were devoted to the restoration of the almost ruined diocese, but the War of the Palatinate and of the Orleans and Spanish successions brought new distress upon

Speyer, while occasional conflicts between city and diocese still further complicated the situation. The wars of the Polish and Austrian successions also worked to the disadvantage of the see. In 1801 that portion of the diocese to the left of the Rhine, which had been permanently occupied by the French, was divided between the sees of Mainz and Strasbourg, while the district to the right of the river was later shared by Freiburg and Rottenburg. In 1817 the Bavarian concordat created a new diocese of Speyer, which is identical in limits with the Bavarian Rhine Palatinate and forms part of the archdiocese of Bamberg.

Spencer, while occasional conflicts between city and diocese still further complicated the situation. The wars of the Polish and Austrian successions also worked to the disadvantage of the see. In 1801 that portion of the diocese to the left of the Rhine, which had been permanently occupied by the French, was divided between the sees of Mainz and Strasbourg, while the district to the right of the river was later shared by Freiburg and Rottenburg. In 1817 the Bavarian concordat created a new diocese of Speyer, which is identical in limits with the Bavarian Rhine Palatinate and forms part of the archdiocese of Bamberg.

SPEYER, DIETS OF.

I. Diet of 1526. The Political Situation (I). Diet of the Estates (I). Emperor Charles V. Embassy to the Emperor (I).

I. Diet of 1547. When Archbishop Ferdinand opposed the imperial diet in Speyer June 15, 1526, the political situation was unfavorable to the French of the Emperor. Through the pope of Madrid, Jan. 14, 1526, the Emperor Charles V. had gained a free hand, and he had to conduct within the German empire the provisions of the edict of Worms. The South German Roman Catholic princes had formed a compact Political alliance at Regensburg in July, 1524, on June 20. So when, early in 1526, Duke Henry of Brunsweck reached Speyer, to extract the emperor's support in behalf of the ancient faith, Charles joyfully accepted the appeal. On Mar. 23, 1526, he announced that he expected to start for Rome in June, that he proposed to Germany to put an end to Lutheranism. Accordingly, the imperial instructions to the estates at Speyer demanded no more than advancement over the ways and means whereby the edicts of the Church might be administered as usual. But although the chiefs of the Evangelical party, Elector John of Saxony and Landgrave Philip of Hesse, had not yet arrived, the two princely colleges, on June 10, announced some action in the matter of terminating abuses. The cities declared

II. Diet of 1529. The Emperor's Position (I). Roman Catholic Preponderance (II). Withdrawal of the Evangelicals (II). The "Protest" (I & II). Roman Catholic Charge (I & II). Diet of 1541. IV. Diet of 1544.

the execution of the edict of Worms to be impossible. At the same time they demanded that such practices as opposed to the word of God should be abolished. The July 4th memorial of the cities was communicated to the Estates, princely colleges, and it was accepted unaltered. At this juncture, each of the three tribunals, electoral, princely, municipal, elected a separate committee, whose office was to decide between abuses to be abolished and the good practices to be retained. The anti-Roman part of the major part of the German nation again came freely to the front, and power of reinforcement was received by the arrival in Speyer of Landgrave Philip on July 12, and of Elector John on July 20. By an agreement subscribed at Torgau May 2, approved by other Evangelical princes on June 10, the leaders pledged themselves to open confessions of the Evangelical faith. The committee for the princes endorsed the marriage of priests and the sup for the laity as articles worthy of reëdification, but the municipal committee proposed to leave to a free vote with every estate of the realm how it would deal with ceremonial affairs and convocation of the council. Subsequently on July 20, a "great committee" was appointed for further consideration of the whole matter; but on Aug. 3, Archbishop Ferdi-

mand appeared with the shrewd and summary notification that an imperial collateral advice of Mar. 23 prohibited all proceedings, and called simply for the execution of the edict of Worms. Most of the estates heard this communication with aversion. Finally the princely colleges agreed to inform the imperial commissioners that, in the question of religious belief, each estate would "so abide and believe that it might render loyal account before God, his imperial majesty, and the kingdom." A memorial tendered on Aug. 4 by the cities to the estates called attention to the alteration in the political situation since the debated instructions had been delivered. The emperor, being now changed at war with the pope, must admit the Political practical impediement of the mandate Situation; of Worms. Since a council could not Embassy convene at that season, it was advised to the that they report by dispatches and on Emperor. voy to the emperor concerning the present state of affairs, and beseech him to suspend the edict of Worms, and to approve the national assembly that had been forbidden by the emperor. So early as Aug. 5, the estates concurred in the cities' proposal, and the instructions to be despatched with the envoys were concluded Aug. 21. The envoys were to remind the emperor that while some of the imperial estates were still of the former faith and practice, others adhered to a different ecclesiastical teaching, which, in their estimation was also Christian; therefore let both parties hold their own way in behalf of the Christian truth. The emperor was entreated to come to Germany as soon as practicable, so that counsel might be devised through his presence. Furthermore, he was asked to bring it about that within a year and a half a "common free council" should be set afoot on German soil, or, at all events, a free national assembly. He was also asked to set at rest the matter of the edict of Worms. This proposition was adopted in the diet Aug. 27, and accepted by the imperial commissioners. The friends of the Reformation had cause to be content with the results of the diet. While the previous which gave to diet its lasting historical significance brought about no permanent peace, it was designed to aid in lifting over the momentary embarrassment by a truce that deferred the ultimate decision. But inasmuch as the regulation of the religious issue never came to pass, and as neither the council nor the national assembly, nor even the proposed embassy to the emperor, was realized, the embassy being expressly forbidden by the emperor, on May 27, 1527, the Evangelical estates of the realm held themselves to be justified by the diet's ruling to continue and complete the reforms already begun in their jurisdictions. In this way the resolutions of Speyer came to be the legal foundation for the Evangelical party's further innovations in religion. But since the Roman Catholic estates, in their suppression of the Gospel, could also appeal to the ruling of Speyer, the religious division of the German nation dates effectively from this diet.

II. Diet of 1547. The political situation had become still more threatening for the Evangelical estates when a second imperial diet convened at

Speyer in 1529. Charles V., just then on the point of concluding peace with the pope, was resolved to make an end of Lutheranism in the empire. At the opening of the diet on Emperor's Mar. 15, the imperial address to the Estates, estates expressed in the bluntest terms the emperor's disfavor on account of the "pernicious errors" abroad in Germany, seeing they had even caused tumult and riot. The emperor would continue no longer at these disorders; the council, which the pope, too, would now gladly promote, was to be convoked as soon as possible. Till then the emperor forbade, under penalty of the ban of the empire, that any one be moved or misled into unrighteous belief. From the former ruling of Speyer, there had ensued "great mischief and misunderstanding over against our holy faith"; wherefore the emperor did now repeal the same, and commanded the regulation prescribed in his manifesto.

In the diet, the Roman Catholic party had nearly the majority. Among the eighteen members of the "great committee" that was appointed on Mar. 18 for drafting the diet's a Roman enactment, only three were Evangelical-Catholic. Inasmuch as the Roman Catholics Preponder carried their motions, notwithstanding that the Evangelical members' resistance.

No later than Mar. 22, the committee resolved to lay before the diet the report of the preceding diet of Speyer. The committee's memorial was communicated to the estates on Apr. 3, and accepted by the princes Apr. 6 and 7. But when the Evangelical princes declared that they would not be freed from the former decree of Speyer, the motion was returned to the committee for modification, with the proviso, however, that the "substance" thereof should remain unchanged. The memorial, so susceptible to the Evangelical party, was left practically unaltered, was referred to the princely estates on Apr. 10, and adopted on Apr. 12, although Elector John as once made it publicly known that he would protest against it. Shortly afterward, it was delivered to the cities for final passage. When the municipal envoys were summoned one by one to pronounce whether they accepted the decree, twenty-one cities yielded their assent on Apr. 12 and 13, others answered evasively. All the rest, however, besides the still protesting cities of Frankfurt, Hall in Saaxony, Gedar, and Nonnhausen, had the courage to refuse compliance. On Apr. 12, the Evangelical princes caused a writ of grievance to be read aloud, wherein they offered searching arguments for their declaration of the majority resolution, and begged for its alteration. But the estates answered merely (on Apr. 13) that they had delivered their decree, together with the grievance, to the imperial commission. The estates being then assembled in solemn convocation on Apr. 19, the commissioners, through King Ferdinand, announced that in the name of the emperor they adopted the resolution of the estates. Troubling the grievance of the Evangelical estates, they remarked that they had taken cognizance thereof, and left the same to stand or fall by its own weight, and they trusted that the estates concerned would not refuse the ruling by majority duly decreed.

writings, such as "The Benefit of Christ's Death," "Derrin Old and New," and "Summary of Sacred Scriptures," which furnished in his books as the Roman Catholic teachings on purgatory, veneration of the saints, etc. With others he was arrested before the Inquisition at Venice; and his trial came off between May 18 and June 20, 1648. The minutes of the trial are still extant in the archives at Venice, and are reprinted in *Gauche's France-Spinoza* (1872). On the latter day in St. Mark's Spinoza made solemn abjuration of his "errors," and subscribed the abjuration, which he then repeated on the following Sunday in Chittaldia, after mass in the cathedral. On returning home, so he related himself, "the spirit" or the voice of his conscience, began to reproach him for having denied the truth. Amid grounds of comfort that either he or his friends advanced, and a state of despond that grew more and more hopeless, there began a terrible struggle within himself, which soon so affected even his sturdy physique that it gave occasion for conveying him to Padua to be treated by the most celebrated physician. The treatment was vain, and the conflict, which Venetians and others witnessed, ended in his death, shortly after his return to his home. That Spinoza had violent haemorrhoids on himself is later invention.

K. BECKHAFF.
 Bibliography: C. C. Coxe, *P. Spinoza*; ... *Amesbury, 1860*; ...
Amesbury, 1860; ... *Amesbury, 1860*; ...
 1861; N. Bacon, *Relation of the French Embassy to France* (London, 1861); J. Leclercq, *Vie de Spinoza* (Paris, 1862); ...
 Spinoza, *Paris, 1865*; ... *Spinoza, Paris, 1865*; ...
 Spinoza, *Paris, 1865*; ... *Spinoza, Paris, 1865*; ...
 Spinoza, *Paris, 1865*; ... *Spinoza, Paris, 1865*; ...

though Calvin urged him to accept this post, Spinoza was next found in Bourges and Paris. With the outbreak of the first religious war he became a still more important figure, particularly at the prison diet at Frankfurt (Apr.-Nov. 1627), where he was the envoy of Condé. While returning to France, he came into the midst of military operations, and until the concluding of the Treaty of Amboise (Mar. 10, 1633) was civil governor of Lyons. He then went back to Geneva, where he had meanwhile been elected to the Council of Fifty, and in Jan., 1634, he accepted the invitation of Jeanne d'Albert, queen of Navarre, to visit Paris to arrange her affairs. Here he committed the astounding indiscretion of declaring that her son, Henry IV., was the offspring of adultery, and in Apr., 1635, he returned to Geneva. Suspensions now began to cluster around him; he was supposed to be intriguing with France, either to become bishop of Toul or to be made controller of finances; his nephew, who knew the true story of his relations with Catherine de Clugny, declared his two children incapable of inheriting; and he was formally charged with insulting the queen of Navarre. On Mar. 11, 1636, he was imprisoned, especially as there were rumors that he had forged papers attesting a common-law union with Catherine de Clugny in 1635 while her husband was still alive. Investigation proved the falsity of his documents, and though he pleaded that his adultery was outlawed and denied all other charges brought against him, his acts of forgery were deemed by the council to be sufficient reason to condemn him to be beheaded.

(GEOFF. LACHSMAN.)
 Bibliography: The account of the trial and confession of Spinoza was printed at Geneva, 1636. Consult further: J. B. de ... *Geneva, 1636*; ...
 France, 1. 183 (1836), ...
 France, 1. 183 (1836), ...
 France, 1. 183 (1836), ...
 France, 1. 183 (1836), ...
 France, 1. 183 (1836), ...

SPINOZA, JACQUES PAUL: French Catholicist; b. at Paris 1832; accepted at Geneva Mar. 23 (or 25), 1856. He was at first a Roman Catholic and, having studied law, became a parliamentary counselor and later a counselor of state. He then solemnly took orders and was made canon, as well as chancellor of the University of Paris, etc., besides accompanying the cardinal of Lorraine to the Council of Trent as his vicar-general. In 1858 he was consecrated bishop of Nevers, but eleven years later resigned his see in favor of his nephew and retired to Geneva, where he soon professed open adhesion to Protestantism. This step was chiefly due in great measure to his adulterous relation with Catherine de Clugny, whom he had induced to abandon her husband, and with whom he lived after the latter's death. To legitimate the two children of this union, Spinoza pretended to reveal the state of affairs to the council and commissary of Geneva, alleging that his orders had prevented him from marrying the woman, and that he had been forced to leave Paris because of his fear of persecution. The union was declared legitimate on July 27, 1859, and Bern and Calvin readily accepted him as pastor, so that in the following year he became minister at Lausanne. Other opportunities once desired his services, among them his old city of Nevers, but

SPINO, ALFONSO DE: Spanish anti-freemasonist agent of the sixteenth century; d. at Ormaiz (115 mi. n.w. of León), Galicia, 1609. Father of the Inquisition; later he became pastor of the University of Salamanca, and in 1599 was consecrated bishop of Ormaiz. He is generally, and probably justly, held to be the author of the anonymous *Populismo de don Jeronimo*, *Geneva, 1595*; *Christiana de los reinos* (1595-1597 and often), which, according to its preface, was written by a Franciscan teacher at Valladolid in 1598. The work is in four books: the first proving the impossibility of Jesus from fulfillment of prophecy; the second dealing with heretics and their manifold punishments; the third attacking the Jews; and the fourth polemicizing against the Mohameds with an interesting, though open, account of the struggle between the Christians and the Saracens.

(O. ZACKAR.)
 Bibliography: J. A. Fabrice, *Edictes approbations de bulles apostoliques*, pp. 417-418, Paris, 1724; R. Basse, *Biographie critique*, iii. 315-322, Paris, 1758;

J. M. Schopenhauer, *Christliche Ethik*, pp. 475-476, Leipzig, 1822; I. M. Jahn, *Geschichte der Zeitgeschichte und neuer Zeiten*, ii. 11-12, 1838; E. Coxe, *Spinoza's Life*, 2 vols., Philadelphia, 1828-30; *ib.*, 4th ed., 1838.

SPINOZA, CRISTOVÃO CRISTOVÃO DE: Spanish Roman Catholic advocate of union; b. near Rotterdam (27 mi. n.e. of Maastricht), Holland, 1624; d. at Vienna Mar. 12, 1665. He was educated at Cologne and at an early age entered the order of the Observantine Franciscans. He taught philosophy and scholastic theology at Cologne, and rose to the grade of his order. In 1661 he was called from Madrid to Vienna to become coadjutor of Maxim Theresa, wife of Leopold I, and in 1665 he was made bishop of Wiener-Neustadt. Though urged into diplomacy and into the temporalities, he labored unceasingly to reconcile Protestantism with the Roman Catholic Church, willing to make certain concessions for the furtherance of a plan which, however, Protestantism and notable converts from its heritage rendered plausible. In 1671, after gaining the approval of the papal nuncio at Vienna, Spinoza began negotiations with German Lutherans and Reformed princes and theologians, but to nearly every case his advances were met with profound distrust. His most favorable reception was in Brunswick and Lipsdorf, and especially in Hanover, where he had the sympathy of the converted duke, John Frederick, as well as of Gerhard Walter Molanus and Gottfried Wilhelm von Leibnitz (qq.v.). The first conference, in 1675, announced to little, but in 1683 Spinoza made verily a number of concessions, such as communion under both kinds, marriage of the clergy, continued possession of secularized estates of the Church, the suspension of the decrees of the Council of Trent, and remission of formal adjuration, the sole requirement being recognition of the supremacy of the pope. At a conference over which Molanus presided the plan proposed by Spinoza was generally adopted, but when the proceedings became generally known, they aroused the anger of Protestants, while Roman Catholics regarded them as futile. Nevertheless, Molanus and Leibnitz remained in correspondence with Spinoza, and in 1693 the plan was submitted to Rome, who bluntly rejected the entire affair, demanding unconditional submission to the authority of the Church and the Council of Trent, although he received in 1691 an imperial appointment as commissioner general for the promotion of religious union in Austria. Here again his hopes were disappointed, and although a conference was expected to be held in 1695, it never took place. After the death of Spinoza a few attempts at Roman Catholic and Protestant union were made by his successor, Graf of Dunhausen, and by Leibnitz, only to prove equally abortive. (PAUL THURCKER.)

Bibliography: J. B. Fabrice, *Edictes approbations de bulles apostoliques*, pp. 417-418, Paris, 1724; R. Basse, *Biographie critique*, iii. 315-322, Paris, 1758;

SPINOZA, ABRAHAM (BENEDICT DE): Philosopher; b. at Amsterdam, Nov. 24, 1632; d. at The Hague Feb. 21, 1677. His parents were Jews who had been driven from Portugal by religious persecution. He devoted himself to the study of the Bible and the Talmud; was instructed in Latin by Frans van der Endt, a celebrated physician of naturalistic sympathies, and, turning to free philosophical speculation, was excommunicated by the synagogue. Employing himself with the study of the Cartesian philosophy and the development of his own, he dwelt near Amsterdam, 1656-60 or 61; at Ruyterweg near Leyden until 1664; at Voorburg near The Hague until 1670; and at The Hague from 1670 until his death, supporting himself by grinding lenses. In 1673 he declined a call to the professorship of philosophy at the University of Heidelberg, so as not to restrict his liberty of thought. His works written at the Hague, 1669-77, were *Tractatus theologicus philosophicus* (2 parts, Amsterdam, 1667); *Tractatus theologico-politicus* (Hamburg, 1670); and, most important of all, *Ethica ordine geometrico demonstrata*, which, together with *Tractatus politicae, Tractatus de studiorum emendatione, and Epistolae*, was published in Opera postuma (Amsterdam, 1677). His *De Deo homine, ejusque immortalitate* was not known before it appeared in a Dutch translation (Halle, 1832).

For the basis of his method Spinoza depended on René Descartes (q.v.) and for his point of view in part upon the influence of Girolamo Bruno (q.v.). Aiming to arrive at mathematical certainty, he proceeds by a method of exact demonstration, analogous to the geometry of Euclid, with series of definitions, axioms, propositions, and proofs. His fundamental notion is that of substance, which he defines as "that which is in itself and is conceived by itself, i.e., the conception of which does not need the conception of any other thing in order to be formed." There is but one substance, which is infinite and infinite, and is God. Nothing can be predicated of it, because "all determination is negation." It can be comprehended only by attributes which belong only to the mind. Having neither matter nor will, it cannot have an ultimate end in view, but is the immanent cause of all things. There being nothing to constrain it, it is absolutely free, acting from an inner self-determination or necessity. This substance has two fundamental attributes perceptible by man; namely, thought and extension, although an infinite number of attributes is possible. There is no extended substance as separate from thinking substance. An attribute is "that which the mind perceives as constituting the essence of substance." Movement, intellect, and will on the whole, are infinite modes or affections of substance; all individual things are finite and changing modes, though the self which exists is eternal. Modes of the attribute of extension are physical objects; modes of thought are ideas. There is no causal nexus between the attribute and modes of extension on the one hand, and the attribute and modes of thought on the other, inasmuch as they belong to the same substance; although in either attribute there are chains of cause and effect, and between the two

Spittler, Hermann THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 84

to this position, which he retained for the remainder of his life. In 1812 he founded a publishing-house at Basel, and in 1818 a lending-library; but in 1841 he limited his establishment to Bibles, tracts, and the publication of the literature of the Chätions-tumgesellschaft. He was by no means a clear or systematic thinker, and his work was characterized by a lack of fixed plans which was reflected by the premature and impracticable nature of many of his projects, yet nearly all the activities, institutions, and undertakings of the Innere Mission had in him their pioneer. The diversity of his philanthropic interests was marvellous. During the war of 1812-13 he labored in behalf of all in distress, regardless of nationality, station, and creed, and in the war of 1806 he made provision for the distribution of Bibles and the care of the sick; while during the Greek War of Independence he established a society for the moral and religious betterment of the Greeks and a short-lived institution for the training of a number of Greek slaves whom he ransomed, even as he provided an English school for the children of the English workmen engaged in tunneling the Hausern. In 1812 he established a home for poor students of theology, and in 1820 an institution for distributing Bibles to poor children; in 1833 he changed the Greek institution already mentioned into an asylum for deaf-mutes which still flourishes at Riehen near Basel; and he was also instrumental in the founding of several other philanthropic institutions. The development of the deaconess system, like Jewish missions, found an enthusiastic advocate in him, and he was ultimately due the establishment of the seminary for teachers of ragged school-boys at Baslestadt.

Spittler is particularly noteworthy for his effort to carry Protestantism into Roman Catholic districts and unattached Protestant regions, by means of peasants, artisans, and other laymen, who should travel from place to place and in their wanderings spread the tenets of the faith. He soon realized that a certain degree of training and organization was necessary for such missions, but after a number of abortive attempts (including the establishment of colonies of such laymen about a day's journey apart, and the training of quasi-missionaries for Palestine), he was compelled by the missionary society at Basel to restrict his activities to the Innere Mission and the education of missionaries to work among the German emigrants to the United States. Real progress now began, and in 1854 Spittler's "Chrieten" founded some small communities in Switzerland and Hesse-Cassel, while a number of missionaries were even trained for the foreign field. The long-cherished plan of sending missionaries from the "Chrieten" to Abyssinia also seemed on the eve of realization when the war between England and Abyssinia (1868-69) put an abrupt end to all such plans. While, however, the foreign missionary field of the "Chrieten" was practically annihilated at the time of Spittler's death, his Innere Mission work was most successful, and has been most prosperously carried on to the present day. (WILHELM BORNEMANN.)

Reisen seiner Zeit. Basel, 1878, begun by Spittler's adopted daughter, reached only the end of vol. I, coming down to 1872. General further: T. Jäger, Land und Leute des Innere Mission, 1897; W. Heide, Geschichte des Protestantismus in den schweizerischen reformierten Kirchen, pp. 492-504, Göttingen, 1904.

SPITTLER, LUDWIG TIMOTHEUS: German Protestant church historian; b. at Stuttgart Nov. 11, 1752; d. there Mar. 14, 1810. He early developed a marked interest in history, and the main subjects of his study at Tübingen (1771-75) were philosophy and church history. His publications while lecturer at Tübingen (1777-79) included his Kritische Untersuchung des sogenannten Laodizeischen Canons (Bremen, 1777) and the anonymous Geschichte der ägyptischen Rechte bis auf die Zeiten des ägyptischen Inders (Halle, 1778), the latter winning him an appointment as professor of church history and the history of dogma at Göttingen in 1778. Here his lectures developed into his Geschichte der Geschichte der christlichen Kirche (Göttingen, 1782), a work long much admired, being both somewhat popular in tone and doctrinally rationalistic. To church history Spittler also contributed, among other works, his De usu sacrae Alexandrinæ apud Josephum (Göttingen, 1779); Geschichte des Jhdts im Abendmal (Langen, 1780); and Von der ehemaligen Zerstörung der christlichen Kirche an dem römischen Stuhl (Hanover, 1797), as well as his Vorlesungen über die Geschichte des Kirchenrechts, and über die Geschichte des Christentums (both in his Sämmtliche Werke, x.); Vorlesungen über die Geschichte des Papsttums (ed. H. E. C. Paulus, Heidelberg, 1826); Geschichte der Kreuzzüge (ed. C. Müller, Hanburg, 1827); and Geschichte der Ereignisse von Gregor VII. bis auf die Zeiten der Reformation (ed. C. Müller, 1828).

In 1782 Spittler began to lecture on general history, and in 1784 he received all courses of church history, so that his writings were henceforth practically restricted to secular history, political economy, and statistics. He was one of the most popular and influential of the Göttingen professors, although his political attitude caused the king to regard him with little favor. In 1797 he accepted the invitation of Duke Frederick Augustus of Württemberg to return to his native city as a privy councillor, but the sudden death of his patron was almost fatal to his plans, and though he was created a baron in 1800, and made minister of state, member of the University of Tübingen, etc., his real influence was nearly or quite his home compatriots for the days at Göttingen. The Sämmtliche Werke of Spittler were edited in three volumes by E. Wiesner (Stuttgart, 1827-37), the vols. of chief interest for the theologian are I.-II. and VIII.-X. (N. BORNEMANN.)

BRUNNEN: G. J. P. Frank, über Spittler als Historiker, Göttingen, 1811; K. L. v. v. Brunnen, Werke, pp. 211-212; v. Brunnen, op. cit., pp. 211-212; D. F. Strauss, Kirchengeschichte, pp. 68-70; Leisinger, Kirchengeschichte, pp. 248-249; Geib, 1872, p. 22; von Wiesner, Geschichte der deutschen Kirchengeschichte, pp. 872-908; Meusch, 1886; ADM, xxxv, 212, sq.

SPOILS RIGHT OF THE CHURCH: The claim of the Church, the clergy, or secular rulers to a share in

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Spittler, Hermann

the estates of deceased ecclesiastics. The Church persistently adhered to the Roman law until late in the Middle Ages, but made an exception of the claim of the Roman code had been the Church developed with a rigid consistency. When, at least in later times, burial was refused to laymen who had bequeathed nothing to the Church (cf. E. Friedberg, De animarum excommunicatione et excommunicatione, p. 187; Leisinger, 1863), it is not strange that the Church considered itself heir of the clergy and as mother assumed the heritage of her own children, the priests. According to the older church laws the right of ecclesiastics to dispose of their possessions was not restricted; but bishops were early required to make a will, and they were subject to penalty if they did not devise in favor of the Church or of blood-relations. Theodosius II. (450-456) extended to the Church all possessions of ecclesiastics which had not been disposed of by will. In course of time the obligation to make a will was extended from the bishops to all holders of benefices. But strong obstacles continually met the desire of the Church to become sole heir of clerical possessions. Ecclesiastics disregarded church ordinances and seized the possessions of deceased colleagues. Various councils and synods condemned the right of spoils and prescribed severe punishments, but without avail. Ecclesiastics at times did not wait for the death of a brother, and the right of spoils was extended even to the estates of the pope. To do away with these abuses, Charlemagne appointed abbots for the administration of church possessions, but without success. A capitulary of Charles the Bald issued in 844 seems to have been successful. The lay also tried to obtain a share in the estates of deceased churchmen. As long as the clergy lived according to Roman law, their right to dispose of their property by will was acknowledged by the State, but when they were subjected to secular laws of the country, they could no longer make their wills only under the same Roman restrictions as laymen. If they left no will, their property did not go to their relatives or to the Church, but the monarchs later the church-patrons, claimed it; and after Frederick I., the German kings claimed the estates of the bishops. It is true, Frederick I. threatened with severe punishment all those who tried to equal the liberty of ecclesiastics in making a will, but neither he nor his successors regarded their own laws and promises. Even after the emperor had renounced the right of spoils, it was maintained by the German princes. Conditions were not different in England, Scotland, Italy, and France. The right of spoils was practiced in France especially. The Church there complained that the rulers delayed to fill episcopal sees in order to enjoy their revenues so much the longer. Generally the sees above stated were within the Church itself. Abbots claimed the possessions of priors and regulars; bishops the estates of their canons, priests, and other clergy; with the estates of whole churches; priors and chapters the estates of bishops; and all this in spite of the continued prohibitions of councils and

pope. The liberty of making wills, which had been granted by the State to ecclesiastics, was now restricted anew by the bishops. And even after it had been granted since, there still remained the right of spoils the *Ferres* (fourth of a mark), which the clergy had to leave to the bishop and this was customary in some German states as late as the sixteenth century (cf. E. Friedberg, Kirchenrecht, p. 562; Leisinger, 1863).

Even the pope, who had as nobody opposed the robbery of churches, claimed the right for which they had invited the bishops. In France the kings shared with the pope the spoil of churches and ecclesiastics. It was in vain that the United States of Paris denounced such abuses of the Church.

The leaders of the protesting party were thrown into prison, and fear and terror led others to keep silence. But when the consequences of these abuses clearly showed themselves, when bishops were regarded as the worst debtors since their estates offered no security to creditors, Charles VI. ordered, in 1385, the abolition of the papal right of spoils for monasteries and bishoprics. After a few years, however, the Council of Constance was forced to approve the same abuses, also in vain; but in France at least the reintroduction of the right of spoils failed, owing to the rigid opposition of the French kings.

In 1643 Louis XI. repeated the ordinance of Charles VI. and commanded his edict by threats of severe punishment. But even the resistance of secular princes, which found the willing support of the Church, did not induce the pope to deprive the apostolic treasury of the lucrative spoils. As late as 1660 Pius IV. forbade all ecclesiastics to make a will without the permission of the apostolic seat, and did not hesitate to declare future donations invalid, while Pius V. (1567) and Gregory XIII. (1572) renewed the old claims. It is true, however, that these were the last phenomena on a large scale of an abuse that had been practiced for centuries by laymen and ecclesiastics with equal respect, which abuses in Italy even yet had not been abolished. (E. FRIEDBERG.)

BRUNNEN: L. Thomassin, *Forme et essence de l'episcopat*, II, l. 2, ch. 31-32; *Essai sur l'histoire de Philosophie et de l'histoire de l'episcopat*, pp. 21-22; *Supplément à l'histoire de l'episcopat*, 1. 207 sq.; *Revue*, 1820; A. Friedberg, *De canonice excommunicatione et interdictis*, p. 20; *Leisinger*, *Kirchengeschichte*, p. 248-249; *Geib*, 1872, p. 22; *von Wiesner*, *Geschichte der deutschen Kirchengeschichte*, p. 872-908.

SPONDANUS, pseudonym, HENRICUS DIERIC DE SPONDE: French Roman Catholic convert, church historian and bishop of Pamiers; b. at Moulon (25 m. s. w. of Paris), Caucancy, Jan. 6, 1658; d. at Toulouse May 18, 1643. He was brought up in the Reformed faith and studied at the College of Orthes and the Academy of Geneva. He practiced law at Tours and won such distinction that Henry IV. appointed him *notre des requetes* for Navarre. On Sept. 21, 1685, he renounced the Reformed tenets, and through the influence of Cardinal Jacques Dory du Terron (1. c.) he obtained a canonry. In 1690 he went to Rome, where he became a close friend of Cesare Baronius (q. v.), whose

Sports

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

66

Annals he continued to 1627, and was three...
at Rome until 1626, when Louis XIII. nominated...
him bishop of Pamiers, in which capacity he main-...
tained the utmost diligence in the extinction of...
heresy. In 1630 falling health obliged him to resign...
his see, and, after devoting himself to literary labors...
at Paris, he finally retired to Toulouse. His wri-...
tings were as follows: *Difense de la declaration du...
sieur de Sponde par Henry de Sponde son frere contre...
les contradictions des ministres Romains et Souds* (Ber-...
deaux, 1627); *Les Constitutions sacrees* (1628; Lat. ed.,...
much enlarged, Paris, 1638); *Annales ecclésiastiques*...
Cardinal Barrois in plusieurs volumes (Paris, 1612);...
*Annales sacres et univrselles des episcopes redemp-...
tionnaires* (1617); and *Annales Barrois ecclésiastiques*...
de l'annee 1187 de l'annee 1628 (1629).

(GEOFF. LACHENMANN)

BARROIS, There is a biography by F. Fournier...
in the *Journal de Trévoux*, l. vi. (containing...
also, E. and E. Haag, *La France protestante*, ix. 316, Paris,
1859; *Littérature*, 452, et. 460-69.)

SPORTS, BOOK OF: A royal proclamation drawn...
up by Bishop Morton for James I., issued by that...
king in 1616, republished by Charles I. under the...
direction of Laud, in the ninth year of his reign.
Its object was to encourage those people who had...
attended divine service to spend the remainder of...
Sunday after evening prayers in such "lawful recre-...
tion" as dancing, archery, tennis, vaulting, May...
games, Whitsun ales, Morris dances, and setting of...
May-poles. The proclamation was aimed at the...
Puritans, and Charles required it to be read in every...
parish church. The majority of the Puritan minis-...
ters refused to do so, and some were in consequence...
suspended. See PURITAN, PURITANISM, I 13.

(GEOFF. LACHENMANN)

SPOTTISWOOD, JOHN: *Scottish Martyr*, London, 17...
40; *Lectures*, 1727; W. Hastings, *Discovery of Scotland*,...
480-509 (1825); *Scottish Martyrs*, 1825; *Scottish Church*,...
1825-1714, pp. 107-108, 2, 1905.

SPOTTISWOOD, JOHN: *Scottish Martyr*, London, 17...
40; *Lectures*, 1727; W. Hastings, *Discovery of Scotland*,...
480-509 (1825); *Scottish Martyrs*, 1825; *Scottish Church*,...
1825-1714, pp. 107-108, 2, 1905.

SPOTTISWOOD, JOHN: *Scottish Martyr*, London, 17...
40; *Lectures*, 1727; W. Hastings, *Discovery of Scotland*,...
480-509 (1825); *Scottish Martyrs*, 1825; *Scottish Church*,...
1825-1714, pp. 107-108, 2, 1905.

(GEOFF. LACHENMANN)

SPOTTISWOOD, JOHN: *Scottish Martyr*, London, 17...
40; *Lectures*, 1727; W. Hastings, *Discovery of Scotland*,...
480-509 (1825); *Scottish Martyrs*, 1825; *Scottish Church*,...
1825-1714, pp. 107-108, 2, 1905.

SPOTTISWOOD, JOHN: *Scottish Martyr*, London, 17...
40; *Lectures*, 1727; W. Hastings, *Discovery of Scotland*,...
480-509 (1825); *Scottish Martyrs*, 1825; *Scottish Church*,...
1825-1714, pp. 107-108, 2, 1905.

SPOTTISWOOD, JOHN: *Scottish Martyr*, London, 17...
40; *Lectures*, 1727; W. Hastings, *Discovery of Scotland*,...
480-509 (1825); *Scottish Martyrs*, 1825; *Scottish Church*,...
1825-1714, pp. 107-108, 2, 1905.

(GEOFF. LACHENMANN)

SPOTTISWOOD, JOHN: *Scottish Martyr*, London, 17...
40; *Lectures*, 1727; W. Hastings, *Discovery of Scotland*,...
480-509 (1825); *Scottish Martyrs*, 1825; *Scottish Church*,...
1825-1714, pp. 107-108, 2, 1905.

SPOTTISWOOD, JOHN: *Scottish Martyr*, London, 17...
40; *Lectures*, 1727; W. Hastings, *Discovery of Scotland*,...
480-509 (1825); *Scottish Martyrs*, 1825; *Scottish Church*,...
1825-1714, pp. 107-108, 2, 1905.

57

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Sports

and organ of the Evangelical Association, a position...
which he still retains. He was likewise president...
of the Missionary Society of the Evangelical Associa-...
tion in 1884-86 and secretary of the same body in...
1904-07, and a member of the committee to re-...
vise the discipline of his denomination in 1889-90,
while he has also been book editor since 1887, and
president of the Young People's Alliance of the
Evangelical Association since 1893. In theology he
is "an Arminian of the Evangelical type," and has
written *Essays on the Highway to Success* (Cleveland,
O., 1885); *Life and Labors of the Evangelical As-...
sociation* (New York, 1894); and *The Sinner and
his Sinner: or, The Way of Salvation made Plain*
(Cleveland, 1905).

SPRING, GARDNER: American Presbyterian;
b. at Newburyport, Mass., Feb. 24, 1783; d. in
New York Aug. 18, 1872. He was graduated from
Yale College, 1802; taught in Vermont, 1803-07;
was admitted to the bar, 1808; abandoned law for
theology, and studied at Andover Theological Sem-
inary, 1809-10; was ordained pastor of the Brick
(Presbyterian) Church, Aug. 8, 1810, and held the
position till his death. The first four years of his
ministry were years of steady, quiet growth, but
from 1814 to 1824 there were frequent revivals. He
took part in the formation of the American Bible
Society (1816), American Tract Society (1823), and
American Home Missionary Society (1825). His
congregation first met in Beekman Street, but in
1836 removed to Fifth Avenue and Thirtieth
Street. After 1841 he had a colleague. His minis-
try was remarkable both for length and power. His
practical publications were *Essays on the Disap-...
pearing Fruits of Christian Character* (New York,
1833); *Memories of the Rev. G. A. M. B. Lane Mis-...
sionary to the Southwestern Section of the United
States* (1830); *An Appeal to the Citizens of New
York, on Behalf of the Christian Sabbath* (1832); *The
Attraction of the Cross, designed to illustrate the
leading Truths, Obligations, and Hopes of Christianity*
(1840); *The Bible and its Message, or, the Arguments
for the divine Origin of the sacred Scriptures, drawn from
the Scriptures themselves* (1847); *First Things: A
Series of Lectures on the great Facts and moral Les-...
sons first revealed to mankind* (21 vol., 2 vols., 1851);
The First Woman (1852); *Pulpit Miscellanies; or,
Sublime Readings: A Series of Discourses on Chris-...
tian Doctrines and Duty* (1864); *Personal Reminisc-...
ences of the Life and Times of Gardner Spring* (2
vols., 1867); and occasional sermons and collections
of sermons.

Biography: besides his *Personal Reminiscences*, et seq.,
contains the *Memorial Discourse* of J. O. Murray, New York,
1873; and S. Rugg, *Life of the Great Presbyterian*,
Chicago, N. Y., New York, 1895.

SPRING, SAMUEL: American theologian; b.
at Northridge, Mass., Feb. 27, 1746; d. at New-
buryport, Mass., Mar. 4, 1819. He graduated at
Princeton College in 1771; studied theology under
John Witherspoon, James Beoley, Samuel Hop-
kins, and Stephen West (qq.v.). In 1775 he be-
came a chaplain in the continental army, joining a
volunteer corps under Benedict Arnold, with which

he marched to Quebec. He was ordained to the
ministry Aug. 6, 1777, and became pastor of the
Second Congregational Church at Newburyport,
Mass., which he served for over forty years. He
was one of those who gave a powerful impulse
to the cause of theological education, collaborating
in the founding of Andover Theological Seminary.
He also assisted in the organization of the Massa-
chusetts Historical Society and the American Board
of Commissioners of Foreign Missions. He was an
editor of *The Massachusetts Missionary Magazine*.
His most remarkable theological treatise was: *Dis-...
cussions on the Nature of Duty* (1783); and *Moral Dis-...
cussions and Discourses on the Rev. David Tappan's
Lectures* (2d ed., 1815).

Biography: W. B. Sprague, *Annals of the American
People*, ii. 45-46; New York, 1856; W. Walker, *American
Church History Series*, ix. 329, 332, 333-341, 3, 1862;
idem, *The New England States*, sermons, ix. 1601; A. R.
Dunning, *Constitutional and American*, ix. 368-369,
1894.

SPRINZEL, SPIRITUAL, JOSEF: Roman Catholic;
b. at Linz (100 m. w. of Vienna), Austria, Mar. 9,
1839; d. at Prague Nov. 8, 1896. He studied in the
priests' seminary at Linz, 1857-61; was ordained
priest, 1861; studied the priestly institute at
Vienna, 1861-64; became professor of theology in
the Linz Seminary, 1864; professor of dogmatics at
Salzburg University, 1875; ordinary professor of
the same at Prague, 1881; spiritual counselor to
the bishop of Linz Feb. 23, 1875; and of the prince-
bishop of Salzburg Jan. 28, 1880. He published *Die
eukharistische Freierney im Lichte der katholischen
Glaubens* (Linz, 1872); *Handbuch der Fundamentall-...
theologie* (Vienna, 1876); *Die Theologie der apost-...
lischen Väter* (1880); *Compendium summi-...
um dogmaticum in summi prelatum ordinatum* (1882).

SPROUILL, SPIRITUAL, THOMAS: Reformed Presby-
terian (Old School); b. near Prospect, Pa., Sept.
15, 1803; d. in Pottsville, Pa., Mar. 20, 1892. He
was graduated from the Western University of
Pottsville, Pottsville, 1829; was pastor of the
Reformed Presbyterian congregation of Allentown
and Pottsville, 1834-68; professor in Reformed
Presbyterian Western Theological Seminary, 1838-
1840; in the united Eastern and Western Semi-
naries, 1840-45; again from 1856; and professor
emeritus from 1875. He edited *The Reformed Pres-...
byterian*, 1835-62; and *The Reformed Presbyterian
and Covenanter*, 1862-74; both published in Pitts-
burg, Pa. Besides sermons, he wrote *Practical
Theology* (Pittsburg, 1865).

SPURGEON, SPIRITUAL, CHARLES HADDON: English Baptist; b. at Kettleton (60 m. n. e. of
London), Essex, June 10, 1834; d. at Mentone (133
m. n. e. of Nice), France, Jan. 31, 1892. His father
and grandfather had been Independent ministers.
From the age of seven to fifteen he was educated in
a school at Colchester; he spent a few months in
an agricultural college at Maldenstone in 1842; and
in 1849 became minister in a school at Newark, kept
by a Baptist. As a youth he was subject to
inner restlessness and conflict and dated his con-
version from Dec. 6, 1850, at the chapel of "Tran-

SPURGEON THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 68

ive Methodists in Colchester, on which occasion he was deeply stirred and greatly relieved by a sermon preached by a layman on Isa. xlv. 22. However, the study of the Scriptures brought further misgivings and he was not content until he was informed. This took place in the Lark at Ipswich May 3, 1841, and he then united with the Baptist communion. In 1841 he became pastor in a school at Cambridge, and entered the lay preachers' association in connection with the Baptist church meeting in St. Andrew Street, Cambridge. Forced by circumstances he preached unopposed his first sermon in a cottage at Terebinth near Cambridge, at the age of sixteen. His gifts were recognized at once and his fame spread. He preached in chapels, cottages, or in the open air in no less than thirteen stations in the villages surrounding Cambridge, and this after his school duties for the day were past. In 1842 he became pastor of the small Baptist church at Waterhouse, and in 1854, after preaching three months on probation, he was called to the pastorate of the New Park Street Church, Southwark, London. Only 150 persons attended his first service; but before the end of the year the chapel had to be enlarged, and he preached in Exeter Hall during the alterations. When the enlarged chapel was opened it proved at once too small, and a great tabernacle was projected. Meanwhile, in 1856, Spurgeon preached at the Surrey Gardens music-hall to congregations which numbered 10,000 people; and at twenty-two he was the most popular preacher of his day. In 1861 the Metropolitan Temple, seating 6,000, was opened and there he ministered until his death, retaining his popularity and power as a preacher to the end.

Beside preaching, other enterprises made their demand upon his energy. In 1855 he accepted his first student for the ministry; soon a class assembled in his house every week for instruction in theology, pastoral duties, and other practical matters. This work was assigned mainly to a tutor. Out of it grew the Pastors' College, located first in his house; under the Tabernacle, 1861-74; and, after 1874, in the New College building. The total number of these students in the alumni formed the model of new Sunday-schools and churches, a circle which banded around the central church. His internal needs were provided by a number of auxiliary associations. Spurgeon was president of a society for the dissemination of Bibles and tracts employing service of sister cooperators. The Stockwell Orphanage was incorporated in 1867 with an endowment of £20,000 given by Mrs. Hillyard. It grew to a group of twelve houses and accommodated 500 children.

The figure of Spurgeon was a composite one. Methodist by conversion, Baptist by profession, he was fundamentally Calvinistic by descent and is sometimes called "the last of the Puritans." He was minded to carry his obduracy over and the extent of dominion among the churches. In 1864 he invited a controversy with the Evangelical party in the Church of England by a powerful sermon, *Doctrinal Repugnance*, a doctrine which he opposed; 300,000 copies were sold, and numerous pamphlets written in reply, the most important was by a Bap-

tist, R. W. Noel, *Evangelical Clergy Defended* (1864), in which Spurgeon was censured for introducing needless divisions among men of like faith. He, however, ended by withdrawing from the Evangelical Alliance. He also watched with misgivings the growth among Baptists of what seemed to him indifference to morality, deploring that not enough stress was laid on Christ's divine nature. He opposed what he called the "down-grade" movement of Biblical criticism; and, not being able to win the Baptist Union to his view, he withdrew in 1887, remaining independent until the end of his life, although still a staunch Baptist. Personally unambitious and unselfish, indolence in his exacting parish service and incessant Biblical study, human in sympathy and sane on social questions, democratic in temperament, he was ever zealous in the gospel of grace and redemption, and faithful in denouncing evil and upholding what he deemed true and right. As a preacher his early success was due to the animation of his youth, his spontaneous humor, the fervor of his appeals to the conscience, but mostly to his natural gift of oratory. With a clear epigrammatic voice and easy gesture, he knew how most effectively to present his subject of salvation, projected from a shrewd comment on contemporary life and sustained upon his characteristic expository treatment of Scripture derived from the old Puritan divines. He was in later life a great sufferer from gout, and frequently was obliged to leave his pulpit.

The results of Spurgeon's literary labors had an enormous circulation. He translated *The Tenth and the Tenth*, a monthly church magazine; and published more than 1,000 sermons, including, from 1855, a sermon every week, contained in *The Metropolitan Tabernacle Pulpit*, continued after his death (4 vols., London, 1856-1904). Other works were, *The Saint and His Sinners* (London, 1857); *Mourning by Mourning; or Daily Readings for the Family or the Closet* (1860); *Evening by Evening* (1868); *John Ploughman's Tale* (1869); and *John Ploughman's Picture* (1880). Famous also is *Our Own House Book*, with paraphrases of Psalms (1865). His most important work was *The Treasury of David*, an exposition of the book of Psalms (7 vols., 1839-1845). In view of his own lack of higher training, he was dependent in Biblical work upon the research of his assistants for scientific material and on the Puritan divines for method and point of view; and his commentaries are practical and homiletical rather than scientific. Shortly before his death he completed *The Chapel of the Kingdom*, a popular exposition of Matthew (1893).

References: *British Spurgeon's Autobiography*, *Compiled from his Diary, Letters, Sermons, &c.*, and his own plan by G. H. Pike, new ed., London, 1887; R. H. Cowell, Philadelphia, 1892; J. J. Faxon, Chicago, 1892; O. C. Lester, Boston, 1892; R. Shuman, *From the Chair of the Tabernacle* (Paper New York, 1892); H. J. Wedel, Philadelphia, 1892; J. J. Ellis, new ed., London, 1902; G. H. Pike, R. 1905; of the same author's *Spurgeon, Reminiscences of a Southerner*, London, 1902; W. Williams, *Reminiscences of Spurgeon*, New York, 1905; W. M. Hays, *The Spurgeon Family*, London, 1906.

69 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA SPURGEON

SPURGEON, THOMAS: English Baptist; b. in London Sept. 20, 1856. After studying at the Pastors' College of the Metropolitan Tabernacle, London, as well as in South Kensington, he visited America and Tasmania in 1877 and again in 1879, and from 1881 to 1889 was pastor of a Baptist church in Auckland, New Zealand. He was then an evangelist of the New Zealand Baptist Union until 1893, when he succeeded his father, Charles Hadden Spurgeon (q.v.), as minister of the Metropolitan Tabernacle. He resigned this position in 1908, in consequence of ill-health, and has since been president of Pastor's College and of Stockwell Orphanage, London. Besides a volume of poems, *Spiritual Threads and Bits of Blue* (London, 1892), he has published several collections of sermons: *The Gospel of the Grace of God* (1884), *Down to the Sea* (1895), *Light and Love* (1897), *God Save the King* (1902), and *My Chapel* (1902).

SPURGEON, THOMAS: Church of England; b. at Handsworth, Birmingham, Dec. 13, 1868. He received his education at King Edward VI's School, Birmingham, and Gonville and Caius College, Cambridge (B.A., 1891; M.A., 1895; B.D., 1901; D.D., 1907); was made deacon, 1893, and priest, 1894; was curate of St. Matthew's, Walsall, Sheffield, 1893-95; vice-principal of Lichfield Theological College, 1895-97; lecturer in theology at Selwyn College, Cambridge, since 1897, and tutor since 1907; being also curate of St. Mary the Less, Cambridge, 1898-1906, examining chaplain to the bishop of Lichfield since 1905, and general secretary of the Central Society for Sacred Study. He has published *The Epistle of St. James*, *Trinitarian and Interrelation and Unity* (2 vols., London, 1900), and *The Catechetical Outline of St. Gregory's "Nymus"* (Cambridge, 1903).

STABAT MATER. See JACOBUS DE TOST.

STACKHOUSE, THOMAS: Church of England; b. at Wilton-Wear (O. s. w. of Durham), Dec. 16, 1677; d. at Henham (O. s. w. of Reading) Oct. 11, 1752. He studied at St. John's College, Cambridge; was head master of Hexham grammar-school, 1701-04; reformed priest in London, 1704; minister of the English Church in Amsterdam from 1713; curate of Finchley, 1713; and in 1724 was relieved from extreme distress by an appointment to the vicarage of Beonham. He is remembered for his *New History of the Holy Bible, from the Beginning of the World to the Establishment of Christianity* (2 vols., London, 1737; best ed., 6 vols., Edinburgh, 1757). He was also the author of *Memories of the Life, Character, Conduct and Writings of Dr. Francis Ainsworth*, *Late Bishop of Rochester, from his Birth to his Reinstatement* (2d ed., London, 1727); *A Complete Body of Divinity*; *Extracts from the Real Ancient and Modern Writers* (1729; best ed. 1755); *A Defense of the Christian Religion from the Several Objections of Modern Antiquaries*; whereas the *Literal Sense of the Prophecies contained in the Old Testament, and of the Miracles recorded in the New*, as explained and illustrated, in which is included the whole State of the Controversy between Mr. Wollaston

and his Adversaries (3d ed., 1729); *A New Exposition of the Apostles' Creed* (1747); *The Life of the Late and Reverend James Oglethorpe*, With the Lives of the Apostles and Evangelists (1754).

Stackhouse: J. Nichols, *Literary Anecdotes of the 18th Century*, ii, 28-30; 5 vols., London, 1812; *Christians*, ii, 11.

STADE, ALBRECHT, BERNHARD: German Protestant; b. at Arnstadt (O. s. w. of Weimar) May 11, 1848; d. at Giessen Dec. 7, 1906. He was educated at the universities of Leipzig (1867-69; Ph.D., 1871) and Berlin (1869-70), and in 1871 became assistant in the library of the former institution, where he was also private-docent in 1873-75; professor of Old-Testament exegesis at the University of Giessen (1875-1906), and rector in 1888-91, and 1896-97; after 1894 he was co-rector of the theological students at Giessen. In addition to his work as editor of the *Zeltwörter für alttestamentliche Wissenschaft*, which he founded in 1881, he wrote *Über den Ursprung der mehrsprachigen Textsorten der Geographie* (Leipzig, 1871); *De Isidis nuptialis divinatione* (1871); *Über die alttestamentlichen Vorkämpfer vom Zustande nach dem Tode* (1871); *Lehrbuch der Hebräischen Sprache*, vol. 1 (1875); *Die populäre Jansen periphras* (Giessen, 1880); *Über die Lage der evangelischen Kirche Deutschlands* (1881); *Geschichte des Volkes Israel* (2 vols., the second half of the second volume in collaboration with O. Holtmann; Berlin, 1887-88); *Hebräische Handbücher zum Alten Testament* (in collaboration with C. Siegfried; Leipzig, 1892); *Die Reorganisation der Hebräischen Fakultät zu Giessen* (Giessen, 1894); *Alttestamentliche exegetische Vorträge und Abhandlungen* (1899); *The Books of Kings in the Polyglott Bible* (in collaboration with F. Schwarz; New York, 1904); *Biblische Theologie des Alten Testaments*, vol. 1 (Tübingen, 1905); and *Israel und seine Rückfälle und Ausfälle* (Giessen, 1905).

STAEHELIN, ALBRECHT, JOHANN JAKOB: Swiss theologian; b. at Basel May 8, 1737; d. at Laufenbruck (S. w. s. of Basel) Aug. 27, 1825. His active career was passed as docent or professor in the University of Basel. He came under the pietistic influence of the Württemberg school, and devoted himself as a scholar to Semitic studies. His literary activity began in 1827 with a dissertation which discussed the Bausing of Jacob. In Pentateuchal criticism he issued *Kritische Untersuchungen über die Genesis* (Basel, 1830), in which he advocated the application to Bible study of historical-linguistic work and the comparison of Biblical literature with other oriental writings. This was followed by *Kritische Untersuchungen über die Pentateuch*, *Jane Richter, Semit. und Kämpfe* (Berlin, 1843), in which he anticipated in certain respects the results of more recent critics. The last work of this character was *Das Lateinische David* (Basel, 1860), an interesting account of the different phases of David's career. A second series of Staehelin's writings is concerned with the Hebrew prophets, for example, *Die messianische Pseudepigraphen* (Berlin, 1847), in which he cast some light on the relation of these prophetic texts to the New Testament; and his *Die Propheten des Alten Testaments* (1867).

Stäbelin, Adolf
THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 60

For many years Stäbelin devoted his attention to the Psalms, the results of which he printed mainly in ZDMG. His chief work, however, is *Spezielle Entwicklung der karolingischen Bücher des A. T.* (Erlangen, 1862), though his presentation of the subject lacked form and attraction, and this interfered with the popularity and usefulness of his work. Moreover, he had an insufficient sense of proportion; the material points are often thrust in the background in favor of philological observation. Yet the value of his contributions to the critical and religious investigation of the Old Testament can not be questioned. (E. STÄBELIN.)

STÄBELIN, RUDOLF: Swiss Protestant theologian; b. at Basel Sept. 22, 1841; d. there Mar. 13, 1906. He studied at the gymnasium of his native city, also at the university there and at Lausanne, Berlin, and Tübingen. He undertook pastoral duties at St. Peter's in Basel in 1869, and the next year at Aarau. He was elected a member of the faculty in 1871, which he took in St. Gallen, and this resulted in his *Revue für die Theologie* (Basel, 1872). Upon his return he settled in his native city as a private teacher in the theological faculty and was soon after appointed to the chair of church history, becoming regular professor in 1873. After declining a call to succeed Harms at Marburg, he was seized by a disease of the eyes, which threatened to stop his work on the biography of Zwingli, but by the help of his wife and friends he was able to bring out the two volumes, *Erzählung Zwinglis* (1885-87). The rest of Stäbelin's works are in part preparatory studies for this chief production, partly studies out of the history of Humanism and of the Reformation, some of which appeared in various serial or university publications.

In a period of theological and ecclesiastical change Stäbeline held almost to all extremes, and maintained as a moderate the respect and admiration of all by his minority, nobility of manner, and regard for the feelings of others.

BRUNSWICK: K. Schwaner, K. Straub, Basel, 1901; *Ein u. a. Biographien Schweizer Lehrer*, v. 1061.
STÄBELIN, ADOLF, ADOLF VON: German ecclesiastical administrator; b. at Schmalzungen in the district of Nürtingen (20 m. n. of Stuttgart) Oct. 27, 1823; d. at Munich May 4, 1897. He entered the University of Erlangen in 1840, and later spent two years in the Seminary at Munich. He was assistant pastor at Windach and other places until, in 1856, he was placed as pastor at Trauberschwabach, whence he went to Reichenberg in 1860, and to Nördlingen in 1864, where he became first pastor, and also a leader in the matter of reform of the schools, writing on this his first production, *Zur Schulreform* (Nördlingen, 1865). In 1866 he was called to Ansbach as consistorial councillor, and during his activity there of fifteen years wrote several other things, *Das landesherrliche Kirchenregiment und sein Zusammenhang mit Volkswirtschaft* (Leipzig, 1871). In 1879 he was called to the upper council of Munich, and in 1883 to the head of the government of the church in Bavaria, which brought him into relations with the civil power as

councillor. In all these relations soberness in action and wise thoughtfulness distinguished his actions. (T. KOLAR.)
BRUNSWICK: T. Kolbe, *Acta von Stäbelin*, Erlangen, 1897; W. Müller, *Geisteswissenschaftler D. Adolf von Stäbelin*, Munich, 1898.

STÄBEK, WILLY OTTO ALEXANDER: Old Testament scholar; b. at Berlin Dec. 15, 1866. He received his education at the universities of Berlin and Marburg, 1887-92; was engaged in various places in the teaching office, 1894-1903; became privat-docent for Old Testament at Jena, 1903, extraordinary professor 1908, and ordinary professor, 1909. He has issued *Das Deuteronomium, sein Inhalt und seine literarische Form* (Leipzig, 1894); *Studien zur Religion und Sprachgeschichte des alten Testaments* (2 vols., Berlin, 1899); *Über den Ursprung der Göttergötter* (Tübingen, 1903); *Die Entstehung des alten Testaments* (Leipzig, 1905); *Sünde und Gnade nach der Vorstellung des alten Testaments, besonders der Deuter des sogenannten Hauptbundes* (Tübingen, 1905); *Hebraistische Zeitschriften* (3 parts, Leipzig, 1907); an edition of *Janissius Dealingen* (1907); *Die jüdisch-aramäische Papyri von Assuan, sprachlich und sachlich erklärt* (Bonn, 1907); an edition of Amos, Nahum, and Habakkuk (Leipzig, 1908); *Das sprachliche Verhältnis des Urtextes der Propheten* (Göttingen, 1908); and *Aramäische Urkunden zur Geschichte des Judentums im 17. und 18. Jahrhundert vor Christus* (Bonn, 1908).

STARBUCK, KARL FRIEDRICH: German theologian; b. at Stuttgart July 25, 1791; d. at Göttingen July 8, 1826. He studied philosophy and theology, particularly exegetical and critical languages at Göttingen, 1799-81; and was professor of theology at Göttingen, 1799-1826. He lectured in almost all the departments of scientific theology. He published *Geschichte und des Christentums* (2 vols., Leipzig, 1794); *Grundriss der Pädagogik und Religionslehre* (Göttingen, 1798-1800); *Philosophische und biblische Moral* (1805); and *Neues Lehrbuch der Moral für Theologen* (1815). In these works he passed from a speculative and critical to a more empirical and authoritative point of view. He was the first to attempt a history of ethics, 1794-1812 and later. His *Geschichte der Sittenlehre Jesu* (4 vols., 1798-1822) he did not complete. He confined himself later to the preparation of *Geschichte der christlichen Moral des Wiederaufbaus der Wissenschaften* (1808). In addition appeared, *Geschichte der philologischen, historischen und christlichen Moral* (Hanover, 1806); and *Geschichte der Moralphilosophie* (1825). His chief history is the *Entwickelungs-geschichte der christlichen Kirche* (Hanover, 1808); *Geschichte der dogmatischen Theologie* (2 vols., Göttingen, 1810-11); *Geschichte des Rationalismus und Supranaturalismus* (1826); and *Geschichte und Literatur der Kirchensynoden* (Hanover, 1827).

BRUNSWICK: The chief source is the *Deutsches Archiv*, at J. J. Heineke, Göttingen, 1808. See also Foster G. W. *Who's Who in Protestantism*, Theology, II, 298-300, New York, 1917.

STAFF OF CROZIER: See VERRETTAS and ISERONIA, ECCLESIASTICAL.

61 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Stäbeline

STAFFORTIAN BOOK: The name of a confession of belief written in the seventeenth century. After the religious peace of Augsburg, the Margrave Karl II. introduced in 1577 the Lutheran church order. After his death in 1577, the guardian of the three sons subscribed to the Book of Concord (A. V.) but when they had attained to the government in 1584, the eldest, Ernst Friedrich, who received as his share the lower part including the cities of Durlach and Pforzheim, manifested his dissatisfaction with the Lutheran confession, and introduced Calvinistic theologies at the school at Durlach, and attempted to introduce by force the Reformed faith in his dominion. A printing-press was established at the castle at Staffort, 1599, and the articles are treated on which the adherents of the Augsburg Confession (A. V.) differed. Questions prescribed against the new Semipelagianism who accept former faith as the cause of election. Reprobation is very guardedly touched upon. Earliest protest is raised against the doctrine of alibiity and the confession of nature. Appeal is made to the Augsburg Confession and Apology in behalf of a doctrine of the sacrament that does not coerce faith out of its proper position. Reprobation is represented as the redemptive gift of baptism, and blood of Christ, together with all his treasures and merits, is claimed for believers only. The larger edition, *Carolinische Erklärung und rationale von Justenro Metten*, attempts (pp. 1-368) a criticism of the text of the Formula of Concord (A. V.). The effort to enforce it raised a strenuous conflict. At Pforzheim the recalcitrant clergy were dismissed; for weeks there was no pasture; and the new Calvinistic preachers met with organized civic resistance. Ernst Friedrich prepared to move against the city by force of arms, when his death (1604) ended the strife. His successor returned to Lutheranism. (E. F. KRAM, M. L. A.)

BRUNSWICK: G. A. Salla, *Verfassungsgeschichte des evangelischen Kirchenrechts*, 14. Aufl., Halle, 1911, p. 10; *Die Entwicklung der Geschichte der Markgrafschaft*, v. 1, 322 seq.; *Caroline*, 1775; E. F. Yarnold, *Die Geschichte der evangelischen Kirche in dem Grossherzogtum Baden*, II, 20 seq., in 1850; E. F. W. Meyer, *Die Reformation und die evangelische Kirche*, Erlangen, 1904.

STAHL, ADOLF, FRIEDRICH JULIUS: German ecclesiastical jurist and statesman; b. at Munich Jan. 15, 1822; d. at Bruckmann (20 m. n. e. of Frankfurt-on-the-Main) Aug. 10, 1861. He was of Jewish parentage, but embraced Christianity in his seventeenth year. He studied jurisprudence at Würzburg, Heidelberg, and Erlangen; and was professor at Erlangen, 1852; at Würzburg, 1852; and at Berlin, 1856. In Berlin he gathered a crowded audience, not only of juridical students, but of men of all ranks; as when, in 1850, he lectured on *Die persönliche Freiheit in Staat und Kirche* (Berlin, 1852). He also held the highest positions in the state government of the Church, and took an active part in Prussian politics. His brilliant parliamentary talents made him one of the most prominent leaders of the conservative party, both in political and ecclesiastical affairs. His lines are clearly de-

lined in *Die Philosophie des Rechts* (vol. 1, *Grundsätze der Rechtsphilosophie*, Heidelberg, 1850; vol. 2, *Rechts- und Staatslehre*, 1853; rev. ed., 1847). Of the fundamental problems of human life, he considered two solutions as possible, both philosophically and juridically—one on the basis of positivism, and one on the basis of faith in a personal God who has revealed himself to man; one giving the absolute power to the mass of the people, the majority; and one recognizing the State after the idea of the highest personality, as a sphere of ethical action. What lay between these two extremes he deemed a destitute of character. But his own ethics he expressed in "No majority, but authority!" In *Die Kirchenverfassung nach Lehre und Recht der Protestanten* (Göttingen, 1846) he aimed at a restoration of the old Protestant doctrine of church constitution. He held that the three systems, episcopal, territorial, and collegial represented different views of the nature of church government, and were the outgrowth of the prevailing sentiment of three epochs of development; respectively, the catholic, the Pietistic, and the rationalistic. Stahl advocated the Episcopal order. In his *Die historische Kirche und die Theologie* (1850) he opposed a formal union of the two Protestant churches. Among his other works are *Der deutsche Staat und sein Verhältnis zu Rom und Judem* (Berlin, 1847); and *Der Protestantismus als politische Prinzip* (1850). (RUDOLPH KOGEL.)

BRUNSWICK: F. A. S. von L. Brouwer, *Stahl rechtswissenschaftler*, The Hague, 1867; *Festschrift*, Göttingen, 1861, 1862.

STAHR, ADOLF, JOHN SUMMERS: Reformed (German); b. at Appletonville, Pa., Dec. 2, 1841. He was educated at Franklin and Marshall College (A. B., 1867), with which he has been connected ever since, being teacher in German and history (1867-1868), assistant professor of the same subjects (1868-1871), professor of natural science and chemistry (1871-80), acting president (1880-90), and president (since 1890). After studying theology privately, he was ordained to the German Reformed ministry in 1872 and succeeded Benjamin Bowman, later supplying the pulpit of the First Reformed Church, Reading, Pa. He has been a member of the International Sunday-school Lesson Committee since 1880, and has also been a consulting member of the editorial staff of the *Standard Dictionary*, a member of the eighth Council of the Alliance of Reformed Churches held at Liverpool in 1905, and president of the Eastern Synod of the Reformed Church. In theology he is a progressive conservative, "holding to the fundamental verities of the Christian faith and doctrine in the sense that our apprehension of them is advancing with the progress of human experience and scholarship." He has been an editor of *The Reformed Church Review* since 1905, and translated *J. Grob's Life of Zwingli* (New York, 1885).

BRUNSWICK: James, *United Free Church of Scotland*, b. at Giff (27 m. w. of Perth), Perthshire, Feb. 21, 1848. He was educated at the University of Edinburgh (M. A., 1869), New College, Edinburgh (1870-74), and the universities of Berlin (1877) and Halle (1878). He held pastorates at St. Peter's, A-

SHAFER, STANLEY THE NEW SCHAFER-HERZOG 64

of his catholic ideal of a national church, reconciling under the spell of its vast and silent historical perspective every variety of creed and promissive activity. He endeavored his historical memories and lessons to the people by the work. Memorials of Westminster Abbey (London, 1868), enhanced the attractiveness of his writings for those representing all classes, placing his pulpit at the disposal of clergyman of every shade of opinion and of hymns, and admitting even Unitarians to the communion. At certain hours he conducted parties through the aisles of the sacred edifice, communicating his rich treasure of information as well as his enthusiasm. He wore the chains of his personality about the high and the low, gathering even the poor, sick, and disconsolate from the most wretched quarters of the city in the grand festivals of the sanctuary.

The extravagance of ritual, such as vestments, incense, and the posture of head and hands, he treated with unsmiling contempt. By the combination of a pious interpretation with an honest truth-searching criticism, Stanley was the sagacious and inspiring advance exponent of a new order of biblical and historical study. He visited the United States in 1875, and, as a result, there was published Address and Sermons Delivered in the United States and Canada (New York, 1876). Other works are a commentary on the epistles to the Corinthians (2 vols., London, 1855); Questions of Church and State (1870); Lectures on the History of the Church of Rome (1872); Addresses Delivered at St. Andrew (1877); and Christian Institutions (1881; new ed., 1906).

STANTON, VINCENT HENRY: Church of England; b. at Victoria, Hong-Kong, June 1, 1846. He was educated at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1870; M.A., 1873), and was ordained deacon in 1872 and ordained priest in 1874. Since 1872 he has been fellow of his college, of which he was junior dean (1874-76), senior dean (1876-84), and tutor (1884-89), and divinity lecturer (1882-89). Since 1880 he has been D.D. professor of divinity in Cambridge University and canon of Ely. He was university extension lecturer in 1873, select preacher at Cambridge in 1874-78 and at Oxford in 1890-96. He was lecturer in 1879, Cambridge. Whitsuntide preacher in 1880-82, and examining chaplain to the bishop of Ely from 1875 to 1906. He has written The Jewish and the Christian Messiah (London, 1887); The Place of Authority in Matters of Religious Belief (1891); and The Gospel as Historical Document, parts I and II (Cambridge, 1905-06).

STAPFER, EDMOND LOUIS: French Protestant; b. at Paris Sept. 7, 1844; d. at Paris Dec. 13, 1908. He was educated at the Lycée Bonaparte, Paris, and the theological faculty of Montauban (1864-66), and also studied at the universities of Fribourg and Halle (1869-70). He was pastor of the Reformed church in Troyes (1870-76), was maître de conférences in the Protestant theological faculty at Paris (1877-90); professor of New Testament exegesis in the same faculty (1890-1900) of which he was dean (1901-02). In addition to making a French translation of the New Testament (Paris, 1899), he wrote Jesus de Nazareth et de développement de son enseignement par lui-même (1872); Les Idées religieuses en Palestine au temps de Jésus-Christ (1875); Le Palestine au temps de Jésus-Christ (1884); Essai, traduit, by A. H. Hodson, Palestine au Temps de Christ (London, 1886); Le Culte de Jésus (1888); Jésus-Christ, son personnage, son enseignement (3 vols., 1892-96; Eng. transl. by Mrs. S. Houghton, 3 vols., New York, 1896-98); La Mort et la résurrection de Jésus-Christ (1908); a volume of sermons (1904); and De l'état actuel du protestantisme en France (Paris, 1908).

STAPFYLIUS, abo-tin-us, FRIEDRICH: Lutheran theologian, subsequently Roman Catholic; b. at Gauspitz (20 m. w. of Hanovert) Aug. 27, 1812; d. at Munich Mar. 5, 1864. He studied at the University of Göttingen, and later at Padua. About 1833 he returned to Danzig, but in 1836 went to Wittenberg where he remained about ten years. In 1841, at the recommendation of Malantheim, he became private tutor of Count Ludwig of Eisenstein and Neupaten. In 1845 he accepted a call from Duke Albert of Prussia to the newly founded university of Königsberg. In the very beginning he involved himself in a controversy with Goltzsch-Graepel (Pöhlmann, p. 5), who as a teacher and lecturer of the university was accused by Stapfyllus of having turned Anabaptist views; by continual attacks Stapfyllus finally drove Graepel away. After the resignation of Georg Stubius (Aug. 1847), Stapfyllus became rector of the university, but as such did not justify the hopes of the duke and of his friends at Wittenberg; in 1848 he gave up his theological lectures and served the duke as counsellor. In the controversy with Ostander he still represented the Lutheran position, but the general instability caused by continual dogmatic discussions induced him to adhere more closely to the dogmatic consensus of the Roman Catholic Church and in this way he gradually arrived at an unorthodox conception of tradition which after his removal to Danzig in Aug. 1853, led him to oppose the Protestant term of the perspicuity.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA SHAFER, STANLEY

2. Johannes Stauffer: Brother of the preceding; b. at Brugg (19), d. at Bern 1811. He was pastor of Aargau, and in 1776 he was appointed professor of dogmatic theology at Bern, where he was professor of didactic theology from 1778 until his resignation from active life in 1796. He was a popular preacher, and his sermons were collected in seven volumes (Bern, 1782-1806). He collaborated in the revision of the Bern Predigtenbuch and wrote Theologiae multae (Bern, 1783), a systematic presentation of the chief tenets of faith.

3. Daniel Stauffer: Brother of the preceding. After being pastor at Muri, he was called, in 1796, to the cathedral in Bern, and attained the reputation of being one of the best pulpit orators of his time.

4. Philipp Albert Stauffer: Elder son of the preceding and the most distinguished of the family; b. at Bern Sept. 23, 1799; d. at Paris Mar. 27, 1840. He was educated at Bern and Göttingen, and then visited London and Paris, being in the latter city during the early part of the French Revolution. Returning to Bern, he was appointed, in 1797, deputy professor to Johannes Stauffer (see above), likewise being a teacher of languages at the academy. On his uncle's resignation in 1799 he was made his successor. The count connected with the overthrow of the old Swiss Confederation, however, entirely changed the course of Stauffer's life, who being in sympathy with the new government, was sent on an embassy to Paris, in 1798. While there, he was appointed by the Helvetic Directory minister of sciences, arts, buildings, bridges, and streets, a position which he accepted after some hesitation. Here he rendered valuable service in steering the tide of revolutions then prevalent in Switzerland, and accomplished still more tangible results in the organization of schools and charities. In 1800-03 he was ambassador to Paris, but on the fall of the Helvetic Republic in the latter year he retired to private life. In 1806 he removed to France, where the remainder of his life, except for less and less frequent visits to Switzerland, was passed, his residence at first being Béthune, near Paris, and later Tilly, near Metz. During these latter years he worked quietly but effectively in behalf of French Protestantism, upon which, while himself becoming steadily more orthodox, he brought to bear the influence of German theology; nor should his labors in all philanthropic causes be forgotten. Among his works mention may be made of De philosophia Sacrae (Bern, 1786); Einige Bemerkungen über die Zustand der Religion nach einer Reise in Helvetien, insbesondere in relation zu Switzerland (Zürich, 1800); and Mémoires philosophiques, écrites pendant son exil en France (2 vols., Paris, 1844; contains a biography by Usterl).

5. Friedrich Stauffer: Younger brother of the preceding; d. at Mellich (a village near Aargau, 17) m. n. w. of Bern) 1846. In the early years of the Helvetic Republic he was assistant to his brother at the University of Bern, where he was appointed professor of didactic theology in 1801. Being unsuccessful as a teacher, he became, in 1805, pastor at Dinslaken, near Tübing, but in 1818 was recalled to Bern as professor of biblical studies. On the victory of the Liberals and the reorganization of the

of Scripture and to advance the authentic interpretation of the Church. The decisive step was taken by him at Breslau, while he had gone from Düren, where, during a severe illness toward the end of 1822, he received the Lord's Supper after the Roman rite and confirmed his rehabilitation as a Roman Catholic by confession. He then removed to Reims, the seat of Bishop Fremont, in whose service he erected a school and was active in other directions. Bound in hand with Catholicism, he aided in the restoration of Roman Catholicism in Austria and Bavaria. Being elected superintendent of the University of Ingolstadt toward the end of 1840, he undertook a reformation of that demoralized institution. He halted the idea of a general council, but thought that it should be preceded by negotiations between the emperor and the Protestants in order to win their consent. A great advantage, according to him, could be derived from the inner discord of the Protestants. In 1862 Emperor Ferdinand requested Staphylus to extract from the opinions of different theologians a definite statement of what in the name of the emperor should be presented to the council as a program of reform. It appeared as *Commissio imperatoris Ferdinandi I. de re instituta de eccle. ref. in Conc. Trident. prop.* Staphylus published also: *Opuscula sacrorum patrum antiquorum concilio non dogmata Antioch. Osiandri* (Nuremberg, 1853); *Theologia Morali Lutheri (reimpositio) systema* (1858); *Scriptum colloquium August. Conf. . . . cum ppp. antiochianis* (1858). His other polemical works against the Protestants are criticized especially the history of Luther and opposed to Protestant subjects the objective terms of tradition and the contents of the Church. His last work was, *Vom letzen und grossen Abend, so vor der Geburt des Antichristi geschieden soll* (Ingolstadt, 1865). By *Abend*, "evening," he meant Lutheranism. (P. TREMPERER.)

Staphylus of Iona since 1008. His interest for theology lies in his contributions to the psychology of religion, among which may be noted: *Psychologie der Religion* (London and New York, 1899; German transl., Leipzig, 1900); a series of studies on "The Child Mind and Child Religion" in *The Biblical World*, Jan., 1907-08, and on, "Enforcement to the Point from Modern Psychology" in *The Hæretic Review*, 1907-09. His theological position is that of monistic deism.

STARCK, abbot, JOHANN FRIEDRICH: German author; b. at Hildesheim (18 m. n.e. of Hannover) Oct. 10, 1836; d. at Frankfurt-on-the-Main July 17, 1920. While at the University of Göttingen he was greatly influenced by the hours of devotion. After being preacher at the home of the poor and orphan at Frankfurt, he became deacon of the German church at Geneva, 1799-11; pastor at Sassenhausen 1715, and at Frankfurt, 1722; and member of the consistory 1742. Starck represented a mild, practical Pietism after the model of Spener, and his career of thirty years at Frankfurt was marked by private meetings for devotion after the Sunday afternoon services, interest in maintaining the sacred observance of the Sabbath, the seeking of souls, and personal charity. He exerted a far-reaching influence by his numerous devotional writings. His principal work, which made him a household name all over Germany, is *74 Fächer Handbuch für jeden und jeden Tag* (4 parts, Frankfurt, 1727; 6 parts, 1731; latest ed., 1907; Eng. transl., *Daily Handbook*, Philadelphia, no date). The work is composed of long prayers, introduced by a brief instruction based on a passage of Scripture to induce a devout attitude on the subject of the petition. Some of his sermons were published as *Stärke. Festsprachen* (Hildesheim, 1854); the same also *die Episteln* (Stuttgart, 1865; Nuremberg, 1881). He was the author also of *Gedächtnis-Schreibbüchlein* (Frankfurt, 1857). (HERMANN BAUER.)

STARBUCK, EDWIN DILLER: Writer on the psychology of religion; b. at Bridgeport, Ind., Feb. 29, 1866. He received his education at Federal College, Indiana (B.A., 1890), Harvard University (M.A., 1893), and Clark University (Ph.D., 1897); was professor of mathematics in Vincennes University, Ind., 1892-93; assistant professor of education in Leland Stanford Jr. University, Cal., 1897-1901; professor of education in Earlham College, Ind., 1904-09, and of philosophy in the State Uni-

versity of Iowa since 1908. His interest for theology lies in his contributions to the psychology of religion, among which may be noted: *Psychologie der Religion* (London and New York, 1899; German transl., Leipzig, 1900); a series of studies on "The Child Mind and Child Religion" in *The Biblical World*, Jan., 1907-08, and on, "Enforcement to the Point from Modern Psychology" in *The Hæretic Review*, 1907-09. His theological position is that of monistic deism.

STARBUCK, EDWIN DILLER: Writer on the psychology of religion; b. at Bridgeport, Ind., Feb. 29, 1866. He received his education at Federal College, Indiana (B.A., 1890), Harvard University (M.A., 1893), and Clark University (Ph.D., 1897); was professor of mathematics in Vincennes University, Ind., 1892-93; assistant professor of education in Leland Stanford Jr. University, Cal., 1897-1901; professor of education in Earlham College, Ind., 1904-09, and of philosophy in the State Uni-

STARBUCK, EDWIN DILLER: Writer on the psychology of religion; b. at Bridgeport, Ind., Feb. 29, 1866. He received his education at Federal College, Indiana (B.A., 1890), Harvard University (M.A., 1893), and Clark University (Ph.D., 1897); was professor of mathematics in Vincennes University, Ind., 1892-93; assistant professor of education in Leland Stanford Jr. University, Cal., 1897-1901; professor of education in Earlham College, Ind., 1904-09, and of philosophy in the State Uni-

versity of Iowa since 1908. His interest for theology lies in his contributions to the psychology of religion, among which may be noted: *Psychologie der Religion* (London and New York, 1899; German transl., Leipzig, 1900); a series of studies on "The Child Mind and Child Religion" in *The Biblical World*, Jan., 1907-08, and on, "Enforcement to the Point from Modern Psychology" in *The Hæretic Review*, 1907-09. His theological position is that of monistic deism.

STARBUCK, EDWIN DILLER: Writer on the psychology of religion; b. at Bridgeport, Ind., Feb. 29, 1866. He received his education at Federal College, Indiana (B.A., 1890), Harvard University (M.A., 1893), and Clark University (Ph.D., 1897); was professor of mathematics in Vincennes University, Ind., 1892-93; assistant professor of education in Leland Stanford Jr. University, Cal., 1897-1901; professor of education in Earlham College, Ind., 1904-09, and of philosophy in the State Uni-

icipation of the heavenly bodies in the events of great world crises is also poetic fiction or expression which dealt with fabled appearances in the heavens (e.g., Joel ii. 10). With the significance of the constellations men did not so concern themselves that there resulted a science of the stars in Israel; the references in the Old Testament to an art or science of this sort apply such among the Babylonians. However (Isa. xlvii. 13; Dan. v. 11), though rulers of the stars, and this shows that among the Jews of the author's time some had taken up a profession which they piled till the Middle Ages. This art of astrology flourished in Babylon, Egypt, Rome, during the Middle Ages in Christian circles, and especially among the Arabs. It was denounced by Cicero, Tertullian, and the Christian Fathers, yet flourished not only among the ignorant but even among the better informed. An event in the heavens contemporary with some terrestrial happening was related to the latter as cause, in the general ignorance of the course of nature. Hence astrology was by plain people not regarded as opposed to true faith in God, while it was considered also that the signs read in the heavens were given by God himself and so astrology was discriminated from Sorcery (v. 1).

The star of the Magi (Matt. ii.) was probably a conjunction in the sign of the fish of Jupiter and Saturn in the year of Rome 747, a coincidence which abundant stars was regarded by Jewish astrologers as an indication of the Messiah. Calculations of the appearance of definite stars—

4. Hebrew constellations for certain countries were made by the Babylonians. In an assumed significance of the stars is one root of the development of the stars in the West developed very differently. Even in Babylon there was great difference between the mythological and the astronomical significance of the stars. Best star-worship is an old horizon of the Semites, found among all branches. Especially was this developed in Babylon, where the entire pantheon had relation to the stars; and this suggests that the Semitic religion, adopted by the Semites, was largely aerial, though perhaps the Semites had already developed it. It does not follow that with the Semites star-worship was the original form of their religion; even the Babylonians, whose deities were so closely related with the stars, knew that the gods and the stars were different beings. Nothing proves that the Yahweh religion of Israel had anything to do with worship of the stars. The Adama and Baal worship apart, star-worship comes in during the late period. The cults which Adama denounced were idolatrous of this period, not Moslem in derivation. In the Bible there is no trace of this worship in Israel, and to this refer such passages as II Kings xxi. 3, 5, and the prohibition of their, in II Kings 23:2-5. In Judah Manasseh probably introduced the cult, and Josiah attempted to destroy it (II Kings xxiii. 4-6) though it arose again (Jer. vii. 18, xix. 13). (W. L. 1922.)

II. Star-Deities: Actual adoration of the stars as such is not so easily established as common opinion would lead one to suppose, though that it took place

is hardly open to question. The basis of this cult was primarily the animistic conception of stars as living beings due to the fact of their appearing in a certain order, combined later with the aspects of assumption that they influenced the affairs of earth. Thus Cicero (*De natura deorum*, ii. 27) says: "The stars are believed to be the souls of the gods." The accounts in classical mythology and poetry of the origin of constellations and stars, such as the story of the Pleiades or of Castor and Pollux, are not to be mistaken for worship; they are merely the exercise of a naive philosophy attempting to account for origins or of the pleasing fancy of the poetic imagination. The comparative insignificance of star-worship is easily accounted for by the vast number of the planets which, by their motion, seemed to emphasize their several degrees of importance, and of a few fixed stars whose superior brilliance marked them out or whose position made them remarkable. What closely resembled star-worship and perhaps involved it existed in Babylonia. Indeed the diagram for star in the sign of deity three repeated (cf. F. Jensen, *Konow'sches Zeitschrift*, pp. 43-44, Strasbourg, 1909). In the Mesopotamian world the cult of the stars is said to have been met on the coast of the Persian Gulf and to have assigned quantities of them to certain deities (cf. translation of part of a tablet accessible in D. 1. 19). This he himself assumed as his charge Jupiter, gave Venus to Ishtar (Ishar was also associated with Sitalu, Sitalu to Mith, Mars to Nergal, and Mercury to Nabu. These deities, possibly as representative of the planets, are characteristically pictured as riding on certain animals, some of them mythological, and in this form received homage (with a representation is easily accessible in A. Jerrold, *Das alte Testament im Lichte der alten Orient*, fig. 5, p. 11, Leipzig, 1906). This order of assignment was not universal in Babylonia, since both Nergal and Ishar are known to have been associated with Saturn, and Mith and Nergal with Mars, while a deity called Ishar had Jupiter, Mith, Nibh, and Nergal, with Shamash, are in another relation regarded as representing the sun and controlling it at critical points of its diurnal and annual motions. Similarly, and perhaps consequently, Jupiter, Mars, Mercury, and Saturn took the same prominence in their nightly places as the sun in the corresponding positions, and were compared with that body in its relative importance. The Pleiades (*Sitalu*, "the Seven") were worshipped in Babylonia, and the name occurs in inscriptions texts as that of a group of deities (*Sitalu*, KAT, pp. 413, 459), possibly represented in China by Boeshaehy; in this case the word is wrongly explained as "wall of swearing" (*Gen.* xxi. 30, xxxi. 33). The sun, moon, and Venus were thought of as in control of the seasonal signs, and so of all the influences that affect on the earth life and death.

In Egypt star-worship was, in historical times, 1. Stations as Fast: Fasting was a practice of the early Christians derived from Judaism, which observed Monday and Thursday (cf. Luke xvii. 17). With the early Christians these days were superseded by Wednesday and Friday. In the time of Jerome (III, v. 1) these fasts were already known as "stations," being compared with the metrical duty of soldiers (of Paul's frequent use of military metaphors and similes; Tertullian, "On fasting," c. 15; "On prayer," loc. cit. Eng. transl., ANF, v. 112, ii. 687). At first optional and not a precept, the observance of stations became obligatory in the postulate of Innocent I. (402-417). "The two stations days were also marked by meetings for worship. But these were held in different manners in different localities. In some places the liturgy, properly so called, was used; that is, the Eucharist was celebrated. This was the custom in Africa at the time of Tertullian, and at Jerusalem toward the end of the fourth century. In the Church of Alexandria, on the other hand, the station did not include the liturgy" (L. Duchesne, *Christian Worship: Its Origin and Evolution*, p. 233, London, 1903). Duchesne thinks that the usage at Rome was like that of Alexandria as described by Sozomen (*Hist. eccl.*, v. xlii, Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., ii. 130-134). It is certain that the mysteries were not celebrated on Friday either at Alexandria or at Rome. Nothing is known of the Wednesday service, and it was abandoned in the West, a fact which scandalized the Greek Church and became one of the grievances against the Latin Church. The observance of stations is clearly indicated in the Gregorian Sacramentary. "The place of the station is always expressly indicated, unless the name of the saint alone is sufficient to designate the Church at which the festival was held. For instance, it was not deemed necessary to say where the station was on the days of St. Marcellin, St. Agnes, St. Sylvester, etc. But for the days of Lent, for the festival of the Holy Innocent, and for that of St. Felix of Nola, the Church is indicated. There are sometimes even two indications, when the station is preceded by a general procession. In that case the Church is denoted from which the procession starts, and that where Mass is celebrated. Similar indications are given when there are several

not that of the star itself but of the divinity conceived as animating it. That this is a developed conception is at once evident, and points to the earlier belief in the life and divinity of the stars.

3. In the heavenly body itself. The fact of Egypt, Saturn, Mercury, Mars, and Venus as mounted on their boats (this fixes their divine character, as it is the Egyptian method of representing the journeys of the gods and corresponds to the Babylonian method referred to above, where deities are riding various animals), and making their progress under the guidance of Anubis and Sirius (E. Le Héron, *Les Hypogées royales de Thèbes*, part 4, plate xxviii, Paris, 1868). So there was a Sothis or Isis-Sothis, the deity of Sirius or the Dog Star. But the notion of such divinity is rare, and invocation of them is not frequent.

In China among the objects of imperial worship at the capital are the Pleiades, the five planets, and the constellations, as well as the starry North Star, whose worship came from China (W. G. Aston, *Shinto*, p. 142, London and New York, 1905). The worship of the multi deity was probably a variety. Similarly in India the worship of Saturn is that of a malignant and dreaded deity, who is propitiated by sacrifice.

The indications of star-worship among primitive peoples are elusive and unsatisfactory, and the most that can be said with certainty is that much of the material is rather that of folk-lore and mythology than of ritual. Yet it may be noted, for example, that the Barbers offer worship to Venus, the Pleiades, Orion, the Great Bear, and the Little Bear. For some details of folk-lore, cf. J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq. (London, 1906).

GEO. W. GILMORE.
Bibliography: J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq.; J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq.; J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq.; J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq.; J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq.

Origin of the Pleiades Constellation of the Greeks, *Pleiades*, and *Boötes*, 2 vols., London, 1905; C. Thomas, *Rome and the Pleiades*, London, 1905; F. Roscher, *Der Götterlexikon*, ii. 1, 1905; J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq.; J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq.; J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, ii. 19 seq.

STATION: A word having several significations in liturgical and historical theology.

1. Stations as Fast: Fasting was a practice of the early Christians derived from Judaism, which observed Monday and Thursday (cf. Luke xvii. 17). With the early Christians these days were superseded by Wednesday and Friday. In the time of Jerome (III, v. 1) these fasts were already known as "stations," being compared with the metrical duty of soldiers (of Paul's frequent use of military metaphors and similes; Tertullian, "On fasting," c. 15; "On prayer," loc. cit. Eng. transl., ANF, v. 112, ii. 687). At first optional and not a precept, the observance of stations became obligatory in the postulate of Innocent I. (402-417). "The two stations days were also marked by meetings for worship. But these were held in different manners in different localities. In some places the liturgy, properly so called, was used; that is, the Eucharist was celebrated. This was the custom in Africa at the time of Tertullian, and at Jerusalem toward the end of the fourth century. In the Church of Alexandria, on the other hand, the station did not include the liturgy" (L. Duchesne, *Christian Worship: Its Origin and Evolution*, p. 233, London, 1903). Duchesne thinks that the usage at Rome was like that of Alexandria as described by Sozomen (*Hist. eccl.*, v. xlii, Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., ii. 130-134). It is certain that the mysteries were not celebrated on Friday either at Alexandria or at Rome. Nothing is known of the Wednesday service, and it was abandoned in the West, a fact which scandalized the Greek Church and became one of the grievances against the Latin Church. The observance of stations is clearly indicated in the Gregorian Sacramentary. "The place of the station is always expressly indicated, unless the name of the saint alone is sufficient to designate the Church at which the festival was held. For instance, it was not deemed necessary to say where the station was on the days of St. Marcellin, St. Agnes, St. Sylvester, etc. But for the days of Lent, for the festival of the Holy Innocent, and for that of St. Felix of Nola, the Church is indicated. There are sometimes even two indications, when the station is preceded by a general procession. In that case the Church is denoted from which the procession starts, and that where Mass is celebrated. Similar indications are given when there are several

Station Staupitz THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 70

stations on the same day, or several stopping-places in a procession, as for instance, at the festival of Christmas, on the day of the Greater Litany, and at Vespers in Easter Week" (Duchone, *sup.*, pp. 122-125). Liturgical stations for Monday and Thursday were instituted later, but the early Church, with the exception of Wednesday and Friday, recognized no other station except Maundy Thursday. Sunday is sometimes erroneously called a station day, but the service for that day is really the Easter Vigil.

St. Stations of the Cross: This practice, familiar to every one who enters the Roman Catholic Church, is of modern origin. It is said that Alvar of Cordova (c. v.), upon returning from Palestine, caused various stations to be constructed in the Convent of St. Dominic, forming "stations" where the chief incidents of the passion were portrayed. The idea was no doubt suggested by a reminiscence of the crusades, during which period indulgence was granted those who in person visited the Holy Sepulcher. The Franciscans, who were the ecclesiastical custodians of the holy places in Jerusalem, borrowed the idea and developed it into the "Road of the Cross" (Via crucis) with fourteen distinct stations. The practice obtained but slowly in the church. It was not until late in the seventeenth century that the stations were officially recognized by the pope—Innocent XI, 1666; Innocent XII, 1694; Benedict XIII, 1726; Clement XII, 1721. Each of the fourteen stations recalls some particular incident of the passion, but not all of them are to be found recorded in the New Testament, for example that which has to do with St. Veronica. Each station is marked by a cross which serves as an indulgence; pictures are not necessary, though they are commonly found. The first five are as follows: (1) The judgment of Pilate; (2) the taking of the cross; (3) Christ's first fall; (4) Christ's meeting with his mother; (5) the bearing of the cross by Simon of Cyrene; (6) the stripping of Christ's garments; (7) Christ's second fall; (8) Christ's word to the women of Jerusalem; (9) Christ's word to his mother; (10) Christ's stripping of his garments; (11) the crucifixion; (12) Christ's death; (13) the descent from the cross; (14) the burial. An unauthoritative innovation sometimes added is a fifteenth, the discovery of the true cross by St. Helena (see Cross, *Inventory of*).

The stations may be within or without the church edifice. The privilege of instituting them pertains to the Franciscan Order. Bishops not belonging to this order and even simple priests, when duly authorized, may, however, establish stations of the cross within churches, but not without.

S. In French Dioceses: In France, until the recent dissolution of the concordat of 1801, the word "station" had a particular application. The fifteenth article of the Articles Organiques, in eighteen Concordat, year X (1801), provided that "Solemn preachings, called sermons, and those known under the name of Stations, at Advent and Carême shall not be made save by such prelates as have received special authorization of the bishop."

JAMES WESTFALL THORPSON

Stations: L. Thomassin, *Traité Historique et dogmatique des divers points de la doctrine de l'Église*, part II, chap. 13, *Des Stations*, *Bruxelles*, 1729, t. II, p. 227. Cf. Thomassin, *op. cit.*, *Les Stations*, *Quatrième partie des Écoles*, *Paris*, 1844. H. Thomson, *The Stations of the Cross*, *and First and Fifth*, *London*, 1906, pp. 21, 192-26.

STATISTICS, ECCLESIASTICAL: A summarized representation of the progress and state of the Church within given periods by the collation and classification of religious data. For a long time (in Germany) the church registers furnished the principal material for all statistics, and hence it is that theologians have taken a prominent part in the development of these statistics. But perceiving that private studies in this respect are not sufficient, in more recent times the authorities of State and Church engage in the periodical publication of official tables, thus making possible more accurate and complete statistics. In the German Empire the quinquennial census includes also ecclesiastical data. The state church authorities make a tabulated report of their districts annually, and these are collated by a statisticians committee under the German Evangelical auspices. These results are supplemented by those of societies and private labor, and official reports in emigration, station, and other, by improved methods, carry them to further results and conclusions and combine them with those pertaining to other vital interests. Statistical year-books appear also in most countries. The International Statistical Institute of London assembles every two years a special congress for the mutual promotion of statistical labors. The statistics of missions provides a comparative survey at the time being of Christianity and the non-Christian religions. Besides, denominational statistics has at the present time obtained a prominent place, not only in determining the relative losses and gains but also in the study of significant problems. Especially valuable are these methods for the unbiased tabulation of such items as theological growth and congregational offerings. An application to the economic conditions and relations of the church life of the present has been made by F. Drews, *Christliche Kirchengeld*. This presents, among other results, the increase and decrease of communists in the state churches, the ratio of baptisms to births, of sacred ceremonies to marriages, of births to deaths, the number of members who vote for the governing board of the church, as well as conclusions from the numbers of those entering and leaving the churches. (P. W. THOMPSON.)

In the United States of America the decennial census now includes materials upon religious denominations, and under the general law regarding the census, dated May 22, 1850, in the common taken since that year the government has been approaching more nearly the time of completion. The publication of the special report on Religious Bodies, 1906, issued by the Department of Commerce and Labor, Bureau of the Census (2 vols., Washington, 1910) makes available to the general public the latest government tabulation of statistics, and affords a review almost exhaustive of all matters which are institutionally connected with religious life. Other data (annual) are furnished by

71 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Station

the handbooks of the various denominations. In most cases these being the result of compilation by central officers or authorities in each religious body.

STAFFY, alias, ARGOLA VON ARGOLA VON GRUMMEL: First author of the German Reformation; b. before 1490; d. at Züllichau in Lower Franconia, 1554. She received an unusually good education; under Duke Albrecht of Bavaria (d. 1500) she became lady-in-waiting to Duchess Kungundis, and probably while at court married Friedrich von Grumbach of Franconia. She early adopted the doctrine of Luther, with whom she was on terms of friendship since 1522, and became a zealous student of the Bible. Her first step in literary activity was induced by the condemnation of Arminius Schober (q. v.). On Sept. 20, 1520, on the ground that no one else had protested against Schober to deny the Gospel, she addressed to the rector and University of Ingolstadt a protest, which was printed and widely circulated. The religious edict of Bavaria of Mar. 5, 1522, against all Lutheranists did not change her attitude and she declared that "One must bow to authority, but concerning the Word of God neither pope, emperor, nor prince has the right to command." When she continued her literary activity, the authorities of the University would not design to name a woman, but requested the duke to punish her. Chancellor L. von Eck advised to disprove her husband and to send her into exile. Her husband was deposed, but no further steps can be proved, while the medieval contempt of women makes it probable that no further notice was taken of her. Although she soon ceased to write, she continued to take a lively interest in the Reformation and maintained her intercourse with the Reformers. (T. KOEHL.)

STADTMAYER: D. C. Bizer, *Leben der Ära von Dorothea*, *Leipzig*, 1793. E. F. Lohmeyer, *Leben von Dorothea*, *Münster*, 1911. H. A. Schmitt, *Die Dorothea*, *Münster*, 1911. H. A. Schmitt, *Die Dorothea*, *Münster*, 1911. H. A. Schmitt, *Die Dorothea*, *Münster*, 1911. H. A. Schmitt, *Die Dorothea*, *Münster*, 1911.

STADTIZ, alias, JOHANN VON: Augustinian vicar-general and friend of Luther; d. at Salzburg (56 m. s. w. of Vienna) Dec. 28, 1523. His case of a noble family, but the earliest certain date in his life is that of his matriculation at Leipzig in 1485 as Johannes Stadtiz de Metzereis, the last word of this entry appearing to give his birthplace, which may be Metzereis near Neustadt-on-the-Oder (24 m. s. w. of Wilmanns). A further notice in the university records of Leipzig mentions that Oct. 20, 1485, N. Stadtiz, "Master of Arts of Cologne," was received into the faculty of arts; if this entry relates to the subject of this sketch, it implies a period of study at Cologne. In 1497 as master of arts and reader in theology he was received into the Augustinian monastery at Tübingen, where he became prior, on Oct. 20, 1498, baccalaureus biblicus, on Jan. 10, 1499, accedentarius, proceeding to licentiate and doctor in theology in 1510. His maiden essay, *Deiisio quaestio in ordinis nrae in propositio* etc.

deus dominicus et justus deus, appeared at Tübingen Mar. 20, 1500, and in three subsequent issues there was appended a catechetical effort. By 1503 Stadtiz was prior of the monastery at Marburg and openly advocated in address the positions taken in his first publication, in the circles of purification of monastic life, but was opposed by the Franciscan Kaspar Schatzinger. He was next called by Frederick the Wise to the direction of the newly founded University of Wittenberg, becoming first dean of the theological faculty; and in 1505 he was made vicar-general of the Augustinian Observantist congregations in Germany. In the latter office his first care was the consolidation and publication of the constitution, printing it in 1501. One note in this constitution was the recommendation of Bible study. He was concerned also for the strengthening and spread of the order and for the care of the individual houses, to the rebuilding of the Wittenberg cloister he gave much attention, and received therein Martin Luther (1508), with whom he came into contact at Erfurt during one of his visitations. His Luther afterward proved as having led him into a knowledge of the grace of God, and it was Stadtiz who invited Luther to assist to the doctorate in theology.

Even after Stadtiz settled in South Germany, he remained in essential concert with Wittenberg. An evidence of this is the letter of introduction given by Spalatin to Johann Lang addressed to Stadtiz, in which the latter was enthusiastically greeted as a friend of Conrad Meisinger and of Erasmus (cf. Gilbert, *Zur Briefwechsel des Conrad Meisinger*, t. 1, 170, ff. 121, etc., Halle, 1890). Stadtiz opened his explanations of Augustine's *De spiritu et littera* (1510) with a preface (dated Nov. 18, 1517) in which he spoke of Stadtiz as a "promoter of sincere theology and a distinguished preacher of the grace of Christ" (cf. H. Bizer, *Andreas Bodenstein von Carlstadt*, t. 1, 60 seq., ff. 203 seq., Leipzig, 1905). Stadtiz was often engaged in long journeys of visitation to the religious houses of his order—like that of 1514, when he was in the Netherlands, and that of the summer of 1516 to the Lower Rhine and Belgium. When not on those tours of duty, he lived in Munich, Salzburg, and especially in Nuremberg, where he was in close touch with such men as Christoph Scheuff (q. v.), Hieronymus Holzscher, Laurentius Spengler, Wilhelm Firkheimer (q. v.), and Albrecht Dürer. Indeed, Stadtiz was universally beloved. Erasmus said: "I indeed greatly admire Stadtiz" (A. Horowitz, *Erasmianus*, ff. 97, Vienna, 1879). Light is thrown upon the relations of Luther and Stadtiz after 1518 by the recollections of P. Kalloff (Forschungen zu Luthers römischen Prozess, pp. 84 seq., Bonn, 1905). Following the direction of Leo X. in February of 1518 the promulgator of the order, Gabriel Venetus, received Stadtiz and urged him to call Luther to account. Stadtiz notified Luther of the bid to present his teaching was making; the latter on March 31 replied that the charge was unjustified and declined to show his behavior. But Luther at Heidelberg set forth before associates of his order an explanation of his position

and promised to justify himself to the pope through the year-general by a detailed exposition of his indulgence thames. Thereafter Staupitz was under suspicion of the Curia as a follower of Luther. Staupitz advised Luther to withdraw to a cloister and to relieve his retirement, spiritual and temporal. Paris. His dealing with Luther at this juncture and his unwillingness to withdraw to a cloister, and later suggested a retirement to the University of Paris. His dealing with Luther at this juncture and his unwillingness to withdraw to a cloister, and later suggested a retirement to the University of Paris.

The next activity of Staupitz came through a call of the cardinal-bishop Mathias Lang as court preacher to Salzburg, but the pope required of him a severe statement of non-participation in Luther's articles. Staupitz refused on the ground that he would not take back what he had never advanced; in this Luther with some right saw a half-life. In order totally to part Staupitz and Luther, Lang made Staupitz abbot of the old wealthy Benedictine abbey of St. Peter in Salzburg. Staupitz had now become frightened because of the new attacks of Luther in the matter of monastic vows and the marriage of priests, the abolishing of the mass, and the exit of monks and nuns from the houses. In his office as abbot he devoted himself to religious instruction and the service of souls with a singular zeal. Two deliverances of Staupitz are of importance here. In one, of the year 1523 (printed in C. G. Curtze, *Saltzburger päpstliche Urkunden*, pp. 67-72, Salzburg, 1872), he mildly reproached Stephan Agricola (p. 7) for opposing his subjective opinion to the decisions of the Church. The second, later in the same year, was stronger and advanced the propositions that heretics must be punished since the sheep must be protected from the wolves, that the adherents of Luther were by the pope's bulls and the emperor's edicts placed in the position of heretics, that a single proved point of heresy was sufficient to convict, and that Agricola was guilty in many points. On Dec. 28 Staupitz had a stroke of apoplexy which brought him to his end.

Of his printed works the following may be named: *De decore quantum ad sup.*; *Von der Nahrung der weltlichen christen* (Leipzig, 1515); *Tabula de exercitiis stellarum praedicationis* (ed. Schütz, Nürnberg, 1517); *Vom der Laib Gottes* (Leipzig, 1518); and *Von dem heiligen christlichen Glauben* (n.p., 1523). (C. CURTZE.) **Staupitz, Theodoric.** The German writings of Staupitz were edited by T. Keller, *Die Werke des Theodoric Staupitz*, 2 vols. (Leipzig, 1878). For studies of English the Latin and German of Staupitz see *Staupitz* by L. Keller, *Die Beziehungen und die Stellung Staupitz's zu Luther*, in *Staupitz und die deutsche Literatur*, ed. J. J. Schuler, Leipzig, 1880, pp. 438-440; A. Hülsh, *Die literarische Lage von Staupitz*, in *Staupitz und die deutsche Literatur*, ed. J. J. Schuler, Leipzig, 1880, pp. 440-441; J. J. Schuler, *Die literarische Lage von Staupitz*, in *Staupitz und die deutsche Literatur*, ed. J. J. Schuler, Leipzig, 1880, pp. 441-442.

tion under Lerman, since the biographies of that reformer contain numerous many references to the subject of this sketch.

STAVR, stāv', ERIC ERIKSSON; Swedish Protestant; b. at Gustafs (a village of Dalarna) June 10, 1857. He was educated at the University of Upsala (1880-89), where he became private-docent for exegetics in 1889, and was substitute professor in the same university for exegetics, dogmatics, and moral theology (1892-99). In 1899 he was appointed associate professor of exegetics at Upsala, and since the following year has been full professor of the same subject. Since 1901 he has been editor of the quarterly *Biblisk forskning*, and has written *Om apostolen Pauli förhållande till Jesu Materiat till och från* (Upsala, 1890); *Såsom Gudsord och dess uttrotade uttryckningar* (Stockholm, 1892); *Gemenskap, Mänskon, Mänskon om dess uttrotade uttryckningar* (Upsala, 1894); *Uttrotade uttryckningar från den gamla Testamentets berättelserna* (1900); *Bibelska uttrotade uttryckningar från den gamla Testamentets berättelserna* (1901); *Om Jesu förhållande till Paulus* (Stockholm, 1901); *Gemenskap, Mänskon, Mänskon om dess uttrotade uttryckningar* (Upsala, 1902); and *Bibelska uttrotade uttryckningar* (1904).

STEARNS, LEWIS FRERCH; American Congregationalist; b. at Newburyport, Mass., Mar. 10, 1847; at Bangor, Me., Feb. 9, 1862. He was graduated from the College of New Jersey, Princeton, N. J., 1867; studied at Princeton Theological Seminary, 1869-70; in the universities of Berlin and Leipzig, 1870-71; was graduated from Union Theological Seminary, New York, 1871-72; was pastor of the Presbyterian Church of Newwood, N. J., 1872-76; professor of history and biblical literature, Albany College, Albany, Mich., 1876-79; from 1880 professor of systematic theology in the Bangor Theological Seminary. He was the author of *Evidence of Christian Experience*, *Five Lectures for 1890* (New York, 1890); *Henry Rogers Smith* (1892); and the posthumous *Reminiscences of Lewis Frerch Stearns*, ed. by G. L. Prentiss (1893). Just before his death he declined the nomination of a call to the chair of systematic theology in Union Theological Seminary, New York. He was one of the most prominent of American theologians of his day.

STEARNS, OAKMAN SPRAGUE; American Baptist; b. at Bath, Me., Oct. 20, 1817; d. in Newton Centre, Mass., Apr. 20, 1893. He was graduated from Western College, Me., 1840; and from Newton Theological Institution, Mass., 1846; was instructor in Hebrew there, 1846-47; minister at Southbridge, Mass., 1847-54; Newton, N. J., 1854-1858; Newton Centre, Mass., 1858-66; and from 1868 was professor of Biblical interpretation of the Old Testament in Newton Theological Institution. He translated *Herbert's The Person and Work of Christ* (Boston, 1848); was author of *A Synopsis of the Mosaic Passages in the Old Testament* (1844); and *Introduction to the Books of the Old Testament*; with *Analysis and Explanatory Literature* (1858).

STEBBINS, GEORGE COLES; Congregational evangelist; b. at East Carlton, N. Y., Feb. 26, 1846. He was educated at Albany Academy, Albany, N. Y.

(graduated, 1866), and after studying made in Rochester, Chicago, and Boston, was director of music in the First Baptist Church, Chicago (1870-1874). He then occupied a similar position at the Cleveon Street Baptist Church and Tremont Temple, Boston (1874-79), and was associated with D. L. Moody and I. D. Sankey in their evangelistic work (1879-89), touring Great Britain and the United States. He likewise spent a winter in India in evangelistic work with G. P. Fesenden, and in the same work has made other extensive tours in Egypt, Palestine, and Europe. Since 1880 he has been conductor of music at the Northfield Conference, Northfield, Mass. Besides being one of the authors of *Gospel Hymns*, nos. 3-6 (New York, 1877-81) (in collaboration with I. D. Sankey and J. McGinnis), and other popular collections of hymns, he has compiled *The Northfield Hymnal* (1904).

STECK, alias RUDOLF; Swiss Protestant; b. at Bern Jan. 18, 1842. He was educated at the university of his native city, Jena, and Halleberg, and after being pastor at the Reformed Church in Dresden (1867-81), was appointed in 1881 to his present position of professor of New-Testament exegetics at Bern. In theology he belongs to the extreme critical school; he is a member of the Swiss Gesellschaft für Biblische Wissenschaft (Berlin, 1888); *Die Passionsgeschichte und ihre Entwicklung in Bern im Jahre 1888* (Bern, 1897); *Der Berner Jostprozess, 1897-1900* (1902); *Alten des Jostprozesses* (Basel, 1904); and *Die ersten Schritte der Bibl. Schöpfung, Paradies und Sündenfall, Sünden* (Bern, 1909).

STEDINGERS, TIE; Name of the inhabitants of the lowlands on both banks of the Weser near the North Sea; they were mostly Frisians who retired to these marshlands from the bishoprics of Utrecht in the twelfth century. They acknowledged the territorial authority of the archbishops of Hamburg-Bremen, but actually lived in independence, notwithstanding the attacks of the counts of Oldenburg and of Archbishop Harwig II. The struggle was continued, however, with great energy by Gerhard II., one of the most prominent archbishops of Hamburg-Bremen in the thirteenth century. With the aid of his brother Hermann von der Lippe, he gathered an army in order to enforce his titles and humiliate the peasants. On Christmas eve, 1229, in a decisive battle the peasants won a brilliant victory. In order to avenge the death of his brother and crush the Stedingers the archbishop sought the aid of the Church. He called a diocesan synod at Bremen in 1230, and changed them with Henry and Gregory IX. (1227-41) a crusade was proclaimed against them, in order to carry the synodal judgment into effect. The bishops of Minden, Lohme, and Ratiburg, aided by the mendicant friars of North Germany, soon succeeded in gathering an army of crusaders; but the first crusade in the winter of 1233-34 failed. The Stedingers advanced to Bremen and found an important ally in Otto of Lüneburg, duke of the Douths. The wrath of the archbishop was only increased by these misad-

tures. The pope now requested all other bishops, those of Fulda, Hildesheim, Verden, Münster, and Osnabrück, to preach the crusade against the Stedingers. At his instigation also there was made a solemn compact between the archbishop and the count of Bremen (Mar. 1233) against the same. In June, 1233, the second crusade was undertaken, and first against the East Stedingers. Hundreds of men under arms were slain, the captives burnt as heretics; the others, including wives and children, were reduced to submission by fire and sword, murder, spoliation, and rapine. The West Stedingers repulsed the hostile attacks, although their position became more and more desperate owing to the reduction of the East Stedingers, the failure of expected aid from the pope, and the desertion of their ally. At the same time the number of the crusaders was increased by a fresh band, advancing them the same indulgences and privileges as those extended to the crusaders to the Holy Land. Nevertheless, the third crusade under the leadership of Count Burchard of Oldenburg ended with a defeat of the crusaders and the death of their leader at Treffen. The furious preaching of the crusade on the part of the Dominicans swept over all the low countries, and the reviving tales of heresy and superstitious horrors were exaggerated. The bull of Gregory authorizing excommunication for peace came too late. The hostile hosts of the counts of the broad lowlands, variously estimated from 30,000 to 40,000, assembled against the 2,000 Stedingers. The decisive battle took place Sunday, before Anneson Day, May 27, 1234, at Altesoosh. The Stedingers were overwhelmed by numbers; few resorted to flight; most of them, including women, were slain in battle. A small remnant escaped to the Frisians, and others remained in submission to the archbishop. The territory was divided between the archbishop and the count of Oldenburg. Six months after the battle the pope ordered a rededication of the churches and burial-places, and in 1235 the assemblies upon the Stedingers was renewed. In memory of the victory a special festival took place annually at Bremen, by order of the archbishop, on the Saturday before Anneson Day, until the beginning of the sixteenth century. On the six-hundredth anniversary of the battle, in 1834, there was dedicated a monument in honor of the heroic peasants. (L. HALLIC.)

Stedingers: Source for history are to be found in *MOFF, Godefr.* (1810, 187-211), and *1874, 21, 218, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000.*

STELLÉ, ANNE; English Lyncan-writer; b. at Broughton (10 m. w. n. of Winchester), England, 1746; d. there Nov. 11, 1778. She was the daughter of a Baptist minister. Her personal sufferings are reflected in her verse, for she was always invalid. Her *Poems on Subjects chiefly Devotional*,

Stein
Steinmeyer

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

74

by Theodosius (2 vols., London, 1760) were reprinted, to which was added a Third Volume Consisting of Miscellaneous Pieces in Verse and Prose (Bristol, 1780), with a biographical preface by Dr. Caleb Evans; the profits in each case being devoted to benevolent uses. The whole were reissued at Boston, Mass., in two volumes, 1808, and again as *Hymns, Psalms, and Poems, By A. Stone, With Memoirs by J. Shreve* (London, 1862). Her hymns, to the number of sixty-five, were included in Ash and Evans's Collection, 1769, and were accorded with the best taste of that period, and remarkably adapted to public worship. Dr. Rippon (1787) used fifty-six of them, and Dobell (1860), forty-five. To probably a majority of the hymn-books published in England and America she is the largest contributor after Watts, Doddridge, and Charles Wesley. Although few of her hymns can be placed in the first rank of lyrical composition, they are full of genuine Christian feeling and are natural and pleasing. She had more elegance than force, and was less adapted to stand the test of time than her masculine rivals, though a fragment of her hymn, "Father, what's'er of earth's bliss," may last as long as anything of Watts or Doddridge.

Steinmeyer, besides the professional annals noted in this work, issued the *Zeitschrift für evangelische Theologie und Pädagogik*, pp. 1098-99; also *ODA*, iv, 187-97.

STEELE, DANIEL, Methodist Episcopalian; b. at Windham, N. Y., Oct. 2, 1824. He was educated at Wesleyan University (A.B., 1848), where he was a tutor from 1848 to 1850. He then held pastorates of his denomination in various cities in Massachusetts until 1862, when he was appointed professor in Geneva College, Lima, N. Y., a position which he occupied until 1871. In 1872 he was elected first president of Syracuse University, while from 1884 to 1893, when he retired from active life, he was professor in the School of Theology of Boston University. He has written a *Commentary on Joshua* (New York, 1873); *Bishop's Theological Compend Improved* (1874); *Love Endowed* (1875); *Milena's Papers* (1878); *Commentary on Leviticus and Numbers* (1881); *Half Hours with St. Paul* (1881); *Defense of Christian Professions* (1885); *Gospel of the Comforter* (Chicago, 1897); *Jesus Realized* (1899). A *Sabbath for Hebrews, or Announcements, Revised* (1899); and *Half Hours with St. John's Epistle* (1901).

STEELE, DAVID, Reformed Presbyterian; b. near Londonderry, Ireland, Oct. 20, 1827; d. at Philadelphia June 15, 1896. He was educated at Miami University, Miami, O. (A.B., 1857), where he was professor of Greek in 1858-60. He was licensed to preach in 1860 and ordained the following year (1861), after which he was pastor of the Fourth Reformed Presbyterian Church, Philadelphia, until his death. From 1863 to 1875 he was professor of Greek, Hebrew, and practical theology in the Theological Seminary of the Reformed Presbyterian Church, Philadelphia, and after 1875 was professor of doctrinal theology in the same institution, thus filling a pastorate of forty-five years in one church and occupying chairs in a single institution for forty-

three years. From 1867 to 1877 he edited *The Reformed Presbyterian Advocate*, and published several sermons and addresses, and a *History of the Reformed Presbyterian Church in North America* (in the *Journal of the Presbyterian Historical Society*, 1955).

STEENSTRA, s'ta/s'ter, PETER HENRY, Protestant Episcopalian; b. near Franeker, Friesland, Holland, Jan. 24, 1833; d. at Robinson, Me., Apr. 27, 1911. He was educated at Shurtleff College, Upper Allen, B. (A.B., 1858), and entered the Baptist ministry, but became a Protestant Episcopalian in 1868 and was rector of Grace Church, Newton, Mass. In 1868 he was appointed professor of Hebrew and Old- and New-Testament exegesis in the Episcopal Divinity School, Cambridge, Mass., and was professor of Hebrew literature and interpretation of the Old Testament in the same institution, 1883-1907, when he became emeritus. Besides translating and editing Judges and Ruth in the American edition of Lange's *Commentary* (New York, 1872), he wrote *The Reign of God on Earth and Trinity* (Boston, 1891).

STROER, s'ta'ger, WILHELM, Swiss theologian; b. at Flawil (11 m. w. of St. Gall), Switzerland, Feb. 9, 1809; d. at Geneva Jan. 9, 1839. He studied theology at Tübingen and Halle, where he opposed the rationalistic tendency. Returning to Switzerland in 1828, he was ordained at Aarau, and corresponded for the church periodical of E. W. Hengstenberg at Berlin, which he repaired, 1829, as collaborator. In its columns appeared, anonymously, the noted brochure, *Bemerkungen über die holländische Streitfrage und die Frage ob die evangelische Botschaft gegen ihre Zuhörerinnen ausgesprochen haben* (Leipzig, 1830). This was followed by his first book, *Kritik der Rationalismus in Wapenschilders Zeitschrift* (Berlin, 1830). In Biblical work he wrote an excellent commentary on I Peter (1832), and at the same time was called as professor of New-Testament exegesis to Geneva. There he began to publish with H. A. C. Haerneck (p.v.) a journal, *Mélanges de théologie réformée* (1833-34), and commenced his commentary on the Pastoral Epistles, but on account of his untimely death was able to finish only the first volume, on Colossians (Erlangen, 1835). (K. F. STRONAST.)

STEIN, s'tein, FRANZ JOSEPH VON, German Roman Catholic; b. at Amorbach (23 m. s. of Darmstadt), Bavaria, Apr. 4, 1822. He was educated at the University of Würzburg (D.D., 1859), and was ordained to the priesthood in 1852. After being a curate at Hilders, Heinsfeld, and Schweinfurt, he was instructor in religion at the gymnasium in Würzburg 1860-65, and was then appointed associate professor of moral theology at the university of the same city, where he was full professor of moral and pastoral theology in 1871-1878 and rector magnificus in 1875-76. In 1878 he was consecrated bishop of Würzburg, and in 1897 was enthroned archbishop of Munich and Freising. He has written *Historisch-kritische Darstellung der postapostolischen Mordprinzipien* (Vienna, 1871) and *Studien über die Fragebogen des vierzehnten Jahrhunderts* (1874).

75 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Steinbeck to Stockton

STEINBECK, stáin'bock, FRANZ ALBERT JOHANNES; Lutheran; b. at Pödenitz (17 m. n. e. of Berlin) Aug. 6, 1878. He received his education at the Kaiser-Universität at Magdeburg, and at the universities of Erlangen and Berlin; he was then a private tutor at Bonn and Leipzig; served as inspector and next as assistant preacher at the cathedral in Berlin; was pastor at Erfurt, 1903-09; he then became extraordinary professor of practical theology in the University of Göttingen. He has published *Das Verhältnis von Theologie und Ethik* (Leipzig, 1909); *Das göttliche Selbstbewusstsein Jesu nach dem Zeugnis der Synoptiker*; *Eine Untersuchung zur Christologie* (1909); and *Der Kirchwendelmeister nach Josef von Caesarius, Theologus und Lyriker* (1909).

STEINBOCK, stáin'bock, GEORG; Egyptian; b. at Passau Nov. 12, 1861. He was educated at the universities of Berlin and Göttingen (Ph.D., 1884), and was in Berlin from 1903 to 1905 as an assistant at the Royal Museum, being also privy-counselor for Egyptology at the university in 1903-05. In 1905 he was called to Leipzig as associate professor of the same subject, becoming honorary professor in 1909 and being appointed to his present position of full professor in 1909. He has made extensive travels and excavations in Egypt, and in 1904 delivered a course of lectures in the United States under the auspices of the American Committee for Lectures on the History of Religions. Besides editing the German translation of G. Maspero's *L'Archéologie égyptienne* (Leipzig, 1907); *Die ägyptische Götterwelt* (Leipzig, 1907); and *Urkunden des ägyptischen Altertums* (1904, etc.); he has written *Koptische Grammatik* (Berlin, 1904); *Gründzüge des koptischen Rechts in den kaiserlichen Museen zu Berlin* (2 vols., 1897-1901); *Die Apokalypse des Elias, eine koptische Apokalypse und Bruchstücke der Sokratia-Apokalypse* (Leipzig, 1905); *Die Bräutigam des Pharaonenschatzes* (Düsseldorf, 1906); *Durch die ägyptische Wüste zur Ammonien* (1903) and *The Religion of the Ancient Egyptians* (New York, 1905). He is also editor of the *Urkunden des ägyptischen Altertums* (1904-06), and of the *Zeitschrift für ägyptische Sprache und Altertumskunde* (in collaboration with A. Erman).

STEINHOFFER, stáin'ho-fér, MAXIMILIAN FRIEDRICH CHRISTOPH; German theologian; b. at Osnabrück (13 m. n. of Stuttgart) Jan. 16, 1796; d. at Weinsberg (27 m. n. of Stuttgart) Feb. 11, 1778. After studying theology at Tübingen, he visited Herrnhut and met Count Zinzendorf (q. v.), who secured his appointment as court chaplain to the court of Reuss at Ebersdorf. He entered with his congregation the fellowship of the Unity of the Brethren in 1746, but after two years retired from it and returned to Weinsberg, where he occupied various pastoral fields. Steinbocker had a remarkably impressive and pious personality. He belonged to the Württemberg school of biblical theology. His aim was to enrich and deepen the Christian knowledge of reformation, and his interpretation of Scripture was conveyed with a warm

pietistic spirit. His works are commentaries on Luke (1684), Titus (1740), Colossians (Frankfurt, 1751), and I John (Tübingen, 1762); *Fäßliche Erklärung des Glaubens nach dem württembergischen Bekenntnis aus dem Leben Jesu in St. Baden* (1764); relations with autobiography; *Leipzig*, 1809; *Evangelischer Glaubensgrund für alle Stände*; *Fest und Festtage* (1753); *Evangelischer Glaubensgrund in der heiligmässigen Erkenntnis der Lehre Jesu Christi* (Tübingen, 1759); *Christliche Bekenntnisse nach dem Zeugnisse des Briefs Pauli an die Römer* (1811); *Christologie* (Nürnberg, 1797); and *Die Heilshilf des dreieinigen Geistes* (Tübingen, 1761). (H. KAWRAT.)

STEINHOFFER, stáin'ho-fér, ANDREAS; Jesuit and cardinal; b. at Ullrich (15 m. n. of Passau), Bavaria, Nov. 11, 1825; d. in Rome Oct. 15, 1907. He studied first at Passau, then in the Collegia Germanica in Rome (1845-54), fitting himself for the priesthood. Having returned to Bavaria he was a member priest, and as such confessor to the children of Duke Maximilian. In 1854 he entered the Society of Jesus, taught philosophy, then theology, in the University of Innsbruck, but from 1867 to 1889 was rector of the Collegia Germanica in Rome. He then became confessor to the Propaganda cardinal diocese, with the title St. Agatha in Subura; and called him to the prefecture of the Index. He exerted great influence during the latter part of the pontificate of Leo XIII. and under the present pope. He was sternly opposed to the ideas comprehended under Modernism (q. v.) and urged the pope to issue his encyclical *Pascendi dominici gregis* (Sept. 8, 1907) condemning it. His principal publication is *Geschichte des Collegium Germanicum-Hungaricum in Rom* (2 vols., Freiburg, 1895).

STEINMEYER, stáin'má-yér, FRANZ KARL LUDWIG; German Evangelical theologian; b. at Bielefeld (23 m. n. of Berlin) Nov. 15, 1811; d. at Berlin Feb. 5, 1900. In 1830 he entered the University of Berlin where there came into close personal contact with Neander and was influenced by Schenker's preaching. In 1833 at the invitation of Wittenberg he was permanently won by Richard Rothe (q. v.); he was assistant preacher in the same institution, 1837-40; and in 1840 accepted a call as preacher and teacher to the military academy in a colony of Bohemian weavers near Potsdam. In 1848 he established himself as privy-counselor at the University of Berlin, and in the following year became also first preacher of the Church, the famous hospital of Berlin. Here his extraordinary gifts of preaching showed themselves for the first time, and a select congregation gathered under his pulpit. In 1852 he was called as professor to Breslau where he taught exegesis and dogmatics; in 1854 he accepted a call to Bonn as professor of practical theology and preacher to the university, and in 1855 removed to Berlin as professor of the New

Steinbeck to Stockton THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 76

Testament and of practical theology and preacher to the university. Steinmeyer is important in the history of preaching. He is the representative of a strictly systematic method which stands in closest connection with liturgical ideal. Starting from the idea of Schellerauer, he regarded the sermon as that part of the divine service the function of which is to elevate the devotion of the worshiping congregation to adoration. Of his works may be mentioned: *Beitrag zum Schriftverständnis in Predigten* (4 vols., 2d ed., Berlin 1859-60); *Apokalyptische Beiträge* (4 vols., 1867-72); *Beitrag zur praktischen Theologie* (3 vols., 1874-77); *Beitrag zur Christologie* (3 parts, 1880-82); *Die Wanderpredigten des Herrn* (1884); *Die Reden des Herrn auf dem Berge* (1885); *Die Inhabersprüche Galt* (1885); *Beitrag zum Verständnis des Johannesbenediktions* (3 parts, 1885-86); *Studien über den Brief des Paulus an die Römer* (2 parts, 1894-95). After his death several collections of sermons and his lectures on homiletics appeared, ed. by Richter (Leipzig, 1901).

(G. KAWRAT.)
REINHOLDSPF, F. ERNST; in *Recht von der Kunst und nach*; L. Schulte; in *Rechtliche Erkenntnis*, 1901, pp. 97-100; *Rechtliche Erkenntnis*, 1902, pp. 100-101; *Rechtliche Erkenntnis*, 1903, pp. 100-101, 104-105.

STEITZ, stáit, GEORG EDDARD; German theologian; b. at Frankfurt-am-Main July 25, 1810; d. there Jan. 19, 1879. He studied at Tübingen, 1828-31, and at Bonn, 1831-33; taught in his native city, 1833-42; was pastor at Söcherhausen and Frankfurt, 1842-79, and member of the consistory from 1875. He wrote *Das römische Bekenntnis* (Frankfurt, 1854); and *Die Prinzipien der Predigtwissenschaft der lutherischen Kirche aus den Quellen des X. Jahrhunderts aus Ludwigs Schöpfung und des alten Kirchenrechts* deposited (1854).

(H. TREUBERT.)
REINHOLDSPF, JUNG und DUCHER, *Der Bienenwaben*
Rechtliche Erkenntnis, 1903, pp. 100-101, 104-105.

STELLHORN, FREDERICK WILLIAM; Lutheran; b. at Brimingham, Haverock, Germany, Oct. 2, 1841. He was educated at Concordia College, Fort Wayne, Ind. (A.B., 1862), and Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, Mo. (1862); was pastor at St. Louis, Mo. (1865-67), and in DeKalb County, Ind., until 1869. He has held professorships in Northwestern University, Waukegan, Wis. (1869-74), and Concordia College (1874-81), and has been professor of theology and German in Capital University more than 1881. In 1884 he was appointed president of the university and served until 1900, and since 1902 he has been dean of the theological seminary attached to the same institution. In theology he is a very conservative Lutheran. He was editor of the *Lutherische Kirchenzeitung* (Columbus, O.), from 1881 to 1883, except for a very brief intermission, and has edited the *Theologische Zeitschrift* since 1882. He is the author of *Kirchensystematische Vorlesungen zum praktischen Neuen Testament* (Leipzig, 1868); *A Brief Commentary on the Four Gospels for Study and Devotion* (Columbus, O., 1891); *Announcements on the Acts of the Apostles*

(New York, 1896); *The Error of Modern Missions* (Columbus, 1897); *Die Pastoraltheologie Pauli apostol und erbkrist* (Gütersloh, 1899); and a commentary on Romans (1899).

STELLIS, stáit, CHARLES; Presbyterian; b. at New York City June 4, 1869. He was educated in the public schools of his native city and at Moody Bible Institute, Chicago (1894-95) after having been for many years a machinist. He was then pastor of Hope Chapel, Minneapolis, Minn. (1895-1897), Hope Chapel, New York City (1897-99), and Markham Memorial Church, St. Louis, Mo. (1899-1903). Since 1903 he has been superintendent of the Presbyterian Department of Church and Labor, a division of the Home Mission Board. He organized the Bible Teachers' Training School, New York City. He is widely known as a lecturer and has written *The Workingman and Social Problems* (New York, 1903); *Boys of the Street: How to Win Them* (1904); *Messages to Workmen* (1905); *Christianity's Storm Center: Study of the Modern City* (1907); *Letters from a Workman* (1908); *Principles of Successful Church Advertising* (1909); and *The Church and Labor* (1910).

STENNETT, sténet, English hymn-writer; b. at Abingdon (6 m. n. of Oxford), England, 1603; d. at Kington, near Highdown (16 m. n. e. of Reading), July 17, 1713. He received an excellent education at the grammar-school of Wallingford; settled in London as a schoolmaster in 1665; and in 1690 he was ordained pastor of a Baptist congregation in Devonshire Square, London, which he served till his death. He was the author of *Adieu to the Crucy*; or, *the Remembrance and Advantages of an early Conversion to God* (Amsterdam, London, 1695); *Hymns in Commemoration of the Sufferings of . . . Jesus Christ*, composed for the Celebration of His Holy Supper (1697); and ed., with thirteen more hymns, 1709; *A Version of Solomon's Song of Songs, together with the XLVth Psalm* (1707); *An Answer to Mr. D. Evans's Book Entitl'd, "Fundamentals without a Foundation, or, a true Picture of the Antiquities"* . . . (1708); *Hymns Composed for the Celebration of the Holy Ordinance of Baptism* (1712); also there was published *The Works of Joseph Stennett* . . . , 7s which is prefixed some Account of his Life (4 vols., 1731-32). Stennett was the author of the hymn "Adieu to thy dear'st work in done," which has the original had fourteen stanzas.

(H. TREUBERT.)
STENNETT, SAMUEL; English hymnist; b. in Exeter, England, 1727; d. in London Aug. 28, 1793. In 1748 he became assistant to his father as pastor of the Baptist Church in Little Wild Street, London, and in 1758 his successor, remaining with the church till his death. He was a fine scholar, held a very prominent position among the dissenting ministers of London, enjoyed the confidence of George III.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

and had John Howard for a frequent hearer and an attached friend. Stenett's works are: *Discourses on Personal Religion* (2 vols. 1769); 4th ed., Edinburgh, 1801); *Remarks on the Christian Ministry's Business for Administering Baptism by Sprinkling or Pouring of Water* (London, 1772); *An Answer to the Christian Ministry's Request for Baptizing Infants* (1775); *Discourses on the Parable of the Sower* (1786). His works were collected in *The Works of S. Stenett* . . . with some account of his Life and Writings by W. Jones (3 vols. 1824). His best hymns are "On Joshua's strong bulwark I stand," "Magnific sweet-ness this enthroned," " 'Tis finished! so the Saviour cried."

Stenography. Besides the *Life in the World*, at sup. con- tain: S. W. Duffield, *English Stenography*, pp. 442-444, New York, 1886; Julian, *Stenography*, pp. 1091-92; *DNR*, iv, 186.

STENOGRAPHY AND CHURCH HISTORY.

Stenography in Trials of Christians (J. I. Galland, in the *Ann. Histor. et Lit.*, 1756, p. 386) is by the Church Father E. in the Church Councils (J. 41; Melior and Helms, *Index* (J. 41).

Notari or independent (non-official) stenographers were accustomed to take down the thrilling words spoken by the early Christians in the Roman catacombs or in their examinations by the magistrates. Thus they performed a great service, for these words, circulating thereafter under cover of secrecy, were instrumental toward converting those who were not yet Christians, in reviving the courage of the faint, and were no less important to others who were hazzarding their lives that they might publicly bear some expression of their adopted faith. Thus it was that Christ's teachings became spread to the very ends of the Roman world. Nor was this the only service rendered by stenography to the new religion. For the Church owes to the shorthand art the preservation of the Acts of the Martyrs; both those records which have been preserved intact, under the form of legal examinations concluded by a verdict, and other proceedings which for want of being stenographed, or else having been distorted in sequel to the loss of the originals, have come down augmented by tradition and adorned with miracles, in the shape of tales and legends.

The preeminent scholar had had their special records, in the guise of stenographers, who were known as *notarii*, who belonged to the gildsmen, and reproduced the debates which ran their course in their hearing. As officials these are to be distinguished from the notarii, who had no such rank. The legal ex- tracts of sentences, once taken down by the Christians, aid of shorthand notes (in a form of syllabic abbreviations), were transcribed in full, handed over to the judge, and included in the list of the case at issue. The judicial archives (*actuum protocolia*) became the depository of these court reports, which formed the official collection of the public records (*acta publica*) to which there is frequent reference by the writers, in- cluding Eusebius, Cyprian, Apollinarius, and Jerome. These acts are precious not only because they give the family names and Christian names of the ac-

cessed, together with their quality: for whether or not the judge was acquainted with the party ap- pearing before him, he was first expected to take official cognizance of his identity; but because they furnish certain interesting particulars about the future martyr and the personal state of mind. As an example one may be made here of the dialogue between Tatian Dulca and the Governor Maximus, his examiner. Dulca says: "My God is the true God. He became man, was crucified, hid in the sepulcher; he rose again the third day; he sits at the right hand of the Father." Answers the governor: "Wretch, thou seemst plably thou hast two gods." Dulca: "Thou errest in speaking of two gods; for I adore the Trinity." Governor: "Thou hast then three?" Dulca: "I confess and adore the Trinity. I believe in the Father, I confess the Son, and I adore the Holy Ghost." Answered by these replies, to which he can ascribe no meaning, Maximus then says to the accused: "Try to explain to me how, believing in one only God, thou canst yet proclaim three?" The record from which this passage is taken is evidently authentic; such a series of questions and answers could hardly be invented. The Christian would then seek to obtain copies of the Acts of the martyrs, and had to pay dear for them to the people of the officers. It being of moment, as is stated in the Acts of Tanchus, Probus, and Andronicus, "to col- lect the evidence bearing on our brother's con- fession, we have obtained for 200 denarii, from one of the recorder named Sabastius, the right to copy the Acts." The reading of these copies kindled the courage and increased the number of the bold ones. Accordingly the Roman magistrates directed their attention to the matter, and measures were soon than once taken to put an end to these secret com- munications. What Vincent Stenograph was ex- amined, it was forbidden to commit the debates or proceedings of the case to writing. In the history of the martyrdom of Victor the Moor, a pagan magis- trate, who distrusted the veracity of his agents, took pains to ensure that the "Acts" of the trial should not be distributed, or circulated abroad. "Anno- nius, the procurator, had all the executors apprehended who happened to be in the palace, to satisfy himself that they were concealing no note, no writing. These men were by the gods and the emperor's seal that they would scribble of the kind. All the papers were brought forward; whereas Annius had them burned in his presence by the hands of the executioner. The emperor highly approved this measure" (L. F. and E. Guenther, *de la stenographie ou* [unpublished] of modern age, Paris, 1868).

In the year 52, Clement, bishop of Rome (q.v.), ordered a compilation of the first Acts of the martyrs. In 217, Bishop Asterius (q.v.) continued the work of Clement. He made a careful research of the Acts of the martyrs among compila- tions of the excerpts and the notarii; from these for which they were deposited in the custody of the Acts of the fourteen churches constituting Metropolitan Christian Rome. In a painting of the underground cemetery of St. Calixtus, Arnobius reports having seen Bishop Asterius seated as being surrounded by notarii, who ap- peared

to be handing him rolls or volumes carried in baskets. Fronted by the Prefect Maximus, Asterius paid with his life for the seal he had displayed in collecting the materials accumulated for two centuries past by the procurator's executors. His successor Fabian (q.v.) pursued the work with a new ardor. The *Liber pontificalis* [ed. Mommsen in *MGH*, *Cost. post. Rom.*, i (1898), 27] mentions that this pope re- formed the seven notarii with seven subdeacons who collected the Acts intact and referred them to the deacons. He suffered martyrdom in the time of Emperor Decius (q.v.). All the bishops of Rome, and its inseparable adjunct, stenography, not to continue employing these two very powerful el- ements of teaching the masses with practical effect; and the notarii, whose function has been shown as it extended at the outset of the struggle between the Church and the Empire, still positively aided the Christian cause in spreading their in-

struction. In particular, the Fathers of the Church of the Church had stenographers in their service, and in the most varied conditions [of Jerome's choice re- cords in *Epist.*, xvii; *Eug. tract.*, *MPL*, 2, 2, vi, 220: "my visibility has boiled the expeditors of shorthand"]; while other notarii, freely practicing their profession, took down the sermons of the Fathers in churches, and sold the copies to the wealthy among the faithful who were prevented by the conditions of their health or other causes from coming to hear the sacred word. These great orators were not wont to elaborate their words at leisure; their discourses were nearly always improvised, being homilies pronounced in the church before the people; and later these discourses, being collected together by the notarii, became books. They thus belong to the history of Christian preaching, and exhibit its primitive model. A text selected from the Bible and commented upon, such is the origin of all the public literature of Christianity; while the constant themes of these informal efforts were the contents of riches, charity in all its forms, the fear of the Lord, the practice of household virtues (see *Placemus*, *Herzog* etc.). The pagan rhetoricians both adorned and disdained improvising. They would have refused to speak at length, without long preparation, before emperors and the great of this

world. On the contrary, among the Christian Fathers, the speaker would have labored to prepare, to refine in advance, the phrases of a homily. A Father of the Church entered the pulpit with the Gospel or the Old Testament, read a verse therefrom, and spoke as his heart and his thought inspired him. The notarii, taking down his words, reproduced them and great them about in the four winds of heaven. Moreover, where would the Christian orator have found time to elaborate and polish his discourses? The bishops had not only to speak, as rhetoricians might, but they were obliged to baptize, instruct, administer the Church, govern the masses, contend for its interests against princes or magistrates, against other and opposing churches; they had the poor and captive to look after, and, in critical hours, to bear all the burden of persecutions. By this very activity, this affluence of speaking and action alike, those men carried the pain over the rhetoricians. While the latter, devoid of convictions, were shorting themselves up in their schools, and laboriously fashioning their periods, the often unpolished, but ever living, word of Christian preaching was deepening them of the world.

To stenography, then, and to it alone, is owing the enormous bulk of materials, of so much use for the history of the Church, and, consequently, for the history of notary, which antiquity has bequeathed us in this department of preaching and spoken dis- course. One may mention Tertullian (*Opera*, Paris, 1641), Cyprian (*Opera*, ed. Baluzi, Paris, 1726), Albanus, whose "Discourses against the Genti- les," "Letters to the Bishops," "Apology against the Arians," "Exposition of the Faith," "Life of St. Anthony," and other works, fill four folio volumes (Paris, 1778); Origen, the most prolific of either sacred or profane writers, who had with him seven notarii, writing incessantly under his dictation, be- sides the skilled young girls who assisted him as copy- ists. This was the Origen of whom Jerome could say in his letter to Paula: "Who has ever managed to read all that he has written?" (Letter xxix. of the Benedictine edition, no. xxxii. in *MPL*, viii, of *AVP*, vi, 46); and in fact, even the slight portion of his works transmitted to modern times fills no less than fifteen octavo volumes (Wormburg, 1790-1794). One may adduce still further Ambrose, who dictated to his stenographers day and night; and the works of Basil, which are contained in three folio volumes (Paris, 1721-23); two folio volumes are to be credited to Gregory Nazianzen (*Benedic- tine edition*, Paris, 1780-1840); thirteen folios to John Chrysostom (*Benedicte edition*, Paris 1718-1738); five huge folios to Jerome (*Benedicte edition*, Paris, 169-170), the sole remains of the 6,000 "volumes" which this great orator is sup- posed to have dictated according to Isidore of Seville (the word volume in his connection is to be taken in the sense of its antique use, whereby, for instance, each book of the *Glossa*, or of the works of Homer, formed a volume). The writings of Jerome afford an interesting study from the profes- sional standpoint. They discover an intensity of sensation that strikes all who have read them. Everywhere is perceived the man of utterance whose soul is diffused through his words aglow,

Stenography THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 79

to be handing him rolls or volumes carried in baskets. Fronted by the Prefect Maximus, Asterius paid with his life for the seal he had displayed in collecting the materials accumulated for two centuries past by the procurator's executors. His successor Fabian (q.v.) pursued the work with a new ardor. The *Liber pontificalis* [ed. Mommsen in *MGH*, *Cost. post. Rom.*, i (1898), 27] mentions that this pope re- formed the seven notarii with seven subdeacons who collected the Acts intact and referred them to the deacons. He suffered martyrdom in the time of Emperor Decius (q.v.). All the bishops of Rome, and its inseparable adjunct, stenography, not to continue employing these two very powerful el- ements of teaching the masses with practical effect; and the notarii, whose function has been shown as it extended at the outset of the struggle between the Church and the Empire, still positively aided the Christian cause in spreading their in-

struction. In particular, the Fathers of the Church of the Church had stenographers in their service, and in the most varied conditions [of Jerome's choice re- cords in *Epist.*, xvii; *Eug. tract.*, *MPL*, 2, 2, vi, 220: "my visibility has boiled the expeditors of shorthand"]; while other notarii, freely practicing their profession, took down the sermons of the Fathers in churches, and sold the copies to the wealthy among the faithful who were prevented by the conditions of their health or other causes from coming to hear the sacred word. These great orators were not wont to elaborate their words at leisure; their discourses were nearly always improvised, being homilies pronounced in the church before the people; and later these discourses, being collected together by the notarii, became books. They thus belong to the history of Christian preaching, and exhibit its primitive model. A text selected from the Bible and commented upon, such is the origin of all the public literature of Christianity; while the constant themes of these informal efforts were the contents of riches, charity in all its forms, the fear of the Lord, the practice of household virtues (see *Placemus*, *Herzog* etc.). The pagan rhetoricians both adorned and disdained improvising. They would have refused to speak at length, without long preparation, before emperors and the great of this

world. On the contrary, among the Christian Fathers, the speaker would have labored to prepare, to refine in advance, the phrases of a homily. A Father of the Church entered the pulpit with the Gospel or the Old Testament, read a verse therefrom, and spoke as his heart and his thought inspired him. The notarii, taking down his words, reproduced them and great them about in the four winds of heaven. Moreover, where would the Christian orator have found time to elaborate and polish his discourses? The bishops had not only to speak, as rhetoricians might, but they were obliged to baptize, instruct, administer the Church, govern the masses, contend for its interests against princes or magistrates, against other and opposing churches; they had the poor and captive to look after, and, in critical hours, to bear all the burden of persecutions. By this very activity, this affluence of speaking and action alike, those men carried the pain over the rhetoricians. While the latter, devoid of convictions, were shorting themselves up in their schools, and laboriously fashioning their periods, the often unpolished, but ever living, word of Christian preaching was deepening them of the world.

To stenography, then, and to it alone, is owing the enormous bulk of materials, of so much use for the history of the Church, and, consequently, for the history of notary, which antiquity has bequeathed us in this department of preaching and spoken dis- course. One may mention Tertullian (*Opera*, Paris, 1641), Cyprian (*Opera*, ed. Baluzi, Paris, 1726), Albanus, whose "Discourses against the Genti- les," "Letters to the Bishops," "Apology against the Arians," "Exposition of the Faith," "Life of St. Anthony," and other works, fill four folio volumes (Paris, 1778); Origen, the most prolific of either sacred or profane writers, who had with him seven notarii, writing incessantly under his dictation, be- sides the skilled young girls who assisted him as copy- ists. This was the Origen of whom Jerome could say in his letter to Paula: "Who has ever managed to read all that he has written?" (Letter xxix. of the Benedictine edition, no. xxxii. in *MPL*, viii, of *AVP*, vi, 46); and in fact, even the slight portion of his works transmitted to modern times fills no less than fifteen octavo volumes (Wormburg, 1790-1794). One may adduce still further Ambrose, who dictated to his stenographers day and night; and the works of Basil, which are contained in three folio volumes (Paris, 1721-23); two folio volumes are to be credited to Gregory Nazianzen (*Benedic- tine edition*, Paris, 1780-1840); thirteen folios to John Chrysostom (*Benedicte edition*, Paris 1718-1738); five huge folios to Jerome (*Benedicte edition*, Paris, 169-170), the sole remains of the 6,000 "volumes" which this great orator is sup- posed to have dictated according to Isidore of Seville (the word volume in his connection is to be taken in the sense of its antique use, whereby, for instance, each book of the *Glossa*, or of the works of Homer, formed a volume). The writings of Jerome afford an interesting study from the profes- sional standpoint. They discover an intensity of sensation that strikes all who have read them. Everywhere is perceived the man of utterance whose soul is diffused through his words aglow,

81 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Stephan

and that of the letter of Barnabas have been regarded as related, seeming (wrongly) to point to post-apostolic times. The epithet of "circumcised in heart and ears" goes back upon prophetic expression, though a spiritualization of circumcison is entailed by Acts vi. 8. Moses law is to Stephen. "lively oracles" because Stephen saw in Moses the complete ancestor of Jesus, who expressed the full content of what Hebrews had possessed since Moses. In Barnabas Paulism is more extensive, which is opposed to Christianity as the free religion of the Spirit. The representation of Barnabas is totally different from that of Stephen, especially in the significance given to Moses. Similarly in Heb. iii. 5-6 the religion of the Old Testament is the incomplete antitype of that of the New, Moses being the servant, Christ the Son. While the representation of Stephen remains alike of Paul, in connection between Paul and Stephen is to be traced. Acts pictures Stephen as the forerunner of Paul, and as such many still regard him, although it is true only in a limited sense. In Christianity Stephen saw the divine revelation of the Old Testament, Paul, a new religion in contrast with it. Stephen saw in the law the living divine word; Paul, a modifying instrument which could not give life (Gal. iii. 17-21). The mission to the Gentile was not within Stephen's ken; for Paul this was the essence of his apostolic call. Yet the persecutions of Stephen rightly felt that there was in his stand danger to the exclusiveness and absoluteness of the revelation to Israel, and the persecuting zeal of the Pharisee Saul had justification therein. It is notable that against Paul precisely the same charge was brought as against Stephen (cf. Acts vi. 13 with xii. 25). The Church early began to celebrate St. Stephen's day, in general on Dec. 26, though in some places on Jan. 7. The legends regarding him are collected in TILLEMANT, *Mémoires* (Vol. II, Paris, 1911). (P. FRANK)

Background: Roman era. *Acta apostolorum*, ed. Mommisen in *MGG*, 6th ed. (1863), II, 1189-93; *Cyprian, Roma, lat. text. (Catal. Lat.)*; *Eng. trans. in A.V.*, p. 387-390, 118-120; *Pauline, Rom. ecc.*, VIII, 2, *Eng. trans. in P.P.T.*, p. 211-212; *Acta Roman.*, ed. G. Oudin further; *J. Euseb. Papae Zosimi*, *and Acta Constantinensia*, 1907; *L. Eusebii Constantini de cons. ad. Const.*, I, 111, Götting, 1881; *Harnack, Literaturg.*, I, 416, 424, 425, I, 2, in *CG. III*, 126-129; *Eng. trans. in*, *ibid.*, p. 125-131; *Minist. Latine Christianitas*, I, 85-96, 175-176; *Præsent. act. in*, *ibid.*, under *Heavenly Barrens*.

tion, had been supposed as being illiterate (see Lamm), a certain Silvanus was elected bishop of Emesita. But the opposed bishops approached Stephen, and he fell back upon the principle advanced by Callistus that a bishop can not be deprived of office, and would not acknowledge their deposition. He does not seem to have carried his point, however, for the Spaniards asked the advice of African Christians who confirmed the Spaniards in their position. Stephen was involved in dispute also with Cyprian of Carthage (q. v.) on the question of the baptism of heretics. Cyprian argued against the pope that converts of heretics should be rebaptized, which Stephen regarded as an offense against the tradition of the Roman church, which was based on Peter and Paul (see *Heavenly Barrens*, I, 1). While Stephen did not claim the position of bishop over the whole church, whose decisions were to be obeyed everywhere, the recognition of Peter he claimed to act as the representative of the Roman tradition and required unconditional obedience to it. (A. HAVICK)

Background: Roman era. *Acta apostolorum*, ed. Mommisen in *MGG*, 6th ed. (1863), II, 1189-93; *Cyprian, Roma, lat. text. (Catal. Lat.)*; *Eng. trans. in A.V.*, p. 387-390, 118-120; *Pauline, Rom. ecc.*, VIII, 2, *Eng. trans. in P.P.T.*, p. 211-212; *Acta Roman.*, ed. G. Oudin further; *J. Euseb. Papae Zosimi*, *and Acta Constantinensia*, 1907; *L. Eusebii Constantini de cons. ad. Const.*, I, 111, Götting, 1881; *Harnack, Literaturg.*, I, 416, 424, 425, I, 2, in *CG. III*, 126-129; *Eng. trans. in*, *ibid.*, p. 125-131; *Minist. Latine Christianitas*, I, 85-96, 175-176; *Præsent. act. in*, *ibid.*, under *Heavenly Barrens*.

Stephan II. Pope 752-757. The policy of Stephen was conditioned by the relation of Rome to the Lombards. After Gregory III had sought in vain the aid of Charles Martel against Lombard aggression, Pope Zacharias had both maintained peace with his dangerous neighbor and had gained the objects of the papal policy without foreign aid. But his death seemed to the Lombards the opportune moment to realize their steady aim, the incorporation under their rule of the kingdoms of the Greek dominion in Italy (see *ITALY*). Stephen immediately rejected all overtures and seems to have obtained the maintenance of peace, but Aimul summanly rejected all overtures and seems to have obtained (possibly with reason) the pope's good faith. Stephen, therefore, in 754, after failing in obtaining help from Constantople, sought the aid of the Franks. Pope was inclined to grant the requests of the pope, seeing that he owed much of his power to the spiritual authority of Peter's successor. At a personal meeting with the pope in Jan., 754, after considerable negotiation through embassies, Pippin agreed to conquer the marchate of Ravenna and to force Aimul to renounce claim to dominion over Rome. The pope himself spoke of placing the Roman church and the Roman people under the protection of the Frankish king.

Stephan returned during the winter in St. Denis, and Pippin began to fulfill his promise by sending an embassy to Aimul requesting him to comply with the Roman demands, but in vain. At the Frankish assemblies of Bernano (Bernano near Soissons or Berry-Riviere in Aisne) and Cautinus (Quincy

STEPHAN, 477:1. The name of nine popes. **Stephan I.** Pope May 25th-Aug. 25th. He was intent upon the elevation of the position of the bishops in general and of his own position as bishop of Rome in particular. After certain Spanish bishops, Basilides of Emesita and Marcellus of Legio and A-

Stephan THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 82

near Laon in 754 the league between the king and the pope was ratified by the archbishop and it was decided to send an army against the Lombards. The pope showed his gratitude by announcing on July 26, 754, in St. Denis Pippin and his two sons kings and protectors of Rome and leading the Franks under the banner of God and interdict never to elect a king from the tribes of Pippin. This was the outbreak of the war Aimul made an attempt to separate Pippin from Stephen, and for this purpose in the spring of 754 sent the monk Kallmann, brother of Pippin, who some 747 had lived in Italy across the Alps to remind the king of the solidarity of the Frankish and Lombard interests. Kallmann met his brother in Quieri, but he came too late. Pippin put his brother into a monastery at Yverna, where he soon afterwards died. All overtures of Pippin and Stephen by other embassies to yield peacefully to the surrender of Ravenna and to the incorporation of Rome and Ravenna was a vital question for the Lombard kingdom. Here the sword had to decide and the decision favored the Franks. In the autumn of 754 Aimul was forced to make peace; he promised indemnification to the Roman church and the surrender of Ravenna and a number of other cities between the mountains and the Adriatic Sea. Stephen returned to Rome victorious, but the joy of victory was short-lived. Aimul broke his promise, and in the winter of 755-756 marched against Rome and besieged the pope. In order to maintain the results of the first war of the Lombards, Pippin had to undertake a second campaign. He was again victorious; Aimul now surrendered Ravenna and twenty other cities to Stephen with a deed of donation, while Rome came to be regarded as a province of the Frankish kingdom. The death of Aimul (Dec., 756) delivered Stephen from apprehension; he lived to see the overthrow of the Frankish protégé Desiderius (Mar., 757), and died Apr. 27, 757. (A. HAVICK)

where he entered the monastery of St. Christophorus. Pope Zacharias took him into his service and conferred him protector of St. Cecilia, had close relations also with Stephen II, and especially with Paul I. This explains his objection by the opponents of Constantine II, which signified the intention to adhere to the Frankish alliance. The first case of Stephen was the entire removal of his predecessor. Therefore he asked Pippin and his sons to send some bishops versed in Scripture and canon law to Rome, so that Constantine might be condemned at a synod in their presence. When the papal legate arrived Pippin was already dead, but his two sons met the date of the new pope; the intended synod was held Apr. 12-14, 760, in the Lateran basilica in the presence of twelve Frankish bishops. The most important work of the synod was not the deposition of Constantine, but the regulations concerning election of popes, which was put into the hands of the clergy, the share of whom being restricted to acclamation after the election and to the signature of the protocol of election. The third matter discussed at the synod referred to the veneration of images, which was confirmed in opposition to the Greeks (see *IMAGE AND IMAGE WORSHIP*, II, § 3). Stephen appears but a tool of the party which selected him, unable to stop the bloodshed of the period. The diffusion of Stephen's position arose from his relations with the Lombards. The Roman leaders Christophorus and Sergius had overthrown Constantine with the aid of the Lombards, but it immediately appeared that their interests and those of the Lombards were not identical. The two party leaders now openly opposed the demands of the Church. But Stephen perceived that the Roman and Lombard powers were too unequal for him to venture on a rupture, unless he could oppose Desiderius with a superior ally. Thus he turned to the Franks. Soon after the Lateran synod he addressed a letter to Charon and Carloman in which he asked their assistance in his attempt to effect the still unfinished claim of St. Peter from King Desiderius. But Stephen saw that his design had little chance of being carried out. Since the death of Pippin the government of the Frankish empire had broken unity, the relations between Charles and Carloman being strained; moreover, since Charles had married Desiderius, the daughter of the Lombard king, the Lombard and Frankish relations had improved and the policy of the Franks had changed. In the winter of 757-773 the pope came to an agreement with Desiderius. Desiderius demanded the overthrow of the leaders of the anti-Lombard party, while he himself made concessions toward nullifying the Roman demands. Christophorus and Sergius took up arms for their defense, but their mission was unavailing, and Stephen was compelled to sacrifice to his foe the men to whom he owed his position. In consequence of the overthrow of the leaders of the Frankish party in Rome the guidance of the papal policy fell into the hands of Lombard partisans. Desiderius broke his promise; yet the pope was unable to extract any advantage from the breach between Franks and

Stephan III. Pope 768-772. He was a Sicilian

87 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Steinbeck

in York cathedral, 1740-41; commissary of Pickering and Pocklington in the same year; the next year he married Elizabeth (Ellis) Linsley, who was possessed of a small patrimony; in 1742-43 Sterne received in addition to his other charges the living of Billington; he also at this time attempted to add to his income by farming. His first publication was a chatty sermon (York, 1747). A second commissaryship was awarded him in 1747, and a claim by another upon his first office of this kind led to Sterne's estrangement on the field of satire humor. A Political Romance addressed to _____, or, of York (1769), often appearing later as *The History of a Worm Hatch Coat*. This line of work proved so congenial that he continued it, and began to write the work which marks his place in English literature, *Tristram Shandy*, the first two books of which were published by himself (late in 1759) after the work had been refused by a London publisher. The work found instant success; a second edition was arranged for by the publisher, and his continuance was assured upon contract at the rate of a volume a year. A volume of sermons was also put through the press. In 1760 he became perpetual curate of Coxwold, retaining his other charges of Sisson and Billington, which were served by curates. His residence at Coxwold was broken by a visit to France, where he was lionized, and by frequent journeys to London on business connected with the publication of the later volumes of *Tristram Shandy*, of sermons, and of his *Sentimental Journey*. His works were first collected in 7 vols. (Dublin, 1779), then in 10 vols. (London, 1789), a later edition by G. Gainsbury, 6 vols., 1804.

BROOKS: A. P. HUGHES. *Life of James Oglethorpe*, 2 vols. (London, 1824); *ed. by W. M. Thackeray*, The London Edition, 10 vols. (London, 1848). P. S. BAKER. *Lawrence Sterne, an account of his person, his writings, and his character*, 2 vols. (London, 1791). H. D. TRAILL. *Life of Sterne*, new ed. (London, 1886). J. BUCKINGHAM. *Edward and Lawrence Sterne, the two great preachers of the 18th century*, 2 vols. (London, 1807).

STERNHOLD, THOMAS: One of the founders of English palaeography; he either at Southampton, England, or on the Hayfield estate near Rainsley (20 m. n. of Bristol, England), about 1500; d. Aug. 25, 1566. He studied at Oxford but did not take a degree; was groom of the chamber to Henry VIII. and Edward VI. He is said to have versified fifty-one psalms, of which sixteen appeared in 1548, and thirty-seven the next year immediately after his death (for other data, and developments after Sternhold, see *Illustrations*, IX, 1-2). The work was continued by John Hopkins of the Woodend, Ayr, Clonsontshire (B.A., Oxford, 1544), and to have had a living in Suffolk. *The Whole Booke of Psalmes Collected into English Metre* appeared 1562, and was bound up with innumerable editions of the Prayer Book; making for two centuries or more the only or chief metrical provision of the Church of England. Since 1700 or so, it has been called the "Old Version," in distinction from the rival Tate and Brady. Of its contents about forty-one psalms bear the initials of Sternhold (the only notable sample of his skill being a few stanzas of Ps. xviii.).

and sixty-four, those of Hopkins. The rest are by Thomas Norton, a lawyer who translated Calvin's *Footstaps* and died about 1600; William Whittingham, b. at Chester, 1524; d. 1589; educated at Oxford; married Calvin's sister, and was from 1563 dean of Durham; and William Keble, who was in exile with Knox at Geneva, 1555, chaplain to the English forces at Havre 1565, and afterward rector or vicar of Chelset in Dorsetshire. Keble is memorable as the author of the only rendering now much used of all these, "All people that on earth do dwell" (Ps. c.) which has a venerable solidity and quaintness.

BROOKINGS: S. W. DUFFELL. *English History*, pp. 455-528. New York, 1866. N. LITTLETON. *The Social Movement of the 18th Century*, 1866. James Burnham, pp. 40-61, 801; *ibid.*, 1876, pp. 213-224.

STERNY, PETER: Puritan; b. in Surrey; d. in London Nov. 19, 1672. He was graduated from Emmanuel's College, Cambridge (B.A., 1652; M.A., 1657; fellow, 1659); was one of Cromwell's chaplains, one of the fourteen divines proposed by the Lords in May, 1642, and sat as an Independent in the Westminster Assembly since from the first. He was characterized as mystical and obscure, but his doctrine of conversion and of religious life, of Christian experience, duty, and hope were of the usual Evangelical type. Among his works may be mentioned *The Clouds in Which Christ Comes* (London, 1648); four Parliament sermons, *The Spirit's Conviction of Sinners* (1645); *The Truths of Christ in the Soul* (1648); *The Coming forth of Christ in the Power of the Death* (1650); *The Way of God with his People in These Nations* (1657); *Evangelical Discourses from the Northern Provinces*. Compared with its *Deliverance from the Roman Empire*, or a *Threatning Sermon* (London, 1717, 15 (1652)); *Discourses on the Freedom of the Will* (1675); *The Rise, Growth, and Progress of the Kingdom of God in the Soul of Man together with an Account of the State of a Saint's Soul and Body in Death* (1687); *The Appearance of God to Men in the Gospel and Gospel Charge, in Which is Added an Explanation of the Trinity, and a Short Catechism* (1710).

STREIBEL, JOHANN CHRISTIAN FRIEDRICH: German theologian; b. at Esslingen (5 m. n. of Stuttgart) Oct. 25, 1779; d. at Tübingen Oct. 24, 1857. He was educated at Tübingen, 1797-1804; was vicar at Oberhessing 1802-06; tutor at Tübingen, 1806-08; student Arabic and Persian at Paris, 1808-10; was doctor at Göttingen, 1810-1812; after 1812 subdean and deacon at Tübingen, 1809-08; professor of theology, 1815-17. In 1822 he became morning preacher at the principal church of the city and after 1828 rector of the faculty and assessor of the consistory inspection. His lectures at first were on Old Testament, including later oriental languages, and after 1828 logon and apologetics. He founded in 1828 the *Zeitschrift für Theologie*. A rational supernaturalist, Streibel is usually

88 THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG Steinbeck

regarded as the best representative of the older Tübingen school (q.v.). With his writings he opposed Roman Catholicism since 1811-16, and the union of the two Protestant churches in 1822. He wrote *Ueber die Heiligkeit des Glaubens und prophetische Offenbarung Gottes* (Göttingen, 1814); *Glaubenslehre* (Tübingen, 1834); and *Theologie des Alten Testaments* (Berlin, 1840). His opinions led to a sharp controversy with D. F. Strauss upon the appearance of the latter's *Leben Jesu*.

(U. F. OLSHAUSKY.)

DESTERON: The memorial address to Deane and the sketch of the life by Deane are in *Fables, Mémoires de la Fondation*, 1858 and 1. *Comité Fondator M. de Lander, Mémoires Impromptus*, pp. 170 sqq. Baltimore, 1861.

STERNBERG, GEORGE: KARL: German Protestant; b. at Hartmann (10 m. n. n.w. of Göttingen) Feb. 17, 1809. He was educated at the University of Halle (1827-31) and at the theological seminary at Wittenberg, and became privat-docent for Old Testament exegesis at Halle in 1836, and extraordinary professor in 1837. Besides editing the *Zeitschrift für die deutsche Paläontologie* since 1861, he has prepared the volumes on Deuteronomy (1868) and Joshua (1869) for W. Nowack's *Handbuch zum Alten Testament*, to which he has also contributed *Allgemeine Einleitung in das Hebraeische* (1900), and has written *Die Entstehung des Hebraeischen* (Halle, 1894); *Die Entstehung des deutschen Hebraeischen* (1888); *Die Einverständnisse der israelitischen Stämme in Kanaan* (Berlin, 1901); *Hebraische Grammatik* (1905); 2d ed., 1906; and *Methodische Einleitung zum hebraeischen Sprachunterricht* (1905).

STEVENS, ABEL: Historian of Methodism; b. in Philadelphia, Pa., Jan. 19, 1815; d. in San José, Cal., Sept. 12, 1897. He was educated at Wesleyan Academy, Williamstown, Mass., and at Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn.; he completed a course of study at the latter institution in 1834; joined the New England Conference in 1834; was appointed to churches in Boston, Mass., and Providence, R. I.; became editor of *Zion's Herald* (Boston, 1840); *The National Magazine*, New York, 1852; *The Christian Advocate*, New York, 1856; was joint editor, with Drs. McClintock and Crookes, of *The Methodist*, 1860-74; and pastor of churches in the United States and then in Europe, where he settled finally at Geneva, Switzerland, taking charge of the American Union Church there, and became correspondent of American journals. In a series of works that remain the standard authority he reviewed the history of Methodism in a connected narrative. He was the author of *Charles and Frederick* (New York, 1843); *Memorial of the Introduction of Methodism into the Eastern States* (2 vols., 1848-1852); *Essay on Church Policy* (1847); *Essay on the Preaching History by John Wesley* (1855); *Essay on the Great Reform in Systematic Benevolence* (1856); *The History of the Religious Movement of the Eighteenth Century*, *Catholic Methodism* (3 vols., 1858-61); *Life and Times of Nathan Bangs* (1865); *History of the Methodist Episcopal Church in the United States*

(4 vols., 1864-67); *The Ordinary of American Methodism* (1865); *Brethren of Methodism: its three Pioneers, S. H. Kelly, the Countess of Huntingdon, and Z. H. Hunt, with sketches of their female Associates* (1866); *Methodism in Spain: Study of her Life and Times*, 1861; *Christianity in America* (1862); *Christian Work and Consolation: the Problem of an efficient and happy life* (1862).

STEVENS, GEORGE PARKER: Congregationalist; b. at Spencer, N. Y., July 13, 1834; d. at New Haven, Conn., June 29, 1908. He was graduated from the University of Rochester, N. Y., 1857, and from Yale Divinity School, New Haven, Conn., 1860; became pastor of the First Congregational Church, Buffalo, N. Y., 1860, and of the First Presbyterian Church, Watertown, N. Y., 1883; studied in Germany, 1868-69; and was professor of biblical criticism and interpretation, Yale Divinity School, New Haven, Conn., 1868-69. He published *Positive Theology: a Study of the Origin and Correlation of the doctrinal Teachings of the Apostles* (New York, 1870); *Lakeview Theology: Study of the Doctrinal Contents of the Gospel and Epistles of the Apostle John* (1871); *Exegetical and Life: Study of some of the principal Fruits of the Christian Religion in their Relation to Christian Experience* (1885); *Theology of the New Testament* (1895); *Messengers of God* (1900); *Messengers of the Apostles* (1900); *Teaching of Jesus* (1901); and *Christian Doctrine of Judgment* (1902). He edited Chrysostom's "Homilies on Acts and Romans" in 1874 (1 ser., vol. vi, New York, 1880); and a *Short Exposition of the Epistle to the Galatians* (Hartford, Conn., 1880).

BROOKINGS: W. Walter, George Parker Stevens, an Address, New Haven, 1908.

STEVENS, PETER PATRICK: Reformed Episcopal bishop; b. near Tallahassee, Fla., June 22, 1830; d. at Charleston, S. C., Jan. 9, 1910. He was graduated from the South Carolina Military Academy, Charleston, S. C., in 1849, and was connected with this institution as professor of mathematics 1853-57 and of belles lettres 1857-59, and as superintendent 1859-61. After serving in the Confederate Army throughout the Civil War, he was ordained pastor in the Protestant Episcopal Church, but in 1875 became connected with the Reformed Episcopalism, and four years later was appointed bishop of the special jurisdiction of the South, having special oversight of the several churches of that region. In 1890-96 he was also professor of mathematics at Calvin Theological.

STEVENS, WILLIAM ARBOLD: Baptist; b. at Granville, O., Feb. 6, 1839; d. at Rochester, N. Y., Jan. 21, 1908. He was educated at Denison University, Granville (A.B., 1862), Rochester Theological Seminary (1862), and the University of Harvard, Leipzig, and Berlin (1865-68). He was professor of Greek at Denison University (1868-77), and after 1877 was professor of New Testament exegesis at Rochester Theological Seminary. He edited *Saint Origenes of Lyons* (Chicago, 1878); and wrote *Commentary on the Epistle to the Thimotheians* (Philadelphia, 1887); *Outline Handbook of the Life of*

Christ (in collaboration with E. D. Burton; New York, 1932); *Harmony of the Gospels for Historical Study* (with the same collaboration; 1941); and *Life of the Apostle Paul* (Dobson, 1934).

STEVENS, WILLIAM BACON: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Pennsylvania, b. at Bath, Pa., July 13, 1815; d. in Philadelphia, Pa., June 11, 1887. He attended Phillips Academy, Andover, Mass., but was obliged, through failure of health, to give up his studies; he then spent two years in travel, and on his return graduated from Dartmouth, Hanover, N. H. (M.D., 1837). He practiced as a physician in Savannah, Ga., 1833-43; was ordained deacon 1843, and priest 1844; was historian of the State of Georgia, 1841; professor of belles-lettres and moral philosophy in the University of Georgia, Athens, Ga., 1844-45; became rector of St. Andrew's, Philadelphia, Pa., 1848; assistant bishop of Pennsylvania, 1862, and bishop 1865. He was in 1866 appointed by the presiding bishop to take charge of the American Episcopal churches on the continent of Europe, and held the position for six years. He edited with prof. and notes the *Georgia Historical Collections* (vols. 1 and 2, Savannah, 1841-47); and is the author of *A History of Georgia from its First Discovery by Europeans to the Adoption of the New Constitution in 1798* (vol. 1, New York, 1847; vol. 2, Philadelphia, 1850); *The Puritan of the Free Settlement Periodically Unfolded* (Philadelphia, 1855; memorial ed., 1887); *Consultation: the Key to the Cloud* (1852); *Church as Home: Manual of Home Service* (1857); *The Past and Present of St. Andrew's Church, Philadelphia* (1858); *Address of one Lord* (1872); *Sermons* (New York, 1873); and many addresses, charges, essays, and occasional sermons.

STEVENS, JAMES HENRY: Methodist Episcopal minister; b. at Portborough, Ontario, Apr. 16, 1860. He was educated at McGill University (A.B., 1880) and at the Wesleyan Theological College at Montreal, Canada (graduated, 1891). He was teacher in the public schools of Ontario (1878-1881); pastor in Burlington, near Toronto (1880-91); and later spent three years (1890, 1899, 1902) in the British Museum copying Assyrian cuneiform tablets, while during 1900 he was a student in Berlin. He has been professor of Hebrew at Vanderbilt University since 1892. Besides being associate editor with H. C. Tolman, of the *Vanderbilt Oriental Series*, he has written *Hebrews and the Empire of the East* (with Tolman; New York, 1908); and *Hebrews and Assyrian Contracts*, with *Aramaic Reference-Vocab* (1912).

STEVENS, JOSEPH ROSS: Presbyterian; b. at Lagater, Pa., Mar. 1, 1806. He was graduated from Washington and Jefferson College (1826) and McCormick Theological Seminary, Chicago, Ill. (1830). He studied for a year at the University of Berlin, then was pastor of the Broadway Presbyterian Church, South, Ma. (1830-36); became professor of church history in McCormick Theological

Seminary (1834); pastor of the Fifth Avenue Presbyterian Church, New York (1832-40); and of the Brown Memorial Church, Baltimore, since 1869.

STEVENS, WILLIAM FLEMING: Irish Presbyterian pastor and organizer of mission work; b. in Strabane (60 m. n.w. of Belfast), Ireland, Sept. 20, 1832; d. at Rathgar, Dublin, Ireland, Sept. 16, 1896. He was of that Ulster Presbyterian stock which has given a special character to the northern province of Ireland. He was graduated from the University of Glasgow (M.A., 1851), and finished his theological studies in Scotland and Germany. Occasional passages in his writings show that while interested in the speculative and critical side of German theology, it was the warm, spiritual, Christian life of Germany as displayed in German hymns and missions, which attracted his mind. In 1856 he was licensed to preach by the Presbytery of Strabane, became town missionary, and worked in the fever-stricken lanes of the poor part of Belfast. In 1860 he accepted the call of the newly organized Rathgar-road Presbyterian Church, situated in a suburb of Dublin. Stevens was the first minister of this church, and it was his first and only regular charge. Literary work occupied much of his attention. His *Praying and Working* (London, 1862; rev. ed., 1898) is of interest to the student of social problems, as well as to the friends of missions. *Love and Death* (with George Mearns, 1870), composed of collected articles, and published without authority, is not less interesting. *Hymns for Church and Home* (London, 1873) has a scholarly accuracy and thoroughness which make it very valuable to hymnologists.

In 1871 Stevens was called to the work which, in some sense, was the most important of his life, becoming coadjutor with James Morgan, the co-venter of the Assembly's Foreign Mission; and in 1873 he became sole co-venter, while retaining the pastorate of his church. Stevens acted as a preacher and a pastor, he seemed ever better fitted for this new work, which he assumed with great diligence, and in the interest he undertook extensive journeys. In 1881 he was unanimously chosen moderator of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in Ireland. As a pulpit orator, Stevens belonged to the first rank.

STEVENS: *See* MERRIMAN, I. J.

STEWART, ALEXANDER: Church of Scotland; b. at Liverpool Jan. 27, 1847. He was educated at Queen's College, Liverpool (1862-64), United College (1864-66), and St. Mary's College, St. Andrews (1868-71), and at the universities of Heidelberg and Leipzig (1869-70). After being minister at Mainz and Strathmartine near Dundee, from 1871 to 1887, he was appointed professor of systematic theology at the University of Aberdeen in 1887, and principal and prior professor of divinity at St. Mary's College, St. Andrews, New Brunswick, in 1894, which position he still holds. He was Orrell lecturer in 1905. In theological posi-

Stewart

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

tion he is "a moderate broad churchman, averse to all extremes and laying stress upon the rational and ethical elements in religion while recognizing and allowing for the emotional and mystical elements," and holds "that forms of belief, organization, and worship are necessary, but that special forms may change and pass away with fuller light or changed circumstances." He has written *Heard*, *book of Christian Endowments* (Edinburgh, 1862) and *Life of Christ* (London, 1865).

STEWART, DOUGLAS: Scotch philosopher; b. at Edinburgh, Nov. 22, 1773; d. there June 11, 1828. He was educated at Edinburgh University, 1785-89; and attended the lectures of Thomas Reid (q.v.) at Glasgow, 1791-72, began to teach mathematics at Edinburgh in 1772; succeeded his father as professor of the same, 1778-85; and was professor of moral philosophy, 1785-1820. From 1809 he lived in retirement at Kinross House, Linlithgowshire, engaged in preparing the substance of his lectures for publication. Stewart was the representative and expounder of Reid's "philosophy of common sense" after the latter's death. He was greatly distinguished for eloquence and eloquence, and his lectures were thronged not only by native students, but by many young men of position from England. Like Reid he made philosophy dependent on inductive psychology, making much of external perception as furnishing evidence of objective reality; but, though approving Reid's own empiricism, yet he strenuously opposed that school with intuitionism, representing intuitionism as fundamental to the process of knowledge. He repudiated the ontological argument and was a thorough nominalist. His works were, *Elements of the Philosophy of the Human Mind* (3 vols., Edinburgh, 1792-1827); *Outline of Moral Philosophy* (1797); and *Philosophy of the Active and Moral Powers of Man* (2 vols., 1828). *The Collected Works* are by Sir W. Hamilton, with biography by John Vetch (11 vols., 1854-60).

STEWART: Besides the biography by J. Vetch, in vol. 8 of the *Works*, edited by F. Hunter, in *Edinburgh and London*, 1838; M. Stewart (son of Douglas), *Life of Douglas Stewart*, in *Edinburgh and London*, 1859; A. H. Everett, *Stewart's Moral Philosophy*, in *Irish American Review*, 1851 (1852); E. C. Cook, *Memoirs*, in *Edinburgh*, 1856; J. McCosh, *Moral Philosophy*, New York, 1856; S. Taylor, *Principles of Philosophy*, 1858; *Edinburgh*, 1890; *DNR*, 10, 250-256.

STEWART, GEORGE BLAIR: Presbyterian; b. at Columbia, O., Feb. 28, 1834. He studied at Princeton College (B.A., 1856; M.A., 1859) and at McCormick Theological Seminary and Auburn Theological Seminary (graduated 1879); was pastor of Calvary Church, Auburn, N. Y., 1878-84, and of the Market Square Church, Harrisburg, Pa., 1884-99; and became president of Auburn Theological Seminary and professor of practical theology, 1899. He has written *Study of the Life of Jesus* (Boston, 1867), and is the editor of the *Auburn Seminary Record*.

STEWART, JAMES: United Free Church of Scotland; b. in Edinburgh Feb. 14, 1821; d. at Lovedale (near the east border of Cape Colony), South Africa, 700 m. n.e. of Cape Town, Dec. 21,

1905. His early education was at the Edinburgh high school and at the Perth academy. His father had a farm in Perthshire and one day in his thirtieth year while James was playing one of his fields the determination to be a foreign missionary was suddenly formed. With this made of his life after leaving the academy, he entered upon higher studies, first in Edinburgh University (1839-50), then in St. Andrews (1852-54), again in Edinburgh (1854-1855), and in the divinity hall of the Free Church there (1855-59). He did not go in for honors but for a wide culture. That he put in much of his time on holiday comes out in two elaborate and beautifully illustrated books which he published while an undergraduate. *A Series of Botanical Diagrams, Exhibiting the Structure, Physiology and Classification of Plants*, with *Explanatory Notes* (London [1857]); and *Stewart's Botanical Chart, comprising a Popular View of Structural and Physiological Botany* [1857]. Both were text-books in Scotch schools and colleges for many years. With the end of still better fitting himself for his chosen career he studied medicine in Edinburgh (1859-61) and 1862-65) and took his degree.

In 1857 David Livingstone visited Scotland and pleaded for men to enter the open door into Africa's heathen world. Stewart was one of those who responded to his appeal. In 1859 he formally offered himself to his church for this service, and he could not be sent at once, because an active preacher of the missionary cause among his own countrymen. In 1860 he became a probationer, but with no idea of settling. In 1861 he went to South Africa and met Livingstone, who cordially welcomed him. He went up the Zambesi and into Central Africa and returned to Scotland in 1864. In 1866 he married and went back to Africa, there to spend the rest of his life. In 1861 the Rev. William Cronin had founded an institute at Lovedale, and in 1867 Stewart became his associate and in 1870 his successor. The place had been named for the Rev. John Love, D.D. (1717-1823), a Presbyterian divine who in 1795 founded the London Missionary Society and was its first secretary. Stewart believed that God had made the black man of the same blood as the white man and was accordingly susceptible to the same educational influences. This was a novel idea, but he succeeded in finding persons of means who enabled him to teach the blacks the professions, the arts and sciences, and industrial pursuits, including farming. He took black girls and trained them in similar fashion for teachers, nurses, housekeepers, wives, and mothers. He demonstrated on a great scale his theories, for under him the Lovedale Institute became one of the triumphs of missions. He won great fame by doing those things, and at Stewart of Lovedale "we know the world over long before he died. He was indeed the first great industrial and educational missionary. But though to many his theories were the interesting thing, to him the missionary interest was dominant, and the thousands who came under his influence felt that dearer to him than anything else was his religion, and that he wanted his colored friends to know his Savior as the best acquisition they could make.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

In 1873 he had the satisfaction of starting at Rylowood, named after Captain Rylow, magistrate of the Fringes, a second Loveland. In 1874 he made a tour in Scotland in the interest of both institutions, and also proposed the African mission now known as Livingstonia. In 1879 he was moderator of the general assembly of the Free Church of Scotland. In 1882 he delivered the Duff missionary lectures at Edinburgh. His life was too crowded with practical matters to allow him leisure for authorship of a general nature, but he produced these volumes which were in the line of his work: *Loveland, Past and Present* (Edinburgh, 1884); *Loveland Illustrated* (1885); *Livingstonia, and its People* (1891); *Edinburgh, Past and Present* (Edinburgh, 1898); *Down in the Dark Continent, Africa and its Missions* (the Duff lectures, Edinburgh, 1903).

STEWART, ROBERT LAIRD: Presbyterian; b. at Murrayville, Pa., Aug. 11, 1840. He was graduated from Washington and Jefferson College (B.A., 1866; M.A., 1867) and from the Western Theological Seminary (1869); was pastor at Conestogoville, Pa., 1869-71; and at Godwin, Cal., 1873-79; was also superintendent of schools, Jefferson County, Cal., 1874-79; pastor of the Methodist Church, Davisville, Pa., 1880-91; and after 1899 professor of pastoral theology, Biblical archeology, and Christian evidence in the theological department of Lincoln University, and also dean of the faculty. He has written *The Uses and Values of Pastoral Theology in the Curriculum of Theological Study* (1894); *The Lord of Israel* (1895); *Memorable Places in the Holy Hills* (1905); and *Sheldon Jackson, Pathfinder and Proprietor of the Missionary Movement in the Rocky Mountains and Alaska* (1908).

STEWART, WILLIAM: Church of Scotland; b. at Annan (14 m. e. of Dumfries), Dumfriesshire, Aug. 15, 1828. He was educated at the University of Glasgow (B.A., 1851; B.D., 1857), where he was examiner in mental philosophy (1847-70). He was minister of St. George's-in-the-Fields, Glasgow (1848-72); since 1873 has been professor of divinity and Biblical criticism in the university of the same city, and dean of the faculty of theology since 1885. He has written *The Plans of St. Luke's Gospel* (Glasgow, 1873).

STICHOMETERY.

Stichometry (from *stichos*, "line," and *metron*, "measure") is the art of measuring lines of verse by the number of syllables or letters. It is a branch of prosody, and is used by the ancients to determine the number of lines in a poem, and to compare the length of lines with each other.

In general, the data of stichometry consist chiefly of subscriptions at the close of manuscripts, expressing the number of lines which are contained in the book that has been copied; of marginal annotations from point to point, expressing the extent

of the previous text; or of quotations and allusions which are found in various writers, which indicate either the locality of some passage in the text, or the number of lines of a given author. For example, at the close of *Isocrates, Buxitis*, in *Codes Oribasius*, there is, in the archaic character, the number 200, which on the margin of the same work, in the more recent character, there is on fol. 277, 10 (1 20), before *retrae stow*, the number 2 (16); and on 29^r, 12 (1 20), before *retrae stow*, the number 3 (17); and these numbers represent the second and third hundreds of lines measured on some exemplar, either actual or ideal; *Diogenes Laertius* quotes a passage from *Chrysippus, avai rois polioi oriois*; and *Galen* estimates the extent of a certain portion of the works of *Hippocrates* at 740 verses; *retrae stow* *Agilias ro pto avri ro te yotagan ptois ro rapiois sic cy avrois ipois* (*Galen*, *In Hippocratis de medicis*, *lib. 1. c. 1. p. 9*). Full collections of such data may be found in *F. W. Hitzel, Opuscula philologica*, 1. 74 sqq., Leipzig, 1806; and in *T. Heib., De antike Buchwesen*, chap. iv., Berlin, 1882. Everything in these data suggests that the subscription has reference to standard lines or couplets; and since the actual number of lines in the manuscripts never tallies with the stichometric record, and we are unable to point to any copies which do furnish an agreement, it is evident that there is somewhere a common unit of measurement upon which these subscriptions and quotations are based: in other words, the stichon must have a definite value in itself, even if it be not absolutely fixed. It is important, therefore, to determine in what direction the meaning of stichon defects from its normal indefinite sense of "line," "row," and "verse."

The term stichon is itself extremely vague. It may be nothing more than row or line; as when the Septuagint is for the rows of stones in the high priest's breastplate; or, in a military sense, it may represent the number of men in a rank or file of soldiers, used especially the latter; and in other cases it may mean a line of text. In literature it is used to designate the direction of the line, as in the case of the *Stichon* in the direction of the line. In the first place, such a unit is convenient for the comparison of prose-works with poetry; in the next place, actual instances of prose-passages are reduced to their equivalent verse-length; in the third place, the term is used of hexameter poetry, in distinction from any other; and finally, any given work may be divided into hexameter rhythms and recited compared with the transmitted numerical data. If these points be taken in order, it may be said that the prose-unit is more likely to be taken from poetry than that the unit of measurement for poetry is likely to be adopted from prose; for the line of poetry is already measured in a readily constant unit, and no reason exists for a change of that unit. The only question that would arise here is whether there may not be expected a variety of units of measurement; as, for instance, an ambal unit in distinction from a hexameter unit. It is sufficient to observe, at this

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

point, that such varieties of measurement, if they exist, are extremely rare. In regard to the actual reduction of a prose-passages to its equivalent verse-length, there is an important case in *Galen* (*lr. 656, ed. Kuhn*), where, having quoted a sentence from *Hippocrates*, he continues:

sic pto avri ro te yotagan ptois ro rapiois sic cy avrois ipois (*Hippocrates*, *lib. 1. c. 1. p. 9*). If *Galen*, according to this, then reckons thirty-nine syllables as being equivalent to two hexameters and a half, or, as he continues, eighty-two syllables to five hexameters, the hexameter can hardly be different from a sixteen-syllabled rhythm. The assumption is easy that stichometric measurement is made by preference in syllables of which sixteen go to the hexameter, or unit-verse. The number sixteen is in fact preferred as being the number of syllables in the first line of the *Iliad*, and as being a square number, a peculiarity which always had a certain attractiveness for early calculations. That the term stichon defects in the direction of hexameter verse as against any other line of poetry which might have been chosen for a proper unit of measurement, will appear from *Manfredsson* (*Bibl. Catalog.*, p. 397), where there is quoted from a tenth-century manuscript a catalogue of poets as writers by stichon, and writers of fables can only have resulted from a specification of the meaning of the term stichon by constant use in a particular sense.

In the demonstration of the same point by actual measurement, the most important researches are those published by the late C. Grass (*In Kruse de Philology*, Apr. 1876), in which he demonstrated, by an actual estimation of the number of letters in certain works, that the measure—stichos represented not a clause, nor a most number of words, but a fixed quantity

Confirmed of writing. The average number of letters to the verse he found to vary between narrow limits, generally thirty-four to thirty-eight letters; and an enumeration of the letters in fifty lines of the *Iliad* opened at random supplied him with an average of 27.7 letters to the verse. This very important identification of the stichon with the hexameter is the starting-point for a great many new critical investigations as to the integrity of transmitted texts, their early form, etc. Whether the unit of measurement is a certain number of syllables, or a certain number of letters, is not at first sight easy to decide. It is scarcely certain that the measured line is, as above stated, a space-line, and not a sentence-line; but to discriminate between a letter-line and a syllable-line is a more delicate matter. If the former be adopted, the unit should probably be fixed at thirty-six letters, because this is the nearest geometrical number to the average hexameter. There are very few instances, however, in which the actual letters of a line are found to be numbered; while the custom can really be traced of limiting a line by the division of the syllables, in the earliest manuscripts. Moreover, there is the actual measurement in the passage quoted from *Galen*; and *Pliny* seems to allude to the custom of syllable-counting, when, in one of his epistles, he demands an equally long reply from

his correspondent, and threatens to count, not only the pages, but the verses on the page, and the syllables of each verse (*Ep. non papirus numerus, sed versus etiam syllabarum numerus*; *Pliny*, *lr. 11*). The professor must, therefore, be given to the syllable-line. It is comparatively easy to count the number of a book in sixteen-syllabled rhythms, but a tedious process to estimate with equal accuracy the number of thirty-six-letter lines.

It is interesting to compare the relative sizes of the two line-units. *M. Grass* deduces 37.7 as the average hexameter in letters, and *Thilo* (*Hermes*, vol. xvii.) makes the average of the first fifty lines of *Isocrates* in honor to be 16.6 syllables. A verse of 4. Partial of sixteen syllables is then equivalent to about 1.074 verses of thirty-six letters each. In precisely the same way as *M. Grass* determined the average number of letters to the verse from the total stichometry in the manuscripts of *Herodotus*, *Demosthenes*, *Eusebius*, *Cyprianus*, *Gregory of Nazianzen*, etc., one may examine the partial stichometry. This has been done for *Isocrates* by *Fuhr* (*Philologica*, *Muench.*, xxxvii, 468); for the *Plato* manuscripts, by *Schama* (*Hermes*, xvi, 300); and for the *Demosthenes* manuscript, by *W. v. Christ*, in the able discussion entitled *Die Stichometrie des Demosthenes* (*Muench.*, 1852). The partial stichometry is of the highest value for the study of texts; and in every case the data which it supplies are found to accord very closely with the fundamental statements above as to the paleographical meaning of the word stichon. There are traces of partial stichometry in the great Vatican manuscript of the *Old and New Testaments* (*cf. E. Nestle, in Correspondenz-Blatt für die Germanisten und Zoolologen*, *Wien*, 1888; and *J. R. Harris, Stichometry*, pp. 59-64, London, 1893). The foregoing investigations received striking and unexpected confirmation through the discovery by Professor *Mannsen* in 1888 of a list of the canonical books of the *Old and New Testaments* and of the works of *Cyprian* in the *Philippine Library* at Cebu. These lists were accompanied by stichometric annotations, to which the article attached the information that the index of verses in the city of Rome is not clearly given, and elsewhere, through great gaps, they do not preserve it in full; but that he went through the books in detail, counting sixteen syllables to the line according to the standard line of *Vergil*, and reported the number of verses. The importance of this statement is evident. "There was not only a stichometry of the Vulgate and of the works of *Cyprian* by which the purchaser of books in Carthage or elsewhere could be protected against the rapacity of the bookseller, but the hexameter standard was clearly defined as the unit of measurement."

Some degree of confusion is introduced by the statement, apparently in early lines, of an alternative unit of measurement, the *ambal*, which is a five syllable verse of twelve syllables. *Galen* and as well by the introduction of *retrae stow* and *retrae stow* and *retrae stow*. The latter ground of combats the consequence of the countenance which the custom seemed to lend to the theory of some lines in opposition to space-line. The ex-

95 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Stigmata

of Spain, fled to Frankfurt-on-the-Oder, and thence to Prussia, and was stationed at Memel, where he carried his calculations on Pascal into the pulpit. After a brief stay in Kibitz, near Königsberg, on account of antagonism to Andreas Oetinger (q.v.), there, he returned to Saxony as pastor of Strick. At Kibitz he issued five sehr merkwürdige Wortredungen (Sämtl. einer merkwürdigen Erklärung dieser Worte Daniels und des Ofenbrennung Israels) (1553), composed of a mass of strophes, the sentences of which affected the apocryphal numbers and disclosed their mysteries. His partiality for Flacius against Melancthon induced him to go from Electoral Saxony to the territory of the Free-tions. After 1559 he held mathematical lectures at the University of Jena. His German explanation of Revelation, which he represented as a prehistory of all history, finally reached the Thomsen Library of Leipzig; for specimens, see H. Pipping's Arsenus (1666) (Thomsen, pp. 73 seq. (Leipzig, 1938).

(PAUL MANN)
BIBLIOGRAPHY: The one work of acoustical and vocal science. F. Meier, Die Schalleren Faksimile-Sätze, in Jahrbuch der Akustik (Leipzig), 1886.
STIEFEL (STIFFEL), MICHAEL: German Reformer and mathematician; b. at Saffingen (S. m. a. of Stuttgart) 1486 or 1487; d. at Jena Apr. 19, 1567. He entered the Augustinian monastery of his native city, and in 1511 was consecrated priest. He first assumed an active part in the Reformation with the treatise, Von der Christenlichen nachgelassenen Leer Doctrin Martini Luthers (1522), being specially affected by reading the book of Revelation. He took refuge in May, 1522, with Hartmut of Osnabrück; but upon the surrender of Osnabrück Oct. 15, he fled to Wittenberg, and, Mar. 1523, became co-opt preacher of Osnabrück at Mansfeld. With great zeal he devoted himself to mathematical studies, setting up a so-called logarithmic system by transforming letters into the so-called trigonal numbers 1, 3, 6, 10, 15, 21, and discovering the series of the Bible. Luther, however, assented him of the faculty of his pariter, from which he desired for himself. Luther also sent him as preacher to Christoph Jörg of Tüdel and Kriemhild, a nobleman in upper Austria. Compelled to flee from Austria in 1527, he found refuge in Luther's house, where he collected and transmitted the works and letters of Luther, until Sept. 1528, when he became pastor in Leobach. From 1528 he returned to his special mathematical studies, publishing his logarithmic treatise, Von der Ordnung der Zahlen (Wittenberg, 1528). He unshared the mysteries of the history of the Bible, the Church, and the papacy, and calculated the date of the advent of Christ as eight a. m. on Dec. 15, 1521. In consequence he was brought to Wittenberg by the officers of the elector, held in confinement for four weeks to await the elector's sentence and only the intervention of Luther and Melancthon saved him from prison and second his transportation to the parish of Hildesdorf, 1534 or 1535. Holding himself aloof from prophecy, for fourteen years, he prosecuted genuine mathematical studies, resulting in Arithmetica (1541), and Practica arithmetica (Nürnberg, 1545). He was married at Wittenberg, 1541, probably for the purpose of giving mathematical instruction to students. During the Schmalkalden war Stiefel returned to his calculator's play with numbers, was expelled from Hildesdorf by the soldiers

BIBLIOGRAPHY: G. T. Meier, N. v. Zabern, I, 1, p. 8 seq. (Nürnberg, 1796). T. Kuhn, Arithmetica, in F. P. Schönerberger, ed., 7. v. d. Mathematik, 1006, G. Meier, Luther und Wittenberg, 2. v. d. Lutherstudien, 1881, 428. saxe. 288 seq. (1911, 1915, pp. 405 seq. (G. KAUFMAN).

STIER, after, RUDOLF EWALD: German Biblical theologian; b. at Frankfurt (7 m. n. w. of Bonn) Mar. 17, 1800; d. at Lübeck (10 m. n. w. of Leipzig) Dec. 16, 1862. He entered the University of Berlin in 1818 to study law, but finding this subject ill suited to his poetic taste, he was registered as a theological student in 1818, but his romantic spirit led him to the pursuit of poetry, adopting Jesus Paul as his ideal, and engaging in correspondence with him. In 1818 he went to the University of Halle, where he became president of the Bible-Burschenschaft. In consequence of the decease of a young woman whom he loved and a change of life in that intense period of religious revival, he abandoned his literary avocations and took up the study of theology seriously at Berlin, 1819. From 1821 to 1822 he occupied a position in the seminary at Wittenberg, where he devoted himself to a comprehensive study of the Bible. In 1822 he took a position in the teachers' seminary at Karlsruhe, and in the following year became teacher at the seminarinary at Basel, where he was pastor at Frankenthal, 1828-35; at Willinghausen, 1838-47; until a severe illness retirement at Wittenberg, 1847-1850; was called by the consistory of Magdeburg to the office of superintendent at Stettin, 1850; and was superintended at Eilenburg, 1850-62. During all these years Stier's main interest was in Biblical study in which J. von Meyer's annotated Bible was his main guide. Not satisfied with the Luther's version he collaborated with Von Meyer in the production of his last edition of 1842, and in his own (Dahlefeld, 1850) he made extensive alterations. His translation is specially valuable for the parallel passages given. His exegetical works are practical and devotional, here and there parenthetical and somewhat lacking in dogmatic reference and positiveness. He was author of Sätze aus apostolischen Paulinen (Halle, 1814); and commentaries on Ephesians (1846; popular ed., Berlin, 1850), on

96 THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

Hebrews (2d ed., Braunschweig, 1852), and on James (2d ed., Leipzig, 1860). His exposition of the inner life, familiarity with scientific literature, and a fresh and quaint interest, mark these as well as his widely distributed *Wörter des Herrn* (2d ed., 5 vols., Leipzig, 1851-55; Eng. transl., *Words of the Lord Jesus*, 2 vols., Edinburgh, 1855-56, 4th American ed., New York, 1864), a commentary on the Gospel, and *Wörter des Herrn von Himmel her* (1859; Eng. transl., *Words of Heaven from Heaven*, Edinburgh, 1859), on the Acts and Revelation. He represented a doctrine of direct and organic inspiration, according to which the personality of the authors disappeared entirely, and the Holy Spirit inspires in one passage what he expresses in all others. This inspiration was not of the letter but of the Word. He upheld, however, the integrity of the canon, being influenced more by church tradition than by historical criticism, and is to be characterized as a dogmatic mystic. Mention should be made of the well-known and useful *Populär Bible* prepared together with K. G. W. Thein (4 vols., Halle, 1846-52).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: G. I. Nitzsch, *Wörter des Herrn*, in *Thesaurus*, Bremen, 1860; G. and F. Meier, *Wörter des Herrn*, 1861; Meier, 1871; M. A. Laessle, *Wörter des Herrn*, 1871; Meier, 1881.

STIEGL, after (STIGELIS), JOHANN: German humanist; b. at Füssen near Osnabrück (7 m. n. w. of Leipzig), May 15, 1515; d. at Jena Feb. 11, 1565. Johann Stigel was a man who held a prominent position in the Wittenberg circle of Melanchthonian humanists, because of his extraordinary endowment. He entered the University of Wittenberg, 1531, where he first studied the ancient languages; and, later, medicine, physics, and astronomy; and soon became famous through his poems. By 1541 he was Reipensing; and for congratulatory poem to Charles V., *Germania gratulans gratulatio* (1541), he received the imperial thanks and the title, *poete laureatus*. In 1540 he received the professor's honorifics at the University of Wittenberg, and lectured on Terence, Hesiod, and Ovid. At the outbreak of the Schmalkalden war he removed to Wittenberg, and in 1547, to Jena, where he, with Valerius Strigel, established a higher gymnasium, teaching rhetoric and poetry. This was the foundation of the new university, at the dedication of which, 1558, he delivered the oration. Difficult was his somewhat neutral position in the Philippist controversy (see Parrerosy), on account of his friendliness in both camps, and just before the downfall of Flacius, 1551, he became almost inextinguishable. His poems indicate a piety and pure heart, and include elegies on Johann Friedrich, duke of Saxony, and Luther. Collections were published as *Poesie in O* (two books, Jena, 1556-7; 2 vols., 1577; 3 vols., 1600-01). A German hymn may be found in *Kirchenlieder*, 441 (Leipzig, 1802-77) by C. E. F. Wackernagel; and his Latin spiritual hymns (*Ob.*, 1, 481-490). Besides, he was author of *Oratio de scriptis et arte versu* (1550), *Annuaire de Quintilien institutionibus libris x.* in P. Melanchthon's, *Annotationibus in Quintilianum*, 1553, and *De vitiis commentarii, Melanchthonis explicitio* (Wittenberg, 1575).

BIBLIOGRAPHY: Among others on his cosmopolitan, in *Ze.*, vol. 11-14. Comant, K. Götting, *Vita J. Stigeli* (Halle, 1818); H. Franke, *Comentarii de vita J. Stigeli*, 1610; M. Adam, *Vita Germanicus philosophus*, Hallesberg, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432.

STIGMATIZATION: The spontaneous formation of wounds on the persons of Christians similar to those received by Christ from the crown of thorns, etc. (q.v.) It is reported to have first occurred in France at Auzil (q.v.) being the first who was affected with it, this taking place in 1213 at Mt. Alverna in the Apennines. Besides him, the Roman Catholic Church relates about eighty other cases, some of them exhibiting only a partial stigmatization; not all, however, are so strongly attested as that of Francis. Single cases of stigmatization have been observed even in recent times, attested both by men of repute and by many thousands who observed them. A case of this kind is that of Anna Katharina Emmerich (b. of town peasant in 1774 near Osnabrück, 20 m. n. w. of Münster). From her youth she showed deep religious feelings and a rare modesty and humility. In 1803 she entered the convent of Agnetenberg, where she was affected with chronic illness. From about 1811 her body began to show complete stigmatization, which remained with her until 1819, when she was healed with her usual rest. A similar case is that of Marie von Maré (b. 1812 at Erford, 41 m. n. of Imbsbruck, d. 1868), who showed stigmata in side, hands, and feet, witnessed by over 40,000 persons. The most recent instance is that of Louise Lataste of Bois d'Haine near Charleroi, 20 m. n. of Brussels (b. 1850; d. Aug. 28, 1883). Stigmatization may, therefore, be accepted as a fact, but its explanation is to be sought.

Roman Catholics regard stigmatization as a miracle, and Gregory IX., Alexander IV., and other popes have put themselves on record in the case of St. Francis. But the phenomenon may be explained in a natural way. The human soul possesses not only normal but what pass as abnormal powers. A work of art, for instance, owes its origin not merely to reason, but also to a subconscious instinct for creation. The same instinct appears in dream life, while unconscious powers direct the functions of the human organism. It is noteworthy that St. Francis and the other notable examples among the stigmatized suffered from morbid conditions from which an excess of psychic influence upon a morbidly inclined and weak body is intelligible. Protestants, therefore, while admitting stigmatization, do not attach to it the same value as Roman Catholics; on the other hand, it is admitted that stigmatization shows itself only in those who in glowing love have devoted themselves to the Saviour.

(FRANZ HANSEN)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: The literature under FRANCIS, BAPT. or ADAM, especially the 35 (French and English) by F. St.

97 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

havior, in which is included a critical survey of religious and...
Stiles, Ezra: American Congregationalist; b. at North Haven, Conn., Dec. 16, 1727; d. in New Haven May 22, 1793.

Stiles, Ezra: American Congregationalist; b. at North Haven, Conn., Dec. 16, 1727; d. in New Haven May 22, 1793. He was graduated from Yale College, 1746; was tutor there 1748-51; he studied theology but turned to law, and was admitted to the bar in 1753; practiced law two years, but returned to the ministry in 1755; he was pastor in Newport, R. I., 1755-77; in 1777, when the place was occupied by the British, he removed to Portsmouth to become pastor of the North Church. In Sept., 1777, he was elected president of Yale College, where he was professor of ecclesiastical history till 1780, when he became professor of divinity. He was succeeded in his office by the Rev. Amos A. Phelps, D.D., 1785; and A. History of These of the Judges of King Charles I., Major General Wallingford, Major General Ogle, and Colonel Darnall, who fled to America and were secured... for near thirty years. With an account of Mr. F. Wade of Newport, general, supposed to have been one of the Judges (Hartford, 1794). He has an unfinished Church History of New England, and more than forty volumes of manuscript.

Stilling, Johann Heinrich Jung: German mystic and writer of devotional works; b. in the village of Gries (2 1/2 m. n.e. of Göttingen) Sept. 12, 1740; d. at Carlsruhe Apr. 2, 1817. His name was Johann Heinrich Jung, but in the last twenty years of his life he called himself Jung-Stilling because he had written his autobiography under the name of Stilling. He was the son of a poor tailor and school-teacher and grandson of a churchwarden. In his tenth year Stilling was entrusted to the rector of the Latin school at Hildesbach, where he studied Latin, mathematics, and history, and XI-7

attracted the attention of Pastor Schlich, who in 1755 made him school-teacher at Litzel, at the age of fifteen. Here he read Homer and also the works of Boehme, but lost the favor of Schlich by his intercourse with separatists. Stilling returned to his home and assisted his father, and after a short interval began to teach again in Driesbach and Kitzfeld. In 1762 he went as journeyman tailor on his travels, ultimately reaching Solingen, where he found work and spiritual advancement in the communities influenced by Spener and Tersteegen. Then he became tutor in the home of a well-to-do merchant. After a short time spent with a tailor, he sought again in the family of a merchant named Flender who gave him lessons and the means to continue his studies, especially in ancient and modern languages. During this time he became acquainted with the Roman Catholic preacher Muller, an Arminian who was very successful in curing disease of the eye and taught him his methods. From 1770 to 1772 Stilling studied medicine in Strasbourg, where he became acquainted with Herder and Goethe. He then settled as physician in Elberfeld. In 1778 he received a call to Kaiserslautern as preacher in the school of practical economy. After the removal of this school to Heidelberg in 1784 and his connection with the university, Stilling went to Heidelberg, and in 1787 to Marburg in the same capacity. In spite of his success as teacher and physician, he became dissatisfied with his calling, and gave up his position in Marburg (1801) to accept a call of Elector Karl Friedrich of Baden, who settled an annuity upon him so that he might devote himself entirely to his religious calling and propagate religion and practical Christianity through his correspondence and literary activity. He lived in Heidelberg as a witness of the living God and herald of Christ, 1803-05; thereafter he lived in Carlsruhe.

Stilling was a "patriarch of mystics" who, in the time of indifference and of the atrocities of the French revolution, showed thousands of people whose salvation from moral degeneration could be found, and led them again to a profound religious feeling. His books still have influence, being the products of immediate personal experience. Three works of Stilling have especially established his fame and importance: Herwig's Stilling's Jugend (1777); Herwig's Stilling's Aufregung (1778); and Herwig's Stilling's Wundertag (1778). The first of these were Goethe's earliest admiration. Of his attempts in the domain of belle-lettres, only Faust's letter to Schopenhauer (1784-85) survived, and even that because it contains contributions to the history of the Separation. Herwig's Stilling's Lebenslauf (1789) and Herwig's Stilling's Lebenslauf (1804) are continuations of the story of his life mentioned above, but they lack the depth and originality of the first works. Das Heimm, Sein, und das Götterreich, Sippengeschichte der christlichen Religion und Theorie der Götterwelt, works of a mystical nature, were soon forgotten, but they were shown by the irrefragable power of personally experienced faith in his periodical publication, Der geistliche Mensch (1786-1816), and in Der christliche Mensch (1803-1815); Taschenbuch für Freunde des Christenthums

Stilling, Johann Heinrich Jung

(1805-16); and Bioklebe Bräuhelmen (1808-16). The poems of Stilling were collected after his death and published by his grandson W. E. Schwarz (Frankfurt, 1817) in his Familien-Gedächtnis, appeared Stuttgart, 1833-39; and Ständische Werke, in the same place, 1841-44.

Stilling, Edward: English bishop of Worcester; b. at Chesham (2 1/2 m. w. of Southampton) Apr. 17, 1835; d. at Westminster Mar. 27, 1909. He was educated at St. John's College, Cambridge (B.A. and fellow, 1853; M.A., 1859; B.D., 1862; D.D., 1868). His first service as private tutor in 1857 became rector of Sutton. Just after the Restoration, he published his Resurrection, an attempt at a compromise between the established church and the Presbyterians. The following year appeared his Original Source, or Rational Account of the Christian Faith as to the Truth and Divine Authority of the Scriptures, in which he dealt upon the knowledge, fidelity, and integrity of Moses, and the inspiration of the prophets, as inferred from the fulfillment of their prophecies, and extended the work in the line of a general apologetic. While in many points the work is superseded by later productions, it remains a storehouse of learning, and displays much logical ability and lawyer-like habits of thought. This volume was followed, in 1865, by A Rational Account of the Grounds of the Protestant Religion, a publication issued to meet the Jesuit account of the Last-Abolition controversy. In 1865 he became rector of St. Andrew's, Hillborn, and preacher at the Rolls Chapel; in 1867, professor of Religion in St. Paul's, exchanged for Newington in 1872; royal chaplain in 1867-68; raised in Canterbury cathedral in 1869; archbishop of London, 1877; dean of St. Paul's, 1878. The Resurrection of St. Paul (2 parts, 1851-52) gave unmistakable proof that he had abandoned the modernist position, dropped the conciliatory temper, expressed in his Resurrection. This brought on him answers in the way of defence, written by Owen, Baxter, and other non-conformists, and he candidly acknowledged his mistake. His Opinion Resurrection (1853) was an investigation of the sources of British ecclesiastical history (standard ed., 2 vols., Oxford, 1842). In 1860 he became bishop of Worcester, and as such took part in the celebration for revising the Book of Common Prayer. In 1865 a violent dispute went on among certain non-conformists respecting Antinomianism; and some of the disputants appealed to Stilling as a sort of arbitrator, a circumstance which showed that by this

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

time he had recovered his reputation as a healer of strife. An active mind like his would grapple in all sorts of questions, and he could not refrain from taking part in the great doctrinal controversy of the age. A Discourse in Vindication of the Doctrine of the Trinity was published in 1807. Stilling was a metaphysician, as well as a divine, and criticized Locke's Essay on the Human Understanding the same year, following that up soon after by a rejoinder to Locke's reply. Other works are the Council of Trent Examined and Disputed (1808) and Sermons (4 vols., 1808-1701). A collected edition of his works, with his life by Richard Bentley, was published (6 vols., London, 1709-10).

Stilling, Charles Albert: Southern Presbyterian; b. in Charleston, S. C., Mar. 14, 1819; d. at Tuscaloosa, Ala., Jan. 23, 1905. He received education at College of William and Mary (B.A., 1841) and at the Theological Seminary at Columbia, S. C. (graduated, 1844); was licensed by Charleston presbytery in 1844; in the same year served as substitute pastor of the Second Presbyterian Church of Charleston for six months during the absence of the pastor; was ordained by the presbytery of Tuscaloosa, Ala., in 1845; was pastor at Eatonton, Ala., 1844-53; at Gainesville, Ala., 1853-70; and at Tuscaloosa, Ala., 1870 till his death. He was moderator of the general assembly in 1875.

He was on the editorial staff of The Southern Presbyterian for a number of years, while that paper was published in Columbia, S. C. To him, more than to any one else, was due the founding of Tuscaloosa, Ala., of an institution for the training of colored ministers. From the time of its founding in 1878 up to within a few months of his death, he was the superintendent of the institution and watched over it with fatherly care. When he resigned from the superintendency, the general assembly in recognition of his services named the school the Stilling Institute for Training Colored Ministers.

STIRLING TRACT ENTERPRISE. See TRACT SOCIETIES, III, 3.

Stock, Eugene: Church of England layman; b. at Westminster Feb. 26, 1836. He received his education at private schools, and was in successful life till 1873, though he acted as honorary editor to the Church of England Sunday School Institute, the Church of England Sunday School Institute, the Church of England Sunday School Institute, since 1882, member of the house of laymen of the Canterbury ecclesiastical province since 1888, and diocesan reader for the diocese of London since 1901; and contributor to the American Sunday School Union, 1873-81. He belongs to the Evangelical party in the Church of England. He has published: Lessons on the Life of our Lord (London, 1871, and

ellen, 200,000 copies sold); *Lessons on the Acts of the Apostles* (1872); *Story of the Fark-Kien Mission of the Church Missionary Society* (1877); *Stays to Truth* (1878, many editions, also translations into other languages); *Japan and the Japan Mission of the Church Missionary Society* (1880); *Lessons Shalut to Genesis* (1885); *History of the Church Missionary Society* (2 vols., 1891); *One Hundred Years of the Church Missionary Society* (1897); *Short Handbook of Missions* (1904); *Notes on India for Missionary Students* (1905); *The Story of Church Missions* (1907); *Talks on St. Luke's Gospel* (1907); and *My Recollections* (1909).

STOCK, SIMON. See SIMON (Stannox) Stock, Saint.

STOCKLETH, also/let, NILS JOACHIM CERSTIAR VIBE. Norwegian missionary, b. at Fvoldhaug Jan. 11, 1787; d. at Sandefjord (58 m. n.w. of Christiania) Apr. 26, 1868. By the death of his father, who was a preacher, in 1794, his mother was left in dire poverty with three children of whom Nils was the oldest. She afterward moved to Copenhagen in order to give the two oldest boys a legal education. Nils, however, had a strong inclination for theology. In 1805 the mother died; and the two boys, overcome by sickness, grief, and overwork, were brought into a hospital in great destitution. Nils received a bursary in the army during the European war, and upon the conclusion of peace (1814) was honorably discharged with the rank of captain. He then returned and entered the Norwegian army. He became a tutor in the family of a rural preacher near Walden in 1815, which led to a revival of his desire for the study of theology. He entered the University of Christiania in 1820, and was ordained a missionary to Finnmark (northernmost Norway) in 1825; and in spite of his weak lungs and his paralyzed right arm, he, accompanied by his wife, went to Vadsø on the Arctic Ocean, the same year. Finnmark has an area of 18,250 square miles and a scattered Finnish population of 25,000, either engaged in the fisheries or following with their reindeer a nomadic life inland. As the only other church district was without a pastor, Stocklet's field included this wide extent. At the beginning of the eighteenth century, the Finns of this province were only nominally Christian, demoralized by the liquor traffic and the selfish spoliation of the Norwegians. The Gospel had been planted by the mission von Westen (q.v.), the *Apostle to the Finns*. In order to serve the six churches Stocklet was obliged to make long and perilous journeys, sometimes by boat, sometimes by sled, even as far as into the Kautia wastes. Finding the work unendurable beyond one man's strength, he determined to confine himself to the ministry of the Lappes, sharing with them their huts and furs, in order to master their speech and win their confidence. At one-fourth his former income he therefore summed the Lappish parables (1828). His work was an immense literary; he labored usually about eight weeks in a district and stopped only briefly with the families scattered miles apart. More and more the conviction increased upon him of the inadequacy

of the literature for the Finns. With great exertion he had translated Erik Pontoppidan's explanation of the existence of the New Testament, and the book of Genesis. These he consigned with others to the flames in 1833. He was more and more impressed with the necessity for the establishment of the Lappish literary language upon a new basis. In preparation for this work he spent the years 1831-33 in Christiania, Copenhagen, Stockholm, and Helsingfors, holding conferences with leading scholars. Having returned to Finnmark in 1831, he invented a new phonetic alphabet. In 1838 he journeyed to Christiania to publish his writings, and prepared two students in the Lappish language. The year 1837 he spent in Finland for a more thorough study of Finnish, and, upon his return to Christiania, published a primer and reader, *Letur's Shortest Catechism*, a translation of *Matthew and Mark*, and a Biblical history. This was done at the expense of the Stockings, which authorized also a complete translation of the Bible. Then he turned his attention again to the mountain Lapps (1840-1845, 1851-62), always intent upon the instruction of pastors for the people. The history of all his missionary expeditions he published in his *Display over mine Missionerter i Finnmarken* (Christiania, 1860), with an appendix giving an excerpt from the most important writings on Finnish history and language. An epistle in the last period of his work was a wave of religious frenzy originating from the preaching of Lars Levi Linstad in a neighboring Swedish diocese. This movement threatened the public peace as well as public and private morality. Stocklet hastened to the scene, and for six months waged battle against the maraud outbreak. Exhausted in strength, he retired in 1853, after having been pensioned, and spent the remaining years of his life (1853-60) at the baths of Sandefjord. His "Religious Letters" (1848) show a profound religious life.

STOCKTON. The principal events in his own *Duodecim*, at the suggestion of his life written by J. Thompson, Copenhagen, 1867; and by H. Kuhn, in *F. Papp's Biographische Kalender*, 1867; *Omnia de H. Steffen, Oeder die Lappes*, and *Omnia Stocklet's Protestantische Missioner*, Berlin, 1842.

STOCKTON, THOMAS HEWINGS. Methodist Protestant, b. at Mount Holly N. J., June 4, 1805; d. in Philadelphia Oct. 9, 1866. Converted in the Methodist Episcopal Church on his organization, and in 1829 was placed upon a circuit. He was stationed in Baltimore, 1832; was chaplain to the house of representatives, 1833-35 and 1836-41, and to the senate, 1862. He preached in Philadelphia, 1838-1847; in Cincinnati, 1847-50; as associate pastor in Baltimore, 1850-56; as sole pastor in Philadelphia, 1856-66. He was one of the most eloquent preachers of his day, and was an anti-slavery pioneer. He compiled a hymn-book for his denomination (1837), and published *Sermons for the People* (Pittsburg, 1854); *Poems*, with *Autobiography and Other Notes* (Philadelphia, 1863); and *Book and All; or, the Bible the only teacher, syllabus and divine Authority on Earth*, *Discourses* (1870).

STOCKTON. A *Club Memory's Tribute to the Life, Character, and Work of the Rev. J. H. Steffen*, New York

STODDARD, CHARLES AUGUSTUS: Presbyterianism

1890. J. G. Wilson, Life, Character and Death of Rev. T. H. ...

STODDARD, DAVID TAPPAN: Congregationalist; b. at Northampton, Mass., Dec. 7, 1818; d. at Urmah, Persia, Jan. 22, 1857.

STODDARD, SELWOLD: Congregationalist; b. in Boston, Mass., 1647; d. at Northampton, Mass., Feb. 11, 1720.

STODDARD, CHARLES AUGUSTUS: Presbyterianism; b. in Boston, Mass., May 28, 1833.

STOCKER, ADOLF: German United Evangelical; b. at Halberstadt (31 m. s.w. of Magdeburg) Dec. 15, 1835; d. at Nuremberg, Feb. 23, 1908.

STOSSER, JOHANN: German theologian; b. at Ellingen (31 m. s.e. of Würzburg) June 23, 1841; d. at Seiffenberg (33 m. n.e. of Bamberg) Mar. 15, 1918.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

in the following year. He was made superintendent at Heidelberg, and in 1808 took part, with Meelin and Simon Wassenaer, in the preparation of the Westminster Book of Confession, which they defended against Victorinus Strigel, and Pastor Hugel in a special Apology in 1809.

how far personal ambition was the cause, or how far the reason lay simply in the development of his views of theology and of the Church.

The result of this controversy was the deposition of both his opponents and the rout of their whole party, while Stössel was appointed to a theological professorship and undertook the difficult task of mediating between the Flacian clergy and the syncretist Strigel.

Formally the Stöses were materialists. Even deity, divinity, God, was to them a substance, either the most delicate and all-pervasive element in the periodic process of cosmic making and unmaking, whether through fire or deluge, this alone is imperishable and eternal.

Stössel's revision from the Flacians of Jena receives its explanation from their tenets, but his change to crypto-Calvinism is more difficult to account for.

The relation of man to himself, to God or the world, and to his fellow men, is best expressed in the axiomatic postulate that "man must live in communion with nature"; here they differed profoundly from their chief adversaries, the Epicureans, as well as from the Greek contentment with mere physical felicity. They claimed that "nature," "God," "reason," direct man to seek the highest good in

Stoika THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 104

for specific services. The west and south Germans brought this custom with the system of private tithes into the Church, and now the latter had to encounter as a system, what before appeared only as mere or less matter of course. The Church was not content with voluntary offerings and gifts, but demanded a fixed price for every important service by the priest, who was his private official. Naturally, this was extended to include baptisms, marriages, penance and unction; and, in combination with the other Germanic principle recognizing not free services but only those recompensed as offerings, the system soon extended to the churches in the hands of the bishops and became universal. The state fees were regarded as legal appropriations of the churches, and were included in sales and investitures. In spite of earnest protest by legislation, extended as it was behind the power and self-interest of the landlord and the legal order. In the end, when the danger of lay domination was, in principle at least, removed by the substitution of the right of patronage (q.v.), the Church was not unwilling to assume this system of fees as resting upon custom, not without, inside of certain limits, a commanding acquiescence in its origin. This took place in connection with the act on Simony (q.v.) of the Fourth Lateran Council under Innocent III. in 1215. Extortion for spiritual official services was forbidden, but where the payment of fees was according to established custom it was commended and sustained. To make this consistent with the prohibition of simony, such payments were not to be understood as specific recompense for the service, but simply as a tribute rendered in view of the obligation of clerical support and the recognition of parochial jurisdiction. It was also understood that the clergy were not to direct their ministry accordingly; and for the poor the necessary services were to be gratis. In following times the acceptance of such contributions was made legitimate and it was only a step towards recognizing the right of the clerical to demand compensation, and the legal obligation on his part to render the service. To this day the right to state fees within "habitable custom" has retained the sanction of the Roman Catholic Church. In the Evangelical church, some of the older regulations either wholly or partly abolished the stoike fees; as, for instance, for baptism and the communion. Generally, they have been permitted and remained customary in the Evangelical history in all churches. Where, as in electoral the Evan-Saxon, demand of them was forbidden, this until the seventeenth century, the Church, communion excepted, the practice of payments as free-will offerings persisted with reference to baptism and confirmation. Under the new régime of state government from the sixteenth century the states have assumed the control of all, and, with the concurrence of the spiritual authorities, regulated, the system of stoike fees. This standpoint has not been universally maintained, however, since the substitution of the

autonomy of the Roman Catholic Church in 1868. In fact, the right of the respective churches to fix and regulate fees for ecclesiastical transactions is inalienable; yet obligations involved are imposed upon the subjects of the State for the enforcement of which the State must lend its arm. Hence, the matter may not be wholly left in the hands of the Church, and the State is also entitled to the privilege of a normative occupation. This rule prevails, for example, in Prussia; in Austria, on the other hand, alterations in the regulations are reserved by the State after the concurrence of the bishops. A state concurrence takes place where the Evangelical church possesses organized government and by the regulation of state fees therefore derives on the church boards in common with the parish organs. In principle, the obligation of paying state fees pertains only to the members of the church of the officiating clergyman, which members alone are in a position to require the services. This is the present conception. But formerly, before the parity of the churches was established, the members of the merely tolerated bodies were forced to pay the fees to the pastor of the prevailing church, even where they were performed by pastors of their own confession. Votes have been raised in the Roman Catholic Church for the abolition of state fees, namely, in the Council of Trent, and spontaneously in the eighteenth and nineteenth centuries. Efforts at though in vain against the practical abolition, diffusions involved. More earnestly was the abolition demanded. Until the last quarter of the last century this demand was met only in isolated instances. In 1831 Nassau, 1849 Oldenburg, and 1871 Brunswick abolished the fees in favor of recognition from church funds or other sources. The introduction of the civil register and civil marriage by imperial statute (1873) provided for an indemnification of the clergy, and occasioned in a number of states the abolition of state fees for baptisms, wedding ceremonies, and publishing of the banns, either in all churches or the Evangelical alone. Universal abolition was consummated in Prussia in 1860-1900. In Baden the regulation of the state fees is assigned to the churches, elsewhere it is effected by state provisions. In Prussia the churches are reformed, if the redemption taxes make an increase in the total expenses, by a state church fund. While the Old Catholics did not adopt the system at all, it is in full vogue in the Eastern Church, as well as in the Roman Catholic Church. Modern Church. These entitled to state fees. Practice. In the latter are the parish priest, a clerical whose position is materially above that of the parish priest or through special title. State fees must be authorized by church statute or recognized custom. They usually occur in connection with baptisms, publishing of banns, marriages, the blessing and attendance upon the deceased, and the chancing of women. It is excluded in respect

106 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Stoika

to the dispensation of the other sacraments, as the communion, extreme unction, and ordination, and frequently, penance. In individual dioceses the fees in connection with baptisms, penance and the chancing of women are dispensed with. The amount depends on the regulations or local custom. The earlier practice of proportioning the tax according to the rank of the person is discontinued, but instead there is introduced a grading according to the means of the applicant, that is, in his occupation. The regulation of the stoike fees system is under the jurisdiction of the bishops, with the advice of the priests and his assistants. By a decree of 1890 this is conditioned by previous concurrence in provincial synods or bishop's conventions. Disputes, according to canon law, are subject to the ecclesiastical courts. In Prussia the state courts, by virtue of state control, may hear and adjudicate complaints. In Bavaria the administrative boards and administrative courts control disputes and enforce payments, and in Austria there, in addition, parish exorbitant charges by a fine and enforced restitution. From state fees are to be exempted the stipends for masses, and fees for burial sites, pews, stalls, and maids. The legal administration of state fees according to Evangelical church law is similar to the Roman Catholic. They must not be asked in advance, nor must the rites be suspended until payment has been made, and the necessary official service must be rendered to the poor. Those legally entitled are the official pastor, or, relatively, church treasurer, or those who administer the pastoral income. The whole amount is regulated by the church order or canonical precept with allowance for local observations. The levy and approval of the taxes belong to the church governing boards. With the introduction of presbyterial and synodal provisions the initiative to abolition devolves upon the congregational organs. (U. STRYK.)

STONE OF MEHA. See MOABITE STONE.
STONE, BARTON WARREN: Deacon of Christ; b. near Port Tobacco, Md., Dec. 24, 1772; d. at Hambl, Md., Nov. 9, 1844. He graduated from the academy at Guilford, N. C., in 1793; taught in Washington, Co., and studied theology; then entered the Presbyterian ministry as a licentiate in 1798, being ordained as pastor of the churches at Cambridge and Concord, Ky., in 1801 he was led to renounce Calvinism, and with four other clergymen formed the Springfield Presbytery in 1803, though this was dissolved in 1804 and transferred into the Christian Church (see CHRISTIANITY, 2); he then turned for a time to farming and teaching, meanwhile preaching and founding churches in Ohio, Kentucky, and Tennessee; in 1826 he was editor of *The Christian Messenger*; in 1832 he assisted in a union of the churches known after him as "Stonites" with the "Campbellite" churches in Kentucky (see DISCIPLES OF CHRIST, § 1); after removing to Jacksonville, Ill., in 1835, he continued to labor for the denomination until his death, both by preaching and editing. He wrote *Lectures on the Atonement* (1803); *Address to the Christian Churches* (1805); and *Lectures to Dr. James Hodge* (1827).
BIOGRAPHY: B. B. Tyler, in *American Church History* series, vi, 11, 20, 21, 27, 28; New York, 1904, and in general the works on the early history of the denominations with which he was connected.
STONE, DARWELL: Church of England; b. at Rowet, Dorsetshire (19 m. s. of Liverpool), Sept. 15, 1836. He received his education at Merion College, Oxford (B.A., 1858; M.A., 1859; B.D., 1860; D.D., 1902). He was made deacon in 1853 and priest in 1855; was curate of Ashbourne, Derbyshire, 1858-64; vice-principal of Doerchester Missionary College, 1858-68, and principal, 1868-1902; librarian of Truro Memorial Library, Oxford, 1902-1909, and principal of the same since 1909. He "accepts the principles of the Tractarian movement in the Church of England, and is a student of the history of doctrine and ritual." He has published: *Holy Baptism* (London, 1899; 4th ed., 1900); *Outline of Christian Doctrines* (1899; 4th ed., 1900); *Christ and Human Life* (1901); *Meditations for Use in Retreat* (1902); *The Church of England. An Appeal to Facts and Principles* (1903); in collaboration with W. C. E. Newbould: *The Invention of Sinus* (1903); *The Doctrine of Faith* (1904); *The Holy Communion* (1904); *The Christian Church* (1905); and *A History of the Doctrine of the Holy Eucharist* (2 vols., 1906).

STORING, HERSEW USE OF. The employment of stores as a weapon of offense is common to various stages of civilization. Cases may be cited from the heroic age of the Greeks (*Iliad*, iii, 57; *Echylus, Agamemnon*, 1658) or from their historical period (Thucyd., v, 61; Pausanias, *III*, v, 8), while the Roman moles were not aware to the use of mines as weapons (Cicero, *Pro domo*, v; Quintilian, *Declamatio*, XII, xii). It was a custom also to harrow stones toward the prey of a hunted individual. It is not surprising to report that in Persia Antiochus Epiphanes was hindered to have met with death by storing (11 Mac., i, 10), and that Ismael

Stoning

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

106

also stones were thus used (Ex. xvii. 4; 1 Sam. xxx. 6; Matt. xxi. 35, and many other places). The question is interesting—what is the source of stoning as a punishment imposed by the governing body? The practice of stoning by official direction is wider than has been supposed. While this does not appear in the code of Hammurabi (see HAMMURABI'S LAWS), Arabs are known to throw stones at the grave of a transgressor and at the place where a shameful deed has been committed; this method of execution was employed by Persians, Moslems (Curtis, *Or vires prae* *Atromidi*, vii. xi. 38), and Spaniards. The abolition on Euripides, *Orestes*, 472, makes the death of Palamedes occur by stoning, and many other cases are reported (cf. O. Crusius, *Rechtsw.*, p. 20, Leipzig, 1868). There needs no special explanation of the use of this means of punishment—that it involves a certain roughness or low state of culture is not true. Thus Israel revealed in its earliest code of laws its several respects a nobler sense of humanness than the code of Hammurabi, as is proved by its provisions regarding the care of animals (Ex. xx. 10) and the treatment of slaves and the poor (Ex. xii. 2, 20, 21, etc.). Two reasons may be assigned for the custom of stoning among the Hebrews. The first was a notable and lively ethical consciousness which was evident throughout Jewish history with a certain earnestness in punishment of certain kinds of breaches of law. There was also apparent a definite effort to bring the liveliest realization to the largest number of people possible of the heinousness of certain transgressions by making part of the people executors of justice. Beninger sees also in the participation of so many an effort to release themselves from guilt.

This punishment was derived among the Hebrews, according to the Old Testament, in cases where the vitality of the nation was assailed, i. e., when its religious consciousness was offended; as when true prophecy was initiated by false prophecy (Deut. xiii. 11) or by soothsaying and sorcery (Lev. xx. 27), when Yahweh's covenant was assailed by the practice of idolatry (Deut. xvii. 2-9), when Yahweh's sanctuary was invaded by ineffectual persons (Ex. xix. 12), in case of blasphemy (Lev. xxiv. 10), or desecration of the sabbath (Num. xv. 32-35), or when the law was broken (Lev. xv. 25). In Hammurabi's code stealing from the temple was the one capital crime in this category. In addition to these religious offenses, the worst sins against humanity were punished, such as extreme filial impiety (Deut. xxi. 18-21), enrage of parents (Lev. x. 9), breach of betrothal vows (Deut. xxi. 20-24), adultery (Lev. xx. 10; Ex. xvi. 40, xlii. 47), incest (Lev. xxi. 17, 18, 19), polygamy (Lev. xxi. 15), and unnatural crime (Lev. xv. 16, 17). The one case of adultery, in which the law does not explicitly mention stoning, while Ezekiel (et seq.) shows that to be the method of punishment, suggests that other transgressions were also visited with stoning. Legal execution with the sword occurred, according to the Old Testament, when sentence was by the king and execution was by the military (11 Sam. i. 15; 1 Kings ii. 25; II Kings v. 25). In the New Testament stoning is the punishment for

blasphemy (Acts vi. 13, vii. 58) and for adultery (John viii. 5). The Mishnah (Shabathin, vii. 4) regards as punishable by stoning the offense enumerated above, which either by express direction or by assumed deduction were in the Old Testament so indicated; but Shabathin vi. 1 indicates for adultery death by strangling, and in general the Talmud divides capital penalties according as they are executed by stoning, burning, the sword, or strangling.

Respecting the carrying-out of the sentence the Bible directs that it be done outside the dwelling place of the community (Lev. xxiv. 14; I Kings xxi. 13; Acts vii. 58), and that the witness cast the first stone, to the end that witness-bearing be done with greater circumspection (Deut. xxi. 19, xvii. 7; John viii. 7; Acts vii. 58-59). The Talmud gives the following directions (Shabathin, vii.): As soon as judgment is pronounced, the condemned is to be led away to the place of execution, which is at a distance from the court of judgment; one person remains at the entrance of the courtroom with a large cloth in his hand, while another, on horseback, is at a considerable distance away, yet within sight of the first; in case some one affirms that he has testimony for the condemned, the signal is given with the cloth, and the horseman rides at once to suspend execution; the condemned is brought back, and this may be done four or five times. Similarly execution may be suspended if the accused alleges that he has something vital to offer. In case he produces what is found essential, he goes free; otherwise he is led forth, while some one precedes him announcing: Such a one, son of so and so, is led forth to atone for such an offense; to save or save the witness, whoever has anything to produce in his favor, let him produce it. When the condemned is distant four ells from the place of execution, he is stripped almost nude. The place of stoning is the height of two men. One of the witnesses casts a stone, and if this does not kill the man, then another, and then, if death has not ensued, the people take up the task. Those so executed are afterwards called *shammait* (Elsner) others are charged that only blasphemers and idolaters are hanged; Elsner directs that this title (shammait) be applied only to blasphemers and idolaters as being; Elsner directs that the *shammait* give the direction in folios 23-24, the Babylonian Gemara on folios 42-49. The latter affirms (folio 42) that with reference to Prov. xxv. 6 before the stoning such women gave to the condemned wine with frankincense in it to produce stupefaction. (Cf. Elmsler.)

BIBLIOGRAPHY: For the practice among non-Jewish peoples: W. Westermarck, *Ethnologie* (Lund, 1906), vol. ii, part 1, *Beleg*, 3, Halis, 1920; K. F. Romann, *Geologie*, Prehistorische et. K. B. Reich, 75, 5, Halis, 1906; *Pauli, Encyclopädie der Alttestamentlichen Wissenschaften*, vi, 2, 2, Halle, 1908, p. 172; *Pauli, Encyclopädie der Alttestamentlichen Wissenschaften*, vi, 2, 2, Halle, 1908, p. 172; *Hobart, in Zeitschrift für Vergleichende Ethnologie*, iii, 1880, 10, 10. For the practice among the Hebrews: *The Mishnah under Law*, Herzog, *Chin. and Chinesen*, a periodical, and for general information about *RAMMAM* and its Code. Consult further: F. B. King, *Die Hebräer*, *Lehrbuch*, Frankfurt, 1710; C. B. Halperin, *Die Hebräer*, Halle, 1740; H. B. Fassot, *Das Hebräer*

107

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Stoning

STONING. See **STONING**.
STORER, GOTTLIB CHRISTIAN. See **TENNESSEE SCHOOL**, THE OLIVER.
STORER, RICHARD SALTER. Congregationalist; b. at Braintree, Mass., Aug. 21, 1821; d. in Brooklyn, N. Y., June 8, 1903. He was the grandson of Rev. Richard Salter Storrs (1763-1819) of Longwood, Mass., and the son of the Rev. Richard Salter Storrs (1787-1873) who was for sixty-three years the eminent pastor of the Congregational church of Braintree, Mass. He was prepared for college at Moulton Academy and graduated at Amherst College in 1839. After two years spent partly in teaching and partly in the study of law in the office of Rufus Choate in Boston, he entered the Andover Theological Seminary from which he was graduated in 1845. He was immediately called to the Harvard Congregational Church at Brookline, Mass.; but after a year of service there he accepted an urgent invitation to become the pastor of the Church of the Flag, Brooklyn, N. Y., which had been organized two years before. He was installed Nov. 19, 1846. In spite of numerous calls to important churches in New York, Boston, and elsewhere, he remained in this position till his death, performing all its duties until 1879, when he was made pastor emeritus. In 1896 the fiftieth anniversary of his installation was celebrated not only by the church but by various organizations throughout the city and by a notable meeting of citizens in the Academy of Music. He was a preacher of great eloquence and power, an orator who was much in demand on important occasions, a recognized leader in the church, an eminent and independent citizen. He was one of the founders of *The Independent* and one of its editors, 1848-81; was for several years prominent as a freeman lecturer; was president of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions 1857-68, a critical period in its history; was for many years a trustee of Amherst College and of various benevolent and missionary societies; was one of the founders and for a long time president of the Long Island Historical Society and an incorporator and officer of the Brooklyn Institute of Arts and Sciences, rendering important services to both these institutions, besides serving for a time as park commissioner and as commissioner of the civil service; and was the orator of the day when the statue of Lincoln was unveiled, when the city of New York celebrated the centennial of the Declaration of Independence, July 4, 1876, when the first Brooklyn Bridge was opened to the public, at the semi-millennial celebration of the birth of John Jay in 1804, and on many other occasions. Two of his most remarkable creations, delivered several times in 1875 and 1876, on "The

Orator and the Martyr" were spoken without notes and were never printed; several others were collected and published after his death in a volume entitled *Orations and Addresses* (Boston, 1903). In addition to these and to numerous occasional discourses his most important publications are: *The Constitution of the Human Soul* (New York 1857); *Preaching without Notes* (1875); *The Doctor Drops of Christianity Indicated by its Historical Effects* (1884); *Harvest of Churches* (1888); and *Addresses on Foreign Missions* (1889).
STORER, E. A. Fox, Richard S. Storrs. *Memorial Address*, New York, 1903.
STORY-BIBLES. See **BIBLE**, HISTORICAL.
STORY, ROBERT HERBERT. Church of Scotland; b. at Rosneath (22 m. n. w. of Glasgow), Dunbartonshire, Jan. 28, 1825; d. at Glasgow Jan. 15, 1907. He was educated at the universities of Edinburgh (B.A. 1853) and Heidelberg (1855), and received his theological training at Edinburgh (1853-56) and St. Andrews (1856-57). He was minister at Rosneath (1860-87); from 1888 until his death he was principal and vice-chancellor of the university. In theology he belonged to the liberal school. Besides editing the *Scott Magazine*, he wrote *Memor of the Life of Robert Story* (Cambridge, 1892); *Charles the Conqueror* (Edinburgh, 1893); *Life and Remains of Robert Lee* (2 vols., London, 1870); *William Cartwright: a Character and Career of the Revolutionary Epoch* (1874); *Ordeal and Condemnation* (Glasgow, 1878); and *The Apostolic Ministry in the Scottish Church* (London, 1897). He likewise edited *The Church of Scotland, Past and Present* (3 vols., London, 1859-91).
BIBLIOGRAPHY: *Memor of R. H. Story*, by his Daughter, Glasgow, 1904.
STOSCH, JOHANN ERNST GEORG. German Protestant; b. at Buttum (52 m. s. e. of Dresden) Sept. 2, 1811. He was educated at the universities of Leipzig and Erlangen (1831-74), became curate in Leipzig, 1874; pastor in Rosenthal, near Königsberg, 1877, and at Helmstadt, 1880; missionary in India, 1888; pastor in Berlin (1892) and provincial-superintendent for the mission in the University of Berlin, 1902; in 1907 he became pastor-primatus at Neuriedel (Neumark). He has written: *Briefe über die Offenbarung St. Johannis* (1862); *Sankt Paulus der Apostel* (Leipzig, 1864); *Die Auserwählten des Lebens* (Jena (Gütersloh), 1865); *Alttestamentliche Studien* (6 vols., 1896-1902); *Engl. transl. of the first vol., Die Entstehung der Genesis*, 1898, under the title "The Origin of Genesis"; London, 1897); *Impressions, Studien und Erfahrungen im Dienste der lutherischen Mission unter dem Firmament* (Berlin, 1896); *Der geistesgeschichtliche Ertrag der Bergpredigt* (1898); *Zeitgeschehen über die heilige Taufe* (1907); *Die Heiden als religiöse Problem* (1908); *Die neuen Gänge der Missionen als geschichtliche Bewegungen der alten Testamenten* (Stuttgart, 1908); *Die neuen Gänge der Missionen als geschichtliche Bewegungen* (Gütersloh, 1905); *Die Propheten Israels in religionsgeschichtlicher Würdigung* (1907); *Die apostolischen Sendboten nach ihrem Gedankengange* (3 vols., 1908-10).



Strasbourg

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

110

among the people of Israel. The per was required to avoid everything that was unclean for Israelites (Lev. xvii, 8 seq.; xviii, 29, xx, 2; Num. xii, 10 seq.), to observe the Sabbath, to fast on the Day of Atonement (Lev. xv, 22), to avoid unclean bread as the Passover, and not to profane the name of Yahweh (Lev. xxii, 32). Further, he was as responsible for any violations of the Law as were the Israelites (Num. xv, 14 seq.). On the other hand, he was given equal rights before the courts instead of the bare right to appeal to the compassion of the judge (Lev. xxv, 22; Num. xxv, 12). By submitting to circumcision the ger became a full citizen (Gen. xxvii, 15; Ex. xii, 48; Num. ix, 14). Otherwise he might not keep an Israelite as a slave, but had to treat a servant as a free wage-earner (Lev. xxv, 47 seq.). The right of citizenship was also denied him (Gen. ix, 1 seq., xi, 2 seq.).

I. BEZUGSWERKE.

Bezugsarbeiten: A. Berthel, Die Stellung der Fremden und Fremden in Israel, Halle, 1875; H. Miller, Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel, Halle, 1875; J. Fritz, Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel, Halle, 1875; J. Fritz, Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel, Halle, 1875; J. Fritz, Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel, Halle, 1875.

STRASBURG, STRASBURG, BISHOPRIC OF: A German diocese first definitely mentioned in the sixth century, although both ancient remains and the testimony of Isidore (Hist., I, x, 7) prove that Christianity had entered upper Germany during the Roman period. The old diocese lay on both banks of the Rhine. On the left bank it practically coincided with the modern Lower Alsace, except that the southern boundary was somewhat further south, while in the north the diocese beyond the Haguenau forest belonged to Speyer and that beyond the Voges to Metz. On the right bank the diocese extended from the mouth of the Elz beyond Rades-Baden, stretching inland to the Black Forest. (A. H. HARTZ.)

Strasbourg eagerly embraced the Reformation and became one of the strongholds of Protestantism, the adherents of the ancient faith being exposed to bitter persecution. Even some of the canons renounced the Roman Catholic faith, and from 1522 to 1534 there was intercession as to whether a Protestant or a Roman Catholic should be bishop of the diocese. Protestant supremacy in Strasbourg was finally ended by the Peace of Westphalia, and the see became part of France, although the bishop continued to rank as a prince of the Empire on account of his territories on the right bank of the Rhine. During the French Revolution Roman Catholicism, like every form of religion, suffered heavily, but by the concordat of 1801 the diocese was reorganized, becoming coterminous with Alsace. Thereafter it remained unchanged until 1870, when Alsace became German territory and since 1874 the diocese has been under the immediate jurisdiction of the pope.

Bezugsarbeiten: J. D. Schellin, Alsace Strasbourg, 3 vols., Mainz, 1771; J. Schellin, Alsace Strasbourg, 3 vols., Mainz, 1771; J. Schellin, Alsace Strasbourg, 3 vols., Mainz, 1771; J. Schellin, Alsace Strasbourg, 3 vols., Mainz, 1771.

b. 1841; *Oratio in diebus de translatione in vobis*, b. 1875 seq.; H. Miller, *Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel*, Halle, 1875; J. Fritz, *Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel*, Halle, 1875; J. Fritz, *Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel*, Halle, 1875; J. Fritz, *Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel*, Halle, 1875; J. Fritz, *Die Stellung der Fremden in Israel*, Halle, 1875.

STRATTON, NORMAN DUMENIL JOHN: Church of England, bishop of Sodor and Man; b. at Somerset (13 m. w. of Dorset), Dorsetshire, Nov. 4, 1840. He was educated at Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1862), and was ordained priest in 1865. He was curate of Market Drayton 1865-66, vicar of Kirby Wisnau, Yorkshire, 1866-75, and vicar and rural dean of Wakefield 1875-92. In 1892 he was consecrated bishop of Sodor and Man, of which he has also been dean since 1895. He was preacher in the York Convention for the archbishopric of Craven, 1880-81; honorary canon of Ripon, 1883-88, and of Wakefield Cathedral, 1889-92; and archdeacon of Huddersfield in 1888-92. In theology he is an Evangelical Churchman, opposed to the ritualistic movement. He has written *Trinity for Commemorative* (London, 1905).

STRASSER, ARTHUR DAVID FRIEDRICH: German radical theologian; b. at Ludwigsburg (8 m. n. of Stuttgart) Jan. 27, 1808; d. there Feb. 8, 1874. Strasser was the son of a merchant. He attended the Latin school in his native town and in 1821 entered the seminary.

Life. At Ludwigsburg, whence he passed in 1825 to the University of Tübingen, where he was a faithful and industrious student. His former teacher, Ferdinand Christian Baur (p. v.), formerly at Blaubeuren, but now at Tübingen, received him into his apartment as a member of the university course. During his student days Strasser was much taken with the teachings of Hegel's master, Schelling, and Hegel, and graduated with high rank, having obtained a good theological and philosophical foundation.

Strasser acted as vicar for a while at a village near Ludwigsburg and then journeyed to Berlin, 1831-1832, in order to study the Hegelian philosophy at its source. He also heard Schleiermacher, but was rather repelled by his lecture style. He read the manuscript of Schleiermacher's lectures on the life of Jesus, and resolved on returning to Tübingen, where he received an appointment as assistant, with the privilege of lecturing at the university, of which he took advantage giving courses on Hegel's logic, the history of modern philosophy, and Plato. He showed great enthusiasm for the Hegelian philosophy among the students, and thought of entering the philosophical faculty, but, meeting with some opposition from the university authorities, he returned to his theological studies. His *Leben Jesu* (2 vols., Tübingen, 1835-36; Eng. transl., 2 vols.,

111

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Strasser

London, 1846) was written at this period, in the short space of one year.

The impression of profound theological scholarship which the "Life of Jesus" makes on the reader is the more remarkable in view of the "Life of Jesus" that it was the work of a young man of twenty-seven. There were at that time three parties to the controversy on the problem of the life of Jesus: supernaturalists, who accepted the New-Testament narrative and miracles; rationalists, who rejected the narrative and miracles; and a third party, who regarded the Gospel narratives as fabrications, though this position was held practically alone by Paulus at Heidelberg. Strasser took an independent position. He began with the assumption that the Gospel narrative must be interpreted exactly like any other historical work. But although he rejected the miracles, he refused to attribute intentional fabrication to the Evangelists. To reconcile these two positions he derived from Hegel's philosophy of religion. Philosophical ideas are presented by mythical presentations which are comparatively innocuous, but are true to the intellectual state of the myth-maker. But even though an idea be promulgated with full knowledge on the part of its author of its fictitious character, it may be called "myth" if it is accepted and passed on conditionally by the multitude as being in harmony with their religious feelings and ideas. A certain resonance in time is necessary to constitute a myth. Hence the Gospel of John could not have been written by an eyewitness, i. e., not by John the apostle. The apostle's Gospel does not claim to have been by eye-witness. Another Hegelian conception Strasser applied to the theory of the life and personality of Jesus. According to the supernaturalists, Jesus was a unique and perfect personality, and, as such, God's son. Strasser replies that the "Son" does not realize itself in this fashion—by pouring itself in all its completeness into one example; but rather, through a multitude of examples that mutually supplement one another. The true God-man, hence, is not an individual, but humanity as a species. The writers of the Gospel, he asserts, had before their eyes the Messianic prophecies of the Old Testament, and ascribed to Jesus words and deeds that should have been his according to the prophecies; in doing so, however, they often added original ideas and breathed a new soul into the old material. Strasser's work was throughout critical. In his opinion, the time had not yet come for a constructive picture.

His book caused so great a sensation that one may call the year of its appearance, 1835, a turning-point in modern theology. It brought equally before the Christian world the question: Results. Who was Jesus, the founder of the upon his Christian religion? Strasser had to bear a severe, almost alone the storm of attacks that followed. He was released from his responsibility and transferred to the library at Ludwigsburg. This position he soon left and removed to Stuttgart, where he wrote his *Grundriss der Verknüpfung meiner Schrift über das Leben Jesu und zur Christenlehre der gegenwärtigen Theologie* (1837),

which is one of his most brilliant performances. His friends associated in getting him an appointment to the University of Zurich, but clerical opposition prevailed, and he was not permitted to enter upon his duties. He refused to resign voluntarily, but drew to the end of his days the position of 1,000 francs that was granted him, a large portion of which he spent in charity.

His next most important work, *Die christliche Glaubenslehre in vier geschichtlichen Epochen*, and on *Kämpfe mit der modernen Wissenschaft* (2 vols., Tübingen, 1840-41), was begun while he was preparing to go to Zurich. It is more negative in character than the *Leben Jesu* and *Jesu*, sharply polemical, and from a literary point of view superior to his first work. It bears clear traces of the author's sense of the injustice that had been done him. During the following twenty years Strasser wrote nothing on theology. His marriage to the opera-singer, Agnes Schölerer, proved unhappy. For a short time he represented Ludwigsburg in the Württemberg Landtag. He published a volume of political speeches (1847) and biographies of Schubarth (2 vols., Berlin, 1849), Christian Martin (1850), Nikolaus Friedrich (Frankfurt, 1855), Ulrich von Hutten (3 parts, Leipzig, 1858-60), and Hermann Samuel Reimarus (1862). Strasser returned to theology in 1860 with a translation of the conversations of Ulrich von Hutten, to which he prefixed a polemic against the Württemberg protest, Meining. He then set to work upon a new *Leben Jesu*, *Der erste deutsche Teil* (1864). While the work was still in manuscript, though nearly completed, Rosen's brilliant "Life of Jesus" appeared, and Strasser for a while thought of letting his own work go unpublished. But, on second thought, he concluded that his book might serve for the German people just as Rosen's did for the French. The new work was an attempt at positive construction, but the author finally was obliged to admit that the data for such an attempt were insufficient: "It all still remains in a certain sense a tissue of hypotheses." He was unable to bridge the chasm between the Christ of faith and the Jesus of history. In the winter of 1869-70 Strasser delivered some lectures from which arose the masterly little work on *Voltaire* (1870). The outbreak of the Franco-Prussian war called forth two patriotic open letters to Ernest Renan that met with universal applause in Germany. In 1873 he again issued a popular version of a theme he had handled long before: *Der alte und der neue Glaube*. Artistically it was a masterpiece, according to Zeller on the same high plane as the work on Voltaire. It aroused, however, a storm of criticism and even of abuse for its skeptical views. To the question, "Are we still Christians?" the author answers bluntly, "No." To the question, "Have we still religion?" he replies, "Yes or no," according to one's conception of religion; the old belief in a personal God and in immortality is gone; there remains the feeling of absolute dependence on the universe. The tone of the book in discussing the nature of the soul is materialistic. The author adopts the Darwinian theory and takes his stand frankly on the ground of natural science. His last



teacher Melancthon, with a protest against the charge of Pelagianism. The initiative in conversion he conceded to the West and the Spirit of God, but he asserted that the will cooperate. Against this view Flacius formulated the thesis no later than 1545 as a later time, that original sin is the very substance of the natural man. After thirteen sessions, from Aug. 2 to Aug. 8, the disputation was broken off without result. Both parties were requested to remain silent until the matter was fully decided. As Flacius did not conform to this request, he, together with his closer associates, was dismissed Dec. 10, 1551.

Before rehabilitating Strigel, the duke asked Christoph of Württemberg to send two theologians to bring about an agreement. Jacob Andreus and Christoph Blauder arrived for this purpose at Weimar in May, 1562. After an oral disputation a declaration was formulated which was signed by Strigel and approved by the present. It stated that the natural man is entirely incapable of doing good, but that he has preserved the capacity to be converted. The Declaration of Weimar (as it was called) only caused new disputation. But few signed it; most of the preachers, instigated by men like Heimbach and Flacius, preached against it as being ambiguous, and refused their signature. Consequently about forty preachers were deposed and expelled. On May 24 Strigel was rehabilitated and resumed his lectures, but he felt the discomfort of his position so much that in autumn, 1562, he went to Leipzig, with the intention of never returning. He was appointed professor in Leipzig, and on May 1, 1565, resumed his lectures on theology and philosophy. Here his doctrine of synergism became still more evident than before; he taught that the human will must not be inactive in conversion, but must itself will obedience; faith is a gift of God, but is not given to those who resist it, but to those who listen and incline themselves; the innate image of God is not completely destroyed and extinguished. He lectured especially on dogmatics and ethics, but suddenly in Feb., 1567, his lecture hall was closed and he was prohibited from teaching because of the suspicion that he inclined toward Calvinism in the doctrine of the Lord's Supper. This suspicion was not without foundation. He went to Amberg in the Upper Palatinate with Frederic III, was on the point of abolishing Lutheranism and introducing Calvinism; here Strigel openly confessed the Reformed doctrine of the Lord's Supper. On Sept. 14, 1567, he entered a new position as professor of ethics in Heidelberg, but was soon called away by death.

Strigel always was and remained a true Melancthonian. He distinguished himself by his efficient philosophy training, his dialectic cleverness, and his brilliant oratory. His extensive literary activity by the sphere of philosophy, philosophy, and history, and in biblical, patristic, and systematic theology. He wrote commentaries on Paulus (1563, 1567), Isaiah (1566), Wisdom Literature (1565), Daniel (1565), Jeremiah (1566), the Pentateuch (1566), Joshua (1567), Samuel, Kings, Chronicles (1569), Ezra, Nehemiah, Esther, Ruth (1571), Job (1571), Psalms (1570), Minor Prophets (1570), Rev-

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

elation (1569-71) and the New Testament (1565-1563). Still more esteemed, though dependent on Melancthon, were his dogmatic treatises, *Last theological quibus loci comment.*, ed. Fink, 4 parts, Neustadt, 1881-84, the most important work of dogmatics of the school of Melancthon in the narrower sense; *Hypocritarum in epistola philippica morales Philipp Melancthon* (ed. Pezdar, 1857); *Theologiae theologorum* (1584); *Exheresid. In-ocorum theologorum* (Wittenberg, 1583).

STRIGEL, JACOB: *Philosophus et theologus*. His most important work is *Philosophia*, ed. F. Pezdar, 1850 and 1851. He also wrote *de Augusti imperatoris*, ed. Pezdar, 1850; *de Augusti imperatoris*, ed. Pezdar, 1850; *de Augusti imperatoris*, ed. Pezdar, 1850; *de Augusti imperatoris*, ed. Pezdar, 1850. He was a member of the Council of the University of Leipzig, 1550-51. He was a member of the Council of the University of Leipzig, 1550-51. He was a member of the Council of the University of Leipzig, 1550-51.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Strigel

exceptional degree of authority among the churches, and a rare degree of skill in conducting revivals. He was an indelible student; but his training was developed in his intellectual character, not in his religious fervor. He was also a pioneer in the cause of Christian missions, and has been regarded as the father of the Connecticut Missionary Society (1793), the oldest of the permanent missionary societies in the land. His most noted work was *The Doctrine of Eternal Mercy Connected with the Infinite Reprobation of God* (1796), by published also two volumes of *Sermons* (1796-1800); and was the projector and principal compiler of the *Harvard Collection of Hymns* (1796), to which he contributed several hymns, among them "Swill the anthem, raise the song."

STRONG, JAMES: Methodist; b. in New York Aug. 14, 1822; d. at Round Lake, N. Y., Aug. 7, 1884. He was graduated from Wesleyan University, Middletown, Conn., 1844; teacher of

and unusual alone, of a *Cyclopaedia of Biblical, Theological, and Ecclesiastical Literature* (10 vols., New York, 1867-81; with a supplement in 2 vols., 1885-87); the work was begun in 1851. He also published a Hebrew translation of Ecclesiastes (1877). **STRONG, MELANCTHON**, *heav*, 1565, 728-29, 731. **STRONG, W.**, *Wittenberg, in Old and New Testament Studies*, *av* (1887), 17-18.

STRONG, JOSHUA: Congregationalist; b. at Newville, N. Y., Jan. 10, 1847. He was educated at Western Reserve College (A. B., 1869) and at Walnut Hill Seminary (D. Div., Theological Seminary), Cincinnati, O. (graduated 1871). He was home missionary at Cheyenne, Wyo. (1871-1872); instructor in natural theology in Western Reserve College (1873-76); pastor at Sandusky, O. (1878-81); secretary of the Ohio Home Missionary Society (1881-84); pastor in Cincinnati (1884-90); secretary of the Evangelical Alliance (1886-90). Since 1888 he has been president of the American Institute of Social Service. Besides editing *Social Progress* from 1904 to 1907 he has written *Our Country* (New York, 1885); *The New York* (1902); *The Twentieth Century City* (1898); *Religious Memoirs of Social Settlement* (1901); *Evangelism* (1900); *The Times and Young Men* (1903); *The Most Great Adventure* (1902); *The Changing of the City* (1903); *Chosen in the Great of the Kingdom* (1901); and *My Religion in Everyday Life* (1910).

STRONG, RICHARD: Congregationalist; b. in Coventry, Conn., Oct. 16, 1748; d. in Hartford, Conn., Dec. 25, 1816. Having been graduated at Yale College in 1769, he pursued the study of law for a time; was tutor in Yale College in 1772-73; and, after a brief course of theological training, was ordained pastor of the First Congregational Church in Hartford, Conn., Jan. 5, 1774, holding this pastoral nearly forty-two years, and making the church the strongest in the state. During the early part of his work, in the midst of the colonial troubles with Great Britain, he published many political papers which exerted a wide and deep influence. These and other discourses were characterized by a wit sometimes keenly sarcastic in character. During the last twenty years of his pastoral he became eminent as a revivalist, and was, in the best sense of the term, a pulpit orator. His knowledge of human nature was remarkable. This gave him an

STRONG, AUGUSTUS HOPKINS: Baptist; b. at Rochester, N. Y., Aug. 5, 1833. He was educated at Yale (A. B., 1857) and at Rochester Theological Seminary (graduated 1859), completing his education in Germany in 1859-60. He then held pastorate at the First Baptist Church, Havana, Man. (1861-65), and at the First Baptist Church, Cleveland, O. (1867-72), became professor of theology and president of Rochester Theological Seminary (1872); occupied pastorate in 1873. He has written *Systematic Theology* (Rochester, 1856); *Philosophy and Religion* (New York, 1860); *The River Post-Scripture Theology* (Philadelphia, 1867); *Christ in Creation and Eternal Mission* (1869); *Systematic Theology* (2 vols., 1867-69); and *Outline of Systematic Theology* (1908).

STRYKER, MELANCTHON WOOLSEY: Presbyterian; b. at Vemon, N. Y., Jan. 7, 1851. He was graduated from Hamilton College (A. B., 1872) and from Auburn Theological Seminary (1876). He held pastorate at Presbyterian churches at Auburn, N. Y. (1876-78), and Ithaca, N. Y. (1878-1884), at the Second Congregational Church, Holyoke, Mass. (1883-85), and the Fourth Presbyterian Church, Chicago, Ill. (1885-92), and since 1892 has been president of Hamilton College, Clinton, N. Y. He has written *The Song of Miriam* (Chicago, 1880); *Church Song* (Syracuse, New York, 1889); *Dear In, with Verses* (Chicago, 1893); *Hymns, Hymns, and Addresses* (Utica, N. Y., 1893); *Letter of James* (Boston, 1895); *Letter-work* (Syracuse, Utica, 1895); *College Hymns* (New York, 1897); *Wells by the Gate* (sermons; Philadelphia, 1903); and *Baconianism* (Syracuse, N. Y., 1908).

STRYFE, JOHN: Historiographer of the English Reformation; b. at Roundchurch, Nov. 1, 1643; d. at Hackney Dec. 11, 1737. After passing through St. Paul's school, he entered Jesus College, Cambridge, 1662, from which he was transferred to Catherine Hall (B.A., 1665; M.A., 1669). He was made orator of Theology, Bacc. Bacc., and of Law Lectur. Bacc. 1669. Archbishop Tenison conferred upon him the honors of West Tarring, Sussex, 1711, and he was lecturer of Hackney, 1699-1724. He published vol. 1, of *J. Stryfe's Works* (London, 1684); *Memories of...* Thomas Crum-mer, Archbishop of Canterbury.

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

of the Church and the Reformation of it during the Primacy of the said Archbishop ... In three Books, 2 parts (1684); Life of the Learned Sir Thomas Smith (Oxford, 1698); Historical Collections of the Life and Acts of ... J. Aylmer, Lord Bishop of London in the Reign of Queen Elizabeth (London, 1701); The Life of the Learned Sir J. Child, Kt. ... (1703); his most important work Annals of the Reformation and Establishment of Religion and ... other Occurrences in the Church of England during the first twelve Years since Queen Elizabeth's ... Reign ... With an Appendix, 2 parts (1708-09); 2d ed., more complete, 8 vols., 1725-31; Oxford, 1834; The History of the Life and Acts of ... Edmund Grindal ... Archbishop of Canterbury, 2 parts (1711); The Life and Acts of Thomas Wotton ... Archbishop of Canterbury, 2 parts (1718); Ecclesiastical Memorials Relating chiefly to Religion, and the Reformation of it, and the Emergence of the Church of England under King Henry VIII; King Edward VI., and Queen Mary the First (3 vols., 1721); Strype was a diligent collector of materials, but lacked literary style and skill in methodical arrangement. The complete works of Strype were issued at Oxford, 1822-46, in 27 vols.

STUART, CHARLES MACAULAY, Methodist Episcopalian, b. at Glasgow, Scotland, Aug. 20, 1853. After completing his high-school studies in his native city, he left Scotland for the United States, and was educated at Kalamazoo College, Kalamazoo, Mich. (A. B., 1880), and at the Garrett Biblical Institute, Chicago. Entering the ministry of his denomination, he remained in its pastorate until 1888, when he was associate editor of the Michigan Christian Advocate for a year. From 1888 to 1896 he occupied a similar position on the Northwest Christian Advocate, and since 1896 has been professor of sacred rhetoric in the Garrett Biblical Institute. Besides editing the Methodist Episcopian (New York, 1903), and The Books and their Message (1910), he has written Expository Text of Passages of the Holy Land (New York, 1890); Life and Selected Writings of Francis and Mary Newman (in collaboration with C. F. Bradley and A. W. Faxon, 1892); Sacred Strays and their Songs (in collaboration with F. D. Hemmery, 1891); Vision of Christ in the Poets (1896); and Story of the Masterpieces (1897).

STUART, CLARENCE RIME, Plymouth Brethren, b. at Glasgow, Scotland, Aug. 20, 1853. After completing his high-school studies in his native city, he left Scotland for the United States, and was educated at Kalamazoo College, Kalamazoo, Mich. (A. B., 1880), and at the Garrett Biblical Institute, Chicago. Entering the ministry of his denomination, he remained in its pastorate until 1888, when he was associate editor of the Michigan Christian Advocate for a year. From 1888 to 1896 he occupied a similar position on the Northwest Christian Advocate, and since 1896 has been professor of sacred rhetoric in the Garrett Biblical Institute. Besides editing the Methodist Episcopian (New York, 1903), and The Books and their Message (1910), he has written Expository Text of Passages of the Holy Land (New York, 1890); Life and Selected Writings of Francis and Mary Newman (in collaboration with C. F. Bradley and A. W. Faxon, 1892); Sacred Strays and their Songs (in collaboration with F. D. Hemmery, 1891); Vision of Christ in the Poets (1896); and Story of the Masterpieces (1897).

STUART, CLARENCE RIME, Plymouth Brethren, b. at Glasgow, Scotland, Aug. 20, 1853. After completing his high-school studies in his native city, he left Scotland for the United States, and was educated at Kalamazoo College, Kalamazoo, Mich. (A. B., 1880), and at the Garrett Biblical Institute, Chicago. Entering the ministry of his denomination, he remained in its pastorate until 1888, when he was associate editor of the Michigan Christian Advocate for a year. From 1888 to 1896 he occupied a similar position on the Northwest Christian Advocate, and since 1896 has been professor of sacred rhetoric in the Garrett Biblical Institute. Besides editing the Methodist Episcopian (New York, 1903), and The Books and their Message (1910), he has written Expository Text of Passages of the Holy Land (New York, 1890); Life and Selected Writings of Francis and Mary Newman (in collaboration with C. F. Bradley and A. W. Faxon, 1892); Sacred Strays and their Songs (in collaboration with F. D. Hemmery, 1891); Vision of Christ in the Poets (1896); and Story of the Masterpieces (1897).

STUART, GEORGE HAY, Presbyterian layman; b. at Rose Hill, County Down, Ireland; d. at Chestnut Hill, Philadelphia, Pa. Apr. 11, 1860. He came to Philadelphia in 1861, went into business and accumulated wealth. He was for many years president of the Merchants' National Bank of Philadelphia. He acquired a national reputation as a philanthropist and Christian worker. During the Civil War he was president of the Christian Commission. Later he was president of the Philadelphia branch of the Evangelical Alliance, vice-president of the American Bible Society, of the American Tract Society, and of the National Temperance Society, and was prominently connected with many other religious and philanthropic associations. Remains: *W. E. Glavin, written by Amos, edited by R. E. Thompson, Philadelphia, 1900.*

STUART, MOSES, American Historian, b. in Wilton, Conn., Mar. 26, 1785; d. at Andover, Mass., Jan. 4, 1852. He was graduated from Yale College with the highest honor (1799); taught school at North Fairfield and Duxbury, Conn.; studied law and was admitted to the bar 1823, and the same year was called as tutor to Yale; pursued the study of theology with President Dwight, and was ordained pastor of the First Congregational Church, New Haven, Conn., 1828, showing remarkable talent as preacher and pastor; became professor of sacred literature in Andover Theological Seminary in 1833, retaining his place there until his retirement in 1848. His first literary work was a Hebrew grammar, which was circulated among the students in manuscript because it was not possible to print Hebrew in this country at that time; when it was finally printed (1813), he was compelled himself to set up part of the type for lack of compositors equipped for the task; later editions long remained the textbooks for American students. To Americans he brought the knowledge of what was being done for Biblical scholarship in Germany, and then founded in America the scientific study of Biblical archeology and philology. For his services in this department he has been called "the father of American Biblical literature"; in the course of his labors he trained more than 1,000 ministers, 70 professors, or

STUBBS, WILLIAM, Church of England bishop; b. at Knaresborough (16 m. n. of Leeds) June 21, 1825; d. at Oxford, Apr. 19, 1901. He studied at Christ Church College, Oxford (B. A., 1848; M. A., 1851); was fellow of Trinity College, Oxford (1848-1851); fellow of Oriel (1867-84); honorary fellow of Balliol (1870-84); honorary student of Christ Church (1878-84); vicar of Naworth, Essex (1850-67); librarian to the archbishop of Canterbury, and keeper of the manuscripts at Lambeth (1865-67); examiner in the schools of law and modern history, Oxford (1865-66); regius professor of modern history (1866-84); select preacher (1870); examiner in the school of theology (1871-72); and of modern history (1873, 1876, 1881); rector of Choberton, Wilt (1875-76); canon of St. Paul's, London (1878-1884); member of royal commission on ecclesiastical courts (1881); became bishop of Chester (1884), and was translated to Oxford (1888). As a historian and critic he belonged in the front rank of English scholars. He was one of the foremost contributors to the *Rolls Series*; was the editor or author of *Regium sacrum Anthonium* (Oxford, 1850); *Chronicles and Memorials of the Reign of Richard I.* (2 vols., London, 1848-61); *Chronicles of Henry (2 vols., 1867); Roger Hoveden (4 vols., 1868-71); Select Chartes (1871); Council and Ecclesiastical Documents (vol. III, 1871); Walter of Coventry (2 vols., 1872-73); Constitutional History of England (3 vols., 1874-78); Memorials of St. Dunstan (1874); The Early Plantagenets (1876); The Historical Works of Ralph de Rivo (2 vols., 1876); Works of Girardus of Canterbury (3 vols., 1876); *Chronicles of Edward I. and II.* (2 vols., 1882-83); *Selected Lectures on the Study of Medieval and Modern Church History (1887-89);* and, posthumously, *Orthodoxe Addenda*, ed. E. E. Heilmann (1901); *Historical Introductions to Rolls Series*, collected and ed. A. Hamail (1902); *Lectures, 1865-1901*, ed. W. H. Hatton (1904); *Vindication Charges*, ed. E. E. Heilmann (1904); *Lectures on Early English History*, ed. A. Hamail (1906); and *Germany in the ... Middle Ages*, ed. A. Hamail (2 vols., 1906).*

STUCKENBERG, JOHN HENRY LUTHERAN; b. at Hraneech (90 m. n. v. of Bremen), Germany, Jan. 6, 1831; d. at London, May 28, 1902. He was educated at Wittenberg College, Springfield, O. (A. B., 1857), and at the universities of Halle (1858-61), Göttingen, Göttingen, and Berlin (1865-1867). He held Lutheran pastorate at Danversport, O. (1868-69); Erie, Pa. (1869-69); Indianapolis, Ind. (1867-69); and Pittsburgh, Pa. (1869-74), being also chaplain of the 14th Pennsylvania Volunteers in 1862-63; he was professor of theology in Wittenberg Theological Seminary (1874-80) and from 1880 until his retirement from active life in 1894 was pastor of the American Church in Berlin. In theology he was a liberal evangelist, and wrote *Witney-Plan for the German Semi-Congregational of the Reformation* (Baltimore, 1808); *History of the Archbishop Olfendina from its Origin till the Adoption of the Formula of Concord* (Philadelphia, 1869);

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

presidents of colleges, more than 100 foreign missionaries, and about 30 translators of the Bible into foreign tongues. His literary work was extensive. He translated Winer's *Greek Grammar of the New Testament* (1825); in collaboration with Professor Robinson, and Rowley's *Grammar of Hebrew Grammar* (1840); general commentaries on Hebrew (2 vols., 1827-28; 1829); and wrote, besides his *Hebrew Grammar, Letters to Rev. William B. Channing ... on the Divinity of Christ* (1819); *Letters to Rev. Samuel Miller ... on the Eternal Generation of the Son of God* (1822); *Hebrew Chronologically* (1829); *Elementary Principles of Interpretation from the Latin of Erasmus* (1842); *Hints on the Preaching* (1842); *Critical History and Defense of the Old Testament Canon* (1842); *Miscellaneous, consisting of Letters and Sermons, on the Trinity, the Atonement, etc.* (1846); and *Expository Discourse* (1847).

STUBBS, CHARLES WILLIAM, Church of England; b. at Liverpool Sept. 3, 1846. He was educated at Sidney Sussex College, Cambridge (B. A., 1868), and was ordained deacon in 1868 and entered priest in 1869. He was senior curate of St. Mary's, Sheffield (1868-71); vicar of Cranborne, Dorset (1871-81), and of Stockham, Liverpool (1884-88); rector of Wavertree, Liverpool (1888-94); dean of Ely (1894-1900); and bishop of Exeter since 1900. He has been honorary fellow of his college since 1904, and was select preacher at Cambridge in 1881, 1894, 1896, and 1901, and at Oxford in 1881 and 1898-99. Lady Margaret professor at Cambridge in 1896-97; select preacher at Harvard in 1900, and Hulsean lecturer in 1904-05. He has written, in addition to several volumes of poems, *Origin and Growth of Sentiments of International Monthly* (London, 1869); *Village Politics: Address and Sermons on the Labor Question* (1878); *The Myth of Life* (1880); *Christ and Democracy* (University sermons, 1883); *God's Englishmen: Sermons on the Prophecy and Kings of England* (1887); *For Christ and City* (Liverpool sermons, 1890); *The Land and the Laborer* (1890); *Christ and Economics* (1893); *Christa Imperator* (1894); *A Creed for English Socialists, with Expositions* (1896); *Historical Memorials of Ely Cathedral* (1897); *Handbook to Ely Cathedral* (Ely, 1898); *Charles Kingsley and the Christian Social Movement* (London, 1899); *The Social Teachings of the Lord's Prayer* (University sermons, 1900); *Two Points* (local and university sermons, 1900); *In a Minister's Garden: Cultivator of the Soil* (1901); *Cambridge and its Story* (1904); and *The Christ of English Poetry*, Hulsean lectures (1905). He has edited *Middleton and Mark Twain for the Temple Bible* (London, 1901); and *Yerba Chival: Sayings of the Lord Jesus, Greek and English* (1902).

STUBBS, WILLIAM, Church of England bishop; b. at Knaresborough (16 m. n. of Leeds) June 21, 1825; d. at Oxford, Apr. 19, 1901. He studied at Christ Church College, Oxford (B. A., 1848; M. A., 1851); was fellow of Trinity College, Oxford (1848-1851); fellow of Oriel (1867-84); honorary fellow of Balliol (1870-84); honorary student of Christ Church (1878-84); vicar of Naworth, Essex (1850-67); librarian to the archbishop of Canterbury, and keeper of the manuscripts at Lambeth (1865-67); examiner in the schools of law and modern history, Oxford (1865-66); regius professor of modern history (1866-84); select preacher (1870); examiner in the school of theology (1871-72); and of modern history (1873, 1876, 1881); rector of Choberton, Wilt (1875-76); canon of St. Paul's, London (1878-1884); member of royal commission on ecclesiastical courts (1881); became bishop of Chester (1884), and was translated to Oxford (1888). As a historian and critic he belonged in the front rank of English scholars. He was one of the foremost contributors to the *Rolls Series*; was the editor or author of *Regium sacrum Anthonium* (Oxford, 1850); *Chronicles and Memorials of the Reign of Richard I.* (2 vols., London, 1848-61); *Chronicles of Henry (2 vols., 1867); Roger Hoveden (4 vols., 1868-71); Select Chartes (1871); Council and Ecclesiastical Documents (vol. III, 1871); Walter of Coventry (2 vols., 1872-73); Constitutional History of England (3 vols., 1874-78); Memorials of St. Dunstan (1874); The Early Plantagenets (1876); The Historical Works of Ralph de Rivo (2 vols., 1876); Works of Girardus of Canterbury (3 vols., 1876); *Chronicles of Edward I. and II.* (2 vols., 1882-83); *Selected Lectures on the Study of Medieval and Modern Church History (1887-89);* and, posthumously, *Orthodoxe Addenda*, ed. E. E. Heilmann (1901); *Historical Introductions to Rolls Series*, collected and ed. A. Hamail (1902); *Lectures, 1865-1901*, ed. W. H. Hatton (1904); *Vindication Charges*, ed. E. E. Heilmann (1904); *Lectures on Early English History*, ed. A. Hamail (1906); and *Germany in the ... Middle Ages*, ed. A. Hamail (2 vols., 1906).*

STUCKENBERG, JOHN HENRY LUTHERAN; b. at Hraneech (90 m. n. v. of Bremen), Germany, Jan. 6, 1831; d. at London, May 28, 1902. He was educated at Wittenberg College, Springfield, O. (A. B., 1857), and at the universities of Halle (1858-61), Göttingen, Göttingen, and Berlin (1865-1867). He held Lutheran pastorate at Danversport, O. (1868-69); Erie, Pa. (1869-69); Indianapolis, Ind. (1867-69); and Pittsburgh, Pa. (1869-74), being also chaplain of the 14th Pennsylvania Volunteers in 1862-63; he was professor of theology in Wittenberg Theological Seminary (1874-80) and from 1880 until his retirement from active life in 1894 was pastor of the American Church in Berlin. In theology he was a liberal evangelist, and wrote *Witney-Plan for the German Semi-Congregational of the Reformation* (Baltimore, 1808); *History of the Archbishop Olfendina from its Origin till the Adoption of the Formula of Concord* (Philadelphia, 1869);

Student Volunteer Movement Stumbling-Block

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

118

Sociology (New York, 1880); Life of Immanuel Kant (London, 1862); Final Science (New York, 1883); Introduction to the Study of Philosophy (1883); The Age and the Church (Hartford, Conn., 1883); Tendencies in German Thought (1886); Introduction to the Study of Sociology (New York, 1877); The Social Problem (New York, 1877); and Sociology or, The Science of Human Society (2 vols., New York, 1903). He also translated C. R. Hagenbach's German Rationalism in its Rise, Progress, and Decline (in collaboration with W. L. Gager, Edinburgh, 1865).

STUDENT VOLUNTEER MOVEMENT FOR FOREIGN MISSIONS: A movement originated at the first international conference of Christian college students held at Mount Hermon, Mass., in 1886, at the invitation of the late D. L. Moody.

Origin. On the 250 delegates who attended, organization, twenty-one had definitely decided to become foreign missionaries when the conference opened. Of this number Robert F. Wilder of Princeton, Tewksbury of Harvard, and Clark of Oberlin had come with the conviction that God would call from that large gathering of college men a number who would consecrate themselves to foreign missions. Before the conference closed 100 of the delegates had recorded their purpose, if God permit, to become foreign missionaries. At the conference it was decided that a deputation should be sent among the colleges, and four students were selected for this purpose. Of the four selected, Wilder alone was able to go, and John K. Forman, of Princeton, was induced to join him. The expenses of the deputation were borne by Mr. D. W. McWilliams, of Brooklyn-Mass. Wilder and Forman visited 176 institutions, including a majority of the leading colleges and divinity schools of Canada and the United States. In the summer of 1888 about fifty volunteers attended the student conference at Northfield. It was there decided that some organization was necessary, and a committee was appointed by the volunteers present to effect such an organization. This committee met in Dec., 1888, and an organization was effected, taking the name of the Student Volunteer Movement for Foreign Missions which is incorporated under the laws of the state of New York. There is an executive committee, a board of trustees, and an advisory committee. This movement is in no sense a missionary board. It never has sent out a missionary, and never will. It is simply a recruiting agency. Those who become student volunteers are expected to go out as missionaries under the regular missionary organizations of the Church. It does not usurp or encroach upon the functions of any other missionary organization. It is unversity legal to the Church, and has received the endorsement of every leading missionary board on the continent. It is primarily a movement of students, and it is not in any sense an organization from which the students are excluded. The purposes are as follows: (1) To awaken and maintain among Christian students of the United States and Canada intelligent and active interest in foreign missions; (2) to enroll a sufficient number

of properly qualified student volunteers to meet the successive demands of the various missionary boards of North America; (3) to help all such intending missionaries to prepare for their life-work and to enlist their cooperation in developing the missionary life of home churches; (4) to lay an equal burden of responsibility on all students who are to remain as ministers and lay workers at home, that they may actively promote the missionary enterprise by intelligent advocacy, gifts, and prayer.

Student volunteers are drawn from those who are or have been students in institutions of higher learning in the United States and Canada. Each student volunteer signs the "declaration of faith," which is as follows: "It is my work, purpose, if God permit, to become a foreign missionary." The work for which the movement, as an agency of the Church, is held responsible is the promotion of the missionary life and activity in the institutions of higher learning in the United States and Canada, in which more than 250,000 students are matriculated. From these should come the future missionaries and ministers of the Church. Therefore no work can be more important than that of making each student enter a stronghold of missionary intelligence, enthusiasm, and activity. To accomplish this a staff of secretaries is employed, offices are maintained in New York City, and conferences and conventions are held. Besides administrative secretaries, there are traveling secretaries, and this position is usually held for one year by a student volunteer ready to go to the mission field. Returned missionaries also have been employed. The number of traveling secretaries is determined by the funds at the disposal of the executive committee. The traveling secretaries visit the colleges, deliver addresses on missions, meet with missionary committees and student bodies, organize mission-study classes, and in every way possible promote the missionary activities of the colleges--but the chief object of their work is by public address and personal interview to lead students to give their lives to missionary service. The student volunteers in an institution are organized into a volunteer band, which has as its object to deepen the missionary purpose and spiritual life of the members, to secure other volunteers, and to promote missions in the college and in the college community. Once in four years an international convention is held. Six such conventions have been held; at that of 1910 there were present 2,654 students and professors representing 735 institutions.

The Volunteer Movement has reached by its propaganda nearly if not quite 1,000 institutions of higher learning in North America. In a large majority of these the movement has been influenced in different ways by the missionary life, the Volunteer Movement has very greatly developed missionary interest and activity. Because the Student Volunteer Movement is a movement for foreign missions, the principal proof of its efficiency is to be found

in the going forth of its members to the foreign mission field. It is gratifying, therefore, to note that the movement has in its records the names of 4,784 volunteers who, prior to Jan. 1, 1911, had reached the mission field, having been sent out as missionaries of no less than fifty different missionary boards of the United States and Canada. About one-third of the mailed volunteers are women. The mailed volunteers are distributed by countries as follows:

Table with 2 columns: Country, Number of Volunteers. Includes Mexico (132), Central America (26), South America (288), West Indies (145), Africa and Greek Church countries of Europe (402), Turkey, Russia (174), Arabia (21), Persia (21), India, Burma, and Ceylon (724), China, Java, and Straits Settlements (27), Korea (407), Philippines, Isthmus (145), Oceania (27), Total (4,784).

In order to be of greater service to all the mission boards in helping them to secure the most capable men and women to go as missionaries, there was established in the fall of 1907 the candidate department. The work already done has demonstrated the wisdom of this forward movement. Almost every board has been aided during the past year in finding properly qualified candidates. In 1904 the movement began to promote the systematic and progressive study of missions among students. At that time there were less than thirty classes carrying on such study in all the institutions of North America. During the first year there were organized 144 classes with an enrollment of 1,400. In the year 1909-10 there were in 306 institutions 2,279 classes having an enrollment of 29,522. At the beginning of this period there were no text-books available for the classes. Since 1904 a text-book literature has been created, not only for the students, but the work, taken up by other organizations, has been pushed in the churches among young people's societies, women's missionary societies, and in the Sunday-schools, so that now the annual sales of missionary text-books by these different agencies has passed the 100,000 mark. This mission study work is developing an intelligent and strong missionary interest and is striving to make that interest permanent. It is an invaluable help in preparing missionary candidates for their life-work, in making the conditions favorable for the multiplying of the number of capable volunteers, in developing right habits of praying and giving for missions, and in equipping those who are to become leaders at home to be real citizens of a world-wide kingdom. The movement has also stimulated gifts to missions by students. When it began its work less than \$10,000 a year was being contributed toward missionary objects by all the institutions of the United States and Canada. During 1909-10 20,000 students and professors gave over \$137,761, of which

more than \$90,000 was given to foreign missions and \$47,000 to home missions. Eighty-nine institutions give \$20 or more each. Many colleges and theological seminaries are supporting entirely or in large part their own representatives on the foreign field. The movement has been helpful in raising the standards of qualifications of intending missionaries. During the past twenty years in particular it has emphasized that those who are to become missionaries should possess the highest qualifications. It invariably encourages students to take a regular and thorough college or university course and to press on to such graduate courses as may be required by the agencies under which they expect to go abroad. The leaders of the movement have always insisted that no student volunteer was prepared for his high calling unless he was spiritually qualified. Hence the movement has guided and stimulated volunteers to form right devotional habits such as that of personal Bible study, secret prayer, and the practice of religious meditation.

Great as the achievements have been, the work is not and will not be finished while there is an increasing demand for missionaries. New missionaries are needed to fill the places made vacant on the mission field by the death or retirement of the old missionaries, to occupy the unappropriated millions in the countries where missions have already been established, and to reach the countries which are at present without a single missionary, or where no work has as yet been attempted. These recruits must be found among the students.

F. P. TURNER, Reports of the Executive Committee of the International Conventions, published by the organization from time to time.

STUDIES. See ACCOUNTS. STUMBLING-BLOCK, STORE OF STUMBLING: The translation in the English version of the Hebrew mikhal, mikhalat, often miqhal, and the Greek prolepsis, khalos tou prolepsion, stumble, the fundamental idea of which is either an object in the way over which one may stumble or a weighted trap used for catching wild animals, which falls when the bait is touched. These terms may represent persons or things good in themselves, as when (1 Cor. i. 23; 1 Pet. ii. 9) they are applied to Christ, the guilt resting upon those "which stumble at the word, being disobedient"; and moral guilt may be incurred by a Christian if, when he should uphold his faith, he weakly denies it or conceals it for fear of giving offense. On the other hand, he is always to take the sins and failings of others into consideration (cf. Matt. xvii. 27). An offense which involves blame to the giver does not become it itself to sin, if only by confuting the moral judgment, in the awakening of a doubt about the character of the agent or the action or about the correctness of another's habitual convictions. Sin is thus made easier, and the one who gives offense incurs the guilt of consciously or unconsciously leading another into temptation. It is from this standpoint that St. Paul exhorts the Corinthians to abstain from meat offered in sacrifice (1 Cor. viii. 7-13; x. 28), laying down his principle of Christian liberty: "All things

Stoddard to Subdeacon THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 120

are lawful unto me, but all things are not expedient" (VI. 12, x. 25, 29). (REVISED HORMANN.)

STUPA: See STUPA, II, 1, 7. STUPA: A mound of masonry, usually dome-like, employed by Buddhists to commemorate a notable event, mark a sacred spot, preserve a relic, or to serve a combination of these purposes. The terms stupa and stope are employed to some extent as equivalents, the latter having reference to the form and the former to the purpose as preserving a relic. The shape has been explained as due to the tradition that Buddha, born among a race of giants, was buried in Srythian fashion (cf. Herodotus, ii, 71, 72, 217; and the notes and plan in Baskin's translation, pp. 57-63, New York, 1873) under a raised mound (S. Basi, *Cities of the Buddhist Empire from the Chinese*, pp. 120-130, London, 1871). The period during which these structures were raised coincides roughly with the middle stage of the dominion of Buddhism in India, c. 250 c. 250 A.D., though some rebuilding was done as late as the eighth century. These best worthy of mention are (1) that at Sanchi, Bhopal, Central India, having a horizontal diameter of 100 feet and placed upon a circular platform 120 feet in diameter, and having a perpendicular radius of forty-two feet, with a layer of chiseled stone, and has a top or flattened surface on the apex (the place where usually the relic was kept) fourteen feet in diameter. The whole is surrounded by an elaborately carved stone railing. (2) A second important example is found at Mathura, near Raveri, India; its base is circular, the diameter of which is 100 feet, and its height is twelve miles from the Lumbini Garden (the traditional birthplace of the Buddha, about 110 miles to the west of the city of Benares), and covered that part of the plain of the Ganges which is in the vicinity of Bhaba in Bhopal, and number between twenty-five and thirty. Most of these are in a most ruinous condition, the Mohammedans and others having used them as quarries of material for later structures. The Chinese Buddhist pilgrim Hsuan Tsang (seventh century) reports that what an known to have been some of the earliest were already in ruins. (See W. G. Aston.)

STURM, J. JOHANNES: German reformer; b. at Strassburg Aug. 10, 1489; d. there Oct. 20, 1553. He was educated at Heidelberg (B.A., 1507); and at Freiburg (M.A., 1508), where he studied theology in connection with his other studies. He maintained relations with the greatest humanists of his day, and was highly esteemed by Erasmus. He was first a student of the lower order, occupied the position of secretary to the cathedral provost at Strassburg, 1517-23; was an earnest member of the Strassburg society of learning; and in 1527 derived a plan for the reorganization of the University of Heidelberg. In 1524 he entered the municipal service, being elected to the great council as a member of the city, he represented Strassburg and other imperial cities, in the government of the empire. From 1530 he was one of the "elders of thirteen," was chosen

Hesse and Saxony; b. in Bavaria in 710; d. at Fulda Dec. 17, 776. He came of a distinguished Christian family, and was sent to Reichen for instruction while the latter was in Bavaria; he accompanied Boniface on at least one of his missionary journeys, and for further education was under the care of Albot Wighart at Fulda, being made prior in 740. He was then a missionary in Hesse for three years; but, feeling a strong inclination for the monastic life, he was encouraged by Boniface to build an abbey, and after some incidents settled at Fulda, receiving a gift of the land from Countmann through the intercession of Boniface, erecting the first structure and becoming its first abbot under the Benedictine rule. After the death of Boniface, when great efforts were made to stave the body to Mainz for entombment, Sturm carried out the wishes of his master for burial at Fulda. Julius of Mainz attempted to disregard the exemptions accorded by the abbot, and Sturm was the defender; but in consequence he was charged with disloyalty to Pippin and banished to Jumièges in Normandy, into Pippin's good graces, this result being in part due to the favor in which Sturm was held throughout the Frankish kingdom. Sturm was also regarded highly by Charlemagne, and was employed by him in diplomatic affairs, and it fell to his lot to carry the Gospel to the regions brought under the Frankish king's dominion in Saxony. His accomplishment was not merely the planting of the cross and its erection into a strong and influential institution, but the impulse to general education and culture which he imparted and the results of this in church and schools in central Germany. **STURM, JAKOB:** German reformer; b. at Strassburg Aug. 10, 1489; d. there Oct. 20, 1553. He was educated at Heidelberg (B.A., 1507); and at Freiburg (M.A., 1508), where he studied theology in connection with his other studies. He maintained relations with the greatest humanists of his day, and was highly esteemed by Erasmus. He was first a student of the lower order, occupied the position of secretary to the cathedral provost at Strassburg, 1517-23; was an earnest member of the Strassburg society of learning; and in 1527 derived a plan for the reorganization of the University of Heidelberg. In 1524 he entered the municipal service, being elected to the great council as a member of the city, he represented Strassburg and other imperial cities, in the government of the empire. From 1530 he was one of the "elders of thirteen," was chosen

Stoddard to Subdeacon THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 120

121 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Stoddard to Subdeacon

Stallmeister thirteen times from 1527, and soon advanced to the leadership of Strassburg statesmanship. The war moderation of Strassburg in the Peasants' War was due to his influence. His fearless championship of the Protestant cause and his eloquence at the Diet of Speyer of 1529 (see STRASSBURG) secured for his city the leadership in the liberation of its native city, he took the ground of liberty of conscience in church matters, recognizing neither pope nor emperor in matters of faith. Hence Strassburg became a center of toleration and freedom. He held aloof from the Eucharistic controversy, declining the communion for years; but was present at the conference at Marburg (q.v.). At the Diet of Speyer in 1529 he advocated the abolition of the mass, took sides with the protesting estates, and insisted Philip of Hesse to prevail upon those not to consent to the condemnation of the Swiss. At the Diet of Augsburg (1530) he helped in drawing up the Confession stripetubiana and strove, though unsuccessfully, for unity. He participated in the deliberations before the Wittenberg Council of 1536. Simultaneously he was employed upon ecclesiastical organization at Strassburg; he was president of the synod of 1533, and took a part in the preparation of the church order which appeared in 1534. Shortly after he succeeded in founding the Strassburg gymnasium. Since 1535 he had been one of the superintendents of public instruction. During the Interim, he humbled himself, though unopposed, to the emperor, thus parting with M. Bucer, whom he had hitherto supported; yet maintaining the dignity and Protestant freedom of the city. As a strategic point on the Rhine, he took every precaution to fortify Strassburg against the French. Sturm held the respect of all parties as well as of his opponents and of the emperor; and from 1535 to 1553 represented the city of Strassburg ninety-one times at political and religious conferences. Unsurpassed as an administrator and statesman in the history of Strassburg he was a man of deep moral and religious conviction, of transcendent vision and high-minded Christian patriotism. (JOHANNES FICKER.)

STURM, J. JOHANNES: German humanist and schoolmaster; b. at Schiltach (90 m. n. e. of Cologne) Oct. 1, 1507; d. at Strassburg Mar. 3, 1569. He entered, in 1521 or 1522, upon his humanistic studies at the school of St. Hieronymus at Leiria, and completed them at the University of Louvain, where he had a share in a printing-press and issued several Greek works. Visiting Paris in 1529 to sell his books, he was induced to teach dialectics and give lectures on Cicero and Demosthenes. Influenced by the writings of M. Bucer, he adopted the principles of the Reformation. After participating in the attempt to reconcile the Protestant and Roman Catholic parties in 1534, upon a new outbreak of persecution, he repaired to Strassburg to organize the new gymnasium. Depend on his establishment, he followed the principle of training in rhetoric and eloquence, based upon Humanism and Evangelical piety, for the office of the Reformation movement and the State. Although a Protestant, Sturm had many Roman Catholic connections and always cherished the hope of a reunion. His oratorical talent and diplomatic aptitude qualified him for many commissions in behalf of Strassburg, the Protestant estates, and the king of France. He attended the conference at Haguenau and Worms, 1540; of Regensburg, 1541; and went with Bucer to meet the elector of Cologne, 1542. After helping to negotiate peace between England and France, 1545, he again went to France, 1546, at the outbreak of the Schmalkalden War, to procure the aid of Francis I. A personal friend of many French Protestants and especially of Calvin, Sturm preferred the Reformed teaching on the Eucharist, but, desiring a reconciliation, shared the attitude of Bucer and Melancthon. He spared no sacrifice in behalf of liberty of conscience for France, even demanding German aid to the Huguenots. For this he incurred the suspicion of the Lutherans. After the death of Jakob Sturm (q.v.) and with the stricter enforcement of the Lutheran confession after 1555, Sturm became involved in continuous violent controversies. He upheld the broader views of Bucer, which formerly prevailed at Strassburg, being also influenced by his Biblical and humanistic tendency toward a non-dogmatic Christianity. This controversy, lasting more than thirty years, marks the division of the Strassburg church from its past. A consensus in 1563 on the basis of the Wittenberg Concord did not last long. Sturm was engaged to occupy a number of schools upon the model of his own, some which were his gymnasiums at Leinbach, 1564. In 1566 he secured an imperial privilege for an academy, which was dedicated 1567. But the complaint of the theologians against the Reformed tendencies of himself and some of his professors became ever louder. The intensity perished Johann Marbach (q.v.) brought on an acrimonious strife over the school, which a referee decided in favor of

In the Eastern Church they remained a lower order, but in the West Innocent III decided that they constituted a higher order. Their ordination, however, differs from that of deacons; they are not presented by the archdeacon, and the ordination is the "tradition of instruments and vestments." The age of consecration fixed by the Council of Trent is the entrance upon the twenty-second year. The year must intervene before the diaconate is reached, a rule from which the bishop may depart. The office of subdeacon is assumed as transitional, and its functions are fulfilled chiefly by laymen and presbyters. In the Evangelical Church, when it occurs, the title subdeacon indicates a difference of order rank only, not of ordination.

(E. FRIEDBERG.)
REMARKS: An adequate and substantiated historical presentation will be found both in *Revue de Lit. eccl.*, II, 1, p. 124-39, County (Paris), 1892; *Revue de Lit. eccl.*, III, 1, p. 124-39, County (Paris), 1893; *Revue de Lit. eccl.*, III, 1, p. 124-39, County (Paris), 1893; *Revue de Lit. eccl.*, III, 1, p. 124-39, County (Paris), 1893.

SUBINTRADITION. See TRANSDITIONATION, II, 1, 8.

SUBINTRODUCTIO VIRGINES (SYNEBAKTION): A name for female society who live together with men although both parties had taken the vow of celibacy with earnest intent. It is a sickness that arose relatively late when the practice was condemned, and has had not a little influence in confounding opinions on this form of asceticism. The practice was widely prevalent throughout Christian antiquity. In Antioch Paul of Samosata had several young girls in his entourage (*Doctrinae Hist. eccl.*, VII, xxx, 12 sq.; *NPNF*, 2 ser., I, 215). In Cyprina's time dedicated virgins dwelt with confessors, clerics, and laymen. The rigorous Tertullian advised well-to-do Christians to take into their houses one or more virgins "as spiritual consorts, beautiful by faith, endowed by poverty, and sealed by age," and urged that "to have several such wives is pleasing to God" ("Exhortation to Chastity," vii; "Moenasterii," vii; *Eng. transl.*, in *ANF*, IV, 56-57, 71-72). Among heretics the shift of the Valentinian level with "sisters" (*Justinus, Hist.*, I, vi, 3; *ANF*, I, 324); the Montanist Alexander was bound in spiritual marriage with a prophetess (*Caesarius, Hist. eccl.*, V, xvii, 6 sq.; *NPNF*, 2 ser., I, 236), and the Marcionite Agrippa had two spiritual wives, one the prophetess, Philomene (*Tertullian, Prescriptions, xxx, ANF*, III, 237). This spiritual marriage, springing from ascetic motives, had its real place in Monasticism in which it retained its original form, ever far into the Middle Ages. In the desert, where the monk and his companion dwelt in seclusion, she frequently became his servant. It should, however, not be forgotten that the monks that drew them both into the desert was a woman ascetic ideal. In the ancient Irish Church, the organization of which was built upon asceticism and women of distinction were permitted to participate in ecclesiastical functions. In the cloister, monks and nuns lived together until 543 (Hadden and Stubbs, *Councils*, II, 2, p. 292). When the Irish

ministration came to Armenia, the Gallic bishops regarded it specially venerable that they were accompanied by women who like the men exercised sacramental functions. A new form of spiritual marriage was developed as the wealthy circles in the great cities entered the Christian Church. Rich widows and maidens dissolved marriage, but in order to provide a master over their houses and estates joined themselves in spiritual marriage to priests or monks. This variation did not always lead to happy results; the woman retained both the possession of her property and the reputation of unwedded chastity. No matter how seriously asceticism and the seal of life were taken, the clerical could not escape compromise, and his position varied all the way from steward or chaplain to spiritual patron. This was the role acted by the French abbé in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries. At the time of Chrysostom (*MPG*, XLVI, 495 sq.) the abuse was prevalent in Constantinople, and likewise in Gaul according to Jerome (*Epist.*, cxvii; *NPNF*, 2 ser., VI, 219-220). Best known in the spiritual marriage of the clergy. Marriage being disparaged, and the clergy being required to lead spiritual lives, celibacy became the rule and spiritual marriage followed. The purity of the original motive gradually declined. The spiritual bride became a mere housekeeper, suspected of being a mistress. The name to be called *maître-ecclésiastique*, received the same recognition as a mistress, and Spanish synods about 600 ordered that she be sold as a slave and the proceeds given to the poor (e.g., *Synod of Toledo*, 500, capitulum 5; *Hefele, Conciliengeschichte*, II, 51, *Eng. transl.*, IV, 419; *Fr. transl.*, III, 1, p. 235). Gregory IX, distinctly prohibited clerical concubinage. Likewise in the Orient the episcopate was regarded as no more than a housekeeper of the clerical by the twelfth century. Practical experience had replaced the earlier common ideal. The original motive of cohabitation was the natural result of two opposing tendencies in early Christianity: fraternal love fostered in communal life; and ascetic contempt of the sexual relation, and the renunciation of marriage as sensual. The inconsistency of the social ideal of intimate community life with another that increased the distance between man and woman resulted in the unnatural combination of asceticism and fraternal love, with a form of cohabitation which in its moment of spiritual enthusiasm failed to foresee its pitfall. Naturally at first marriages of the highest standing, such as prophets, bishops, and confessors, lived in spiritual marriage. The "spiritual wives" were those who, as "brides of Christ," enjoyed especially honorable consideration; such were the widows, virgins, and prophetesses. The opinion of the Church regarding the institution, at first favorable, however, changed, and beginning with the time of Eusebius, Amos, and the Council of Nicaea in the fourth century the edicts against cohabiting with subintroductae do not cease. In cases of disobedience the clergy were corrected or dismissed, and the monks and nuns received stern warning. The change of attitude on the part of the Church was caused by its rapid increase in the first three centuries and the absorption of domestic

Subintroductio

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

which undermined the authority against carnal sin. Spiritual marriage tendered in small communities could not be entrusted to large societies of mixed elements, and the increasing tendency of the prohibitions prove the obstinate resistance to the effort to reorganize. Concerning the remoteness in time of spiritual marriage, first mention occurs in the *Shepherd of Hermas* (*Vision*, I, I, 1). *Eng. transl.*, *ANF*, II, 9; *Reimarus*, II, 11, 3, 7; 3, 3. *Eng. transl.*, *ANF*, II, 44-47, 55. The passage I Cor. vii, 36-38 has been brought into connection with spiritual marriage (H. Gruber). In the *De vita contemplativa*, a genuine work of Paul, reference is made to the Therapeutae in Egypt who repudiated marriage and the sexual relation and dwelt together in ascetic companionship like the later Christian ascetics, except that the element of fraternal love was there absent. It is to be concluded that spiritual marriage belongs in the primitive life of Christianity, to an ascetic effort to replace marriage with brotherly love, and was not an outgrowth of clerical celibacy and monasticism. (H. ACKERLIND.)

REMARKS: *Rev. des. Ant.*, *Revue de Lit. eccl.*, III, 1, p. 124-39, County (Paris), 1892; *Revue de Lit. eccl.*, III, 1, p. 124-39, County (Paris), 1893; *Revue de Lit. eccl.*, III, 1, p. 124-39, County (Paris), 1893.

SUBINTRACTION. See CHURCH.

SUBORDINATION. See ADVENTURERIANISM and CHRISTOLOGICAL, II, 2.

SUCCESSION, APOSTOLIC.

The teaching on of the ministerial commission and authority, given by the Lord Jesus Christ to his apostles, by a regular chain of successive ordinations. It presupposes the formation by Christ of a visible Church on earth, an organized society, the kingdom, or the embodiment of the kingdom, which the Messiah was to set up, to carry on his work by witnessing the truth revealed, by ministering covenant gifts of grace, and by guiding and training its members in life and character. If Christianity were a philosophy scattered broadcast for men to follow as isolated individuals, there would be no need of a room for a succession of ministers. The theory of a traditional ministry is linked with the belief in a visible Church, or, responding in its outward organization and its inward spiritual life, with the law of the Incarnation. Specialized functions belong to an organized body. In the society which he formed, Christ ordained a particular body or order of ministers to act for him and with his authority. Out of the general company of the disciples he set apart a ministry; they were in part prepared for the commission he gave them to represent him when he left the earth. (Matt.

xviii, 18, 19; John xx, 21-23). The twelve apostles formed a distinct company within the general society; within the body mystical, as within the body physical or social, there is a differentiation of functions. This is marked in the New Testament, etc., by certain powers being conferred on the Seven, who preached and baptized, but apostles were sent after them to confirm (Acts viii). Doubtless all acted as organs of the body, representing the whole society, but they were like the eye or ear in the natural body, divinely appointed and constituted organs, whose functions cannot be changed at will, nor the limitations of their several commissions enlarged. Accordingly while the officers may and should be chosen from below, they are ordained with authority from above—not merely deputed from below. This authoritative stewardship or pastorate was intended to be perpetuated in every generation. The gifts were not personal but official. God's gifts last as long as the needs which they are designed to supply. The authoritative commissioned ministry is the normal instrumentality through which Christ, the created and invisible head of the Church, working by his Spirit, communicates to his people his promised gifts of grace. It is the guaranty of his presence and action. The episcopate (see BISHOP; EPISCOPATE) is the normal organ for transmitting this authoritative ministerial commission, the organ of spiritual generation. Here certain distinctions must be made: (1) In the New Testament writings the names "presbyters" or "elders" (see PARISH) and "bishops" are apparently used to designate the same officers, the pastors of local churches. It was not till later that the title "bishop" was reserved for a single chief pastor who presided over a number of presbyters (see CHURCH; see also EARLY CHURCH). But in the New Testament writings, though the names are interchangeably used, a difference of functions may be recognized. Timothy and Titus exercise authority over the presbyters as over the church generally in their respective districts; while others cooperate, they are responsible for ordaining men to the ministry (I Tim. iii, 8; Titus i, 5-9). The organization seen in its beginning at Ephesus and in Crete seems to have been thoroughly established in Asia Minor before St. John, the last of the original apostles, passed away and thence it spread. If it had not already been independently adopted, generally throughout the Christian Church. (2) The "bishop" differed in two respects from the apostle proper, to whose authority in general he succeeded. The original apostles had their special function as witnesses to what they had seen and heard with the incarnate Son (Acts i, 21-22; I John i, 1-4). This, of course, could not be handed on. The bishops were limited in the exercise of their office, each to one church in a district, whereas the apostolic office had been more general. The writer expressed a comment on collegiate world-wide jurisdiction. (3) It is possible that in some churches the rule by a body of presbyters continued for some time after the monarchical episcopate had been elsewhere established. But this would make no exception to the doctrine of apostolic succession rightly understood, since this is

concerned not so much with the exact form of the ministry, as with the transmission of the commission to apostolic ministerial functions by those who have received authority to transmit it. The college of presbyters at Alexandria, to which Jerome refers, was probably a college of presbyters possessed of full ministerial power, including the right of ordaining.

All this was generally recognized in the Christian Church for 1,200 years. Where the rite was then reluctantly abandoned, this was done (as was thought) by force of necessity, as the lesser of two evils, in order to preserve a pure faith.

Two further points should be mentioned. It was to the consistent testimony of the Scriptures and of the clear succession of the Apostles that Irenaeus (A.D. 180) appealed against false teaching (Hæret. iii. 2, 3). As a matter of history the traditional faith has been linked with the traditional ministry; the one has very largely depended on and failed with the other. The episcopate with its claim of succession serves as a link of historical continuity, such as is needed in a universal spiritual society.

II. The Syrian Succession. The doctrine of apostolic succession, which includes necessarily the historic episcopate as continued generation after generation in all branches of the Christian church, was severely ever questioned (or denied) during the conciliar and medieval ages. The first serious opposition occurred when various leaders of the several reforming movements of the sixteenth century had gained sufficient popular support to enable them to dispute the truth of the traditional Catholic teaching of an ecclesiastical hierarchy consisting of three orders, bishops, presbyters, and deacons.

Of the immediate results of the ecclesiastical conflicts of that memorable period in the progressive development of the Western church, the first, the steady and continuing weakening of the inner or spiritual authority of the Latin church, as exemplified by the increasing deviations from the accepted doctrine of the medieval theologians, was soon followed by the defiance of its outer or hierarchical authority, by the ordination of presbyters by presbyters instead of by bishops. The departure from the historic, ecclesiastical order of the Catholic Church was then and is ever more justified by the appeal not only to the assumed presbyterial polity of the Apostolic Church, but also by the citation of the statements of certain of the Fathers and ecclesiastical historians of the primitive and conciliar ages. Although the presbyterial polity was first introduced by the German reformers into those parts of continental Europe which had generally accepted their ecclesiastical leadership, through the influence of the Geneva reformers it soon passed to Scotland and England, in which latter country it in turn gave birth to an even more radical departure from the episcopal government of the Latin church, Congregationalism or Independency. There are, as a result of these various reforming movements in the Western church, the three distinct theories of the Christian ministry, the episcopal or monarchical, the presbyterial or collegiate, and the congregational or democratic, corresponding closely to the three

modern forms of the secular state, autocracy, limited monarchy, and democracy (see Dorrer, Ecclesiastical). The solution of the question of apostolic succession, or the constitution of the Christian Church, is of even greater importance to-day than during the Reformation and post-Reformation periods, because the antagonisms and problems of those centuries are all but forgotten, and the consciousness of the weakness of the divided Western Church is inspiring an increasing longing for the suppression of sectarianism, and for the restoration, especially in America, of that imposing unity and visible solidarity which was the glory of the post-apostolic age.

It is a fundamental fact, not sufficiently recognized or emphasized in the discussions of the original constitution of the Christian ministry, that the apostolic age of the Church was a formative period during which neither the New-Testament canon, the polity, nor the ritual was defined definitely or fixed finally. Therefore it is in the post-apostolic or conciliar times and decess, rather than in the primitive or ante-conciliar writings descriptive of the transition state from a Jewish-Hellenic to a pan-Hellenic homogeneous ecclesia, that the debated question of the received polity of the one, holy, catholic, and apostolic Church of Christ can find a satisfying historic solution of the perplexing problems involved. That monarchical episcopacy, as it has been established for many centuries in both the Latin and the Greek church, was not known in the apostolic age, is no longer authoritatively asserted by ecclesiastical historians of the present period. The earliest evidence in favor of the former, traditional theory, are the well-known epistles from the epistle of Ignatius of Antioch (c. v.). These important epistles for the willing recognition of each parochial bishop as the only head of the Christian congregation of the city, used again and again as positive proof of the apostolic authority for a monarchical episcopacy, are now met by other equally credible citations from contemporaries and even from later writers, whose several statements suggest unmistakably that isolated peculiarities of a persisting presbyterial polity were well known to them. That monarchical episcopacy, whether or not owing its final form to the Apostle John, as one tradition asserts, because almost identically the prevailing polity of the entire Christian Church, as is admitted by all historians can be explained only on the assumption that the experience of the early Church with sectarianism, already evident during the apostolic age, emphasized the necessity of concentrating in the bishop, as the head of the established presbytery of parochial clergy, that spiritual authority which was formerly exercised in common by them with the itinerant prophets and other apostolic coworkers mentioned in the Pauline epistles, the Didache (c. v.), and other newly discovered authentic descriptions of the congregations and services of the primitive period. The correctness of this theory of the general adoption of episcopacy in its final form, is indicated by the fact that in the first ecumenical council of the Church, convened at Nicaea in 325, bishops from all parts of the then known world assembled as the sole representatives of their

several sees, for the discussion and definition of the fundamentals of the Christian faith, assembled in that creed of the Catholic church accepted by every separate branch which professes orthodoxy. Furthermore, among the decisions of the presbyterial synod of Alexandria in 324 is one concerning the question of the ordination of presbyters by presbyters (Athanasius' *De sententia* against the heretic, 12, 76, Bæp. transl. in *N.P.V.F.* 2 ser. iv. 107, 140). This synodal action recognizing the exclusive right of the bishops to ordain presbyters (realized in a similar case by the Council of Chalcedon in 451, Canon 20) was evidently not contested by any opponent during the subsequent sessions of the Nicene Council, which not only declared the accepted faith, but also decided other less vital questions affecting the ritual and the clergy in general. The authoritative canonical action of the assembled bishops in refusing to recognize the regularity of non-episcopally ordained presbyters can be repeated by any dissenting communions only by repudiating, in case the apostolic authority of this first ecumenically ecumenical synod of the undivided Christian Church, in declaring definitely what is said and what is not binding on all who accept the teachings of Christ and of his apostles and their successors.

Thus, then, should be the authority for the principle of the historic episcopate, the authority of the Catholic Church as it developed under divine direction from its formative state under the care of the apostles themselves, through various minor changes in its primitive polity necessitated by its varying needs, until, at the time of the Council of Nicaea, unity in polity and organization had been fully attained through the general acceptance of the doctrine that the bishops, as the recognized successors of the apostles, are the centers of Christian and Catholic communion. This doctrine of apostolic succession is not only Scriptural in asserting the authority of the apostles, and of their recognized successors, in exercising the plenary power of binding and of loosing (see Matt. 18:18 and text), committed to them by Christ himself, but is also consistent throughout with the historic development of the ecclesiastical hierarchy, which recently discovered writings of the primitive periods describe in detail.

The several departures, during the troubled times of the Reformation, from the established episcopal polity of the entire Catholic Church, both East and West, have scarcely justified their introduction, in view of the division and subdivision which have resulted in every Reformed church that has rejected the historic episcopate universally accepted (until the Reformation) since the ecumenical Council of Nicaea. While, on the contrary, those Reformed churches which retained the historic episcopate, the Anglican and Scandinavian communions, have been comparatively free from sectarianism, a positive proof in modern times of the truth of the traditional Catholic teaching, that the bishops are ever the centers of unity in the Christian Church (throughout the centuries). There is this further view of the historic episcopate, considered in connection with the question of reunion, not only of the divided churches resulting from the Western Reformation,

but also of their eventual intercommunion with the older Latin, Greek, and Eastern branches of the One Holy Catholic and Apostolic Church of Christ. That the restoration of the primitive historic episcopate with its college of presbyters, united by the deacons and subdeacons and lower orders of laymen, developed so practically for effective pastoral service by the members of the apostles themselves, will work marvels in regaining the wavering allegiance of the modern people of our free secular states by solving the pressing problems of our intricate modern civilization, can neither be doubted nor denied.

Then, if this be generally recognized, the question must naturally arise: From what source can a historic episcopate be obtained, since both the Latin and the Greek churches view with suspicion the several churches developed from the reforming movements of the sixteenth century, and have repeatedly insisted that intercommunion with them can be secured only by the unreserved and unquestioning acceptance of their respective dogmatic decrees on the Catholic faith, the seven sacraments, and their ritual in its entirety? Hereafter there was no independent historic episcopate in the Western patriarchate which was not derived directly or indirectly from the Latin church of the pre- and post-Reformation periods. Therefore, all episcopal successions in the Western church are involved in the notorious apostasies, heresies, and schisms of those past centuries, filled as they were with mutual papal depositions, accusations, and counter-accusations of irregularity, invalidity, and schism, ending usually with mutual anathemas and excommunications.

But in the year 1891, the Syrian patriarch of Antioch, to whom can be ascribed as the historic successor of the first bishop of Antioch, the Apostle Peter himself, whatever prominence and primacy of jurisdiction the leader of the apostolic college could impart to another, authorized the elevation to the episcopate of the Old Catholic priest Theophilus (q.v.) of Wisconsin. The solemn patriarchal bull permitting the canonical antiepiscopeal consecration by eastern prelates, of a western priest, and investing him with the plenary power and apostolic authority of the primate's dignity, is given verbatim as translated from the authentic Syrian original.

"In the name of the Eminent, Eternal, Self-Existing, Almighty God: His servant Ignatius Peter III, Patriarch of the Apostolic See of Antioch and the East."

"We, the humble servant of God, hereby allow the consecration by the Holy Ghost of the Priest Joseph René Vilatte, elected for archiepiscopal dignity, Archbishop-Metropolitan in the name of the Theotokos, for the church of the Mother of God in Dykewille, Wisconsin, United States, and other churches in the archdiocese of America, viz. the churches adhering to the orthodox faith, in the name of the Father, amen; and of the Son, amen; and of the living Holy Ghost, amen."

"We stand up before God's majesty and raising up our hands towards his grace, pray that the Holy Ghost may descend upon him, as he did upon the



181 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Matt. v. 10-12, x. 38, xvi. 24. To practise asceticism and inflict pain on oneself is not only unnecessary but antagonistic to God (Cic. i. 23). The task of the Christian is rather to bear patiently the suffering actually sent by God and make them a means of righteousness (Heb. xii. 11; II Cor. iv. 16). For the real Christian all trials and tribulations contribute to the attainment of the highest good (Rom. viii. 28). Of course suffering may have just the opposite result, in case of a weak Christian (Matt. xiii. 21). It is the moral obligation of the Christian to take effective action against threatening reverses and his position in the world makes this necessary. Steady resignation is an unchristian as well as fatalism.

Pain and suffering are the means appointed by God to wean the Christian from the pleasures of the world and the flesh and lead him close to the kingdom of God. When the Lord loveth he chasteneth, and if he smite afflictions he seeketh at the same time strength to bear them or overcome them (II Cor. i. 3-8, iv. 8-10). God may send sufferings and tribulations to punish offenders (Ps. xxxvii. 5; Lam. i. 14; Ex. xx. 5), to prove and educate his children (Heb. xii. 5-12; II Cor. xiii. 5), or to glorify himself (John ix. 3, 4).

SUFFERING: *The Misery of Good People*, London, 1668; W. J. Blunt, *The Discipline of Suffering*, Boston, 1861; C. C. Hilditch, *The Suffering of the Faithful*, New York, 1908; J. Blunt, *The Mystery of Pain*, London, 1892; C. C. Hilditch, *The Suffering of the Faithful*, London, 1892; C. C. Hilditch, *The Suffering of the Faithful*, London, 1892; J. Blunt, *The Mystery of Pain*, London, 1892; J. Blunt, *The Mystery of Pain*, London, 1892; J. Blunt, *The Mystery of Pain*, London, 1892.

SUFFRAGAN: A title applied to certain classes of bishops (see **BISHOP**). **BISHOP**, **BISHOPS**, and **VICAR**. The word does not appear to have been employed in classical Latin, but is frequent in the ecclesiastical language of the Frankish kingdom (MGH, Lat. I, Cap. Reg. Francorum, p. 79, 1855), where it appears in the sense of "help," and so, e.g., *Amalarius de Evangelio*, ii. 14, 18-19. The term becomes equivalent also to "vicar." The term "suffragan" is applied to titular bishops who assist or substitute for diocesan bishops, also to diocesan bishops expressing their relation to the metropolitan (cf. *Hiobandus*, *Capitula*, II, xiv, 14-15). The ordinances bearing on the relative rights of suffragan and metropolitan are collected in Gratian, *caus. III, qu. 6* and *IX, 3*. For suffragans in the United States see **PASTOR** and **EPISCOPALIAN**, II, § 1.

SUGER: Abbot of St. Dunst.; b. in 1061, probably in the neighborhood of St. Omer; d. at St. Denis Jan. 12, 1151. He was the contemporary of St. Bernard and Abbot and one of the greatest statesmen France produced during the Middle Ages. He was educated in the monastery of St. Denis, together with Louis VI., and when the latter ascended the throne, in 1135, he immediately called the monk to his court, and made him his principal councillor. In 1122 Suger was elected abbot of St. Denis, but he remained at the court, and continued

to live as a man of the world till 1137, when he came under the influence of the reformatory movement of his time. He at once assumed the habits and practices of severe asceticism, but he continued to be a politician rather than an ascetic. After the death of Louis VI. in 1137, he was appointed regent during the minority of Louis VII., and again when the latter, in 1140, made a crusade to the Holy Land; and during his lifetime hardly anything of consequence took place in French politics without his immediate intervention. His leading idea was the consolidation of the monarchy as a divinely established institution. He was planning and preparing to conclude in person a crusade when he died. His writings embrace *Libellus de consecratione ecclesie et de ordinatione personarum*; and *Vita Ludovici VI. Grandis sive Crux regia Penitentium (1147)*; *Philippus II. Rex*; all of which are found most conveniently in *MPL*, cxxxvii. 1211-1240. They were also edited by A. Leoy de la Marche, Paris, 1867.

Biography: *The only life by the monk and ascetic W. Suger* in *MPL*, cxxxvii. 1211-1240, and in the *act. of the works by Leoy de la Marche*, in *sup. pp. 227-441*. Consult further: J. Brunet, *Le Ministre de Suger*, 1869; M. Baudouin, *Revue de la Renaissance*, *l. de Paris*, série de France, Paris, 1848, i. 208, 1901, 1. 4. 2. *Revue de la Renaissance*, *l. de Paris*, série de France, Paris, 1848, i. 208, 1901, 1. 4. 2. *Revue de la Renaissance*, *l. de Paris*, série de France, Paris, 1848, i. 208, 1901, 1. 4. 2. *Revue de la Renaissance*, *l. de Paris*, série de France, Paris, 1848, i. 208, 1901, 1. 4. 2.

SUCERUS, JOHANNES HEINRICH (HANS KASPAR SCHWEIZER): Philologist, author of the *Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie*, b. at Zurich June 20, 1820; d. there Dec. 29, 1884. He began his studies in the school of his native city, and completed them at Moutbahnen and Sammer, returning in 1843 to Zurich for his examinations, and becoming pastor to Haddingen in Thurgau; he was called to teach in Zurich, 1847, became inspector of the Aluminate and professor of Hebrew, 1846; professor of medicine, 1849; of Latin and Greek in the Collegium humanitatis, 1856; and of Greek at the Carolinum, 1860; retired on account of failing health, 1883. He served theology well through his works in philology, many of them going through several editions. Among his published works may be named: *Syllabus novæ Testamenti* (Zurich, 1848); *Novæ Testamenti dictonum synonymæ Latine*, issued by Hagenbuch in 1744 as *N. T. Glossarium Grecæ-Celticæ*; the eklektische *Philomatheæ eclesiasticæ* (2 vols., Amsterdam, 1832; encyclopedia) and *Lexicon Graeco-Latinum et Latino-Graecum* (1848). He left other works in manuscript, among these his apparatus for a new edition of the edition of Hieronymus. (P. SCHWEIZER.)

Suicide THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

SUCERUS, JOHANNES HEINRICH (Hans Kaspar Schweizer): Philologist, author of the *Zeitschrift für deutsche Philologie*, b. at Zurich June 20, 1820; d. there Dec. 29, 1884. He began his studies in the school of his native city, and completed them at Moutbahnen and Sammer, returning in 1843 to Zurich for his examinations, and becoming pastor to Haddingen in Thurgau; he was called to teach in Zurich, 1847, became inspector of the Aluminate and professor of Hebrew, 1846; professor of medicine, 1849; of Latin and Greek in the Collegium humanitatis, 1856; and of Greek at the Carolinum, 1860; retired on account of failing health, 1883. He served theology well through his works in philology, many of them going through several editions. Among his published works may be named: *Syllabus novæ Testamenti* (Zurich, 1848); *Novæ Testamenti dictonum synonymæ Latine*, issued by Hagenbuch in 1744 as *N. T. Glossarium Grecæ-Celticæ*; the eklektische *Philomatheæ eclesiasticæ* (2 vols., Amsterdam, 1832; encyclopedia) and *Lexicon Graeco-Latinum et Latino-Graecum* (1848). He left other works in manuscript, among these his apparatus for a new edition of the edition of Hieronymus.

SUCIDE: The intentional killing of oneself the term excluding both the shortening of life by excess or recklessness, and self-sacrifice, or the surrender of life to gain a higher moral good, since only in suicide is there a conscious and deliberate attempt for life *per se* and an entire absence of desire to attain any superior good (as in self-sacrifice) or even a greater degree of pleasure (as in excess or recklessness). The history of suicide reveals marked variations according to race and period. Among peoples of simple civilization and those with a fixed code of morals and an unshakable belief in the immortality of the soul, and a consequent unnatural and reprehensible. This was the view of the early Greeks, and of the Pythagoreans, Platonists, and Aristotels; but with the decay of national thought and character Stoicism taught indifference to life and death as mere external phenomena, and advocated voluntary surrender of life as a means of gaining independence for the soul. This view, which failed to distinguish clearly between self-inflicted suicide, and was also irreconcilable with the Stoic doctrine of the virtues, was maintained by the Romans of the early Empire, particularly by Seneca (q.v.). While Roman religion considered this attitude of despair and the weakness of life, neither the Old nor the New Testament contains any specific prohibition of suicide, though the principles enunciated in the sixth commandment and in such passages as Rom. xii. 9, I Cor. vi. 19, and Eph. v. 29 may be extended by analogy to suicide. Even where cases of suicide are recorded, as of Saul (I Sam. xxxi. 4), Ahithophel (II Sam. xvii. 23), Elmal (I Kings xvi. 18), and Judas (Matt. xxvii. 6, Acts i. 18, 25), there is no word of condemnation of the act itself. On the other hand, Paul once prevented suicide (Acts xvi. 37-38), partly in the extreme rarity of suicide among the Jews, and partly in the national abhorrence of it, the sole exception being when patriotic motives entered into the question (Judges xvi. 28-30; II Mac. xiv. 37-40; Josephus, Ant. XIV. 348, 10). Christianity worked here, not by prohibitions, but by creating a new attitude of mind, teaching the faithful love of God (I Cor. x. 13; I Thom. v. 9), giving life a distinct ethical content (Phil. i. 22 seq.)

SUCIDE: The intentional killing of oneself the term excluding both the shortening of life by excess or recklessness, and self-sacrifice, or the surrender of life to gain a higher moral good, since only in suicide is there a conscious and deliberate attempt for life *per se* and an entire absence of desire to attain any superior good (as in self-sacrifice) or even a greater degree of pleasure (as in excess or recklessness). The history of suicide reveals marked variations according to race and period. Among peoples of simple civilization and those with a fixed code of morals and an unshakable belief in the immortality of the soul, and a consequent unnatural and reprehensible. This was the view of the early Greeks, and of the Pythagoreans, Platonists, and Aristotels; but with the decay of national thought and character Stoicism taught indifference to life and death as mere external phenomena, and advocated voluntary surrender of life as a means of gaining independence for the soul. This view, which failed to distinguish clearly between self-inflicted suicide, and was also irreconcilable with the Stoic doctrine of the virtues, was maintained by the Romans of the early Empire, particularly by Seneca (q.v.). While Roman religion considered this attitude of despair and the weakness of life, neither the Old nor the New Testament contains any specific prohibition of suicide, though the principles enunciated in the sixth commandment and in such passages as Rom. xii. 9, I Cor. vi. 19, and Eph. v. 29 may be extended by analogy to suicide. Even where cases of suicide are recorded, as of Saul (I Sam. xxxi. 4), Ahithophel (II Sam. xvii. 23), Elmal (I Kings xvi. 18), and Judas (Matt. xxvii. 6, Acts i. 18, 25), there is no word of condemnation of the act itself. On the other hand, Paul once prevented suicide (Acts xvi. 37-38), partly in the extreme rarity of suicide among the Jews, and partly in the national abhorrence of it, the sole exception being when patriotic motives entered into the question (Judges xvi. 28-30; II Mac. xiv. 37-40; Josephus, Ant. XIV. 348, 10). Christianity worked here, not by prohibitions, but by creating a new attitude of mind, teaching the faithful love of God (I Cor. x. 13; I Thom. v. 9), giving life a distinct ethical content (Phil. i. 22 seq.)

SUCIDE: The intentional killing of oneself the term excluding both the shortening of life by excess or recklessness, and self-sacrifice, or the surrender of life to gain a higher moral good, since only in suicide is there a conscious and deliberate attempt for life *per se* and an entire absence of desire to attain any superior good (as in self-sacrifice) or even a greater degree of pleasure (as in excess or recklessness). The history of suicide reveals marked variations according to race and period. Among peoples of simple civilization and those with a fixed code of morals and an unshakable belief in the immortality of the soul, and a consequent unnatural and reprehensible. This was the view of the early Greeks, and of the Pythagoreans, Platonists, and Aristotels; but with the decay of national thought and character Stoicism taught indifference to life and death as mere external phenomena, and advocated voluntary surrender of life as a means of gaining independence for the soul. This view, which failed to distinguish clearly between self-inflicted suicide, and was also irreconcilable with the Stoic doctrine of the virtues, was maintained by the Romans of the early Empire, particularly by Seneca (q.v.). While Roman religion considered this attitude of despair and the weakness of life, neither the Old nor the New Testament contains any specific prohibition of suicide, though the principles enunciated in the sixth commandment and in such passages as Rom. xii. 9, I Cor. vi. 19, and Eph. v. 29 may be extended by analogy to suicide. Even where cases of suicide are recorded, as of Saul (I Sam. xxxi. 4), Ahithophel (II Sam. xvii. 23), Elmal (I Kings xvi. 18), and Judas (Matt. xxvii. 6, Acts i. 18, 25), there is no word of condemnation of the act itself. On the other hand, Paul once prevented suicide (Acts xvi. 37-38), partly in the extreme rarity of suicide among the Jews, and partly in the national abhorrence of it, the sole exception being when patriotic motives entered into the question (Judges xvi. 28-30; II Mac. xiv. 37-40; Josephus, Ant. XIV. 348, 10). Christianity worked here, not by prohibitions, but by creating a new attitude of mind, teaching the faithful love of God (I Cor. x. 13; I Thom. v. 9), giving life a distinct ethical content (Phil. i. 22 seq.)

and interpreting suffering as a divine dispensation (Rom. v. 3 seq.; vii. 13). The early Church firmly opposed suicide, although practically the only case in which such a tendency appeared was the overexcessive desire for martyrdom (see **MARTYR** and **CIVITAS**). Whether, in time of persecution, Christian women might commit suicide to escape dishonor was a moot question, handled by Fouquier, Chrysostom, and Jerome, but condemned by Augustine (*De civitate Dei*, i. 16 seq.). The latter position also being taken by church councils, some of which forbade the suicide honorable burial (P. Mansi, 533, canon 15, *Hefele, Concilien Geschichte*, II, 707; *Eag. transl.*, iv, 187; *tr. trans.*, II, 2, p. 118; *Brug.*, 569, capitulum 16, *Hefele*, ut *sup.*, 19, *Eag. transl.*, iv, 208, *tr. trans.*, III, p. 180). The fear of the tempt of personal freedom in the period of the early illumination wrought a marked change, although many of the earlier works advocating the permissibility of suicide could appear only posthumously, as J. Donne's *Riassonata* (London, 1644) and D. Hume's essay on suicide in *his Four Essays* (1777). In the general literature of the eighteenth century suicide was frequently discussed as a psychological and moral question, as by Rousseau, Montesquieu, and Goethe; but while these authors maintained a less rigorous attitude, theologians and all the best philosophical writers, such as Spinoza, Wolff, Mendelssohn, Kant, and Fichte, condemned it. Modern penitence maintains a rather indeterminate position toward the problem.

The increasing frequency of suicide had been statistically proved in the nineteenth century, the rate being at least trebled in the great civilized countries. A large number of suicides, about a third, may be traced to mental derangement, thus indicating a close connection between suicide and the progress of civilization; it is far more frequent among Protestants than among Roman Catholics, but is in inverse proportion to crime against the person. All this does not imply that higher culture involves despair and disgust for life, but that as needs increase, the number of those increase who, unable to satisfy these needs, despair since they have within themselves no means of consolation. The highest percentage of suicides is found among the Germanic peoples, next coming the Romance peoples and the Slavs. The reasons for the excessive frequency of suicide among the Teutons has been ascribed either to the use of instruments or to the results of unscrupulous investigation in science and religion, although it seems more probable that the free exploration has its germinal in dualism and individualism, with a touch of sentimentalism, which is ill adapted to cope with stern and circumstances conditions. A still more potent factor than all others, however, is the decay of religious and moral conviction during the nineteenth century, which has deprived large masses of influence most potent in counteracting the tendency to suicide; for it is only a spiritual and inward strength which can enable the individual to stand





mation in the countries named. Having performed its mission during the Reformation, the book was forgotten until 1877, when Professor Bachmann, Zurich, discovered in the public library a copy of the Italian version and enabled Professor Mielz in Florence, to publish it in Roma Christiana and in a special edition. Bensch found a Dutch edition of 1726, published a German translation (Leipzig, 1880), and judged that there must have been an earlier original Dutch issue in 1523. It appeared, also, that a second part was published in low German, presumably the following year, the author of which claimed the authorship of the first part, but the second part was written originally in low German, and does not appear in other languages. The first part, however, with the prologue, is a translation, and from the Latin, as Bensch surmised and Van Toornembergen proved, the author himself being the translator.

An edition of the Latin and the oldest Dutch translation were published by Prof. J. J. van Toornembergen, at Amsterdam, in 1882. The Latin, *Ökonomis Christianis in rem Christianam*, *Ökonomis Christianis, ex evangelicis moribus*, was published, Strasbourg, 1527. Comparison shows that the *Summa* is much less complete than the *Ökonomis*, which was evidently intended to enlighten the minds of the clergy and educated laity regarding the truths of the Gospel, and also to combat the corruptions of monastic life, and especially the illusion that the life, in itself, was sanctified. (See portion in prologue, the other, theoretical.) The *Summa* is adapted to the popular understanding, and consequently is more practical in many places, especially those portions that refer to monastic life. The author was not fond of publishing the Latin original, and it is questioned whether he intended the publication in 1527. Van Toornembergen surmises that a friend of the author, Gerardus Goldenbauer, being in strained circumstances, handed over the works to the Strasbourg publisher, Christian Eggenolphus. The original *Ökonomis* was probably written in 1520. The author was evidently still in the Roman church and desired to reform, but not schism, monasticism. The influence of Luther's writings is traceable, among others the "Sermon on Baptism" (1519), the "Babylonian Captivity," and "A Christian's Liberty" (1520). In the *Summa*, the Reformers also speak. In the edition of 1823 is a formula for the justification of the Lord's Supper. For *Postamentum Jesu Christi dicitur de hoc de deo esse plenum* (left, *verbaliter*) *deus* *Joannes* *Ökonomis ad Adversarios*, and in the edition of 1536 one of Luther's writings. In all editions prior to 1826, the twenty-ninth chapter contains a meretricious condemnation of war, unless it be for the protection of subjects from foreign or internal oppression. This is evidently an almost verbatim transcript of Luther's treatise of 1520, *On Kingship*, such an origin should not be forgotten. The author must be responsible for this change of sentiment, as no one else would have ventured to introduce it, and on the title-page of the edition of 1826 stand

the words "new and thoroughly revised edition." The author's name does not appear on either the *Ökonomis* or the *Summa*. Van Toornembergen and Bensch both incline to ascribe the authorship to Hinrich van Bommel, a preacher in Werd in 1527, who then acknowledged himself the author of *Summa der deuderen Theologie*, which had appeared thirty years earlier.

The *Ökonomis* consists of two parts, the first containing fifteen, the second fourteen, chapters; the *Summa* contains thirty-one chapters and a prologue. The first fifteen chapters of the *Ökonomis* both works treat of the doctrine of faith under the same headings: What is baptism; What baptism inures, and that it is not a mere sign; What Christian endures in baptism; What Christian faith is and what those most believe who would be saved; On the extent of salvation; That by God's goodness alone, and not by works are we saved; In what manner our salvation is assured by his death who gave us his Testament; How, according to the Gospel, faith is never without works; Faith sins your soul to obey God's commands; What is a sin, and who a sinner; Two kinds of men in the Christian world; The fruits of faith; Of many beliefs mentioned in Holy Scripture; The condition of Christians; That death should not make us sorrowful. In the fourteen chapters of *Ökonomis* (second part), the author shows how all conditions of men should live according to the Gospel, and also in chaps. xxi. to xxvi. of the *Summa*; but in *Ökonomis* eight chapters are devoted to monks and nuns, and in the *Summa* only four, which are materially abridged, with a special chapter on parents who dedicate their children to monastic life. Chaps. xi. and xii. of *Ökonomis* deal with the rich, the married, beggars and magistrates; chap. xiii. shows that the Gospel forbids war; chap. xiv. shows with the inquiry: "By what Gospel authority may princes levy taxes?" and discusses the corresponding duties of subjects. In the *Summa*, chap. xxii. deals with the question of married life; xxiii. with the Christian rule of children by parents; xxiv. considers the life of the middle classes; and xxv. tells how the rich should conform to the teaching of the Gospel. Chap. xxvi., which treats of worldly and spiritual rule, shows that the author was familiar with Luther's *Von weltlicher Örgelheit*; chap. xxvii. points bugbearments, magistrates, and other offices to the Gospel; xxviii. resembles chap. xiv. of *Ökonomis*; xxix. deals with the life of men and maid-servants and of widows; and xxx. with widows.

A truly remarkable work is the *Summa*, and it indicates that a wholesome spirit of reform predominated in the Netherlands earlier than elsewhere, where, where was so to speak, an individual reformation, of which the *Summa* was the expression. It also bears evidence of a growing sympathy with Luther and Zwingli. In fact, the Netherlands reported and furthered the reform movement in other countries partly by the *Summa*, which spread abroad and fostered the intellectual awakening of the reform spirit. (S. D. VAN VEEN)

BRUNSWICK: Besides the introduction to the volume inserted in the book, consult the articles in the *Thesaurus*

Summehart Sum and Sun Worship THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 180

see *Shutes of Utrecht*, (1848), 313-321, and (1864), 447-451, by H. G. Klop, 2 (1864), 144-151, by Van Toornembergen, 2 (1864), 127-137, and (1864), 181; F. Hesse de Groot, in *De Protestanten*, 1882-83, pp. 16, 4, G. Oomen, *see* *Frederik*, 1882.

SUMMERHART, KONRAD: Scholastic theologian; b. at Cice (20 m. n.w. of Stuttgart), Württemberg, or more probably in the village of Sommerharts (close by Cice), between 1450 and 1460; at the monastery of Schuttern near Offenburg (17 m. s.w. of Offenburg), Baden, Oct. 20, 1527. He was a representative of the scholastic reaction against William of Occam's formalism, which constituted the realistic transition to humanism, and has been included as a precursor of the Reformation. Summerhart studied first at Paris, and in 1478 went to Tübingen, where, from 1480, he lectured on canon law, sociology, and natural philosophy. The writings left by Summerhart, mainly his Tübingen lectures, fall into three groups: *The Fraternal Separation of decessis* (Hagema, 1497) and *Sermones inus de contritione pro peccatis commotis* (1500) belong to the household of *Handegony*, sociology, and canon law. The second group consists of *Commentaria in summas philosophi Alberti Magni* (Freiburg, 1503), ensuring a pious explanation of nature. The third group is composed of occasional addresses: *Oratio funeralis pro Eberhardo* (Tübingen, 1499); *Quid deus homo fieri solvatur*; and *Protestantia exterminata super decessis decessibus* versus monachos (1499), against monastic abuses. (H. HERMELINK)

SUMNER, I. I.: Novelist; *The Millionaire*, *Palmers*, pp. 36-41; *Tribunes*, 1718; F. X. Lissmann, *Kenned* *Sumner*, b. 1871; E. Klop, *see* *Frederik* *Sumner* *Sumner*, pp. 90-91, 228-231, b. 1881; H. Hermelink, *see* *The Millionaire*; *Sumner* *Sumner*, pp. 10, 11, 12; *Sumner* *Sumner*, *Sumner* *Sumner*, pp. 106, pp. 121 *see*

SUMNER, MARY: Free Baptist; b. at Napier, N. Y., Dec. 20, 1847. He was educated at the College of the City of New York (A. B., 1871), pursued a post-graduate course in New York University (1880-89), Ph. D., 1889), and was non-resident professor of pastoral theology in the Christian Biblical Institute, Stamfordville, N. Y. (1874-1903). He has held successive pastorates in the Christian Church of the Evangel, Brooklyn, N. Y. (1869-80), the First Christian Church, Fall River, Mass. (1880-1886), St. Paul's Evangelical Church, New York City (1886-88), and the Calvin Church, Bates College, Lewiston, Me. (1888-89). He was instructor in church history at Oakes Divinity School, Lewiston, Me. (1893-98), and was elected president of the Palmer Institute, Starkey Seminary, Lakewood, N. Y., in 1898, which position he still occupies. In theology he holds to "fellowship for active Christians of every name on the basis of vital Christian piety." He has written *Special Services for Christian Ministers* (Fall River, Mass., 1883) and is joint author of *The People's Bible History* (Chicago, 1895).

SUMNERFIELD, JOHN: Methodist Episcopal; b. in Preston (28 m. n.w. of Manchester), England, Jan. 31, 1799; d. in New York June 15, 1823. He was educated at the Mercian Academy at Edgbird, near Manobster; was sent into business at Liverpool, removed to Dublin, 1813; was converted

1817, and next year became a local Wesleyan minister. In 1819 he was received on trial in the Methodist Conference of Ireland, and in 1821, having emigrated to America, in the New York conference. He labored into astonishing popularity by reason of his eloquence, and in 1822 he preached in Philadelphia, Baltimore, and Washington, everywhere being heard with great crowds. Because of ill-health he was in France and England, 1822-24, returning to New York Apr. 10, 1824, but he was not able again to do full work. He was a founder of the American Tract Society. His *Sermons and Selections of Sermons* was published by Trinitarian, New York, 1829, and W. M. Wain, Philadelphia, 1837; *General Sermons*, *Methodist Episcopal Church*, pp. 224-229, New York, 1837; *A Sermon, Address of the American People*, pp. 459-464, N. Y., 1841; and *Practical Guide to Sermons*, pp. 10-11, New York, 1841.

SUMNER, THOMAS GEORGE: Methodist Episcopal South; b. near Coala Guale (18 m. e.w. of Dorchester), England, Oct. 11, 1812; d. at Nashville, Tenn., May 9, 1882. His early religious training was Calvinistic. He came to America, 1830, and united with the Methodist Church, joined the Baltimore Conference, 1835; was ordained deacon, 1837, and elder, 1839; was an organizer of the first Texas conference, 1840, and a missionary to Texas, 1840-41; member of the Alabama conference, 1844-76; and secretary of the Louisiana Convention in 1845, at which the Methodist Episcopal Church South was organized. In 1846 he was appointed by the general conference to assist Bishop Wightman as editor of *The Southern Christian Advocate*, published at Charleston, S. C.; which there, he edited for four years the Chesley School Visitor. He was the general book editor for the organization of the church, editing more than 200 volumes; he removed to Nashville in 1855, where he took charge of *The Quarterly Review*; performed pastoral work in Alabama, 1862-66; in 1866 was elected editor of the Nashville Christian Advocate; was professor of systematic theology in Vanderbilt University, Nashville; also dean of the theological faculty and official pastor, 1874-82. He was secretary of every general conference of his church, devoted much time to hymnology, and was chairman of the committee that compiled the hymn-book, which he edited. Possessed of encyclopedic knowledge, and always abreast of the times, he was thoroughly Wesleyan and Arminian in his creed, but in hearty sympathy with all Evangelical denominations of Christians. He edited *Songs of Zion*, *Supplement to the Hymn-book of the Methodist Episcopal Church South* (Nashville, 1851); *Biographical Sketches of Eminent Ministers, Pioneers and Bishops of the Methodist Episcopal Church South* (1858); *and* *Notes on the Nature, Propriety, Subject, . . . With Observations on Hessel's 'Fruit of the Spirit'* (1852); *monitions on the Gospel* (1868-72), the third (1873), and the last (1874).

SUMNER, O. P.: Presbyterian; *Dr. Sumner, a Life Study*, Nashville, 1861.

SUMNER, JOHN BIRD: Archbishop of Canterbury; b. at Kettleworth (44 m. n.w. of Oxford), England, Feb. 25, 1780; d. in Adlington (12 m. n.





187 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA **Summarized**

of Chester (Crus) Sept. 6, 1862. He studied at Eton, 1791-98, and at King's College, Cambridge (B.A., 1800; M.A., 1807; D.D., 1828). In 1802 he became assistant master at Eton; was rector of Maple Durham, 1820-48; became canon of Durham, 1825; bishop of Chester, 1828; archbishop of Canterbury, 1848. He was uniting in his efforts to provide for schools and to further the erection of churches, and had consecrated more than 200 new churches by 1847. He was the leader of the "evangelical party" in the Church of England, and earnestly opposed to Romanism and the Oxford movement. His primary concern was the restoration of the Roman Catholic hierarchy to England, the period of *Essays and Reviews* (q.v.), and the revival of the episcopal power of consecration. His publications include commentaries on Matthew and Mark (London, 1831), Luke (1832), John (1833), on Romans and I Corinthians (1833), II Corinthians, and Galatians.

SUN AND SUN WORSHIP.
Ephesians, Philippians, and Colossians (1843); and Theophilus (1851); also, *A Treatise on the Records of the Creation, and on the Moral Attributes of the Creator, with particular Reference to the Jewish History, and to the Consistency of the Principles of Popularity with the Wisdom and Goodness of the Deity* (2 vols., 1816); *The Evidence of Christianity, Derived from its Nature and Reception* (1834); *Sermons on the Principal Festivals of the Christian Church*; a whole are called *Three Sermons on Good Friday* (1827); *Four Sermons on Subjects Relating to the Christian Ministry* (1828); *Christianity, its Obligations and Objects, with Reference to the Present State of Society, in a Series of Sermons* (1841); *On Regeneration and Grace* (1850); *Practical Religion as a Sole Passage of the True Testament* (1859); and numerous occasional sermons.
TRANSLATIONS: *DWB*, iv, 166-170 (give references to suitable verses).

- SUN AND SUN WORSHIP.**
1. Among the Hebrews.
 2. Among the Romans.
 3. Among the Greeks.
 4. Among the Persians.
 5. Among the Egyptians.
 6. Among the Egyptians.
 7. Among the Egyptians.
 8. Among the Egyptians.
 9. Among the Egyptians.
 10. Among the Egyptians.
 11. Among the Egyptians.
 12. Among the Egyptians.

1. Among the Hebrews: In the Old Testament the usual name for the sun is *shemesh*, a name which, with various variations, appears in most of the Semitic languages, as in Babylonian-Assyrian, Aramaic, Arabic, Phœnician, and Palestinian. 1. Name *shemesh* (of the name of the god and titles). *Shemesh*, *Havona*, *Yam*, *II*, 2, 1, 4, and below, II, 2. The significance of the word is unknown. (Brown-Driver-Briggs, *Hebrew and English Lexicon*, p. 1099, Boston, 1900). The word is in the Hebrew preterite "was in the morning" and in the Aramaic "was in the morning." The Aramaic form is invariably feminine, and the Arabic (where it is always feminine) (*Al-Burhan*, in *Za'atir*, xv, 186, p. 221). Pictorial names for the sun in Hebrew are *shemesh* (probably "the glowing one"; Job xxx. 26), and *seraf* (Job ix. 7; meaning of the root of the word doubtful). In Gen. i (where the sun is not called *shemesh*, but is spoken of as the greater of "two great lights") the purpose of the sun is given as "to rule the day," "to divide the light from the darkness," and "to be for signs, and for seasons, and for days, and for years"; that is, the function of the sun was conceived as being to indicate morning, noon, and evening, the seasons of the year, and therefore the religious festivals in their order.

The Hebrew notion regarding the sun was that it naturally came into connection with both the Day and the Year (q.v.); see also Moon; and Year. The religious character of the sun in later times reveals the fact that the lunar year was made to square, at least approximately, with the solar year, at any rate in the later period of Jewish history. The Hebrew notions regarding the sun were those of the region in which Palestine was situated, and of the period when Babylonian influence prevailed. The lunary year was regarded as "going forth" in the morning from his pavilion at the east of the earth. A general eastern end of the heavens (of the sun) is represented in the Babylonian Shamash is represented as rising from a gate, represented by posts, in W. H. Ward, *Sun Cultures of Western Asia*, chap. xii; Washington, 1910) with the joy and confidence of a bridegroom (Ps. xix. 5), while his setting is called an "entrance" ("i.e., of gates in the West; of the cognate Hebrew *shemesh*, p. 5, *Shemesh*, 1890); and this involved the idea of a subterranean course in the night in order to be in his place of rising in the morning (Ps. xix. 5-7; Eccles. i. 5, the latter a conception slightly more developed). An eclipse of the sun was considered to be ominous of evil, and is one of the signs constantly associated with the Day of the Lord (q.v.; of Job iii. 9; Isa. xlii. 10; Jer. ii. 10, II; Amos vi. 5; Matt. xxiv. 29, and often). Interference with the orderly course of the sun is considered as a violation of the law (Job ix. 7), and its progress is reported to have been stayed by war activities in battle for Israel (Josh. x. 12-13) or even reversed as it was at Hezekiah (the shadow of the dial set in reverse, II Kings xx. 9-11; the sun stood, Jer. xxxviii. 3). While



188 THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

extent of those passages the present article does not deal further than to say that the attempt to relieve the earlier passage of difficulty by calling attention to its poetical character seems unnecessary because of the existence of the second and much later passage, where not merely repetition of progress but actual reversal equivalent to forty minutes in time is stated as an actual fact (of the "degrees" be of a circumference). If the Hebrews of Hezekiah's age and later could accept as historical such an event, it is not necessary to have recourse to the usual palliative explanations of a statement such as much nearer a primitive (and more credible) age dealing with the stopping (apparently for twenty-four hours, of Job. x. 12, but closed) of the sun's progress. The effects of the root of the word *shemesh*, according to Hebrew belief, in general, the production of crops (Deut. xxxiii. 14; II Sam. xxii. 4); it was his sole gift (Gen. i. 5; Eccles. xi. 7; Rev. vii. 16) and last (Ps. xix. 6). In respect to this last function it is noteworthy that the reference to the scorching heat of the sun, to what may be called its malign influence, are comparatively infrequent (Ps. cxxi. 4; Isa. iv. 6, xxv. 4; xlix. 10; Jer. ix. 8; Rev. xvi. 9-10), though the conception of its maligneness comes out frequently in other parts, as in Isaiah (see below, II, 6) and in Babylonian, where Nergal was a god of destruction (see *Havona*, VII, 2, 4). The prevailing idea of the sun was that of its might and glory as a luminary, and these naturally became the basis of poetical comparisons for heroes and the faithful (Judges v. 21; II Sam. xxiii. 4; Ps. xix. 5-6; Cant. vi. 10). Yahweh is himself in metaphor called a sun (Ps. lxxxvii. 11; Isa. lx. 19), and his healing grace is in the same manner compared with a sun of righteousness (Mal. iv. 2). The passage in Isa. xxiv. 23 is noteworthy—the glory of the restored Zion and Jerusalem is to be as great as that even the sun in his brightness will be dimmed (there does not seem any basis for the quite common exegesis of the passage which regards the sun and moon here as demonic powers which are put away, e.g. W. von Humboldt, in *Handbuch*, *RL*, xvii, 319, and *Semitische Studien*, I, 118 sqq., Leipzig, 1876). The evidence for the worship of the sun among Israelites is limited and late. II Kings xxiii. 11 records the destruction of the chariot and removal of the horses of the sun from the Temple.

2. Worship, place at Jerusalem. Ezek. vii. 16 describes a vision of the prophet in which he saw twenty-five men at the door of the Temple worshipping the sun in the East and "putting the branch to their nose" (i.e., using a branch as symbols of the productive powers of the sun; cf. J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, passim, 3 vols., London, 1890; see especially the combinations with the call of the sun). With respect to the chariot and horses of the sun the most obvious source is Babylon (see below, II, and cf. *Annuaire*, *Geometrie*, et seq., pp. 108 sq.; Schrader, *KAT*, p. 308). It is hardly likely that so early as this the influence of the Persians is to be seen (cf. F. Spiegel, *Dramatische Alterthümer*, *Bahai*, II, 66 sqq., Leipzig, 1873; for references to the Persian sacred horses of Herodotus, I, 189, vii. 55, viii. 115; Xenophon, *Cyropaedia*, VIII, iii. 12; and the *Miles* Year, § 15, Am. ed. of *SBE*, III, part 2, p. 122, speaks of the "well-looked sun"). The idea of the chariot of the sun appears outside of these sources and the Greek myths in *Ezekiel*, lxxii. 2, lxxv. 3, 4, 8, where sun, moon, and stars are depicted with chariots; Balaam, Apocrypha, vi. and the Mandaeans placed the seven planets in chariots. The conception of Yahweh as the Son of Man riding on the clouds (Ps. civ. 3; Dan. vii. 13) has no relationship to this idea. Further evidence of sun-worship in Israel is furnished by the existence of sun-pillars (Hebr. *shemeshim*, A. V. "images"; R. V. "sun-images"; for representations of one to "the east, Baal-Hannan"; cf. *Ben-Singer*, *Archologie*, p. 183) which the reforming circles are said to have destroyed (II Chron. xv. 5; Ezra vii. 4, xxv. 4, 7) which the exilic and post-exilic prophets speaking in Isa. xlvii. 8, xxxv. 9 and Ezek. vi. 4, 6, and the priestly writer (Lev. xxvi. 30) uttered their threats. Other evidence adduced to prove the existence of worship of the sun among the Israelites do not bear examination. Certainly the name of Baalmeon, even though it be derived from *shemesh* (which is not altogether sure) does not show this cult. It is not at all necessary, nor is it the best explanation of the episode to regard it as a sun myth, since it is rather an accretion of legend about a character whose exploits were probably in fact just such as suit the heroic period of a nation's development. And it is little faith to be put in the assumption that the horses and chariot of fire by which Elijah was translated are those of the sun. The much later practice of the Essenes (q.v.), as given in Josephus, War, II, viii. 8, of directing their worship toward the sun instead of toward Jerusalem is hardly sufficient, in view of the general Phœnician character of their beliefs and customs, to convict them of following the cult of the sun. The Mosaic practices were not Jewish but Babylonian in origin. Dr. Briggs, in his commentary on Psalms (vol. I, New York, 1900), sees in the first part of Ps. cxi. a hymn to the sun and moon. All indications point to a late date for the importation of this cult into Israel, and also to its derivation from the people in the immediate environment, and (more likely) from Assyria. It is true that the Chronicler (II Chron. xiv. 3) reports that Aaz supposed this worship; but the parallel earlier passage in I Kings xiv. xviii. contains mention

* The word for "sun-sets" in these passages is the same as in the *Armenian Manuscript* at Oxford, England (G. G. Harriss, *Armenian and Arabic Manuscripts*, 1912, London, 1902), and in the name Baal-Hannan, who was a sun-worshiper of Chaldea as shown by a variety of other evidence. Cf. *Annuaire*, *Geometrie*, pp. 108 sqq., Leipzig, 1873. Other traces of this sun cult frequent in Aramaean surroundings.



back of the time of Josiah. It must be borne in mind that while such place-names as Beth-shemesh, Har-horim, Timnah-horim, and Horon (see below, II, 4, 1) favor the supposition that the worship of the sun had led there, it does not follow that during Israelitic times this cult was followed. Biblical place-names in Palestine in general date back to pre-Israelitic times, and the worship at those places, if worship there was, was Canaanitic. The probable date of the introduction of such worship as is implied in the names and chart of the sun (II Kings xxii, 11) and the vision of Ezekiel may perhaps be given as the reign of Manasseh (q.v.), who was a contemporary of the vigorous and aggressive Josiah and Ashurbanipal. It is a priori probable that a king with so decided heathen tendencies as Manasseh would adopt a cult which was so popular as the cult of the sun in the neighboring lands (see below, II, 3) and in Assyria, especially as his policy was pro-Assyrian and not pro-Egyptian. And there are indications of a widespread diffusion of the power of Yahweh in the days of the declining kingdom, just before the exile, which would favor this period.

II. In Other Lands. 1. In General: That, if not in temperate, yet in tropical or sub-tropical regions the sun should from primitive times be an object of worship is no occasion for wonder. The feelings of awe which manifested themselves in early ages were only heightened as man's capacity for inward recognition, as time went on, developed more enlarged, of the influence of the sun on the earth and its contributions to the well-being of man. So that in some form, explicit or implicit, either as itself a deity, or as the seat of deity, or as in some other way related to the gods, it probably ever inhabited land the sun has received homage, influenced thought, and contributed to human development. Even in architectural matters it has had much to say, controlling the orientation of structures down into late Christian times, so that cathedrals often stand with their altars so placed that worship is directed to the East, the place of the rising sun. Some nations have found the sun's power and significance too great and his activities too varied to be expressed by homage to a single deity, and numerous sun-gods were imagined, and to each was given his own cult and worship.

A fundamental law in religious psychology is that the human mind works out into similar forms in different countries the same or similar conceptions dealing with similar material. Hence, it is not surprising that the symbols for the sun are so few yet so universal. The disk or circle, with or without wings, sometimes with rays (these rays may be outside the disk or on the face of the disk; for examples see below, A, 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11); again it is accompanied by a human figure, and often comes with the accompaniments of serpents (see Schwarz in Wasmuth, etc., IV, 1, 1, 1), is the almost universal symbol.* Other common symbols are the eagle or

hawk, eagle or hawk-headed figure of gods, the winged horse, the ram, possibly the swastika. When the figure takes the human form, it usually appears as vigorous and youthful, with golden hair and often golden horns, with a rapt crown or rays of light issuing from his body, or in other cases to identify him. The benefactions attributed to him, apart from the obvious ones of light and heat, are quite commonly those of life and fertility; and in lands so disassociated as Semitic Syria and Dravidian India he is connected with wells and springs (possibly in a way similar to the popular ecclesiastical representation which speaks of the sun as "drawing water" with its oblique rays are seen shining in the distance through rifts in the clouds; cf. for this relationship with water, W. H. Ward in *Art*, I, 1908, pp. 115-118). The same thing occurs in symbolism when, from the symbolic disk, there emanate not only rays of light (indicated by straight divergent lines) but also streams of water (indicated by parallel wavy lines). A representation of Shamash with streams of water issuing from his body is in A. Jeremia, *Das Alte Testament im Lichte der alten Oxyden*, p. 111, Leipzig, 1904; that the streams represent water and not light is proved by the fish swimming in them). In accordance with this conception, the flowers and incense offered to him are sometimes thrown into a stream. His course in the heavens is conceived as made on foot (as occasionally in India), on horseback, in a chariot, or in a boat, the form of representation depending upon the cosmological notions of the different peoples. As a deity who in his daily journey passes over the earth and looks down upon the deeds of men, it is not strange that he should be now the eye of Ormuzd or Veritas (Heaven) who sees all and reports to that exalted deity, or again that he should be the judge of men and gods, or even more (as per legend) the champion of truth and an agent in ethical righteousness. Still further, occasionally the sun appears as a culture deity, conceived as giving laws to men, leading the advance of civilization, and, on the reverse side, punishing those who break the laws of gods and men; and, more than this, it ought not to surprise that the sun may have two opposing aspects, that he may be regarded as kindly and as malign, so that in Babylon (see below) he is both Shamash and Nergal, and that in India the *Varuna* could, while in the temperate land of the five rivers, sing gloriously in his praise and in central and southern India affirm "you bring me a death."

2. Babylonia: In this land, early and late sun-gods were numerous, though the number tended ever to decrease. Chief among these was Shamash, who of this class of deities figures most frequently in inscriptions and on seals. He is the successor or identical with the Sumerian *Utu*, whose principal shrines were at Larsa and Sippara (see *Excavations*, IV, 14 6-11, VII, 2 4 4 4); a very excellent reproduction of the figure and inscription of the Sippara Shamash, with the disk and light rays and water streams, is given in H. W. Rogers, *Religion of Babylonia and Assyria*, p. 64, New York, 1902. At Sippara there were horses and a chariot sacred to him, which with were associated a large number (140 in one lot) of sacred objects, and the chariot

* Several of these symbols are reproduced on a single page in B. Deane Wasyly, *The Symbols of Egypt*, p. 31, London, 1931; for a Ptolemaic example of Besnager, *Archaeologia*, p. 10.

sacredness were offered (in one case of a white sheep; *Idol*, iv, 629). With this should be connected possibly the horses and chariot of the sun mentioned in II Kings xxii, 11 (cf. sup., 1, 1, 2). But the worship of Shamash was not confined to these places. He represents the beneficent power of the sun and the ethical side of life. He was portrayed on the monuments and seals in two positions, sitting and standing, the latter indicating his position as he is represented as emerging from the gates of day. W. H. Ward, *Sea of Cydonia of Western Asia*, Washington, 1910; H. Grossmann, *Ägyptologische Festschrift*, 1910, p. 108, 113; again it is accompanied by a human figure, and often comes with the accompaniments of serpents (see Schwarz in Wasmuth, etc., IV, 1, 1, 1), is the almost universal symbol.* Other common symbols are the eagle or

hawk, eagle or hawk-headed figure of gods, the winged horse, the ram, possibly the swastika. When the figure takes the human form, it usually appears as vigorous and youthful, with golden hair and often golden horns, with a rapt crown or rays of light issuing from his body, or in other cases to identify him. The benefactions attributed to him, apart from the obvious ones of light and heat, are quite commonly those of life and fertility; and in lands so disassociated as Semitic Syria and Dravidian India he is connected with wells and springs (possibly in a way similar to the popular ecclesiastical representation which speaks of the sun as "drawing water" with its oblique rays are seen shining in the distance through rifts in the clouds; cf. for this relationship with water, W. H. Ward in *Art*, I, 1908, pp. 115-118). The same thing occurs in symbolism when, from the symbolic disk, there emanate not only rays of light (indicated by straight divergent lines) but also streams of water (indicated by parallel wavy lines). A representation of Shamash with streams of water issuing from his body is in A. Jeremia, *Das Alte Testament im Lichte der alten Oxyden*, p. 111, Leipzig, 1904; that the streams represent water and not light is proved by the fish swimming in them). In accordance with this conception, the flowers and incense offered to him are sometimes thrown into a stream. His course in the heavens is conceived as made on foot (as occasionally in India), on horseback, in a chariot, or in a boat, the form of representation depending upon the cosmological notions of the different peoples. As a deity who in his daily journey passes over the earth and looks down upon the deeds of men, it is not strange that he should be now the eye of Ormuzd or Veritas (Heaven) who sees all and reports to that exalted deity, or again that he should be the judge of men and gods, or even more (as per legend) the champion of truth and an agent in ethical righteousness. Still further, occasionally the sun appears as a culture deity, conceived as giving laws to men, leading the advance of civilization, and, on the reverse side, punishing those who break the laws of gods and men; and, more than this, it ought not to surprise that the sun may have two opposing aspects, that he may be regarded as kindly and as malign, so that in Babylon (see below) he is both Shamash and Nergal, and that in India the *Varuna* could, while in the temperate land of the five rivers, sing gloriously in his praise and in central and southern India affirm "you bring me a death."

* Several of these symbols are reproduced on a single page in B. Deane Wasyly, *The Symbols of Egypt*, p. 31, London, 1931; for a Ptolemaic example of Besnager, *Archaeologia*, p. 10.

description of Rameses II giving the account of the treaty with the Hittite King Kheta... The quite numerous seals reveal the worship of Shmash (or his Hittite equivalent)...

6. India. A distinct change is to be perceived in passing from the immediately Semitic environment... The emphasis upon the sun as an object of worship is lost, and other objects fairly divide with him the attention of devotees...

manifestation. In the Brahmanas the sun has the power to draw forth and out a person's vitality and to cause the death... In the epic Shurya retains much of his old grandeur and under Hinduism regarded much of his essence as creator...

7. China and Japan. The sun in China is not marked out for special distinction in worship... The sun in China is not marked out for special distinction in worship. The origin to him being not in the first or highest grade into which cult offerings are divided...

providing the moon-god. Native conceptions vary from the animistic, which imbue worship of the physical sun (still to be seen) to the anthropomorphic which regard her as a deity whose sphere of control centers in the sun...

8. Western Indo-European Peoples. Among the Aryan nations of the West the cult of the sun takes a relatively unimportant place. The Greeks and Romans, it is true, were devoted to Apollo... But the mature form of this deity is the result of a long period of development...

But the Teutonic pantheon as reflected in the Eddas and sagas seems to contain no sun-deity unless Balder be so.

9. Primitive Peoples. In the barbarous stage of civilization, as well as among the more advanced stages, the cult of the sun is often registered by symbolic acts which, though they do not always involve actual worship, yet are indicative of a high degree of reverence... In the Aztec region one of the most important of the official cults was the sun-god Omotēotl ("true lord")...

BRUNNENWERK. For the cult of the sun in the Teutonic-Indo-European region, see... BRUNNENWERK. For the cult of the sun in the Teutonic-Indo-European region, see...



270-271. P. Jensen, *Konkordie der Bibeldogmen*, pp. 383-391, 1874. ...

History of India, New York, 1898. ...

Table with 3 columns: 1. History of Observance of Sunday, 2. Recent Movements in Germany (18), 3. Early English Legislation (14), 4. Inclusive Records of Protestantism (15), 5. Legislation in the Several States (16), 6. Conditions in Europe (17).

1. History of Observance of Sunday: The earliest traces of the observance of the first day of the week in commemoration of Christ's resurrection ...

of labor be avoided, not out of respect to the Old Testament law (Ex. xx, 8-9), but because it was in keeping with the purpose of devoting the day to a celebration of joy. This conception of the Sabbath ...

2. to the Sunday continued for a number of centuries; as late as 538, at the Third Synod of Orléans ...

3. Recent day as a day of rest. Through a series of movements of church conventions and by the issue in Germany of a large number of publications relating to the subject ...

4. Origin have been detailed in legislation, the and Charac-course prescribed has always been rest of the day and quiet ...



After the Reformation German Protestantism turned away from the Jewish theory back to the original conception of Sunday observance. ...

opposed this view, and in America particularly the influence of the Sunday-school has tended to moderate, in some measure, the earlier Puritanical view of Sunday observance. ...

II. Sunday Legislation: Laws respecting Sabbath-keeping or the observing of Sunday have never been religious only, but have had also a hygienic basis. ...

(3.) (Continued) the and Charac-course prescribed has always been rest of the day and quiet. ...

men, and that contemporary with it was the week of seven days as a division of time. So far as is known the Hebrews never had names for the days of their week, but knew them by numbers only.

4. The V. J. I., divided time into periods of week-weeks, and that each week consisted of seven days, named for the sun, the moon, and five of the planets.

5. Upon the basis of the archaeological discoveries of the last half-century it is claimed by many archeologists, with apparent justification, that the Akkadians, who inhabited North Babylonia long before the time of Abraham (see HARRINGTON).

Not until the Christian religion had made its converts throughout the Roman Empire, and the body of Christians had become so great as to be an element to be reckoned with, does legislation concerning the rest day again occur. The Christians had

passed through the throes of persecution, and had been deprived of property and of civil rights. Constantine had ruled in God and 3. Roman Britain, where he had ameliorated the legislation conditions for Christians. And when for Sunday, he came to power in 313 A.D., he was joined by Maximian in the celebrated edict of Milan, by which civil rights were accorded to Christians, their property restored, and general religious liberty guaranteed to all. In 321 A.D. Constantine, having become sole emperor, issued his famous edict, prohibiting certain labor and trade on Sunday. ("Let all magistrates and people of the city, and all who work as artisans, rest on the venerable day of the sun"; text and translation of the edict given in Schaff, Christian Church, iii, 280, note 1). Exceptions follow as to farmers and vine-growers, who might otherwise lose their crops. However one may strain not to see in this edict of the Roman emperor any recognition of the religious element or of Christian rites, it remains clear that it was not the inauguration of a feast to the sun, or to Apollo, the heathen representative of the sun, for it was not Apollo's day. Apollo never was worshipped on the first day of the week, nor on the seventh day of the week, but upon the seventh day of the month, which was his festival day. It is also beyond dispute that it was a setting apart by law for the first time of the first day of the week as a festival, or feast day, which day was then kept holy only by Christians, who observed it as a rest day as well as a day of worship. By the same manner as Christians kept it was enjoined by making physical labor unlawful on that day. Sixty-six years later, 387 A.D., in another Roman decree, Sunday is called "The Lord's Day." This constitutes legal recognition of the middle name for the day, used by Christians from the middle of the first century. In 492 A.D., another Roman decree forbade on that day all exhibitions that might turn away attention from the mysteries of the Christian religion. The Sunday legislation of the Roman empire never went backward. The decrees of Valens, Valentinian I., Gratian, Valentinian II., Theodosius the Great, Honorius, Arcadius, Theodosius II., Leo I., and Athanasius, between 364 and 467, added other prohibitions, but also made from time to time exceptions from certain prohibitions of the law. In the time of Justinian 528 A.D., the laws of the empire on the subject were gathered into the codes, which contained the law of the Roman empire, and from the year 800, when Charlemagne was crowned, this code was of force and effect all over the "Holy Roman Empire," that "complex Frankish empire," a State composed of many states. During the Middle Ages there were decrees and canons of popes and of councils concerning the observance of Sunday, which, though ecclesiastical, were of civil force because enforced by the civil power.

It would seem that English Sunday legislation got its impulse and initiative from the Christian religion. Such early statutes as are known followed the advent of Augustine in England and the conversion of the Saxon kings to Christianity. They

appear as early as the Heptarchy. Ina, reigning king of Wessex from 868 to his abdication in 925. He began as a warrior, then became a 4. Early English religious reformer. When he had added legislation much to his kingdom by war upon his neighbors he gave a code of laws, known as the "West Saxon code," in which was a law for observance of Sunday which prohibited all work on that day. In the east of England the kingdom of Kent, the home of Augustine and the field of his success. It is strange that there is no earlier record of Sunday laws. Perhaps ecclesiastical canons were deemed enough. But in the time of Willhelm, king of Kent, in 1066, a statute was enacted forbidding labor from Saturday at sunset to Sunday at sunset. This results an early New England custom as to the beginning and ending of Sunday observance. The same law made free the slave who worked on Sunday by his lord's command, and outlawed the free man who worked without his lord's command. Other severe penalties are mentioned. In 747 Eadkild, king of the Mercians, enacted the observance of the Lord's day by all, and forbade all business, journeys, and meetings. Before 900, Alfred, king of Wessex, and "overlord" of the Saxon kingdom of England, had enacted a law for Sunday observance. Earlier than 930, the kingdom of the West Saxons and Mercia having been united, Athelstan the King, also "overlord" of the other kingdoms, by his statute forbade all merchandizing on the Lord's day. Edgar, king of the same realm, 959-975, enacted a further Sunday law forbidding Sunday trading, folk-motes (meetings of the people), heathen songs, and devil games on that day, and he is said to have enacted that Sunday began at three on Saturday afternoon and continued until daylight on Monday. Ethelred, king of the same kingdom 978-1016, enacted that all "heathen booths," trifling courts, and worldly works were forbidden on Sunday; yet allowed courts to sit on occasions of necessity. Canute, the first Danish king of England, came to that throne in 1017, and renewed Sunday law forbidding hunting and worldly work on Sunday, and also marketing, except for necessity, and forbade capital punishment on that day. The Saxon dynasty was restored in 1040, and Edward the Confessor about 1056 enlarged the Sunday law of Canute. Lord Mansfield, in a decision of a lawsuit (Swann vs. Brown, 3 Burrell, 1390) which involved the question whether a court could make a valid judgment on a Sunday, is authority for the statement that both William the Conqueror and Henry II. ratified and confirmed the canons of the council of Tribury and Saint Meloro and the ordinances of Edward the Confessor as to Sunday observance, and decreed that the codes of Justinian on Sunday observance were the law of England. Successive acts of parliament on Sunday observance became the law of England (e.g.: 1384 A.D., the 26th of Edward II., chapter 14; 1385 A.D., the 12th of Richard II., chapter 6; 1410 A.D., the 11th of Henry IV., chapter 7; 1428 A.D., the 6th of Henry V., chapter 2; 1449 A.D., the 27th of Henry VI., chapter 3; 1464 A.D., the 4th of Edward IV., chapter 7; 1552 A.D., the 6th of

Edward VI., chapter 3; 1603 A.D., the 1st of James I., chapter 25; 1623 A.D., the 1st of Charles I., chapter 7; 1627 A.D., the 3d of Charles I., chapter 1). The Puritan ideas obtained ascendancy in England and in 1670 A.D., 29 Charles II., chapter 7, was enacted. This statute was the most comprehensive and severe and the most detailed of any English Sunday law. Its purpose as expressed in its title was for "the better observance of the five Remora Day, commonly called Sunday." It of Puritan made the essential enactment of all existing laws relating to the Lord's day: commands express public and private of piety and of religion on that day; forbids all labor, work, or business of ordinary calling, works of charity or necessity alone excepted, but exempts children; forbids the crying or exposing for sale of wares, merchandise, fruit, herbs, goods, or chattels on pain of forfeiture; forbids travel by horse or boat, except as allowed by a magistrate; relieve the parish of responsibility for robbery of a Sunday traveler; makes void all service of legal writs or proceedings, except in case of treason, murder, and breach of the peace; but its provisions are not to apply to drawing of masts in private families, or in inn, cook or vesting houses, for such as can not be otherwise provided; also the crying and selling of milk before 9 A.M. and after 3 P.M. This statute has been practically the law of England ever since. It has been modified in particulars and exceptions, and other regulations have been made by subsequent statutes, but the law remains substantially the same to-day. At the time of the American Revolution the statute of Charles II. had been for more than 100 years the law of England and of its colonies. With this history of Sunday legislation in England for more than 900 years (from 747), the Puritans came to America. They came with the traditions, civil and religious, of the mother country, particularly those which developed with the Reformation in England; their colonial regulations as to Sunday-keeping therefore could not fall of much influence. To their account has been laid the fabulous "blue-law," the reports concerning which were an exaggeration of the facts and ridiculous in some things as applying to dumb beasts and inanimate objects. It is, however, true that there were colonial laws on the same subject and that the English Puritans who settled the colonies. They were enacted in Massachusetts Bay Colony. The Dutch authorities of the West India Colony enacted Sunday laws for the New Netherlands in 1641, 1647, 1656, 1657, and 1665. In 1605 the "dicker law" (dicker of "dicker law") was enacted in the English colony of New York, and there contained a provision against profaning Sunday; colonial statutes for preventing desecration of Sunday were enacted also by the general assembly of that colony in 1683, and again in 1703, which were in effect at the time of the American Revolution. When the independence of the American colonies was proclaimed, the continental congress called upon the colonies (then called states), each for half

See Origin and Evolution of the Jewish Sabbath and the Lord's Day, 2d ed., Boston, 1907; *World's Best Day: Being an Account of the Practical International Congress on the Lord's Day*, held at Edinburgh 6th to 20th Oct., 1900; Edinburgh, 1900; A. T. Wain, *Early Church on the Sabbath*, London, 1910; W. H. Weston, *History of the Week Sunday Closing Act*, London, 1880; L. A. Green, *The Story of the Sabbath*, London, 1900; G. E. Hart, *Religion*, 1901; H. Lewis, *A Week of Work: A Treatise on Sunday Laws*, The Sabbath, The Lord's Day, Its History and Customs, Civil and Canonical Legislation, 2d ed., New York, 1902; E. C. Wylie, *Sabbath Laws in the U. S.*, Philadelphia, 1901; E. Young, *Sabbath Laws*, Paper in Proceedings of Third Annual Meeting of American Bar Association, December 29, 31, 32, etc., of New York Sabbath Commission.

SUNDAY-SCHOOLS.

- I. History.
 - 1. Early Religious Instruction.
 - a. In America (U. S.).
 - b. In Denmark, Germany, and Norway (U. S.).
 - c. In Old European Countries (U. S.).
 - d. In Great Britain.
 - 2. Early Christian Schools (U. S.).
 - 3. Schools in the Reformation Era (U. S.).
 - 4. Institutions of Modern Sunday-school (U. S.).
 - 5. Modern Sunday-schools.
 - a. The English School (U. S.).
 - b. Popular Interest Abroad (U. S.).
 - c. Voluntary Services of Teachers (U. S.).
 - d. Other Countries (U. S.).
- II. In America (U. S.).
 - 1. In Denmark, Germany, and Norway (U. S.).
 - 2. In Old European Countries (U. S.).
 - 3. In Great Britain.
- III. In Great Britain.
 - 1. London Sunday-school Union (U. S.).
 - 2. Sunday-school Union (U. S.).
 - 3. Early Christian School (U. S.).
 - 4. American Sunday-school Union (U. S.).
 - 5. Results of the Society's Work (U. S.).
 - 6. Other Societies (U. S.).
 - 7. Works.
 - 8. Contributions to 1863 (U. S.).
- IV. Other Countries (U. S.).
 - 1. Denmark (U. S.).
 - 2. Other Countries (U. S.).
 - 3. Great Britain and Ireland.
 - 4. Other Countries.

The Sunday-school may be defined as an assembly of persons grouped in classes, with teachers, on the Lord's Day, for the study of the Bible, for moral and religious instruction, and for the worship of God. The modern Sunday-school grew out of a movement to provide religious instruction for poor and neglected children, near the close of the eighteenth century. In its present popular form, it seeks to teach and to train all whom it can reach in the performance of the duties owing to God and to neighbor, as these duties are set forth in the Jewish and Christian Scriptures.

I. History. 1. **Early Religious Instruction:** Religious instruction of the young and the unlearned has, from the earliest history of the human race, been recognized as a sacred duty. In early times all primary instruction centered in the family, the father was teacher and priest of the household (Gen. xviii. 19). The more advanced education was, however, **Babylonian**. Often provided in connection with temples, indicating how large a place religion had in the nations of great antiquity. The recent explorations in Babylonia, as at Sippara and Nippur, have not only shown that fully equipped schools existed in the days of Abraham and earlier, but they have also made known the methods of those schools, since multitudes of tablets have been found giving varied forms of school exercises of pupils, illustrating the pedagogical methods in the schools of Chaldea and Babylonia when Abraham and his fathers were children. Hymns and religious texts formed part of the extensive religious instruction in accord with school methods, therefore, was known and practiced long before Abraham's day,

and the glimpses of the fact which appear in the Hebrew narrative, reveal its existence, and come out unmistakably in the record of the "Ten" and great commandment (Deut. vi. 4-9). And these are unimpaired and equally confirmed by the school-tablets found in Babylonia and by a law of Hammurabi (see Hammurabi and His Code), forbidding a host child's recovery by its parents, when adopted and "taught" a handicraft or trade by its foster-father (II 188-189; Eng. transl. in *DB*, Extra Volume, p. 600).

Faithful religious instruction of the young was given by Abraham, with military training (Genesis, 14, of Job 1, 3) and was explained in the observance of the Passover. The **Mosaic** law required children and adults to be **and Jewish** come together before the Lord at **Education** certain seasons to hear the law, and to **Education** have it explained, in the family (Deut. xxxi. 10-12; Josh. viii. 33). Joshua gathered the people at Gibeon and Bethel, where the law of God was impressively proclaimed anew. The prophets, from Samuel to Elijah and Elisha, presented religious instruction, teaching the people God's will, besides maintaining the so-called "schools" of the prophets. Schemoshat appointed a royal educational commission to reestablish systematic religious instruction throughout the Hebrew nation, and a similar effort was made by Josiah (II Chron. xvii. 7-9, xxxv. 30-33). In like manner Ezra gathered the people with the children into a national Bible assembly or school, wherein the priests taught and explained the meaning of the law of God, similar to modern methods of school instruction. In New-Testament times, schools for relig-

ious instruction were held in connection with Jewish synagogues in every city and important village of Palestine. These schools were part of an extended system of religious instruction. Lighted funds for four kinds of teaching among the Jews: (1) the elementary school; (2) the teaching of the synagogue; (3) the higher schools, as those of Hillel and Shammai; and (4) the Saboteur, which was a great school, as well as the great palatial school of the nation. Some have questioned the prevalence of elementary schools in the time of Christ's childhood; but, according to the Talmud, synagogue schools were of earlier origin, and had then become common. They used the Hebrew Scriptures, and later, Bible parchment rolls prepared for children. The Mishna says: "At five years of age let children begin the Scriptures, at ten the Mishna, and at thirteen, let them be subjects of the law." In this period a synagogue presupposed a school, as now a church implies a Sunday-school. Hence the Church and Sunday-school, not the Church and district-school, parallel the Jewish system. The methods in these schools were not unlike those of the modern Sunday-school. Questions were freely asked and answered, and opinions stated and discussed. Such a Jewish Bible school, no doubt, Jesus entered in the temple when twelve years old. Paul was "brought up at the feet of Gamaliel," a phrase which implies the customary pattern of Jewish students at a school. The apostolic age was remarkable for the activity of these schools. Every town having ten men, giving themselves to divine things, was to have a synagogue, and every place having twenty-five boys, or, according to Malactias, 123 families, was compelled to appoint a teacher, and for forty or fifty boys, two teachers. In the apostolic period teachers were a recognized body of workers quite distinct from pastors, prophets, and evangelists (I Cor. xii. 28, 29; Eph. iv. 11; Heb. v. 12). The special work of teachers in the apostolic church was to instruct the young and the inexperienced in religion and in the way of salvation through Jesus Christ.

The Christian schools were founded upon the plan of the Jewish synagogue schools. These schools or catechetical classes were to aid in preparing new converts for full church membership, and also were an important means of instructing the young and the worthy in the knowledge of God, and of salvation through Jesus Christ. Thus in the fourth century a. d., Gregory the Illuminator (see Armenia, III, § 3) founded Bible schools for the children throughout Armenia. The sixth general council at Constantinople (680 a. d.) required the prelates to hold schools in country towns and villages, to teach all children sent to them without pay or reward, except as parents made them a voluntary present. Schools were effective and aggressive missionary agencies of the early churches, and are applied to the Sunday-schools of the first ages of Christianity. They were graded, the pupils being divided into two, three, and four classes, according to their proficiency. They committed passages of Scripture, and were taught the doctrines concerning God, creation, providence, sacred history, the fall, the

incarnation, the resurrection, and future rewards and punishments. Their books were portions of the Bible, sometimes in verse, Old-Testament history and antiquities, sacred poems, and dialogues. When the ecclesiastical spirit overcame the apostolic and Gospel teaching, the study of the Bible was largely displaced by ritual ceremonies and priestly confessions. A few faithful continued to teach the Bible, as the Waldenses and the Lollards.

Classes and schools for the religious instruction of the young were among the agencies recognized as independently by the Protestant Reformation. Luther, "for God maintains the church through the schools." He prepared Biblical catechisms and lessons for such schools in 1529. Calvin in 1536 issued similar catechisms in fifty-eight sections, for teaching the young in Geneva. Alarmed by the spread of the Reformation, which he strenuously opposed, Carlo Borromeo (q. v.), archbishop of Milan, gathered boys and girls for religious instruction. He separated them into two divisions, and grouped them into large classes, with a priest aided by a layman for the boys, and a matron for the girls, that they might be taught the doctrine and discipline of the Roman Catholic Church. Similar schools were established throughout his diocese by the cooperation of bishops, priests, and the Jesuits, the instruction aiming to prevent those from accepting the Reformer's doctrines and instructions from the Bible. The religious instruction in Borromeo's schools was concentrated chiefly upon the Church's decrees and confessions, while that of the Reformers was upon Christ and the Bible. The way was further prepared for the modern Sunday-school movement by the labors of Zwingli, Beza, Melancthon, Spener, Francke, and Zinzendorf (q. v.) in the continent; and in Great Britain by John Knox, Baxter (q. v.), and the English and Scottish Reformers, who recognized the school as a part of the divinely appointed mission of the Church. Luther would "that nobody be chosen as a minister if he were not before him a school-master." The Heidelberg Catechism declared as a requirement of the fourth commandment "that the ministry of the Gospel and the schools be maintained." The first Scottish general assembly directed that the second of the two public services on every Lord's Day be given to worship, and the catechizing of the young and ignorant. The Church of England saw early as 1603 required "every parent, vicar, or curate, upon every Sunday and holiday, for half an hour or more, to instruct the young and ignorant in the ten commandments, the articles of belief, and in the Lord's Prayer." In America early Protestant settlers regarded it as a duty of the Church and the State to maintain schools wherein religion and the Bible were taught. Some form of catechetical and religious instruction, therefore, widely prevailed in connection with the Protestant and Reformed Churches of Europe and America for more than a century before the origin of the modern popular movement. The religious influence of the schools, it is true, declined in the seventeenth and eighteenth

mentaries, but it was partly because the school method was untried or neglected, and greater emphasis was laid upon preaching and preaching than upon introductory teaching of the Gospel. Religion and morals suffered a real decline in consequence. Great and godly men saw and lamented their mistakes in this respect. Thus Bishop Joseph Hall (q.v.), Henry More (d. 1687), and George Herbert (q.v.) plainly extolling in the forefront of men. John Owen (d. 1683) declared that "more knowledge is ordinarily diffused among the young and ignorant by one hour's established exercise, than by many hours' continual discourse."

These facts account for the fact that many places claimed to have had Sunday-schools previous to those in Gloucester, England. Among the many worthy instances only a few can be

- 6. Antisl noted. It is claimed that Sunday-schools of schools were begun in Scotland by John Modern (known about 1590; in Bath, England, 1600; by Joseph Alden; in Barbury, school, Mass., 1674; in Norwich, Conn., 1676; in Plymouth, Mass., 1680; in Newton, N. Y., 1682; by Bishop Franston, England, 1693; in Glasgow, Scotland, 1707; by the Schwendkelders, in Pennsylvania, 1734; in Bethlehem, Conn., by Joseph Bellamy, 1740; in Ephrata, Pa., by Ludwig Hicker, 1745; a school giving gratuitous instruction, holding children's meetings and blessed up by the year of independence; in Philadelphia, Pa., by Mrs. Greening, 1744; in Northam, Scotland, by Rev. W. Morrison, 1757; in Bristol, England, by Rev. David Blair, 1760; in Catterick, England, by Rev. T. Lindley, 1763; by Rev. E. Harris, 1765; in Miss Harris, 1765; by Oberlin, at Wabash, 1767; by Miss Harris, Bull, High Wycombe, England, 1769; by Rev. J. W. Moffat, Nainworth, England, 1772; by Dr. Kennedy, Bright, County Down, Ireland, 1774; by Kintner, in Bohemia, 1775; by A. Compton, Little Levers, near Bolton, England, 1775; by David Simpson, Macerfield, England, 1778; and by many others in the decade, 1770 to 1780. These were, in some cases, catechetical schools and classes giving religious instruction, yet not wholly parallel with the methods of the modern Sunday-school as devoted to the study of the Bible.

7. Modern Sunday-schools. The pioneer schools mentioned above were isolated, often with establishments as the chief textbooks. They did not attract popular interest, nor did they come into affiliation one with another, tending toward organized movements.

8. The next or toward a system of religious instruction, with the Holy Scriptures as the chief textbook. Of this great movement Robert Raikes, Jr. (q.v.), is justly called the founder. He was editor and proprietor of the Gloucester Journal, Gloucester, England, and a strong mixture of the "godly" and of the reformer. Before he was of age, he began visiting the two prisons of Gloucester, to relieve the horrors of prison life, and to reform the prisoners.

His sympathies were widened, his charity deepened; his failures in prison reform set him thinking, until he reached the conclusion that "it is preventable." Twenty-five years later, when he was forty-four years of age, he began a "new experiment," as he called it, of "braining in human nature." Going into the suburbs of the city, where many youths were employed in the factories, his heart was touched by the groups of ragged, wretched, sorrowing children. He knew their parents, homes, and habits; none ever entered the house of God. It was useless to appeal to such parents. He had tried to reform adults and had failed. George Whitefield had tried to reach the masses in Gloucester, but with meager results. Raikes was moved, therefore, to apply his maxim that "vice is preventable."

"Begin with the child, for illness is the parent of vice," and "ignorance is the cause of illness"; therefore, "begin by instructing the child." These seem like trite statements now, but they were the result of long deep thought by Raikes. Here was his mission. And the Rev. Thomas Stook, headmaster of the Cathedral School, whom he met in his walk, was the man to help him. He started his first Sunday-school in Sooty Alley in 1780, paying Mrs. Meredith for teaching the wretched little street children, when he permitted to come to her kitchen for instruction. Mrs. Meredith found the boys "terrible bad," and soon the pupils were transferred to Mrs. Mary Critchley in Bourgate Street, whose house extended to Grey Friars, facing the south porch of the St. Mary de Crypt Church. Raikes lived nearly opposite the church. Had as the boys were, the "girls were worse." The children were required to come clean hands and faces, hair combed, and with such clothing as they had, though shoes and clothes were sometimes provided. The boys were "strapped" or "canned" by Raikes himself, for misbehavior; the girls were punished by other means. The children were to remain in school from ten to twelve, then go home. To return at one, and after a lesson to be conducted to church, where church service to repeat portions of the catechism; then to go quietly home about five, without playing in the streets. Attentive scholars received rewards of Bibles, Testaments, books, combs, shoes, and clothing. The head teachers were paid a shilling a day. Raikes engaged four women in his school, and procured other employment for them as rewards of diligence, which "may make it worth sixteen months." The Rev. Thomas Stook "went strict" to the school Sunday afternoon; "says Raikes, "to examine the progress made, and to reform error and disorder among such a little of legislation." The boys were in classes of five, the advanced pupils acting as "monitors," or teachers, teaching the younger pupils their letters. The girls in a separate room, with white tips on their shoulders and white caps on their heads, were in classes also, with "monitors" or sub-teachers over them. This was nearly ten years before Dr. Bell or Joseph Lancaster introduced the "monitorial system" into the weekday schools of England, and his biographer, Harris, affirms that Raikes maintained these monitors without change and his schools have continued unto this day.

For about three years, Raikes looked upon his schools as an experiment. When William Fox, William Wilberforce (q.v.), and the Wesley-Johns, 2. Purpose; and Charles (q.v.), and Jonas Han-Intense; way came as the guests of Raikes and asked their counsel, he explained his plan, the school to hear the children repeat prayers, the catechism, answer Bible questions, and sing Watts' hymns. It is recorded that they were astonished, "caught the fire," and extended the movement. Contrary to a popular notion, it is now affirmed that Raikes had "voluntary teachers," or monitors over small classes. "The system," says Harris, the latest biographer of Raikes, "was founded on, and supported by voluntary effort; paid masters and mistresses were at first necessary, but they gradually disappeared; the monitors over classes were unpaid and voluntary from the beginning of his schools." The paid "master" and "mistress" of Raikes' schools were the superintending; the class teachers were unpaid and voluntary, selected and directed by the paid master or mistress. When satisfied that his scheme had passed the experimental stage, Raikes published a brief notice of it in the Gloucester Journal of Nov. 2, 1783, which was copied into the London papers. The Gloucester Magazine also published a notice of Raikes' of Nov. 25, 1783, in full, and a little later another description by Raikes was given in the American Magazine edited by John Wesley. These and many other published accounts extended knowledge concerning the new movement, while many pupils repeated the story and praised the institution. By these means the knowledge and character of these Sunday-schools were rapidly diffused throughout the Christian world.

The schools of Raikes, and of the earlier promoters of the new movement, chiefly aimed to reach the children of the poor, and of those who neglected the school. The scheme commended itself to thoughtful and philanthropic minds;

3. Voluntary teachers. The schools were conducted by learned and influential persons because of their warm advocates. It met with criticism and opposition from some professors of religion and churchmen, who questioned its wisdom and its usefulness. The archbishop of Canterbury was moved to call together bishops and clergy to see what should be done to stop it. William Pitt seriously considered the introduction of a bill in Parliament for the "suppression of Sunday-schools."

In Scotland, teaching on the Sabbath by laymen was pronounced an innovation and a breach of the fourth commandment. Sunday-schools on the new plan, however, continued to multiply in face of opposition, extending with marvelous rapidity in England, Wales, and Ireland, more slowly in Scotland, upon the continent, and in America. Experience soon proved that even paid "masters," if any nothing of paid teachers, made the system expensive, tending to limit its usefulness.

If class teachers and monitors could give their time, why might not persons competent for masters, and for all the instruction, be found to act without pay? Next, therefore, to founding the system, the most important step was to replace paid superintending "masters" and

"mistresses" and the few paid instructors by voluntary and unpaid superintendents and teachers. Raikes used unpaid class-teachers from the first, but paid superintendents. Sir Charles Flood credits Odiam, England, with having been the first Sunday-school to dispense paid by gratuitous instruction. John Wesley notes Sunday-schools at Bolton, England, in 1787, having eighty masters (teachers) who receive no pay but what they received from the great master. "The famous Stockport Sunday-school in 1794 paid only six of its thirty teachers. A Sunday-school in Farnham County, N. J., in 1794, having children from a cotton factory, gave them gratuitous instruction, and Samuel Slater, of Pawtucket, R. I., had a similar school, with unpaid teachers, for factory operatives. William Brodie Gurney introduced gratuitous instruction into several Sunday-schools in London, England, about 1796, and similar schools were promoted by Rowland Hill about the same time. Wholly gratuitous instruction speedily became a popular feature of the institution, and displaced the earlier plan of paid superintending and partly paid instruction. The adoption of the Sunday-school as a mode of religious instruction for children of the Church came more slowly into favor. But it had a remarkable growth, under the improved feature of wholly voluntary instruction and management, which adapted it to the needs of poor communities and parishes in city and country.

The growth of modern Sunday-schools was phenomenal. While published reports of the membership vary widely, showing imperfect enumeration and defective census with gaps filled by mere estimates, yet the following figures give some idea of the average progress, at different periods in Great Britain during the past century. Raikes, in a letter to the Gloucester Magazine in 1787, estimated the number of children in Sunday-schools at 250,000.

4. Extension during the past century. Raikes, in a letter to the Gloucester Magazine in 1787, estimated the number of children in Sunday-schools at 250,000. A parliamentary census of England and Wales in 1818 reported 5,463 Sunday-schools, with 477,223 scholars, and 19,230 day-schools having 674,633 scholars. A similar census in 1833 reported a membership of 1,548,993. An educational census of England and Wales in 1851 reported 2,407,642 Sunday scholars, which was 200,000 more than were reported by the same census for all the public and private (secular) schools in the country at that time. At the same period it was said that there were 292,549 Sunday scholars in Scotland, and a total in Great Britain (including Ireland and some islands) of 3,087,980 in 37,048 Sunday-schools, having 325,450 teachers. At the Raikes centenary in 1880, reports and estimates placed the number of Sunday scholars then in Great Britain at 6,000,077, with 674,704 teachers. At the world's Sunday-school convention in 1889 it was reported that Great Britain (including Ireland) had 44,044 Sunday-schools with 704,286 scholars and 6,005,269 scholars. In 1907, at the Rome convention, Great Britain and Ireland reported 44,399 Sunday-schools, 684,242 teachers, and 7,453,574 scholars. J. Henry Harris computes that the Sunday-schools in Great Britain involve an annual expenditure of about £700,000.

and including incidentals, such as excursions, excise, and other items, the amount equals £1,200,000 per annum for the schools in Great Britain alone.

The growth in America was even more phenomenal than in Great Britain. In 1925, the American Sunday-school Union reported in its constitution 1,130 Sunday-schools with 11,285 teachers and 42,697 scholars, and computed from reliable data that there were in other Sunday-schools in this country and British America and the West Indies upward of 61,000 Sunday scholars, making a total for North America of 143,697 scholars. The reported conversions in the schools of the American Sunday-school Union were as remarkable as the growth of the schools. In 1928, the number of teachers in these schools reported as professing Christ was equal to about four per cent of the entire number of teachers. In 1829, the number of schools connected with the American Sunday-school Union increased to 5,901, with 52,663 teachers and 346,202 scholars. This rapid growth was further increased by the effort, made in 1830 to plant a Sunday-school in every newly community throughout the fertile settled valley of the Mississippi. About eighty Sunday-school missionaries were employed to visit the 8,000 to 10,000 scattered settlements estimated to be in the valley; 2,867 new Sunday-schools were organized and 1,121 revived within about eighteen months, and not less than 20,000 adults and 30,000 scholars in the Union's schools professed conversion, as many as "17,000 in a single year, it was believed." And in 1851, the membership of the founding of the system by Raikes, the American Sunday-school Union reported that the number in the United States enrolled as members of the Sunday-school may be safely estimated at upward of 600,000. In 1851 the membership in the United States and Canada was computed at 3,590,000. Meanwhile, besides the extension work of the American Sunday-school Union, which for over eighty years formed an average of three and one-half new Sunday-schools per day, the larger denominations separately began a vigorous Sunday-school extension and improvement in their respective churches. At the first International Sunday-school convention in 1875 the United States and Canada were reported to have 69,272 Sunday-schools with 738,665 teachers and 6,062,064 scholars. The latest statistics (1910-11) from the most trustworthy sources give the number of Sunday-schools held by Protestant churches in the United States and its possessions at 153,485, with 1,749,934 teachers and 15,380,014 scholars.

Schools enrolled in English-speaking lands are now computed at about 263,000, with about 2,560,000 teachers and about 24,000,000 scholars, while all the rest of the world not speaking English is to be credited with about 65,000 schools, upward of 300,000 teachers, and about 4,000,000 scholars. These facts indicate the phenomenal growth in English-speaking lands. It is also to be taken into account that in connection with this institution not less than 15,000,000 young people and adults also receive instruction. In connection with the movement is often found a "children's

day" on which the services are wholly for the children and in large part consist of exercises in which they have the principal parts. The system has not made such great gains in the continental countries of Europe, as in Great Britain and America. The Roman Catholic and Greek churches in Denmark, taken directly from the Bible, but from Denmark, have not placed emphasis upon instruction, and Protestants on the continent interfere in the method pursued in the modern Sunday-school. Thus, in Denmark, all between seven and fourteen years of age are compelled to attend Sunday-school, and religious instruction is obligatory. Dissenters (numbering less than one in 100 of the population) can have their children excused from religious instruction in the State schools by showing that they are satisfactorily taught elsewhere. In 1907 it was computed that of "children's services" and Sunday-schools together, there were in Denmark about 1,000, having an attendance of about 80,000, the numbers having more than doubled in the last twenty years. In Germany, day-school attendance is compulsory and religious instruction required by the State. The kind of religious instruction thus given varies with the different views of the parish clergyman and the village teacher in charge of the school. The modern Sunday-school is there widely looked upon as designed for the lower classes. Children of the better class rarely attend. Yet Sunday-schools on the "class" or "American" system were begun in Prussia about 1838, received a new stimulus from the American Albert Woodruff about 1850, are extending among the free or dissenting churches, through the work of the continental mission of the London Union, and are winning their way directly into State churches. Many Evangelical Lutheran, however, say: "I father taught in how to teach the Bible in our schools; why do we need Sunday-schools?" The State views every one as a Christian who is not a Jew, Muslim, or pagan. Some see two kinds of Sunday-schools in Germany; those in State churches and those in dissenting churches. Some of the former adopt the class system, others do not. The free churches generally welcome the modern class system in Sunday-school. The reports for 1910 give about 9,000 Sunday-schools of all kinds in Germany with about one million scholars. The modern Sunday-school system was introduced into Norway, with the free-church movement, about 1850, and is extending into the State churches, about three-fourths of all Sunday-schools in 1898 belonging to them, and they use lessons of their own. Including the "children's services" and Sunday-schools in the State churches there were in 1910 upward of 1,000 schools in Norway. Many of the free-church schools are coordinated in a union and use the International Sunday-school Lessons.

Sweden introduced Sunday-schools also into the free churches about 1851, and the system is gaining strength in the State churches (see statistical table). The first Sunday-school in Sweden was organized about 1833 in Stockholm by the daughter of an

English countess; it was followed by a few others, but continued only a short time. In 1851 P. Palmquist was the same city was the exhibit of European Sunday-school work in the great exhibition in London, and began a Sunday-school for the poor children on his return, which became the germ of the system of the free (Baptist, Wesleyan, and Congregational) churches of Sweden. In 1852 Lady Eberlesburg began a similar school, which introduced the system into the State church. For twenty years the new system had a slow growth. In the first five years of this century, greater activity in extension and improvement of Sunday-schools has been secured through special missionaries, though in 1909 there were districts and provinces where Sunday-schools are unknown. Holland has a strong Sunday-school society, chiefly supported by the free and Evangelical churches, that for several years has promoted the extension and improvement of Sunday-schools, resulting in about 200,000 children in 2,000 schools. In Switzerland Sunday-schools are popular in all the German Evangelical countries. The system has been adapted to the special conditions of the people, training of teachers, and the latest improved methods are studied in the land of Zwilling and of the Reformed churches. In the French cantons of Switzerland, the modern Sunday-school method has been in use for over fifty years. "Children's services" have been held the children being grouped in classes, each class in charge of a teacher for "catechetical exercises," followed by the general address of "instruction" by the minister, the course of study being Old Testament history and New Testament doctrines. In the land of the Huguenots, Sunday-schools early found a footing, but not a hearty welcome. The upheaval in civil affairs, the domination of the Roman Catholic priesthood, and the disruption of the Church and State in France in this century have prevented a wide extension of Bible-study. Lately there has been a revival of interest, the Protestant churches have a wide-open door, the Gospel can be extended freely by the best Sunday-school method, and the opportunity for a large increase of the 1,200 Sunday-schools (with 74,000 members) never was brighter in France than since the separation of Church and State. Russia, under rigid laws, has hitherto frowned on Bible-study save by those only who adhered to the Greek Church. Among the few dissenting or free churches of the empire, some Sunday-schools were founded. It was reported in 1910 that there were about 1,000 schools having about 80,000 scholars in Russia, taught in different languages, on the Dnieper, Volga, and Don rivers, and along the Black Sea. The system of Bible-study by the Sunday-school method is not welcome in the Greek Church. Bohemia, the land of Huss, has about a quarter of a million of Protestants among whom Sunday-schools find favor; the greater number of Bible scholars, however, study at home, the home department generally outnumbering those in the schools. Italy was late in receiving the Sunday-school. It has a national committee and "Union" formed in 1891, which is still doing effective work in the extension of Sunday-schools in the face of great difficulties.

In Spain the Sunday-school exists by sufferance as do all Protestant bodies, though the spirit of religious toleration is gaining strength in the Spanish mind (see Spain). In the other countries of the world, except in India, Austria, and the Philippines and Hawaii, Sunday-school extension is dependent upon Protestant missions maintained by the missionary societies of Christian lands. The Sunday-schools are comparatively few, and can be introduced except through mission agencies. The efficiency and economy of the Sunday-school, modified to meet conditions in non-Christian lands, fit it for a far wider usefulness in propagating the Gospel than almost any other means within reach of the Church.

II. Sunday-school societies. 1. In Great Britain the magnitude of the task of "teaching the Bible to the world" attracted the attention of Christian philanthropists, and after several public conferences in London, William Fox, James Hailey, Henry Thornton, and Thomas Raikes (brother of Robert Raikes) with three others formed The Society for the Support and Encouragement of Sunday-schools in England, Sept. 7, 1788. As its work extended beyond England, the title was changed some years later to include the British dominions. Owing to the long title it was popularly known as "The Sunday-school Society." It leased rooms, employed teachers, and provided Bibles and books gratuitously. In 27 years it founded and aided 3,730 Sunday-schools, having 403,981 scholars, donating 8,001 Bibles, 75,537 Testaments, 229,269 spelling-books and primers, and expending £4,383 15s 6d. After 1811 its work was limited to grants of class-books and Scriptures. This society dissolved in 1864.

As the advantages of voluntary teachers and wholly gratuitous instruction became apparent, schools discontinued paid teachers altogether. Rowland Hill, master of Surrey Chapel, 1. London, England, formed a Sunday-school on this purely voluntary plan, which William Brodie Gunny, a young Christian layman, find with aid for this service by meeting William Fox, John Howard the philanthropist, and others, proposed a conference, to consider the extension of schools with voluntary teachers only. This was held in Surrey Chapel, July 12, 1803, and resulted in the formation of the London Sunday-school Union. This society is conducted by members of different Evangelical denominations, through a general committee of fifty-four persons, who render service gratuitously. For more than a century, it has promoted the work in Great Britain by publishing suitable reading and reading-books and other requisites at a low price, and by issuing cards, petitions, and leaflets for teachers. The Sunday School Founders Monthly Magazine, founded by its secretary, W. F. Lloyd, dated with new vitality. The Sunday-School Christian Union, by means of auxiliary unions, extended the system throughout the British dominions. It has provided a system of teachers' training-classes

or institutes in which persons by study and exam- ination have been fitted for teaching. It secured a "loan fund" from which loans were made without interest to churches and organizations erecting buildings and classrooms and maintaining a large reference library and reading-room for teachers and others at a subscription price of one dollar per annum for each person. Since 1864, the union has aided in maintaining special missions to extend Sunday-schools on the continent in India, and elsewhere. At its centenary in 1903, it reported in its connection, through its auxiliaries, 9,684 Sunday-schools, 213,220 teachers, and 2,552,477 scholars. Affiliated with it is the International Bible Readers' Association, having 800,000 to 1,000,000 members, in nearly 100 countries, who are pledged to read an assigned portion of the Bible daily. Its chief support is from the Congregationalists, Baptists, and Presbyterians. The other dissenting bodies and the Church of England each have separate Sunday-school societies.

The Church of England Sunday-school Institute was formed in 1843, "to extend, improve, and develop the Sunday-school system in the Church of England" and "to secure efficient teaching therein." It is directed by a committee of about 50 persons, 25 clergymen and 25 laymen. The work is promoted through branch or local associations (about 400), and through publications, institutes, teachers, examinations, and lectures. Its benevolent receipts in its jubilee year (1893) were £2,213, and from sales of publications £1,900. In its sixtieth year (1903) the benevolent receipts were £1,339, and from sales of publications £1,900. In its sixtieth year (1903) the number of scholars for England and Wales in its schools was 1,153,775, and from sales of publications £1,900. Its total annual receipts have slightly diminished in the last twenty years. Its income comprises a course of five years' study, based on the Bible and Prayer Book, and conforming to the church calendar. The Western Sunday-school Union was formed in London in 1875. In seven years, it enrolled about 6,000 schools, 123,000 teachers, 830,000 scholars, with 4700 annual benevolent receipts. It has steadily advanced in all branches of its operations. Its report for 1907 gave: schools, 7,565; officers and teachers, 153,008; scholars, 1,000,810. This showed a decrease of 28,858 from the previous year's report, but the members of the "pleasant Sunday afternoon classes" showed a gain of 271, having a net gain of 4,412.

The Sunday-school Society for Ireland was formed in 1809. At the end of fifty years it had 27,000 schools, 255,000 members, and it is still doing a useful work. There are Sabbath-school societies in Edinburgh and Glasgow, and in several countries on the continent of Europe. The India Sunday-school Union and the Australian Sunday-school Union at Melbourne are doing an effective work, having affiliation with or encouragement from the London American Sunday-school Society.

2. In America: Christian philanthropists abound in America, and were early awake to the advantages of the Sunday-school. Bishop William White, Dr. Benjamin Rush, Matthew Carey, and

nine others held a meeting in Philadelphia Dec. 19, 1790, which resulted in forming The First Day, or Sunday-school Society, for the "establishment of Sunday-schools." It secured a "loan fund," rented rooms and halls for holding schools, had both paid and voluntary teachers (in 1794), and secured a charter in 1797. In 1791 it petitioned the state legislature to establish "free public schools." In ten years it expended in rents, salaries, and gifts of books about \$4,000. When voluntary teachers displaced all paid "masters" in Sunday-schools, the society appropriated its funds to supply needy schools with religious literature, based on the union principle, a benevolent work which it still continues, having granted about \$40,000. The "First Day" is therefore the oldest existing Sunday-school society in the world. The changes in the modern Sunday-school movement in the beginning of the last century, such as introducing the system into the churches, displacing all paid masters with voluntary teachers, and transferring the expenses of the schools from rented rooms and halls to the churches, and the like, checked the spread of the new movement for the first decade of that century. But organizations for promoting Sunday-schools sprung up in many parts of this country. The Union Society for the education of poor female children was formed in Philadelphia in 1804, chartered in 1806. The Evangelical Society in 1808, and had a dozen other local union societies a few years later in Philadelphia were in part the result of the meagre labors of Christian men and women. The Rev. Robert May, in 1811, also popularized a system of reward tickets, prizes, and the wider adoption of small classes, under voluntary teachers. In 1815, Eleazar Lord visited Philadelphia and introduced the Sunday-school method, then returned to New York or lived with enthusiasm that two years later was at New York—the New York Female Sunday-school Union (Jan. 1810) and the New York (male) Sunday-school Union Society (Feb. 1810). Meanwhile the several local Sunday-school unions in Philadelphia united in founding The Sunday and Adult School Union, 1817, which became the largest in America, within seven years having over 700 affiliated schools and auxiliaries.

The New York Sunday-school Union proposed a national society as early as 1820, and this was accepted by other unions until 1824, when the Philadelphia Sunday and Adult School Union, 1817, which became the largest in America, within seven years having over 700 affiliated schools and auxiliaries. The New York Sunday-school Union proposed a national society as early as 1820, and this was accepted by other unions until 1824, when the Philadelphia Sunday and Adult School Union, 1817, which became the largest in America, within seven years having over 700 affiliated schools and auxiliaries. The New York Sunday-school Union proposed a national society as early as 1820, and this was accepted by other unions until 1824, when the Philadelphia Sunday and Adult School Union, 1817, which became the largest in America, within seven years having over 700 affiliated schools and auxiliaries. The New York Sunday-school Union proposed a national society as early as 1820, and this was accepted by other unions until 1824, when the Philadelphia Sunday and Adult School Union, 1817, which became the largest in America, within seven years having over 700 affiliated schools and auxiliaries.

managers; it is a voluntary "union" of individual Christians of different churches for promoting the study of the Bible and the Sunday-school cause. It is conducted by a board of officers and thirty-six managers, all laymen. It employs laymen and ministers as missionaries to found Sunday-schools in new communities and in those removed from churches, issues library books, dictionaries, commentaries, maps, records, tickets, primers, teachers' texts, manuals, lesson helps, and Sunday-school records and requires in great variety, and also nine periodicals. In five years after its organization, the American Sunday-school Union issued over six millions of copies of Sunday-school works, published 200 bound volumes for libraries in Sunday-schools, started a Teachers' Magazine and two agencies in the study of God's Word. The union conducts all its operations on a thoroughly systematic plan (financial, publication, industrial, educational, and missionary extending), each feature being under direction of a standing committee. The whole country is divided into great districts with a district superintendent to counsel, aid, and direct the labors of its force of missionaries. It reports for the year ending Mar. 1, 1910, new schools organized and reorganized, 2,596, with 93,627 members, and also 11,198 visited for aid and encouragement, having 739,495 scholars, and receipts for 1910 were \$24,022 (exclusive of financial bequests and gifts), and its expenditures \$218,728. It employed 231 regular missionaries, who reported 9,275 professed conversions for the year, visited 178,587 homes for instruction and prayer, and distributed 80,087 copies of Bibles and Testaments, 21,663 sermons and addresses to public assemblies, 88 new churches as the outcome of their union schools, \$6,200 worth of religious books and periodicals given to the needy, besides grants for special objects, as homes, hospitals, and prisons, and issuing devotional commentaries, Bible wall-rolls, charts, maps and lesson helps. The union is supported by voluntary contributions and has an annual fund, amounting to between \$1,000,000 and \$2,000,000, the income from which is devoted to its benevolent work, including founding and improvement of Sunday-schools, and distribution of religious literature issued by the Society as directed by the donors.

The leading denominations in America also have Sunday-school unions, societies, or publishing departments. The Massachusetts Sunday-school Union, formed in 1822 by Congregationalists, Baptists, and others, dissolved in 1832. The Congregationalists then started the Society. Massachusetts Sabbath-school Society which, after several changes, is now the Congregational Sunday-school and Publishing Society, and employs ministers, officers, and agents to promote Sunday-schools in that denomination. The Methodist Episcopal Sunday-school Union was formed in 1827, merged with the Trinit Society in 1833, reorganized in 1844, for promoting the movement in that church and publishing and distributing literature through the preachers attached to that denomination, holding training-classes and Sunday-school assemblies, and the Chautauque (see CHAUTAUQUE INSTITUTE) has achieved a world-wide reputation.

ness the union claims to have formed in America 121,028 Sunday-schools, with over 655,000 teachers and 4,710,000 scholars. It has preserved a detailed record of every school, its precise location, the name and address of each superintendent, and the number of teachers and scholars in each school, when it was formed and when revisited, for fifty years past. The union, from time to time, calls its students in colleges and universities in the work of Sunday-school extension under direction of a regular missionary. Thus it claims to have organized an average of nearly four schools a day for every day of the last 80 years, and to have distributed Bibles and publications to the value of about \$15,000,000, having responded to 333,000 requests from schools with over 200,000 members for aid and encouragement in the study of God's Word. The union conducts all its operations on a thoroughly systematic plan (financial, publication, industrial, educational, and missionary extending), each feature being under direction of a standing committee. The whole country is divided into great districts with a district superintendent to counsel, aid, and direct the labors of its force of missionaries.

It reports for the year ending Mar. 1, 1910, new schools organized and reorganized, 2,596, with 93,627 members, and also 11,198 visited for aid and encouragement, having 739,495 scholars, and receipts for 1910 were \$24,022 (exclusive of financial bequests and gifts), and its expenditures \$218,728. It employed 231 regular missionaries, who reported 9,275 professed conversions for the year, visited 178,587 homes for instruction and prayer, and distributed 80,087 copies of Bibles and Testaments, 21,663 sermons and addresses to public assemblies, 88 new churches as the outcome of their union schools, \$6,200 worth of religious books and periodicals given to the needy, besides grants for special objects, as homes, hospitals, and prisons, and issuing devotional commentaries, Bible wall-rolls, charts, maps and lesson helps. The union is supported by voluntary contributions and has an annual fund, amounting to between \$1,000,000 and \$2,000,000, the income from which is devoted to its benevolent work, including founding and improvement of Sunday-schools, and distribution of religious literature issued by the Society as directed by the donors.

The leading denominations in America also have Sunday-school unions, societies, or publishing departments. The Massachusetts Sunday-school Union, formed in 1822 by Congregationalists, Baptists, and others, dissolved in 1832. The Congregationalists then started the Society. Massachusetts Sabbath-school Society which, after several changes, is now the Congregational Sunday-school and Publishing Society, and employs ministers, officers, and agents to promote Sunday-schools in that denomination. The Methodist Episcopal Sunday-school Union was formed in 1827, merged with the Trinit Society in 1833, reorganized in 1844, for promoting the movement in that church and publishing and distributing literature through the preachers attached to that denomination, holding training-classes and Sunday-school assemblies, and the Chautauque (see CHAUTAUQUE INSTITUTE) has achieved a world-wide reputation.

The Protestant Episcopal Church formed a Sunday-school Union in 1877, and was warmly supported by many. Others, while recognizing that Sunday-schools were useful for non-churchgoers, and those who were poor and destitute, held that the church should teach its children by its clergy, under their direction, in families, and in parish schools. Yet Sunday-schools have prospered under the fostering care of diocesan authority, and through an efficient Sunday-school committee. Courses of study and text-books are provided that are suited to the worship and articles of confession in that church. The Presbyterians (North and South) have Sunday-school boards and the Baptists (North and South) have similar boards and Sunday-school departments. Each of these employ collectors or agents, and provide an extensive literature for the use of their respective schools. The Reformed churches also maintain similar publishing-houses, and secretaries for promoting the efficiency of their schools in instructing the young. Besides these, there are upward of twenty-five publishing-houses of more or less prominence devoting their chief attention and energy to providing publications and requisites for Sunday-schools in every variety of form and character, so that if Sunday-schools in America do not steadily improve and attain increasing efficiency, they can not plead lack of machinery and material.

8. Conventions of Sunday-school Workers' Conventions are used to awaken public interest, to discuss methods, to gather and disseminate information, and to promote Sunday-schools.

1. Conventions Local conferences and conventions for this purpose were held before 1820. The first national conference was held in Philadelphia in 1824, to consider the formation of a national society, and led to the organization of the American Sunday-school Union. In 1828 another convention was held in the same city, when delegates from fourteen states considered various measures for enlarging the operations of the "National" or American Sunday-school Union. Among other measures recommended were extension of Sunday-schools in communicating the German language, among seamen, the offer of premiums for suitable publications, and plans for licensed contributions to assist the cause. In 1830 conventions and meetings were held in every important city of America, in furtherance of the "Mississippi Valley" mission proposed by the American Sunday-school Union. In 1832 the American Sunday-school Union proposed a national convention for "considering the principles of the Institution (Sunday-school) and improved plans for organizing, instructing, and managing Sunday-schools." At the call of the mission society delegates from thirteen states and one territory and the District of Columbia met, and decided to call a national convention of Sunday-school workers in New York, to be composed of delegates appointed by the local unions, associations, and by schools in each state and territory. This preliminary meeting also appointed committees, and authorized the sending out of a series of seventy-eight "interrogatories" grouped under thirteen

heads, embracing organization, mode of instruction, system of lessons, libraries, infant schools, adult Bible classes, visiting, and all measures tending to improve the institution. Delegates from fourteen states and territories met, discussed the questions for two days, referred the answers to the interrogatories to committees to collect and report later, and proposed a second convention to be held in Philadelphia in 1833. The second convention approved of the effort proposed by the American Sunday-school Union personally to invite every person on July 4, 1833, to attend some Sunday-school the following Sabbath, July 7; urged that religious instruction be given to inmates of jails, prisons, and almshouses, favored private Sunday-schools in homes with irreligious parents, recommended that sermons be preached for teachers and members of Sunday-schools, and the training of the young in mission-work. The special report on modes of instruction prepared by James W. West and published by the American Sunday-school Union, was based upon the information given in response to the seventy-eight interrogatories. The report made a quarto volume of about 1,200 pages. A third national Sunday-school convention was held in Philadelphia in 1839. A general convention was held in London, England, in 1852, attended by about 400 delegates from Great Britain, Ireland, the continent, America, and Australia, and considered the history, objects, and methods, with a view to the improvement and extension of Sunday-schools at home and abroad.

A fourth national convention in America was held, 1869, in Newark, N. J., attended by 526 enrolled members from 26 states and 1 territory. **2. 1869.** sides some from Canada and abroad. The purpose of this convention was twofold, inspiration and instruction. The fifth convention was held in Indianapolis, Ind., in 1872, attended by 538 delegates, from 22 states, 1 territory, and Canada. Meanwhile a conference of publishers had arranged a series of "uniform lessons" as a "trial scheme" as hereafter noticed. This plan was adopted at the convention with great enthusiasm, and prepared the way for the next and first "international" convention at Baltimore in 1875, and the lesson committee through Dr. Warren Hanksford made its first report. Since 1875, the international conventions have been held triennially. The second was in Atlanta, Ga., 1878, with over 600 delegates, and promoted kindly feeling between the northern and the southern states; the third in Toronto, 1881; the fourth in Louisville, Ky., 1884, when a third lesson committee was appointed and four persons added to it from Great Britain and one from France. In 1887, in Pittsburg, Pa., 1890, there a quarterly temperance lesson was adopted; the seventh in St. Louis, Mo., 1893; the eighth in Boston, 1896, over 1,000 delegates present, marked by the leadership of D. L. Moody; the ninth in Atlanta, Ga., marked by the election of Marion Lawrence as general secretary; the tenth in Denver, Colo., 1902, with 1,168 delegates, when special lessons for beginners were introduced; the eleventh in Toronto, 1905, with 1,088 delegates, when "advanced les-

sons" were adopted, and the historical exhibit illustrating the development of the Sunday-school by Dr. Edwin W. Rice, and of the educational position of current Sunday-school material by Dr. C. E. Blakelock were marked features. The name was also changed from "International Sunday-school Convention" to "Association," and it was proposed to hold a series of international conferences. The twelfth convention, 1908, in Louisville, Ky., appointed a new lesson committee instructed to prepare a thoroughly graded course of lessons, in addition to the uniform course of Bible study. The thirteenth convention, 1911, met in San Francisco, Cal. The international Sunday-school convention originated in a desire for conference upon methods of organization and improvement. The leading workers in it for about twenty years did not favor assuming or claiming any continuous authority. When each convention ended, all further action devolved upon the state, county, denominational, and other organizations. Some wished to make it an organic institution with continuous power; this view finally prevailed, and resulted in an incorporated association. The delegates are appointed by state and provincial organizations, several of which also are incorporated independent charters. The representatives in all these movements and associations are mainly from those workers who use the international series of lessons. The Church of England, the established Church of Scotland, the Protestant Episcopal, and some branches of the Lutheran Church in the United States, and the state churches on the continent are not represented in the deliberations of these conventions beyond occasionally sending friendly greetings.

Besides the above, there have been other notable gatherings in the interest of religious education, as the world's Sunday-school conventions in London, England, 1889; St. Louis, Mo., 1893; London, 1899; Jerusalem, 1904; Rome, 1907; Washington, 1910; the next will meet in Geneva, in 1913. Denominations have held assemblies to consider Sunday-school work, and the London Sunday-school Union invited a vintenary meeting to celebrate the founding of modern Sunday-schools in London, 1880, with a session in Gloucester, and in 1903 commemorated its hundredth year by vintenary meetings. The American Sunday-school Union held a series of meetings in Philadelphia in 1899, to celebrate its seventy-fifth anniversary. The Religion Educational Association in America has also held several noteworthy conferences in Chicago, Philadelphia, Boston, and Washington, bringing together representative educators to discuss measures and relating to bring Sunday-school methods more fully into harmony with accepted modern principles of education as advocated in the twentieth century.

III. Modes of Instruction and Literature. In the modern Sunday-school movement two distinct features came into prominence, making it differ from the preaching and children's services on the one hand, and from venerable catechetical instruction on the other. These features were (1) grouping of persons into small classes, each class having a teacher; (2) lessons chiefly, if not wholly, from

the Bible. Even in Baptist schools there were many children that most first be taught to read (and this was done that they might read the Bible for themselves).

1. Earlier read the Bible for themselves; be Methods, sides teaching the catechism and prayers, and singing; the scholars were taught answers to Bible questions. Ralston took great pains to have the children understand Bible passages, so that they could "give the sense" to parents in the home. Instruction in these early Sunday-schools had the germ of a method radically different from a mere parrot-speaking of memorized lessons. Mere memorizing and reciting of the catechism and of verses was carried to excess in the catechetical schools of Scotland and elsewhere. Before the era of free public schools in America, it was a necessity to teach the children of laborers to read in the early Sunday-schools. To add in removing a prejudice against these schools, because reading was taught in them, the founders no doubt thought a liberal use of the catechism a prudent measure, and this condition may have led to the excess. Yet it is clear, from the latest investigations of the rise of this early movement, that the aim was specially to teach children to think for themselves. Ralston repeatedly laid strong emphasis upon this feature of his plan. It was inherent in his scheme from the beginning and was bound to stay its way. Free public schools spread slowly in some portions of the United States, in the early part of the nineteenth century, hence these Sunday-schools were forced to continue to teach pupils to read at a later date than in other portions. Yet the great purpose of the Sunday-school, to teach the Word of God, was steadily kept in view. The advance of free day-schools in due time relieved the Sunday-school of the apparently secular service of teaching reading. However, it widely overlapped what has been termed the "memorizing era" as the "memorizing era" overlapped that of "limited and uniform lessons." The period of excessive memorizing of lessons in the Sunday-school was approximately from 1810 to 1824, in America. This was also the period when the system was expanding from an exclusively minority stage for the children of the wretched, ignorant, neglected poor, and began to be introduced in churches as an aid or supplement to religious instruction in Christian families. Catechetical teaching was the "old time" form in such families; and Sunday-schools in churches accepted it, thinking that if it was well to memorize catechism questions and answers, and hymns and verses in some measure, it was better to memorize as many as possible. So they offered rewards therefore, until the teachers were overwhelmed with the astounding achievements of pupils; some coming with an entire catechism, or with a whole book of the Bible for a single "memorizing lesson."

Meanwhile the "monitory" or "mutual" plan of instruction came into public prominence, popularized by the efforts of Andrew Bell, D.D., a churchman, leading to the formation of the National School Society in the established Church of England, which provided that the articles and formulates of that church should be taught to the

clusion of all others. This action was stimulated by a similar plan of instruction exploited by Joseph Lancaster, a Quaker, known as the *Transit*. The Lancasterian system, on which the first of the British and Foreign School Society was founded. His plan provided lessons from the Scriptures, but excluded lessons in doctrinal and dogmatical creeds and catechisms. This society was largely sustained by dissenters. These plans agreed in employing monotonous or voluntary teachers, but differed widely on the system of lessons and subject of study. Lancaster's plan had a decided influence upon the instruction in Sunday-schools in Great Britain and America. Other influences followed, as the vigorous attacks of James Gall of Scotland upon the parish mode of teaching catechisms in Scotch churches. Gall also advanced what he termed the "lesson system" of instruction, which consisted in requiring the scholar to "draw" some practical "lesson" from each sentence or clause in the lesson of the day. Moreover, the Sunday-school teachers' magazines were, for two or more decades, devoting columns after columns in their journals to explaining and discussing the principles of education suggested by Thomson, Milton, Comenius, Locke, Pestalozzi, Froebel, Froelich, Gall, Bell, Lancaster, Jaccottet, Shaw, and others, and citing therefrom whatever seemed suitable or helpful in shaping lessons or methods of instruction in Sunday-schools. The diffusion of this knowledge prepared the way for better schemes of lessons, and improved methods of teaching. The idea of a system of selected lessons "uniform for the entire school seems to have come about the same time into many minds, widely separated, in America and Great Britain. It was first put in practice, as stated in the Appendix to the Report for 1820 of the American Sunday-school Union (issued May, 1820), in several schools in Albany, Ohio, and by fourteen schools in New York City, and a list of "Selected Lessons" was published first in a leaflet by the Union. The first year's course comprised forty-nine lessons in the Gospels, "chronologically arranged according to the most approved harmonies." "The selection is divided into four portions, each designed to occupy the Sabbath of three months, for the convenience of a quarterly examination of the scholars." The prospect here that this plan will be systematized, "that every school may be furnished with the same lesson at the same time." Each lesson was limited to from "ten to twenty verses," and among the advantages claimed for the scheme were: "It promotes uniformity," and "enables study by scholars during the week; aids the teachers' classes" conducted by the pastor "for expounding the selections;" "gives the scholars the same lesson when he moves to another school as would have been assigned him in the school he left;" "Within a year after this list of 'Bible lessons' had been first published, a book of questions in three grades prepared by Albert Johnson on these same 'selected lessons,' was published, as a help in the study of them. They were so happily adapted to advance the scholars in an intimate and correct knowledge of the Scriptures" that it was said "the old plan of commit-

ting large portions of Scripture to memory is generally relinquished." About fifteen or twenty ministers in New York City gave weekly lectures on the lesson to teachers; churches were crowded at the public examinations held quarterly in several schools on the "selected lessons," and "scholars became acquainted with the general truths of the Scriptures." Judson's questions were soon combined with another similar help, and the joint book called *Union Questions* issued by The American Sunday-school Union, and the successive volumes (12 in all) of these lesson helps covered the chief books of the Bible. These *Union Select Lessons* with the *Union Questions* therein were commended, as the best then known, by the first and the second national Sunday-school conventions, 1822, 1825, and continued to be widely used for more than a generation. Special helps, notes, and explanations on the lessons were issued weekly in the (Union's) *Sunday School Journal* of Philadelphia, and in book form, the latter being a fivefold form of notes. For instruction in the doctrine peculiar to each denomination, the chief dependence continued to be cast upon the *Union Questions* and another for this purpose, by schools using the *Union Questions* and *Union Lessons*. After a time, many large schools began to prepare for themselves a course of Bible-study lessons each year, and some prominent in a denomination advocated or put forth a series of lessons intended to teach the doctrine peculiar to the denomination, which soon drifted to what has been termed the "Babel series" of lessons in America.

In Great Britain about 1842, the London Sunday-school Union issued a double series of Bible lessons (without the texts), one for the morning session of the Sunday-school, and another for the afternoon, giving brief explanations, *System* doctrines, practical lessons, and questions on each lesson in a monthly tract of twelve pages, designed to be read and sent by the teachers to their scholars, either weekly or quarterly. The *Lesson system* of James Gall, the *Graduated Simultaneous Instruction* by Robert Milner, the "reading system" of David Shaw, and the "collective system" of the London Union were popular in England about the same period. The great defects of Gall's system were said to be absence of all instruction, save as to meaning of words, and the use of direct catechizing. Milner's was better, but required too much mechanism, and it limited chiefly to the Gospels. Shaw's was without a book of explanations, or questions, and required separate notes for classes, and was fitted in the most part for infant classes only; the "collective system" of 1842 was largely copied, the Bible texts were too long to be mastered, suited better for advanced Bible classes only, and failed to give a comprehensive knowledge of the entire Scripture. Each of these systems was tried in America, and each had its adepts, after it had obtained a brief local popularity in different places. Meanwhile the way was being providentially prepared for the international uniform lessons. The uniform idea had been partially lost to sight, though continued in fact, in

the annual series of *Union Questions*, and in the series of *Explanatory Question Books*. *Orange Julius's Lessons for Every Sunday in the Year* (1862-65), *Lessons of the Sunday Pupil* of Chicago, 1866, Vincent's *Bible Lessons*, McCook's *Westminster series*, and Dr. Newman's and Allison's *Union and Explanatory Lessons*, with others, indicated the features of a system that was coming.

Representatives of the foregoing systems of lessons, and of about twenty-five Sunday-school societies and publishers met in New York in August, 1871, and appointed a committee of five, who arranged a list of uniform lessons for 1872. This proved so satisfactory that the national Sunday-school convention of 1872 at Internis, Indianapolis approved the system, and appointed a committee of ten (five Lessons, ministers and five laymen) from five different denominations, to select a seven-year's course of study to cover the entire Bible. This committee has been since continued with some changes and modifications and the addition of the British section to the American. Latterly, persons of nine denominations serve on this committee, comprising about 32 members (12 from the United States, 3 from Canada, 15 from Great Britain, and 1 each from India and Australia). Since 1894, each course of lessons has been limited to six years. In 1902 special lessons were provided for beginners and in 1908 for advanced classes. In general, the plan of study aims to give a comprehensive knowledge of the Bible in six years, the lessons being selected from the Old and the New Testaments alternately, upon some intelligent system, presenting the important salient events, characters, doctrines, and narrative of the whole Bible in the compass of each six-year's course of study. Gradually, the "uniform" idea has been modified by the demand for graded courses of study suited to scholars of the primary department, and another for advanced Bible classes as stated above. The lessons are called "international" because they are used in different countries of the world, and probably more widely than all other current systems of Sunday-school study.

In addition to the above "Uniform Series" of study, the International Lesson Committee began, 1908, a graded series of lessons for Sunday-schools. The plan outlined is for each grade to have one year of study, and a Bible text adapted to each successive grade. It recognizes beginners' department, two courses of one year each; primary, three courses, one year each; junior, four grades each a year; intermediate, four, and a similar number of grades for senior and advanced departments. Thus, when complete there will be from sixteen to eighteen different grades and Bible texts studied in the same school at the same time.

The Bible Study Union (Bibleless) system of Graded Lessons has been outlined for about twenty years. Its plan provides six series of lessons to cover the whole Bible; each series has four courses issued in seven grades, as biographical, Old-Testament history, life of Christ, gospel history, apostolic leaders, and apostolic church history. The Bible texts are selected so as to give a uniform text or

theme of study in each department of the Sunday-school the same time.

The Sunday-schools of the Church of England have a system of their own, adapted usually to the church year. This church system in use, it is claimed, by about one-half of the Sunday schools in England. A similar system is used by the Sunday-schools of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States and in Canada. Several branches of the Lutheran Church also have a series of Bible lessons conforming to the church calendar, and many schools in Scotland and on the continent of Europe have special courses and have not adopted the international lessons.

A new institution of the magnitude of the modern Sunday-school would naturally demand a literature. There was in the eighteenth century no juvenile literature of moment, in existence, or in the making, and an intellectual awakening. *Bible* Sunday-schools created a religious, moral, and an intellectual awakening. Literature which demanded a juvenile literature.

It began in England with primers, and simple "hints" for forming, conducting, and teaching Sunday-schools, followed speedily by books of prayers, hymns for children, selections of Scripture for reading, and small periodicals. *Youth's Magazine* (1825), *Child's Companion*, *Children's Friend*, and juvenile magazines by the score sprang into existence. They were at first small leaflets, monthly, each issue having from four to twelve pages. The *Repository* or *Teacher's Magazine* began as a quarterly (1813) of about fifty pages, and was later continued as a monthly for nearly forty years, and followed by the *Union Magazine*, *The Teacher*, and by the *Sunday-School Chronicle*, London, weekly, the leading journal for Sunday-school teachers in Great Britain. The Church of England maintains a scholarly magazine for Sunday-school workers, as do the Wesleyans. Scotland and Ireland maintain similar journals worthy of note. Instructive religious books, narrative and didactic, for youth rapidly multiplied. Authors like Hannah More, Jane Taylor, Rowland Hill, and Mrs. Sherwood devoted their best thought to producing literature of this type. Manuals and hand-books on teaching and principles of education were issued in abundance, beginning before 1840. Training-classes for those intending to become Sunday-school teachers have long been maintained by the London Sunday-school Union, the Church of England Sunday-school Institute, and other societies. Educational writers of note prepared text-books for the instruction of those training-classes, constituting quite a body of literature, specially relating to instruction and methods of teaching. A revived interest in this branch of the work sprang up in Great Britain and America in the first decade of the twentieth century.

In America, the literary awakening was even more significant. While Great Britain produced many religious periodicals for the young, America gave greater attention to books and a permanent rather than an ephemeral juvenile literature. Men like President Humphrey of Amherst College, and Dr. T. H. Gallaudet of Hartford, testify that, in

the first decade of the nineteenth century, a half-dozen books for children exhausted the list of existing works that could then be classed as juvenile. The Sunday-school had the task of Americanizing a juvenile literature and a literature for it also. In this the American Sunday-school Union was created with leading the way. It secured some of the most gifted of Christian writers to prepare works for the young, writing forth Gospel truths in pleasing form. The three Alexander-father and sons—Dr. Nevins, Edward, Judson, Sears, D. Ware, Todd, Durbin, Tyng, Hodge, Bodell, Packard, Newton, Trumbull, Schaff, Althous, Hall, Rice, and the Gurneys, among many others, aided in producing a juvenile religious literature in America, of the foremost character and in varied types of history, biography, narrative, travel, instructive instruction, and discourse, which were brought out, with engraving by the most skilled artists and engravers of that day—an attractive literature, religious in tone, forceful in thought and expression, and rich in substance and variety, the most widely read, of all the literature current for two generations, in their day. Stationers and engravers of note here and there declared that their first taste for learning was acquired by reading the books issued by The American Sunday-school Union. Composers of music like Hastings and Lowell Mason, prepared hymns and songs for the young. Songs of the children from Sunday-school displaced the rickety, rattling songs and drove them from the street if not from the face of the earth. Cheap Bible dictionaries, antiquities, helps in Bible study, and libraries for Sunday reading were issued by the tens of thousands and scattered and read in every part of America. Prayers and question books were produced by advice and cooperation of leading educators, college and theological professors, and millions of copies distributed. Normal works and teachers' manuals by Trumbull, Vincent, and others followed later. The influence of this juvenile literature on the mind of the rising generation, in the first half of the nineteenth century in America, has not been surpassed by any produced since that era. The several denominational Sunday-school societies, and not a few private publishers also, have been conspicuous in producing a juvenile literature, until it was conceded that America had the most abundant supply of such literature in the world, and this was marked for the purity of its tone and teaching. The stronger denominations issue periodicals and magazines, giving, from time to time, articles and essays on topics and methods of instruction by foremost educators, and they have a wide circulation. Among them are The Republic Teacher, Philadelphia; *Kristofers Sunday School Journal*, New York; *Palmer's Teacher*, Boston; *Watson's Teacher*, Philadelphia; *American Church Sunday School Magazine*, St. Heidelberg; *Teacher*, St. Sunday School World, St. Sunday School Magazine, Nashville; *Bible Teacher*, Dayton, O.; *Sunday School Helper*, Boston; *Journal of the Teacher*, Richmond, Va. The medium of communication and of news, between workers of all denominations, is the *Sunday School Times*, Philadelphia, the recognized representative weekly Sunday-school periodical of America, which has been issued for half a century. For more than a generation the *International Lessons* have been credited with causing a great revival in biblical investigation and research, using and taxing the abilities of the foremost biblical writers.

A revival of interest in summer assemblies for Sunday-school workers, in the first decade of the twentieth century, has produced a new literature in America on teacher-training and instruction. This agency for promoting Bible instruction, which was earlier known as the Chautauque movement (see CHAUTAUQUE, LOVERNOTT), was begun in 1874 by John H. Vincent (q.v.). Renewed attention to teacher-training has stimulated other agencies to form summer assemblies in all parts of the United States, as educational forms for students of the Bible. Several permanent Bible schools have also created special departments in methods of study and instruction, as Moody's Bible Institute, Chicago; White's Bible Teachers' Training School, New York, which have led to the issuing of special text-books and a literature suitable for normal class work. Each of the larger denominations has provided manuals, instruction books, and courses of study for intending Sunday-school teachers, and offers a diploma at the satisfactory completion of the course.

The Sunday-school movement, as to its numbers and glory, appears in this historical sketch. The weakness and defects of the movement are also set forth in brief. Foremost among these are: 7. Conclude—(1) The organization and machinery of the movement are too complex and cumbersome in proportion to the teaching and spiritual power, for the highest efficiency. (2) The emphasis is placed too much on the school side, only to the neglect, in part, of worship and of spiritual training. (3) It fails to make adequate provision for the adolescent period, and does not satisfactorily hold the scholars passing into the adult stage. The defects, however, will be remedied in time. The Sunday-school is destined to accomplish great victories in the work of advancing the kingdom of God. The Sunday-school in the past thirty years has concentrated upon its lessons and methods of instruction a ripe scholarship, a wealth of learning, a masterful marshaling of the widest knowledge in critical investigation, stimulating exploration in Bible lands, and the production of a literature on Oriental manners, habits, and customs, and a keen discrimination in practical applications of truth to modern conduct, never before surpassed or equaled. More light has been thrown upon the interpretation and illustration of the books of the Bible for this generation than ever before in the history of the world.

IV. Statistics of Sunday-Schools.—1. United States.—The United States Census Bureau says of the census figures for 1905: "These figures do not include the mission Sunday-schools which are maintained by some bodies, notably the..."

*Compiled from the Special Report of the United States Census Bureau on Religious Bodies for 1905.

Sunday-Schools Supervision THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 164

Congregationalists and Presbyterian Church in the United States of America had which are not connected with local organizations. (These are estimated at about 3,000 schools, with 108,000 membership.)

Table with 4 columns: State or Territory, Sunday-schools, Officers and Teachers, Scholars. Lists states from Maine to Alaska and totals for U.S.

2. Great Britain and Ireland*

Table with 4 columns: Country, Schools, Officers and Teachers, Scholars. Lists countries like Canada, United States, etc.

3. Other Countries of the World:†

Table with 4 columns: Country, Schools, Officers and Teachers, Scholars. Lists countries like Australia, India, etc.

*Compiled from "Special Commissioner" to London Sunday-School Convention, 1910. (Roman Catholic Sunday-schools are not included.)

†Compiled from the World's Sixth Sunday-School Convention Report, 1910. (Roman Catholic and Protestant schools are not included in the statistics, and in many Roman Catholic churches of continental Europe. These are not included in the table.)

Evolution of the Sunday School. In 1840, H. C. Trumbull, *Vale Lecturer on the Sunday School*, New York, 1840; Martin O. Harris, *Sunday School Movement in America*, B. 1901; H. H. Harris, *Story of the Sunday School*, London, 1901; W. H. Clarke, *Evolution of the Sunday School in the United States*, N. Y., 1901; G. H. Williams, *Evolution of the Sunday School in the American Church*, Milwaukee, 1904; W. P. Davis, *Origin and Progress of the Sunday School in the Church*, New York, 1905; *Sunday Schools of World Around*, The Official Reports of the World's Fair, Philadelphia, 1876; W. H. Black, *From 1807: London and Sunday School*, London, 1810, and *From 1807 to 1810: London and Sunday School*, London, 1810; and *From 1810 to 1815: London and Sunday School*, London, 1815; *From 1815 to 1820: London and Sunday School*, London, 1820; *From 1820 to 1825: London and Sunday School*, London, 1825; *From 1825 to 1830: London and Sunday School*, London, 1830; *From 1830 to 1835: London and Sunday School*, London, 1835; *From 1835 to 1840: London and Sunday School*, London, 1840; *From 1840 to 1845: London and Sunday School*, London, 1845; *From 1845 to 1850: London and Sunday School*, London, 1850; *From 1850 to 1855: London and Sunday School*, London, 1855; *From 1855 to 1860: London and Sunday School*, London, 1860; *From 1860 to 1865: London and Sunday School*, London, 1865; *From 1865 to 1870: London and Sunday School*, London, 1870; *From 1870 to 1875: London and Sunday School*, London, 1875; *From 1875 to 1880: London and Sunday School*, London, 1880; *From 1880 to 1885: London and Sunday School*, London, 1885; *From 1885 to 1890: London and Sunday School*, London, 1890; *From 1890 to 1895: London and Sunday School*, London, 1895; *From 1895 to 1900: London and Sunday School*, London, 1900.

Sunday Schools, Studies for Teachers in Principles and Practice. London, 1900; F. J. Stone, *The Sunday-School Movement in America*, New York, 1900; H. H. Harris, *The Sunday-School in Principles and Practice*, New York, 1901; E. F. Stubbart, *Principles and Practice in the Sunday-School*, New York, 1901; **SUNDAY, WILLIAM ASHLEY:** Presbyterian and revivalist; b. Anna, Ia., Nov. 19, 1863. He received his education at the high school, Nevada, Ia., and at Northwestern University; was a professional baseball player, 1882-90; assistant secretary of the Young Men's Christian Association, Chicago, 1891-95; became an evangelist in 1900, and has since devoted himself with great success to that work; receiving Presbyterian ordination in Chicago in 1903. **SUNESON, ANDERS:** See ANSWER or LEWIS. **SUPERANNATION.** See Vol. xii, Appendix. **SUPEREROGATION. WORKS OF:** A concept in Roman Catholic theology which has its place in the doctrine of indulgences was justified by the great scholastics through the notion of the organic unity of the Church. They asserted that the sums total of the merits of Christ was greater than was required for the salvation of man, and that the merits also had done more and suffered more than was absolutely required to insure their own salvation, that these superabundant merits were placed in the "spiritual treasury" of the Church, at the disposal of its visible head; that as the Church is one, in this world and the next, they may be applied to such of its members as are still lacking in the required amount of works necessary to satisfy the divine demands. This is effected by indulgences, as an exertion of judicial power for the living and per mortis gratia for the souls in purgatory. The doctrine is set forth in the Constitution *Quarta Pars* of the Council of Trent in its affirmation of the doctrine of indulgences. It was further established in the condemnation of contradictory propositions of Luther by Leo X. (1520) and of Balaam by Pius V. (1567), Gregory XIII. (1582), and Urban VIII. (1624), as well as by Pius VI. in the constitution *Apostolicam Sedi* of 1794, against the Syod of Pavia. The Roman Catholic doctrine of good works has therefore been elevated to its highest position as the universal opinion of God. That constituted, a meritorious work in the strict sense is inconceivable; but another compensatory one comes in—that man is bound to acquire merit before God and through it to make satisfaction for his sin. This idea, found as early as Tertullian in the joint product of Jewish legalism and Stoic moralism. A third element comes in from the Stoic distinction between the *melius* and the *perfectius*, to say nothing of the Jewish emphasis of special and extraordinary virtues (of Job. xii. 8). An apparent sanction for the notion of a gradation in the value of works was found in Matt. xii. 16-22 and I Cor. xii. 28. By degrees the doctrine of "Evangelical councils" (see *CONCILIUM EVANGELICUM*) was developed, and took ever deeper root with the establishment of the ascetic life in the Church.

Superintendent

Thus, while the practical significance of works of supererogation is connected with indulgences, their theoretical basis is found in the conception of merit and of the nature of Christian perfection. Protestantism, by discarding the association of the entire train of thought in which they find a place, did away with them altogether. If the good works of men are the product of God's free grace, the idea of merit on man's part is ruled out; if Christ is the one mediator and his death the one atonement, there can be no more talk of even the possibility of satisfaction on the part of man; and if he is alone the head of the Church, such a thing as a treasure of supererogatory works to be arbitrarily distributed by an earthly head becomes a figment of the imagination. (H. SWEENEY.) **SUPERINTENDENT:** The title of a German ecclesiastical officer. Among later scholars "superintendent" was applied, as by Gabriel Biel (*Superintendent* *liber superintendens*, dist. 24, op. 1), to bishops, in so far as they were governing officers, this translation of episcopos occurring as early as Augustine ("City of God," xix. 19) and in Jerome (*Epist. lxxxv.*), and after them in the *Corpus juris canonici* (c. 11, c. 8, q. 1, and I. 2, c. 5, c. 24). The term was applied in Germany to the permanent supervisory officers that were instituted after the visitations had been completed (cf. E. Schilling, *Die Visitationen des 16. Jahrhunderts*, I. 142 sq.). The Saxons superintendents of 1527 and later were intended to be no other than state executive officials. But at the start they officiated as substitutes to the visitation committees, and afterward to the consistory. The example of Saxony was often followed, though the term "superintendent" was not generally retained. In South Germany the designation "dean" is commonly in vogue (as in Bavaria); in the German Reformed churches "superintendent" and, locally, "synodalpräsident"; "senior"; "episcopus," "provost." But this involves no material alteration. The bishops of the Evangelical State Church of Prussia Transylvanica are superintendents. Within the area of his province, the superintendent exercises supervision over the official administration and conduct of the clergy and of the inferior church servants; also at times over the conduct, and sometimes the studies, of ministerial candidates, who come to him for permission to preach in particular instances. Where pastoral vacancies occur, he must provide proper supplies, conduct the pastoral election, and induct the new pastor. In the event of disputes between pastor and congregation, he is the competent referee. He has, furthermore, oversight of administration of church property. To what extent the superintendent has the right to define disciplinary penalties, or independently to institute official suspensions, is a matter which varies according to special statutes. Various details of the superintendent's activity also involve the cooperation of the civil organism. There are superintendents of higher and lower grades. The former class especially includes the general superintendents, whose jurisdiction in the several state churches, however, shows very different official features. In Old Prussia, they are spiritual consistorial directors beside the temporal consistorial president. Their sphere of action stretches over an entire province; and, if need be, their exercise very personal influence over the superintendents and pastors under their jurisdiction. Elsewhere, while certain superintendents are indeed members, as well of the church governing boards, it is only in this attribute that they rank higher. Recent modifications in the superintendents' practice have their warrant in the introduction of presbyterial and episcopal consistorial arrangements, by which the previous consistorial church organization has become a recalled unit. The German Evangelical state churches have, for the most part, adopted the episcopal institution of the superintendent's office, which varies in different localities. [The title is now substituted in the Methodist Episcopal Church for that of presiding elder (see MEMORANDUM IV., p. 8).] **E. SWEENEY, Superintendent** *W. W. Johnson, Die Synodalpräsidenten und die Superintendenten in der evangelischen Kirche*, Berlin, 1887; J. C. W. Agner, *Historie der Kirche*, Leipzig, 1889; H. F. Fiedler, *Die evangelische Kirche in Preussen* (3 vols.), Leipzig, 1892; G. H. Williams, *Evolution of the Sunday School in the American Church*, Milwaukee, 1904; W. P. Davis, *Origin and Progress of the Sunday School in the Church*, New York, 1905; *Sunday Schools of World Around*, The Official Reports of the World's Fair, Philadelphia, 1876; W. H. Black, *From 1807: London and Sunday School*, London, 1810, and *From 1807 to 1810: London and Sunday School*, London, 1810; and *From 1810 to 1815: London and Sunday School*, London, 1815; *From 1815 to 1820: London and Sunday School*, London, 1820; *From 1820 to 1825: London and Sunday School*, London, 1825; *From 1825 to 1830: London and Sunday School*, London, 1830; *From 1830 to 1835: London and Sunday School*, London, 1835; *From 1835 to 1840: London and Sunday School*, London, 1840; *From 1840 to 1845: London and Sunday School*, London, 1845; *From 1845 to 1850: London and Sunday School*, London, 1850; *From 1850 to 1855: London and Sunday School*, London, 1855; *From 1855 to 1860: London and Sunday School*, London, 1860; *From 1860 to 1865: London and Sunday School*, London, 1865; *From 1865 to 1870: London and Sunday School*, London, 1870; *From 1870 to 1875: London and Sunday School*, London, 1875; *From 1875 to 1880: London and Sunday School*, London, 1880; *From 1880 to 1885: London and Sunday School*, London, 1885; *From 1885 to 1890: London and Sunday School*, London, 1890; *From 1890 to 1895: London and Sunday School*, London, 1895; *From 1895 to 1900: London and Sunday School*, London, 1900.

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

out official features. In Old Prussia, they are spiritual consistorial directors beside the temporal consistorial president. Their sphere of action stretches over an entire province; and, if need be, their exercise very personal influence over the superintendents and pastors under their jurisdiction. Elsewhere, while certain superintendents are indeed members, as well of the church governing boards, it is only in this attribute that they rank higher. Recent modifications in the superintendents' practice have their warrant in the introduction of presbyterial and episcopal consistorial arrangements, by which the previous consistorial church organization has become a recalled unit. The German Evangelical state churches have, for the most part, adopted the episcopal institution of the superintendent's office, which varies in different localities. [The title is now substituted in the Methodist Episcopal Church for that of presiding elder (see MEMORANDUM IV., p. 8).] **E. SWEENEY, Superintendent** *W. W. Johnson, Die Synodalpräsidenten und die Superintendenten in der evangelischen Kirche*, Berlin, 1887; J. C. W. Agner, *Historie der Kirche*, Leipzig, 1889; H. F. Fiedler, *Die evangelische Kirche in Preussen* (3 vols.), Leipzig, 1892; G. H. Williams, *Evolution of the Sunday School in the American Church*, Milwaukee, 1904; W. P. Davis, *Origin and Progress of the Sunday School in the Church*, New York, 1905; *Sunday Schools of World Around*, The Official Reports of the World's Fair, Philadelphia, 1876; W. H. Black, *From 1807: London and Sunday School*, London, 1810, and *From 1807 to 1810: London and Sunday School*, London, 1810; and *From 1810 to 1815: London and Sunday School*, London, 1815; *From 1815 to 1820: London and Sunday School*, London, 1820; *From 1820 to 1825: London and Sunday School*, London, 1825; *From 1825 to 1830: London and Sunday School*, London, 1830; *From 1830 to 1835: London and Sunday School*, London, 1835; *From 1835 to 1840: London and Sunday School*, London, 1840; *From 1840 to 1845: London and Sunday School*, London, 1845; *From 1845 to 1850: London and Sunday School*, London, 1850; *From 1850 to 1855: London and Sunday School*, London, 1855; *From 1855 to 1860: London and Sunday School*, London, 1860; *From 1860 to 1865: London and Sunday School*, London, 1865; *From 1865 to 1870: London and Sunday School*, London, 1870; *From 1870 to 1875: London and Sunday School*, London, 1875; *From 1875 to 1880: London and Sunday School*, London, 1880; *From 1880 to 1885: London and Sunday School*, London, 1885; *From 1885 to 1890: London and Sunday School*, London, 1890; *From 1890 to 1895: London and Sunday School*, London, 1895; *From 1895 to 1900: London and Sunday School*, London, 1900.

struggle with Napoleon and into commercial expansion. When interest in history revived, it came in the form of the Oxford movement (see TRACTARIANISM), so that the critical question was still further postponed. English orthodox thought entrenched and intact down to 1860. The assault was opened by *Zenky and Review* (1860), of J. Harcourt's brilliant sketch in *The Creed of a Layman*, London, 1867, was continued by *Kece Home* in 1865, and in 1874 touched its climax in *Supernatural Religion*.

The title of the book places it in close connection with eighteenth-century deism. It is an assault upon "supernatural" religion. Since that religion connected itself inseparably with miracles, the purpose of the book is to bring the "supernatural" to the ground by knocking the miraculous underpinning from beneath it.

"I cannot that the historical argument against miracles... The preliminary affirmation is not that miracles are impossible, but that they are extraneously incredible. The counter-assertion is that, although miracles may be extraneously incredible, they are intrinsically not so. It is a historical argument, not one to establish an antecedent incredibility, but to examine the validity of the objection that certain miracles occurred, and that therefore the historical inquiry into the evidence for the Gospels" (London, 1874, p. 10).

The purpose is to show that the canonical Gospels are not far removed in time from the events they record that they lose all competence as witnesses to the reality of the miracles. The author concludes that by thus toppling off the "supernatural" from the religion of Jesus, the spiritual majesty and the moral sublimity of Christ would alone stand forth with beauty and clarity.

"Inevitably most people exercise criticism. It is only when we clearly recognize that the Bible is not in any essential sense the word of God, that we can possibly have any hope of a rational criticism. We can only do this when we are in a position to see the truth of the Bible from within, and unimpeded by the light of Christian history, we may say, and without the obvious elements added to it by human superstition." (p. 489).

Zenky and Review had not touched the question of the supernatural in the life of Christ, but confined its specific criticism to the Old Testament. *Supernatural Religion*, on the contrary, touched the very heart of Christianity by annihilating the supernatural in the life of Christ. English orthodox had learned nothing from Germany. It was not willing to learn anything from Germany. So the attack caused profound emotional alarm. The strength of the book was due first of all to the facts that its author carried the art of the popularizer to a high pitch of perfection, and that he used his art in the interest of a dogmatic thesis. As a historical critic he took his responsibility quite as lightly as the positive dogmatist on the other side took his. His art was not cramped or confined by original hearing. He was fairly well read in German critical scholarship—his accomplishment decidedly rare in the English of that day. His book is part of the German invasion of England. But while he found the conclusions of German scholarship in sweeping and undiscriminating ways, he was altogether lacking in the intellectual restraints of first-hand know-

ledge. And he rode the argument from atheism till his back was raw.

HENRY S. NASH.

BRADSHAW, H. H. *Religion, The Way of Salvation and the Path of Duty, a Study of the Supernatural Element, and Christianity, with a Review of the Philosophical Principles of the Supernatural Religion.* (London, 1874.) C. A. Rose, *The Supernatural in the New Testament: Its Origin, Growth, and Extinction.* (London, 1875.) H. J. Taylor, *The Last Judgment as a Symbol.* (London, 1876.) *Supernatural Religion* (reviewed by Anson), B. 1876. J. B. Lightfoot, *Review of "Supernatural Religion."* (London, 1876.) (generally regarded as a complete refutation.) Many of the later works are the criticisms of the Gospels. See under GOSPELS AND GOSPELISM.

SUPERSTITION. See NATURALISM AND SUPERNATURALISM.

SUPERSTITION.

Definition (1). Etymology, Biblical and Other Uses (12). Historical Uses in Religion (13). Basic Ignorance, Credulity (14). Characteristics and Fear of Factions (15). Historical Ethics (16). Present Superstitions (17). Present Superstitions (18). Contributions to Development (19).

Superstition may be defined objectively as either the aggregate of erroneous beliefs and practices current which may be traced to a combination of certain causes with feeble will, ill-controlled emotions, and ignorance more or less complete; or, subjectively, as any single act or belief which bears those marks. Subjectively, it is a mental attitude, "a phytetic instinctive desire to believe in certain causal relations which have not been and can not be proved, certainly or logically to exist" (F. B. Dornath, *Superstition and Education in University of California Publications*, V, 1, p. 141, n.p., 1907).

The essential error of superstition is therefore in part the misapprehension of the source of causation. Psychologically, superstition is often the result of inability or unwillingness to carry on sustained thinking, involving a consequent readiness to accept as correct certain conclusions which have been handed down without being tested as to their accuracy. From this same psychological standpoint, according to scientific investigation, subjective superstition, or the tendency to accept quasi-causal as effective, is in part an emotional credulity inherited from earlier periods. It is a species of atavism, inasmuch as inherited mental reactions are not easily changed, not only in this fact a partial explanation of the persistence of superstition even where education has in other respects produced its effects, but also a partial palliation of the individual moral culpability of those who, though educated, still entertain beliefs of this character. More objectively, and from the standpoint of religion, superstition is a belief that is erroneous in that it asserts a causal connection between supernatural powers and events, real or conceived, in the world of sense. It thus not only involves ignorance of the laws of nature, but offends the enlightened reason in that it opposes those dicta of revelation which have found the securest sanction in human experience and consciousness.

Slight advance is made toward a comprehension of the subject by a study of the etymology and equivalents of the word, though a development in meaning is traceable. The Latin *superstitio* (super and *stitio*, "to stand still over or by a thing," cf. the *UK. dissuperstitio*, "fear of the gods"; *BYRONIC*, religious feeling"; *GRÆC. ABERGHEIDOLICUS*, for *ABERGHEIDOLICUS*, "belief in certain Other gods"; *DUTCH*, *gheghe*) expressed "religious belief," and was opposed to *religio*, "a proper, reasonable sense of the gods" (*CICERO*, *De natura deorum*, I, 42, 117, II, 28, 72). It developed so as to mean a religious performance over and above what custom and the nature of the case required, or one which was not recognized by proper authority. In the authorized version of the New Testament the word and its derivative "superstitious" both occur. In Acts xvii, 22, in the celebrated address of Paul on Mars Hill at Athens, "superstitious" translates wrongly the Greek *θεοδιδασκαλική* (II, V, margin, "religious"). It is to be noted that an unfavorable meaning is not to be ascribed here, since it is not likely that Paul would have proffered his case by charging his hearers, whom he wished to conciliate, with "superstition." In Acts xv, 19, "superstition" translates the Greek original *θεοδιδασκαλική*, though exactly what term *Festus* employed (as he probably did) is of course not known. But, as in the former case, *Festus* would hardly have gratuitously offered offense to Agrippa and the Jews by calling the religion of the latter "superstitious," the word does not occur in the English Bible. The meaning the word has taken in modern times follows a different construction of its etymological elements, and embodies the idea of "something surviving" or "something left over from an earlier and less advanced stage of culture." This meaning is itself an explanation of many of the concrete facts of superstition—they are survivals from earlier usage or belief which persist against the progressions of an enlightening reason. At the same time it is not precluded either in fact or theory that new "superstitions" arise from time to time.

In close connection with the usage just noted is that according to which the word is employed by adherents of one faith to characterize the religious beliefs and practices of adherents of another faith, particularly those of a dead religion. 3. Historical Factum ("Annals," xv, 44) speaks of "Usage in the religion which had sprung from Religion." "Christian, who had been put to death by..." *Festus* stated, as established, "pernicious superstition." On the other hand the equipment was returned when, under Christian influence a couple of centuries later, magistrates and worship were so denominated. Thus Constantine in a law of 316 speaking of the magistrates of Rome, says: "They who are desirous of using slaves to their superstitions, have liberty for the public exercise of their worship" (*Code de Théodosien*, IX, xv, 1-3), only a little afterward

practically defining superstition as *præterita superstio*, "antiquated usage." Even within the bounds of the same religion great, indeed, irreconcilable, differences exist of what constitutes superstition. Thus to Protestants very many Roman Catholic beliefs and observances what constitutes superstition. Among those may be named the veneration of the dead, the adoration of images, the cult centering about the Virgin Mary and the saints, particularly the belief in such phenomena as many alleged to have taken place at Lourdes and Loretto (qq.v.), together with the strongly entrenched regard for relics, such as the Holy Coat (qq.v.). Yet to the devout Roman Catholic some of these things belong to the very arena of the religion, and doubt of them seems little if at all short of blasphemy. Another illustration, which comes from the same region is the Roman Catholic belief concerning the liquefaction of the blood of St. Januarius (qq.v.), regarded by those of that faith as a recurrent miracle, while scientific men see in the explanation of the alleged miracle, and point to the fact that analysis of the contents of the vial is refused. Of course the guardianship of the relic. Agreement upon this point is unattainable. The difficulty is not to reduce the belief that incantations as practiced in the cult of Asklepios (cf. Mary Hamilton, *Incantations, or the Cure of Diseases in Egypt, Greece and Christian Churches*, London, 1906) resulted in cures, though it is claimed that cures result from the practice as maintained in connection with certain saints in Roman Catholic churches in and near Naples, at Amalfi, and elsewhere, as well as under the Greek Church of Mary Hannon, at sup. pp. 109 sqq.). And the case is still more complicated by the fact that psychologists maintain the entire probability of many cases of cures under both pagan and Christian auspices, and offer what they deem scientific explanation of the alleged cures.

On its subjective side or as a mental attitude superstition seems to spring from four roots: (1) Ignorance, combined with the exceedingly prevalent and characteristically primitive fallacy of post hoc propter hoc, is a fundamental cause. Man has, so far as his inclinations show, always sought 4. Bases: for the reasons of events, but in his ignorance; lack of knowledge of real causes has Credulity, often linked things causally which are not so connected. Thus to give an example of savage logic, the breaking of the skull of an ancestor east ashore from a wreck on the western coast of Africa having been followed by the death of the man who committed the act, his associates regarded the ancestor as a deity which had been offended by the mutilation and had punished the evil-doer, and they therefore did reverence to the ancestor as to a god (cf. E. B. Tylor, *Primitive Culture*, II, 143 sqq., London, 1871). The customary bathing in a pool being followed by a successful affection, the natives of the region regarded the pool as the haunt of a deity which was offended by the invasion and looked upon the disease as the

penalty for the breach of the divinity's rights (cf. Dyer, *op. cit.*, p. 209 sq.). In like manner, the fact that contact with a claid, or with some article belonging to him, had preceded some calamity to the person who touched him led to the belief that the claid and his possessions were taboo (see COMPARATIVE RELIGION, VI, 1, c), from which belief has unquestionably resulted the death of many natives of Australia, New Zealand, and other regions (*Old New Zealand*, by a Pakeha Maori, pp. 83, 84-97; London, 1884; A. S. Thomson, *The Story of New Zealand*, I, 110, B, 1892). Thus, in some respects, superstition takes the form of pseudo-science. The cases are illustrative, and also representative of an enormous body of facts in human history; they serve to open up the wide range of primitive and later superstitions, including the practices of necromancy, talismans, magic, fetichism, sacrifice, and the like (see COMPARATIVE RELIGION, FETTERINGS).

(2) Involved in the foregoing is a credulity from which enlightened reason offers the only escape. This primitive and early man should accept either explanations which occurred to him in accordance with the methods of logic just expounded, or those which tradition had supplied, was to be expected. Science, in the sense of careful induction, is a very modern product, and in the acquisition even, yet comparatively few. As a consequence, credulity is one of the most persistent traits of the mass of mankind, and those who exhibit it are perhaps proportionally almost as numerous in Christendom as elsewhere. As a striking example of this it is possible to cite the testimony of a clergyman and vicar of Dr. C. A. Briggs (q.v.) for heresy in 1893 to the effect that his mother-in-law had used to keep a bottle on the doorstep to keep out the witches. This custom is as yet very common. Still more common and productive of corresponding actions is the belief in the validity of signs and omens, such as the fall of the weather vane from the habitation of the horse of the new moon, or in prophecies and cures of various sorts such as that which regards a cure or preventive of rheumatism the carrying in the pocket of a stone potato, or as a cure for warts the rubbing of the same with a piece of stolen bacon rind (which is then to be buried). No reason adequate to the alleged effects can be assigned for the assumed cures, and induction finds no inevitability in such antecedents and consequences. So that credulity is to be charged with a part at least in the continuance of superstition. It is important to note in this connection that credulity is a mass communicable. A superstitious person, who is almost invariably dogmatic in his attitude, easily communicates and diffuses his anticipations or his dread and wins new adherents for his theories.

(3) The native conservatism of the human mind lends itself to the acceptance and retention of explanations or statements when once

5. **Conserve**—they have become current. The antiquity and theory of tradition is potent, and what fear as the fathers believed is often for that fathers' reason since taboo or fact. So that in this aspect superstition is an externalization of the individual conservatism of the man.

(4) Fear is also an element. Dread of what may

happen often overcomes "common sense," and a person who lives may even in the present under this influence do that which he will in other circumstances hesitate to acknowledge. The emotions are in modern life, and with the utmost certainty have always been, the strongest element in superstition. Faith is "fear" in certain "instinctive" in spite of the pronouncement of reason against them and of the mandate of the will not to receive them. Thus, as stated by Drexler (*op. cit.*, p. 150), "the will to believe" and the reason for believing are both impeded when opposed by a well-developed feeling to believe." In other words, the emotions may override both reason and will. It is susceptible of proof that fear, as an emotion, is in part the result of certain physical conditions. This is illustrated by the fact that at night, when what psychologists call the subnormal and more primitive physical forces are to the front and man's rational and higher faculties are less subconsciously situated, the stress of superstitious fear is accentuated. Similarly, physical or mental or moral illness produces conditions favorable to the operation of superstition. Shakespeare noted the effects along this line in his saying, "Conscience does make cowards of us all" (*Hamlet*, III, 1, 83). In this respect superstition, like Hysteria (q.v.), belongs, so far as it is religious, to the pathology of religion, and altogether to the pathology of psychology.

Only the most suggestion, comparatively, of the self-awareness and the harmful effects of superstition in history can be afforded here. A brief summary of the story is given as follows in J. G. Fraser's *People's Faith* (p. 1, London, 1909): "It (superstition) has sacrificed countless thousands of untold treasures, embroiled nations, severed friends, parted husbands and wives, parents and children, putting swords, and worse than swords, between them: it has filled goals and mad-killers' pits with its innocent or deluded idol victims: it has broken many hearts, embittered the whole of many a life, and, not content with persecuting the living it has pursued the dead into the grave beyond it, glowing over the horrors which its fool imaginations has conjured up to avenge and cursethe survivors." How numerous its ramifications and products have been is merely hinted in the following list of subjects given as cross-references in a public-library catalogue card: Alchemy, apparitions, astrology, charms, delusions, demonology, devil-worship, divination, evil eye, fetichism, folk-lore, legends, magic, mythology, occult sciences, oracles, palmistry, riddles, second sight, seer, spiritism, spiritualism, natural, totems, and witchcraft. And this list is most incomplete. This force has pervaded all provinces of life from the cradle to the grave, and, as Fraser says, beyond. It establishes customs as binding as taboo, dictates forms of worship and prayer, and, obscure the imagination and leads it to create a world of demons and hosts of lesser spirits and ghosts and demons, and inspires to fear and even worship of them. It has, even under Christianity, sought and received the sanction of the Church, in the affirmation of Thomas Aquinas (Quodlibeta, xi, 10) regarding Witchcraft (q.v.) and

in the bull *Sommo desiderantes* of Innocent VIII, issued in 1484 commanding the clergy to assist in hunting out and punishing witches, and giving papal authority to belief in sorcery, magic, and other horrible fictions of the diabolical imagination (text of the bull in *Bolton Documents*, pp. 200-201; cf. further, Schaff, *Christian Church*, v, 1, pp. 879 sq., and 2, pp. 514 sq.). And with equal force is the use of the Shako, from the time of Hammurabi (q.v., II, 2) to the Sulu Law (q.v., 2; *Eng. transl.*, in F. A. Ogg, *Source Book*, p. 64, New York, 1906), and even much later (see *China*, I, 9).

It established the postpositive distinction between "white magic and black" a distinction which seems to have arisen in all grades of civilization. Its deadliest power, perhaps, is that by which it acquires influence over the common affairs of everyday life, stifling initiative, stagnating thought, poisoning the intellect, and subjecting activities to the imagined effects of chance happenings with which they have no relation.

The statement just made may be exemplified by reference to the list of current superstitions culled by the inductive study of the subject by Drexler in his work already cited. The study was conducted upon the basis of questions submitted

7. **Present to students of normal schools (above Super**—fore adults or adolescents) in California stations. In the twentieth century. Things with which superstitions were connected were named as follows: *ash, bread and butter; tea and coffee; plants and fruit; fire, lightning, rainbow, the moon, the stars; babies, birds, owls, peacocks, and their feathers; chickens, cats, dogs, cows, sheep, swine, horses, rabbits, rats, frogs and toads, fish, crickets, spiders, snakes, lizards, turtles, wolves, bees, dragon flies; chairs and tables, clocks, mirrors, spoons, knives and forks, pointed instruments, pins, hairpins, combs, umbrellas (mostly unlucky); candles, matches, tea-spoons, brooms, shadoballs, handkerchiefs, gardening tools, ladders, horseboots, hay; days of the week and various festivals or fairs, especially Halloween, birthdays; various numbers, counting, laughing, singing, crying; starting on a journey and turning back, two persons simultaneously saying the same thing, passing in at one door and out at another, walking on opposite sides of a path, stepping on cracks, meeting, crossing hands while shaking hands, use of windows as exits, stumbling; falling of nails, eyes, nose, ear, or foot; warts, moles; various articles of dress, shoes, precious stones, amulets and charms, rings, money; wish bones; death and funerals, dreams, spiritisms, weddings, and initials. Of course, even this long list is most incomplete and might be expanded indefinitely. The practical significance of the beliefs registered in connection with these various beliefs or actions is that activities and procedures are supposed to be governed by them—action is inhibited or inhibited according as the "sign" is favorable or unfavorable. A slavish wish respect to action is thus shown which ought to be anomalous in enlightened Christendom, and yet is manifested as current.*

A graver fact than the preceding is involved in the slavery of thought which is a consequence of

the attention paid to "signs" and "omens." The function of the Church as an educator and the large field which it has opened being the

8. **Present subject in this aspect into view with**—Common relation to religious duty. Knowledge of the actual work of the Church warrants the statement that not sufficient attention is paid to this side of the Church's maintenance mission. The proclamation of the mind by such superstitious faiths can but retard the acceptance not merely of scientific but of religious truth. The very springs of healthy mental and spiritual perception are poisoned while such trivialities are permitted to control the sources of action. That such effects are very far-reaching, even to the control in a measure of business concerns of immense importance, is shown by the fact that some great corporations expect in transoceanic transportation avoid Friday as a day of sailing, this custom being undoubtedly due in considerable part to the custom of ancient superstition as to the misfortune which surely awaits the voyagers who set out on that day. And another consequence which is not palpable is that by such beliefs imposture is encouraged, while hosts of quacks in medicine, palmistry, fortune-tellers, and "wizards" flourish on the credulity of the ignorant and deluded, at the same time that these beliefs are spread because the impostors are interpreted as wisdom by the victims and new strength is furnished to superstitious growths. Of the results in loss of life in moon backward commotions such as Russia and even Ireland, of sacrifices and cruelties practiced even in the latter part of the nineteenth century and indeed in the city of New York itself, there is not space here to treat. How terrible the current beliefs and the almost contemporary consequences are may be discovered from the accounts in the *Popular Science Monthly* for 1898-99, pp. 207-218, of numbers, e.g., of helpless infants supposed to be fairly changelings in the last part of the nineteenth century. And if at such a period events can occur such as are there recounted, the imagination must fail to portray what has happened in the darker ages of human history.

It is therefore an argument for the perpetuation of superstition that some fruits of good have resulted from its existence, such as those indicated by J. G. Fraser (in *People's Faith*, et.). Examination of savage and barbarous life reveals that, for instance, the institution of taboo, g. **Conti**—founded essentially upon superstition, has contributed to the development of a national type, and in this way has contributed to the development of the body politic and consequently to society at large. By this means the will of the individual has which certain lines have subjected to what is recognized as a common good, a basis for a partially altruistic practice has been laid, and the exercise of self-denial has been fostered. In a similar way respect for private property has been enforced under fear of penalty impending from superstitious persons. In certain stages of development the suggestion and protection of the rights of ownership were

necessary in order to an attitude toward communal affairs which should make progress possible. And though this end was not consciously present, the historical effects are unquestioned. Another social institution, that of the family, has experienced some degree of unmaking under the protection of certain superstitions touching the victims of the sexes. It can not be doubted that the pantheism and hank of man have been restrained. In part, it is probably, under instinctive impressions that hank was injurious which registered themselves as superstitions, under the influence of which what is now known to be immorally was decreased, e.g., penalty of infidelity to marriage was imagined which checked indulgence and the welfare of society was thereby served. In a fourth way, namely, by holding about the life of man and by introducing the fear of taking that life because of the possibility which would follow, the respect and honor for life itself upon which in a large measure present human society is founded has gradually been built up. The superstitions which have accompanied these results are as varied as the peoples among which they have existed; and under them and out of them men have changed in character from savage and barbarism into something higher and more enduring. These facts are, however, no plea for the continuance of illogical or irrational practices. To end them both the Church, with all its auxiliaries, and the State, employing especially educational means and processes, are obligated; the aim is to encourage man to honor his Creator by the intelligent and rational use of his powers against the retention of customs or beliefs which are impeded by reason and by a lofty faith in God.

Supernaturalism. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*. **Supper**. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

acts of Henry VIII, and it voted the fullest ecclesiastical jurisdiction in the crown. It prescribed for all holding office in Church or State an oath recognizing the Queen as "the only Supreme Governor of this Realm as well in all spiritual and ecclesiastical things or causes, as temporal," and provided penalties for those refusing to take it. **SURIN**, or **SAINT-LAURENT**, German Calvinist; b. at Lebeck in 1522; d. at Cologne May 23, 1578. Probably of Protestant parentage, he was educated at Frankfurt-on-the-Oder and at Cologne, where he became acquainted with Petrus Caninius; was won to Roman Catholicism, and, in 1541, became a Cardinal. All his subsequent life was characterized by his zeal for the extension of the rules of his order, and, in his writings, by his passionate enthusiasm for his church and his violent hatred of the Reformation. Among his works was a *Compendium Breve rerum in ore veterum ab anno 1560 usque in annum 1568* (Cologne, 1569), which was continued by others to 1673, and was in reply to Johann Suckla's Protestant *Compendium de rebus religiosis et politicis*. *Contra Quendam Casum* (Strasbourg, 1555), a famous history of the Reformation. He also wrote *Henricus non novus protestantismum ecclesie doctorum in exemplum esse non debet* (1567), and *Contra amicos* (1567). His main work was the *Vita sanctissimi* (6 vols., 1570-75), republished with an additional volume under the title, *De protestantismo historicis* (1618; 12 vols., Turin, 1878), which was recognized by the Bollandists as the best production of their own hagiology (ASB). (O. ZOCKENH.)

Supper. See *Table*. **Supper**. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.

Supper. The one work discussing speculation from the scientific point of view is *Science and the Supernatural*, by F. C. S. Schiller, London, 1925. The literature giving the superstitions of nations passes in extremely brief and no statement is made here to exhaust the list. Only some works on comparative mythology are cited. The list of superstitions under Supernaturalism, Magic, and Witchcraft are of some interest. See also *The Supernatural in History*, by J. G. Frazer, London, 1911. **Supper** (or dinner). See *Table*.



423 sqq. On Sverdrup's life and works consult: C. Schmidt, in *FTK*, 1943, pp. 355 sqq.; F. Bratka, *Reform Dan, Sverdrup*, 1943; *The Life of Sverdrup*, *From the Memoirs*, trans. from the Danish by F. Bratka, London, 1953; *The Progression of the Organization of Diocese for 1960*, by Sverdrup, S. Skjerve, in *Protestant Tidsskrift*, 1960, pp. 137 sqq.; F. Billewerg, *Die Kirche Christi in den Lapen*, with 1 map, Helsingør, 1973; W. Prager, *Entschloß der Apostel Matthäus zum Münster*, 2, 209 sqq., Leipzig, 1911; E. Vetter, *Das Hochschiffen des J. Sverdrup*, *Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte*, 1912, Dresden, 1914; S. Sverdrup, *Die Evangelien im evangelischen Leben* (Lithograph over ecclesiastical Pressen, Dorpat, 1899); K. Jäger, *Reform und Kirche*, *Zeitschrift für Kirchengeschichte*, 1914, 1915; A. Vindbo, *From the Mountains*, 1, 343 sqq., 2nd ed., London, 1912; *Journal of the Christian Church*, v. 1, pp. 272-274, 282 sqq.; *ADG*, xxviii, 189 sqq.; *KL*, v. 1751-29.

SUSPENSION. See JEANSELECTION, ECCLESIASTICAL, I., 1, 5.

SUTEL, s'ed, JOHANN. German Reformer; b. at Altmünchheim near Melchingen (50 m. s.w. of Gießen) 1504; d. at Northain (48 m. s.e. of Hannover) Aug. 26, 1575. In 1518 he went to Erfurt to study, and after the completion of his education became rector in Melchingen. In 1520 he was called to Göttingen as Evangelical preacher. At first he preached at the Church of St. Nicholas; later he received the parish of St. John as Evangelical superintendent. In 1542 Landgrave Philip of Hesse called him to Schweinfurt to introduce the Reformation there, where he formulated a church order for the city under the title, *Kirchenordnung Roms Erlernen* (*Book of the Holy Spirit*, *Stadt Schweinfurt*, 1543) but the outbreak of the Schmalkalden War compelled his flight from the city in 1547. After a short activity as pastor in Almdorf (1547-48), he became again preacher in Göttingen at the congregation of St. Alban (1548-52). In 1553 he accepted a call to the Church of St. Sixtus at Northain, where he labored until his death. He published *Artikel wider die papstliche Yde* in Göttingen (1531); *Das Evangelium von der grossen erbarlichen Gerechtigkeit Jerusalems* (Wittenberg), with a preface by Luther; *Historia von Leuzen*, and den *XI. Kirchlichen Grundpfeilern* (*Johannis gespen*) (1543).

(**FACTS FOR BIOGRAPHERS**)
REFERENCES: P. Teubner, *Johann Sutel*, *Denkschrift*, 1891; H. C. Beck, *Historical Dictionary*, 1841 (entry only for the Reformation period).

SVERDRUP, Sverdrup, JAKOB LIV ROSTED. Norwegian clergyman and statesman; b. in Christiania Mar. 27, 1845; d. in Bergen June 11, 1899. He was graduated from Niwara School (U.S.A., 1864) and from the University of Christiania (Candidate in Theology, 1867). A traveling scholarship from the government enabled him to study the people's high schools in Denmark (see Grevdalen) which proved profitable to him when he organized a like school in Sogndal. These institutions pay special attention to influencing the personality of young men and women, instilling an affection for country and mother-tongue. The attempt is not to train the pupils for any particular position in life or for examination, but to fit the pupils by general culture for whatever sphere of life they are called upon to enter. Sverdrup taught in such a school, 1871-

1878; in 1878 he was appointed parish priest for Leikanger in Sogn. He had already become a power in local politics and in 1870 had been elected member of the Storting, in which he held a seat until 1884.

During those years of service in the Storting he was an active worker in the committee on ecclesiastical matters. In 1864 when Johan Sverdrup, Norway's greatest statesman, was elected prime minister, Jakob Sverdrup, his nephew, was made a member of the cabinet, and in 1882-83 he was chief of the department for ecclesiastical affairs and public instruction. To him Norway owes the adoption of two new series of psalters (1887), and of a new liturgy and book of worship (1889). Through his efforts the State came to permit a greater latitude in using the churches. When a new ministry was formed, 1890, Jakob Sverdrup got a well-earned respite as legislator. He was appointed parish priest in Bergen, which now elected him member of the Storting, where he served 1892-97. After a schism in the liberal political party, he became one of the leaders of the moderate wing. Twice he was requested by the king to form a new ministry—the existing union with Sweden was the burning question—but he could not comply with the king's wishes because of political opposition at home. In 1885 he was a second time appointed member of the cabinet and chief of the department for ecclesiastical matters. With his gift of organizing, fine political intuition (a family hereditary), and his great learning, he was instrumental in having passed a number of salutary measures regarding churches, cemeteries, salaries of the clergy, etc. With the resignation of the entire ministry Feb. 17, 1893, he retired from political life, and was appointed bishop of Bergen. But before he could be consecrated, a painful disease, which kept him confined after Mar. 1898, terminated his life.

Perhaps no one has worked so faithfully and aggressively to give the State Church of Norway a liberal form of self-government. He followed the plan, originated by his father, of building up the Norwegian church on a national basis true to the ideas of the Reformation. As leader of the democratic element in the western part of Norway he was a strong opponent of High-church bureaucracy as well as of the anti-Christian literary movements which were undermining the morals of the Norwegian people. An illustration of the first was his continuous opposition to the High-church conception of the office of the ministry held by J. N. Skaar, later bishop.

By his translations of French and German religious works, by his sermons, essays, lectures—centered in an immense amount of printed matter, too large to be covered here—but none in familiar to every household in Norway. He was coeditor of *My Luthers Kirkeord*, 1877-81. Of special interest to the American reader is an article on the oldest Norwegian theological school in America, Augsburg Seminary, founded 1869, now quite Anglican, in *Luthers Kirkeord*, 1875, no. 14. Likewise his *Førlesing over Luthers Kirkeord* (1883), an abbreviated edition of his father's opinion of Luther's catechism, which in 1868 passed through

the nineteenth edition. It has been translated into English by H. A. French, *Luther's Small Catechism Explained* (Minneapolis, 1900). JOSEPH O. EYRE. **BRUNNENBERG**, J. B. HATTEMER, *Neue Predigt-Lehrbuch*, 7, 827 pp., Christiania, 1901 (contains a complete list of his works).

SWEDEN.

- I. History.
- 1. The Missionary Period (900-1100).
- 2. The Roman Catholic Period.
- 3. Christianization.
- 4. The League of Fereer (13).
- 5. The League of the Three Kingdoms with the Hanseatic League (14).
- 6. The Later Period.
- 7. The Reformation (1520-1611) (1).
- 8. Ecclesiastical Organization and Catechism (1611-1700).
- 9. The Eighteenth Century and the Nineteenth Century (1700-1850).
- 10. II. Statistics.
- 11. III. Swedish Theology of the Nineteenth Century.

Sweden is a kingdom constituting the eastern side of the Scandinavian peninsula in northwestern Europe. It has an area of 172,870 square miles and a population (1909) of 2,476,441.

I. History. 1. **The Missionary Period (900-1100):** In the beginning of the ninth century, the Norse religion had assumed a strong monotheistic tendency. Thor and Odin had acquired preeminence over the other gods, who, on the other hand, were multiplied in the direction of polytheism. This twofold tendency prepared the soil for the reception of Christ, and Sweden was one of the few heathen countries in which missionary activity took its initiative from the natives themselves. The belief in heathen deities was not in dissonance, but the proclamation of Christ was not in the eyes of the Scandinavians necessarily limited to their system of religion, so that everywhere old Norse representations, with little alteration, could be transplanted to the soil of triumphant Christianity. It was a matter of outward form between the strength of Christ and the ancient gods. This is why, all through the Middle Ages, old national ideas and beliefs endeavored to reshape the Roman Catholic Church. Great political interests had a share in Christianizing Sweden, influencing the ending of the first missionary, Ansgar (q. v.), in 830. Sweden became one of the first nations to give over to the ninth and tenth centuries, taking part in the wars in western Europe; Denmark at times under its control; and the Russian Kingdom was established by Sweden (Rus) under Rurik about 860. Sweden was also in close relation with the Byzantine court at this time, and of such importance as to attract missionary zeal. The missionary history falls into three periods. The first consists of incipient sporadic efforts for 150 years under the archbishop of Hamburg-Bremen. After Ansgar's death in 865 his work was carried on by Rimbert. Of the succeeding archbishops Ulfred seems to have been most active in the Swedish mission, and died while on a visit to Birka in 968. There were probably few Christians in this period; the mission carried no influence upon national interests; the chief end seems to have been to bring Sweden under German domination and culture.

With the beginning of the eleventh century external conditions changed. Christianized Denmark had obtained inner stability; with the help of England King Olaf Trygvason (909-1000) of Norway had Christianized his countrymen; and after the death of Sweden (1000), Svein (Svein II, the Redoubtable King of Denmark), who had brought England under his yoke (1016), annexed part of Norway. His son Knut the Great, or Canute, bred in England, introduced English interests. On the other hand, the archbishops of Hamburg-Bremen struggled to preserve their northern interests. The work of evangelizing was prosecuted with earnest zeal from two directions (1000-66), with the result that the heathen was won to Christianity. In 1008 Olaf Skottkonung, with many of his nobles, was baptized as Henry in West Gothland, in spite of the fact that he and his successors retained their office as chief defenders of the heathen worship and of the national temple at Uppsala. It is disputed whether Sigfrid, who baptized Olaf, was German or English, but the fact remains that West Gothland, bordering on Norway, first received Christianity from Norway, probably through the English priest, Sigurd, who is almost certainly the same as Sigfrid, and in this manner came to be one of the greatest saints of Sweden. He also preached in Svalbard, where he is venerated as the founder of the church in Vestfi. One effect of the heathen of Christianity among the people was the awakening of individual consciousness; there arose a desire to send down the names of their dead to posterity. Most of the runic inscriptions date from this period; and these also show that Christianity was now spreading to East Gothland, and as far as Svealand. But West Gothland was its head source, and the first bishopric was continually created there at Skara (Thurgot was first bishop of Skara, c. 1025). Those called bishops in Skara at this time were really missionary bishops. King Olaf and his sons, Anund and Edmund, carried on a very prudent religious policy, exercising no pressure to hasten the conversion. The Swedish mission developed more rapidly after Adalbert became archbishop of Bremen, and he succeeded in maintaining the dominance of German influence; Adalvard I. and Adalvard II., ordained by him, introduced Christianity to the north, the former to Varmland, the latter to Siguna in Uppland, which later became the chief seat of the church in North Sweden. John the Monk was bishop of Birka, the first monastic known to have worked in Sweden after the time of Ansgar; and another German missionary, went to Heddingland and became the apostle of the Lapps, and a runic record that Jemtland was now Christianized. The first church was built in Gothland and around it the town of Visby grew. Anund, a relative of Sigurd, who had obtained access to King Edmond, sought in Rome to obtain ordination independently of Bremen, but Adalbert thwarted his plan.

The year of the Norman conquest in England, 1066, witnessed the downfall of Adalbert and the severing of the relations with Germany, through the reaction of heathenism in the German colonies. All attempts, therefore, to unite Sweden with the interests of the German Empire were at an end. The

pop's desire was to free the north from Bremen and attach it to his interest. Gregory VII was the pope to interfere directly in Swedish affairs (two letters addressed to King Inge, 1085 and 1087, inviting him to send ambassadors and contributions to Rome). Stenkil, the last king capable of holding together the kingdom, died in 1066, and the national assembly was dissolved for a century. The antagonism between the provinces became more marked (according to some, between two zones, the Svea, or Sweden, and the Götia); the more prominent provinces, West Gothland, East Gothland, and Upland, had each its royal stock, although for a short time Stenkil's successors, who were of West Gothland, maintained a certain preeminence over the rest of the country. They were Christian, but had not the wise tolerance of their predecessors; and they the opposition to the practically heathen Svealand and the Uppsala temple became more intense. The people there demanded that the king should preside over the heathen sacrificial worship. This demand was turned to good account by the missionaries in spreading the Gospel. East and West Gothland were in 1100, the chief stronghold of Christianity, and Svealand now joined them. According to legend, David was the apostle to Westmanland, and Ekdal and David were the apostles to Södermanland; and they all came from England or had been educated there. Arnulf, archbishop of Canterbury, who upheld the papacy, became interested in Sweden, and it was partly due to him that Lund was made the see of an archbishop of the northern countries in 1104, although Sweden's formal dependence on Bremen was not dissolved until 1160. English bishops were also sent to Skara. The downfall of the ancient gods was due to the work of the English missionaries; finally, in Upland, where Siguna was the seat of a bishopric; and by 1130 Sweden may be considered a Christian country.

2. **The Roman Catholic Period:** A brief period (1120-60) of national dissolution closed these internal conflicts simultaneously with the weakening of English aggression by interior disturbances at home; this appears to have been especially favorable to plans for Roman Catholic colonization in Sweden. Almost all institutions which were favorable to the Church and to the culture of the Middle Ages entered at this time or strengthened their position. Behind the work of organization was the strong hand of Archbishop Ekdal of Lund (1127-78). Bishoprics were established in Skara, Leifving, Uppsala (removed from Siguna), Strängnäs, Westerdale, and later, Westra, first mentioned in 1183; also, in Finland, the last in the Middle Ages, and they belonged to the Cistercian branch of the Cistercian order. The most important of these were Alvastra in East Gothland, 1143, and Nydala in Svalbard, 1144. Pope Eugenius III attempted to make Sweden an independent church province. As legate he sent Nicholas Breakepear, afterward Pope Adrian IV, who, after erecting Norway into an archbishopric, called the Synod of Lambeth in 1152. Owing to disagreement on the primacy, the plan failed.

Archbishop Ekdal received the pallium intended for Sweden, and the Danish Lund obtained the primacy over Sweden. As a token of Swedish dependence on the Church of Rome, the synod derived the annual contribution of Peter's pence. The first missionary crusade was a sign that the Church was awakening to self-consciousness. King Eric of Upland, the rival of King Swever of East Gothland for the national throne, undertook a crusade, in 1160, to heathen Finland, where, in the northwestern part, a mighty work of conversion was carried on. It is impossible to determine whether there was a political motive behind this, but Eric acquired fame above all other Swedes as a warrior of God; and when, shortly after, he was assassinated by a Danish pretender to the throne, he was crowned as a martyr, and thus became patron saint of Sweden. He was revered also in Denmark and Germany. Equal reverence was accorded in Sweden to the Norwegian Saint Olaf, in the earlier Middle Ages. The establishment of an archbishopric at Uppsala in 1164 was the culmination of the work of establishing the Roman Catholic Church; and Sweden became a self-governing church province. This was the result of the Gregorian policy of Alexander III, who feared the growth of large ecclesiastical dioceses; and it was a powerful obstacle to Frederick I. in attaching Sweden to German interests. But the founding of this archbishopric was important as a factor in the individual development of Sweden. The primate of Lund still retained the right to consecrate the archbishops of Uppsala; but the one desire of the Swedish Church was to free itself from this vestige of foreign dependence.

The next period (1164-1300) was that of organization. Karl, the son of Swever, soon gained recognition in Svealand, and Sweden once more became a united kingdom. The ecclesiastical system of law and organization served as prototype for the developing state system; on the other hand, it was indispensable to the inner organization of the newly established church with respect to the requirements of canonical law. The archbishop of Sweden became the king's main support, and Sweden's political unity was confirmed by the establishment of the archbishopric. The descendants of Swever and Eric, reigning alternately for sixty years, both depended on the support of the Church, which, independent of their disputes, could, with their assistance, erect new edifices. The joint pope, Alexander III, issued a number of decretals to the king and bishop of Sweden, and two letters (1171) may be considered the earliest basic laws of the Swedish Church. Ecclesiastical jurisdiction in criminal cases was demanded for the clergy, and canonical testaments were to be admitted, no more. A conflict ensued between canonical and old Germanic legal views. By 1200 the priests were universally exempt from secular jurisdiction in criminal law. A special priestly status began. In 1219 John I., son of Swever, placed the church property outside the royal penal levy, thus originating ecclesiastical freedom from taxation. At the instance of the pope, the establishment of

cathedral chapters in the episcopal sees was begun; about the year 1200 Uppsala had regular canons. This collegiate organization served as a basis for the later development of state law. During the papacy of Innocent III, the king appealed for confirmation to the Church. While Germany and Denmark were making conquests in Livonia, a Swedish crusade set out for Estonia. The long struggle for the supremacy over the Baltic new began. The reign of Eric III. (1229-50), the last of the old dynasty, was the most important period in the organization of the Church, and it would seem as if nearly had been the best soil for its development. The prelates and of the highest institution of councils. Bishop Bengt of Skara, a man of great political foresight, visited Rome in 1220-21, and he established the chapter at Skara, probably the first secular chapter in Sweden. Bishop Bengt of Linköping, his contemporary, established a cathedral chapter in 1222, and began the erection of a magnificent cathedral in Linköping. The chapter at Åbo was founded at this time. Archbishop Jäder (1236-55) returned as a secular chapter the definite chapter at Uppsala, and introduced the mendicant orders. After 1230 mendicant life became a chief factor in the Swedish Church; the rising cities from the beginning of the twelfth century were closely identified with its interests. The Franciscans came to Wisby in 1223, and went from thence to various towns in 1246, and to Uppsala in 1247. The Dominicans in three instances, first established themselves firmly in Skåne, where their cloister became one of the most famous in Sweden, and then founded a scarcely less important one in Skåne. Many orders were built in various towns. A new crusade to Finland was undertaken in 1248. This had been the most powerful man in the country, the king's jarl, Birger, of the old race of the Folkungas. A crusade was now converted. King Eric on the demand of Innocent IV. gave the church legal jurisdiction over certain offenses of the laity, and exempted cathedral property from taxation. The organization of the lower clergy and the episcopal divisions were confirmed. And now Innocent IV, in accordance with his greater political schemes, sent the cardinal-bishop, Wilhelm of Sabina, who understood northern conditions, invested with great authority as cardinal-legate. He knew how to turn the internal troubles to the benefit of the Church. At a provincial synod at Skåne (1248), it was deemed that the clergy be obliged to military; and that the bishop procure and study the last collection of decretals. Innocent IV. implemented the same by an order and the sanction of the king. This was the cornerstone of the Roman Catholic edifice. In the next half-century, ecclesiology was gradually established and the canonical choice of bishops simultaneous with the universal organization of cathedral chapters. The seat of the archbishopric was transferred from Old-Uppsala to Uppsala in 1270, and its incumbent presided over the great

national assemblies. Political events shaped themselves in the interest of the Church. The Folkungar Magnus Ladula overthrew his brother, Waldemar, in 1275; but in return for the assistance of the Church in his coronation had to grant almost all the demands made by Gregory X. in a decretal to Sweden in 1274. By this means, all church property, even the diocesan churches, became exempt from taxation and the legal authority of the Church was extended. The conditions by which the king was bound were nullified at the Synod of Teplé, 1277, which was the most important in the history of the Swedish Church, whose independent position in the kingdom was now complete. Under the protection of Magnus the mendicant orders took on new life and many new cloisters were built, the most important of which were the Franciscan monastery at Riddarholm in Stockholm in 1270, and the monastery of the Poor Clares at the Normalm in Stockholm in 1286. The Franciscans became the most influential order; mendicant monks frequently became bishops. Church instruction, carried out principally by the Dominicans in Skåne, began to improve. Sweden began to study diligently in Paris, where they had a house, 1288. Collection of books were taken to Sweden, and the first Swedish writer of any importance was Petrus de Dacia, a Dominican lector in Skåne (d. 1288). Educated at Cologne he studied under Thomas Aquinas, and was of a deep mystical nature. His language may be taken as a sample of the speech of the thirteenth century. Mysticism in Sweden began with him. In every department the Church advanced under royal protection, which was religiously required; yet the alliance was the seed of future conflict. Progress attained to less power than in the neighboring lands. There was an important question the old Germanic legal point of view, retaining canonical innovation. An important question the Church was long-drawn canonical testament law; and thus a delicate limit was set to the economic extension of the power of the Church. The Swedes maintained their ancient popular right of appointment to the lower ecclesiastical offices in a manner almost unparalleled in church history. A fruit of the national awakening was the establishment of the Swedish organic law which relatively culminated in the granting of the code of 1300. In ecclesiastical specifications this was an apparent compromise with canon law, but in general the basic Swedish character and standards were maintained. The last crusade (1290) effected the conversion of Karelia and occasioned the beginning of the long Russian war. To the climax of order and inner organization was lacking only release from the primacy of Rome; but this came gradually with the close of the thirteenth century when Nils Almqvist, archbishop of Uppsala, received the pallium.

The dominant period of the Church (1300-1448) opens with the report administration of Marshall Torpils Knutsson who represented in Sweden the beginning of political reaction against the preponderance of the Church, which at that time was felt all over Europe, and found its principal representative in Philip the Fair. Greater restrictions

were placed on the Church's freedom from taxation, the ecclesiastical taxation of the peasantry was remitted, and church property was exempted from taxation; but the Church's strength of opposition of the prelates. The famous King Birger, when he had attained his majority, capitulated to the lay and episcopal rulers. At the great conference at Skåne (1300) the prelates allied themselves with the nobles against the crown, the control of the feudal lords began, and the hierarchy returned to power. The struggle between Birger and his brothers issued in a complete revolution resulting in the accession of Magnus, the three-year-old son of Duke Eric, to the throne. The government conducted by lay and spiritual lords was not advantageous to the kingdom. Finally, the demands of Magnus became too exacting, and a parliament being convoked, he was deposed and Albrecht of Mecklenburg was enthroned. His economic demands conflicting with the Church he lost its support and subsequently his throne. The rule of the nobles was still further confirmed by the so-called Kalmar Union of 1389. The consummation of outward power was accompanied with intense internal activity. The only bishopricman any of this period, Matsias of Linköping, the confessor of Bridget (q.v.), was the foremost scholastic theologian in Sweden of the Middle Ages and the first to attempt a translation of the Bible, the earliest attempt at a German rendering. This period was the most active in culture in Swedish history. Bridget was to a great extent reborn of his grossness, and became an emulous power. The monks and priests now began to preach in Swedish. The rich culture of the Middle Ages became an activity planted in Sweden that it weathered all subsequent storms; philanthropy on a large scale fostered by the Church spread over country and city, and the treasures of learning were rendered available. The zenith of development in the fourteenth century is in striking contrast with the decay of the Church elsewhere in the West. St. Bridget (q.v.) was a contemporary of Wyclif, Petrarch, and Boccaccio; and she and her order, in which all church activities were concentrated, stand as evidence of this foremost period of the Swedish church. At the beginning of the fifteenth century the decline of the papacy produced more pronounced results in Sweden. Margaret and after her, Eric of Pomerania, the regent, lived in Denmark; they were in accord with the pope whenever it came to plundering the Church. Both pope and king repeatedly attempted to name the archbishop at Uppsala. Their most degraded choice was the Dane, Jens Jertensson in 1408, who was forced to leave Sweden in 1419. These disputes resulted in the Church becoming interested in the endeavor for reform and in the growing national desire for an independent Sweden. Although, during the papal schism, Sweden had united itself to the papacy, its church took part in the reform councils, and recognized their authority over that of the pope, and preaching in Swedish came into more into vogue. Many churches were built. Almost forty years Bishop Tuxen served as the apostle of the Finns, and his work was

continued by the order of St. Bridget. When the great struggle for freedom began (1434) with the uprising of the peasants under the popular hero, Engelbrekt, he found an advocate in the archbishop of Basel in its pro-Swedish Swedish representative, Nils Ragnvaldsson, who bore arms in 1438-1448, and stored the Swedish Church with extraordinary wisdom and piety through the political tempests. A provincial synod at Söderköping, 1441, passed several measures for the extension of a true Christianity among the lower classes, and for the foundation of an independent Swedish ecclesiastical institution; but the University of Uppsala was not founded until 1477.

The next period (1448-1520) is marked by the struggle of the modern ideas of state with the hierarchy following the victory of the papacy over the council. In 1448 the Union was dissolved by the election of Charles Knutsson as king. His reign was a typical upholder of ecclesiastical dominance, which saw the danger to the Church of a powerful royal authority. King Charles' investigations into the illegality of the church holdings increased all the prelates (1454). Eric led the hierarchy over to the side of the Danish union; or rather, it united with the feudal nobility in their struggle with the State. The disgrace and death of Eric, upon his flight in 1459, put an end to his endeavors to combine all ecclesiastical and political power in his own hands. His successors with the suffragans followed in his steps. The regents Bruce had to accustom themselves to the prelates as the opponents of national liberation and reorganization. An exception was Henning Gadh, bishop of Linköping from 1501 and one of the few advocates of humanism in Sweden, a warrior and poet inspired by deep love of country. The pope never confirmed his election, and he was excommunicated in 1512, and gave place to Hans Brahe, the last noted prince of the Swedish Church. Brahe, after some vacillation, adopted the interests of the hierarchy. The lower clergy, on the other hand, were frequently loyal to their lay lords, and awaited a brighter future; as for instance, Ericus Olaf (d. 1480), the most learned man in the new university. In this long struggle the Swedish hierarchy had neglected the peasantry. This meant the downfall of the inner power of the Roman Church in Sweden. None of the pre-Reformation influences, such as humanism and hostility to indulgences, were present in Sweden. The change came with a political crisis, followed by political reestablishment. Of this the Reformation was an attendant circumstance.

St. The Later Period: The great Reformer of Sweden, Olaus Petri (b. at Örebro, 1500 m. w. of Stockholm, Jan. 6, 1493; d. at Stockholm, Apr. 19, 1552), studied at Uppsala, Lepzig, and Wittenberg with Luther and Melancthon; 1516-18; and became a deacon at the cathedral of Strängnäs in 1520. Olaus was great, and faithful; he bore with his side the old archbishop Lorenz Andreä (q.v.), the greatest political ecclesiastic of the Swedish

information, who introduced the new teachings to Gustav Vasa, and was made secretary to the king, 1. The Reformation when the feudal and unitary policy (1620-1611) had respect its reward in the Stockholm massacre in 1520, the whole country appeared to be crushed and lost; but the peasants of Dalecarlia arose under Gustavus Vasa to fight for national freedom. The Danish Christian II. had executed the former leaders, among them most of the bishops; in 1522 only two bishops were left. The popular uprising resulted in the establishment of a national government, in 1523, in Stenunga, and the king, as the people's choice, was invested with purely personal authority. In every department, however, the Roman Church forming a state within a state, appeared as an obstacle, particularly on the economic side; for after the war Sweden was an impoverished, defenceless country, unless it could avail itself of the wealth of the churches and monasteries. The king at once recognized the value of the new teachings as a means to a popular national regeneration, the principle of which was to unite the whole population in the common obligation to rescue and defend their fatherland, and in the common responsibility for the execution of necessary measures and their consequences. The king was therefore responsible to him as long as he maintained the defense and property of the country. The religious life of the people formed no exception, and the king was obliged personally to conduct the whole reform, so far as it came within the interests of the State. It was Gustavus Vasa who decided on the manner of introducing the Reformation, as appeared at the dietive diet which he assembled at Westera (1527). He consulted the diet which he assembled at the diet of the nobles and the military party. By this diet the Church was freed from Rome and the rule of canonical law; its possessions were placed at the disposal of the king (the paragon), and the nobles were bound to the throne by the acquisition on their part of the church property. It was deemed that "the Word of God should be preached purely and plainly"; formally, religious freedom for Protestantism only was introduced. There was no loud demand for religious changes. In fact, however, Protestantism had to emerge as the successor of abolished Rome. All the estates subscribed the reformation, and in this manner the national popular government, through its king, maintained the right to watch over the development of the Church. The inner work of the Reformation meanwhile progressed slowly, guided by the wisdom and prudence of Olaus Petri, who in 1524 had been removed to Sweden as preacher and secretary for the city. In 1529 he translated Luther's "Freyvogel," the first Reformation publication in Sweden, and the same year gave the people a translation of the New Testament, which had the same influence on the language and culture of Sweden as Luther's translation of the Bible had in Germany. It also collaborated in the publication of the first hymnal. The Roman Catholics were lacking in able defenders. Bask, who at first set

up a vigorous opposition, had to flee after the Synod of Westera and died in exile in 1538. When Gustavus Vasa supplied the vacant bishopric by installing such men as were then available, consecration was performed by Petrus Magni, a monk of the order of St. Bridget, who had himself received episcopal consecration from the pope at Rome. Thus the so-called "Apostolic succession" was preserved. The latest writings of Olaus Petri, which were in accord with the decisions of the Synod of Osnabruck (1529), in regard to outward religious forms, were the "Church Manual" (1529), the "Purification," the "Catechism" (1530), and the "Swedish Mass" (1531). Olaus Petri was aided by his brother, Laurentius, who became the first Protestant archbishop of Sweden, 1531. A Swedish translation of the whole Bible was given out by the brothers Petri in 1541, and new Reformation literature was spread abroad. Under ultra-reform influence, Gustavus attempted (1539-41) to do away with the office of bishop, to install "superintendents" over the entire Swedish Church, and to establish a sort of presbyterian rule. Olaus Petri and Lorenz Andriek, on account of their opposition, were sentenced to death (1540), but were pardoned, though they did not regain their former influence (both died in 1552). The opposition of the people recalled the king to his former policy. A diet at Westera discarded more Roman Catholic forms and images, and a compilation of church laws, *Vedernas ordir* (1553), drawn up probably by the archbishop, was the first attempt to make the Church a purely Protestant organization. Eric XIV., successor of Gustavus, not being able to maintain the personal character of government, the Church slipped somewhat from royal control, and its administrative forms, particularly the archbishopate, increased greatly in importance. The great religious war of Europe now spread to Sweden. Calvinism sought to establish a firm footing (1560-61); and Calvin himself corresponded with Eric, and his followers presented to the king a formula of belief. This movement was opposed by Laurentius Petri, and the result was an internal development through which the Church became more narrowly Evangelical Lutheran. A result, as well as the last work of Laurentius (d. 1573), was the church order of 1571, which prevailed for a century. A Counter-Reformation was threatened by the fact that Catherine, wife of King John III., was a Roman Catholic. In 1574 the first Jesuit came to Sweden. John, who had been under the influence of the party of Melancthon and the development of the English Reformation, sought a safe middle path. His "Red Book," a new order of the mass, was to reunite the Swedish Church with the old true Roman Catholic Church. The papal obstinacy to the compromise put an end to Roman influence (1580); but the controversy concerning the Red Book and cryptopapacy continued the national fight of Lutheranism and produced a generation of staunch characters, so that upon John's death (1592) it was with the support of Duke Charles, son of Gustavus, completely triumphant. The revolt called by Duke Charles, now regent in Uppsala (1598) was the most important in the history of the Swedish Lutheran Church. The Red Book was prohibited and all

bound themselves to stand by the "pure word of God, the three symbols, and the unaltered Augsburg Confession." Calvinism was discarded, in spite of the protests of Duke Charles. At this synod the independence of the Church with reference to internal matters of faith and doctrine came to recognition, and at the same time its character as a national church, with claims on the State for the protection of its belief and dogma, received expression. Sigismund, the son of John III., the heir-apparent to the throne, was also king of the Poles, and the great champion of the Counter-Reformation in the northeast of Europe. His endeavor was to restore Roman Catholicism in Sweden. Duke Charles, at the diet in Riddarhöpning (1595), took the same revolutionary national stand that his father had taken; summoned the estates to their mutual responsibility to oppose the Roman Catholic plans of the legal king; and finally, by the defeat of Sigismund at the battle of Stångebro (1599), put an end to Sigismund's attempt. After 1600 he became king and reigned as Charles IX. The result of this period of the new birth of Sweden was the organic union of the independent Evangelical church with the State, concentrating its power in the crown, and the beginning of its political greatness. The external quarrels had as a consequence inner chaos. Organization was deficient, moral course the monasteries as the repositories of arts had gradually become impoverished and disappeared; education was neglected. The University of Uppsala was closed, but in 1595 King Charles and the Church sought to reestablish it. The Lutheran mission worked in Sweden. The Roman Catholics continued their plotting; Charles, with his political ambitions and Calvinistic tendencies, had no sympathy with the, to him, oppressive and exclusive Lutheranism. The Church had to combat, single-handed, Calvinism that was now making headway over all Europe. The cause of Lutheranism was led by Archbishop Olaus Martini (d. 1611). With the accession of Gustavus Adolphus, the Swedish Church for the first time gained an assured position in the kingdom.

A new era (1611-1718) of organization and orthodoxy now began. A younger generation took matters in hand in Church and State. Gustavus Adolphus was only eighteen when he succeeded his father on the throne, and his great conqueror, 2. *Sweden*. Axel Oxenstierna, general European statesman and the most celebrated general in his generation and the Thirty Years' War had not yet attained the age of thirty. In the Church J. Ruusboeck, leading ecclesiastical personality, began his great career at twenty-three. He represented the Aristotelianism that, from 1615, 1616-18. Under him consistent with orthodoxy a hierarchical system set in. The king set himself against orthodox intolerance and persecution, assisted by John Mathia, royal chaplain and tutor from 1620, and bishop of Westera from 1621. During the cessation foreign wars the Swedish Church was distinguished by an intense inner life and work of organization. The energy of the new

faith within and its combination under Gustavus Adolphus with popular freedom explain Sweden's influence abroad. During the great war ecclesiastical organization was left principally to the great bishop, Gustavus contemplated a universal self-government, and proposed a general consistory (1622) of representatives of the laity and the higher and lower clergy. The bishops, however, thwarted this plan. The consistory, which had been organized since the time of Gustavus Vasa, now became under episcopal guidance a central organ of the administration and gained a unique and beneficent standing. The composition of the chapter was also changed, especially under Ruusboeck, from being largely prelatical to consisting of professors, while the laity gained an important part in the administration, which they still possess. The Church was somewhat represented by the spiritual estate assembled at the diets, but this was under the control of the bishops. However, under their control, led by Ruusboeck and Laurentius Paulinus Gothus at Stenunga (1609-40) the Church made tremendous advances in administration, literature, mission, and schools. But after 1648 the great bishops disappeared, and leadership was transferred to the diet. The result of the Treaty of Westphalia (1648) was to turn interest to internal affairs. The effort was no longer toward a consistory but a unitary organization. From the political side after 1648 new territories continued to come under the crown of Sweden. Their absorption was best promoted by church activity. The erection or conquest of new dioceses associated a closer organization in the life of the Church. Examples of these dioceses are Wismar (1618), Kalmar (1647), Heringsand (1647), Wisby (1648), Lund (1658); in 1660 Gothenburg, and in 1678 Kälmar became bishoprics. Thus the provincial organization was complete as it has continued to the present time. A university was founded in Lund (1560), which became a theological center of great importance to the Swedish Church. The question of orthodoxy was now at its height; but the proposal of the bishop of Westera, Olof Laurentius, that the Formula of Concord be made a part of the church law, was not pleasing to all; Mathia was its most distinguished opponent, and he was supported by Queen Christina, and later by Charles X., Mathia and John Persson, bishop of Abo, the former a disciple of Comenius, the latter of Calixtus, were also "opponents"; the latter fought for popular and spiritual freedom against the growing power of the nobles and the bishops. On the death of Charles X. (1660), the regency being in the hands of a powerful orthodox nobility, they were deprived of their bishoprics on the charge of syncretistic heresy.

Charles XI. introduced the one-man rule in Sweden, and he did not intend to allow the Church to exist as an independent factor. The church itself had no organized central government that could protect its interests. Owing partly to orthodoxy and partly to the cessation was, a spirit of supererogation and a decline in moral fervor among the people and the lower clergy. The king procured the adoption of the Book of Concord as a symbol of the Church in the great church law of 1686. The continued

Sweden THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 180

orthodoxy; it was confirmed also united organization, but reduced independence, producing a pronounced State Church. The king assumed the appointment to a large number of spiritual positions. He was energetically employed in completing the great work of organization, which served as a cloak to hide the peril to the heritage of independence and the decline of the religious and moral life. A general catechism was introduced (1699), a new church manual (1693), the celebrated hymnal (1698), a revised translation of the Bible (1703), and subsequently a large work on the Bible. A royal ordinance provided for the general instruction of children in reading and the catechism. Among the ecclesiastical of this period distinguished for clearness of thought, intense patriotism, intolerance of any deviation from the true doctrine, and a willingness to sacrifice themselves to the demands of orthodoxy and absolute monarchy were Archbishop Olof Swediaur (1681-1705), the author of the manual and the catechism; and Eric Benzelius the elder (1700-69), father of the most distinguished family of bishops in Sweden; the celebrated poet, Torsten Ruken, subsequently bishop of Linköping, the spokesman of the official estate at many a diet; and the noted hymn-writers, Archbishop Hagvin Spengel and Bishop Jesper Swedberg, the former striving for uniformity of worship and belief, and furthering the education of the masses, the latter steadily opposing the abuses of orthodoxy and strongly inclined to mysticism. Upon the death of Charles XII, a new direction was given to all Swedish culture.

With the awakening of individualism in culture and politics in the "age of freedom" there entered at the same time the religious and moral influence of Pietism and the City of the Brethren (q.v.) among the masses of the people (1718-172). At this time the Swedish Lutheran Church **Awakened**. During the last years of Charles XII, Pietism had entered the German possessions of Sweden, where it was opposed with orthodox intensity. It extended from thence to Finland and found a good soil in the temperament of the people under the teachings of the brothers Wregelius, who was strongly opposed by J. Gesenius (q.v.). In the early part of the eighteenth century it surrounded the Baltic Sea and reached Stockholm. But the movement first made a significant religious inroad after the return (1721) from Siberian captivity of some of the adherents of Charles XII, who were now converted to Pietism. It now spread over a great portion of Sweden, the mass Håls Pietism, that did not antagonize the Church, being the prevailing form. A great many ecclesiastical pietist movement. Sweden's two foremost men, Eric Benzelius the younger (bishop of Linköping and archbishop), and Anders Eriksson, the first well-known and independent philosopher of Sweden, later bishop of Västerås, were without it. The latter, in particular, was in sympathy with the efforts of the young converts to the service of a deeper religionism. He was especially devoted

to the education of the young. Marbeck, "the Francke of Sweden," became the head of the religious awakening in the northern part. In the north the movement was led by Eric Tollstadius (d. 1759), vicar and pastor at Stockholm, the most celebrated name in the inner church history of the time. A noble and more influential representative of the strongly mystical branch of Pietism was Sven Rosén (d. 1767). Pietism met with more opposition than appreciation from the higher authorities. Many bishops attacked it; the spiritual estate of the diet opposed it. Both Tollstadius and Marbeck were subjected to unwelcome law processes. At a convocation at Rida outside Stockholm (1723) the government brought the principals to trial at which they set forth their views in a remarkable memorial that may be considered the creed of the Swedish Pietists. They were acquitted, but the proceedings resulted in the government allowing the well-known *Konsumtionsplädöiset* (1725), by which all private religious meetings for edification were prohibited under severe penalties. Domestic devotions, however, were permitted, and the clergy were called upon to hold frequent house inquiries. While the edict of restriction checked Pietism, it also remained a letter upon free religious life for 125 years. The strength of the Church over against the government was also above ordinary. The sovereign diet of the estates erected a special "ecclesiastical department" in 1725, which, in view of Pietism, was intended to become a general "consistory" dependent on the diet with the function of bringing the church order into conformity with the new polity; but the spiritual estate in the diet knew how effectively to neutralize the activity of this department. In the tracks of Pietism followed the great tide of Roman Catholic mysticism which struck Sweden in the third decade of the eighteenth century. After 1727 the movement passed beyond bounds. Ecstatic forms, apocalyptic, apocryphic, and general ecstasies followed. The inner situation became precarious in the next decade, when help came from the City of the Brethren (q.v.). When the brotherhood was founded in 1727 a Swedish Anacostia C. B. Grandchildren, was associated with Zinzendorf; and from the first the brethren directed their attention to Sweden. Grandchildren returned to Sweden to prepare the soil, 1729-30. In 1738 Arvid Gudin arrived at Herneberg and became after 1741 the leader in Sweden. Even the mystic Sven Rosén joined the community. Under the Stockholm pastor Thore Odhner and Jonas Hellman the brotherhood maintained its flourishing condition in Sweden, 1739-44, with headquarters at Stockholm and West Gothland. The movement was wholesome, bringing back the enthusiasts and stimulating orthodoxy with life. Unfortunately in 1745 appeared also the morbid mystical side as the worship of the words of Christ, resulting in religious decline and fatalism. This phase was overcome after 1760. These special awakenings around the orthodox Church to turn to the needs of the masses. A series of energetic bishops and pastors came to the front, who, by a more earnest instruction and care of souls, directed profane religious soundness and piety. Such were Sven Balter (d. 1760),

181 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Sweden

in future preacher, Bishop Jacob Serenius (d. 1776), who introduced the rite of confirmation according to English and Danish form; and Anders Nybom (d. 1767), court preacher, and author of *Det Själfbeständningens de gälfälles Huset*, a devotional work ranking next to the Bible for the people of Sweden. A peculiar product of the time was Emanuel Swedenborg (q.v.). This previous period formed the transition from Sweden of the Reformation and the politics of war to the modern state; it was profile of ideal minus final purposes. It prepared the way for the speculative Enlightenment, or the period of sociology (1772-1817). The great religious period of the Enlightenment, Gustavus III., and the epoch of Frederick the Great, **Statesman** mounted the throne in 1772; and his **Century**. French school of poets, particularly gnosticism and Pietism, German rationalism began to show its head and to influence more and more the leaders of the Swedish Church; but it never reached extremes, and in southern Sweden and other portions of the country it never gained the mastery. Almost all earnest men in the Church at this time were of the Unity of the Brethren or Swedenborgians, and these two beliefs were the salvation of the religious life of the country. The religious awakening of the middle of the century was protracted among the people, and Württemberg Pietism was spread abroad in Sweden by many revivalists, preparing the way for the epoch-making work of Henrik Scharin (q.v.). The independence of the Church in the national life did not suffer, although for a time the rationalistic royalty which had again become supreme worked some injury to the ecclesiastical conditions by its appointments. The king, however, his match in the intellectual and powerful bishop, Olof Walquist of Wexö (d. 1800), as celebrated in statesmanship and finance as in church organization. He organized a new ecclesiastical office, called the "ecclesiastical expedition," by which all church business was to be prepared. It was not of long duration but paved the way for the present ministry of worship. The period lacked the power to affect the Church as a whole. The theological revision of the church-books was a failure, and the Church was too weak to aid the people in political cases. The cession of Finland to Russia in 1809 was rather a religious than a political loss. The first half of the nineteenth century was a time of restoration for the Church, when internal and foreign missions prospered, with some persistent sectarian dispersion of a subjective character. Then came the non-conformist movements from England, George Scott preaching Methodism in 1846, and Anders Wiberg the Baptist doctrine from 1851, followed by the Irvingite (Catholic Apostolic Church, q.v.). The convulsive edict was recalled in 1858, and Swedish subjects were granted religious freedom in 1860. This gave impetus to the Reformed tendency even within the national Church. The most important fact in religious life was the revival after 1840 under the preaching of Dean Peter Wieselgren (noted temperance

advocate, d. 1877), the layman K. O. Rosenius (d. 1865), and the missionary Peter Fjellstedt (d. 1881). In 1863 the Church obtained its own representation at the church assembly through the change which converted the old diet into one of two chambers, whereby the position within the national state life intended by the Reformation and attempted by Gustavus Adolphus was achieved. **II. Statistics:** Ninety-nine per cent. of the population belong, formally at least, to the Evangelical Lutheran State Church. By the church law of 1686, which, with some changes and amplifications, is still in force, the confession of faith embraces, beside the three ancient symbols, the redemption of the Upsala mode of 1686, and the entire Book of Concord. In the constitution of 1809 the Upsala mode and the *Credo* *Agostinus* alone were mentioned, and the uncertainty whether the entire Book of Concord is symbolically in effect has not been finally decided. Any one may leave the State Church, but must join some other denomination recognized by the State. In 1860 there were 2,378 Roman Catholics, 3,913 orthodox Jews, 7,941 Methodists, 2,309 Baptists, and smaller scattered bodies. The actual number of baptisms was 60,000 and of marriages 15,231, most of them remaining in the State Church. The most considerable sect within the State Church is the Pietistic "Swedish Missionary Union," deviating somewhat from the normal doctrine of the statement and practicing separate communion, and carrying on an extensive internal and foreign mission. This union was founded by the well-known Paul Petrus Waldenström (q.v.). They numbered (1903) 84,602, with more than 1,100 churches. The State Church of Sweden embraces 12 bishoprics, to which are added the municipal consistory of Stockholm and the court consistory. The latest diocese, Luleå (1904), was established by the diet only on condition that Westin and Kalmar were to be combined on the death of either of the incumbent bishops. The diocese of Upsala bears the title of archbishopric, although its incumbent bears only the relationship of a prince-bishop *in jure*. The dioceses are now Upsala (including Stockholm), Linköping, Skara, Strängnäs, Westera, Westra, Land, Göteborg, Kalmar, Karlstad, Wisby, Herneberg, and Luleå. The dioceses are divided into districts, each of which contains seven to eight parishes. There are now 1,280 parishes. Each parish has its *kyrkoförvaldning* (pastor); one of them is provost over the district. The parishes are frequently divided into subparishes, each with its own church, and often also with its own curial minister. The number of churches in 1899 was 2,876, and of ministers 2,767, and there is one minister to every 1,700 inhabitants. The king of Sweden is the highest earthly ruler of the Swedish Church, and must be an adherent of the "pure Evangelical doctrine, as adopted and explained in the unaltered Augsburg Confession and in the resolutions of the Synod of Upsala of 1593." He must, however, in the exercise of his ecclesiastical authority, "obtain information and advice" from the ecclesiastical minister, and from the rest of the council of state, the members of which must all be adherents of the pure Evangelical doctrine. In ecclesiastical legislation, the king and

Swedenborg THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 184

of mines and gave him an apprenticeship with the celebrated royal engineer Polhem, in whose family he became a favorite inmate. He formed a love attachment with a daughter of Polhem, which was favored by the king, but failed of marriage by the daughter's refusal, and Swedenborg remained single the rest of his life. After the death of Charles XII. in 1718, Swedenborg took his seat as the oldest son of his now ennobled family, in the house of nobles in the Swedish diet. Declining a professorship in mathematics in the university and in pursuit of his studies as a royal assessor of mines, he undertook a series of journeys through the various countries of Europe especially for the study of mines and manufactures. In these journeys he enjoyed the patronage and friendship of princes and nobles, and his explorations took him not only into mines, furnaces, workshops, laboratories, and lecture-rooms, but also to museums, galleries, churches, theaters, army garrisons, palaces, everywhere where the life and civilization of his time could be observed and studied. His *Itinerarium* or "Diary of Travels" affords a picturesque view of the actual life of the important cities of France, Italy, Germany, Belgium, and Holland at that period. **Swedenborg's** travels had been appearing from time to time at home or abroad and his widely extended reputation as a metallurgist and anatomist brought him invitations to membership in the academies of sciences at St. Petersburg, Paris, and Stockholm. His practical achievements at home in assisting the king in large engineering works for the kingdom, especially in transporting pulleys for fourteen miles overlaid at the siege of Friedrichsbald in 1718, show that his life was by no means satisfied theorizing. Counted by princes, praised by scholars, a man of the world in a wide sense, his inner life may best be known by the simple rules drawn up by himself to govern his daily conduct. These were: (1) Often to read and meditate on the Word of God; (2) to submit everything to the will of divine providence; (3) to observe in everything a property of behavior and to keep the conscience clear; (4) to discharge with faithfulness the duties of office and to render myself in all things useful to society.

II. Writings: The writings of Swedenborg may be divided into three classes: (1) material and scientific, including those on the magnetism and electricity; (2) philosophical; (3) theological. 1. *Material:* The works produced during the first (the literary and scientific) period of his life are as follows: *Curiosae Medicinæ, Cœlestis Secretæ Sæculæ, L. A. Secretæ, Theopneusticæ, Profundæ præcipuosæ naturæ* ("Principles of Chemistry?"); *Novæ Observatio Formæ et Jovis* ("On Iron and Fire?"); *Artificia Jovis Mechanicæ* ("Construction of Boats and Dikes?"); *Meteorologica Observatio* (in geology, mineralogy, etc.); the treatise on metals and mines in the *Opera philosophicæ*; posthumous tracts on salt, on made, and on soil; on the height of water, etc. in *Geologia et æstivæ*, Royal Academy series no. 1. 2. *Philosophical:* It was in 1734 that, together with the small treatise, *De infinitis*, the *Opera philosophicæ* appeared in three volumes, the first part of which, *Principia* (Eng. trans. by Dr. J. J. G. Wilkinson, London, 1840), has become widely known as embodying Swedenborg's physical philosophy or cosmology. In 1740 appeared the *Œconomia regni animalis* ("Economy of the Animal Kingdom?"); in 1745, the first and second parts of the *Regnum animale*; and in 1748, the *De cultu et amore Dei* ("The Worship and Love of God?"). In this wide range of physical, physiological, and psychological studies, Swedenborg pursues what he calls *physiologia*—"avenues to his own quest—his search for the soul." Where to find her, he declares, asks, but in her own realm—the body?—or "soul kingdom," applied to the human anatomy and physiology. In the "Chemistry" and the *Principia* he had sought the inseparable and invisible substances and forms which lie at the beginning of creation and which mark the entrance of life from the Infinite into the finite. Conceiving the origin of the universe as lying in a "constant motion in the Infinite," which assumes in the "natural point" an existence in time and space in which "point" is potentially all future forms and motions in their perfection, he traces the progress of the point through a series of finite in active and passive relation to the "elementary" or primal nature, ether, and atmosphere, and thence to the first forms of solid matter. These he conceives to be equidistant particles originating in the interstitial spaces between the spherical globules. The modern science of crystallography and stereochemistry are admitted by the best authorities to find their germ in Swedenborg's conceptions of elementary forms. Swedenborg conceives light as a form of ethereal motion. The series of forms, circular, spiral, and vortical, the nature and phenomena of magnetism, the evolution of the planets from a condensed ring thrown off by the central mass of the primal nebula, the position of the earth in the galaxy, are discussed in these works in lines which anticipate not only Kant, Buffon, and La Place, the supposed originators of the nebular theory, but even the most recent discoveries in radioactive and vibratory forces and motions. In method, Swedenborg proceeds inductively from experience but under the recognition of deity and the soul. Adopting Aristotle as his model rather than Plato, he, with this master, finds that intelligence can discover only what form above giving to the senses impresses a form and meaning. With this survey of the material universe behind him, Swedenborg proceeds to explore the universe of mind or, as he terms it, the *regnum animale*, a term inadequately translated by "animal kingdom," meaning rather the kingdom of the soul. 3. *Theological:* **Mind** rational soul presiding over the entire system of forms of matter, not only in her own "soul" body, but in the universe as a kind of mediocrity extension of her body. The divine life and communicated through recipient forms and substances in their various orders and

185 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Swedenborg

degrees. In his introduction to the *Principia* he conceives the "true philosopher" as that primitive perfect soul which responds by a perfect imitative communication to every translation of the universe. For sensation is but a succession of vibrations communicated from without through the series of subtle receptacles even to the sensory of the brain. Here action is produced by a similar series of motions received, originating primarily in the will and taking form in the thoughts and in the action of the nervous and muscular systems. As early as in 1719, he had outlined his doctrine of translation in a dissertation submitted to the Royal Medical College on *The Assaying of our most Subtle Nature, Showing that our Motions and Living Force Consists of Fire* (Swedenborg). The "Economy of the Animal Kingdom" treats in part I. of the circulation of the blood and of the first life and in part II. of the motion of the brain. 3. *Psychic:* soul. It affords a complete system of *Psychologia*—"psychology." The human anatomy—mind and organs—life are treated as a *psychologia*. "Heart of the soul's activity; consequently in their natural, living play of forces and mechanism. Their mechanism is so complete as to seem almost to exclude the free action of the soul and the influx of the Infinite; but the reconciliation is found in the invitation of the wisdom of the Infinite in the least finite forms of motion, and this is what gives the human soul a finite sense of its own freedom. In the "Animal Kingdom," the publication of which is still incomplete, the doctrine of correspondences, forms, series, and degrees is outlined and the theory set forth that the physical world is purely symbolical of the spiritual world. But even the spiritual world in the philosophical period had a certain continuity of degree with matter, its distinction from matter being that of priority of form and simplicity of structure. It was not until Swedenborg's later experience of "things heard and seen" in the spiritual world that he learned actually the discreteness between matter and spiritual substance. His doctrine of forms and order he derived in part from Wolf, the disciple of Leibniz, even as in his vortical theories and his doctrine of the first atomic shapes he somewhat resembles Descartes. It is not until after his illumination or alleged intrusion into the spiritual world as an actual witness and participant that he sets forth in all its fulness the great doctrine of the three discrete degrees, projected now beyond nature into the vast realm that embraces God as soul, spirit, or the plane of conscious relation as cause, and nature as effect, and that in its universe of two co-existent and correspondential worlds, the spiritual and the natural as given in the minor treatise, *De Cœlestibus Secretis et Corporibus* ("On Influx?") seems to have given Kant (who had interested himself in Swedenborg's two-world experience and had declared his doctrine strangely like his own) the suggestion of his inaugural discourse at Königsberg, 1770, *De mundi sensibilis atque intelligibilis forma*. The vast and profound researches on the structure and function of the brain, its respiratory motion, the location of its several senses, etc., are only

just beginning to receive due appreciation among Europe's most learned physiologists (cf. the address of Prof. Gustav Retzius of the Royal Swedish Academy before the Congress of Anatomists in Heidelberg, 1902). Further portions of this vast work, notably on the fibers, the generative organs, on the senses, on the soul or rational psychology, and on the brain have been posthumously published and translated into English. The *De Anima* ("The Soul, or Rational Psychology") is in method not unlike Aristotle's *Part Physicæ*, treating of the mind in its successive planes as *anima, mens, and animus* (the sensitive or imaginative mind and memory; the rational mind and the pure intellect; and the soul and its state after death). The chief and permanent interest of the *Æconomia Psychologica* lies in the subtle analysis of the process of the conversion of sensation into ideas and thence of ideas into thoughts and of these again back into words or motions, all in accordance with the great universal doctrine of translation and of series, order, and degree. All the remaining manuscripts of this and other works of Swedenborg are now in process of translation and publication by the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences under the editorship of Professor Retzius, Arctander, Nahrstedt, and other eminent scholars. The *De cultu et amore Dei* ("Worship and Love of God?") forms the bridge between the philosophical and theological periods and is a work scarce in literature for the boldness of its "speculation and the sublimity of its Theology" conceptions. It traces the process of the creation of this planet out of the sun's nebula, the evolution of its seasons and temperatures, and of the kingdoms successively from mineral through vegetable up to man, and views the human soul as a little world of intelligences and forces by which the created universe renders up its adoration to its creator. This work is written in a style of great elegance and contains passages of poetic beauty and sublimity. In it, at the same time, the author takes leave, as it were, of his career of personal authorship and ambition to devote himself henceforward to being the simple recorder of things "revealed" and the humble proclaimer of the "second coming of the Lord." Parts I and II of the "Animal Kingdom" were published in 1745, and the "Worship and Love of God" in 1748. At this point there is a sudden and strange interruption of Swedenborg's scientific life. His experience, as he avers, a "Beast" direct divine call to enter upon the higher mission of a seer and revealer of the things of the spiritual world, and simultaneously of the spiritual truth and doctrine which underlie the formal and symbolic sense of the sacred Scriptures. During the period from 1745 to 1749 (in which year he began to publish the *Æconomia Cœlestis*, containing the spiritual sense of Genesis and Exodus) he had not only been experiencing visions and dreams of an extraordinary character, accompanied by temptations and struggles of soul of the severest kind, a conflict between the flesh and the spirit and between intellectual ambition and the authority of a divine voice within, but he had re-

corred these with great frankness and in an avowed sense of their deep significance, in his *Dreams and Spiritual Diary*. At the same time in his *Adversaries* he noted down glimpses appearing to him of an inner meaning of the Scriptures. But it is in the introduction to the *Arvensa Catechesis* that he plainly declares, after asserting that the sacred Scriptures have a spiritual sense:

"That this is really the case in respect to the Word is impossible for any man to have arrived from the Lord. I have been granted no view for several years to be completely and unobscuredly in converse with spirits and angels, having then converse with each other and conversing with them. Hence it has been impossible for me and one thing in another life, which are something that were before me in the knowledge of any man, of conversing with spirits, and the state of such after death, something that of the benevolent state of the faithful, something that of the benevolent state of the faithful, by the divine mercy of the Lord, may be said to be the following sense:

8. Theological: Here begins, then, the period of Swedenborg's theology and spiritual philosophy, or what is called by him the "angelic wisdom," being a survey of the two worlds, natural and spiritual, and of the operation of God as end and final cause, through the spiritual world as instrumental or efficient cause, into or upon nature as the world of effect. The series of theological works was begun by *Arvensa Catechesis* (an exposition of the internal sense of Genesis and Exodus, published anonymously in Latin, 8 vols., London, 1748-50).

Of this "internal sense," Swedenborg says: "In the following pages it will be seen that the internal sense of the chapter of Genesis in its internal sense treats of the new creation of man and of his regeneration."

1. The lion in general, and specifically, of the "Sense" of most ancient Church; and this in such a manner that there is not a single syllable which does not represent, signify, and involve something spiritual. The first eleven chapters of Genesis are declared by Swedenborg to be entirely symbolic and to have been derived by Moses from a more ancient word given in purely correspondential language in which spiritual truths are clothed with natural figures. Beginning with Abraham, the Word is historical in form but divinely composed into a drama of the spiritual life and its progress in the world of nature and self, represented by Egypt, into the liberty of the heavenly kingdom. The Egyptians and stars, of the Lord's temptation combats in the flesh, by which he, in the fulness of time and in fulfillment of all the prophecies, overcame the power of hell and set man spiritually free. In that way the Word is shown to be everywhere in its spiritual sense descriptive of the incarnation and glorification of the divine humanity in Jesus Christ. While this minute explanation of the *Arvensa* covers only the books of Genesis and Exodus, its citations from other parts of the Word are so numerous as to make it a very comprehensive biblical exegesis. A subsequent posthumous publication gives an outline of the "Internal Sense of the Prophecy and Psalms."

Heaven and its Wonders and Hell; and the World of Spirits; from Things heard and seen (London, 1765) is a description of heaven in its three degrees or planes and of the angelic life and its occupations, and, showing that angels are represented

2. **Heaven**, human beings who have lived in the world, natural world and are now living in a purified and perfected civilization according to the

spiritual world, heaven itself being a reflection of the divine human form. In its life of retired uses and neighborly service, Hell is in the opposite or reversed order of the heavens, and exhibits the divine love in its endeavor to control and restrain the wicked who are governed by the love of self, and to protect them from their own inhumanity. The world of spirits is the intermediate state between heaven and hell into which all souls enter immediately upon the death of the body. Here the judgment takes place and the revelation, to each one, of the nature of his own ruling loves and of his ability or inability to be happy in heaven, where the ruling love is love to the Lord and charity to the neighbor. Four smaller works are: *The Earth in the Universe; The Last Judgment; The New Jerusalem and its Heavenly Doctrine; and The White Horse of the Apocalypse* (London, 1758). *The Apocalypse Explained, Giving the Internal Sense of the Book of Revelation* reveals the internal history of the Christian Church, showing its decline in the two dominant evil tendencies, the "harlot" or the lust of domination, exhibited in the Roman Catholic hierarchy, and the "dragon" or doctrine of faith alone as saving, exhibited in the Protestant sects, terminating with the judgment mentioned in the world of spirits in the year 1757. This judgment, which marks the transition into a new age of the world and of the Church, is effected by the coming of the Lord to spirits and to man in the opening of the Word in its spiritual sense, which is his promised second coming. In the light of this "book of life," the false and evil spirits are cast down and the good are enabled to recognize the Lord Jesus Christ in his glorified humanity as the only God, and to follow him in the life of charity and faith combined, and so to escape the new Christian heavens. Out of this will descend to the earth more and more the holy city New Jerusalem, by which is signified the true doctrine of faith and of life as seen in heaven in which God will himself dwell with man and be with them their God (see *NEW JERUSALEM CHURCH*). *The Apocalypse Explained* was not finished by the author, but was replaced later by an abridgment entitled *The Apocalypse Revealed* (Amsterdam, 1766).

The Doctrine of the New Jerusalem respecting the Lord; the Sacred Scriptures; Faith, and Life; commonly known as the *Four Last Things* (Amsterdam, 1763) are brief treatises which embody in concise form what may be called the theology of the New Church. The Lord Jesus Christ is shown by Scripture texts to be Jehovah incarnate in a heavenly born of the virgin; who, by triumphs over the hells in the conflicts of his temptation and passion on earth, set man free from the tyranny of evil which threatened the human race, and opened the way to heaven. This is redemption. The doc-

trine of a trinity of persons resulting in worship and prayer to three gods, and of a vicarious atonement made by one god to appease another are declared to be human inventions. The holy Trinity of Father, Son, and Holy Spirit is declared to be that of soul and body and action in man, being essentially the trinity of the divine love and wisdom and operation in Jesus Christ glorified, "in whom dwelleth all the fulness of the godhead bodily" and who, having "all power in heaven and earth" is the only visible and true God and the only rightful object of worship in the Christian Church. In the sacred Scriptures the "books of the Word" which embrace the "law, prophets, and Psalms" of the Old Testament and the "four Gospels and Revelation" of the New are shown to have an internal sense throughout, being dictated by the divine spirit to the human writers without their intervention, and clothed in natural emblematic language exactly corresponding to the spiritual and universal truths within, just as nature is a symbolic clothing with matter of the forces and forms of the divine love and wisdom. The other books of the Bible are inspired and useful for the Church but are not the divine Word itself in the sense of the above named. By the Word man is brought into association with angelic societies in heaven who are in the spiritual sense, and by the same divine intelligence and association the holy sacraments of the church, founded in the Word, have their experiential power.

The doctrine of life teaches that "all religion has relation to life and the life of religion is to do good." The good of life, which is charity, is defined as consisting primarily in assuming all evils

4. **Life and Path**. Fully the duties of one's office. The doctrine in its external and internal sense shows what evils are, including not only outward deed but inward motive. Particularly the sin of adultery is shown to embrace fornication and all lust and love between one man and one woman. Having faith is shown to be faith in the Lord God, the true Jesus Christ, and in his power to save those who look to him for strength to overcome evil in obedience to the divine commandments. With those who are in this effect and are fulfilling faithfully their duty to the neighbor in a life of use according to their station, the Lord implants a good and heavenly nature in place of the evils put away, and as man is regenerated and enters the heavenly life. Acts of charity, benevolence, piety, etc., are not properly good works, since they may be done equally by the evil, but they are the signs of charity and effort to show evils as sins and do good from God. Other works published in Amsterdam are: "Continuation Concerning the Last Judgment and the Spiritual World"; "The Angelic Wisdom Respecting the Divine Love and Wisdom" (1763); "The Angelic Wisdom Respecting the Divine Providence" (1764); "The Delights of Wisdom Concerning Conjugal Love" (1768); "A Brief Exposition of the Doctrine of the New Church Signi-

fied by the New Jerusalem in the Revelation" (1769); and, lastly, the great summary of all his theology, "The True Christian Religion or Universal Theology of the New Church" (1771).

The works entitled "Angelic Wisdom" present systematically what may be called a spiritual metaphysics and ethics in distinction from the dogmatic and the exoteric of the other works. "Angelic Wisdom Respecting the Divine Love and Wisdom" is a spiritual philosophy of creation and of the diastere degree by which the universe and man emanated from God without being continuous with God. It is the complement to Swedenborg's earlier cosmology, and in place of the formless infinite there is substituted the divine man, a being whose case is love, whose creates is wisdom, and whose proceeds is use; whose first effluence or manifestation is through the use of the spiritual world which emanates from himself, whose heart is love and whose light is truth; and which in succession, by its emanation, produces the sun, others, and members of the spiritual world. These angels in their ascending orbits become condensed and fixed in the forms of the material atmosphere and so of the visible and ponderable suns and earths of our universe, every particle of which is actuated and put in motion by the particles or forces of the corresponding higher atmosphere or aura of the spiritual world. In this way God, who is the only life and the source of motion and the divine Man after whose form all things are created, actuates and shapes all creation, without being himself nature; and because these degrees of creation, viz., God, spiritual world, nature, are discrete, like end, cause, and effect, and not continuous phases of matter more or less attenuated, pantheism is avoided and the human individuality preserved. The universe is shown to be the theater of the divine altruism, the world deriving its being from love's need of an object, which can freely reciprocate that love, man in his free moral nature being that object. The reciprocation of the divine love by man is in the life of charity, that is, of love and service to the neighbor. Man's individual personality, being the reactive agent to respond to divine love, is never destroyed, and heaven is the perfect society of immortal personalities. In the "Divine Providence" the laws are set forth by which the Lord leads man in freedom by reason out of his evils into lesser evils and into good, and how the Lord's providence, looking only to eternal ends, controls everything with a view to the greatest good.

In the "Conjugal Love," Swedenborg presents an ethics of marriage remarkable for its elevation and purity. The sex distinction and relation are as fundamental in the spiritual as in the physical nature of man, resulting from the vitalization and the "Marriage" intellectual faculties of the mind. Summary and marriage finds its high and holy source in the union of love and wisdom in the divine nature. The Christian marriage relation of one man and one woman is essentially body and estate and its bonds inviolable. It is "the purest part of human life, the most precious jewel of the Christian

Switzerland THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 100

Teaching (1909). The Ascended Christ: a Study in the earliest Christian Teaching (1910); and edited Essays on Some Biblical Questions of the Day (1909).

SWING, ALBERT TEMPLE. Congregationalist; b. at Bethel, O., Jan. 13, 1849. He was educated at Oberlin College (A.B., 1874), Yale Divinity School (B.D., 1877), and the universities of Berlin and Halle (1881-82). He has held pastorates at Fremont, Neb. (1873-86), Cortland, N. Y. (1886-1887), and Detroit, Mich. (1887-90). In 1890-92 he was in Europe, and since 1892 has been professor of church history at Oberlin Theological Seminary. In theology he is a liberal conservative. He is the author of Theology of Albrecht Ritschl (New York, 1901); Outline of the Doctrinal Development in the Western Church (Oberlin, O., 1909); and Life of James Harris Finckelhof or Forty Eight Years with a Christian College (New York, 1906).

SWING, DAVID: American divine; b. in Chelmsford, O., Aug. 23, 1850; d. in Chicago Oct. 4, 1904. He came of blended English and German ancestry; grew up on a farm near Williamsburg, Clermont County, O., attending the district school of the village; was graduated from Miami University, Oxford, O., 1872; studied for two years in the Theological Seminary at Cincinnati, under Dr. Nathan Lewis Rice; then returned to Miami University as professor of classic languages, where he remained for twelve years. He married Miss Elizabeth Porter, of Oxford, O., in 1875, and two daughters were born in their home. In 1890 he was called to the Westminster Presbyterian church of Chicago, which was consolidated with the North Church in 1899, under the name of the Fourth Presbyterian church. Professor Swing being retained as pastor of the new organization. The church office was destroyed by fire in 1877. The church office was destroyed by fire in 1877. The church office was destroyed by fire in 1877.

Among his published works are Traits for Today (2 series, Chicago, 1874-76); Motives of Life (1879); God Speaks (1880); Sermons (1883); Old Patterns of Life (1891);—see volumes of essays edited after his death. —J. F. Newton, Journal Assoc. Pres. Presb., October, 1898.

SWISS REFORMER. See MASSONIER. SWITHEUS, ewil'vun (SWITHUN, SWITHUN, SAINT): Bishop and patron of Winchester, d. at Winchester July 2, 852. Of noble birth, he was educated in the Old Monastery, Winchester, where he was ordained by Bishop Hildmar, 827. Elected king of the West Saxons, committed his son and successor, Eilberht, to his care, and availed himself of his counsel. Eilberht, on his accession, made him his minister, especially in ecclesiastical affairs, and in 832 appointed him, with the clergy's consent, bishop of Winchester on the death of Holstan. St. Swithun's Day is July 15, because on that day, in 971, his relics were moved from the churchyard, where he had been buried at his own request, so that his grave might be trodden on by passersby to the cathedral of Winchester. Owing to the fact that rain fell on the day and for a considerable period afterward, the superstition exists that a rainy St. Swithun's Day brings forty days of rain immediately afterward. Miracles are reported to have followed in great number.

Remains: Body encased, with consent, is deposited in 422, July 1, 221-225, Ave., 1, 26-29, and in 272, etc. 274. —Catholic Encyclop., 11, 26-29, and in 272, etc. 274. —Encyclop. Brit. 11, 26-29, and in 272, etc. 274. —Encyclop. Brit. 11, 26-29, and in 272, etc. 274.

- 1. The Church Law. 2. The Federal Constitution (12). 3. Present Church Constitution (12). 4. International Arrangement (12). 5. State of Theology and Religion (12). 6. III. The Catholic Church. 7. The Church of Rome (12). 8. The Church of Switzerland (12). 9. IV. The Free Churches of French Switzerland. 10. 11. 12. 13. 14. 15. 16. 17. 18. 19. 20. 21. 22. 23. 24. 25. 26. 27. 28. 29. 30. 31. 32. 33. 34. 35. 36. 37. 38. 39. 40. 41. 42. 43. 44. 45. 46. 47. 48. 49. 50. 51. 52. 53. 54. 55. 56. 57. 58. 59. 60. 61. 62. 63. 64. 65. 66. 67. 68. 69. 70. 71. 72. 73. 74. 75. 76. 77. 78. 79. 80. 81. 82. 83. 84. 85. 86. 87. 88. 89. 90. 91. 92. 93. 94. 95. 96. 97. 98. 99. 100.

The census ordered by the federal government, 1820-1900, gives evidence of the varied and often complicated conditions in the Church as well as in the State of Switzerland. The four divisions tabulated were the Protestant, Roman Catholic, Jewish, and "Others not specified"; but distinctions were not clearly drawn, and subdivisions were omitted. It is therefore not evident how many members of the smaller Evangelical denominations were included either in the first or fourth category; here many Christian Catholics (Old Catholics), in the second or fourth; and how many were included in the fourth because of religious indifference or indifference. From a review of the census statistics of Dec. 1, 1900, it appears the confessional distribution in Switzerland from 1820 to 1900 has undergone little alteration. The Protestants lost eight per cent, the Roman Catholics gained the same; the Jews increased from two to four per cent of the

101 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

total; those of no denomination fell from four to two per cent. Of a total number of Jews of 12,263, the census of Zurich had 2,933 and of Basel-Stadt, 1,267. Of the 2,539 non-classified Germans had 1,928 or over twenty per cent of its total. The cantons of Zurich, Bern, Solothurn, Valais, and Neuchâtel were (1900) over 80 per cent Protestant; Appenzel-Auen-Rodens, over 50 per cent. The original cantons of Lucerne, Uri, Schwyz, the four Unterwaldens, Zug, Appenzel-Auen-Rodens, Ticino, and Valais were over 90 per cent Roman Catholic, with Freiburg at 84.6 per cent. Most closely divided are Graubünden, Protestant 62 per cent, and Roman Catholic 41 per cent; Aargau, 55 and 44, respectively; and Geneva, 47 and 51.

I. The Church Law. The federal constitution of May 29, 1874, placed the church conditions of all confessions on a new footing. That of 1848 had guaranteed to all adherents of the Christian confessions unalloyed evidence and freedom of worship; reserved to the federation and cantons the maintenance of public order and peace among the confessions and civil equality between church members and citizens; and prohibited the Jesuits and affiliated orders. The constitution of 1874 further guarantees state primary education open to all without restriction of confessional faith or freedom of conscience, both of which are pronounced inviolable within the state. There is to be no coercion of religious affiliation, religious instruction, or of any religious performance, and no penalty on account of religious opinion. Parents or guardians exercise control of the religious instruction of children till the end of the sixteenth year, in the sense of the above-mentioned principles. The exercise of civil and political rights are not to be abridged by any precept or conditions of an ecclesiastical and religious character. Religious beliefs do not exempt one from civic duties. No one is obliged to pay taxes for the special purposes of religious worship of a society to which he does not belong. The application of this fundamental principle in detail is reserved to the federal diet. Freedom of worship is guaranteed within the limits of morality and public order. Full power is accorded to the federation and cantons to adopt measures for the maintenance of order and public peace among the adherents of the different religious societies as well as against the invasion of the rights of citizens by church authorities. Questions of public or private rights arising from the formation or separation of religious bodies may, by way of complaint, be submitted to the decision of the proper civic authorities. The erection of dioceses on Swiss territory is subject to the approval of the federation. The prohibition against the Jesuits may, by act of the Federation, be extended to other religious orders whose activity may be a menace to the State, or disturb the peace of the confederation. The erection of new, or the restoration of abolished, monasteries or religious orders, is not permissible. The disposition of burial places devolves upon the civil authorities, who must see to it that every dead person is suitably buried. The civic authorities are to determine and record the civic status. The right of marriage is under the protection of the Federation, and

must not be restricted either for religious or economic reasons, nor on account of previous conduct. The spiritual jurisdiction is abolished. The carrying-out of these fundamental laws might have had as a result the complete indifference of the State to Church and the disappearance of the national state churches; but only a few radical consequences have actually resulted. A reaction in favor of a closer union of Church and State has gradually set in. The articles on the civil status and marriage have been amended. A statute aiming at the extension of the federal supervision of public schools by the creation of a secretary was voted down in 1882. After much deliberation the constitutional article was amended so that the cantons receive national aid for the primary school system without the sacrifice of independent control. The effect of the operation of the constitution to date may be summed up as follows: (1) All religious instruction, in or out of school, is facultative. However, in most cantons it is given in the schools, and in many cantons it is imparted by the clergy, especially in the higher grades. (2) The clergy may not serve as inspectors, presidents, or members of school boards; but they may, nevertheless, be elected, which often happens in the Reformed cantons. (3) It has been questioned whether persons belonging to a religious order, and hence bound by vows other than those to the state authority, may become teachers in public schools; but the Roman Catholic cantons hold to it, and no other decision has been reached by the Federation. (4) Religious jurisdiction, especially official participation of church or priest in legal questions of marriage and paternity is prohibited; the civil marriage is obligatory, and alone legally valid; the civil register must not be conducted by priests; the church ceremony prior to the civil marriage is forbidden under severe penalties. (5) The federal diet has interfered with attempts of the Roman Catholic Church to make changes in the dioceses without reference to the Federation. (6) Ecclesiastical measures (e.g., exclusion from church voting) against those who intentionally disregard church practices like baptism, confirmation, communion, church marriage, or church burial, are not admissible in cantons where the Protestant Church is established.

II. The Reformed Church. In the course of the Reformation the only alternative to the power of the papacy was the State. The resort to this on the part of the adherents of the Evangelical Church was not opposed by Zwingli and Calvin, who 1. History, sought to promote the religious moral reformation of the whole as a politically organized, as well as of individuals. Only the Evangelical adherents belonged to the State in Protestant cantons; the Roman Catholics, in cantons under their control. The former were masters, the latter servants, of their governments. The council of 200 at Zurich ordered the preaching of the Word only, carried out the Reformation in doctrine and cultus, and organized the synod of clericals in 1528, including the clergy of Glarus until 1628, and those of Thurgau and Rheintal till 1778. Similar synods were erected in St. Gall with Appenzel, Zugge-

Switzerland THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 100

burg and Schaffhausen. These instituted at Bern and Basel soon lapsed. In Graubünden (Grisons) the synod had almost independent conduct of church affairs. In Geneva, the choice of the clergy by with the Compagnie des pasteurs, and the church discipline in the hands of a consistory whose members were the six pastors of the town, and twelve men chosen by the council. The entire church government in Neuchâtel lay in the hands of the Compagnie des pasteurs. In canton Vaud, the discipline and the appointment of pastors, subject to confirmation by the government, was vested in the five classes. The union of classes into synods was not inevitable, and ceased in the seventeenth century. The current church administration was conducted by a board of examiners in Zurich, consisting of members of the council, pastors, and professors, presided over by the outside, i. e., the pastor of the Great Minster and president of the synod. It examined and ordained candidates, offered suggestions to the council for the election of pastors, and had supervision of the clergy. Similar boards existed in Schaffhausen, Basel, and other cantons under an outside or dean. The chapter of Roman Catholic times survived in the assemblies of the clergy of smaller districts, under the name of classes as in Bern and Vaud, or colleges as in Graubünden, their presiding officer being generally called dean. In Glarus, Appenzell, and Graubünden, the congregations had the right to choose and dismiss their pastors, but in most cantons this was the prerogative of the government, or of the hitherto existing collators acting on the suggestion of the examiners or church conventions. Even from the time before the Reformation the congregation in many cantons had variously constituted and differently named administrative boards which regulated discipline and morals, the observance of festivals, attendance at church, management of church property, and charities, and formed the first court of marriage discipline. Absolute church discipline to the extent of exclusion from the communion devolved on these boards only in the cantons of Basel, Schaffhausen, Neuchâtel, and Geneva, in the last of which very severe civil penalties were associated with those of the Church, such as excommunication. The synods began to lose power, or ceased altogether in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, and the church interests became more and more those of the State. With the founding of the Helvetic republic, a plan was projected for a unified church organization, but was not put into effect. The Helvetic government held the highest ecclesiastical authority, the minister of arts and sciences being also minister of religion. During the intermediate period the old forms were revived. The newly formed cantons of St. Gall and Thurgau were given synods and church councils, but Aargau, only a church council. In 1830 the political changes occasioned alterations in the church constitution looking toward more independence from the State. A few church synods obtained the right of decision in purely church matters, subject to the minister; the grand council, and the right of approval in matters not purely ecclesiastical, in Zurich, St. Gall, Thurgau, Ob- and in Schaffhausen, Appenzell, had only even in purely church affairs, the right to propose measures. Mixed synods, with a limited clerical representation, were established in Bern (1822), Neuchâtel (1848), Freiburg (1854), and Glarus (1845). Popular synods, with absolute free choice, belong to a recent date. Basel-Stadt had a church council, but no synod; Basel-Land no definite church constitution. The chapter-general in Aargau had the right of decision in purely church matters, and in others the right of approval. The cantons are independent of each other in church matters, there being no Swiss Reformed Church, in the legal sense; but only cantonal state churches. No one is obliged to belong to the established church of his canton; however, a Protestant removing from one canton to another is ipso facto regarded as adherent to an adherent of the state church when he takes up his residence. Between 1860 and 1862 one church law was made in thirteen principal cantons, including Bern and Geneva (1874), and Zurich (1902). In Schaffhausen the law of 1864 is in contradiction with the constitution of 1876. Basel-Land has as yet no church law. In purely internal church affairs (preaching, hymnal, liturgy, or materials for religious instruction), the organizations decide, with or without the pleasure of the State; but in mixed affairs the State decides, subject to the approval of the church organization (supervision of church property, pay of the clergy, or division of parishes). In Glarus, Freiburg, Appenzell, St. Gall, Thurgau, the independence of the Church or of individual churches is predominant; in Basel-Stadt, Schaffhausen, Aargau, Vaud, and Geneva, the material competence of the state authorities. The churches set up no formal creed, but declare themselves members of the Christian church, or of the Evangelical church, or avow the principles of the Reformation. Some deny all confessional form as qualification for pastoral rights and ecclesiastical offices. All citizen voters who belong to the Reformed faith, or submit to the church regulations, constitute a parish community. Outside have a vote in Appenzell and Neuchâtel. Parishes in all the cantons may have their pastor; in Vaud, however, they have only two nominations to the government. Most have the choice of the church governing boards; many the choice of the members of the synod; some have either the vote right with reference to worship, hymn-book, and liturgy, or the right to veto the proposals of the synod. The church board of which the pastor is member or officer or advisory member, generally has supervision of the order of worship, pastoral activity, speciality of instruction, moral discipline, and official or non-official charge of the poor. The synods (consistory in Geneva) are either absolute legislative bodies, in purely church affairs, or are subject to the state authorities or to the churches. They are constituted of representative members of parishes and the State combined, or election districts or of the entire canton, or of district boards. The term is three, four, or six years, and the sessions are usually annual (monthly in Geneva). The highest board of administration, variously

ing and Schaffhausen. These instituted at Bern and Basel soon lapsed. In Graubünden (Grisons) the synod had almost independent conduct of church affairs. In Geneva, the choice of the clergy by with the Compagnie des pasteurs, and the church discipline in the hands of a consistory whose members were the six pastors of the town, and twelve men chosen by the council. The entire church government in Neuchâtel lay in the hands of the Compagnie des pasteurs. In canton Vaud, the discipline and the appointment of pastors, subject to confirmation by the government, was vested in the five classes. The union of classes into synods was not inevitable, and ceased in the seventeenth century. The current church administration was conducted by a board of examiners in Zurich, consisting of members of the council, pastors, and professors, presided over by the outside, i. e., the pastor of the Great Minster and president of the synod. It examined and ordained candidates, offered suggestions to the council for the election of pastors, and had supervision of the clergy. Similar boards existed in Schaffhausen, Basel, and other cantons under an outside or dean. The chapter of Roman Catholic times survived in the assemblies of the clergy of smaller districts, under the name of classes as in Bern and Vaud, or colleges as in Graubünden, their presiding officer being generally called dean. In Glarus, Appenzell, and Graubünden, the congregations had the right to choose and dismiss their pastors, but in most cantons this was the prerogative of the government, or of the hitherto existing collators acting on the suggestion of the examiners or church conventions. Even from the time before the Reformation the congregation in many cantons had variously constituted and differently named administrative boards which regulated discipline and morals, the observance of festivals, attendance at church, management of church property, and charities, and formed the first court of marriage discipline. Absolute church discipline to the extent of exclusion from the communion devolved on these boards only in the cantons of Basel, Schaffhausen, Neuchâtel, and Geneva, in the last of which very severe civil penalties were associated with those of the Church, such as excommunication. The synods began to lose power, or ceased altogether in the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, and the church interests became more and more those of the State. With the founding of the Helvetic republic, a plan was projected for a unified church organization, but was not put into effect. The Helvetic government held the highest ecclesiastical authority, the minister of arts and sciences being also minister of religion. During the intermediate period the old forms were revived. The newly formed cantons of St. Gall and Thurgau were given synods and church councils, but Aargau, only a church council. In 1830 the political changes occasioned alterations in the church constitution looking toward more independence from the State. A few church synods obtained the right of decision in purely church matters, subject to the minister; the grand council, and the right of approval in matters not purely ecclesiastical, in Zurich, St. Gall, Thurgau, Ob- and in Schaffhausen, Appenzell, had only even in purely church affairs, the right to propose measures. Mixed synods, with a limited clerical representation, were established in Bern (1822), Neuchâtel (1848), Freiburg (1854), and Glarus (1845). Popular synods, with absolute free choice, belong to a recent date. Basel-Stadt had a church council, but no synod; Basel-Land no definite church constitution. The chapter-general in Aargau had the right of decision in purely church matters, and in others the right of approval. The cantons are independent of each other in church matters, there being no Swiss Reformed Church, in the legal sense; but only cantonal state churches. No one is obliged to belong to the established church of his canton; however, a Protestant removing from one canton to another is ipso facto regarded as adherent to an adherent of the state church when he takes up his residence. Between 1860 and 1862 one church law was made in thirteen principal cantons, including Bern and Geneva (1874), and Zurich (1902). In Schaffhausen the law of 1864 is in contradiction with the constitution of 1876. Basel-Land has as yet no church law. In purely internal church affairs (preaching, hymnal, liturgy, or materials for religious instruction), the organizations decide, with or without the pleasure of the State; but in mixed affairs the State decides, subject to the approval of the church organization (supervision of church property, pay of the clergy, or division of parishes). In Glarus, Freiburg, Appenzell, St. Gall, Thurgau, the independence of the Church or of individual churches is predominant; in Basel-Stadt, Schaffhausen, Aargau, Vaud, and Geneva, the material competence of the state authorities. The churches set up no formal creed, but declare themselves members of the Christian church, or of the Evangelical church, or avow the principles of the Reformation. Some deny all confessional form as qualification for pastoral rights and ecclesiastical offices. All citizen voters who belong to the Reformed faith, or submit to the church regulations, constitute a parish community. Outside have a vote in Appenzell and Neuchâtel. Parishes in all the cantons may have their pastor; in Vaud, however, they have only two nominations to the government. Most have the choice of the church governing boards; many the choice of the members of the synod; some have either the vote right with reference to worship, hymn-book, and liturgy, or the right to veto the proposals of the synod. The church board of which the pastor is member or officer or advisory member, generally has supervision of the order of worship, pastoral activity, speciality of instruction, moral discipline, and official or non-official charge of the poor. The synods (consistory in Geneva) are either absolute legislative bodies, in purely church affairs, or are subject to the state authorities or to the churches. They are constituted of representative members of parishes and the State combined, or election districts or of the entire canton, or of district boards. The term is three, four, or six years, and the sessions are usually annual (monthly in Geneva). The highest board of administration, variously

103 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Switzerland

stayed church commission or council and synodal commission or council, is either collator, or subordinate to the government of the canton, or has a representation therefrom, and is wholly or partly or not in any way bound by the synod. Its duty is to propose and execute the decisions of the synod; to regulate mostly the acceptance and eligibility of the clergy; supervise the clergy, institute visitations, settle cases of discipline and dispute, and, in many cantons, to supervise the administration of church property. The intermediate district boards exist only in Zurich and Vaud. The colleges in Graubünden and the deans of the chapters in St. Gall have similar prerogatives. The clergy of a district together form a chapter in Zurich, St. Gall, and Thurgau, and may submit matters to the action of the synod, and cooperate with it in practical and theological affairs. In Basel-Stadt and Aargau the clergy of the canton form the chapter. The Compagnie des pasteurs in Geneva and Basel-Land have a similar function. The clergy become eligible on the basis of a university course, followed by examination before appointed committees, or a diploma granted by a theological faculty. Ordination takes place in connection with a public service by the laying on of hands, and in most cantons by the taking of a vow, pledging faithfulness in the preaching of the Gospel, and the administration of the sacred ordinances, and purity of life. Geneva and Neuchâtel exclude the vow, placing every detail on the responsibility of his own conscience. Pastors are elected for life in Vaud and Geneva; for three years in Glarus; five in Basel-Land; six in Zurich, Bern, Freiburg, Basel-Stadt, Aargau, and Neuchâtel; eight in Schaffhausen, and until dismissed in Graubünden; but these terms are usually renewable. The church councils usually deal with the suspension of delinquent pastors; the synod with dismissal from office in Glarus, Freiburg, St. Gall, Graubünden; the civic council in Basel-Stadt, Vaud, Geneva, and Neuchâtel; the church council in Appenzell. Dismissal can be effected only by legal sentence in Bern and Zurich. The pastors are paid by the State in nine cantons, with here and there additional free-will offerings from the Church, and in the others by the churches, and salaries average between \$300 and \$500, the minimum being \$100, the maximum, \$700-\$777. A pension for retired clergy is provided by law in Bern, Basel-Stadt, Zurich, Schaffhausen, Aargau, and Vaud; and in many cantons there are free institutions for old and sick clergy, and for their widows and orphans. The Concordat of Feb. 19, 1862, relating to the mutual admission of Evangelical Reformed clergy is in effect in the cantons of Aargau, Zurich, Aargau, Appenzell-Outer, cantonal Rhodes, Thurgau, Glarus, Schaffhausen, Appenzell, St. Gall, and from 1870 the entire two Basels. These cantons together appoint an examining board, which holds office three years, and may call in professors as experts in the examinations. The usual requirements for examination are a recommendation from the church council of the canton in which the candidate has a permanent residence, a certificate affirm-

ing the sufficient gymnasium studies, and a testimonial as to morals, besides a certificate of at least two years in high-school studies for the propaedeutic test, and of at least three years for the theological. Ordination is performed by the church council, that recommends the candidates. The examination certificates qualify the holders to a position in any of the unattached cantons, but a pastor going from one canton into another must bring a certificate of official conduct and character from the church council of the former canton. Local reasons prevented Bern and Graubünden from joining the Concordat, but free interchange is in effect in all German Switzerland. The first conference of the Evangelical church boards of Switzerland met annually, 1838-63, and resolved upon (1) Good Friday as a solemn holy day; (2) the mutual admission of pastors; (3) the arrangement of a liturgy for Evangelical field service, beside pastoral instruction, and preliminaries for a military hymn-book; (4) steps toward a common translation of the Bible on the basis of Luther's; (5) propositions to the federal authorities for the simplification of the marriage ceremony; and (6) mutual exchange of the official reports of the cantonal church boards. No conferences were held between 1863 and 1875, but in the latter year the relation of the church boards to the state law as regards the civil estate was discussed and agreement arrived at concerning general principles. The church council of Zurich was authorized to look out for matters of common importance to the Evangelical churches, and to call a conference whenever circumstances warranted. In consequence by means of a joint resolution by circular of the church boards, 1876, the federal diet was induced to adopt a provision for the securing of religious instruction to children working in factories. On the occasion of the disturbance of the celebration of the national day of prayer by a military parade, 1877, similar steps secured the assurance against its recurrence. The conferences were resumed in 1881, in order to bring the church boards into closer affiliation in matters of common interest, and have been held annually ever since. They are attended by representatives of all the boards of the cantonal Evangelical state churches. The place of meeting changes every two years. Each canton has one vote which is cast according to the instructions of its board; these decisions are not obligatory, but suggestive to the cantons, or are simply expressions of common opinion. The most important subjects that have been treated are the membership of the state churches; the right of outsiders to vote, the right of women to vote; church statistics; the matter of baptism and confirmation; a general proclamation for the day of prayer, and Reformation day; on the first Sunday in November; appointment of Mar. 6, 1904, as Bible Sunday; observance of the fourth centennial anniversary of Zwingli's birth-day, 1884; prayers for the federal celebration of 1891; the question of the establishment of a permanent Easter day; measures against instruction in advanced education on Sunday, and for the restriction of amusements on solemn fast days, and especially the running of excursion trains on those

Switzerland THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 104

days; a petition for the prevention of the disturbance of the celebration of the day of prayer by military assemblies; the minimum amount of material to be taught and mentioned in the instruction of the young on the part of the church; and the provision of concrete material for the same; religious instruction in the gymnasiums; care of the newly confirmed; restriction of divorce; and regulations against games of chance and lotteries. In 1905 there were, in the cantons of the Reformation State Church only, 963 churches with 1,050 clerical positions. In the cantons dominated by the Roman Catholics there were 30 Reformed churches, 23 of which were organized and supported by Protestant aid associations. In the principal cities and in the cantons of Bern (3) there are together 27 French churches. In cantons Vaud, Neuchâtel, and Geneva are 10 German parishes.

Theological instruction is given by the theological faculties of Zurich, Bern, Basel, Lausanne, Geneva, and of the academy of Neuchâtel. Ecclesiastical instruction is no longer bound to an official confession of faith in any

4. State of Swiss Evangelical State Church, but and rests on the general recognition of Religion. Evangelical truth, as expressed in the confessions and synodal vows, or more or less briefly, in the provisions of the church constitutions. The use of the Reformation catechism is also not obligatory, and no longer generally employed, and in preparation for confirmation, the pastor is free in most cantons to adopt his own method, or to select from one of the many of greater or less merit that are available. Theological and religious diversities have produced severe and protracted controversies in the Swiss state churches.

After the strife of supernaturalism and rationalism in the third decade of the last century had been allayed by the influence of Scholiermacher, and the constitutional conflicts of 1830 had directed attention to the practical side of church matters, the "Life of Jesus," by D. F. Strauss, and its adoption at the Zurich high-school, led to a violent reaction, which culminated in the popular movement of Sept. 6, 1839. This was neither purely religious, nor purely political, but a mixture of deep, religious and moral emotion, blended with personal, local, and political interests. Hegel's philosophy and the official writings of the Tubingen school led to fresh theological and ecclesiastical controversies. This gave rise to three church parties: the strict Biblical tendency, representing a strict Christianity, which is at the heart of the free-thinking, or reform tendency; and, mediating between the two, the theological church society. The influence of the Biblicist theology among the younger men in recent decades has done much to weaken and alter these tendencies. Opposition to dogmatism and intellectualism has given rise to a strong aversion here and there to the present organization and ecclesiastical institutions, without, however, practical results. The organization of free churches has restricted itself to isolated examples in German Switzerland. The principal recourse for the edification and revivification of the Swiss Church has been

the Bible. In the German parts this is the Lutheran version. Zurich has its own since the Reformation, frequently revised and improved until 1882. Bern had the translation of Johannes Pestator (p.v.) after 1602. A revision instituted for Switzerland in 1836, remained in 1862 by the Evangelical conference, and again in 1877, resulted in the completion of the New Testament and the Psalms (Frauenfeld, 1863); but it was not adopted by the Synod of Zurich. In Geneva the old translation authorized by the Compagnie des Pasteurs held undisputed recognition and use for a long time (see Hutz Vassoua, R. VI, 1, 35). The revisions of this of D. Martin and J. F. Osterwald circulate widely in Neuchâtel and Vaud. The Compagnie authorized new versions; namely, of the Old Testament by L. Segond (1874), and of the New by H. Ottmann (1872). Divine service consists of preaching, prayer, and singing. There is no system of portions. Liturgies, of which almost every canton has its own, were drawn up partly by the Reformers and based on Roman Catholic prayers or have partly originated in recent times, or have often resulted from the long and tedious work of the synods. These liturgies, formerly strictly obligatory, can now be employed with more liberty by pastors and churches. Until the nineteenth century, church singing was restricted to the metrical versions of the Psalms in four-part settings. Hymnals have been introduced based on the German in the cantons individually. A new Swiss hymnal (1890) for universal use has been widely adopted. Seasonal holy days are Sundays, Christmas, Good Friday, Ascension Day, and Whitensund, with holiday seasons specially in the eastern cantons for Christmas, Easter, and Whitensund. Reformation Sunday is the first in November, and the third Sunday in September is a general day of national thanksgiving, penance, and prayer, celebrated since 1620. The Lord's Supper is administered three or four times a year and on solemn feast days including the day of prayer or on the Sunday before or after; but in Basel it is, besides, administered every Sunday in one of the four principal churches. Children's services are held everywhere, devoted either to catechetical or consecutive Biblical instruction. Confirmation usually takes place after the age of sixteen, following a course of catechetical instruction given on week-days. Free associations have exercised a beneficial influence on religious life in Switzerland. The Association of Swiss Evangelical Preachers and Theological Teachers was founded in 1829, for the furtherance, through united action, of the theological and practical interests of the church. This body meets annually at different sessions, and has branches in the various cantons. In addition to the cantonal assemblies the larger cantons have pastor societies. Bible societies exist in most of the cantons, that of Basel having been organized in 1804, and also missionary associations, which and partly to the general Evangelical Protestant missionary society organized in 1888. The Protestant church aid societies were founded in 1842 through the Swiss presbyter association. Under the

105 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Switzerland

priority of the Basel society they foster and support Protestantism in scattered places, especially Roman Catholic cantons, as well as in neighboring foreign countries. Associations of the Inner Mission have in hand a great variety of philanthropic work. Religious periodicals in Protestant Switzerland numbered 1904 twenty-nine, in Roman Catholic service for Free Churches of French Switzerland see IV, below. Other denominations that have gained followers are the Methodist Episcopal, the Methodists of the Evangelical Commission, the Baptist, the Catholic Apostolic (Evangelical), the Evangelical, Swedenborgian, Salvation Army, Christian Science, the Christian Catholic Apostolic Church of Zion of Alexander Dowse, and the Mormons. Of these the Methodists and Baptists are the most numerous, the former in 1904 having 60 preachers and 9,983 regular members. Many of the adherents of these continue as members of the state churches.

III. The Catholic Church. According to the representations of the Roman Curia the diocese of Chur (q.v.) embraces the cantons of Zurich, Uri, Schwyz, Entschwalden, Glarus, and

1. The Graubünden; the diocese of Basel, the Church cantons of Bern, Lucerne, Zug, Solothurn, and the diocese of St. Gall and Appenzel; of Lausanne and Geneva, the cantons of Fribourg, Neuchâtel, Vaud, and Geneva; and the diocese of Sion, the canton of Valais. The organization of the Roman Catholic Church in Switzerland is lax and for the most part in a state of confusion. The administration of the bishop of Chur is only precarious except in the canton of Graubünden. This does not include Zurich, the congregation in which were declared themselves as needed according to their own judgment, subject to the supremacy of the State. The bishop of Basel, with his seat at Solothurn, is for the time being recognized only by Zug and Lucerne. In a dispute all the other cantons save Schaffhausen pronounced the episcopal office vacated in 1873, and no reorganization has yet taken place. The letter is under the use by provisional arrangement. After violent and lengthy disputes at Bern, the Roman Catholics there organized as free associations. In the diocese of St. Gall the Roman Catholics of Appenzel assume adherence but are not formally united. In Sitten there is no church law, but the church is governed by canonical law. The canton of Ticino, according to the bishop of Basel, has its own administration residing at Lugano and chosen by the pope, by agreement with the bishop of Basel. In consequence of a papal encyclical containing strictures on the conflict at Geneva, the papal jurisdiction was abolished, the federal diet declaring further papal representation inadmissible. The total number of Roman Catholic churches is given as 1,207, and of priests, 1,327. There are 22 monasteries, of Benedictines (with 162 monks), Augustinians (106), Carthusians (21), Franciscans (5), 25 Capuchin monasteries (6-12 each), and a number of hospitals. Of numer-

ous there are 45, besides numerous congregations of sisters devoted to charity and instruction. The institute of teaching sisters at Moutier, canton Zug, has 700 teachers, who teach in 250 public schools, and care for 40 orphanages, workhouses, and hospitals, and the congregation of the sisters of mercy in Yvertoind, canton Schwyz, numbers 8,400 sisters, 1,500 of whom are active in Switzerland, and the rest in various Austrian institutions. There are organizations in behalf of the Roman Catholic interests, such as the Swiss student societies (30,000), the Roman Catholic association for internal missions, to care for interests in Protestant cantons under the direction of the Swiss bishops, and the associated Roman Catholic men and labor unions (6,000).

After the dispute arising in the Basel diocese in consequence of the Valcan Council of 1870, Bern, Aargau, Solothurn, Thurgau, and Basel

2. The rejected the doctrine of papal infallibility, and forbade their bishops to Catholic discipline priests for the non-acceptance of it. As the bishop refused to obey, he was removed, and the adherents formed the Association of Swiss Liberal Catholics. Christian Catholic churches were at once organized in the above cantons, and in the towns of Basel and Zurich. Bern and Geneva transferred the state church organization from the Roman Catholic Church to the new churches. They held their first national synod in 1875, chose a bishop, 1878, who, with all his followers, was promptly excommunicated by the pope. The synod consisted of the bishop, the episcopal council, all clergy in office, and delegates from the churches. It issued general regulations concerning worship and discipline, and chose the synodal council and the bishop. The synodal council consists of five laymen and four priests, and is the administrative and executive board. At the beginning of 1905 there were 48 churches and associations and 66 priests. The most important reforms are the use of the national language in liturgy and ritual; and the abolition of enforced confession, the commandments of fasting, and the law of excommunication. The mass is regarded as the outgrowth of the celebration of the Last Supper; and the saints, it is held, can best be honored by imitating their example. (J. HERGENROTHER.)

IV. The Free Churches of French Switzerland. Although in the same relation toward the state, these three bodies of Geneva, Vaud, and Neuchâtel are by origin, ruling principles, and historical development very different from one another. The community at Geneva is the oldest and most rigid in doctrine, organization, and discipline. Although the Free Church of Neuchâtel, which is the latest, has no touch with the government, it resembles a state church most closely, and still claims to be a national church. The Free Church of Vaud, on the other hand, holds an intermediary position between a state and a merely constitutional church. In doctrine, Vaud is the most liberal, the preference of the college of divinity being in constant touch with German theology. The Geneva community

that the creed originally had its proper place in the solemn administration of baptism. There were different local developments of the creed.

2. The terms of the *trinitarian* symbol to the State of ecclesiastical authority before baptism; Faith, a *revelatio* of the same, after catechetical exposition of the several articles, as a proof of their readiness for the sacrament; and an assent to the same in the midst of the act of baptism itself; but wherever the baptismal symbol was employed, it had, notwithstanding its personal form, a liturgical character. With this is connected the application of the formula as a sacrament, no doubt partly as the seal of initiation of the Christian, and partly as a sacred emblem signifying by its visible setting apart, once for all, of the believer as a Christian. From the very early time of the first conflict, with a contrary belief (Gnosticism, perhaps in its Marcionite form), the creed came to be used in the West as the "rule of faith." That from the middle of the second century the West considered the creed as a weapon against hostile attacks, as the standard given by God himself, is demonstrable. Not so certain but before the end of that century in Asia Minor (perhaps in connection with Polycarp's journey to Rome); but that there originally the Scriptures had occupied the position of a rule of faith. This was their position in the rest of the East, which only gradually, in some places not till the fourth century, adopted a formulated creed. Especially with Origen it appears as though there was a creed and one was desired, but it was deemed better to meet various controversial needs by expedient formulas drawn up for each occasion. This method issued in the practice of composing expedient formulas, somewhat suggestive of the symbol. In the third century and universally in the fourth, candidates for reception into the church until 1550, and is orally confessed and subscribed by those who are entering the teaching office, chiefly by priests (see TRANSEUROPEAN PROTESTANTISM).

It must be remembered that theological development in the West, unlike that of the East, differentiated increasingly the conception of the rule of faith from that of the symbol. After 4. *Change* (universally) had taken its form in the fourth century as the purpose of a rule of faith, and the Scriptures had begun to be considered as part of it, Augustine not only took account of the new developments, but also set bounds to it. He brought the symbol into such intimate connection with the Scriptures that he could speak of it as really representing in condensed form the whole of their teaching. Thus the Middle Ages held firmly to the thesis that the symbol and the Scriptures were one and the same sum of faith in a thorough sense, with varying degrees of explicitness. But while in the East the content of the Scriptures was more and more reduced to an equivalence with the liturgical use of a formula. The title passed from R. or the provincial "daughter-creeds" of R.

finally again to a single formula; the present text of the Apostles' Creed (q.v.) as received today by Roman Catholics and Protestants.

3. *Western* alike (T). It was then extended to C. *Western* which in the West also, though only most gradually and within limits, became the Eucharistic creed; and to the "Athanasian" Creed (q.v.) and one revised translation below; called *symbolon* *Quintessence* from its opening words, James Q. The Middle Ages speak of these as "the three symbols"—the phrase is first demonstrably found in Alexander of Hales, doctor, III., qn. 87, m. 5, introduction. T and C were composed usually as minor and major. Löffel of Saxony (cf. *Loeff, Symbolik*, p. 58), in the fourteenth century, defining symbol as "a compendious collection of all things which concern salvation," says that "the first symbol was made for instruction in the faith, the second for explanation of the faith, and the third for defense of the faith." Occasionally the formula of the Lateran Council of 1215, the "Definition against the Albigenses and other heretics," is called the "fourth symbol"; this professes to offer a compendium of "the whole" faith, in formal adherence to T, but makes use of C and still more of Q, besides mentioning the new developments of the Eucharistic doctrine. It may have been the obvious following of the structure of T that allowed the name of symbol to be applied to it, though it came to no liturgical use. In the same indefinite way, the name is applied to the *Symbolon Adæ et Lævæ IX præposuimus* *Petræ* epistole, though this formula has a certain public value being put in the form of questions for the examination of candidates for episcopal consecration. Similarly mention is made of the *symbolon* *Tridentinum* by which is meant "the profession of Tridentine faith prescribed by Pius IV." in 1564, and slightly enlarged by Pius IX. in 1877; it was recited by candidates for reception into the church until 1850, and is orally confessed and subscribed by those who are entering the teaching office, chiefly by priests (see TRANSEUROPEAN PROTESTANTISM).

It must be remembered that theological development in the West, unlike that of the East, differentiated increasingly the conception of the rule of faith from that of the symbol. After 4. *Change* (universally) had taken its form in the fourth century as the purpose of a rule of faith, and the Scriptures had begun to be considered as part of it, Augustine not only took account of the new developments, but also set bounds to it. He brought the symbol into such intimate connection with the Scriptures that he could speak of it as really representing in condensed form the whole of their teaching. Thus the Middle Ages held firmly to the thesis that the symbol and the Scriptures were one and the same sum of faith in a thorough sense, with varying degrees of explicitness. But while in the East the content of the Scriptures was more and more reduced to an equivalence with the liturgical use of a formula. The title passed from R. or the provincial "daughter-creeds" of R.

theologian, however, especially Vincent of Lerins (q.v.), spoke of the symbol rather as a single portion of tradition, agreeing with the Scriptures but not sufficient as a guide through them; and their view prevailed in time over Augustine's. But though the symbol gradually won the superior rank as the rule of faith, yet it was in conjunction with the traditional ecclesiastical tradition and the question of the episcopal or papal teaching office; so that practically the rule of faith or *regula* was the *prepositio* *ecclæsiæ*, that which is put forth by the Church, in which the creed have their place. In modern Roman Catholic usage the Protestant term, "symbolic books," has been adopted (*KL*, xi, 1050 sq.). A distinction is made between symbolic writings of the first and of the second class; the former including the creeds proper, the definitions of the ecumenical councils, and *ex cathedra* papal doctrines in matters of faith, while the latter are such documents as the Tridentine Profession and the Roman Catechism.

In the Reformation period the term "symbol" denoted wholly from its original liturgical basis, and acquired an almost exclusively theological meaning, in spite of the fact that T, C, and, to a certain extent, Q were still referred to as *regulae* *ecclæsiæ*. The term *regula* *ecclæsiæ* personal character of the primitive creeds also disappeared; the formulae became professions of groups or churches. Thus a distinction begins to be made between "ecumenical" creeds and those of the Protestant communities, especially of the Lutherans. With the Reformation the name "symbol" did not become customary; the term "confessions" was preferred, being better adapted to denote the formulae as the expressions of faith and the determination of doctrine on the part of the churches. The term preferred by each, however, was practically the same.

In the Formula of Concord (q.v.) the term symbol is first applied to the Augustana (see AUSTRIAN GOVERNMENT AND ARTICLES) on the same plane with the ecumenical creeds, which was added the "Apology and Articles of Schmalkald" (see SCHMALKALD ARTICLES). Neither is found in the Book of Concord was the Formula included as a symbol. The history of the internal effect of the symbol upon the development of Protestantism has not yet been written. Indeed, they performed a much smaller part in orthodox dogmatics than might have been expected. The doctrine of the Bible as the only rule of faith reduced the authority of all creeds. This supremacy of Scripture was due to its own inner authority and not to that of the Church, as before. The symbols subordinated to Scripture were obligatory only in as far as they accorded with it. They were regarded not as having dogmatic value, but as polemical and political or juridical. There remained also the consciousness that they were confessions, in the sense of witnesses to biblical truth. In the Syncretistic Controversies (see PROTESTANT SYNCRETISM) the Lutherans were disposed to emphasize the insufficiency of all extant symbols as compared with the completeness of the entire faith; this was especially the case with regard to the ecumenical

creeds, which Georg Calixtus (q.v.) and his school wished to use as a basis of union between the conflicting churches. Attempts were even made from this standpoint to formulate a new creed among the orthodox Lutherans; but the point was never actually reached. Among the Reformed, on the other hand, the production of new formulas was incessant, not so the tendency to revision or new creation yet ceased.

The authority of the creeds, strongly enforced in the period of Pietism, declined notably under the influence of rationalism. In the history of Protestantism they belong essentially to established or territorial organizations, except in certain Reformed confessions in North America and from churches elsewhere; but the relation between Church and State was really as close in the Reformed system as in the Lutheran, only somewhat differently defined, while the "free" churches, the first type of which is the English Independent, are essentially modern. In the old political systems, which contemplated only one Church in a conception not yet entirely done away; see LUTHERAN; REFORMATION; and UNION or *unus* *Christus*), the creeds were among the foundations of the constitution; and citizens, especially officials and most of all clerics, were strictly bound by them, at least as far as their public teaching was concerned. In what measure they should be binding upon the conscience was difficult to determine in Protestant states and churches. The nineteenth century has for the most part brought forth an unhistorical abstract handling of the symbols in Protestantism. A result of the methods of Schlegelmeier is a confessional theology which regards itself bound in advance by the symbols, as over against the Bible. To this the prior justification of symbols of that view of the history of dogma resting upon Hegel is to be added. The obligation of teaching with reference to them has long since been restricted to theologians, and frequently to pastors alone. The idea of this obligation, by virtue of the development assumed by theology as the science of Christianity, is everywhere in a state of uncertainty.

The authority of the creeds, strongly enforced in the period of Pietism, declined notably under the influence of rationalism. In the history of Protestantism they belong essentially to established or territorial organizations, except in certain Reformed confessions in North America and from churches elsewhere; but the relation between Church and State was really as close in the Reformed system as in the Lutheran, only somewhat differently defined, while the "free" churches, the first type of which is the English Independent, are essentially modern. In the old political systems, which contemplated only one Church in a conception not yet entirely done away; see LUTHERAN; REFORMATION; and UNION or *unus* *Christus*), the creeds were among the foundations of the constitution; and citizens, especially officials and most of all clerics, were strictly bound by them, at least as far as their public teaching was concerned. In what measure they should be binding upon the conscience was difficult to determine in Protestant states and churches. The nineteenth century has for the most part brought forth an unhistorical abstract handling of the symbols in Protestantism. A result of the methods of Schlegelmeier is a confessional theology which regards itself bound in advance by the symbols, as over against the Bible. To this the prior justification of symbols of that view of the history of dogma resting upon Hegel is to be added. The obligation of teaching with reference to them has long since been restricted to theologians, and frequently to pastors alone. The idea of this obligation, by virtue of the development assumed by theology as the science of Christianity, is everywhere in a state of uncertainty.

ii. *Comparative Symbolics*: The symbolics of modern times is partly a substitute for, and partly an amplification of, older disciplines. The latter reverts for its origin to a department of knowledge first introduced in the seventeenth century by the Lutherans, representative and lit by lectures in various universities and in literature, having as its object the introduction of the symbolic books. The creator in this form was probably Leonhard Boehmisch, author of *Encyclopædia symbolica vel analysis Confessionis Augustanæ* (Leipzig, 1612). This was followed by *Imago civis ecclesiarum Lutheranismus symbolica* (1665) by J. R. Carpov the elder (see CARPOV, J.), who used the title "symbolic books"; and an abundant literature succeeded. On the other hand, comparative symbolics takes the place of polemic. How superior in intellectual power the Roman Catholicism of the seventeenth century was is shown by the form in which the controversies were waged. It furnished the tone and presented the themes.

Doctrine was opposed with doctrine absolutely without historical appreciation on either side. The attempts at union proved also futile. The lesson of the time did not possess a correcter understanding of the confessions than the orthodox polemics. The literary expansion of polemics is best illustrated by J. U. Valds's *Bibelens förklaring*, chap. v. (19 parts, Jena, 1727-65) covering the whole history of the subject, and only in part of interest to symbolics. Fiction awakened also the life of Christians and churches alongside of doctrine, and augmented the attention to facts. The semi-orthodoxy of the first half of the eighteenth century approached matters of church and confession from the standpoint of independent understanding. The *Historie und dogmatische Entwicklung in die Reformationen* (1. *ausserhalb der lutherischen Kirche*, 2 vol., 1730-36; 2. *in der lutherischen Kirche*, 8 vols., 1739-39) is a type of the learned treatment of the inner divisions of the Church, though yet from a polemical standpoint. The *Geschichte der Religionsparteien* (Halle, 1769) by S. J. Baumgarten, representing post-church and sect, but religion, shows a broadening of the point of view. With the resurgence of an ecclesiastical interest, rationalism first produced the formation of comparative symbolics. The originator was G. J. Plank with his *Abriß einer historischen und vergleichenden Darstellung der apostolischen Systeme unserer verschiedenen christlichen Bekenntnisse* (1766). The term symbolics came to be applied to such comparative study by Philip Marchand, and "comparative symbolics" had found itself in usage as a result of G. B. Winer's *Comparative Darstellung* (Leipzig, 1824; 4th ed. improved by F. Ewald, 1862). The new step of Plank was not the limitation of the material which he restricted, nor merely comparison, but the sublimation of fundamental principles and their comparison. Marchand further emphasized the peace of history and the impartial objective treatment of the spirit and the essential in each confession. The most valuable works along this line of thought are the *Protestant Lehrsatz der Symbolik* of G. F. Oehler, issued by J. Dellbach (Tübingen, 1876; 2d ed., T. Hermann, Stuttgart, 1901) and *Handbuch der Symbolik* by Hermann Schmidt (Berlin, 1890); and the *Roman Catholic Symbolik* (Münch., 1894) of J. A. Möhler.

Progressive historical investigation must reveal that the symbols can not serve as adequate sources for the comparison of the confessions.

3. *Konfessionen*. Symbolics can form only *sonnkunde*, a department of the *Konfessionskunde* (the summary of all material knowledge pertaining to the confessional churches). By letters and literary productions it may occupy an independent position, and thus fulfil its former function of introduction to the symbols, and treat a constantly considerable part of the sources for the *Konfessionskunde*. It is understood that the symbols are specially adapted to afford an understanding of the Reformation and not forth sharply what should be the inner norm of an Evangelical church; but to determine at the present time what the churches are is another important problem.

It is commonly understood that the living churches can not be adequately judged historically, either merely by their "authoritative statements" or by the documents according to which they were originally distinguished. Hence it is incumbent upon *Konfessionskunde* to bring into view not only doctrine but also the cultus, constitution, morals, spirituality, and the life of the churches. The writer of this article has therefore sought to satisfy this alleged condemnation by substantiating the title "Comparative knowledge of confessions" (*Vergleichende Konfessionskunde*; see bibliography).

(F. KATTEWASSER.)

The Guardian, London, Nov. 10, 1906, gives the following revised translation of the Athanasian Creed (p. v.), made at the request of the archbishop of Canterbury, presented to the twenty-ninth session of the Lambeth Conference of 1906, by a committee of seven, viz.: Bishop Christopher Wordsworth of Salisbury; Dean Alexander Francis Kirkpatrick of Ely; Viscount Arthur James Mason of Cambridge; Warden Walter Lock of Keble College, Oxford; Regius Professor of Divinity Henry Barclay Swete, Cambridge; Regius Professor of Ecclesiastical History Edward William Watson, Oxford; and Cuthbert Hamilton Turner, Magdalen College, Oxford.

1. Whosoever would be saved (1): before all things it is requisite that he hold the Catholic Faith.
2. Which Faith except a man have, he will surely be damned (2): without which he will perish eternally.
3. Now the Catholic Faith is this, that we worship the one God as a Trinity, and the Trinity as one Christ.
4. Neither confounding the Persons: nor dividing the substance.
5. For there is one Person of the Father, another of the Son: another of the Holy Ghost.
6. But the Godhead of the Father, the Son, and of the Holy Ghost is one: their glory equal, their majesty co-eternal.
7. Such as the Father is, such is the Son: and such is the Holy Ghost.
8. The Father uncreated, the Son uncreated: the Holy Ghost uncreated.
9. The Father infinite, the Son infinite: the Holy Ghost infinite.
10. The Father eternal, the Son eternal: the Holy Ghost eternal.
11. And yet they are not three eternal: but one eternal.
12. As also they are not three uncreated, nor three infinite: but one infinite, and one uncreated.
13. So likewise the Father is almighty: the Son almighty: the Holy Ghost almighty.
14. And yet they are not three almighty: but one almighty.
15. So the Father is God, the Son God, the Holy Ghost God.
16. And yet they are not three Gods, but one God.
17. So the Father is Lord, the Son Lord: the Holy Ghost Lord.
18. And yet they are not three Lords: but one Lord.
19. For like as we are compelled by the Christian verity (2): to confess each of the Persons by himself (1) to be both God and Lord.
20. So we are forbidden by the Catholic religion: to speak of three Gods or three Lords.
21. The Father is of none: not made, nor created, nor begotten.
22. The Son is of the Father alone: not made, nor created, nor begotten.
23. The Holy Ghost is of the Father and the Son: not made, nor created, nor begotten, but proceeding.
24. There is therefore one Father, not three Fathers; one Son, not three Sons: one Holy Ghost, not three Holy Ghosts.
25. And in this Trinity none is before or after: none is greater or less.



- 20. But all these Persons are co-eternal one with another; and consubstantial, all were at the same time; the Trinity is to be conceived as an Unity, and the Unity as a Trinity.
- 21. Let us know therefore that would be most (1): which those of the Trinity (2).
- 22. Forasmuch as it is necessary to eternal salvation; this is how believe faithfully the formation of our Lord Jesus Christ.
- 23. The Father with substance to that we believe and confess; that our Lord Jesus Christ, the Son of God, is at once both God and Man.
- 24. He is God of the substance of the Father, begotten before all worlds (3); and He is Man, of the substance of his Mother, born in our world (4).
- 25. Perfect God; perfect Man, of reasoning (5) and of human flesh assuming.
- 26. Equal to the Father as touching his Godhead; less than the Father as touching his Manhood.
- 27. Who, although he be God and Man; yet he is not two, but is one Christ.
- 28. One altogether; who by union (10) of substance, but by taking of substance into God.
- 29. One however (11) and one God; in whom as God and Man as one.
- 30. Who suffered for our salvation; descended to the world below (12); rose again from the dead.
- 31. Ascended into heaven, sat down at the right hand of the Father; to come from thence to judge the quick and the dead.
- 32. As those coming all men shall die again (13) with their bodies; and shall give account for their own deeds.
- 33. And they that have done good will go into life eternal; and they that have done evil into eternal fire.
- 34. True is the Catholic Faith; which confesses a man being both, and inseparably, begotten by grace by word.

de alio Koko, ed. A. Babo, ed. by G. L. Hahn, Berlin, 1877. For the early church, cf. Szegecinus, 1890 (for the Latin Church); T. H. Bunsley, *The Development of the Faith*, London, 1911; W. Trueman, *Great Symbols*, London, 1911; *Die Reformationen und die christliche Kirche*, ed. E. F. W. Müller, Leipzig, 1912; *Symbolism in the Christian Church*, ed. J. Michalson, Leipzig, 1914. On the history or theory of symbols generally: J. G. Frazer, *Golden Bough*, London, 1907; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1910; A. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1911; A. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1912; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1913; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1914; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1915; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1916; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1917; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1918; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1919; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1920; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1921; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1922; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1923; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1924; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1925; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1926; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1927; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1928; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1929; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1930; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1931; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1932; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1933; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1934; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1935; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1936; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1937; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1938; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1939; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1940; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1941; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1942; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1943; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1944; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1945; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1946; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1947; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1948; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1949; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1950; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1951; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1952; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1953; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1954; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1955; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1956; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1957; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1958; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1959; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1960; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1961; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1962; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1963; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1964; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1965; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1966; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1967; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1968; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1969; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1970; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1971; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1972; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1973; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1974; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1975; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1976; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1977; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1978; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1979; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1980; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1981; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1982; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1983; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1984; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1985; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1986; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1987; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1988; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1989; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1990; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1991; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1992; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1993; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1994; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1995; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1996; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1997; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1998; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 1999; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2000; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2001; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2002; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2003; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2004; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2005; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2006; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2007; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2008; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2009; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2010; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2011; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2012; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2013; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2014; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2015; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2016; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2017; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2018; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2019; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2020; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2021; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2022; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2023; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2024; J. G. Frazer, *The Psychology of the Primitive*, London, 2025.

SYMBOLISM, ECCLESIASTICAL.

- 1. Symbolism in General.
 - (1) Definition (1).
 - (2) Symbolism rooted in Human Nature (2).
 - (3) Symbolism in the Old Testament (3).
 - (4) Symbolism in the New Testament (4).
 - (5) Symbolism in the Christian Church (5).
 - (6) Symbolism in the Christian Church (6).
 - (7) Symbolism in the Christian Church (7).
 - (8) Symbolism in the Christian Church (8).
 - (9) Symbolism in the Christian Church (9).
 - (10) Symbolism in the Christian Church (10).
 - (11) Symbolism in the Christian Church (11).
 - (12) Symbolism in the Christian Church (12).
 - (13) Symbolism in the Christian Church (13).
 - (14) Symbolism in the Christian Church (14).
 - (15) Symbolism in the Christian Church (15).
 - (16) Symbolism in the Christian Church (16).
 - (17) Symbolism in the Christian Church (17).
 - (18) Symbolism in the Christian Church (18).
 - (19) Symbolism in the Christian Church (19).
 - (20) Symbolism in the Christian Church (20).

- 2. The Middle Ages.
 - (1) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (1).
 - (2) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (2).
 - (3) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (3).
 - (4) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (4).
 - (5) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (5).
 - (6) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (6).
 - (7) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (7).
 - (8) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (8).
 - (9) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (9).
 - (10) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (10).
 - (11) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (11).
 - (12) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (12).
 - (13) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (13).
 - (14) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (14).
 - (15) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (15).
 - (16) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (16).
 - (17) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (17).
 - (18) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (18).
 - (19) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (19).
 - (20) Symbolism in the Middle Ages (20).

by which all objects used in connection with divine worship are made to bear a twofold interpretation—

to the obvious natural meaning being added another based on some analogy with supernatural things. The Greek word symbolon from which the word "symbolism" comes originally means often, the two

halves of a coin or other like object which any two contracting parties brude between them; hence the derived sense of a token or ticket, and consequently a distinctive mark or sign.

1. Definition—consequently a distinctive mark or sign, formula, in which sense the creeds of religious bodies are known as symbols (see Frazer, *op. cit.*). Symbolism is, within obvious limits, the science of the relations which unite God with his creation, the natural and the supernatural world; the science of the harmonies which exist between the different parts of the universe, constituting a marvelous whole, each part of which presupposes the other and throws light on the other. The belief of Plato that this world was nothing but the image of a divine exemplar results in the words of Ecclesiasticus: "Look upon all the works of the most High; and there are two and two, one against another" (xxxiii, 15); or again, "All things are double, one against another; and he hath made nothing imperfect" (xviii, 24). In the words of Hagns of St. Victor (c. 1150), one of the greatest of medieval symbolists, "A symbol is the comparison of the visible forms for the showing forth of the invisible" (*On Hierarchical Orders*, II, 1, 841).

The history of symbolism in a broad sense is co-extensive with that of humanity; the creation of man in God's image and likeness out of the clay of the earth, and of woman from a rib of man, are given their symbolic meaning. Cain's sacrifice of animal life by the sale of his bosom, Abel's offering of the fruits of the earth, and the golden age, are symbolic references to their Human respective callings. The worship of Nature, all man, as well as the life of many pagan religions, remained symbolic until the greatest materialism prevailed, and the family and social life of primitive peoples themselves were any important act but had its tinge of symbolism. The truth is, says W. E. Hagns (*Christian Mysticism*, p. 239, London, 1899), "that the need of symbols to express or represent our highest emotions is involved with human nature, and indifference to them is not, as many have supposed, a sign of enlightenment or of spirituality. It is, in fact, an unhealthy symptom. We do not erudit a man with a warm heart who does not care to show his love in word or act; nor should we commend the common sense of a soldier who says in his regimental orders only a peg at the end of a pole."

The most richly developed symbolism of ancient times is found in the Mosaic system, in which the most important symbols of the Old Testament of the old covenant, scarcely any symbolic history there are numerous examples of action instinctively symbolic, after the manner of eastern peoples. Typical examples are the coming of Joseph's garments by the prophet Abihah, to signify the separation of Israel and Judah (1 Kings xi, 29);

Elisha's command to Joah to smite upon the ground with the arrow (11 Kings viii, 18); Jeremiah breaking the earthen bottle in the presence of the elders of Israel (Jer. xix, 1-11); and Daniel removing his household gods as a type of the superiority of Zedekiah (Ezek. xii, 3-16). There is, however, a great difference between such things as the two fundamental symbols, the Sabbath (q.v.) and the rite of Circumcision (q.v.), both representing the covenant of God with his people, and the extremely minute and fanciful interpretations put by the later students of the Law upon every detail of the temple worship—interpretations not surpassed in elaborate ingenuity by the most imaginative of medieval symbolists. Thus the Temple was interpreted as symbolic of human nature, and the two cherubim, the only images in it of the contrivance of a comic meaning to the entire edifice, makes them as denoting the two hemispheres. The table with the showbread set forth the dependence of the people upon God for their sustenance. The seven-branched candelstick meant in Plato's scheme the seven planets, for later interpreters the congregation of the people of God. According to Josephus (*Ant.*, III, vi, 7), every detail of the high priest's official vestments had its own special meaning. Thus the coat symbolized the earth and the upper garment heaven; the bells and pomegranates, thunder and lightning; the sash, the four elements; and the interwoven gold, the glory of God. The basanites in the center of the sash set forth the relation of the earth to the universe; the girdle was the ocean, the stones on his shoulders the sun and moon, and the twelve jewels in the basanite the signs of the zodiac, while the miter once more represented heaven.

It is impossible within the limits of this article to give any extended account of the world-wide practice of attaching an inner meaning to the simplest religious acts, as it is likewise to do.

2. Comparison in detail the far-reaching nature-harmonies symbolism of the Middle Ages, which of Symbol—provided an emblematic meaning for every thing in the visible world, regarding it as "full of sacred cryptograms." A salient instance of this kind of laborious search for analogical references in the Physiologia of Albertus (probably a product of the allegorizing school of Alexandria, but popular and influential down to late medieval times), in which various animals are supposed to typify moral or spiritual qualities. A complete survey of this kind of analogical teaching would lead far afield, out of the domain of theological learning into that of poetry—at least such poetry as Wordsworth's, who reproaches his Peter Bell for blindness to it:

A horseman he is to be seen,
A pensive person was he him—
And in his hand he held
Who also says of himself, on the other hand,
From the moment there that I have been
Thoughts that do often to me deep do seem.

If this is true, in a greater or less degree, of all poets, it is not surprising to find it pointed out as a special method of the greatest of the medi-



eval poets by A. F. Osannan (Diede, p. 68, Paris, 1839), who calls it "a very philosophic proceeding, since it is based upon the incontestable law of the association of ideas, and, moreover, one which is eminently poetic; for, while prose shows the thought proposed immediately under the sign of the word, poetry sets there instead certain images which are themselves the signs of a more elevated thought."

This article considers only such symbolism as in the Christian Church has been deliberately introduced for the sake of the laicized, or, sanctioned as a more or less officially accepted extension of the inner meaning of such images. The language of signs may be used either to instruct those whose understanding of words is limited, or to baffle those who are not supposed to understand them. Thus a crucifix may be as good as a sermon to an illiterate peasant; while the sign of the fish was used by the early Christians because it told their enemies nothing. This latter kind of symbolism, however, was in the nature of the case but transitory importance, employed as it was only during the time of persecution, when it was necessary to conceal from the pagan sense of the deepest truths of Christianity.

II. Christian Symbolism: In the earliest ages of the Christian Church one would look in vain for the detailed and minute symbolism of which the Middle Ages were so full, because the conditions of divine worship had not yet allowed to be as stately and developed a ritual; but a Religion the underlying principle was the same.

1. Signs.—The belief in a real affinity or correspondence between the visible and the invisible truth. Adolf Harnack truly says (Diede, p. 144): "What nowadays are called 'symbols' is a thing which is not that which it represents, at that time in the second century, symbol' denoted a thing which, in some kind of way, is that which it signifies"; and again (p. 288): "The symbol was never a mere type or sign, but always embodied a mystery." Thus the sacrament of baptism and the Lord's Supper (q.v.) are symbols in this sense of the word, taking it to imply something which, in itself, is a sign and vehicle of something higher and better. The need of sacraments rests ultimately upon the substance inductive in our nature to allow any spiritual fact to remain without external expression, as well as upon the principle enunciated by Augustine (*Contra Faustum*, lib. vi, c. 11) that "there can be no religious society, whether the religion be true or false, without some sacrament or visible symbol to serve as a bond of union." Both of these are symbols of the mystical union between Christ and the believer, baptism symbolizing that union in its inception, the Eucharist in its organic life. In harmony with its natural development, Christianity took over a multitude of the old symbolic interpretations both those of earlier revelation and those of various surrounding peoples. But it also carried the language further by attaching symbolic meanings to its own proper ceremonies and external acts. Thus, early in the development of Christian worship, the exact manner of perform-

ing the more important ceremonies tended by degrees to become fixed and prescribed, in order that the same belief might be everywhere. **2. Early** were symbolized and the same sense tendency taught by the action in question; toward although so long as the advantage of doctrinal absolute uniformity was not recognized, it was possible for varying symbols to set forth different sides of the truth. Thus in Spain in the sixth and seventh centuries, a single immersion or affusion was customary in baptism in order to assert against the Arians the single substance of the three divine Persons—"one Lord, one faith, one baptism"—while usually it was threefold, setting forth the other side of the same doctrine and corresponding to the pronunciation of the three sacred names of the Trinity. The most interesting features of early Christian symbolism are to be found in the painted and sculptured representations of the Catacombs (see Catacombs) and later of the most ancient churches, which were full of the fervent faith of the primitive Church. The art of Rome in the period just before the coming of Christianity had shown an increasing tendency not to represent objects literally.

3. Early ally, but to employ visible forms for the representation of abstract notions. The fundamental difference, however, between classical art, as represented by the Greek and Christian art, as represented by the Gothic, is that the former, deathly contented on more physical beauty, while the Christian artist, who has gained a conviction of his own spiritual nature, always tries to represent it. (Clement of Alexandria (q.v.) suggested to the faithful of his day that instead of the pagan devices cut on stones and rings by Roman artists, they should have such things as a dove, symbolic of the Spirit of God within them, the palm-branch of victory, or the anchor, emblematic of their hope. Tertullian, in his *De idololatria*, though his zeal against pagan rites inclines him to object to all representations and to stigmatize the painter's art as unlawful, yet makes an exception in favor of those devices, and speaks of the Good Shepherd as depicted on chalices (*De psalibus*, vii, x, Eng. transl. *APF*, iv, 86, 84-85).

The sources of the early symbols are various. Those of a pictorial nature, owing to the prohibition of painted or plastic representations among the Jews, usually either spring from primitive

4. Sources live Christian consciousness, or are figures adaptations of forms already at hand.

Employed in the work of pagan artists. A useful illustration of the latter case is the frequently recurring figure of the Good Shepherd, which often resembles that of Hermes Kriophoros, the man-bee, Apart from the sheep which the shepherd occupies in the life and literature of ancient peoples, it is obviously unnecessary to conclude that the motive or spirit of the Christian symbol was derived from prevalent heathen thought. Not to mention the frequent reference to the Old Testament to the paternal relation of God to his people, the words of Christ himself (John x, 11-19) would naturally have been in the artist's mind; and confirmatory evidence is often present in the accom-

panied with the figure of distinctively Christian symbols—the fish, the Chi Rho monogram (see Jesus Christ, Monogram or), or the Alpha and Omega (q.v.). The palm-branch is found equally on pagan and Christian monuments, but in the latter bears its unmistakable reference to Rev. vii, 9. The vine, again, occurs on heathen monuments with manifest reference to the worship of Bacchus, while it would at once call up in Christian minds the parabolic teaching of John xv. In the absence of authentic likenesses of the Savior, his person, life, and office were set forth under symbols whose meaning was at once intelligible to all the initiated. Among the earliest and most frequently recurring is the lamb, which, with a variety of accompaniments, continued to be used until the Trullan Synod in 682 (*Hilfde, Constantinopolitan*, iii, 160, Eng. transl. v, 234, Fr. transl. ii, 1, p. 672)—apparently because of mystical extravagance connected with it in some minds, the decision, however, was not accepted by the Western Church, in which the symbol of the lamb long remained an object of reverence. Of even deeper significance in the fish (Greek *ichthys*), sometimes in its pictorial representation and sometimes indicated merely by the Greek letters of its name. Whenever the word or the figure was found, rudely scratched upon the fresh surface of the Catacombs, or more elaborately chiseled in connection with other symbols or inscriptions, or engraved upon gems in signet rings, it spoke of the precious doctrine contained in the five words for which its Greek letters stand—"Jesus Christ, the Son of God, the Savior." It occurs even more in some early mural paintings which bear distinct evidence of the eschatological character of the feast in which the fish is the central figure. Still more permanently familiar are the cross, the Chi Rho or monogram composed of the first two Greek letters of the name of Christ, and the Alpha and Omega, referring to Rev. i, 11, xxi, 6, xxi, 13. The disciples appear also under the symbol of the Good Shepherd's fold, the Church as a ship riding safely over the waves, or as the ark of Noah, to which a dove returns, bearing an olive-branch in its beak.

The other division into which the subject falls, the symbolism of ceremonial observances and accessories, is illustrated by a long series of liturgical treatises, becoming fuller and more developed minute as the thirteenth century approach of processions. Among the earlier works, liturgical those of the Alexandrian school, with Symbolism its characteristic leaning toward symbolic or allegorical interpretation of Holy Scriptures, contain the most frequent instances of such treatment. Cyril of Jerusalem's catechetical instructions are also full of mystical explanations of the Church ceremonies (see *Mystagogia*, Theodorov), although when he approaches the chief of them all, the Eucharist, he is almost exclusively preoccupied with the dogmatic and devotional aspects of it. The works of the pseudo-Dionysius afforded much suggestion for the later development; and in the seventh century Bede and Isidore of

Seville (q.v.) lead along the path which was to be so much trodden. Symbolism was reduced to speculative science by Hugo of St. Victor, by his pupil and successor Richard of St. Victor (q.v.); by Honorius of Autun (q.v.), who, after the fashion of the time, took all knowledge to be his province; by Simon, bishop of Cremona (d. 1215), renowned as a historic, exegetical, and liturgical writer, especially in his *Mirrae sacre officii ecclesiasticae*; by Vincent of Beauvais (q.v.), after Albertus Magnus and Thomas Aquinas (q.v.), the most distinguished Dominican theologian of the thirteenth century; by Jacobus de Voragine (q.v.), the Dominican archbishop of Genoa, in his "Golden Legend"; and most of all by Durandus (q.v.), bishop of Meaux in Langueudo, the greatest of medieval liturgologists, whose *Rationale divinorum officiorum*, written about 1284, is said to have been often republished during the early days of printing than any other book except the Bible.

There was no limit to the range of medieval symbolism. Hugo of St. Victor (*In Ardenis ecclesiam*, li, x, 1146) considered it possible that "intelligent minds might perhaps arrive at the union of everything in a beautiful harmony, visible and invisible great things alike, as to have no single being, sensible object without demonstrating something immaterial." There was no detail about a church, however insignificant to the ordinary eye, in which the medieval imagination could not find a meaning, an analogy. Thus in the very walls of the church building, where, of course, the foundation and other stones have their Scriptural interpretations (1 Cor. iii, 11; 1 Pet. ii, 5), Durandus goes so far as to remember and interpret the content. This "without which there can be no stability of the walls, is made of lime, sand, and water. The lime is fervent charity, which joins to itself the sand, that is, undertakings for the temporal welfare of our brethren; because true charity takes care of the widow and the aged, and the infant, and the infirm; and they who have it study to work with their hands, that they may have wherewith to benefit them. Now the lime and the sand are bound together in the wall by an admixture of water. But water is an emblem of the Spirit; and as without cement the stones can not cohere, so neither can men be built up in the heavenly Jerusalem without charity, which the Holy Ghost works in them" (*Symbolism of the Churches*, p. 15, London, 1906).

The above quotation is an instance of the perfunctory with which a meaning was sought for everything, however practical the reason for its presence. Of more importance and, for most people, of more interest are the significations attached to the essential and prominent ritual accessories of the chief act of worship in the Roman Catholic Church, medieval and modern. Candles (see *Lumen, Dies or, in Vomeris*) burn upon the altar, to typify the true Light of the world. Incense is used at certain portions of the mass, as before the altar in heaven (Rev. vii, 2), and with the same general application, that of prayer (Rev. v, 8). But, as in so many cases, there is no restriction to a single symbol-

meaning. Thus the Fathers delight to see in the center the humanity of Christ, in the fire his divinity, and in the smoke his grace. The censor, says Augustine, is like the body of the Lord, and the incense like the same body offered in sacrifice for the salvation of the world and received as a sweet perfume by the Heavenly Father (*Item*, vi, to Alpo, x, 3).

The vestments of the officiating priest and his attendants have such its reminder, either of the passion of Christ or of some other mystery. 7. *Sym-* esary to his minister. The amice (black) figures the helmet of a warrior, and Vestments reminds the priest that he is a warrior; and *ingula*, or it is a memorial of the veil with which the eyes of Christ were bandaged by the soldiers. The alb is the white robe put upon him by Herod, the emblem of purity. The girdle brings to mind the manner in which Christ was bound, and typifies continence. The maniple, originally a kind of handkerchief intended to wipe the face during the holy effusions, teaches the lesson that man must own the bread of immortality in the sweat of his brow, and figures also the wings and scourges of the passion. The stole, even in its present diminished form, by its very name reminds us of the garment of innocence and immortality with which our first parents were clothed. The chasuble symbolizes the yoke of Christ; when he puts it on, the priest prays: "O Lord, who hast said: My yoke is easy and my burden is light, grant that I may carry it in such a manner as to obtain thy grace"—and there are similar prayers alluding to the symbolic meaning with each of the other vestments. Of those peculiar to bishops, it will be sufficient here to mention the crozier or pastoral staff, in the shape of a shepherd's crook which in his own diocese he carries with the curved part out, as a sign of jurisdiction; and the mitre which he turns it toward himself to express the opposite. The edon and the like like the mitre under the old law were five—gold, blue, purple, scarlet, and white (*Item*); and in the Gregory the Great (*De pastorali cura*, li, 2) there seems to have been a tendency to retain the consecrated sequence. The modern Roman colors, however (especially followed in the Anglican church), while still five according to the traditional number, are partly different. They are: white, a symbol of purity, on feasts of Christ, the Blessed Virgin, confessor (saints who were not martyrs), and virgin unless also martyrs; red, suggesting both blood and fire, on feasts of Peter and of all martyrs; green, the ordinary color of nature, on Sundays and weekdays not specially set apart; violet, the number color of mourning and penance, during Advent and Lent; black on Good Friday and in services for the dead. See *Mass*, II, 7.

The unguents accompanying the solemn administration of the sacrament of baptism at this day in the Roman Catholic Church, most of which have come down from very early times, are an admirable illustration of the manner in which every sacred action in sacred things was supposed to teach the own lesson to the participants and spectators. The priest, wearing a violet stole, symbolize of the

miserable state of fallen man, meets the child at the door of the church to signify that in its original state it has no right to enter the house of God. After the command to the baptism in the font, Master is impressed on the child's forehead and breast with the sign of the cross; and the priest lays his hand upon its head to denote that he takes possession of it in the name of God. Salt, which preserves from corruption and gives a relish to food, is then put into the mouth, and then, lest the devil should attempt to take away the gift of Christian wisdom and the relish for divine things, he is solemnly exorcised. A strange but very ancient ceremony, mentioned by Andrew (*De sacramentis*, li, 1) is still retained at this point. In memory of Christ's curing of a deaf-and-dumb man by knocking his ears and tongue with spittle, the same is done by the priest to the ears and nostrils of the child, to symbolize the opening of its ears to the truth and its mouth to the praise of the Lord. After the formal renunciation of Satan at the font (see *Renunciation* or *ren* *Devot*), the child is anointed with the "oil of catechumens" on the breast to make it love the yoke of Christ and on the shoulders to give it strength to carry that yoke. The actual essential ceremony of baptism proper has already been spoken of. It is followed by a fresh anointing with the sacred chrism, in token of the quality of prophet, priest, and king which has been bestowed upon the new member of Christ; and the child's head is covered with the white christening-cloth (as the newly baptized adults in the primitive Church were their white garments for eight days), as a reminder of the necessity of striving to preserve baptismal innocence unspotted to the end. A lighted taper is then placed in the child's hand, held by one of the godparents; and the words put into the priest's mouth express an allusion to the light which must be kept burning till the sun comes to go out and meet the bridegroom.

For our final illustration, the intricate ceremonies of the consecration of a church, as would naturally be expected, were in the Middle Ages, and are to-day in the Roman Catholic Church, so *conce*—full of an elaborate symbolism of their own, including some unique features. One is that in which the bishop, with the letters first of the Greek alphabet and then of the Latin in the shape of a great X from corner to corner of the church; this corresponds to the taking possession of land and marking its boundaries. While not found in the East and not attested before the ninth century in the West, this rite goes back for its origin much further, and may have been suggested by the practice of Roman surveyors, who used to trace two transverse lines on land which they wished to measure. But it was easy to regard the formation of the big X as a taking possession of the floor space of the church in the name of Jesus Christ, the great Alpha and Omega, whose monogram and title, so to speak, were written large upon the pavement by the tracing of the intersecting letters. Illustration with specially prepared holy

water is a prominent part of the rite; but rather too much, it seems, has been made of the analogy drawn by L. Duchesne (*Christian Worship*, p. 411, London, 1904), following such medieval liturgists as Yves of Chartres, between the consecration and the consecration of baptism. There is no attempt, however remote, to imitate the "form" of baptism, and it is safer to regard the baptism as a merely symbolical moral purification. It is the natural and logical order that purification should precede embellishment. Even in pagan Greece, at the annual commemoration of those who fell at Platae, the archon washed the gravesites with water before anointing them with oil. So in the rite under discussion the consecration is symbolized and made eloquent to the spiritual sense by the use of oil, typical of God's benediction.

III. Symbolism in Art.—1. *Baptism of Art and Symbolism*: Throughout the entire history of Christian art more or less of symbolism has ever been present. This Christian art has on the one side been in agreement with the historic manifestations of forms of art in general, while on the other side religious fancy and the tongue of the Church and of Christendom have brought forth a wealth of symbols, comparisons, allegories, and types peculiarly their own which in turn have had an especially powerful influence upon art. In the early Christian period alongside of purely Christian conceptions earlier art traditions were still operative. In the discovery and interpretation of art symbols needful care has not always been exercised in distinguishing between what belongs to literature, what to literature and art combined, or what example in their symbolic interpretation concerned themselves all too narrowly with the emblematic speech of art. For the Middle Ages the most effective work has been done by such French scholars as Cahier and Dillon, for the early Christian period by German Protestantists.

2. *The Martyr Period*: For the period before Constantine this form of expression is limited to sepulchral monuments. The expression is essentially symbolic, and material from the Old and the New Testament is used to express the conceptions and hopes of that period. 1. *Primitive Forms*: although with occasional peculiarities more or less loosely attached, Roman branches of bloom, flowering meadows, and trees, especially the palm, represent paradise, the entrance being indicated by two pillars, or later, by two great candelabra. Still more significant was the lamb, standing upon a mountain from which gushed the four streams of paradise, and the doves also introduced into this connection. In very intimate connection with this was the favorite figure of the good shepherd, thought of as lord and protector of the flock; with which belonged also the sheep upon his shoulder. The sheep also appeared alone in this symbolism; the number twelve represented the apostles; the lamb stood for Christ and also for the sacrificial lamb; exceptionally upon the sarcophagus of Julius Bassus the lamb represents the

wonder-working Jesus. The fish, one of the most ancient symbols, also belongs here so far as it expresses the mystical communion brought about through the Lord's Supper, the result of which is incorporation. The conversion of this into a confession as *Fiducia* (= *Fides* Christe *Fiducia* *non* *est* *sed* *est*) can be proven first in the fourth century, while the designation of the Christians as *peccatores* seems never to have been taken up into art. The dove, appearing as early as the second century, either relates itself to the Lord's Supper or represents the living community with Christ (*Fiducia* *est* *in* *Christo*). The dove, with or without the olive branch, is the symbol of heavenly peace belonging here; it may be purely ornamental, however, or it may represent the Holy Spirit. So mention may be made here of the palm, the wreath or crown, and the anchor. The peacock was a possession of the ancients of Jewish, and of Christian apocalyptic symbolism. The same may be said of the Stork, the Dove, Peon, and Parrot. The figure of Orpheus also was used, not because there was any relation to Christ, but possibly because of the connection to the Orphic mysteries with immortality. Ship and lightning bolts portrayed the voyage to eternity; the shepherd suggested the sighting of the soul for eternal peace, and was employed in baptiseries. Whether the so-called *Orantes* (praying figures, generally female) represented Christian prayer as such is not absolutely sure, but they do not represent the Church. Religious regard restricted representations of the deity to a hand reaching down, later accompanied by a nimbus or giving rise to rays of light. From the secular life were taken such figures as the lion, eagle, horse, balance, and instruments of labor.

With the end of the fourth century began the downfall of sepulchral figuring and loss of its symbolic, replaced by a new series of symbols. To be named here are the monogram of Christ (see *Jesus Christ*, *Monogram* etc.), the cross (see *Crucifix* and *crux* *in* *Uta* as a *crux*), the *A* and *Omega* (*in* *Uta*), the *phoenix* and the *resurrection eagle* (both symbols of the resurrection), the *mathurans* from which vine branches issue (resembling the *Supper*), white serpents, dragons, and other monsters either in at Christ's feet or are transfused by the emperor's bannered spear (treading the fall or representing the devil and his temptations). Other images introduced more or less frequently were the hand, spiral, eye, eye, spiral or well, dog, and ring. To be separated in category are the purely heathen symbols which owe their entrance into Christian art to purely mechanical causes, such as *Eros* (*Cupid*) with reversed torch (see *Myrris*, *Mirramis*), *Georg* (hand and pomegranate (an accompaniment of *Persphone*)). A higher step in development was taken when by way of personification the human figure came to be used. In a half-figure, with a robe in folds over the head, upon which the enthroned Christ sets his foot, represents the heaven. With arm and knee appearing as disk and crescent, the sun appears also as youth going forth in his chariot with winged horses, likewise as a hand from the head of which issue rays; the moon is a woman with the sickle in her hair or with a garment blowing or falling in folds about her. Male

forms, from the potestals of which waters issue, represented sea and rivers, especially the Red Sea and the Jordan. Nymphs of the springs appear also, while the seated nudes may represent the city if she have a turreted crown. The Codex Bezaevansi introduces a new Christian creation, wisdom, or inspiration; death is presented as a half-naked youth seated upon a scorpionskin, and prayer was also personified.

2. **The Middle Ages.** In the Middle Ages there was a great influx of new forms and ideas, derived not only from the influence of the Bible and the sermon, but also from the liturgy, the legends of the saints, the religious drama, and the novellas, from fable, and indeed from scholasticism. Yet only a small part of what existed, was available as really employed.

The mystery of the Trinity was represented by the triangle by three men interlocked, or by a triplet of life-formed animal shapes; while about the end of the period issued a three-headed form. The number three had an important part in architecture and artistic composition. The Holy Spirit was still figured as a dove, while doves represented likewise the seven gifts of the Spirit; only exceptionally did the person of the Trinity appear in human form as a lad. The life of Jesus afforded a rich material. For the incarnation the unicorn legend was used—hunt by Gabriel and his four hounds, pity, truth, justice, and peace, the unicorn lost reign in the protection of the seated virgin. The virgin birth is connected in symbolism with the virginity of Mary, as by the use of the lily. So from the Old Testament in connection with the life of Christ were brought the burning bush, the vessel with manna, the rod of Aaron which sprouted, etc.

The sufferings and death of Christ were symbolized by the lamb with the cross-banner or the stream of blood flowing from his breast. The self-sacrificing death of Christ was figured by the pelican which pierced its breast to give drink to its young. The lioness also appeared, bringing life by breathing upon its her stillborn cub. The lion represented the evil power and the devil. Christ's exaltation was figured by showing him seated upon a throne or a rainbow, a lily branch (grace) issuing from his mouth, while a sword denoted justice. The Virgin Mary was portrayed as queen of heaven with diadem, scepter, and throne, and with her were pictured sun, moon, and stars; as "mother of pity" she wore a mantle which was caught up by the wind and covered those who sought her help; to her the lily and the rose were sacred; the red rose symbolized her sufferings, the white her joy. The functions of prophets and apostles and certain doctors of the Church as teachers were represented by the book or roll which was given them; to some, certain definite articles gave character and distinction—the lily to David, the keys to Peter, or the sword of martyrdom distinguished Paul; the founders of churches sometimes bore in the hand a model of the church.

Of course the Church had its figures representing itself. In the most beautiful representations of the Middle Ages the Church appeared as a royal woman, crowned, carrying the banner of victory and the

cup; or Christ on the cross crowned her, or she ought from his wounded side the flowing blood, and a prophet was her companion; her significance for salvation was represented by a ship or the ark. Opposed to her stood the synagogue, subservient to her, and the law falling to earth; a bandage covered her eyes, and the crown was falling from her head. The influence of the religious drama was felt here, and the popular feeling against Judaism registered itself in art by picturing Judaism under the figure of the ass. For the sacrament the cup and more of grain had their symbolism; the cup on a graveness indicated the priestly character of the deacon. Transubstantiation had also its symbolism in the "mill of the host." Of course, the meaning character of the Church was displayed here, and the virtues appeared on portals, in the chancel, on memorials of the dead, and in the patterns of the carpets. Practically always they appeared as female forms. Faith had the cup and the cross, Justice had the balance, Charity (or love) protected a beggar or a child, Hope looked off in the distance or stretched out her hand for a crown, Prudence held a book, Fear made preparation (for defence or attack), Temperance had a measuring instrument, Charity was represented by a palm, piety, or a nursing dove, Humility by a dove, Perseverance by a cross, Harmony by an olive branch. Naturally this symbolism induced the figuring of the opposite: Idleness was shown by a man working an idyl, Disobedience by a man committing suicide with a sword, Inconstancy by a monk fleeing from the monastery.

As the seasons symbolized the changing course of human life, so the wheel of fortune was especially employed for this purpose, being taken in from pre-Christian art after being passed over.

3. **Later** by early Christian symbolism. It became a great favorite as a moralistic **Conceptual** medium. The destructive night of death was set forth by the figure of a man wading a garden or falling trees, or of a rider (Rev. vi. 8) with stretched bow, or more extensively as an emaciated old man which developed into the skeleton with stick and hourglass. The dance of death (see Dance, Dance out), a favorite theme in art, is in origin connected with the devastation of the Black Death. The departing soul was usually pictured as a small naked, sexless human figure, issuing from the mouth. The last judgment was also a subject of art, in which the revenge of the monsters of hell occupied the central place, while the devil was a prominent figure. Upon the devil played all the popular riddles of imagination and grotesqueness; hence developed the human figure in varied shapes, with horns of goat, hoofs, bat wings, and tail; he even appeared as a black bird inspiring Pontius Pilate. There was in connection with these not a little also of the humorous. There was a great revival of the antique art as a literature. Socrates, Demosthenes, the Sily, Plato, and Aristotle are common figures. Great difficulty attends the understanding of the meaning of the scenes in which

animals appear, now figuring in wild combat and again grouped in walled poses. These are found especially in Germany in many situations. Possibly they may mean the explanation in the olden time warning against demonic powers, animals, and stars; occasionally the decorative motive is evident; again possibly, though not probably, irony is present.

4. **Byzantine Art.** Byzantine art was not nearly so rich in its forms and figures as the artistic symbolism of the West, the naturally conservative tendencies of the East revealing themselves here and permitting little that was creative. In this its literature differed, employing themselves here personification, and types freely. While the West employed the human form in this region, the East remained true to its traditions in the employment mainly of animal and plant forms. The image controversy (see Iconoclasts and Iconoclasts, II.) had its effects in this direction, so that the Physiognomy itself had a far narrower influence upon art. Anthropomorphic symbolism was less potent in its effects. Of course the East had its own favorite symbols. Thus in the pictures of the last judgment there appears the crowned king of hell riding a sort of griffin. It had also the "Dilemma," a throne upon which rested cross, hand, and book—representing Christ; while the empty throne stood for the majesty of God; in which the early Christian abbreviation of figuring God as a person is seen. Byzantine art remained closer to the earlier personification, as when the shepherd had David, playing the lute, given the key to the moloch; behind a pillar in the distance the Oxid Eddo takes up the tone, while in the foreground the half-naked mountaineer listens. Similarly David appears as the royal singer, by his side the female form Sophia, and Prothor and above his head the Holy Spirit as inspirer in the form of a dove.

In France, Germany, and England the Roman Catholic Church is endeavoring at present to come again into full accord with the symbolic feeling of the Middle Ages, while Italy and Spain seem to linger in the rear. There are attempts at creation, seen especially in the use of the "Heart of Jesus" and "Heart of Mary." The Protestant churches are also showing an awakening interest in symbols and their use in religious art. (See Artistic and PARAGRAPHS.)

5. **Adaptation of Symbolism from the Roman Catholic Standpoint.** A certain amount of symbolism is necessary in all religions, pagan, Christian, Roman Catholic, or Protestant. In all ages and places the inward feeling of devotion must be accompanied by an outward manifestation. Symbolism of it. All religious actions are seen to be from their very nature symbolical and visible. Figurative. The Mosaicist in his devotion must take off his shoes and kneel on his knees facing toward Mecca. These are symbolical acts. Even the Quaker must keep on his hat and sit in a bare room in silence, to show by these symbols his vain attempt to disregard symbols. *A fortiori*, the Roman Catholic, whose religion is based on a sacramental system, is surrounded on all sides by symbolism, in architecture, art, and music, all contributing to the elaborate ritual

of his public worship in the holy sacrifice of the Mass, which sanctifies and applies symbolism in the highest and widest sense. The study of Roman Catholic ceremonies might be called the science of liturgical esthetics.

It may be said that a symbol is synonymous with a sacrament, inasmuch as they are both signs, and something which stands for something else. **Symbol**,—something exterior, visible, which mental signifies and usually conveys some **Analogy**, thing interior, invisible. For instance, life, per se, is itself—vegetative, sensitive, or rational—never really seen; it is perceived only by its effects in exterior signs and symbols which that life informs, through which it works. The best illustration of the symbolism or sacramentality of the universe is found in man himself, a microcosm, as he is called, a "sum and compendium of the universe." His body, composed of all the sensitive, vegetative, and mineral elements found in the world outside him, is the sacramental symbol of the rational soul by which it is informed; the outward and visible sign of the real invisible ego within. And man thus constituted, himself a kind of sacrament, in a world which is by its nature universally sacramental, must of necessity lead a sacramental life, both in the order of nature and of grace, i. e., because in the order of nature therefore in the order of grace; for grace does not destroy nature, on the contrary, it presupposes and perfects it; nature is the raw material for grace to work upon. Revealed religion is above natural theology, but never opposed to it. A religion purely spiritual, without the outward sign of the inferior grace, would be for angels (pure spirits), not for men—at least according to Roman Catholic doctrine. Indeed, Christ himself became a sacrament, for he became man. The incarnation is the sacrament of sacraments; his humanity was the sign, the symbol of his divinity. As a teacher of men he was a symbol, for he spoke in parables. The sacramental idea is coextensive with the Roman Catholic Church, and is the basis of ecclesiastical symbolism with all it implies, not only of the seven sacraments themselves, but of the elaborate Roman Catholic ceremonial and ritual. Every Roman Catholic theologian who admits a divinely instituted sacramental system is necessarily more or less of a symbolist. The reason for symbolism in the supernatural is, according to Thomas Aquinas, the same as that required in the natural order, viz., man is composed of body and soul. Truth, which is immaterial, must be presented to him by material signs. In the natural order ideas are expressed by words; thoughts arrive to us through the senses, not that the material sense contains the essence of the immaterial idea, but that it is its messenger, just as the wind carries winged seeds. In the supernatural it is the intellect, our king. Similarly in the supernatural order grace is brought to men through the exterior form of sacraments and ceremonies.

Sacrament here it takes in the strict Roman Catholic, theological sense, as understood of the seven sacraments, to wit: it must be a sensible sign, it must be instituted by Christ, it must effect the grace it signifies. The matter—material

(music)—of these sacraments was not chosen arbitrarily by Christ. . . . It was becoming again to quote Thomas Aquinas: "that there be a Sacra. . . a natural analogy between the matter of the sacrament and the grace-oper. . . . Analogie, said by St. . . e.g. What is the effect of baptism? . . . Therefore water, which cleanses the body, in the necessary matter of this sacrament. Oil softens and strengthens, it purifies and nourishes, serves as a dressing for wounds, it is a soothing for food, and, combined with other liquids, rises to the top; it is used for the anointing of priests and kings. . . . It is a symbol of youth and vigor of soul. . . . Mitred with palm it is the symbol of good example, of the good order which the Christian soul should everywhere exhale. . . . All these many qualities of oil are symbols of the many and great operations of the Holy Ghost upon the soul, hence its use in the sacraments of baptism, confirmation, holy orders, and extreme unction. . . . Blood and wine are the matter of the sacrament of the Eucharist, because, according to the Council of Trent, Holy Communion produces in the spirit of all the effect of bread and drink in the material order, it sustains, gives growth, repairs force, and fills the soul with a holy joy. . . . In La Symphonie (see bibliography), Landfried, archbishop of Helms, says in effect: Every creature, however small, is a partial and material expression of the Infinite Intelligence. . . .

4. Essential his proper form, material expression of the Infinite Intelligence. . . . Symbol, said of the World, and of which the Indefinite perfect though in a sense a true expression. . . . Just as it was written for a man of theory given can distinguish in each letter his form, individuality, material direction, typographical beauty, yet underneath the dead letter—above all in the ensemble of these instinctive characters—there is something else: there is the edifying genius, and varied thought of the matter. . . . So every object of creation corresponds to a divine ideal, it is a sign of a divine thought, a hieroglyphic of the language of heaven. . . . Hence, Roman Catholic theology, the highest Roman Catholic philosophy, the great secret of art, consist first in knowing how to spell, then to read, then to understand this magnificent poem of the Creator. His sublime literature of art, this masterpiece of the greatest of creative artists. . . . Thus to discover through the material shell, the most difficult of things is to discern him who is at once the Great Unknown and supremely intelligible Being. . . . An activity could hardly be found more worthy than this man's rational soul, made, as it is, after the image of its maker.

But such is the science and the philosophy of ecclesiastical symbolism, understood and applied by the Roman Catholic Church, "symbolism" in its most universal and therefore most Catholic meaning and use. . . . SYMBOLISM. On the general notion of symbolism the reader is referred to HUGOT'S *De sacris speciebus* and DE WISSEBACH'S *De sacris speciebus*. . . .

Hausmann, Die christliche Symbolik. Braunschweig, 1881. H. Beger, *Die Götterwelt als Symbolik*. Kempten, 1880. H. Grosse, *Die Götterwelt als Symbolik*. Kempten, 1880. H. Grosse, *Die Götterwelt als Symbolik*. Kempten, 1880. H. Grosse, *Die Götterwelt als Symbolik*. Kempten, 1880. . . .

SYMBOLISM APOSTOLICAL. See APOSTOLICAL.

SYMMACHIUS: The name applied to one or more heretical sects, at least one of them existing at Rome. Philaster (*Her. list.*) speaks of them as derived from a certain Patriarch, a teacher at Rome, whose chief doctrine was that the body was the handiwork of the devil, and that in consequence its treatment of it was a duty. . . . This was passed by followers to its extreme of ascetic and of indulgence in all lute. Ambrosiaster (prologue to Galatians, and in I Tim. iv. 1) brings a sect of the name

into connection with Marcionite (see MARCION) and Manichaeism (q.v.). Argentine (*Contra Praxerem*, xix. 4, 17, N.P.F.), ser. iv. 240, 246, Contra Marcionem, c. 11) relates Symmachus with the Eucharist (q.v.), and also (by implication) with Manichaeism. SYMMACHUS, also "Symm." Pope 489-514. On the death of Anastasius II, the deacon Symmachus of Sardis and the archpriest Laurentius divided the vote; the former was consecrated in the Lateran and the latter in St. Maria Maggiore on Nov. 22, 488. Both parties agreed to submit the matter for decision to Theodor the Great, although he was an Arian, who was then the abbot of Rome. He decided that whoever was consecrated first, and by a majority, had a right to the papal chair; this being Symmachus, Laurentius withdrew, and apparent unity resumed. Symmachus called a synod on Mar. 1, 497, and introduced directions regarding the papal election which would render impossible such events as had occurred. This decision did not, however, prevent later schisms; moreover, the rivalry between Symmachus and Laurentius was renewed, and although the latter was made bishop of Nocera and removed from Rome, his followers continued their opposition to Symmachus, taking him for appointing a time for the Easter festival of 501 A.D. and naming him of arbitrary, of allowing church property, and various other crimes. Appeal was again made to Theodor, who called Peter, bishop of Alicant, to Rome to investigate matters, and to take control of the church property. Symmachus, who was in Atrium, refused to appear at the synod called by Peter in Rome, some time after Easter, 501, unless Peter withdrew and the church property were restored to his control, when he would be willing to defend himself before the synod. The bishops had not yet met the pope's request, but Theodor demanded a new session of the synod, at which Symmachus decided to appear; but as a result arose during the session he refused to appear again and appealed to the king, to whom the synod also referred the matter. Theodor, however, ordered the bishops to decide, and on Oct. 23, 501, at a fourth session they rendered the famous decision by which all accusations against Symmachus were set aside without examination, on the ground that, by reason of the exalted authority of the Apostle Peter, they did not dare to judge the pope, but left it to God, who sees the secrets of the heart. The synod followed out the conclusions of its decision, and declared those who had not favored Symmachus to be schismatic, and condemned Peter of Alicant and Laurentius. Symmachus celebrated a sixth session of the synod Nov. 6, in order to prevent the interferences with his election from becoming a precedent. The decision of the synod did not, however, restore harmony, and after deeds of violence, the followers of Laurentius finally resorted to literary arguments. Symmachus finally carried the day, but the opposition to Symmachus was broken only when Theodor declared himself against the Laurentians and commanded that their church be given over to Symmachus (505 and 506 A.D.); whereupon Laurentius retired to a country place

Richard G. ... The most complete assemblage of passages from Jewish literature bearing on the subject is found in ...

proof. The most complete assemblage of passages from Jewish literature bearing on the subject is found in ...

SYNAGOGUE, THE GREAT: According to Talmudic and rabbinic tradition, a council established in the time of Ezra and Nehemiah, consisting of 120 members, and having till the beginning of the Greek period, which was concerned principally with the law and the ordering of life according to that law. Especially to this body were attributed the setting of the Canon of Scripture (q.v., I, 1, 1-2); the mnemonic method of the pronunciation or pointing of the text, the composition of prayers, and decisions respecting ritual and the like. As support for the theory of the existence of this body, the report in Neh. viii-x, regarding the reading of the law by Ezra, was cited, together with the account in which the people obligated itself to keep perpetually the law. The number 120 is found there (Neh. x, 1-2)—comprising eighty-five scribes and the twenty-six (Neh. viii, 4, 7), who supported Ezra in the reading of the law, together with eight Levites (Neh. ix, 5-6) who prayed and sang. That from these three chapters an exact proof for the existence of the Great Synagogue is doubtless needs no

proof. The most complete assemblage of passages from Jewish literature bearing on the subject is found in ...

D. Hofmann, in ... SYNCRETISM: The title of certain high ecclesiastical officers in the Eastern Church. The name signifies literally "one who mixes a cult," and was attached to monks and clergy associated with high ecclesiastical. The patriarchs and metropolitans of Constantinople had from early times one or more of these officers, the chief of the patriarch's being

called "the great protosynodus." The (patriarchal) synod took precedence of the metropolitan or patriarchal synod, and was presided over by the emperor, who sometimes conferred the title upon archbishops and bishops. They were not known in the Western Church, and a synod held by Gregory I. in 595 issued regulations concerning them.

SYNCRETISM, SYNCRETISTIC CONTROVERSIES.

1. Syncretism. Origin of the Term (1). Cause of the Term (2). Measure of the Term (3). II. Syncretistic Controversies. The Origin of Controversy (1). 1. The First Period. Syncretism refers in general to the union of opponents on a basis which they hold in common, and so applies to philosophy and organized religion; in particular, to the irenic movement arising from an effort within the Lutheran Church in the seventeenth century toward interconfessional union, the sole final result of which was the moderation of the theological issue. Syncretistic controversies in a phrase summing up the conflict waged between the partisans and opponents of the movement. I. Syncretism: The only mention of the term in ancient literature is that of Plutarch, who, in illustrating brotherly love, cites the example of the Cretans, stating that they make war upon one another, but in the face of attack of the united against a common enemy. It. Term: was reconstituted by Erasmus (q.v.), yet in a letter to Melancthon (1529) proposed a common (apocryphal) defense of the learned against their opponents, although not wholly in accord among themselves. In a letter of Zwingli (q.v.) to Cloppenburg (q.v.) and other clerics of Basel (in Zwinger's Opera, ed. Schuler and Schaublin, vii, 190), the former urged a generalistic union against the persecutions arising over the Eucharist, and soon after both terms and intercession became prominent in the peace negotiations of M. Butzer (q.v.), and in the peace negotiations in general. Zacharias Ursinus (q.v.) applied it likewise to the wretched speaking of their "syncretism" and conspiracy against God. In the first half of the seventeenth century the woful value of concourse and praiseworthy, although the term accepted an increasingly sinister significance as the impopularity of the concourse with dissenters increased during the time in which dogmas became more and more fixed. The Roman Catholic theologian Paul Wernsdorfer predicted, in Propositiones fidei status aeternae (1653), the speedy fall of Protestantism, and admonished those of his own church to cultivate "syncretism," which meant forth the Protestants even of diverse Zwingli-called faith. The Protestants even of diverse Zwingli-called faith (Heldberg, 1614-15) of David Parus (q.v.), summing the two Protestant bodies to a peaceful conciliation against the common foe.

2. Origin one another, but in the face of attack of the united against a common enemy. It. Term: was reconstituted by Erasmus (q.v.), yet in a letter to Melancthon (1529) proposed a common (apocryphal) defense of the learned against their opponents, although not wholly in accord among themselves. In a letter of Zwingli (q.v.) to Cloppenburg (q.v.) and other clerics of Basel (in Zwinger's Opera, ed. Schuler and Schaublin, vii, 190), the former urged a generalistic union against the persecutions arising over the Eucharist, and soon after both terms and intercession became prominent in the peace negotiations of M. Butzer (q.v.), and in the peace negotiations in general. Zacharias Ursinus (q.v.) applied it likewise to the wretched speaking of their "syncretism" and conspiracy against God. In the first half of the seventeenth century the woful value of concourse and praiseworthy, although the term accepted an increasingly sinister significance as the impopularity of the concourse with dissenters increased during the time in which dogmas became more and more fixed. The Roman Catholic theologian Paul Wernsdorfer predicted, in Propositiones fidei status aeternae (1653), the speedy fall of Protestantism, and admonished those of his own church to cultivate "syncretism," which meant forth the Protestants even of diverse Zwingli-called faith. The Protestants even of diverse Zwingli-called faith (Heldberg, 1614-15) of David Parus (q.v.), summing the two Protestant bodies to a peaceful conciliation against the common foe.

the discordant religions themselves would receive endorsement. Perhaps from this arose the false assumption that the demand for an alliance of partially dissenting persons on the basis of their common must be jumbling together of religions. At any rate the term came to be wrested from its original practical sense and was feverishly applied to a confused mixing of religions, and later was strained even to the extent that it was derived from *apocryphum* ("to mix up"). The theologian J. K. Dauterive, *Apocryphum agnoscendum* (Strasbourg, 1645), who includes all combinations of the unlike under apocrypha and compares the perfection of the Lutheran doctrine with the eye that cannot stand a particle of dust, and Abraham Calovius (s.v.) raised the point against Calixtus that the term signified things irreconcilably different, such as the Lutheran and Reformed Churches. This is the only meaning implied in the term in the controversy; but even apocrypha like Calixtus defined the epithet. Its original laudable meaning gradually disappeared, so that the incorrect meaning of a perverse attempt at combining unlike and irreconcilable elements of truth persisted. The recent attempts by means of the historical tendency in theology, to show Christianity at the beginning as apocrypha (H. Gunkel), or the old Catholic Christianity of c. 250 as a syncretistic religion (A. Hamack), has favored the term with a new importation.

II. Syncretistic Controversies: Three periods may be noted, separated by seasons of quiet: namely from the Conference of Thorn till the death of Calixtus, 1645-56; from the colloquies of Hesse-Cassel and Berlin till the order of the Synod of Altona, 1661-69; and the last struggles of Calixtus for the *Unio*. **1. The Synod of Altona:** Johann Musaeus (s.v.), until his death, 1678-88. All the efforts before the Synod of 1645 to bring together the Lutheran and Reformed Churches may be considered as preliminary to the controversies. In reply to an appeal issued the general synod of the French Reformed Church at Charlevoix in 1631 directed, upon the basis of the essential agreement of the churches of the Augsburg Confession and the other Reformed churches and of the absence of superstition and idolatry from their worship, that in the French churches those Lutherans who approached in the spirit of friendship and peace should be admitted to the communion without abjuration; and that such would as sponsors present children for baptism, if they only promised the consistency that they would never incline those so baptized to transgress the doctrine received in those churches, and that they would bring them up in the instruction of those articles of doctrine on which there was agreement. This was approved also by many of the strictest Reformed theologians outside of France, but aroused violent attacks from the Roman Catholics in France against Protestant union. The principles of a union of the unlike upon the fundamental basis was alleged to be the foundation of a new sect, namely, the *unitarists*, the worst heresy of all, because it led to the renouncing of all love for their own religion, obligated to indifference, and

led to heresy (P. Veron). Others claimed to see in it an apostasy from the faith of their fathers, a violation done to the constitution, i.e., the *liber* of Nantes, thus releasing Roman Catholics from the observance of the latter. **1. The First Period:** Georg Calixtus (s.v.; ut sup.), by his ecumenic travel and acquaintance and his comprehensive studies, had acquired a broader insight into the confessional bodies and their mutual relations than most Lutheran theologians of his time. He looked with concern upon the crystallization of theology and the ecclesiastical institutionalization of the Church, and the hope of Protestantism. This variance with the trend of the times was apparent in his many writings. He naturally assumed the ill-will of the guardians of orthodoxy and self-assumed sole defenders of the Reformation and drew their attacks, such as the attempted refutation of the correctness of theologians at Jena, 1621; and the polemic of Rastius Binscher, later entitled *Cryptoproposita theologiae Helvetiorum* (1640). But the open assault of orthodoxy upon Calixtus and his Helmetist colleagues was first occasioned by the events of 1645 and 1648. When King Ladislaus IV. of Poland issued the call for the Conference of Thorn, Calixtus not only circulated and commended the proclamation by a writing of his own, but also sought appointment as a delegate. Hereby he drew upon himself the enmity of the East Prussians, who were engaged in a struggle against union with their ruler, the Reformed churches of Brandenburg, and were led by Colonel Mylanius (1588-1653) of Königsberg and Abraham Calovius (s.v.) of Danzig (then Polish). The latter prevented the election of Calixtus from Danzig; then, when the elector deputed him from Königsberg, Calixtus succeeded in having him named from the chairmanship of the Lutheran conference, a post which was secured for Johann Heilmann (s.v.) of Wittenberg, and even from entering the conference at all as a Lutheran, as well as a representative for the towns of Thorn and Elbing. Nevertheless, Calixtus rendered the valuable service of his training and counsel to the Reformed. Next, as Elector Johann Georg I. of Saxony had forbidden all innovations from theological conferences, the theologians of that electorate united with Heilmann in a joint memoir (Dec. 29, 1647) accusing the theologians of Helmetist with innovations and departures from the Augsburg Confession and with undermining the foundations of Evangelical doctrine. In reply Calixtus branded his accuser, whoever he might be, as an infatuated fanatic, would he had proved his charges. This set the position in the succeeding years to wait for every possible deviation from the part of the Helmetist theologians and to denounce it as a departure, involving the inference that efforts for union were untrustworthy. In Prussia the elector reposed Calixtus at Königsberg with C. Dreier, and appointed as professor of theology J. Letestam, respectively friend and pupil of Calixtus. Mylanius and his supporters invited a joint *Censura theologorum* ortho-

doxorum (1648) of all opponents of the Reformed, in condemnation of their new colleagues. Calixtus used the term "syncretism" at "at one of the springs of ruin, and hence 'syncretis' fastened itself more and more to the more moderate theologians of Helmetist and the tendency which they represented. The battle continued in Prussia with literary treatises pro and con until after the death of Mylanius. Political jealousy and strife also played their part in the controversy. For years the electorate of Saxony had sought to prevent the Reformed grant of an equal status to the Roman Catholics, of the Palatinate and Brandenburg; but in the Peace of Westphalia (see WESTPHALIA, PEACE OF) its aim was defeated. Equality of religious practice was attained. The Reformed professed themselves adherents of the Augsburg Confession as a genus to which the two bodies were in species, to which electoral Saxony objected in vain (1649). Even the assignment as director of the *Corpus Evangelicorum* (1652) could not compensate for the humiliation of Saxony. The theologians of Helmetist were hated not only on the necessity of good works, but also on almost every article of faith, and Johann Georg bade them to this forth "article by article" (Jan. 21, 1648). On June 16, 1649, the elector issued an address to the three dukes of Brunswick, who maintained Helmetist as their joint university, personally assuming all the charges against Calixtus, whom he accused of patching together a new religion and introducing violent schisms. He asked that the theologians of Helmetist be prohibited from issuing polemics against his theologians, and invited the dukes to a proposed alliance of Evangelical states for restrictive measures, under threat of assuming protection against schism. On the other side, the dukes of Brunswick had commissioned Konrad Hieronimus (s.v.) to prepare an apology against the Königsberg censures (ut sup.) and requested an expedition in German of the points (1) on the authority of the ancient Church, (2) good works, (3) the New Testament proof of the Trinity, (4) the theophanies of the Old Testament, and (5) the unity of dissenters charged as "syncretism." Calixtus elaborated the third and fourth points, *Non negaturus reviviscere a schis.*, etc. (1649). Now, he furnished an apology against Johann Georg's address, and the dukes of Brunswick in joint reply to the latter (1650) offered to acquiesce in the suppression of the polemical writings of their theologians, provisionally, if he would take the same steps, and propose a convention to devise counsels against schism in behalf of peace, but estimated resistance to the threatened directorate, if the same implied the assertion of superiority by force. But the elector only consented to a more vehement polemic. The call of Calixtus to a professorship at Wittenberg meant the concentration of forces and occurred amid fresh polemical explanations. Heilmann issued *Dilecti evolutione proleptica Calixtina*, *non negaturus reviviscere a schis.* (1650); and, finally, *De*

multitudine Concensuum (1654), a work exceeding 1,600 pages. Most active was Calixtus, who produced, besides his writing, *Inaugural, Consolatio, sive theologia Helmetistoproposita*, *apocrypha* (1649), and *Syncretismus Calixtinae* (1652). Ninety-eight names of Calixtus were collected and a conference of theologians demanded by the party of Calixtus; but this effort failed owing to the wise suspicion of the dukes of Saxony, who were first invited, and the Jesu theologians, that the united pretension concealed the design of a joint attack upon the dukes of Brunswick, their exclusion from the Lutheran body, and, consequently, a widening of the schism. At the most recent diet at Regensburg, twenty-four Evangelical estates had united in a call for a conference of possible theologians and state representatives and in an appeal for silence on the part of both theological factions. But Johann Georg, upon whom as director of the *corpus Evangelicorum*, first action devolved, gave heed to neither. His theologians now clamored for the expulsion of the Helmetist party from the Lutheran Church on the basis of the sixty-eight heresies. A consensus *repetitus adeo vere Lutherane* was drawn up as a new confession. It comprised parts, following the order of the Augsburg Confession, it was arranged, each according to the scheme of (1) the right doctrine (*professio*); (2) the disjunction of the Helmetist faction (*reprobusio*); (3) proofs from the writings of the latter (*testis*), submitted first by the Leipzig and Wittenberg theologians, the signatures of others were sought by a fresh productivity of the pen of Calixtus; *Haereticus Calixtinae heresies* (1655) of 1,200 quarto pages; *Synopsis locorum theologicorum* (2 vols., 1655); and *Polemica veritas et scripturae Adversus mundi ostentationem in Christo* (1655), in which the heresies of Calixtus were mentioned as the "excesses of Satan." But the acceptance of the confession elsewhere could not be secured, and the death of Calixtus, 1656, followed the same year by that of Johann Georg, produced a lull in the storm. **2. The Second Period:** The peace of Westphalia had restored the more Lutheran parts of North Hessia to the Reformed rule of Cassel, including, practically, the control of Schaumburg and the Duchy of Hanover. The policy of the Landgrave Wilhelm VI. was broad and lenient enough under the liberal church and Reformed elements. The University of Magdeburg, reopened in 1653, was pledged to promote "the ecclesiastical peace and concord of all Protestants," and to a mediating theology. Further, to promote union and abate parties hatred, the Landgrave called a colloquy at Cassel June 1-9, 1661. When the Wittenberg theologians, Calixtus J. A. Quenstedt, and Johann Dautschmann (s.v.) heard of it eight months later, they issued a violent attack, *Epistola de colloquio Cassano. Religio-heretico* (1662) which they dispatched far and wide to the faculties and ministers. In consequence, the three faculties of Saxony united in a representation to the theologians of Ratisla in which the repudiation of the schism against the Reformed and of their condemnation in worship

were pressed upon them as errors, and a retraction or closer explanation was urged. Before the receipt of this the British theologians had replied with an *Epistola apologetica* (1662). For the people H. M. Eckart prepared a manual (1662) setting forth that by schisms the Church violated its foremost mark of distinction, the sacrament of love, and made itself the laughing-stock of the world; that it was specially incumbent upon Protestants to remove the diocese; and, without making confessions or explanations, to promote unity and peace. The movement was increased by their failure, the Wittenberg theologians now published their *Epistola* (1663), with a preface in which they threatened another edition of collective essays, this time against the theologians of Helmstedt. This was followed by a flood of polemical writings, among which *De personis doctrinamque Lutheranae* (1664) by Andreas Kuhn; and by Calovius a *Grandior Brevis* (1664) of 1,000 pages, to the effect that the Calvinistic error threatened the syncretistic innovation at Helmstedt, followed by an *Apologia* (Wittenberg, 1665) of 700 quarto pages, a résumé of all points of contention from Calvin to the Helmstedt college.

The renewal of this controversy soon brought on its revival in Franca and Brandenburg. In Königsberg, Drieser had been protesting against the Lutheran church peace as syncretism, and declared that the common faith must be sought in the ancient Church and not in the sum of contents of its brotherhood. The great elector of Brandenburg, Friedrich Wilhelm, following the example of his brother-in-law, Landgrave Wilhelm, in an edict (June 2, 1662) deplored the schisms and local religious communities, and enjoined that clergy to be appointed must pledge to silence except as to what is edifying. He called a college (Sept., 1662-May, 1663) at Berlin, of three theologians from each party. But the discussions and over-enthusiasm of the Lutherans (expelled from Wittenberg) to return in the condemnation of points rejected by their confessions defeated the effort. A new edict of Sept. 16, 1664, forbade the use of abusive epistles and the attributing of doctrines to their opponents not acknowledged by them. Soon after this edict was demanded of all the clergy to this and previous edicts. In vain the Lutheran clergy of Helmstedt appealed to the universities and ministers. The elector summoned them before the consistory and demanded the pledge under pain of removal. Dr. S. Reinhardt and C. Lilius, and finally Paul Gerhard (q.v.) lost their positions. By an edict of June 6, 1667, the pledge was no longer demanded, but strict maintenance of the edict was now enjoined upon the consistory. A declaration of May 6, 1668, guaranteed to the Lutherans not only religious liberty, but the peaceful discussion of disputed points.

The Wittenberg theologians meantime resumed their onslaught on the syncretists. They published their great collection of *Consensuum syncretistarum* (1664), including the *Consensus syncretista Jurius* (at top) revised since 1653. Calovius issued a special edition in 1666 with a

preface, with express reference to the syncretism at Helmstedt and a "synopsis of the errors of Calovius and his associates." The obvious purpose was the exclusion of all syncretism from the Lutheran Church. *Consensus* and, in a less degree, the binding of all other Lutherans under a new confession, including such eccentric doctrines as the knowledge of Old Testament believers of the whole doctrine of the Trinity, the real faith of baptized infants, and the ubiquity of the human nature of Christ to all believers. The main effect of its adoption would have been the rehabilitation of the idea of the one true church, visible and invisible, namely, the Lutheran, with an absolute, unimprovable body of dogma as an exclusive ecclesiastical norm. Friedrich Thiel (Calovius) took up the defense of all his father's particular ideas, publishing *Consensus Iniquissimus* (1667), a running commentary on the *Consensus*, attempting sometimes to show the baseness of the meanings attributed to his father and his followers, sometimes the acceptance of them with the confession, and sometimes the intrusion of the opinions of the authors into the *Consensus* as though they were doctrines of the church, thus opening the arbitrary multiplication of dogmas indefinitely. A new and professional disputant appeared at Wittenberg, Augustus Strauch, who in a *Vindicta* (1668) discharged a flood of mendacious invective and epiphany against the younger Calovius. This was followed by *De Deo* (1667) by Dehnbachmann, son-in-law of Calovius, who, in turn, followed with *Leoni* of controversial epiphany and epiphany (1668), in which the tabulated Calovian errors reached the number of 120. Calovius answered the last two with writings, and against Strauch he brought formal charges of libel. Strauch responded by a joint legal opinion of the juristic faculties of the three universities, and now the conflict was waged back and forth from behind the battlements of legal authorities, while the polemics of Strauch, said to have been prepared by Calovius, now proceeded in German, greatly increasing the public.

Seeing that the proposed *Consensus* threatened the freedom of learning in the universities and the freedom of the church, thus opening the benefits of the treaty of Westphalia ever against the Roman Catholic, the university now set forth an able champion, Hermann Oesing (q.v.), who, in *Potius academicis Jura*, made reply to Strauch and others. He maintained that there was no school of Calovius and none directed by him; that the latter regarded free inquiry as the safeguard of the Church. Helmsstedt had been singled out because here the Word of God was treated as trustworthy and itself authentic, while the confessions were treated impartially and considered valid in so far as they accord with Scripture. Calovius confounded heresy with error, whereas the Calovian idea is not visible error dogmas. The error is not to be thrown into religious confusion with these controversial questions; yet the intelligent are not to be denied a voice in the acceptance of a new confession. The *Consensus* should first be proved by Scripture; and the princes must exercise their

responsible offices for the restoration of order, for they (the clergy) who create the tumult are not the ones to allay it. A German edition of the above, entitled *Schmahe der Falschvertrugten*, issued shortly after C. Schrahe, summed up the judgment upon the *Consensus* that (1) syncretism is a schism, the profferer is not invariably the universal confessor; (2) syncretism is opposed to liberty and promotes schism; and (3) in short, the doctrines attributed to Calovius and Helmsstedt are unwarranted and utterly misrepresented. Even the pope was slow to do more than articles of belief and not until after prolonged investigation with the cardinals and council. Calovius dismisses without notice the greater number of adherents of the Augsburg Confession, which heretofore are to be called Lutherans. Those who do not approve of the intruded symbols are to be thrust out of the fellowship of the state; and this is not the end, but the process is to be perpetual. As the Calovian refused discussion (Oct. v. 1-2), as they will define the *Consensus*. These writings, meeting many courts, consistory, and university, had the effect of arousing apprehension; for if it came to be accepted that those not recognizing the *Consensus* were out of the Lutheran Church, they might lose the benefits of the treaty of Westphalia, a result not far removed from the motives of Calovius. Duke Friedrich Wilhelm of Saxony-Altenburg now sought to counteract further separation and passion, and induced his brother-in-law, Elector Johann Georg III, to give audience to the theologians of Wittenberg. Their long report of Apr. 22, 1669, may be summed up as follows: (1) confirmation of the resolution; or (2) a synod, or rather, since no examination of the Helmsstedt doctrine is further necessary, letters of communication for sounder opinion and binding together the orthodox; (3) that first the theologians of electoral Saxony get the consensus of other theologians before the elector refers to other potentates; (4) assessment of the odds for the clerical and political ministries with a clause forbidding epiphany, the mixing of religions, ecclesiastical toleration, and spiritual affiliation with papists and Calvinists, or its equivalent, subscription to the *Consensus*; (5) compulsory binding of the Brenneviok theologians to their old confessions without reservation, which latter "savants" is not to be tolerated by any Christian government. Though approved by the elector, the effect of this as well as the advice of the consensors was to perpetuate the quarrel, yet the alarm sounded by Counting and the theologians of Helmsstedt was not without results, for the order given in Saxony to refrain from literary polemics was heeded for several years.

An interdict of quiet followed, 1669-70. Duke Ernst the Pious, successor to Friedrich Wilhelm of Saxony, made an alliance with his son-in-law, Frederick VI of Hesse-Darmstadt, and conceived the preventive of a permanent college of theologians. With his three sons he gathered his clergy and ministry, together with several outside theologians, among

whom was Johann Murnus (q.v.), in a conference at Jena, Apr. 12-17, 1670. The most diverse persons, like Calovius, Spener, Quenstedt, were already being proposed for a peace court, when the measure carried to send deputations to ascertain the opinions of other Lutheran courts. These gave only friendly but evasive replies, but Ernst rebuked his officers to remove the schism. He obtained an opinion from Spener (May 31, 1670) to the effect that it was not yet too late for the restoration of unity; because the quarrel had not yet rent the churches, and the *Consensus* had nowhere been introduced. As to the cause of the strife he did not exonerate Calovius from contributions of doctrine and obduracy, and ascribed the disorder to "human affections." As to the measure for restoration, he advised a cooperation of Christian governments and clergy and a reformation from external strict conformity. If a synod of all Germany was not practicable, then a consultation of earnest, enlightened men would be advisable. The question as to what Calovius the older and Helmsstedt one taught should be heard with the past, and the profession and assertion by the Helmsstedt theologians that their teaching was in accord with the symbols, and their declaration of the charge of syncretism and adherence to the fundamental errors of Helmsstedt, should be deemed sufficient. Whether and how far the remaining doctrine like that of ubiquity were fundamental to faith might then be easily determined. Toleration and gentleness were most for weaker brethren and unnecessary invective without prejudice to the churches was to be forbidden. Ernst sent out another deputation with an outline of this to seek out the theologians and persuade them to the cessation of peace. Turning first to the electorate of Saxony, they were referred from Dresden to Wittenberg. Quenstedt and H. Meiser (q.v.) themselves complained of the rudeness and infidelity of Calovius, who now repeatedly inserted over his signature to the three to be submitted to the Helmsstedt theologians, two fresh points on abandoning the errors of syncretism and on believing the mystery of the Holy Trinity in the Old and New Testaments. The three things demanded by the other three were that the theologians should not dispute any doctrine contained in the Book of Concord; should teach according to their charter; and renounce syncretism, by which was meant only the recognition of a fundamental consensus between Lutherans and Reformed. But at Helmsstedt and other places under its influence, Calovius was utterly misapprehended, and a quarrel among the riders caused the forbidding of the Helmsstedt theologians to consent themselves. Yet the negotiations allowed the strife for a few years.

3. The Third Period. In 1675 the conflict was renewed and lasted till the death of Calovius in 1686. The latter knew how to take advantage of the favor of Ernst and Calovius bold to reopen hostilities. In the year in which Spener by his *Andreas* (1675) opened a new epoch in Christian life, Calovius again announced in programs as his unchanged life purpose,

Syncretism *Calvinus* answered with his *Platina officina pietatis* (1574). This was followed by writings pro and con, prose and verse, reaching its climax in the appearance of a large (in four acts) three or four scenes each celebrating the installation of Deutchmann into the professorship at Wittenberg, almost blasphemous in terms. The doctor raised the printer to be fined and the author to be imprisoned. Likewise, Strassch, called to Daasig in 1690, was detained as prisoner at Klotz by order of the doctor of Brandenburg, 1672-73; and the doctor of Saxony renewed the edict against writing polemics without special permission. Calvinus now wrote under a pseudonym, and produced also *Spicula laetitia theologiae* (Vols. v-xii, 1677), more flighty than the first four and including also the new polemics against Jena. In vain was Speiser's caution to him that the effort to secure the recognition of his Consensus was both futile and injurious. Besides a quarrel with his colleague Meiser and the latter's humiliation, 1677-1680, he engaged, by sermons, disputations and writings, in a warfare on Mansus at Jena, who won his disciples by rendering his allegations against the syncretists void and was now being condemned as worse than they. He succeeded in leaving the entire faculty of Jena, including Mansus, compelled to abjure syncretism; if not to adopt the Consensus. But the limits of his accomplishment were reached: Johann Georg II. raised the edict against polemical writing (Jan. 12, 1680), and the printers of *De generatione Haeret* were severely dealt with. With the accession of Elector Johann Georg III. in 1690 began a protective alliance with the great doctor of Brandenburg. Calvinus had to see his *Historia syncretismi* (1682), a compilation issued anonymously and without titles, consisting of the blows he had dealt against syncretists together with fresh fulminations, refused circulation. This made such an impression on him that he referred two questions to his most intimate followers at Giessen: whether, in view of the political syncretism made necessary by the danger from France, a Calvinist syncretism with the past and reformed was still to be changed; and whether the strife brought on by the universities of Helmstedt, Jena and Koenigsberg, as an account of the doctor of Brandenburg and the duke of Brunswick, should be buried with an amnesty, or the controversy over syncretism be continued. This was taken by friends and foes alike as a verdict and a sign of alienation with the court. This Calvinus denied in a pamphlet relating the correspondence thereto and refuting his assertions against all his opponents inclusive of the Mansus syncretists. The comprehensive publications, *Apologia articulum Acta* (1668), and *Synopsis controversiarum cum rectoribus* (1668) appeared before his death, which practically closed the controversy.

4. Final Influence: The great work with which Friedrich Calixtus closed his career, *Die 14. oder poenitentie reformation* (Helmstedt, 1700), was the true counterbalance to the *Historia syncretismi* republished in 1685. The term *syncretism*

as name of a party gradually disappeared and came to mean only an incidental reference to varying combinations of the unlike. To be sure, the effects of the strife persisted a long time, specially in doctrinal history. A result was the reversion to affiliation on the part of the German Lutherans and Reformed for a century to come, as seen, for example, in the indifference of the Lutherans to the French Protestants at the revocation of the Edict of Nantes (1685, q.v.). The possible separation of religion and theology and the adjustment of the bonds between church and schools, confession and science, were not actualized, though they were frequently on the horizon of promise. According to Calixtus pure doctrine is the only necessity: it is ready-made and complete, the ecclesiastical norm, admitting of neither addition nor reduction. According to Calixtus, doctrine is not only not the only necessity but it is also of varying degrees of value within limits, giving room for broad unity on essentials. The controversy left a cloud of suspicion and prejudice specially over the Lutherans, retarding the progress of those distinctions. The deposit determination to force a Consensus repeated as the only and final dogma and theology before which all investigation and progress must fall prostrate, raised up its own factional limits, and the most deplorable result, surviving to the present, is the alienation from the church of educated men, and thereby the demoralization of a great unit of spirit, for the need of which the German Evangelical Church is suffering.

SYNCRETISM (Fr. Syncretisme) **SYNCRETISM:** The principal source is A. Calixtus, *Historia syncretismi* (1682). Consult further: G. W. H. Reformationen der Lutherischen Kirche, 219 sq.; p. 466; 10 vols., Gies., 1735-39; W. G. H. Reformationen der Syncretismus, Berlin, 1846; R. L. F. Reformationen der Syncretismus, vol. 1, Halle, 1850; A. Thielack, W. G. H. Reformationen der Syncretismus, vol. 11, Halle, 1857; F. A. Reformationen der Syncretismus, Flensburg, 1859; Munich, 1867; See Brand, *Edinburgh*, 1871; G. W. Frick, *Reformationen der Syncretismus*, 11 sq.; Leipzig, 1873.

SYNCRETISM See SYNCRETISME ET SYNCRETISME. **SYNCRETISM AND SYNERGISTIC CONTRADICTION:** A type of dualism originating in the sixteenth century and the dispute which arose concerning it. Syncretism is the doctrine of the cooperation of human effort and divine grace in regeneration. Luther regarded the spiritual life as a process, the result of the cooperation of human effort and divine grace. Faith is a gift of God. Free will determined without and Melancthon has no power with respect to them. Justification follows "whenever we are made purely passive with respect to God with reference to interior as well as exterior acts." God's relation to man is considered as strictly predestinarian. After the Leipzig Disputation Melancthon maintained that "man is wholly incapable of doing good"; that "in the choice of external things there is some freedom, but internal effects are not within human power. All things that happen, happen of necessity by divine predestination; there is no freedom of will." German

versus is possible only as an inner divine act. Dead through the law, man is "reconstituted by the word of grace." Faith is originated by "the Spirit of God renewing and illuminating the human heart. To say that the beginning of repentance is in man, would be inverting the order; man turns to God on the ground that God first turns to man. Melancthon later modified this view after by subordinating the deterministic conception of the doctrine of predestination (Soldat on Colossians, 1577). The special properties of the nature with which God endows man in distinction from the other creatures are "reason" and "choice." The natural man is capable of a "moral and civil righteousness." The thought of God as the author of sin formerly not avoided in now reprinted. In the Commentary on Romans (Wittenberg, 1522) he teaches the universality of divine grace, and shows all closer investigation of the mystery of divine election. Melancthon now regards the pity of God as the cause of election, but recognizes in sin-rejection a negative cause of acceptance. The development of his doctrine of free will and conversion gains momentum with his growing desire to understand the act of divine grace at the same time as a psychological process in the human consciousness and will, constantly with his explanation of the mental powers presented in his commentary on the Ethics of Aristotle (1520) and in De anima (1546). Besides, there was his practical motive to make man responsible for his own salvation. With respect to the former, the will is the formal power which responds, either by willing, not willing, or neutrality, to the subjects manifested by the intellect; it may follow the beckoning of the desires or the admonitions of the reason. The will produces nothing original, but assumes an attitude toward what approaches it. This power was not lost through original sin. Likewise, when the grace of God contained in the Word draws faith, and, through the hearing of it, the Holy Spirit enters man and produces the spiritual effects of repentance and faith, there yet remains to the will the alternative attitude of acceptance or rejection. In this sense Melancthon mentions the "three concurrent causes of good actions" in no generation: "the Word, the Holy Spirit, and the will not absolutely inert, but struggling against its own infirmity." In this sense he lets the definition of Erasmus hold: "Free will is the power of applying oneself to grace."

This synergism was taught in the Leipzig Augustus, which affirmed among other things that God does not deal with man as with a block, but

ing, then there would be no inner struggle to secure faith; if man was idle or "passive positive," then the distinction between pious and impious, obedient and disobedient, as well as the impartiality and justice of God, would disappear. "Therefore, there was to be in us some cause why some assent and others do not assent." Pfeiffer's doctrine was reproduced by V. Strigel and by Nikolaus von Amstel (q.v.), who opened the attack (1558) with a rude misrepresentation as if Pfeiffer had asserted that "man could adapt and prepare himself by free will from his natural powers for the reception of grace without the gift of the Holy Spirit."

To the defense of Pfeiffer, Flacius replied in *Refutatio*, published in *Disputatione de originali peccato de libero arbitrio*, pp. 367 sqq. (Wittenberg, 1560). He appealed to the words of Luther and further asserted that in regeneration, when the "Confit old man" is made into a new creature, with he is worse than a block or stone inasmuch as he is not only passive but "contrary, resisting, or hostile toward the work of God;" this knotty piece of wood wholly unfit for the lower. The despised adiaphorists here received the additional demonstrative appellation of "synergists." Another polemic followed, *De originali peccato de libero arbitrio* (1561, pp. 308 sqq.) and a disputation at Jena, Nov. 10-11, 1559. Flacius succeeded in pressing the demarcation into the second part of the "Wittenberg Book of Confessions," *Illustrationes principis de Frederico secundo soluto et et verbis De auxilio confiteante et condempnato praeparationem coramprophetarum, sectionum et errorum hoc tempore praeparationem* (Jena, 1559). It denounces those who teach that by the fall man's natural powers were "not so totally perverted and corrupted that he, animated by the help and support of grace, is capable of anything in conversion by his own free will; that they ascribe to free will such grace in its arbitrary power that it can accept and follow or reject it"; and that they describe human reason and will as *propere*, or co-operant with the Word and the Spirit of God. Against those alleged errors was affirmed that man is by nature wholly dead and his heart is perverted; that all knowledge of Christ springs from the enlightenment of the Holy Spirit; and all that pertains to will to become obedient to God must first be given and wrought by God. Melancthon, at whom the attack was aimed, vindicated his view before the Elector Augustus, emphasizing his ethical practical motive in turning against the deterministic delirium and investigating the problem of free will. Suffer nature retained some freedom to maintain outward discipline. In conversion the Word of God has the initiative, to condemn sin and extend pardon and grace and thereby produce fear and comfort; but God does not overcome any sin to be different regardless of how the Word. "He who rejects God does so by his own will and God is not the cause of the will's rejecting him." Conversely, there is no regeneration, "so long as the will wholly resists." "God draws; but he draws him who is willing," was his favorite sentence. He protests against the form of speech used by Flacius referring to "compulsion of faith," as also in the disputation of Nov. 20, 1559.

visitation (see CURIAE VISITATIONES) which had been customary since the fourth century alike in East and West. In the Frankish king...

was becoming customary. In the course of the twelfth century the lay element gained still further strength when the choice of the jurymen was taken away from the bishop. Another innovation was even more far-reaching...

In the second half of the ninth century a modification was introduced in the selection of a sort of grand jury, which was to relieve the officio which the synodal court was competent to try remained practically the same; but at the end of this period a notable restriction...

4. Decline. became visible. Thus a synodal ordinance of Althoburg in the fourteenth century names as offenses of the class blasphemy, drunkenness, unchastity, unlawful marriage, disorderly housekeeping...

The system seems to have remained substantially the same in the sixteenth century; but early in the twelfth century, but shared with the ecclesiastical...

A. H. Everett. C. F. Eckhart, Deutsche Kirche und Rechtsentwicklung. J. J. Eicken, Die Kirchen- und Synodalgerichte. A. J. Eicken, Die Kirchen- und Synodalgerichte...

SYRIA

I. Name. Under the Roman Empire (I 1). Period of Roman Rule (I 2). II. Geography. Division (I 1). Physical Geography (I 2). III. History. 1. To the Assyrian Conquest. Assyrian- Babylonian Period (I 1). In the Old Testament (I 2). IV. The Christian Church. 1. To the Year 313 A.C. 2. To the Year 654 A.C. 3. To the Year 1154 A.C. 4. To the Year 1516 A.C. 5. To the Year 1861 A.C. 6. From 1861 to the Present Time. V. The Modern Christian Churches. VI. Modern Protestant Missions in Syria. VII. Summary and Conclusion. I. Name. The name Syria is an abbreviation of Mesora (or Alexandretta Bay) to the Nahr al-Khazar (the classical Eleutherus)...

gall-salts promise to be rivaled by the orange as products for export. For the flora and fauna of Syria see **FLORA**.

III. History: The early history of Syria is obscure. About 2000 B.C. Aramaeans were found east of Syria proper, into which they penetrated about 1200, finding there a population for the most part probably Semitic. The history may most conveniently be divided into six periods: (1) to the conquest of the Aramaean states by the Assyrians, (2) to the capture of Damascus in 732; (3) under Greek domination (to 64 B.C.); (4) under Roman domination (to 638 A.D.); (5) under Arab domination (to 1516); and (6) under Turkish domination (to the present time).

1. To the Assyrian Conquest: About 2800 B.C. the North Babylonian King Sargon I (see BABEL, VI, 3, 4, 1) issued an expedition to Syria, Palestine, and the Mediterranean, and some 700 years later Hammurabi (q.v.) turned himself king of Assyria of Amurru (Palestine and Syria). The Babylonian Aramaeans who crossed the Euphrates to the north found the Mesopotamian kingdom of Mitanni (cf. ASSYRIA, VI, 2) to the north and Assyria to the east, and in the fourteenth century the Assyrian King Ashurnasirpal II was warring against Aramaean border.

By 1400 the Hittites (q.v.) were pressing into Syria and Phoenicia, their capital being Carchemish (q.v.), and their dominions extending to the northern boundaries of the later Israel. About 1270 Ramesses II, Pharaoh of Egypt, and his army were warring against the Syrian Hittites, but was forced to conclude an offensive and defensive alliance with them. Northern Syria was tributary to the Hittites.

After destroying the kingdom of Mitanni in the fourteenth century, the Assyrians attacked the kingdom of Hattushat (between the Euphrates, Taurus, and Anti-Taurus), defeated the King Shalmaneser, who had allied himself with the Hittites and Aramaeans, and seized the Aramaean stronghold of Kuzayr (the modern Karajis Dağ), as well as Syria as far west as Carchemish. Shalmaneser II, who had conducted these campaigns, was compelled to lead repeated expeditions against the Aramaeans in the Kaynar range, but with Hittite and Alarandian irregulars in the twelfth century the Aramaeans, who had reached the Tigris during Shalmaneser's reign, were divided, one portion migrating westward to Syria and the other eastward to Assyria.

Tiglath-Pileser I kept the Aramaeans from Assyria proper, and also broke the Hittite power in Syria. The latter people formed a number of petty states, into which the Aramaean peoples were assimilated.

2. To the time of the rise of the Kingdom of Israel: The Old Testament would record the existence of several Aramaean states (see ANAK, II, 9), of which the most important was Damascus.

3. To David made vassal: David was on the Aramaean (cf. II Sam. x, 6-9), but with the reestablishment of Damascus a power was created which was to exercise a profound influence on the fortunes of Israel. The founder of the new dynasty was Rezon, who had revolted from Hadadener, king of Zobah, and, making himself

master of Damascus, "was an adversary to Israel all the days of Solomon" (I Kings xi, 23-25). I Kings xv, 18 also mentions Hazon, father of Tabrimmon and grandfather of Ben-hadad, this latter monarch being apparently different from the Ben-hadad of I Kings xx. In the war between the northern and southern kingdoms after Solomon's death, the latter power invoked the aid of the Aramaeans. Damascus, situated at the junction of the caravan routes between north and south, as well as between east and west, gladly welcomed this opportunity, and Tabrimmon concluded a treaty with the father of Aza, this being renewed between Aza and Ben-hadad, and so forcing Basaba (q.v.) to desert from fortifying Ramah (I Kings xv, 18-21). Aramaean hostility toward Israel continued during the reigns of Omri and Ahab, and the northern kingdom accordingly made alliance with Phoenicia; but since this imperiled the safety of Aramaic caravans to the Mediterranean, the Aramaeans, during Omri's reign, seized Israelite cities and made banquets in Samaria (I Kings xx, 34). It is possible that Omri was a vassal of Aza, and that was certainly the case with Ahab (I Kings xx, 34-40), but I Kings xx, records the complete defeat of the Aramaeans by the Israelite king. This stratagem may be dated before 854, the year in which Shalmaneser II, defeated Ben-hadad and twelve allied kings, including Ahab (q.v.).

When Tiglath-Pileser I had overthrown the Hittites the Assyrians laid claim to northern Syria, but the Aramaeans took advantage of the weakness of Assyria after Tiglath-Pileser's death and founded a series of petty states in Mesopotamia and north of the mouth of the Taurus, these including Hamath, Patin, Arpad, Sam'al, Nairi, Gurgum, Sabsi, Laki, and Bit-Adini. Shalmaneser II, 8. **Fortunes:** however conquered all the states of northern Syria save as far as Hamath, but through in 854, as already noted, he was victorious over the confederation headed by Damascus. It was but a hollow success, as we had three subsequent campaigns in 840 (I, 849, and 846. But soon after 854 was again broken out between Ben-hadad and Ahab, this being continued by Ahab's successor. Finally, however, Ben-hadad suddenly raised the siege of Samaria (II Kings v, 24-26, 7) and shortly afterward was murdered by Hazan (II Kings viii, 7-10), who successfully defended Hamath against Joram and Ahasiah (I Kings viii, 28-29), but in 842 was defeated by Shalmaneser on Hermon and unconquered besieged in Damascus. Ten years later the inhabitants of Patin killed their King Labanum II, and made Sabel their successor, and when he died during the siege of Kunulain by the Assyrians, the latter covered Sabel king of Patin. After 820 Hazan was un molested by the Assyrians, and he became the most dreaded enemy of Judah and Israel (cf. II Kings x, 32-33, xii, 17-18, xiii, 3, 7). With Ashdod III (818-785), however, his oppression of Aram commenced again, and in one of his expeditions he besieged Mar, or Tadmud, in 773 Shalmaneser III, made another expedition against Damascus, and in the following great As-

sur-Dan III, marched against Hadrach, on Lebanon (Zech. ix, 1). These diversions were doubtless the factors that enabled Israel to defeat Ben-hadad III. Urias and probably to wrest from him the conquest of his father Hazan west of the Jordan (II Kings xiii, 14-19, 24-25); although the real liberator of Israel from the Aramaean was Jeroboam II, who regained all the territory from Hamath to the Dead Sea. The fall of the Aramaean states was the work of Tiglath-Pileser III. (745-727). Arpad was the first to yield (740), followed by other Syrian principalities in alliance with Aramensis. Uzi (the modern al-Am) and Kullani (the Calus of Is. x, 9) were taken in 738; and sixteen districts of Hamath were formed into the Assyrian province of Simira. District Tiglath-Pileser's Median campaigns (737-735) Rezon of Damascus aided by Pabai of Israel, revolted, captured Ekath, and besieged Jerusalem until forced by Tiglath-Pileser to withdraw. The Assyrian king now subdued the northern parts of Israel, and in 722 Damascus was reduced and Rezon slain, while Ahas of Judah did homage to Tiglath-Pileser in Damascus (II Kings xv, 29, xvi, 5-10). The submission of the remaining provinces quickly followed. In 720 Ilu-bad, or Yau-bad, of Hamath, in alliance with Arpad, Simira, Damascus, and Samaria, revolted, but in the same year Sargon crushed them, probably simultaneously with the subjugation of Sam'al. Finally Gurgum was incorporated with Assyria in 711, and the first period of Syria's history came to an end.

4. To the Year 638 B.C.: In 638 Syria was invaded by Scythian hordes, and from the battle of Megiddo (609) to that of Carchemish (605) the land was under the sway of Pharaoh Necho, after which it came under the domination of the Neo-Babylonian empire. With the fall of Babylon in 539 Syria was made part of the Persian province "beyond the river" (Est. ii, 7, 9), over which a satrap resided, apparently residing in Aleppo, though Damascus was the most important of the inland cities. Aramaic became the official language for the conquest of the Persian empire, and south of Carchemish Nabatae (the Greek Nabatae and the modern Nabatae) became a religious center for the worship of Astarte, while Nabatae was worshipped in such cities as Edessa and Palmyra. The general fortunes of Syria during the Persian period were evil, since the land constituted the route of the expeditions against Egypt, Phoenicia, and Palestine. See MACE-PERUSSA.

5. To the Year 64 B.C.: In 332 Syria passed under Macedonian domination, and with peculiar facility adopted Hellenic culture. The death of Alexander in 323 was followed by the dismemberment of his empire, and in 321 Seleucus I, Nicator, became ruler of Babylonia, although it was not until the death of his great rival, Antigonus, satrap of Phrygia, in the battle of Ipsus in 301 that he became uncontested lord of the greater part of Syria. He made his capital the city of Antioch on the Orontes, which he himself had founded; his eastern capital being another of his many new cities, Seleucia on the Tigris, south of Bagdad. Seleucus was succeeded by Antiochus I. (280-261), but in the reign of the latter's son, Antiochus II.

(261-246), the decay of the kingdom began. Bactria and Parthia became independent; the Attalids threw off Asian Minor, Pamphilia and Phoenicia had been ceded to Egypt in the previous reign; and for a time Ptolemy III. Euergetes, ruled the Seleucid dominions. In 198, after several reverses, Antiochus III, the Great (223-187) definitely recovered Palestine from Egypt, but his crushing defeat by the Romans at Magnesia (190) brought with it the loss of Asia Minor to the Taurus. Cappadocia and Armenia revolted, while the pro-Hellenic and anti-Semitic sympathies of Seleucus IV. (187-175) and Antiochus IV. (175-164) provoked the revolt of the Hasmoneans (q.v.), which finally detached Palestine from Syrian sway. Between 180 and 140 the Parthians war from Syria the Iranian provinces and Babylonia, and with the death of Antiochus VIII. in battle against the Parthian Phraates (129) Seleucid power was restricted to Syria. Internecine strife broke out after the death of Antiochus VIII. in 112, and in 89 Tigranes II, the Great, of Armenia, made himself master of Syria. In 69 Tigranes was crushed by Lucullus, who placed the Seleucid Antiochus XIII on the throne, but this petty king fled before Pompey, and in 64 Syria became a Roman province (for more detailed account of this period see PROCONSULS and STRABO).

6. To the Year 638 A.D.: Under the Seleucids Syria proper had apparently been divided into the four districts of Antiochia, Seleucia, Apamea, and Laodicea. The Romans, bounding the country by the Taurus, the middle Euphrates, the Gulf of Issus, Parthia, and the bottom of Syria, divided it into ten districts of Commagene, Oxytrichia, Chalybonia, Parthia, Comagene, Chalchidia, Apamea, Laodicea, Palmyra, and Coele-Syria; the Roman name for the Roman client, the Hasmonean dynasty in Palestine, a Seleucid dynasty in Chalcis (until 92 A.D.), Abila (until 41 A.D.), Aradon and Emesa (until 72 A.D.), and Damascus and Petra (until 106 A.D.). On the death of Antiochus XII. in battle in 95 B.C., Damascus passed under Arab control, only to submit to Roman domination. When Paul fled from the city, it was controlled by a governor appointed by Aretas (see I KINGS XXII, 31), but in the reign of Trajan it became a Roman provincial city. From 65 to 48 Syria was under the sway of Pompey, but in 56 Crassus received it, and after sharing the vicissitudes of the period, it was controlled by Mark Antony from 41 to 30, despite attempted Parthian invasions. It was one of the provinces assigned to Augustus in 27 B.C., and after the Jewish war of 66-70 was separated from Palestine. Septimius Severus (193-211) divided the district into Syria Magna and Syria Phoenice, the latter including, besides Phoenicia, Heliopolis, Emesa, Damascus, Latakia, Amman, the Lanes, and Trachonitis. As the heir of the Seleucids, Trajan (98-117), and Constantine III (217-217) took possession of the Mesopotamian region, so that a distinction was now drawn between Orobates, Seleucia on the Tigris, in the east, Zaidon, and the district of the Arabs Scythia. Constantine the Great detached Commagene and Cyrtosiana from

Syria, which was included in the "province of the Euphrates"; and on the division of the Roman Empire in 395 Syria was given to Byzantium. Theodosius II (408-450) divided what remained of Roman Syria—the eastern part had long been the prey of Arabs and Parthians—into Syria Prima, or the coast and the northern portions as far as the Taurus, and Syria Secunda, or the country bounded by the southern course of the Taurus, the capital of the former division being Antioch, and of the latter Apamea.

The rise of the Sasanian dynasty in the third century brought new danger to the Roman power in Syria, and Super I. (241-272) was even able to make the Roman Emperor Valerian (q.v.) prisoner in Antioch. A little later Zenobia, queen of Palmyra, sought, in alliance with Persia, to establish a Syrian world-empire, but the extension of her dominion to Syria, Mesopotamia, and part of Egypt brought her into conflict with Rome, the result being a crushing defeat by Aurelian at Emesa in 271. In the reign of Justinian the Romans were forced to cede Nisibis, among other places, to Super II. (529-578), and though the truce was maintained by the treaty between Theodosius II. and Yastigol I. (509-529), war with the Romans again broke out in the reign of Kavad, the result being the treaty of 531, humiliating to the Romans. Chosroes I. (531-579) ravaged the portions of western Syria still belonging to the Romans, taking Antioch, among other cities, in 540; while in the reign of Chosroes II. (590-628) his general rode to Hamath and Edessa in 600, Aleppo in 611, Antioch, Damascus and Jerusalem in 614, and in 615. For a brief time Heraclius (610-641) recovered the Roman territories which the Persians had since 623, but in 635 Syria passed definitely into the hands of the Arabs.

2. To the Year 1516. Long before the Arab expansion there had been Arab kingdoms and enclaves in and near Syrian soil, such as the Lakhmid dynasty and the Nabataean (q.v.), the latter gradually spreading their power from northwestern Arabia as far as Damascus and Palmyra. It was not, however, until the Arabs had been united and inspired by the teachings of Mohammed that the establishment of an Arab world-power was projected. The first head to yield to the immigration from the north of Arabia was Syria, and in 635 the Byzantine Emperor Heraclius was routed by Khalid on the banks of the Yarmuk. Damascus was taken in 636, Jerusalem and Antioch fell in 637, Hamath and Aleppo surrendered voluntarily, and in 640 Caesarea was taken. Muawiya, the founder of the Omayyad dynasty (661-750), transferred the Khalifate from Medina to Damascus, and a period of glory began for the city. With the rise of the Abbasid dynasty in the eighth century, power passed from Damascus to Baghdad, but learning still flourished in Syria, especially at Damascus, and through Syriac translations the writings of the Greeks became accessible to the Arabs. As the Abbasid dynasty decayed, Turkish elements commenced to gain influence, and while in 867 Theophilus of Byzantium de-

posed northern Syria and Mesopotamia, in 878 the Turk Ahmad ibn Tulun extended his power from Egypt over Syria as far as Mesopotamia. Although his dynasty was extinguished by the Abbassids in 905, the Hamdanids had meanwhile founded a double kingdom with capitals at Mosul and Aleppo. After 970 the Fatimids ruled in Damascus, and toward the middle of the eleventh century the Seljuks for a time ruled the greater portion of the Mohammedan East. In 1071 the Seljuk Malik-Shah took Jerusalem, and Damascus five years later, while in 1085 Antioch, a Greek possession since 969, was lost to the Turkish Saladin of Iconium.

During the period of the Crusades (q.v.) the fortunes of war at first inclined toward the Christian side. In 1098 Antioch was taken by the Franks, and a year later Jerusalem was theirs. In the latter year Baldwin was lord of the Crusades, but in 1144 the city was forced and Palestine to capitulate to Imad-Din Zengid, whose son, Nur-al-Din, not only held possession of northern Syria, but made resistance to the crusaders a religious obligation. The battle of Hattin, near Tiberias, in 1187, when Saladin (1169-92) conquered the Franks, marked the turning-point of the crusades. Acre and Jerusalem were taken, and by the peace of 1193 the Franks were obliged to surrender the entire coast from Jaffa to Acre. Malik al-Kamil (1189-93), on the other hand, made a treaty with Emperor Frederick II, whereby Jerusalem and the coast cities were given to the Franks for ten years. In 1244 the alliance of the Franks, under David of Courak, and Salih Ismail of Damascus was defeated by the Mameluke Bahar at Gaza. With the fall of the kingdom of Chwatim in the first half of the thirteenth century, the Turkish horde poured into Syria, where, in the pay of the Mameluke Salih of Egypt, they were Jerusalem (1244), Damascus (1245), Baalbek (1246), and Amlak and Tiberias (1247). In 1260-70 the Mongol under Hulagu Khan overran Syria, but in the latter year they were routed by Bibars at Ain Jalut, near Haleb (the ancient Hama), and all Syria now passed under Egyptian control. Bibars successfully opposed the crusaders, taking Antioch in 1268, while in 1291 al-Ashraf Hail of Egypt reduced Acre, the last stronghold of the Christian in Syria. After a century of strife between Baharic and Cherkas Mameluks and the Bahars of Haleb's line, Syria was once more terribly devastated by the Tartar horde under Timur in 1400.

3. From 1516 to the Present Time. In 1516 Syria was wrested from the Egyptian Mameluks by the Ottoman Turk, Selim I. of Constantinople, and since that time the country has formed part of the Turkish empire. From 1645 to 1684 a Druze kingdom maintained itself, with a capital at Beirut, but was finally crushed by Amurath, Ali Bey of Egypt became lord of Syria in 1771, and in his Egyptian campaign Napoleon reduced Jaffa, and besieged Acre, ultimately penetrating as far as Haleb and Maan. In 1840, Meville, in the middle of the eighteenth century Shaikh Zahir al-Omar had gained control of Lower Galilee and a considerable portion of Upper Galilee, his capital being at Acre,

while his son and successor, Jussuf Pasha, rebel from Baalbek to Caesarea. In 1832, however, Ibrahim Pasha, son of Mehemet Ali of Egypt, aided by the Druze prince, took Acre and Damascus and defeated the Turks at Hama and Haleb, although the European powers secured a peace favorable to Turkey. A rebellion broke out against the Egyptians in 1834, and though at first Ibrahim was successful, he was finally defeated by an Anglo-Austrian force. Another anti-Egyptian rebel broke out in Lebanon in 1840, and the same European allies restored Syria to the Turkish dominion. After the Arab conquest of 635 the position of the Christians was generally not unfavorable, although they were persecuted by the Fatamid Hakim bi-Ashraf and by Timur. The Turks sought to mediate between the different Christian sects, but in 1860 European interference in Syrian affairs, combined with the Indian Mutiny, led to a Christian massacre by Druze and Turkish soldiers, the result being a positive expedition of the French against the Druze (q. v. DRUZE).

IV. Population. The population of Syria, which here includes the five governmental divisions of Aleppo, Beirut, The Lebanon, Damascus, and Jerusalem, was estimated in 1905 to be between three and three and a quarter millions; about 700,000 of these are in Palestine.

	Ass. Syria	Moslems of Jerusalem
Lebanon	1,868,380	211,222
Damascus	26,144	28,781
Beirut	10,729	1,721
Syria	43,791	119
Jerusalem	15,200	189
Syria, Jacobites	21,800	180
Orthodox Syrians	11,000	100
Gregorian Armenians	21,211	102
Protestants	17,250	102
Jews	508,880	39,868
Druses	151,277	—
Arabs	118,700	—
Foreigners	20,000	6,561
	3,236,812	—

Of these it may be said that the Druses (q.v.) and Nasirite are semi-pagan; the Bedawin, nominally Moslem, are really ignorant and superstitious; the Maronites are devoted adherents of the papacy; the small are heretical Moslems; while the Greeks, Armenians, and Jacobites are Oriental Christians. The bulk of the population in the cities is Mohammedan, excepting Beirut, of whose population of 140,000 less than one-third are Mohammedans. The northern part of Lebanon is almost exclusively Maronite; the southern portion, south of the Damascus road, being chiefly Druse, with scattering villages of Greeks, Maronites, and Moslems. In Palestine proper the most of the villages are Moslem, the Greeks and Uniate Greeks being dispersed in northern Palestine and on the plain of Sharon.

V. The Native Oriental Churches: These are the Orthodox Greek, the Maronite, the Uniate Greek, the Jacobite, Armenian, and Uniate Armenian. The Greek number about 500,000. They are Syrians by birth and descent, and speak only the Arabic language; the doctrine and ritual are the same as in Greece and Russia. They differ from the Roman Church in (1) the calendar, (2) the doctrine concerning the procession of the Holy Spirit, (3) retaining the use of pictures and encaustic images from sacred buildings, (4) rejecting of purgatory, (5) retaining communion in both kinds, and (6) in permitting the marriage of the secular clergy. The church is divided into the patriarchates of Antioch and Jerusalem, which, though nominally independent, are really under the control of the primates of Constantinople. The patriarch of Antioch governs the bishoprics of Beirut, Tripoli, Akko, Latakia, Hama, Hama, Sidwayn, and Tyre. The patriarchate of Jerusalem includes Palestine and Persia, and has under it the bishoprics of Haamah, Akko, Lydda, Gaza, Sebaste, Nablus, Philadelphia, and Ptolemais, among these the bishop of Akko is the only one who resides in his diocese; all the others live in the convent at Jerusalem. The Greek Church allows the reading of the Scripture by the people, hence they have become more enlightened than any other of the Syrian sects. The Jacobites (q.v.) use the Syriac language in church services, although it is not understood by the people. Their head is the patriarch of Hama. Their number is small, chiefly in Saida, Kuraystin, Hama, Nebk, Damascus, and Aleppo. They are poor and industrious, and receive the Scripture without opposition. The Maronites (q.v.) renounced monothelism in 1182, and submitted to the pope. They are devoted Roman Catholics, and call their part of Lebanon the Holy Mountain. Although adhering to the pope, they still retain many of their former peculiarities. Their ecclesiastical language is Syriac; their patron saint, Martin, is not found in the Roman calendar; they have their own church establishments, and the people regard their patriarch as not inferior to the pope; and their secular clergy marry. Their converts, numbering nearly 100, own the best estates in Lebanon, and formerly supported about 2,000 monks and nuns, with a revenue of not less than \$200,000. Emigration has been steadily reducing the numbers of those entering the monasteries and convents. The people are independent, hardy, and industrious, but are left in gross ignorance, illiteracy, and superstition. Their clergy are educated at Ain Warteh, and those trifling in Rome are men of fair learning; but the mass of the priests are lamentably ignorant.

The Roman Catholic schismatic churches which are in connection with the Roman communion—Greek, Syrian, and Armenian—sprang from the marriage of the clergy, their Arabic service, oriental calendar, and communion in both kinds. The Armenian population is confined to the vicinity of Antioch and Aleppo, speaking the Turkish and Armenian languages. The Jews of Palestine are foreigners, numbering about 40,000, having come

from every country on earth, and living chiefly in Jerusalem, Hebron, Tiberias, Safed, and the Jewish colonies. But the Jews of Damascus (5,000), Aleppo (45,000), and Beirut (5,000) are natives, speaking the Arabic, and many of them possess great wealth (see ZIONISM).

VI. Modern Protestant Missions in Syria. 1. **American Presbyterian:** The first modern Protestant mission to Syria began in Jan., 1819, when Revs. Flay Fisk (a. v.) and Levi Parsons, missionaries of the A. B. C. F. M., landed in Smyrna. In 1, **Organized:** Feb., 1821, Parsons reached Jerusalem, and Work. In 1823 Messrs. Fisk, Jonas King, from America, and Way, of the London-Jews Society, reached Beirut, and summered in Lebanon. Jerusalem and Beirut continued for years the two centers of American missionary labor, until 1843, when the American mission was withdrawn from Jerusalem, and confined to Syria proper, leaving Palestine to the Church Missionary Society. In 1871 the Syria mission of the American Board was transferred to the Presbyterian Board of Foreign Missions of the United States, owing to the then recent reunion of the two branches of the Presbyterian Church. The whole number of American missionaries laboring in Syria under these two boards from 1821 to 1910 is as follows: male missionaries, 60; female missionaries, 95; printers, 4; total, 157. The missionaries were at first directed to strengthen the reform of the oriental churches, leaving the converts within the oriental communities; but it soon became necessary to organize a distinct Oriental Evangelical Church. Thirty-two native presbyters and churches have been organized, of which 14 have native ordained pastors, and 27 have presbyters aid in the work of evangelization. The number of converts is about 2,800, of whom 1,100 are women. Eighty Sunday-schools contain about 7,000 scholars. The number of Protestant adherents is about 8,000. Medical mission work has received special attention in a well-equipped hospital at Tripoli and in medical practice among the poor in the interior towns and villages. The first refuge and sanatorium for tubercular patients was opened at Tushah in 1900, with summer quarters at Shebsaynah.

The great work undertaken by the American Syria Mission, however, is not merely for the three millions in Syria, but, through the medium of the Arabic Scriptures and Christian Arabic Literature, for the 235,000,000 of the Moslem Mohammedan world. The work of Bible translating the Bible from the original tongues into Arabic was begun in 1848 by Dr. Eli Smith, who labored assiduously until his death, Jan. 11, 1857. Only Genesis, Exodus, and the first sixteen chapters of Matthew had received his final revision; but he had revised and nearly prepared for the press the whole of the New Testament, and all except Jeremiah, Lamentations, and the last fourteen chapters of Isaiah, of the Old Testament. On his death, Rev. Cornelius Van Alen Van Dyck continued the work of translation. In 1860 the New Testament was completed, and issued from the press; and in 1865 the entire Bible was finished, and sent forth to the world. Dr. Smith had prepared in 1857, with the aid of Hosna Hal-

lock, the punches of a new font of Arabic type, made from the best specimens of Arabic calligraphy. The type was cast by Tashlich, in Lajous. This type, which at first was anatomized by the religious heads of the oriental sects, has been adopted by the Turkish government journals, the Domestian press at Mosul, the Greek, and other native presses, and the Leipzig Arabic press. Seven complete editions of the Arabic Bible have been electrotyped at the American Press at Beirut at the expense of the American Bible Society, together with many portions in various types of different books of the Old and New Testaments. Of the seven complete editions four are unpointed except where ambiguity would result without the vowels and two are completely vowelized. One is a second font Reference Bible, and two editions of a first font Reference Bible have been printed from type. An entirely new edition of the largest first font Reference Bible is now in preparation, with a new set of references based upon the standard American and English editions. The adaptation of the new references was begun in 1908 by the present editor, Rev. F. E. Hoskins, D. D., and, with the making of the plates for about 1,400 pages, can not be completed before 1914. A photographic edition of the first font pointed Bible has also been issued in London by the British and Foreign Bible Society. The Arabic Bible, during the past thirty-seven years, has been distributed throughout Syria and Palestine, Mesopotamia, Egypt, in Asia Minor, Tunis, Algeria, Tripoli, Morocco, Sierra Leone, Liberia, Zanzibar, Aden, Bagdad, India, China, and wherever Syrian emigrants are found in the United States, South America, and Australia. Between July 1, 1872, and Dec. 31, 1909, there have been printed in Beirut, 188,998 complete Bibles, 210,222 complete New Testaments and 972,746 parts, making a total of 1,362,066 volumes of the Arabic Scriptures. In addition to this, nearly 400 different books have been printed at the Beirut press, comprising works on medicine, surgery, anatomy and physiology, chemistry, natural philosophy, botany, astronomy, the higher mathematics, geography with atlas, grammar, arithmetic, history, theology, homiletics, church history, evidence of Christianity, social philosophy, hermeneutics, etc., together with religious books and tracts, and illustrated books for the young and weekly and monthly journals. Beirut Bibles, a learned society from the Maronite faith, who aided Dr. Eli Smith in the Bible translation, published, in 1870, a fine dictionary of the Arabic language (2 vols., 8vo., 1,260 pages) and began in 1872 an Arabic encyclopedia (12 vols., 8vo., 800 pages each), of which vol. 21 is completed. During the year 1909, 30,231,000 pages in Arabic were printed at the Beirut press, making 962,277,000 from the foundation of the press. The demand for Beirut publications is greater in Egypt than in any other country. The Beirut press has an Arabic type foundry and electrotype apparatus, five steam presses, six hand presses, hydraulic, hot rolling, and embossing machines, and fifty-two employees. The American Bible Society and the American and London Religious Tract Societies have given substantial aid in the printing and publishing work of the mission.

Education is a prominent branch of the mission work in Syria. The first missionaries found the **S. Schools:** people in a deplorable state of intellectual and moral ignorance. The only schools were the Moslem madrasahs, attached to the mosques, and the clerical training-school of the Maronites at Ain Wurks, Mount Lebanon. Books were to be made for readers, and readers for books. Drs. Thomson and Van Dyck founded a seminary for boys in Achor in 1846, which was placed under the care of Simon Howard Culbert in 1849 and continued in his care until 1876. It was the highest literary institution in Syria for years, until the founding of the Syrian Protestant College in Beirut (see below). In the absence of any adequate public school system the mission has more than 100 day-schools gathering nearly 5,000 children from all the religious sects. It has three boarding-schools—in Beirut, Sidon, and Tripoli—for the higher education of girls with nearly 900 pupils; four training-schools for boys—in Sidon, Ghazir, Sidon, Shwir, and Tripoli—where 900 boys are being educated along the best American lines. The Sidon school for boys, now known as Ghazir Institute, has industrial training in four departments and on its large farms an orphanage for children from Protestant families. Several members of the mission give theological instruction to candidates for the Christian ministry. The total number under instruction is nearly 6,000 pupils.

3. The Syrian Protestant College: Situated on a commanding location at Ras Beirut, with its eight-story stone building scattered over its campus of forty acres, this college is now the largest American educational institution in the world outside the boundaries of the United States. While a direct outgrowth of the American mission and closely affiliated with its work, it is not connected with any missionary society, but is un denominational, and has an entirely independent organization. It was incorporated by the legislature of New York in 1863 and is under the control of the board of trustees residing in that state, who have charge of all the funds of the college and ultimate authority in all matters of the institution. The local government is vested in the faculty. The college began with a preparatory class in 1863 and the college proper opened in the fall of 1866. A medical class was formed in 1887. In the autumn of 1873 the college moved to the present location. The departments of the college are seven: preparatory, collegiate, commerce, medicine, pharmacy, training-school for nurses, and Biblical archeology. English is the language of instruction in all the departments. The eighteen buildings furnish excellent accommodations for the present staff of 70 instructors and nearly 900 students. There are nine well-furnished laboratories; a library with over 15,000 volumes; the George E. Post Hall of Science contains nine museums scientifically arranged for exhibition and study; the astronomical observatory is well equipped; four new buildings accommodate the hospitals for women, children, and eye diseases, together with the training-school for nurses. The whole number of students in the college for the year 1909-10 was 845, of whom 7 were Bahai, 23 Druses, 88 Jews, 194

Muslims, 100 Protestants, 85 both the Roman, and the remainder, 379, from the orthodox Christian sects of the orient. They represented at least 12 nationalities and spoke 24 different languages. The total number of graduates to the year 1909 was 1,177, distributed as follows: preparatory (since 1863), 922; collegiate (since 1870), 200; commerce (since 1902), 53; pharmacy (since 1875), 162; medicine (since 1871), 330.

4. Irish Presbyterian Mission in Damascus: This was founded in 1843. The United Presbyterian Church of the United States soon entered upon the work, and continued to cooperate for a number of years, until the latter church concentrated its work upon Egypt. Since 1906 the Irish church has confined its work to Damascus and the village of Bishia in the Anti-Lebanon. Besides the evangelistic work of preaching, there are in Damascus a girls' boarding- and day-school and a boys' boarding- and day-school in the Christian quarter, and two similar schools in the Jewish quarter for Jews, all under the care of Irish ladies; also two day-schools in Hlshdin. On the walls of these schools are about 600 pupils of various sects, including 200 Jews. Two Bible women visit about 200 homes in the Jewish quarter of the city.

5. The Church of England Missions: These, having their center at Jerusalem, embrace a variety of enterprises which, while acknowledging and affiliating with the Anglican hierarchy, differ in their organization and policy from each other. The historical background and relations of the four main divisions are not easy to disentangle. (1) The London Society for Promoting Christianity among the Jews dates back to 1829, when its Jerusalem mission was begun. The other centers now occupied are Safed and Damascus. Since 1829 various institutions have been founded, many of which have passed into other hands. In 1910 there were two boarding-schools for Jewish children, with 80 scholars, and a day-school for girls with a regular attendance of 130; an industrial establishment for receiving and teaching them a trade in addition to ordinary Christian instruction. The society has two workshops for carpentry and printing. A prominent feature is the medical work in the hospital and three dispensaries, this being the first medical mission of modern times. Christ Church, Jerusalem, was the first Protestant church built in Syria and was consecrated in 1849. There have been 650 baptisms of Hebrews since the foundation. The staff consists of two clergy and twelve lay missionaries with two doctors and five English trained nurses. In connection with Jerusalem there is a small mission in Afula. Safed is the center of the work in Galilee. Here there are schools and a hospital served by two clergy, three lay missionaries, an English doctor, and three nurses. In Damascus there has been a small mission with schools and industrial work among girls. (2) The Protestant bishopric of Jerusalem (see ZIONISM, ASSOCIATED CHURCHES) has not yet been attached the Collegiate Church of St. George with the status of a cathedral, a school for boys and for girls, two hospitals, and a home for nurses, and is the main center of the Jerusalem and the East Mission whose oper-

ness are coextensive with the jurisdiction of the bishopric, which extends over the congregations and interests of the Anglican Church in Egypt, the Sudan, the region on both sides of the Red Sea, Palestine, and Syria, parts of Asia Minor, and the island of Cyprus. (2) The Society for the Propagation of the Gospel in Foreign Parts proposed a mission to the Druses of Lebanon in 1841, but it was many years later before it really entered Syria. In 1865 the society agreed to become trustees of the property of the Jerusalem bishopric, and since then has aided in many of its enterprises. (4) The Church Missionary Society's work in the Holy Land may be said to be the outcome of previous work done about the shores of the Mediterranean and the establishment of the Jerusalem bishopric. It has 11 European and 116 native workers. Its operations are mainly in Palestine, where in 28 stations and outstations it carries on an extensive educational work in 46 schools with nearly 100 teachers and an average daily attendance of 2,281 scholars. Its medical work in 4 well-equipped hospitals and many dispensaries is a great blessing to the country. The native church organizations with 10 ordained men form the Palestine Native Church Council, which aims at self-administration and ultimate financial independence. The communicants number 777 and the adherents 2,239.

5. **The German Evangelical Missions:** These include the following agencies: (1) The deaconesses of Kaiserswerth, whose work comprises orphan training, higher education of all nationalities, and hospital nursing, and there are 64 sisters in Beirut, Jerusalem, Bethlehem, and Haifa. They began labor in Sidon after the measures of 1860 and then transferred their work to Beirut, where, in 1910, they have 31 deaconesses and 6 native helpers who serve in the Johanneiter Hospital, the large orphanage, and their schools, which contain 320 pupils. In Jerusalem 10 serve in the Talitha Kumil Orphanage, and 4 in the magnificent new Augustin Nissen Institute on the Mount of Olives. Two serve in Bethlehem and 2 in Haifa as visiting nurses and kindergarten teachers. They represent one of the finest Christian enterprises in the world. (2) The Syrian Orphanage, commonly called Schœler's, after its founder, at Jerusalem, is one of the most useful, varied, and successful of the enterprises which came into existence after the measures of 1860. It has maintained and trained thousands of orphans, instructed the blind, and done much for the industrial improvement of Syria. With 21 German and 14 native workers it carries on a system of kindergarten, elementary, and higher education in the orphanage and tributary schools, which enroll 315 pupils. Its most important features have been its training workshops, where hundreds of boys have been taught printing, blacksmithing, locksmithing, tailoring, carpentry and turning, pottery and brickmaking, basket and chair making, and its agricultural departments at Bir Salem in the Plain of Sharon and near Naarath, the latter a gift of German living in America. The Protestant community embraces 118 communicants and 277 adherents. (3) The Jerusalem Stiftung, which cares for the German

congregations in the Church of the Redeemer at Jerusalem, operates schools, and maintains chaplaincy at Beirut and elsewhere. (4) The Hermit or Lutheran Brothers have charge of the Leper Asylum near Jerusalem, where 40 to 60 of them and adhere more or less Christian care from treated deaconesses. (5) The Jerusalem Verein (Berlin) was founded in 1852 to assist German evangelical institutions in the orient. It long enjoyed the royal protection of the Emperor Augustus and since the present emperor's visit to the Holy Land in 1897 has received special support and encouragement. While contributing yearly to the hospitals, orphanages, leper asylum, supporting German pastors in Jaffa and Haifa, it has also provided native pastors for Arabic-speaking congregations at Jerusalem, Bethlehem, and Beit Jala. It took up independent work at Bethlehem in 1860, Beit Jala in 1870, Haifa in 1884, and Beit Sahur in 1903. (6) The Knights of St. John own the hospitals in Beirut and Jerusalem and the hospice at Jerusalem, and are to have charge of the Augusta Victoria Institute on the Mount of Olives, the largest and finest pile of buildings in the Holy Land devoted to Protestant mission work, which were dedicated with ceremony by Crown Prince Eitel Frederick Apr. 9, 1910.

6. **The British Syrian Missions:** This enterprise formerly the British Syrian schools founded in 1860 by Mrs. J. Bowen Thompson and afterward conducted by her sister, Mrs. A. Mentor Mott, has completed its first half century of superb work for the girls and women of Syria and begins another period with extensive enlargements of its training-college at Beirut, where the mission aims honorably to train teachers for its own 28 schools, which are grouped about the main centers at Beirut, Damascus, Baalbek, Tyre, Haifa, Zabbib, Shemlan, and Ain Zakhleh, and also to render the largest possible assistance to the work of all other societies. Twenty English workers support the 28 schools, with 62 teachers and over 3,000 pupils. Fifteen Bible women with thousands of women and teach Christian and Moslem women to read. Two schools for the blind, one for girls and one for men, the latter with 25 pupils, teach various forms of handicraft in addition to reading and other studies.

7. **The Society of Friends (Quakers):** This organization carries on work on Mount Lebanon with resident missionaries at Brumana, Jout Met, and Ras al-Met. In Brumana are two large boarding-schools for boys and for girls, and a hospital with 20 beds where clinics are held regularly and a number of Syrian girls have been trained as nurses. Besides these larger stations they have schools in eight villages and about 1,000 pupils under instruction, 13 English missionaries, and 35 native workers. This mission was founded in 1873 by Theophilus Waldmeier, and was carried on by a special committee until 1898, when it was taken over by the Board of the Friends' Foreign Mission Association as one of its five fields of missionary labor (Syria, India, Madagascar, China, and Ceylon). In 1898 Waldmeier left the mission and founded the Lebanon Hospital for the Insane at Adfehreh just outside of Beirut. After extensive journeys in Switzerland, Great Britain, and the United States,

Syria Literature

THE NEW SCHIAFF-HERZOG

Waldmeier proceeded with the erection of the buildings which now constitute the best-equipped home for the insane in the whole Turkish empire. The general committee of the hospital is in London, the trustees are English and American, while the executive committee is international.

The Society of Friends (American) carries on an extensive medical and educational work at Baalbek, Haifa, Jaffa, and Ramleh with 4 American and 22 Syrian workers.

8. **Other Enterprises:** These mentioned in the order of their founding are: (1) The Reformed Presbyterians (Covenanters) in North America occupied Latakia in 1859 and later extended their work to Sidon, Tarsus, Mardin, and Cyprus. They have done much for the Nusairi, really a pagan people, which the government has attempted to make Mohammedan. Twenty American missionaries with 48 native helpers in the 4 main stations and 9 outstations have gathered more than 350 communicants and 800 pupils in 15 schools. (2) The Theodosia Mission (1865) in Jaffa, aiming to give a Christian training to Christian, Jewish, and Moslem girls, was founded and is still carried on by Miss Walker Ames. Four foreign and 10 Syrian helpers serve a home with 44 boarders, 2 day-schools with 160 girls, and oversee an industrial work employing 400 women and girls. (3) The Church of Scotland Jewish Mission (1864) in Beirut aims to create and direct a movement among the Jews by which they may deliver themselves from rabbinical traditions and seek after God, and to diffuse Christian knowledge rather than to winnow individuals. Eight British and 12 Syrian workers maintain excellent day schools for boys and girls and a boarding home for Jewish girls, with a total of about 400 pupils. (4) Miss Taylor's orphanage for Moslem and Druse girls (1865) in Beirut is widely questioned the most unique work in Syria, if not in the whole of the Orient, and the influence exerted by Miss Taylor's impressive personality and those who have followed her. (5) The Palestine and Lebanon Nurses' Mission (1885) at Haifa in the Lebanon for the evangelization of the Druses through the agency of a medical mission, with 5 English and 2 native workers, maintains a cottage hospital with 13 beds, a large clinic, and services and classes for Druse women and girls. (6) The Edinburgh Medical Missionary Society at Damascus (1864), after many years in hired premises, erected in 1908 its commodious Victoria Hospital, where Dr. Frank Mackinnon and another English doctor with 2 English and 2 native nurses receive and treat the sick poor of all creeds and nationalities. Their aim and object is "to preach the Gospel and to heal the sick." (7) The United Free Church of Scotland Mission has a fine hospital at "Ohras on the Sea of Galilee (1884) with 40 beds and a large outpatient department where Dr. Torrance has labored 26 years; another large hospital at Haifa, and one at Sidon. In connection with the medical work are 4 schools with 320 pupils and religious services and communion. There are 12 British and 20 native workers. (8) The Dufferin and Procter Memorial Schools for boys and girls at Sidon had been founded in 1856 by Miss Louise Procter, an Irish

lady who gave her money and 21 years of her life to the building up of two boarding-schools. At her death the work was placed in the hands of her assistant, Rev. Thos. Saul, who, in cooperation with an English and Irish committee of reference and a board of visitors, is carrying on the work successfully. Two English and 6 Syrian workers care for 173 pupils in the schools. (9) The Christian and Missionary Alliance of New York began in 1893 an unmissionary work in Jerusalem among Jews, Moslems, and oriental Christians for a deepening of the religious life and has organized a church with boarding-schools for boys and girls, sends visiting workers into the villages, and supports a day-school for girls in Haifa. (10) The Presbyterian Church of England's Mission to the Jews entered Aleppo in 1895 and aims mainly at helping the Jews while admitting a limited number of Gentiles. Three British and 9 Syrian workers care for a community of 120 Protestants with about 300 pupils in its schools. (11) The Danish Mission to the Orient in 1868 entered Syria, in 1905 took over the Kalamoon district (i. e. of Damascus, toward Palmyra) from the Irish Presbyterian Mission, and has opened work in Yakkoub, Nekk, Daar Atyah, Heli, and Karjassan. Eight Danish and 17 Syrian workers have opened 9 schools with 340 pupils, while plans for an extensive medical and church work are well under way. (12) The Swedish Jerusalem Society of Stockholm entered Jerusalem in 1903 and is building a hospital at Bethlehem, where Dr. Billing has been laboring since 1904. The society employs 4 Swedish, 2 German, 1 English, and 4 Syrian workers.

VII. **Summary and Conclusion:** The whole number of foreign Protestant societies now operating in Syria is 35, with not less than 600 foreign workers of whom about 150 are American and 200 are from Great Britain. The pupils in Protestant schools number more than 20,000. Medical missions are carried on in 28 cities and towns, with more than 40 foreign physicians and twice as many trained nurses. In addition to the Protestant educational institutions in Syria and Palestine, numerous schools have been opened by other sects, foreign and native, and the Turkish government has begun to develop a system of its own, but has apparently begun at the top with military and civil institutions instead of at the bottom with elementary education. Beirut was in the days of the Roman empire a city of schools and is so still. Out of 97 schools of all grades 36 are Moslem (mainly elementary attached to the mosque), 43 are foreign, 14 belong to the native Christian sects and 2 to the Jews. Out of the 13,256 scholars more than half are in foreign schools and more than two-thirds are in Christian schools. The highest grades of all schools are Christian.

The re-provisioning of the constitution in Turkey in 1908, the deposition of Abd ul-Hamid in 1909, and the other stirring events of the years 1907-09 gave a great impetus to all missionary operations. The new government, despite the malignant influence and activity of the mediocrity, has shown itself friendly to all educational enterprises, and men prominent in the new regime have rendered

superficial to the foreigners who told him the... report of the first years who told him the...

On the geographical and political history... On the geographical and political history...

On the geographical and political history... On the geographical and political history...

On the geographical and political history... On the geographical and political history...

On the geographical and political history... On the geographical and political history...

On the geographical and political history... On the geographical and political history...

Eusebius, in various places in his Hist. eccl., like Jerome and Gennadius in their De op. eccl., make mention of some Syrian writers who had already been known in the West...

*Special abbreviations employed in this article: J. F. A. Schaff, Bibliotheca Patrum and Trinitas, 1882, etc.; J. F. A. Schaff, Bibliotheca Patrum and Trinitas, 1882, etc.; J. F. A. Schaff, Bibliotheca Patrum and Trinitas, 1882, etc.

equal of Socrates and Pylaeas, was probably a pagan and a Christian (cf. Hardek, in ZDMG, l. 701; E. Roman, in J. A. V., 1872-73; F. Schilling, in ZDMG, l. 265-291).

*Special abbreviations employed in this article: J. F. A. Schaff, Bibliotheca Patrum and Trinitas, 1882, etc.; J. F. A. Schaff, Bibliotheca Patrum and Trinitas, 1882, etc.; J. F. A. Schaff, Bibliotheca Patrum and Trinitas, 1882, etc.

literature have already been considered in separate articles, it is sufficient here merely to allude to them, giving in addition the latest literature upon them. The chief representatives of the earliest original Syrian literature are Aphraates and Ephraem Syrus (q.v.), the "Hymnists" of Aphraates have been edited afresh by J. Paret in *Paralipomena Syriaca* II (Paris, 1894 sq.), while his theological point of view is discussed by F. Schwan, in his *Archaische Neue Papiere und Verordnungen des Christentums* (Berlin, 1907; cf. *J.D.R.* 1907, pp. 222, 229, 230; cf. further, H. Koch, "Traite und Admon in der alten syrischen Kirche," in *Z.N.P.W.*, xii, 37-69). Ignatius Ephraem II, Patriarch of Antioch, *Epistola ad Romanos* (Beirut, 1909) is, despite the full title, only in part a first edition (cf. *J.D.R.* 1907, pp. 224-225). Minor successors and imitators of Ephraem were Cyrillus (c. 366; cf. John, *Kanon*, II, 252; O. Bickel, in *ZDMG*, xxvii, 556-623, xxxv, 257) and Basil (c. 422), whose works were edited together with some of Ephraem and others, by J. J. Overbeck, in his *Epistola Syriaca, Epistola Epistolarum, Brevia ad Romanos opera selecta* (Oxford, 1865); on Basil, cf. further, A. V. Ledwoski, *Beitrag zur Kenntnis der religiösen Dichtung Basils*, Leipzig, 1902; *BrO*.

For the second period of Syrian literature, that under Greek influence, general reference may be made to F. C. Burkitt's *Early Eastern Christianity*, vi, 219, and to J. Tixeront's "Théologie de langue syriaque au IV. siècle" (*Revue des études*, vol. II, chap. vii, Paris, 1909). Here the most prominent figures are Babai of Edessa, base of Antioch (q.v.), and Barsanaim of Bnaya (q.v.).

6. The treatise *De Professione Religionis* has been recently been edited by F. Bejlan, under Greek Paris, 1908; while the letters of Barsanaim to the Catholics Antiochia have been published by P. Braun (*in Acta de scriptis internationalis de orientalismo*, II, 82-101, Leipzig, 1896), and a hymn ascribed to him (though others attribute it to Mar John) is given in A. J. Moles's *East Syrian Daily Office*, p. 258 (London, 1894).

The literary importance of Narsai (Narsai) the Great (see Narsai) is attested both by his sermons and by the publication of *Scotti Versus Prosa aram. Ispasid aram.* (Voices, 1902). To the works of Narsai, Martin, Sachin, and Weil listed in *BrO*, p. 135, and besides those mentioned in the bibliography of *Narsai* almanac may be made to Y. Grabowski, *Die Geschiedenis van Mar Narsai* (Leipzig, 1898), and to A. Mingana's edition, *Narsai's Doctrinae Cypri*, *homiliae et orationes* prima alba (2 vols., Mosul, 1905). The fifth volume of the *Hebrew-Syriac Dictionary* of Jacob Sarrag (q.v.) has been edited by F. Bejlan (Paris, 1910), this part containing homilies aram. etc., only one of which had previously been edited. Philoxenus of Mabug (see Philoxenus), whom Brockelmann calls "the greatest prose writer of Syria," has recently received further study. The edition of his *Discourses* by E. A. W. Budge (London, 1894) has been discussed in a Russian criticism by A. Spanky in *Russkij Vostok*, Oct., 1896, pp. 143-149; while A. A. Va-

chade's edition of certain letters (Rome, 1907) has been further considered by R. Duval, in *J.A.*, Jan.-Feb., 1908, pp. 188-190, and by A. Baumstark, in *Oriens*, II, 447-450. Stephen bar Zudah (q.v.) is of importance chiefly because of his connection with the literature which gathered around Dinsyrius the Areopagite (q.v.); the latest contribution to this subject being P. Peeters, "La Vision de Dinsyrius l'Areopagite à Hippodrome," in *RSO*, xix, 2. Very little is known concerning *Martyria-Sabdana* (c. 650) until H. Goussier published his *Martyria-Sabdana Liber und Werk* (Leipzig, 1897) and F. Bejlan edited his writings (*Sinai Martyria qv* of Sabdana que representent, Paris, 1902; cf. R. Duval, *J.A.*, Jan.-Feb., 1903, p. 166). When Sabdana abandoned Nestorianism, he found a bitter opponent in Isha-yah III, the author of *Acta Martyrii Isha-yah* (ed. J. B. Chabot, in *Revue des études syriaques*, viii, 486) and *The Book of Condemnation, or the Pastoral Epistles of Mar Isha-yah* (ed. F. Scott-Moncrieff, part I, London, 1904); these epistles have also been edited, with a Latin transl., by R. Duval, in *CSCO*, II, lxxv, 1905. Another writer whose very name was almost unknown until the present century was Theodor bar Koni (or, perhaps, Kowani), who left a large collection of annotations on the Bible (cf. J. B. Chabot, "Théodore bar Koni et le livre des apôtres," in *J.A.*, Jan.-Feb., 1901, pp. 170-170), the first part of which has been edited by Adal Sobor in *CSCO*, II, lxxv, 1905. An author long known and justly famous was Jacob of Edessa (q.v.), new fragments of whose correspondence being George, bishop of the Arabians (q.v.), who worked over the "Chronicon" of Eusebius, and some of whose fragments are quoted in *BrO*, 1901, pp. 1-8, 1908, pp. 3-4; and to this same period belong George, bishop of the Arabians (q.v.), who worked over the "Chronicon" of Eusebius, and some of whose fragments are quoted in *BrO*.

A new period began with the rise of Isaias and the establishment of the militia in Babylon, and Syrian literature as a whole soon yielded place to Arabic. Theological literature fell into the background, and secular branches were cultivated. Rise of Isaias, treatises now being written in Arabic on astronomy, on astrology, on alchemy, on magic, on logic, and on rhetoric. *History* on astronomy, on astrology, on alchemy, on magic, on logic, and on rhetoric. *History* on astronomy, on astrology, on alchemy, on magic, on logic, and on rhetoric.

his writings have thus far been published (cf. O. Braun, *Messe bar Kappa und sein Buch von der Seele*, Freiburg, 1891). The work of Thomas of Marag (now in the cloister of Beth 'Abbe in S2, secretary to the Patriarch Abraham in 827, and later bishop of Marag), *The Book of Governors, or the History of the Bishop of Marag* (ed. and transl. E. A. W. Budge, 2 vols., London, 1885; *Liber Superiorum* . . . *Mar Narsai's Homiliae in Joseph, Documenta patrum et galileorum adde documentis*, ed. F. Bejlan, Paris, 1901), is of importance for the history of the Syrian monasteries. A contemporary of Thomas of Marag was Ithobad, whose position in the epistle of the Old Testament has been pointed out by G. Dietrich, in the *Beilage zur ZATW*, no. 6, 1902, while an edition and translation is promised in the near future by Margard D. Gibson.

The seventh century produced no great author among the Syrians, but to the twelfth belongs Diogenes bar Saliba, some of whose numerous works have been published in *CSCO*, II, 1-101, 1901), the first part of the commentary on the Gospels (by I. Sallabek, vol. xviii, 1900), and the commentary on Revelation, Acts, and the Catholic Epistles (by the same, vol. vi, 1901). In the early part of the thirteenth century there flourished the learned Bishop Solomon of Bnaya of Bnaya, the author of *The Book of the Sea* (ed. and transl. E. A. W. Budge, in *Asiatica Orientalia*, vol. I, part II, Oxford, 1886), which is full of curious legendary information concerning the Bible. To this period also belongs the poet Gurgan Waran of Arbela (about 1225), but the most versatile author of the century, and indeed of the whole range of Syrian literature, was Akhifaj (q.v.), commonly called Bar-Israhel. To the last of modern editions of his works given by Nestle (*Lecturae*, pp. 46-50, in sup.), some twenty more have been added by *BrO*, p. 149, and even this is not exhaustive (cf. J. Göttsche, "Barthelemy und seine Scholien zur heiligen Schrift," in *Bibliotheca Studion*, ed. O. Bardenhever, v, 8-8, Freiburg, 1900). Akhifaj was followed by Ebed Jesu (q.v.), the author of a verified list of Syrian literature, a collection of canons, and the "Paradise of Eden," an imitation of the Arabic "Garden of Hauri." The name of the last writer of good Syrian is unknown, but was the biographer of the Patriarch Yehiahih (1213-1217), who started on a pilgrimage from China to Jerusalem and Europe, and who rose, through his relations with the Moslem princes to whom the Syrian Church was then subject, to the dignity of patriarch. Several centuries there passed before the literature in modern Syria began, a literature which, however, falls outside the scope of this article.

The discussion has thus far been concerned chiefly with individual authors, most of whom wrote on theology. There are, however, also numerous works the authors of which are unknown, as well as collected works (cf. the list in *BrO*, pp. 121-130) and the rich literature of translations (*BrO*, p. 140 sq.), especially from Greek, though there are also some from Arabic and Persian, and a few from Latin,

such as the works of Origen, which probably came through the medium of Greek. Among theological works mention may here be made for the most complete list in Harnack, *Literatur*, 1900, collected in 1850-1851 of the writings of Alexander and Mosaic of Alexandria, Clement of Alexandria, and John of Ephesus, has preserved the chronicle of Isaias the Syrian, a chronicle which was long believed to be the work of Dinsyrius of Tel-Mahre, but of Dinsyrius ever history only a portion has survived. Moses bar Kophia (fl. about 813; J. 903; bishop of Mosul, under the name of Severus, in 863) was a prolific author, although scarcely any of

such as the works of Origen, which probably came through the medium of Greek. Among theological works mention may here be made for the most complete list in Harnack, *Literatur*, 1900, collected in 1850-1851 of the writings of Alexander and Mosaic of Alexandria, Clement of Alexandria, and John of Ephesus, has preserved the chronicle of Isaias the Syrian, a chronicle which was long believed to be the work of Dinsyrius of Tel-Mahre, but of Dinsyrius ever history only a portion has survived. Moses bar Kophia (fl. about 813; J. 903; bishop of Mosul, under the name of Severus, in 863) was a prolific author, although scarcely any of

In the domain of philosophy the Syrians became the teachers of the Arabs, whose translations of the writings of Aristotle carried this new learning throughout medieval Europe. Here the way was led by the Nestorian Probus, who probably flourished in the first half of the 6th century, and he was followed by Theodor, bishop of Edessa, and by Philoas, the Persian (see above), who rendered 874 and at the court of Charoem Amalricus, Science, and Sergius of Ra'ain (c. 835; see the works of A. Baumstark, Friedmann, and N. Nagy cited in *BrO*, p. 140). For the grammatical treatises of the Syrians reference may be made to A. Merx, *Historia Artis Grammaticae apud Orientales*, 1898 (cf. also *MARCI EPISTOLA DE GRAMMATICIS*, in *BrO*, p. 142). On geography J. P. N. Land published "Arabisches Geographisches (in der syrischen Literatur" in the *Verhandlungen der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften zu Halle*, 1886, while from R. J. H. Göttsche some contributions on the history of Syrian geography in *Historia*, vi, 29-33, viii, 62-76, *Monographien der akademischen-orientalischen Vereinigung zu Berlin*, no. 3, 1890, pp. 148, *JACOBI, Pseudonymus, Mar-*

244 THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 244

Syracuse, Tabernacle, The Mosaic
Marcus Eugenius of Ephesus, a strictly orthodox anti-universalist. According to his own account, he detected the whole journey, did not expect success, because involved in conflict with the patriarch and even the emperor, and obstinately refused his assent to the agreement; only the demand and threat of the emperor induced him to sign, and this he counted a weakness. After his return to Constantinople his connections at the court occasioned bitter attacks. He then retired from his activity and gave an account of this important experience in a work bearing perhaps the title as "Recollections of the Council of Florence." It is of great value as a source being the work of a participant in the events. Through partisan, it reveals a series of relationships and developments which otherwise would have remained unknown. The author tries to prove that a real harmony could not be attained, but that the leading personalities, the pope, Boniface, the patriarch, and the emperor, together with some other spokesmen, approached each other more closely until the urgent position of the Greeks decided the issue. Syracusa justly calls the result a mediating post, instead of a union.

T

TABERNACLE: The term used in the Middle Ages for the outer vessel in which the host is preserved, the inner being named the pyx (see Vasata, SACRAMENT). The word also designates the tabernacle above the altar, and the ciborium (see ALZAN, II, 1, 1).

TABERNACLE CONNECTOR. See MENSURATIONS, I, 2.

TABERNACLE, THE MOSAIC.

The Tent (I, 1).
The Curains (I, 2).
The Tent and the Furnishing (I, 3).
The Altar and the Table (I, 4).
History of the Account (I, 5).
Conclusion (I, 6).
"Tabernacle" is the term used in the English versions of the Biblical account of the exodus to name the structure serving in the wilderness as the dwelling-place of God, to which the people assembled. It represents several Hebrew phrases—'ohel mo'ed, 'ohel ha'edut, mikdash, mikdash ha'dah, which, translated literally, mean "tent of meeting," "tent of testimony," but it is not to be taken as a place in which men met. Its structure was in the form of a tent. The tent itself consisted of a wooden structure of acacia boards covered with curtains. The boards were forty-eight in number, each one ten cubits long and one and a half wide. They were distributed in such a way that there were twenty boards each on the north and south side, the front, on each side, remained open. Inasmuch as the boards were closely joined to make a real wall, the length of the structure was thirty cubits, the width twelve cubits, and the height, corresponding to the length

of the boards, ten cubits. The boards were connected with each other and with the floor by tenons and sockets. The sockets were of silver and each board had two such sockets, i. e., probably holes into which the tenons were put. The rear wall had, besides the six boards that were like the others, two corner boards of a different kind, but it is not clear from Ex. xxvi, 24 wherein their peculiarity consisted. The boards were fastened together with five bars for each side that were thrust through rings of gold; the boards were covered with gold, as were the lam, which were made of acacia wood. This wooden structure became a "tabernacle" or "tent" only through the curtains spread over it (Ex. xxvi, 1 sqq., xxvii, 8 sqq.) which were so essential to it that one of them, the byssus curtain, could be called the tabernacle (xxvi, 1, 2, 3, 6, etc.). The lowest covering, the acacia curtains, called byssus curtain, consisted of ten and four wide, of twisted byssus, therefore probably of white as the ground-color; interwoven with patterns of blue, purple, and scarlet cherubim. Five of these ten pieces were fastened together in two to make two large curtains twenty-eight cubits long and twenty cubits broad. Each of these curtains had fifty loops of purple yarn through which were thrust gold taches, fastening the whole into one covering. Over this curtain, to which the name "tabernacle" was given, there was spread for its protection a curtain of goat hair, called "tent." It consisted of eleven pieces, each thirty cubits long and four wide, so connected as to make two curtains, one of five, the other of six of the smaller pieces. In the larger of these two the sixth piece was to be doubled in the forefront of the tabernacle. These were coupled together by the fifty loops of

245 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Syracuse Tabernacle, The Mosaic

the edge of each curtain by means of fifty taches of brass put through the loops. The curtain hung over the three sides of the tabernacle. This curtain of goat hair was protected by a covering of ram's skins dyed red, and this again by a covering of molten silver. Tachas probably denotes the sockets which fasten the feet. See the skins of which furnished a strong leather. The interior was divided into the holy place and the most holy place by means of a "veil" (Ex. xxvi, 31 sqq., xxvii, 20 sqq.). The veil was of the same material as the byssus curtain and hung on four gilded pillars of acacia wood with silver capitals. Behind the veil was the most holy place, a cube of ten cubits, containing only the ark (see ARK OF THE COVENANT). On the side of the veil toward the entrance was the holy place, ten cubits wide and high and twenty cubits long. It contained the table of shewbread, the candlestick, and the altar of incense. On the north side of the holy place stood the table of shewbread (Ex. xxv, 23 sqq.), made of acacia wood, overlaid with gold, two cubits long, one broad, and one and a half high. Round about the table was an ornament in the form of a wreath. Above a border extending around the table connecting the four feet; this also was adorned with a wreath. The acacia does not show how these were fastened. The enclosing border had rings of gold through which staves were thrust to carry the table. On the table were dishes, spoons, and bowls of gold. On the opposite side of the holy place, opposite the table, stood the candlestick (Ex. xxv, 11 sqq., xxvii, 17 sqq.). It was beaten work of pure gold throughout. From the stem or central stock proceeded six branches, three on each side, each one of which ended in a bowl made like an almond, each bowl having a knob and a flower; the stem had four such knobs. Each of the three lower knobs of the stem was under a pair of side branches. While the Biblical description does not assert that the branches and stem were in one plan, Jewish tradition makes that to be the case. According to Ex. xxvii, 20 sqq.; Lev. xxiv, 1 sqq., the lamps were to burn the whole night. This is presupposed also by the story of Samuel, I Sam. iii, 3. But according to Josephus (Ant. III, vii, 2) three of the lamps burned also in the daytime. From I Sam. iii, 3 it is not improbable that in the law the older idea and custom are reflected; but as in private houses lights were burned day and night, it may be assumed that in the course of time the custom of private houses was transferred to the sanctuary. Various symbolical meanings were attached to the candlestick. The ancients recognized in it a symbolical representation of the seven planets (Josephus, War, v, 8; Ant. III, vi, 7, vii, 7). Philo interpreted the middle lamp, also the central stem, as representing the sun. Its resemblance to a conventionalized tree is evident, while the connection with light is, of course, on the surface (cf. Ex. xxvii, 10). Around the tabernacle extended a spacious court (Ex. xxvii, 9 sqq., xxxviii, 10 sqq.), exactly as in

temple the shrine proper is surrounded by a courtyard for the congregation as in sanctified and celestiated. The court was a hundred cubits long and fifty wide; and instead of Court walls there was a portable barrier consisting of silver pillars, placed at forty-eight intervals of five cubits, on which were hung byssus curtains. The most important piece of furniture in the court was the altar, generally known as the altar of burnt offering, a portable object, thus in accordance with the design of the whole sanctuary. It was five cubits long, five broad, and three high, and had horns on its four corners; it was of wood covered with brass. The utensils which went with it, such as pans, shovels, etc., were of brass. For half its height the altar was surrounded with a network of brass, undoubtedly to protect it from desecration. On the four corners of the network there were fastened rings by the aid of which the altar could be transported on staves. Beside the altar three mentioned in Ex. xxvi, 17 sqq. also a laver of brass in which the priests used to cleanse themselves when they entered the sanctuary. There is no reason to doubt the authenticity in its essential points, of the tradition respecting the tabernacle as it is recorded in Ex. xxvii, 7 sqq. According to this account, Moses pitched the tabernacle without the camp and called it the tabernacle of the congregation. 5. Hence, the Wharves one desired a revelation Account from Yahweh he went out to the tabernacle; for there "the Lord spake unto Moses face to face, as a man speaketh unto his friend." It would naturally be expected here that mention would be made of the ark, since the tent was merely a covering or protection for some object within (cf. I Sam. vi, 17). While there is no reason to doubt the existence of a Mosaic tabernacle, it is another question whether it is identical with the tabernacle described in Ex. xxv, sqq. In the first place it is to be mentioned that the account (Ex. xxv, sqq.) in the Bible. The older tradition of E evidently gives an idea of the Mosaic tent other than that afforded by the later tradition of P; the historical Mosaic tent, therefore, was of another kind than that of the narrative of P. After this fact is made evident, the account of P will appear in a different light. The great amount of precious materials and metals in possession of a migrating people in the desert, the intricate execution of all these objects there, the difficulties of transportation, and the like, have been cited to show the historical improbability of the account in Ex. xxv, sqq. Many of these objections may be answered as not pertinent, but even were all difficulties of this kind solved, there would still remain the fact mentioned that the Mosaic tabernacle of the older tradition is quite different from that of Ex. xxv, sqq. How then did the account of Ex. xxv, sqq. originate or how did it pass into tradition if according to genuine Biblical tradition it does not assume to be the historical Mosaic tabernacle? In the first place it may be said that, if this tabernacle is

Table of the Nations THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 248

regarded as the home of the Israelite branch of the Hebrews in the Old Testament. For P'ing the best assurance is found in the place-name P'ing at the confluence of the Chabor with the Euphrates; the narrator makes a word-play on the name with the meaning "divide" (cf. Gen. xi. 1-9), but does not carry his line further, because in later passages the development is followed out (cf. Gen. xi. 10 sqq., xl. sqq.), and the Moabites and Ammonites, Amasaiah, Edomites, and Edomites are touched on in later chapters. In verses 25-29 the descendants of Japheth are named, and thirteen South Arabian stocks are given, though probably originally there were but twelve. Little is known of the settlement of the individual areas of the different tribes.

This review of the data derived from J shows that it is nowhere complete. The transition is lacking from Shem to Eber (verse 21 sqq.), and nothing is said of Japheth. Finally the beginning of the table of 14 is to be found in ix. 18-19 and a character 2. 10, where is found the same order as the J of the brothers as in P. (x. 1), and of the J of the brothers as in P. (x. 1), and Narrative. 2. 21 shows that Japheth follows Shem. It appears that the order "Shem, Ham, Japheth" is late. The older J thinks of all mankind as springing from one family, that of Noah, who stands at the head of the new race after the flood, and so divides the whole into three branches derived from the three sons. But according to Gen. ix. 20-27 Noah was the original father of Canaan, the founder there of agriculture and viticulture, while his sons represented the inhabitants of that region. The difference can not now be explained. The representation in the "table of the nations" does not have the usual purpose, viz. to trace the relationship of nations by speech and descent. J was concerned with the Hebrew race in their widest extent and with the South Arabian stocks. The mention of Ham raises no difficulties. But it is not to be understood that the author brings together not only the Egyptians and neighboring peoples, but also Canaan, the Hittites, and even the Assyrian-Babylonians with Cush all under Ham under the relationship of blood and of speech; rather it is political and geographical relations that influence him. He counts Israel as belonging to Shem, the Canaanites to Ham, although Israel spoke the speech of Canaan. If Cush (verse 8) belongs to South Arabia, it may be that the matter of verses 25-29 was derived from a tradition of early wandering no longer in existence. Ham in the Old Testament is used for Egypt (Ps. lxxviii. 21, ev. 21, 27, ev. 22); the word may correspond to the native name for the land, *Hamu*, *Coptic* *Hamu*, *Aramaic*. The purpose of the narrative is ethnographic—the mention of the peoples in the Bible world of the author; but the material comes from a time when Assyria and Babylonia were known, when Assyria was the ruling power and had not gone down under the Median and Babylonian. The most important people in Canaan were the Phoenicians, while the Hittites had still importance for Palestine, and their cities were not yet overgrown

by the Assyrians. The knowledge of the South Arabian is a consequence of the commerce under Solomon, a relation which was broken off when the Edomites got their freedom, c. 845. The age of the source, therefore, can not be lower than the eighth century, with parts still older. Concerning Japheth the reader need material only from P. possibly because the data of J are largely suited to the situation. Japheth had seven sons. Of these Gomer corresponds to the Gimmerians of the Odyssey (xi. 14) and Herodotus (iv. 118-121), the Ginit of the Assyrian list in the scriptures, dwelling to the north of the Narrative Black Sea and west of the Dan, who migrated in the eighth century to Thence, thence with Thracian wives to Asia Minor c. 700 B.C., where about 650 they came into conflict with the Lydians and were driven back to the highland of the Halys. To Gomer are assigned the sons, Ashkenaz, Riphath, and Togarmah. Ashkenaz has been taken to mean the Phrygian Aescanians; but Winkler (*Afghanistanische Forschungen*, I, 484 sqq., Leipzig, 1893) would read Ashkenaz instead of Ashkenaz, and see in them the Scythians, designated in the cuneiform documents Ashkuna. This is a possibility, but the mistake in writing is hardly a probability. In Jer. ii. 37 Ashkenaz is named in an Armenian environment, or on the upper Euphrates and about the Araxes. Bouchart and Lagarde look for Riphath in the Bosphorus on the River Rithis in Bithynia and far from the Bosphorus, while Josephus equates them with the Paphlagonians. Togarmah (cf. *Encyc. xvi. 14, xxxviii. 6*) is by scholars brought into connection with the Armenians, who once stretched down into the Taurus and Antitaurus who derived their descent from Halk, son of Thorogom. The locus indicated is Gomer and his sons is therefore, chiefly in the neighborhood of Cappadocia and Armenia. The second son of Japheth, Manah, is first mentioned in *Encyc. xxxix. 6* in close connection with Gog (see Gog and Magog). For the third son of Japheth, Madai, see *Memo-Persae*. Javan (q.v.) designates the Greeks in general (cf. verse 10), though in *Encyc. xxvii. 13*; *Isa. lxxv. 19*, Javan appears along with Tubal and Meshach, of verse 4, where the sons of Javan are Elshah, Tarshah, Kittim, and Dodanim (q.v.). The Kittim were originally the inhabitants of the city Gitis in Cyprus, then, generally, of Cyprus. Tarshah, the Greek Roman Tarshus, was the Andalusian plain on both sides of Guadalquivir in Spain; Elshah is either Carthage or Sicily. Since these four names (of verse 4) stood originally in connection with the Phoenicians, their arrangement under Javan is a surprise, to be explained by the consideration, however, that the expression "sons of Javan" does not necessarily express derivation, but rather a relationship of influence, whether through such political, or commerce. Hence for P what once was Phoenician is now Greek, the latter having gained the hegemony of the Mediterranean Sea after about 700 B.C. Carthage thus remaining distinctly Phoenician. Tubal and Meshach (verse 2) are in the Old Testament often named together (*Encyc. xxvii. 13, xxxi. 26*, etc.). The former (*Amyr*, *Tahab*) are the Tibareni, and

248 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Table of the Nations

Meshach (*Amyr*, *Mashki*) are the Meshki of Herodotus (III, xxiv, VII, xxviii), according to Aristotle the Meshki between the upper Taurus and Rhyas, the Tibareni east of Theronion in the later kingdom of Pontus. Either the Assyrians or the Cimmerians drove them from their old settlements. For "Tus" one would look somewhere in the neighborhood of Tubal and Meshach; the Tyrrheni seem to be too far to the west. The peoples named in verses 2-4 are all assigned to Japheth, and dwell westward from the Taurus eastward as far as Media, westward to the Nile and coasts of the Mediterranean, with the exception of Cush (see above). The Persians do not appear—are they included under the Median? A satisfactory explanation of the name Japheth has not yet been given. Under Ham P' first names Cush (q.v.). By this name the Old Testament usually means the land and people south of Egypt. Originally the Cushites dwell in the land rich in gold east of the Nile; later, Syene was their northern boundary. They were usually depicted by the Egyptians, who under the twelfth dynasty subdued their northern tribes, as Cush in an Egyptian province. By 1000 P. n. e. the land was not in Egypt, and in the eighth century the Cushite or Ethiopian kings subjected Egypt. Their chief city was Napata, the present Merawi between the third and fourth cataracts, and their culture was Egyptian. The So of II King xvii. 4 has been identified with Shubaku of the twenty-fourth dynasty (Eblon). Winkler understands rather So (Shab), the general of Iro, king of Muzri in northwestern Arabia (see *Aegyptus*, VI, 1, 10, and 11). Another king of the dynasty is mentioned in II Kings xix. 9: several progenitors of Isai (xxi. 1-5, xxxi. 1-3) relate to this people, whose rule over Egypt was broken by the campaign of Esarhadon and Assurbanipal (see *Aegyptus*, VI, 3, 11-13). Probably the campaign of the Persian Cambyses (see *Memo-Persae*) resulted in the fall of Merawi and the assumption of Merse as the capital of the Cushites under a priesthood which fell at the beginning of the third century. The queen mother was the real power and had the title Candace (cf. Acts vii. 27 sqq.). To Cush P' gives five sons and two grandsons, among them Havilah and Seba, whom J assigns to Japheth (verses 25-29). Seba was frequently identified with Merse (Josephus, *Ant.*, I, vi. 2), though Merse is never called by this name. 6. The Risho (Cvi, iv. 8) and P'rohomasa (IV, vii. 7-8) have of a support Seba, Cush, near the present Massawa, which may have its name from a Cushite title of that name, living between the Nile and the sea. Havilah was probably a considerable territory in South Arabia, is named with Ophir (q.v.) in verse 25; in the course of the centuries it had various tribes as its inhabitants and consequently it is in verse 29 reckoned to Japheth and in verse 7 to Cush; in Gen. xxv. 4 it seems to indicate a region in northern Arabia, and the name is known in both the northern and the southern part of the Arabia of

today. Whether it had any connection with the *Isopos Apollides* and the *Abalites* on the African coast near the straits of Bab el-Mandeb named by Ptolemy and Pliny can not be made out. Claver connects Sablah (verse 7) with the city Saphala named by Ptolemy (VI, 20) not far from the western coast of the Persian Gulf; others think of the old Arabian city Sababha or Sabata, the central point for the commerce in spices. Nothing certain is known of Sababha. Basmah has been newly found on Sabaean inscriptions as a place-name within the region of the early Mianese north of Marzab. Sheba, one of the sons of Basmah, is no doubt the people often mentioned in the Old Testament and in early writers as the Sabaeans, who dwell in Southeast Arabia and were connected always with gold and incense material (Isa. lx. 6) and with the commerce from India; their chief city, Sheba or Marzab, lay there on their journey from Saba's, and numerous inscriptions show that their speech was Semitic. Little is known of their history; they rendered tribute to Tiglath-Pileser III, and Sargon the Assyrian. Of the extent of their territory and their relations to the Mianese almost nothing is certain. The fact that in the Old Testament they are now reckoned to Cush, not to Shem, and then to Japheth the son of Keturah (Gen. xxv. 3), is not to be construed as meaning three separate stocks, but simply as implying change of settlement and of relationship, and that although the settled Sabaeans there were those who lived a nomadic life and that groups broke away and led a separate existence. Dedan appears (Jer. xix. 8; *Encyc. xxv. 19*) as being on the southern border of Edom; the present writer may have had in mind a part which wandered to the south and came into relations with the Sabaeans. The arrangement of the districts named under Cush in verse 7 shows that the writer did not limit Cush to Africa but extended it to the west coast of Arabia, whose inhabitants had connections with the region of the Upper Nile, and he was not governed by the matter of language, since he included Sheba. The arrangement of Sheba as son of Basmah proves that he dealt with a late period. The Phut of verse 6 refers to the land of Phut designating the coast east of the Nile and north of the region of the Cushites and the region opposite in Arabia. The connecting of Canaan with Cush, Egypt, and Phut again shows that the matter of language did not control the narrator. Here meant for him the people on the southern Nile and the adjacent east of the Red Sea; why Canaan is brought in here is not clear, but perhaps it was the opposition between Israel and Canaan and also that the center of Phoenician power in his time was transferred from the Phoenicians to the African coast at Carthage. With this in verse 15-19 hardly agrees. If the division "Shem, Ham (instead of Canaan), and Japheth" issued first from P. the use of earlier pieces necessarily involved dissimilarity and contradiction. The relations of Cush imply the twenty-fifth dynasty; were earlier data used, or were three migrations to us unknown? The data of P concerning Shem are in verses 22-23. For Eham and Ashur see Eham, and As-

Taffin, John; Taffin, Arnold Campbell THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 252

Interests of the Dutch church. With the prince's other chaplain, De Villiers, he presided at the Walloon synods, and also represented the Walloon interests in the synods of the Dutch churches at Dordt in 1574 and 1578, and in Middelburg in 1581. The Antwerp synod decreed in 1578 (art. 3): "Inasmuch as the court is in residence where there is a court shall become one church: the court chaplain assisting with the other preachers to serve the united church as ministers of the same. Messrs. De Villiers and Taffin shall accordingly be considered ministers of Antwerp, and shall minister to the court, and should the court absent itself, the church of this town shall be obliged to provide it with one of these two, or some other chaplain, until the next synod." Taffin thus became preacher to the Walloon congregation. Owing to his French sympathies, the prince was obliged to leave Antwerp in July, and when the town was yielded to Parma by treaty, in 1583, Taffin also left and went to Emu, but the following year became preacher to the Walloon congregation in Heerlen until 1590, when he went to Amsterdam and preached there till his death.

Taffin was noted for his piety and eminent piety. He showed his moderation and forbearance in the controversy regarding Arminianism, and in so doing, earned respect of his own orthodox; though as a Calvinist he opposed the views of Arminianism. Of his earlier writings nothing is known, although he is mentioned in the Antwerp Index of 1579 among "authors of the first mass." He was prominent as a practical theologian. A little work entitled *Des vertus de l'eglise de Dieu de ses considerations en l'avis effluentes* was written more than nine editions in Dutch, translated into French (London, 1596). He issued also four pamphlets bound in one, entitled *Instruction contre les erreurs de de la religion protestante* (Haarlem, 1589); an instructive treatise *Terminologie de l'eglise de Dieu* (1591); the *Traite de l'ameinement de soi* (1594), his best-known work, and was translated into Dutch in 1600 with fresh additions in 1628 and 1629; published in Latin in Geneva in 1627; and in English, *The Amendment of Life*, London, 1636. (S. D. VAN VEEV.)

TAFFIN, JOHN, b. at Union Mills, Md., June 2, 1848. He was educated in Carroll Academy, in his native town, where he became a teacher and rector until 1870 when he became a Methodist Protestant minister. After holding various pastorate in Maryland and Washington until 1884, he was chosen missionary secretary of his denomination, and soon organized his mission work in Japan. Since 1892 he has been editor of the Methodist Protestant in

1904, and has been a delegate to such bodies as the Ecumenical Conference of Methodism, London, 1901; the Church Federation Conference, New York, 1903, and the Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America, Philadelphia, 1907.

TAGORE, ROBERT BHOWTAR: United Presbyterian; b. at East Palestine, O., Sept. 16, 1862. He was educated at Wrentham College (B.A., 1885) and at Xenia United Presbyterian Theological Seminary, from which he was graduated in 1888. He began preaching as a missionary in New York City, and later held pastorate in North Kensington, N. Y.; Washington, D. C.; Mount Pleasant, Pa.; Clinton, Pa.; and Harrison, Tenn. He was one of the founders of Tennessee (later American) University, Harrison, Tenn., where he was professor of Greek in Westminster College. Theologically he describes himself as "independent, Jesus-Christian, with the Bible and sanctified reason as basis."

TAGORE, DEVENDRANATH: Hindu theologian; b. in May, 1877; d. Jan. 19, 1902. His father, Dwarkanath Tagore, was a distinguished and wealthy Bengali lawyer and a merchant, a friend of Raja Rammohan Roy (see RAMMOHAN ROY), and a collaborator with him in his religious reform movement of that day. The eldest son Devendranath grew up under the special instruction of his father's mentor, who was a devout believer in Hinduism. He attended the school founded by Raja Rammohan Roy, gaining a knowledge of Sanskrit, Persian, and English, besides his vernacular Bengali. In his autobiography he recognizes the compensation his wealth brought him, leading him to forget his higher duties in the pleasure of the moment. At the age of eighteen, however, his mind passed through a change. While watching by his dying grandfather at the river bank, a strange sense of the unity of all things entered his mind and he was filled with the ecstasy of the feeling of God's presence, followed, as he says, by a sense of the presence of a vastness of all things, which he never forgot. He was then twenty-one he picked up a torn leaf of a Sanskrit book which proved to be a verse from the *Atharvaveda*, and through it he was induced to make a deeper study of the *Upanishads*. He became absorbed in these studies, and decided to become a religious teacher. In order to make known his views to sympathetic friends, he established a society called the *Tatvabodhini Sabha*, to which finally even elderly and influential men were attracted. In 1842 he was first brought into contact with the Brahmo Samaj, which had been founded by Rammohan Roy, but since the death of its founder, in 1833, had been languishing. Devendranath's religious devotion, his talents, and wealth gave new life to the society. "Henceforth," says his biographer, Mr. Munson, "he was not only the leader, but the alchemist of it; the society, the community was his making; he held it; the bulk of the expenses to pay the monthly journal he controlled. The *Tatvabodhini Sabha* and the *Brahmo Samaj* were amalgamated. Devendranath, perceiving that the members had not absolutely given up idolatry,

252 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Taffin, John; Taffin, Arnold Campbell

introduced a Hinduism, which every member was asked to sign. This covenant changed the Samaj from a mere platform for the discussion of reforming views to a fraternity based on a creed. In 1845 a heated discussion with Dr. Duff, in which the members were charged with being believers in the infallibility of the Vedas, led Devendranath and his followers to renounce their faith. The result was the promulgation of their belief that no ancient writings are infallible guides and that reason and conscience alone are of supreme authority. The doctrine of the infallibility of the Vedas being abandoned, the rationalists began to be abandoned, the rationalists began to be abandoned, and finally disgusted, retired in 1856 to the Himalayas, where he spent his time in the study of Hindu and Western philosophical books, returning only after an absence of a year and a half. While he was absent, in 1857, Keshav Chandra Sen (see SEN, KESHAV CHANDRA) joined the Samaj. His energy and enthusiasm attracted Devendranath, and with close affection the two worked in the interests of the Sabha. But the conservatism of Devendranath and the mediocrity of young Keshav led in time to a rupture. In 1860 Keshav withdrew and in 1866 founded the Brahmo Samaj (q.v.) of India. Devendranath then named his faction the Adi-Brahmo Samaj. The defection of Keshav disheartened Devendranath and he retired from the activities of life to solitary meditation, after the ideals of a Hindu sage. For nearly half a century he lived in this retirement, but was visited by those who revered his piety, and who wished to listen to the words of wisdom that fell from his lips. (JERRY E. ANSON.)

TAFFIN, JOHN, b. at Union Mills, Md., June 2, 1848. He was educated in Carroll Academy, in his native town, where he became a teacher and rector until 1870 when he became a Methodist Protestant minister. After holding various pastorate in Maryland and Washington until 1884, he was chosen missionary secretary of his denomination, and soon organized his mission work in Japan. Since 1892 he has been editor of the Methodist Protestant in

1904, and has been a delegate to such bodies as the Ecumenical Conference of Methodism, London, 1901; the Church Federation Conference, New York, 1903, and the Federal Council of Churches of Christ in America, Philadelphia, 1907.

TAGORE, ROBERT BHOWTAR: United Presbyterian; b. at East Palestine, O., Sept. 16, 1862. He was educated at Wrentham College (B.A., 1885) and at Xenia United Presbyterian Theological Seminary, from which he was graduated in 1888. He began preaching as a missionary in New York City, and later held pastorate in North Kensington, N. Y.; Washington, D. C.; Mount Pleasant, Pa.; Clinton, Pa.; and Harrison, Tenn. He was one of the founders of Tennessee (later American) University, Harrison, Tenn., where he was professor of Greek in Westminster College. Theologically he describes himself as "independent, Jesus-Christian, with the Bible and sanctified reason as basis."

TAGORE, DEVENDRANATH: Hindu theologian; b. in May, 1877; d. Jan. 19, 1902. His father, Dwarkanath Tagore, was a distinguished and wealthy Bengali lawyer and a merchant, a friend of Raja Rammohan Roy (see RAMMOHAN ROY), and a collaborator with him in his religious reform movement of that day. The eldest son Devendranath grew up under the special instruction of his father's mentor, who was a devout believer in Hinduism. He attended the school founded by Raja Rammohan Roy, gaining a knowledge of Sanskrit, Persian, and English, besides his vernacular Bengali. In his autobiography he recognizes the compensation his wealth brought him, leading him to forget his higher duties in the pleasure of the moment. At the age of eighteen, however, his mind passed through a change. While watching by his dying grandfather at the river bank, a strange sense of the unity of all things entered his mind and he was filled with the ecstasy of the feeling of God's presence, followed, as he says, by a sense of the presence of a vastness of all things, which he never forgot. He was then twenty-one he picked up a torn leaf of a Sanskrit book which proved to be a verse from the *Atharvaveda*, and through it he was induced to make a deeper study of the *Upanishads*. He became absorbed in these studies, and decided to become a religious teacher. In order to make known his views to sympathetic friends, he established a society called the *Tatvabodhini Sabha*, to which finally even elderly and influential men were attracted. In 1842 he was first brought into contact with the Brahmo Samaj, which had been founded by Rammohan Roy, but since the death of its founder, in 1833, had been languishing. Devendranath's religious devotion, his talents, and wealth gave new life to the society. "Henceforth," says his biographer, Mr. Munson, "he was not only the leader, but the alchemist of it; the society, the community was his making; he held it; the bulk of the expenses to pay the monthly journal he controlled. The *Tatvabodhini Sabha* and the *Brahmo Samaj* were amalgamated. Devendranath, perceiving that the members had not absolutely given up idolatry,

Talbot, Edward Stuart
Talbot, Peter THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 264

TALBOT, EDWARD STUART: Church of England, bishop of Southwark; b. in London Feb. 19, 1841. He was educated at Christ Church College, Oxford (B.A. 1866), of which he was senior student in 1866-70 and honorary student since 1899. He was ordained deacon in 1869 and ordained priest in the following year. He was warden of Ickley College, Oxford, 1876-88, and vicar of Leeds, 1889-95. In 1895 he was consecrated bishop of Rochester, and in 1905 was translated to his present see of Southwark. He was consecrated by the bishop of Colombo in 1881-91, chaplain to the archbishop of Canterbury in 1882-89, honorary chaplain to the Queen in 1890-94, chaplain in ordinary in 1894-95, and was select preacher at Oxford in 1871-72, 1882-85, and 1906, as well as rural dean of Boroughbridge in 1890-93 and dean of St. Saviour's, Southwark, since 1897. He has written *Influence of Christianity on Slavery* (Oxford, 1869); *Preparation for the Gospel in History in East Meath* (London, 1889); *Some Fables and Aspects of the Eucharist* (1894); *Sermons preached to Leeds Parish Church* (1896); *Voices and Dangers to the Church* (1899); *Some Aspects of Christian Faith* (1904); *Sermons at Southwark* (1905); and *The Church's Service* (1907).

TALBOT, FRIBELBERT: Protestant Episcopal bishop of Central Pennsylvania; b. at Fayette, Pa., Oct. 9, 1848. He was graduated at Dartmouth, 1870, and at the General Theological Seminary, New York City, 1873; became rector of St. James', Moore, Mo., 1873; missionary bishop of Wyoming and Idaho, 1887; and bishop of Central Pennsylvania, 1897.

DISCUSSION: W. A. Parr, *The Episcopate in America*, p. 50. TALBOT, PETER: Roman Catholic archbishop of Dublin; b. 1620; d. in Newgate prison, Dublin, 1689. He joined the Jesuits in Portugal in 1635, was ordained in Rome, and taught moral theology at Antwerp. He was in Ireland during part of the civil war and espoused the royalist cause. In 1654 he made the acquaintance of Charles II, at Cologne, whom he is reported to have reconciled to Roman Catholicism. Eventually he secured connections with the Jewish order. Harsh things have been said of him on account of his alleged dignity and machinations with political conspirators. He was consecrated archbishop of Dublin at Keshy in 1661, in opposition to the "Remonstrance" of Peter Walsh, which was considered derogatory to papal authority. He was forced to leave Ireland in 1673. Returning in May, 1678, he was imprisoned on the charge of being implicated in the "popish plot." Although Talbot's diplomatic skills claimed much of his attention, he published numerous works displaying ability and learning. *Prædicationes* (London, 1662), aiming to disprove the vindictive Anglican orders advanced by Mason, Heylin, and Burnhall, has been reprinted several times; *Prædicationes* (Dublin, 1674) was an attempt to show the preeminence of the see of Dublin over Armagh; *Religionis fœderis confirmatio* (Oxford, 1675) and *Secularis insepelibile fœdus* (Lyon, 1678) were directed against the peculiar opinions of Thomas White (q.v.). While in exile, Talbot wrote a letter

to the Roman Catholics in Ireland, *The Duty and Comfort of Suffering Subjects* (Paris, 1674).

TALLIS, tal-'vyl, EMERSON: Cardinal; b. at Montepulciano (a village in the diocese of Assisi-Frosino), Italy, Apr. 13, 1833; d. June Aug. 24, 1907. He was ordained to the priesthood in 1861, and in 1869 was appointed secretary to the papal nuncio at Madrid. From 1873 to 1880 he occupied a similar position at Paris, after which he was made a canon of the Lateran. Consecrated titular archbishop of Sebaste in 1896, he was sent to Yima as papal nuncio, and in 1903 was created cardinal priest of San Bernabò alle Terme.

TALLIS, THOMAS: English musician; composer; b. probably about 1510; d. Nov. 23, 1885 (buried in Greenwhich). He was organist of Waltham Abbey before 1540, and soon after of the Chapel Royal, under Queen Elizabeth, and has been styled the "father of English cathedral music." Five of his anthems were included in John Day's *Certaine Yeares Set Forth to Pass and Three Parts*, . . . in 1560, and eight of his tunes in Archbishop Parker's *Book of Psalms* in 1577. With his pupil William Byrd he published *Cantiones Sacre* in 1578. In 1641 his *First Service in the Dorian mode*, besides a litany, responses, and antiphon, appeared in Barnard's *Selected Church Music*, but by far the most remarkable of his works was the motet for forty voices "Spem aliam non habeo" edited by Mann in 1888. The seven-voiced *Motette* was an extraordinary piece of aperiodic writing, and it was in the Latin church music that Tallis displayed his counterpoint ingenuity. His instrumental music was only mediocre.

DISCUSSION: H. Dewey, *How of English Music*, pp. 126-148, 474; London, 1890; *OPERA*, p. 148-151.

TALMAGE, THOMAS DWIGHT: Presbyterian; b. near Round Brook, N. J., Jan. 7, 1822; d. at Washington, D. C., Apr. 12, 1902. He studied at the University of the City of New York (special diploma, 1853), and was graduated from the Theological Seminary, New Brunswick, N. J., 1855. He was pastor of the Reformed Dutch Church at Belvidere, N. J., 1855-61; at Syracuse, N. Y., 1861-62; of the Second Church, Philadelphia, Pa., 1862-69; Central Presbyterian Church, Schermerhorn Street, Brooklyn, N. Y., 1869-70. In 1870 the congregation erected on the same street, near the old site, a new and much larger church, known as the "Tabernacle." It was burned Dec. 22, 1872; rebuilt 1874, dedicated, Feb. 22, 1874, and burned Oct. 13, 1880. A new structure was erected on Green Ave. in 1891, and burned May 13, 1894. Talmage then presided a few months in the Academy of Music, 14th Street, New York, and in 1895 became associate pastor with Dr. Byron Sunderland of the First Presbyterian Church, Washington, D. C., and then sole pastor, but retired in 1899 from all active pastoral work, continuing the issue of his sermons in the weekly journals; indeed, his sermons were widely published in America and Europe, weekly, for thirty years. Talmage edited *The Christian Year*, New York, 1857-70; *The Advocate*, of Chicago, 1877-79; *Friend Zeller's Sunday Magazine*, 1880-90; and *The Christian Herald*. He was the author of *Cremata Joseph Typ* (Philadelphia,

255 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Talbot, Edward Stuart

1870); *Abominations of Modern Society* (New York, 1872); *Sermons* (4 vols., 1872-73); *The Promised Genu* (1873); *Address to the People of Philadelphia*, 1874; *Message from the Church*, 1879; *Brooklyn Tabernacle Sermons* (New York, 1884); *New Tabernacle Sermons* (1886); *Marriage Ring*; *Discourses* (1888); *From Hanger to Throne; a New Life of Jesus and a History of Palestine* (Philadelphia, 1891); *From the Pyramids to the Antipodes*.

Sacred Places seen through Biblical Spectacles (1892); besides many other volumes of sermons, the contents of most of which were first disseminated by the daily and weekly press. DISCUSSION: C. P. Adams, Jr., *Life and Sermons of T. D. W. Talmage*, New York, 1902; L. A. Beckwith and G. W. W. Williams, *Men of the West*, Philadelphia, 1903; W. Williams, *Men of the West*, Philadelphia, 1903; *Men of the West*, Men of the West, New York, 1903.

TALMUD, THE.

I. History. II. Division. Contents of the Sixty-three Mishna. III. The Mishna: Origin and Growth. IV. The Mishna: Tradition. V. The Mishna: Text. VI. Rabbinical Literature (I). VII. Rabbinical Literature (II). VIII. Rabbinical Literature (III).

I. History: The Babylonian exile was for the history of the Jews a turning-point of moment. The subjects of the kingdom of Judah had lost not only their political independence and their home, but also, through the destruction of the Temple, their one legitimate place of worship, their one legitimate place of sacrifice, their one legitimate place of prayer. In the midst of this calamity, however, stood the still stronger; they had for it a support that was not to be taken away. The Jews were again found of their people, who were to return into their own land (Jer. xxxi. 10, xxxii. 10, xxxiii. 21). The one condition to all this was that the people seek their God with their whole heart (Jer. xxxi. 15). This could not then be done by sacrifices through the ordinary channels of worship; the only way was by keeping of the sabbath and by honoring God's word—that which was written as well as that which was spoken during the exile—especially by regarding the will of God as laid down in the Pentateuch. Special devotion to the law was suggested by the result of the search after the cause of the calamity to the people chosen by God, by the hope of restoration of the cultus and of independence, and by the recollection not to sink again into the past course of iniquity. Consequently there arose in the exile the class of men learned in the law to which Ezekiel belonged (V. Ezechiel in Ezek. 187, pp. 149-152). This development was favored by the vanishing of prophecy and the gradual decay of the Hebrew language, the vehicle of the law and of the revelation of God in the past. Ezra was already described as a man who had "prepared his heart to seek the law of the Lord . . . and to teach it" (Ezra vi. 10), and took with him to Jerusalem "men of understanding" (i.e., teachers; Ezra vii. 10), while the Levites were instructed of the people (Neh. vii. 4, 8).

The written Pentateuchal law was cited at least from the time of Ezra, and could not submit to addition or other change. Nevertheless, new relations in life called over for new pronouncements; so from Ezra's period there must have been some organized power to preserve the law and apply it to practical life. From this fact and in view of Neh. vii. 8-10, sprang up the theory of the Great Synagogue (q.v.). Decisions, rules, and Halakoth were delivered as the time and special cases required. A. Regis and thus grew up an oral common law, independent of the written law, which served as an extension of the latter, and this oral law came to be acknowledged as authoritative. It came to be the ordinary belief of the faithful Jew that an oral law transmitted by tradition had existed alongside of that which was written in the Pentateuch. But this received, of course, no historical support and is negated by lack of mention in Scripture; by the lack of even traditional support, and by the false etymology used to support it. How feeble the support for this is may be illustrated by the fact that the whole system of rules for ritual slaughter is made to depend on the words "as I have commanded thee" in Deut. xii. 21. All this constantly increasing material of additions to the Torah (the Law) for a long time transmitted orally. Finally, in a fragment preserved in Eusebius (*Preparatio Evangelica*, VIII. vii. 6; Eng. transl., II. 388, Oxford, 1903), speaks of "ecclesiastical . . . invention customs and usages"; while Josephus (*Ant.*, XIII. x. 6) declares that "the Pharisees have delivered to the people a great many observances by succession from their fathers, which are not written in the law of Moses; wherefore the Sadducees reject them and declare that these observances are obligatory which are in the written word, but not those which are derived from tradition." Many other such references there are, but none of the early period suggesting fixation of this body in writing. The first reduction of this matter to writing took place in the first half of the second century of our era, and was arranged both topically and according to the order of the passages explained. It may be assumed that the existence of the custom of the New Testament had some influence. The forms which this new collection took were, then, the topical or Mishna form and the exegetical or Midrash (q.v.). Mishna (from *shasna*,

TABLE OF THE TRACTS IN MISNA, TALMUD, AND TORERA.

Table with columns: Vol., Order, Name of Tract, Number of Chapters, Number of Tracts, etc. Rows include orders I through XII and various tract names like Berachoth, Shabbath, etc.

The Mishnah has Berachoth between orders I and II; the Mishnaic part of the first order (without Berachoth) and of the sixth order (with Middot) stand after the fifth order in Maimonides' arrangement.

"Gemara," which means acquired learning; and (4) Talmud is the comprehensive term for the Mishna and the explanations it contains.

near Rura, and after his death the school at Susa acquired new importance. The deliverance of Joseph and Raba form a large part of the Babylonian Talmud, and to these is added material brought from Palestine.

למקום ולידו כי סתה המכסה... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא...

אמר רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא...

PAGE FROM TRACTATE SHABBAT OF THE ROMI EDITION OF THE BABYLONIAN TALMUD, WILNA, 1885.

261

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Talmud, The

In the afternoon, for one meal. R. Jose says one may always save food for three meals. Gemara: Since in many instances what is permitted, why should not be save more? Said R. Hiba, because man is anxious for his possessions, and were he allowed he would go so far as to extinguish (the fire)...

אמר רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא...

אמר רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא... רבנא דבבבא...

261

262

Talmud, The THE NEW SCHAFF-HERRZOG 262

roof that it should drip from the roof into the vessel which he holds in his hands. What precautionary measure... (text continues with detailed halachic analysis of the Mishna's prohibition against drinking from the roof during the festival of Sukkot)

of the roof? (baitin ground). The Rabbis answered: There it is stated that the wine was spilled on the ground and there was reason to fear the using of a sponge... (text continues with discussion of the Mishna's prohibition against drinking from the roof)



262 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Talmud, The

Beaumont: Of the Mishna the first ed. was issued at Bealston, with the first commentary of Maimonides... (text continues with detailed bibliographic references and scholarly commentary on the Mishna's laws regarding drinking from the roof)

...the roof? (baitin ground). The Rabbis answered: There it is stated that the wine was spilled on the ground and there was reason to fear the using of a sponge... (text continues with detailed halachic analysis and references to various rabbinic sources)



secured her release from "the hand of No-Return," and presumably also that of her lover. Outside of Babylonian literature and Bahr, vii, 14, the references to Tammuz under that name are few, but fortunately significant. Thus the Syrian lexicographer Bar Bahlil reports that Tammuz, a shepherd and hunter, was beloved by Balhith (Balti), whom he carried off and whom he

6. Tammuz band he slew, but was in turn killed by in other a wild boar. Consequently in his Literature, month a season of mourning for him was observed. The reference here is doubtless to the myth current and the practice in vague in Byblos (see below, § 17, 15), and the effect is to give the equation Tammuz-Adonis, while Balhith may be no other than Ishar (cf. Ch. de Vrain, *Die Sackler und der Sabbeus*, II, 206-207, St. Petersburg, 1910), and the same author's *Urkur Tammuz und die Memnonenverehrung bei den alten Babylonier*, II, 1900). Melito (Apol., I, Eng. transl. in *NFAP*, vii, 722) reports that "Balhith, queen of Cyprus . . . fell in love with Tammuz, son of Cuthar, king of the Phoenicians, and . . . came and dwelt in Gebal (Byblos); see *Phoenicia*, *Phoenicians*, I, § 7).

Also, before Tammuz, she had fallen in love with Ara, and committed adultery with him; and Hephastus, her husband, caught her, and his jealousy was roused against her, and he came and killed Tammuz in Mt. Lebanon as he was hunting wild boars,* and from that time Balhith remained in Gebal. And she died in the city of Aphak (Aphak).

The data here are sufficient to establish the connection between the Babylonian Tammuz, the beloved of Ishar, and Adonis, the beloved of Aphrodite. Similarly, the statement that Balhith was the consort of Hephastus and had a liaison with Ara, identifies her with Aphrodite, while the fact that she loved Tammuz identifies her with Ishar, giving the equation Balhith-Ishar-Aphrodite-Venus. It is to be noted, however, that the name of Adonis is no longer Babylonian, but the Lebanese Phoenician, particularly Byblos or Gebal and Aphak.

Strabo (XVI, I, 18) in *Lebanon (De Asia Spécia*, § 6 sqq) reports that at Byblos there was a great sanctuary of Aphrodite where the worship of Adonis was conducted, and the former declares that the city was sacred to him and to Kinyras

7. Byblos has reported further. The Nab. Ins. and Bahr him, which had its mouth a short distance south of the city, in early times bore the name of Adonis (Lustan, at sup. viii, 1; *Roman Monies de Phénicie*, pp. 282 seq., Paris, 1894), and the discoloration of its waters at the time of the freshets was attributed to the blood of the deity. For suitability to the rites which were associated with the Aphrodite and Adonis cults, as well as for romance and beauty, the glen of the river is remarkable (Lustan, *op. cit.*, pp. 603-609). At the head of the glen in the mountains is Alfa, the ancient Aphak, where was a grove of Attaris and a temple (to "Venus") at the spot

*The mention of Adonis with hunting is constant in most sources. See, for instance, the inscription of Adonis, *Ann. III, xii, 4, LX*, line 40; *Propertius*, III, viii, 33-34; *Ovid*, *Metamorphoses*, v, 381 sqq.

where Adonis and Aphrodite are said to have met, where also he was said to be buried (Melito, *op. cit.*, *NFAP*, vii, 722; Eusebius, "Life of Constantine," II, 56; Eng. transl. in *NFAP*, 2 ser., I, 324-325; Sozomen, *Hist. eccl.*, II, 6; Eng. transl. in *NFAP*, 2 ser., I, 322). At Ghazir, one point of the plain, there is a recess or tablet carved in the rock on which is the figure of a hunter (identified as Adonis) with a spear awaiting the onset of a boar (not of a bear); and a little distance away is a female figure in a posture of mourning, identified by many as the mourning Aphrodite (cf. Marcellin, *Antiquitates*, I, xxi, 5; Roman, *Mission*, at sup. plates xxxiv, xxxvii, a reproduction from a photograph in A. Jeremias, *Die Ake Tammuz bei Latakia des alten Orients*, p. 90, Leipzig, 1931). Other sculptures are known along the glen, as at Masbaha. To put the matter briefly, Byblos and the course of the Nab. Ins. in the Aphak formed the locus of a cult whose objects were Adonis and Aphrodite, and are proved (see below, § 10) to have been the center for diffusion of that cult in a considerable part of the Mediterranean basin.

The continuation of the combined cult of Tammuz and Ishar to Greek surroundings depends upon the answer to the question whether the worship of the deities at Byblos and along the

8. Tammuz-Nahr Ibrahim is the name (under and Adonis) changed names as transmitted through non-Scientific sources) that in Babylon.

It must be premised that (1) no clear indications exist of a path by which such a cult passed from the lower Euphrates to the Mediterranean—traces of Syrian Adonis worship are post-Christian and may well have spread from Byblos outward; (2) the clear indications in names of place and persons compounded of the divine name Tammuz and the Adonis of Byblos were recognition of the name is based in Phoenician evidence. This is the testimony of Origen (commentary on Ezekiel at viii, 14) issued in apparently early edition, of Jerome (*Epist.*, viii, 3, in *NFAP*, 2 ser., vi, 120) and in his commentary on Ezekiel at the passage cited), of Cyril of Alexandria (commentary on Isa. xvi, 1, in *NFAP*, xii, 320), of Arius (cf. *Apol.*, Eng. transl. in *NFAP*, II, 272), and of Macrobius (*Saturalia*, I, xvi, 1), who asserts the Assyrian origin of the Adonis cult and makes clear the relation of Ishar and Aphrodite-Venus by mentioning the descent to the lower world for the purpose of rescuing Adonis from "Persephone." Lucian does useful service in connecting the Adonis of Byblos, not indeed by direct identification, but by his account of the celebrations in the great temple of "Aphrodite"—celebrations which included flagellation, mourning, a great procession, shaving of the head, and offering to one who was regarded as dead.

The exact identification already cited is confirmed by several facts. In both environments the grove and subterranean (in the Phoenician a purely) position, the assumed death of the god in both regions the occasion of formal mourning, chiefly by women, and this is the ritualistic characteristic of the rites; and in both there is seen in the significance of the deity some reference to death and

deity, whether of the sun of the springtime or of vegetation (see below, § 10). In view of this wealth of explicit and authoritative testimony to the identity of Tammuz and Adonis, combined with inferential evidence including the coincidence in the two centers of primary features in myth and ritual, the identification must stand against the doubts of Chavlin (*Die Sackler* at sup. vi, 310), Roman (*Mission de Phénicie*, pp. 210, 210), and Bardinian (*Handb.-Höring*, *ZfV*, xix, 276). The argument of the last-named that the identification argues separateness falls before the apparent fact that the separateness is no more than difference in name in a different environment. The duality is only apparent. The identification, however, raises two questions: (1) the transmission of the cult from Babylon to Phoenicia (see below, § 10), and (2) the origin of the name Adonis. There can be no doubt that the latter in the common West Semitic Adon, "lord," occurring frequently in the Hebrew in the form Adonai, translated "my name lord" or "Lord" in the A. V. (cf. e.g., *Adonai*, Gen. xvii, 12; Ezek. vi, 9). The way had already been prepared in Babylon for the application of such a title of address to Tammuz when he was addressed as Bel ("lord"; see above, § 4); and it requires no imagination to see that this title might become a proper name in a cult, just as that did in Canaan. It is curious that, in spite of the wealth of testimony to this worship at Byblos, there is no monumental or inscribed testimony in Phoenicia to the name as applied to this particular deity. Yet the name was applied to other deities, as is shown by numerous inscriptions—to Baal-Shamem, Melkart (both of Cyprus and Tyre), Baalsh, Hamman, Emar, Shamsab, and others (cf. *UZI*, vol. I, passim; M. Lidzbarski, *Epigraphik*, Berlin, 1909, and *Epigraphica*, Göttingen, 1900 sqq.); Zimmern (in Schrader, *KAT*, p. 398, note 2) remarks on a number of compounds in the Assyrian cuneiform, but of Phoenician origin, in which the form Adonai occurs, giving such characteristic combinations as "Adonai has given a son," "Adonai is brother," "Adonai is my rock"; but no certainty exists that Adonai here more than an appellation. By the Greeks, however, the term was regarded as a proper name and adopted as such, being taken into the scheme of deification of nouns. It seems beyond doubt, therefore, on the basis of the preceding, that the Adonis of the Greeks and the Tammuz (Tammuz) of the Babylonians are one, and that their meeting-place was Byblos (in the Phoenician coast about 32 n. of Sidon). It was no secret to the Greeks that Adonis came to them from the Semites (Strabo, XVI, II, 18-19), especially from Byblos, "sacred to Adonis," and the coins of the city contain the epithet "sacred," but do not name the deity.

That the Greeks adopted Adonis very early is evinced by the quotation from Herodotus (5th century B.C.): in *Herodotus* *opus fragmentum*, ed. A. Rasch, fragment 41, Leipzig, 1880) and by a fragment of Sappho (c. 600 B.C.; cf. T. Bergk, *Poesis Lyrica Graeca*, II, 397, Leipzig, 1845; *Phaenicia*, IX, xix, 3). The transfer came about through the Phoenicians, and the locations of the temples in which Adonis

had a part (with Aphrodite) are in some degree indicated by Phoenician testimonies. Before reaching these it is proper to remark that the cult was established in Latakia in tradition of Syria—Ammianus Marcellinus (XXI, 1, in *Coll.*, I, 16; Eng. transl. by C. D. Yonge in *Loeb's Classical Library*, p. 297, London, 1827) reports that on the occasion of Julian's visit to Antioch the festival of Adonis, the beloved of Venus, was being celebrated. In Cyprus, early settled by the Phoenicians, on the north coast was Amathus, where Antarte-Aphrodite had a sanctuary, and Adonis was worshipped (*Pausanias*, IX, xii, 2; confirmed by Stephen of Byzantium, *Etymologiae*, s.v. "Adonis"). Paphos in the southwest was a notable center, and coins of the Roman period picture the sanctuary with doves (the bird sacred to the goddess) over the facade. There is an interesting model of a shrine of just this pattern recovered at Myrae (*Journal of Hellenic Studies*, ix, 1888, pp. 210-215), and if there is a connection—which it is tempting to see—the history of the shrine is carried back to 1100 B.C. The same and similar characteristics of the Ishar-Antarte-Aphrodite cult, were present, and the custom obtained of requiring of the native women submission as a religious duty to strangers (see in a lifetime, as at Babylon, Babel, and elsewhere. Ptolemy (*AFPO*, cii, 622) quotes Ptolemy Hephastion to the effect that Aphrodite found the body of Adonis in "Arope, a city of Cyprus"; and *Pausanias* (II, x, 2) remarks upon the waiting for Adonis by the women of the city. It will be remembered that Melito makes Balhith a queen of Cyprus, asserting that she changed her residence to Byblos and Aphak. Pausanias also quotes Apollodorus (II, xi, 3-4) as making Adonis son of Kinyras, founder of Paphos in Cyprus. There is similar testimony for Aphrodite in Cyprus—if the name is not enough. This island seems to have been covered by the cult. At Alexandria the celebration was elaborate, and is described by Theophrastus in one of his celebrated *Hygia* (the *Hygia*, named the *Hygia*), which relates the part taken in the festival by Ptolemy Philadelphus and his queen. The story as current in the West connects closely with Byblos (see below, § 11). Canopus in Egypt was another center. Concerning Athens there can be no mistake, for Pausanias (*Acadologia*, xvii, 2) states that when the ill-fated expedition against Sicily in 415 was departing, the celebration of the Adonis (the local name for the mourning) was in progress, and the ill omen was noted after the event. Evidence can be adduced for the celebration in Alexandria of Caria, Peraea of Pamphylia, Samos (cf. O. Gruppe, *Orionische Mythologie und Religions-Geschichte*, p. 273, note 6, p. 291, note 1, Munich, 1902), Laconia, and Ilion in Macedonia. These names of places are representative, not exhaustive. The earliest explicit witness for the celebration among the Romans is Ovid (13 B.C.-18 A.D.; *Art Amatoris*, I, 73); but an Etruscan mirror bears the name *Adonis*, supposed to mean Adonis (A. Falassi, *Corpus inscriptionum Latinarum*, Turin, 1957), and this suggests a much earlier footing in the Italian peninsula. The cult was favored by Elysianus (c. v.). Certainly to be attributed to a



late period and probably through Greek, not Semitic, agents, came the establishment of the cult at Bethlehem, where, according to Jerome (Epist. viii, 3, Eng. transl. in *MPL*, 2 ser., vi, 120), there was a grove to Tammus-Adonis, and in the cave of the nativity "illumination was made for the puer of Venus." The extinction of the cult in certain parts of Syria, notably at Aphaca, under Constantine is reported by Sozomen (*Hist. eccl.*, II, 5, Eng. transl. in *MPL*, 2 ser., II, 207); yet the reports from Arabic sources by Chwolson (*Die Ssabier*, ut sup.) show its continuance much later.

It was not to be expected that a myth and a cult which survived so widely as those of Tammus-Adonis would retain everywhere their original forms. It is a law of the diffusion of religions that observations of a religious character is transferred to classical without making mention, not frequently for citation here, of the details of the cult. So the story and the rites of this deity, while faithful in the main to the Semitic originals so far as these can be made out and also often preserving the consistency of this origin, yet in different localities differed in the minutiae. This has already been illustrated by the story of the finding in the Cypriote Argos of the body of the god, while the Phoenician form locates the event in the Lebanon near Aphaca. The many epithets applied to Adonis illustrate the same fact—Kiris or Kiris in Laodicea, Mennese, Besech, Kosei or Kosea, Haine, Abobas in Pamphylia, from the Semitic absh, "husb," "Gingra, Hain or Asea or Aso (among the Dorian), Gausa, Pygmalion (in Cyprus; cf. *Herodotus*, v, "Pygmalion"), Leches, Phoenice (of many of these O. Gruppe, ut sup., v, "Adonis"). Each of these applied to Adonis probably has reference to or suggestion of local peculiarity of observation or worship.

The genesis of Greek mythology required that a father be found for the deity, the Babylonian conception being lost in the distance both of space and time. The principal story in the West was that Aphrodite, in revenge for a slight upon her beauty by the queen of Kinyras, king of Cyprus, in declaring her daughter more fair than the goddess, inspired the unfortunate girl with an illicit passion for her own father, which for twelve nights she continued to indulge. When the father discovered the identity of his companion, in horror he pursued her with drawn sword, and the girl was saved from his only by being metamorphosed into a myrtle-tree (Apollodorus, III, xiv, 4). The story of the birth then assumes various forms—the father cleaves the tree, and Adonis is born; or in ten months the tree parts of itself to give birth to the beautiful young god; or a boar (one of the constant elements of the myth) rips the bark with his tusks and so brings the boy

to birth (Apollodorus, *Bibliotheca*, III, 132, ed. R. Wagner; Leipsig, 1894; Orsi, *Metamorphosen*, x, 298-302; Vergil, *Edgopus*, x, 18; and the glossator on the same author's *Edgus*, v, 71). Both the father and the mother are variously connected with both Cyprus and Phoenicia. The father is Agnos, or Phoinix (an evident recollection of the derivation of the cult from Phoenicia), or Thesus (Pausanias, 6th century a. c., cited in Apollodorus, III, xiv, 4; Atheneus, x, *Instit.*, 455, ed. W. Decker, 3 vols., Leipsig, 1827); the mother is Aea or Metharme (in Cyprus) in place of Myrrha, or Aphrodite (as Hesiod, ut sup.); and Adonis has as children Anymone, Odigos, Mises, Pripas, and Zantimo (Theocritus, *Idyl.*, xv; glossator on Vergil's *Edgopus*, viii, 37; cf. *Soll.*, xxii, 80). The accounts of the death vary also—Aea (or Hephaestus) caused it by means of the boar, or one or the other transformed himself into that animal, or Apollo did it in revenge for the blinding of his son Erymanthos by Aphrodite when by him she was seen bathing. The place of the death was variously located in the Lebanon, at Argos in Cyprus, and at Idalion. Once more the duration of the stay of Adonis in Hades is differently given. The principal strand of the Greek myth records that on his birth Aphrodite received him and hid him in a cleft which she gave to Eros-Perseus to guard. But his beauty won the love of the latter, and she refused to give him up to Aphrodite. Appeal was then made to Zeus, who adjudged possession of him for a third of the year to Proserpine, another third to Aphrodite, while the rest of the year was at his own disposal, and he gave it to Aphrodite. Other accounts divide the year equally between the two goddesses, or give the larger part to Proserpine.

It is not at all improbable that at many places where the Adonis cult became christianized there was already a worship not alien in character. This would favor the solution of a number of problems which arise. It is not merely probable but certain that other cults of a kind not antagonistic in idea came upon the Adonis worship and fused with it or modified it. Thus confusion came to the Adonis cult as to the particular deity in station whose honor the rites were performed, with other, or the deity were identified. Among deities those with whom Adonis was either continued or identified were Apollo, Apurton (O. Gruppe, ut sup., p. 576 note), Eros (Apollodorus, II, 17; Menes, in R. and T. Muller's *Fragmenta*, II, 155, no. 37), Phaedon (Timon, in Muller, ut sup., p. 525, no. 3). But of especial note were Atis and Osiris. The closest relationship that may be seen in a somewhat over-emphasized form in J. G. Frazer's *Adonis, Atis, and Osiris*, (London, 1906). The connection with Osiris seems out particularly in the story of the body of Osiris, or his head, later reinterred into a cleft, which was yearly committed to the sea at Alexandria and made its way to Byblos (M. H. Charafsch-Richter, *Agnes, die Bibel und Homer*, pp. 219-220, Berlin, 1895). The mourning of Isis for Osiris, to say nothing of that of the farmers who called on Isis as they cut the first sheaf of grain (Diodorus Siculus, I, vi, 2), as well as the search

for the body of Osiris and the burial, suggested a relationship between the two deities who caused their lovers so great sorrow, and the identification was almost made. The emphasis upon the cult of Adonis at Alexandria (see below, § 13) and Byblos and the similarity of ideas for the two deities stood, whatever that may be, made the identification easy (Dionysius, in *Vita Isidori*, cited by Theodor, *Bibliotheca*, eccl., in *MPL*, vi, 1276; Hippolytus, *Ref.*, v, 4, in *ANF*, v, 4, but cf. v, 56, where the "virgin dressed Adonis" is the Assyrian, i. e. Syrian, name for Atis; Stephen of Byzantium, *Etymologia*, s. v. "Adonis"). So the myths of the two overlapped and mingled at the meetings of Phrygia and Alexandria, just as those of Atis and Adonis did in Cyprus, so near to Phrygia. Atis was a Phrygian deity whose myth relates that he was either killed by a boar or led to death from self-castration, and his corpse was mourned and buried in his cleft; in this case also a goddess, Cybele the "Great Mother," was the objective of the worship (J. G. Frazer, ut sup., and *Golden Bough*, I, 296-301, London, 1906). Rather less obvious is the relationship of Adonis and Dionysus, yet Plutarch testifies explicitly (*Symposium profanum*, IV, v, 3) that "they regard Adonis just as another (deity) but as Dionysus" (cf. also "Orphic Hymn," xlii.). This identification of Adonis with other gods was not confined to the Greeks. In Babylonian Tammus was the name of an early god (Hilgner, *Die Judentum, Religion of Assyria and Babylonia*, p. 58, New York, 1898), and Zimmern (*Altenreligion*, ut sup., pp. 705-709) gives a list of names applied to Tammus several of which involve identification of him with others. It is indisputable that in Babylon, Syria, Egypt, Asia Minor, and Greece there were conceptions of deities so alike in their main features, having to do with the decay of power—whether solar or vegetational (see below, § 13)—that confusion and merging were to be expected. Whether in the writings of Sanchoniathon (v. l. in *Rawlinson, Paganism and Christianity*, I, 4 (Eng. transl. by E. H. Gifford, 1.41 Oxford 1903) the "Eilon" or "Eilon," the former of whom died in an encounter with wild beasts, are Adonis and Aphrodite is not certain.

Just as the myth and conceptions concerning the deity varied in different localities, so the details of the celebration differed in accordance with the genius of place and people.

Rites. The solemn feature was the mourning, principally by women, and generally accompanied by the mournful strains of the flute. In the Achaean epic but nowhere else the mourning seems to have included Galathea. The mourners beat their breasts and in some cases shaved their heads, the hair going to the temples as a part of the purification of the shrines. From notices as cited above respecting the observances at Byblos, Alexandria, and Adonis it is gathered that the effigy or image of Adonis was made, washed, dressed, incensed, and laid on a couch or bed (at Alexandria the image of Aphrodite was made and laid on a couch) by that of Adonis, and the observance celebrated the wedding of the two deities. Where classic influence prevailed, the image represented a beauti-

ful youth. The image was surrounded by fresh flowers and plants, and at Alexandria also with the early fruits, the "green Adonis," myrtle, and cakes of honey, meal, and oil, and after this was done the wailing and dragging of dresses began (Sappho, fragment 6). After the wailing and on the second day, the image was carried away and cast into the river or the sea, or was given burial, the women accompanying the procession with lamentations and singing an ode which brought prosperity for the coming year. At Hama the story went that the "body of Adonis" slew him and ground his bones in a mill, and then scattered the fragments; hence the women of that region during the celebration ate nothing that had passed through the mill (Frazer, *Adonis*, etc., p. 131, citing Chwolson). At Byblos, after the wailing a sacrifice was offered to Adonis "as to one who was dead," therefore it was a holocaust and peculiar (Lionis, *De deo Syria*, I, vi; Smith, *Rel. of Sem.*, p. 411). In Cyprus it was customary to build a pyre for Adonis and to cast therein live doves (the bird of Aphrodite). Apparently with the mourning for Tammus there was combined lamentation for departed friends and relations, so that the occasion was a sort of "All Souls' Day" (Astruc, ut sup., pp. 275, 299, 302). A unique situation was the "garden of Adonis" (Plato, *Phaedrus*, 270b; Theophrastus, *De historia et causa plantarum*, VI, vii, 3; Hesychius, s. v. "Adonisikos lepos"). These were shallow receptacles much like fern dishes, filled with earth, sown with various kinds of seeds, and for a few days before the festival carefully tended by the women. Under the warm eastern sun the seeds germinated quickly, but when left unwatered, the same sun quickly dried the shallow earth and the growth withered. The "gardens" were then carried to a spring, river, or the sea and thrown in. That this was an old charm intended to promote the growth of vegetation is practically certain (Frazer, *Adonis*, etc., pp. 137-150, where early authorities are cited, to which add the *Empereur Julien*, "The *Causes*," xrv, in E. Thibaut's *France archéologique*, viii, 1, 1851, pp. 97-123; a picture of these "gardens" is given in J. Jannet, *Das alte Testament*, etc., ut sup., p. 88). It is consistent with this interpretation that the mourning was followed on the next day by a festival which typified the return of the god from the dead (Origen and Jerome on Ezek., viii, 11, and Cyril of Alexandria, in his *xxvii*, 1-2, *MPL*, lxx, 440-441). This feature, perhaps part of the original rites in Babylon, has always mystified the narrators and students, some of them assuming strangely that the increasing of the effigy was supposed to effect revivification. But on that hypothesis why should burial or the casting of the effigy in river or sea

* There are several good reasons for thinking that in Babylon the people were not so quick to die as the shallow earth and the growth withered. The "gardens" were then carried to a spring, river, or the sea and thrown in. That this was an old charm intended to promote the growth of vegetation is practically certain (Frazer, *Adonis*, etc., pp. 137-150, where early authorities are cited, to which add the *Empereur Julien*, "The *Causes*," xrv, in E. Thibaut's *France archéologique*, viii, 1, 1851, pp. 97-123; a picture of these "gardens" is given in J. Jannet, *Das alte Testament*, etc., ut sup., p. 88). It is consistent with this interpretation that the mourning was followed on the next day by a festival which typified the return of the god from the dead (Origen and Jerome on Ezek., viii, 11, and Cyril of Alexandria, in his *xxvii*, 1-2, *MPL*, lxx, 440-441). This feature, perhaps part of the original rites in Babylon, has always mystified the narrators and students, some of them assuming strangely that the increasing of the effigy was supposed to effect revivification. But on that hypothesis why should burial or the casting of the effigy in river or sea



Tabernacle

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

1970

a part of the diocese of Utrecht and to unite it with the neighboring French bishopric of Treves... He presided to thousands, assuming considerable pains and finding many adherents among women and the lower classes.

Komogajir der Bagdeler, pp. 49 sqq. Strasbourg, 1890 mentions Terebaki as a name for Antares and perhaps connected with the deity Nihil (see HAZARD, VII, 2, 19), but this requires a change from k to h which is unlikely. Hardly more probable is the derivation from the name of the deity (Atargatis), originally perhaps Dereto (cf. Schander, KAT, p. 484, note 4); or from the name of a strong-god Tarku (Baudouin, Hausk-Herzog, RE, s.v. 361), and Chey's suggestion (RE, s.v. 4903) that the word is a corruption of Jerak(mus) does not command support.

TARKER, BERNARD TUCKER: African Methodist Episcopal bishop; b. at Pittsburg, Pa., Dec. 25, 1833. He was educated at Avery College, Allegheny, Pa., and the Western Theological Seminary, though without graduating from the latter institution, and, after holding various pastorates in his denomination, was appointed, in 1867, editor of the Christian Recorder, its official organ. This position he held for sixteen years, after which he was editor of the African Methodist Quarterly Review (1884-1888) until he was chosen bishop in 1888, with special jurisdiction in Canada, Bermuda, and the West Indies. Since 1892 he has had charge of the First District, comprising New York, New Jersey, and eastern Pennsylvania, and in 1901 was a delegate to the third Ecumenical Methodist Conference at London. Among his publications may be mentioned his Study for African Methodism (Philadelphia, 1867) and The Negro's Origin, and, in the Negro Church (1869).

ROMANUS: See the commentary on KING, s.v. 2. See also, in the same volume, 1890, Eng. transl., Custom House, London, 1871; 2. 666b, Christianus et Augustinus in deo factus, p. 400. Inaugural, 1877; Stud. in 2. 7. 1894, pp. 412-421; 426, 46. 666. RE, s.v. 4097, 2. 6. 10.

TARBUK: The name of a deity mentioned in II Kings xvii. 31 as belonging to the Avvites settled in Samaria by Sargon. For the general condition of the passage see DISCOGNOSCENTIA. An identification of this deity with any so far known is as yet most uncertain. No relation can be shown upon the late rabbinical statement that this god had the form of an ass (Sederoth, 62a-b). P. Jensen (De

TASCORIGITES (PAXILOXASORES): The designation of a heretical sect first mentioned in the third century by Epiphanius and Jerome. The original name of the sect is not known, and by Epiphanius (Hær., XLVII, 14) derived from the Plerogian nation, "wooden nail or nails" and "drop" = "nose"; whence the sect took the Greek name Paxiloxasotes and the Latin Paxiloxasores. The designation was bestowed on them because of a peculiarity in their worship, or because they prayed with one finger on the nose, or thrust a finger in their mouth for a sign of strictest silence, in reference to Ps. cii, 3. Epiphanius elsewhere connects them with the Montanists, Theodoret (Hæreticones pænitens compendia, I, 6-10) with the Gnostics. Jerome (commentary on Galatians, book ii, preface) and Epiphanius place the sect in

273

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Tabernacle

Galatia, whence it seems to have spread over Asia Minor and into Syria. Imperial laws came in to forbid the sect's assemblies. Theodosius the Great (Epist. ad Valerianum in MFG, xvii, 1051) in the ninth century is the latest to testify to its existence. Philastrius (Hær., lxxv), who had no independent knowledge of the sect, confused them with the Aucton who kept the consecrated bread in a sack (sack) and had a cult resembling that of Bacchus. G. GIEFFEREREN.

TASMANIA. See AUSTRALIA.

TAST, HERMANN (HARMEN, HERMEN): Reformer in Silesia (Poland); b. at Humen (21 m. w. of Silesia) c. 1490; d. there May 11, 1561. At some time after 1514 he had the vicarage of St. Michael in the Church of our Lady in Humen. The Reformation was felt there at an early time; as early as 1518 two students from that flourishing borough studied at Wittenberg, others followed from the vicinity, and all returned zealous friends of the new truth. Tast is said to have arrived at his better knowledge by reading the works of Luther, and about 1522 he dared to announce the truth openly. He found many adherents; but as the majority of the priesthood and of the congregation clung to the old traditions, he was excluded from the church, and his life was endangered. But Matthis Knudsen, a neighbor, protected him and opened his house for the gathering of his adherents. When it could no longer hold them, Tast preached in the churchyard. The Reformation developed further under the protection of Frederic I., who in 1524 is said to have required of both parties mutual toleration in a formal and general edict of toleration. Tast found an efficient assistant in Theodoricus Paltorius (Becker), father of Johannes Paltorius (q.v.), a true pupil of Melancthon. After 1528 the young Duke Christian, as temporary stattholder and

regent of the duchy, and the king not only tolerated, but advanced, the cause of the Reformation. Tast prepared the way in Flinsburg, so that in August 1529, the first Evangelical services were delivered in the two principal churches of the town by Geert Street and Nikolaus Schmalz. About the same time Humen was won for the Reformation. In 1527 Tast was appointed pastor, in reference to Ps. cii, 3. Epiphanius elsewhere connects them with the Montanists, Theodoret (Hæreticones pænitens compendia, I, 6-10) with the Gnostics. Jerome (commentary on Galatians, book ii, preface) and Epiphanius place the sect in

regent of the duchy, and the king not only tolerated, but advanced, the cause of the Reformation. Tast prepared the way in Flinsburg, so that in August 1529, the first Evangelical services were delivered in the two principal churches of the town by Geert Street and Nikolaus Schmalz. About the same time Humen was won for the Reformation. In 1527 Tast was appointed pastor, in reference to Ps. cii, 3. Epiphanius elsewhere connects them with the Montanists, Theodoret (Hæreticones pænitens compendia, I, 6-10) with the Gnostics. Jerome (commentary on Galatians, book ii, preface) and Epiphanius place the sect in

regent of the duchy, and the king not only tolerated, but advanced, the cause of the Reformation. Tast prepared the way in Flinsburg, so that in August 1529, the first Evangelical services were delivered in the two principal churches of the town by Geert Street and Nikolaus Schmalz. About the same time Humen was won for the Reformation. In 1527 Tast was appointed pastor, in reference to Ps. cii, 3. Epiphanius elsewhere connects them with the Montanists, Theodoret (Hæreticones pænitens compendia, I, 6-10) with the Gnostics. Jerome (commentary on Galatians, book ii, preface) and Epiphanius place the sect in

regent of the duchy, and the king not only tolerated, but advanced, the cause of the Reformation. Tast prepared the way in Flinsburg, so that in August 1529, the first Evangelical services were delivered in the two principal churches of the town by Geert Street and Nikolaus Schmalz. About the same time Humen was won for the Reformation. In 1527 Tast was appointed pastor, in reference to Ps. cii, 3. Epiphanius elsewhere connects them with the Montanists, Theodoret (Hæreticones pænitens compendia, I, 6-10) with the Gnostics. Jerome (commentary on Galatians, book ii, preface) and Epiphanius place the sect in

273

274

at Strasbourg is determined at least for the year 1314. Also he must have been reached by the influence of the mystic Johann Steninger, better at the Dominican monastery at Strasbourg (1317-24). If it is assumed, with W. Preger, that Sermon I was preached at Cologne (which is not established), Tauler may have been at the stadium generale of the order in that city while Eckhart was lecturer (1326-27). Possibly he witnessed, at the same time, the closing events of the latter's life, and in that case met Heinrich Suso (q.v.). At any rate he was acquainted with Suso, having received from him as a gift his *Horologium sapientie*. Beyond doubt Nicholas of Strasbourg (q.v.), whom he may have known at his native city, was one of his teachers at Cologne. He probably returned to Strasbourg at the conclusion of his studies and respires toward the close of the fourth decade in the correspondence of Henry of Nidwaggen (q.v.) with Margareta Ebner (q.v.). During Lent 1339 he appears at Basel, given from Strasbourg, together with the other Dominicans, in consequence of responding more promptly to the interdict of John XXIII (q.v.). He is known to have been there at the beginning of 1340, and with Henry of Nidwaggen was the center of a numerous body of Friends of God. In 1347, or 1348 at the latest, he was preaching at Strasbourg, and Christina Ebner (q.v.) writes of his "every tongue that has learned the doctrine." Rulman Merswin chose him as his confessor. To this activity at Strasbourg must have belonged his open opposition to pope and intellect mentioned by the chronicler Daniel Speckle (Speckle), an account which has been variously disputed. A eulogium of a sermon (1326) preached on the twelfth Sunday after Trinity in honor of St. Cecilia (Oct. 22) leads W. Preger to the conclusion that about 1337 Tauler stayed for a considerable period at Cologne, and that his extant sermons belonged to this period. They were preached at Cologne in whole by informal references and by the mention of the ancient Cologne manuscript (see below), which locates them at St. Gertrud, of that city; yet the arrangement, according to the church year, points to a later date. The sermons may presuppose a long sojourn in Cologne, and there is nothing to be said against this being in the sixth decade.

With reference to the works ascribed to Tauler, the following is to be said: (1) *Wortbüchlein des armen Ademes Christi* (Frankfurt, 1621; Eng. transl., *The Following of Christ*, London, 1886), published by Danish with the more accurate title, *Wortbuch des Armen Ademes Christi* (Münich, 1877; Eng. transl., *Golden Thoughts from the Book of Spiritual Poverty*, Glasgow, 1897), formerly ascribed to Tauler, has been proved by Dennis to be genuine, by the difference of doctrine from the sermons, and A. Ritahl showed that it is a compilation; (2) the *Medulla anime* (Frankfurt, 1644) contains the material appended in seven-verse chapters to "Sermons" (Cologne, 1543). The title intended for chapters I-XXVII, only originated with Christian Hohberg, who issued this separate edition. These are merely compilations, and of the rest of the collection even Preger would claim as genuine only several of the

edition in st.-lvt., and chapter from *Golden Thoughts on the Higher Life* (Glasgow, 1897) consists of selections from (1) and (2) translated into English. (3) *Retrospect and eulogium des Ademes und sterbens Jhesu Christi* (Cologne, 1567; Berlin, 1856) was shown to be spurious by C. Schmidt. (4) Several hymns have been ascribed to Tauler (cf. F. Wackernagel, *Das deutsche Kirchenlied*, numbers 457-57, ii. 302 seq.; Leipzig, 1863-77); but even the one most generally held to be genuine, "Da kumt ein schiff geladen," Preger has declared not by him. (5) There remained the "Sermons" of which no edition scientifically adequate has been produced, and there is scarcely the beginning of a critical examination of the manuscripts. The first edition, *Sermones des gross patris in quodam oratorio doctoris Johannis Tauler* (Lipsiae, 1698; reprint, *Sermones von Jhesu in dritzen general, Anspurg, 1700*), consisted of eighty-four sermons. The second reduction, *Prædica* (Basel, 1821; another ed. with preface by Johann Arnlt, Homburg, 1821), adds forty-two, "recently found" to the first, of which several in the opinion of the publisher were not from Tauler. It further affords sixty-one sermons and literary pieces from other teachers, in particular, Meister Eckhart. The third reduction (Cologne, 1843) drops the latter and instead adds twenty-five sermons which are genuine in the judgment of the editor, but certainly are not by Tauler. This edition, based upon the manuscript found at St. Gertrud, Cologne, in 1842, which is the first to distribute the sermons according to the church year, became the basis of all those following. L. Sartin translated and paraphrased it into Latin, *Opera omnia et sermones magistri primus admodum et licentii Johannis Tauler* (Cologne, 1848; German reprint, Frankfurt-on-the-Main, 1822-1823); another ed., with preface by F. J. Spener, same place, 1681; Germ. Roman Catholic reprint, Cologne, 1860; and retranslations into Italian, Dutch, and French). Recent editions, going back to the old prints, are: *Johann Tauler's Predigten, Von den besten Anspurg und in unvorstelliger Zeit in die jetzige Schicksalstage übertragen*, with an excellent introduction (Frankfurt-on-the-Main, 1836; Eng. transl., *The History and Life of the Reverend Doctor John Tauler*, by Susanna Wackernagel, with preface by Charles Kingsley, London, 1837; New York, 1867; rev. ed., J. Homburg, Frankfurt, 1894); and *Prædica* (Berlin, 1847). It can scarcely be presumed that most of the sermons were preached at St. Gertrud. In fact they are rather discourses than sermons; they proceed in a quiet, orderly way, but often rise into dramatic energy. Sermons is employed in most fanciful allegory. The sermons, contrary to the manuscript tradition, are the first reduction, were delivered in German.

The sermons are to form the only basis upon which to determine Tauler's doctrine. The disciples of Eckhart, he was more practical; Preger hence his sermons had in real consistency. This practical side, which came to the attention of Lecher, who in his day frequently extolled him, made him famed among Protestants as a forerunner of the Reforma-

tion. It may be shown, however, that this Evangelical tone appears prominently in the popular parts of his preaching. Sifted down to his elemental speculations, these impressions disappear. That immediacy and personal experience of the divine in the phase of dual formalism and works was Evangelical can not be gained. This does not imply that he had wholly overcome traditional views; he revered the saints, but direct communion with God stood first (sermon, xxiii.). Sometimes this communion seems to be mediated through the work of Christ (death on the cross), and the acceptance of it by faith (iv., lxxvii.). While it appears that the conception of faith as assenting to the truth of the promise of forgiveness is advanced, yet the other idea, of trust, is the essential and avails with God. To this the fundamental significance of Scripture receives the supreme emphasis, likewise in the Evangelical sense, as the ultimate source of truth. Tauler also warns against the contemplative life and impractical quietism, and values though in the lowest degree, the works of the earthly vocation; and he ascribes full worth to deeds of loving service (lxxvii.). He counsels his hearers to shun lofty speculations, such as the mystery of God, but to know themselves in spirit and nature and maintain a pure and simple faith (lv.).

However, the interest in the practical appears always as one of explosion and somewhat strained, while his tendency is ever backward to the deep and mysterious ground of things, a field which he regards as reserved for the speculative seeker. He deplores that the masses of the people speculate upon things that are beyond their powers. The Decretes, only of the grace of God, and yet, like blind faith, remain ignorant of what lies concealed within (cxix.). He has reference here, with Eckhart, to the speculative fundamental essence of the soul, which is essentially the core of his doctrine and rests upon his view of the divine and the human. The former is the divine darkness to the whole understanding of man and angel. But as God the heavenly Father, in self-knowledge, begetting his beloved Son, or speaking by his eternal Word, proceeded out of himself, indeed in such manner that Father and Son remained one, joined in a new unity, and sent forth from them both the Holy Spirit, in an indescribable compass, as the love of both—has he also further pointed himself out to the creature (lxxx.). What man, created, is in himself he was intended from eternity in God (cxix.). By laying aside every apperception to the lower, or animal, alienated from all sense and sense, man returns not only to a vision of the essence of the soul as a rational image of its source (cxix.), but also to beheld with rapture the steps of God, who now first emerges from the darkness. This image is not a picture or resemblance of the divine, but it is that in which God loves, knows, and enjoys himself, and acts within himself. In this unity God and the soul are one. It would be difficult to acquit Tauler of pantheism in this light. As to the final estimate of these positive speculations, the general intent of the divine and human is illustrated (cxix.) by the grape-cluster and the sun. In the first stage must be overcome the man who exerts

himself in numerous tasks and works of fasting, washing, and prayer, but who, unable to realize his essence purely, regards himself with sensual satisfaction, or pleasure and displeasure. In the second stage is to be discarded the man who has despised all temporal things and overcome the coarser instincts. As the weeds are removed, the divine sun begins to shine upon the ground.

In the third stage, just as the sunlike clouds the grapes, when the leaves are cut away, so all images of saints, as well as knowledge, works, and prayer fall away; man is absorbed in God like a drop of water in a oak of wine, all differences disappear. But where in this dissolution reaching to "annihilation," to "selfless passivity" (lxxx.), has he left behind his regard for the practical, the earthly vocation, the service of love, and, above all, the redemptive work of Christ? While the renewal of grace by the acceptance of Christ through the sacrament, taking into account his suffering and death, and union with the Father through him as prototype (cxviii., lxxxi.), are emphasized; yet the basis of grace is not in the restored relation of love between man and God, but the essence of the soul. It is a bit a figure for Tauler to say that man is born in Christ of the Father and with the Son returns again into the Father to become one with him (lxxi.). To remove Christ and his work from Tauler's view would not alter his fundamental conceptions. At bottom the entire interpretation of Christian thoughts and modes may be designated as an accommodation to the charitably and Christian mode of speech. That he did not see clearly how, through with the best intentions, he defiled his mystical ideas in Christian form is certain; that he also sometimes felt the necessity of distinguishing himself as a Christian preacher from the adherents of a false mysticism is likewise shown (lxxxi.). In this he severely cautions his hearers for unity with God; the latter is not possible, and no one is free without the keeping of the commandments, good works, and divine love and aspiration. The difference was not inherent in the doctrine but in the attitude toward the teaching of the Church and the different spirit in which Tauler proclaimed them. At bottom he was in accord with the liberalist trend. Likewise in his attitude to the revealed Word, he is no more enticed to the name of forerunner of the Reformation. In particular instances he insisted upon the fundamental importance of the Scriptures (lxxviii., lxxxi.), but at the same time he placed the inner Word, or Christ returned within obedient man, as of higher authority (lxxx.). As to the Church he is so preoccupied by his estimation of the personal relation to God that he loses all appreciation for the ordinances, in spite of incidental recognition of them (lxxx.). To him the Friends of God, who are in immediate contact with God, take the place of the Church (cxix., cxvii., cxviii.). The visible Church has only a preliminary pedagogical worth, to be forgotten as soon as the inner Word is perceived.

(FRENCH AND GERMAN)
BIBLIOGRAPHY: Works of Tauler recently made accessible in English see W. Hutter, *The Inner Word, or Sermons of Johann Tauler*, Transl. and Introduction, London, 1906; and *Confessions and Sermons of John Tauler*.

277

278

Tabernacle
Translation

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

278

being his *April Pastors*; but complete translation by Rev. W. F. Ryan, Washington, D. C., 1911. Ouseley, J. Quilley and J. Eckardt, *Scripturae ecclesiae protestantis*, i. 477-481; P. 119; H. Hoffmann, *Adrian Paavo*, Helsinki, 1932; C. Schmidt, *Jakob Paavo*, Helsinki, 1942; Ouseley, J. Quilley and J. Eckardt, *Scripturae ecclesiae protestantis*, pp. 187-192; Leason, 1849; P. Hilding, *Jakob Paavo and the Evangelical Reformation of the Finnish people*, in *Journal of the Historical Society of Finland*, vol. 1, no. 1, 1907; E. Rönner, *Västman och Paavo*, in *Östman*, 1905, pp. 18-20; M. P. P. in *DFP*, 1906, pp. 108-109; Häm, in *Handelingen van de Synode van de Bovenland*, no. 11, 1890; *Christelijke geschiedenis*, *Maandblad voor de H. Kerk*, 1891, 1892, no. 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16, 17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300, 301, 302, 303, 304, 305, 306, 307, 308, 309, 310, 311, 312, 313, 314, 315, 316, 317, 318, 319, 320, 321, 322, 323, 324, 325, 326, 327, 328, 329, 330, 331, 332, 333, 334, 335, 336, 337, 338, 339, 340, 341, 342, 343, 344, 345, 346, 347, 348, 349, 350, 351, 352, 353, 354, 355, 356, 357, 358, 359, 360, 361, 362, 363, 364, 365, 366, 367, 368, 369, 370, 371, 372, 373, 374, 375, 376, 377, 378, 379, 380, 381, 382, 383, 384, 385, 386, 387, 388, 389, 390, 391, 392, 393, 394, 395, 396, 397, 398, 399, 400, 401, 402, 403, 404, 405, 406, 407, 408, 409, 410, 411, 412, 413, 414, 415, 416, 417, 418, 419, 420, 421, 422, 423, 424, 425, 426, 427, 428, 429, 430, 431, 432, 433, 434, 435, 436, 437, 438, 439, 440, 441, 442, 443, 444, 445, 446, 447, 448, 449, 450, 451, 452, 453, 454, 455, 456, 457, 458, 459, 460, 461, 462, 463, 464, 465, 466, 467, 468, 469, 470, 471, 472, 473, 474, 475, 476, 477, 478, 479, 480, 481, 482, 483, 484, 485, 486, 487, 488, 489, 490, 491, 492, 493, 494, 495, 496, 497, 498, 499, 500, 501, 502, 503, 504, 505, 506, 507, 508, 509, 510, 511, 512, 513, 514, 515, 516, 517, 518, 519, 520, 521, 522, 523, 524, 525, 526, 527, 528, 529, 530, 531, 532, 533, 534, 535, 536, 537, 538, 539, 540, 541, 542, 543, 544, 545, 546, 547, 548, 549, 550, 551, 552, 553, 554, 555, 556, 557, 558, 559, 560, 561, 562, 563, 564, 565, 566, 567, 568, 569, 570, 571, 572, 573, 574, 575, 576, 577, 578, 579, 580, 581, 582, 583, 584, 585, 586, 587, 588, 589, 590, 591, 592, 593, 594, 595, 596, 597, 598, 599, 600, 601, 602, 603, 604, 605, 606, 607, 608, 609, 610, 611, 612, 613, 614, 615, 616, 617, 618, 619, 620, 621, 622, 623, 624, 625, 626, 627, 628, 629, 630, 631, 632, 633, 634, 635, 636, 637, 638, 639, 640, 641, 642, 643, 644, 645, 646, 647, 648, 649, 650, 651, 652, 653, 654, 655, 656, 657, 658, 659, 660, 661, 662, 663, 664, 665, 666, 667, 668, 669, 670, 671, 672, 673, 674, 675, 676, 677, 678, 679, 680, 681, 682, 683, 684, 685, 686, 687, 688, 689, 690, 691, 692, 693, 694, 695, 696, 697, 698, 699, 700, 701, 702, 703, 704, 705, 706, 707, 708, 709, 710, 711, 712, 713, 714, 715, 716, 717, 718, 719, 720, 721, 722, 723, 724, 725, 726, 727, 728, 729, 730, 731, 732, 733, 734, 735, 736, 737, 738, 739, 740, 741, 742, 743, 744, 745, 746, 747, 748, 749, 750, 751, 752, 753, 754, 755, 756, 757, 758, 759, 760, 761, 762, 763, 764, 765, 766, 767, 768, 769, 770, 771, 772, 773, 774, 775, 776, 777, 778, 779, 780, 781, 782, 783, 784, 785, 786, 787, 788, 789, 790, 791, 792, 793, 794, 795, 796, 797, 798, 799, 800, 801, 802, 803, 804, 805, 806, 807, 808, 809, 810, 811, 812, 813, 814, 815, 816, 817, 818, 819, 820, 821, 822, 823, 824, 825, 826, 827, 828, 829, 830, 831, 832, 833, 834, 835, 836, 837, 838, 839, 840, 841, 842, 843, 844, 845, 846, 847, 848, 849, 850, 851, 852, 853, 854, 855, 856, 857, 858, 859, 860, 861, 862, 863, 864, 865, 866, 867, 868, 869, 870, 871, 872, 873, 874, 875, 876, 877, 878, 879, 880, 881, 882, 883, 884, 885, 886, 887, 888, 889, 890, 891, 892, 893, 894, 895, 896, 897, 898, 899, 900, 901, 902, 903, 904, 905, 906, 907, 908, 909, 910, 911, 912, 913, 914, 915, 916, 917, 918, 919, 920, 921, 922, 923, 924, 925, 926, 927, 928, 929, 930, 931, 932, 933, 934, 935, 936, 937, 938, 939, 940, 941, 942, 943, 944, 945, 946, 947, 948, 949, 950, 951, 952, 953, 954, 955, 956, 957, 958, 959, 960, 961, 962, 963, 964, 965, 966, 967, 968, 969, 970, 971, 972, 973, 974, 975, 976, 977, 978, 979, 980, 981, 982, 983, 984, 985, 986, 987, 988, 989, 990, 991, 992, 993, 994, 995, 996, 997, 998, 999, 1000.

TAUSEN, HANS: Danish Reformer; b. in the village of Hvidebø on the island of Funen, 1494; d. at Ribe (154 m. w.w. of Copenhagen) Nov. 11, 1551. He received his early education at the school of Odense in Funen, and Stages in Zealand, and in 1516 he entered the University of Rensbeck, where he lectured in 1520; from 1520 to 1521 he probably studied in Leipzig and Cologne, and in October, 1521, he was called home to lecture at the University of Copenhagen. In 1523 he entered the University of Wittenberg and heard Luther, but because of his enthusiasm for the Reformation was expelled. Having advocated the doctrine of justification by faith in one of his sermons, he was imprisoned in a monastery and subsequently sent to Viborg in Jutland where the prior of the monastery of the Knights of St. John vainly endeavored to win him back to the old faith. Tausen was protected by Peter Frum, the burgo-master of Viborg, while Jakob Skjottunge, prior of the school and priest of the Church of St. John, yielded his pupil to Tausen; the crowds which soon assembled compelled him to hold services in the open air; later the citizens of Viborg, embittered by the resistance of the clergy, broke open the Franciscan church, and Tausen preached there twice every Sunday afternoon, and introduced singing in the Danish tongue. On the arrival of King Frederic I. at Aalborg in 1528, Tausen induced him to issue a letter of protection. An armed assault by the Roman Catholic bishop of Falster evoked the protection of the people, and the Reformation in Viborg began to make progress. In 1528 Tausen published a baptismal formula for Evangelical church services. From Viborg the Reformation spread to other cities of Northern Jutland. Tausen became pastor of the

Franciscan church, but in 1529 went to Copenhagen, where his sermons had the same effect as in Viborg. At the diet of 1530 held at Copenhagen, under the leadership of Tausen, there was held before the assembly a confession of faith, "the forty-three articles of Copenhagen," an independent counterpart of the Augsburg Confession, and on July 14, 1530, freedom was granted for Evangelical preaching. Tausen defended his Evangelical doctrine in a learned work which in Dec., 1530, was submitted to the council of the empire and printed six months later. In Copenhagen also the reformatory movement was the occasion of violent disturbances. On Dec. 27 the citizens, incited by their burgo-master, invaded the Church of Our Lady and there demolished pictures and relics. Tausen, naturally conservative, disapproved of this iconoclast and practical moderation in regard to the old images of the Church. Nevertheless, he hated against him increased, and on the death of Frederic I. in 1533 his position was very insecure. He was accused at the diet in 1533, but was allowed to continue his activity. He took part in the compilation of the Danish church ordinance of 1537 and in the same year became lecturer in Theology at the university. In 1542 he was consecrated bishop of Ribe. Under the Evangelical Christian III. Tausen continued his work unhindered. He translated the Pentateuch into Danish (Magdeburg, 1535), and edited a Danish liturgy and a collection of sermons on the Gospels and epistles (1535). In 1543 Christian III. granted him a privilege for twenty years to furnish a Danish translation of the whole Bible as continuation of the five books of Moses, but Tausen was not able to carry out this plan. He was also a composer of hymns.

TAUSEN, RICHARD: A section from Tausen's master work was edited by R. J. Keston, Copenhagen, 1975. Consult: F. Wöde, in *DFP*, vols. vi-vii, 1888-89; D. Petersen, *Christen og Tausen*, vol. II, Gøteborg, 1891; E. Schmidt, *Jakob Tausen, abt og sin tid*, Odense, 1904 (Roman Catholic).

TAVERNER RIBLE: See HENRY VIMBORNS, B, IV, 145.

TAVERNER, RICHARD: Translator of the English Bible; b. at Brixley (20 m. n.w. of Norwich), England, 1505; d. at Wood Eaton (4 m. n. of Oxford) July 14, 1575. He studied first at Corpus Christi College, Cambridge, and then at Cardinal College, Oxford (B.A., 1527); then M.A., Cambridge, 1530. After teaching at Cambridge and studying abroad, he began to study law in 1533, and in 1536 he became, at Cromwell's recommendation, clerk of the privy seal; he was licensed to preach, 1552; served as justice of the peace, 1558; and as high sheriff of Oxfordshire, 1569. He published the following translations: *The Flowers of Scripture Gathered out of Sundry Writers by Erasmus in Latine* (London, 1547); also from Erasmus *A Right Pleasant and True Relation of the Voyage of the Germanes* (1520). He was the author of *The Gooden of Wysemen Consyng Prisoner Prisoners, Philosophers and other Sortes of Men* . . . 4 pp. (1539). He is remembered for his edition of the English Bible.

270

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Taxation

(London, 1829), commonly called Taverner's Bible. It appeared both in folio and quarto, the latter edition in parts, so that all might be able to secure a portion of the Scriptures. It was really a revision of Matthew's Bible. In 1839 he also issued two editions of the New Testament. See BROWN, THOMAS, D. IV., § 4.

REVENUE. See the literature on the history of the British Bible noted in vol. II, p. 141 of the work, entitled: A. Wood, *Antiquarian*, vol. II, pp. 147-148. London, 1810; D. N. B., v., 390-396.

TAXATION.

I. Hebrew. The Hebrew language has no general term for taxes. Dues collected for the temple, prisons, and sacred purposes in general are designated by *terumah*, "oblation," "offering," "heave-offering." The first-born, the first-fruits, and the Tithes (p. 8), which Sacred belonged to Yahweh as Israel's king, Purposes may be considered the first class of such sacred taxes. In II Kings xii. 4-12, xiii. 5 mention is made of money paid into the temple treasury, which consisted in part of "the money imposed by estimation," i. e., of the tax fixed by law for the redemption of persons devoted to God by a vow (Lev. xxvii. 1-13), and in part of voluntary contributions. Ex. xxx. 11-16 (7) treats of a tax for the regular service, and this Mosaic legislation was used in later times to justify the assessments necessary for the sanctuary (cf. II Chron. xiv. 6, 9); the money thus derived was to be used for the temple service, but not for the building of the sanctuary. The imposition upon "every one that passeth among them that are manumored" was "half a shekel after the shekel of the sanctuary"; rich and poor were taxed alike (verse 16). The postexilic period developed from this single poll-tax an annual temple-tax. Under the Persian dominion the Jews agreed to an annual contribution of one-third of a shekel for the service of the house of God (Neh. x. 32; cf. Ezra vi. 9; I Mac. x. 10-11; II Mac. viii. 10). The money current at this time was the Babylonian silver sheqel, in which the shekel was divided into halves. In the time of the Maccabees Phoenician money was introduced, which divided the shekel into halves, and the temple tax was then half a shekel, i. e., a double drachma (Matt. xvi. 24, 27). Since Jewish ex. 13 required payment in the ancient sacred coinage, money-changers found entrance into the temple (Matt. xxi. 12). Jews living outside of Palestine also sent the temple tax to Jerusalem after they became twenty years of age (Mishna, Shabbat, iii. 1; Josephus, Ant., XVIII. 1).

people under the king, and it is not impossible that the taxation of families which he implied in I Sam. xvi. 25 refers to the tenth. 2. For The mention of "king's moneys" (Seder, (Amos vii. 1) points to a claim of the Purposes, king upon the first cutting of cultivated crops suitable for fodder for his horses (I Kings xvii. 6). From I Kings iv. 7-10, although the text is corrupt, the following may be inferred concerning tribute at the time of Solomon: all Israel was divided into twelve districts, each of which was under a governor; on the basis of this division the corvée was arranged (I Kings v. 13-18; cf. xl. 26), and the burdens of taxation were fixed. That the latter intended payment in kind is evident from I Kings iv. 7, where it is said that each of these governors provided food for the king and his household, each man his month in a year. The other revenues of Solomon were derived from his expeditions to Ophir (I Kings ix. 28, x. 22), with which an export trade was probably connected; from the trade in horses, which were brought in Egypt and sold to the kings of the Hittites and of Syria (I Kings x. 28-29); and from the imports collected from the caravans passing through his kingdom to Phoenicia (I Kings x. 15). All these taxes were entirely new and were necessitated by Solomon's splendid court, as may be seen from the fact that upon his death the people complained of the burdens as something unusual. His predecessors had probably no need to levy such tribute. Such moneys derived from tributes of homage and justice had probably greatly increased. At David's death, David's property had become considerable, and, according to I Chron. xxvii. 28-29 (cf. II Chron. xxvii. 10), he owned crown lands of great extent. Added to this were the rich spots of soil (II Sam. viii. 11-12, xii. 30), and the regular tribute of the subjected nations (II Sam. viii. 2; I Kings v. 1; I Kings iii. 1). It is probable that the census by David (II Sam. xxvii) was intended to serve as a basis for taxation, on which account evil results were expected from the innovation. The tax systems were most likely the same under the kings of the two kingdoms as under Solomon. According to I Kings xii. 1-16, the even demands seem to have been increased by encroaching in certain cases the property of those who were condemned; I Sam. vii. 12 also implies real estate over which the king could exact tribute in the time of the divided kingdom, and a poll-tax (which is not mentioned in the description of the royal privileges, I Sam. vii. 10-13) was exacted only in extraordinary cases, as when a war-contribution was to be paid to foreign despots (II Kings xix. 23, xxiii. 33). In the post-exilic period, the Jews as subjects of the Persian kingdom had to pay customs, "tolls," and "tributes," no doubt a direct money-tax and probably a capitation-tax (Ezra iv. 13, 20, vi. 8; Neh. v. 4); the prince and temple-landowners were exempt (Ezra vii. 24). Owing to the bitter feeling of servitude (Ezra ix. 26-27) the burden of taxation seemed harder than it really was; yet the Persian governors constantly practiced extortion (Neh. v.

Taxation

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

280

10. For the Greek and Roman periods, see TAXATION-GASTRUCUS (Petrus). VIROVA, FRANK, *Rechtsabhandlung über die Geschichte der kirchlichen Organisation in der römischen Kaiserzeit*, partly by subsidies from the State, and partly by the contributions of its members. 1. The primitive times: The Church developed its expenses from the voluntary Customs. oblation of its members, consisting of oil, wine, bread, incense, and the like. The Jewish custom of presenting the first-fruits was early adopted; and by the time of Tertullian (d. 210) contributions of money are mentioned. Gradually the custom grew up of paying tithes, partly as a substitute for the oblations in kind; but before the end of the sixth century only material notions of it are found. The clergy, as they became a distinct class, were exempt from these payments, though from the end of the fourth century they were not at liberty to alienate from the Church the property they acquired. The first traces of a real taxation of the clergy occur at the end of the sixth century. First, an annual tax was paid by all the churches in a diocese to the cathedral. It is first met in the *Canon of the Council of Braga*, 572; of *Trenton*, 646, where it was paid in money. 2. Rise of Spain (Council of Braga, 572; of Trenton, 646), where it was paid in money. In the French empire, where it was paid in kind, it is mentioned in a capitulary of Charlemagne (802); in Italy it appears as an almost universal custom under Innocent III. (d. 1216) and Honorius III. (d. 1227). According to the canon law, next, a fee was paid by one appointed to a benefice to the bishop who installed or ordained him. In the East this is mentioned as a custom in 846; the amount can not have been small, since it is stipulated that it shall not exceed a year's income of the benefice. In the West, a Roman council declared in 993 that voluntary gifts to the ordering bishop and his assistants were not simoniacal; but a synod at Paris in 829 and Ivo of Chartres (c. 1) in one of his letters complain of the magnitude of the gifts which the Curia expected from prelates consecrated in Rome. When in the sixth century metropolitan were compelled to apply to Rome for their pallium, a somewhat similar tax was attached, which had become so heavy by 1027 that Clunio requested a remission of it for the English archbishops. Similar objections were later raised elsewhere, especially in Germany. Finally, it was considered (again first in Spain, 680 and 646) the duty of the clergy to entertain the bishop on his visitations. This obligation (called *proventus*) was afterwards commuted for a money payment. The eighth century witnessed a further development. The task of church-building was systematically regulated, and disbursements were granted by popes and bishops on payment of a contribution for some pious end. Regular fees to the pope appear first under John XXIII. (d. 1336), and they were systematized under Alexander VI. Fees, voluntary indeed, but fixed by custom, paid to the clergy for certain sacraments and sacramentals

must have arisen about the same time, since the fourth Lateran Council (1215) speaks of them as a laudable custom (see *SIXTE ZINZ*). As the constitution of the Church soon and more assumed the character of a feudal monarchy, ecclesiastical taxation developed in the same direction. Secular rulers paid tribute to the pope. 3. *Prædial* in token of feudal allegiance; the *Prædial* "Peter's Pence" collected from every *Applied*, household seems to have had a similar character. The same may be said of the protection-money paid by monasteries and some bishoprics from the reign of Alexander III. (d. 1181). With the decay of the secular imperium of the Church, most of these have disappeared. Two, however, are still worth mentioning—the *subsidium christianitatis* and the *ius depurationis*. The former is a tax which the bishop was empowered to levy, in case of extraordinary need, on all the beneficed clergy of his diocese. It is first mentioned at the beginning of the thirteenth century. A variant form is the *impresca* or *estima*, which the bishop might levy on entering his see city; this is still preserved in Bavaria. Allied to it also is the title of all ecclesiastical income which the pope asserted his right to take in case of great need. The *ius depurationis* (mentioned under Honorius III. (d. 1227) and Boniface VIII. (d. 1300), was the right by which the bishop was entitled to collect the first year's income of every benefice in his diocese from a new incumbent. Sometimes it appears as a special privilege accorded by the pope for extraordinary needs of a certain year, sometimes as a fixed and permanent right. Both bishops and popes at times claimed this right. Sometimes the pope exacted it only from the benefices to which they had reserved the right to present. Out of the right developed the later papal annates strictly so called. To the class of feudal payments belong those which were levied on the estate of a deceased cleric, when in the fourteenth century the clergy gained liberty to dispose of their property by will. Sometimes the clergy were required to leave a fixed proportion to the Church; in other cases to submit their will to the royal diet for probate and pay a fee to him. The decay of church life after the fourteenth century gave rise to a number of new forms of payment or modifications of existing ones. To this period belong the *absentee-money*, demanded from the obligation of residence, generally yearly by cleric who possessed more than one benefice. The pope, when consecrated a bishop, claimed the *absentee-money* of the benefice of above. In fourteenth-century documents such payments occur under various titles, of *servitium camerae papae*, *servitium comitatus*, and by the end of that century they are fixed at a minimum of a year's income. From this time, in addition, the pope claimed (at first occasionally and then definitely) the *ius depurationis* to the extent of half the first year's income from all benefices the appointment to which was reserved. As this class of benefices was always increasing, opposition to this payment developed in more than one national

285 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Taylor

the divinity chair at Warrington Academy. Here his health broke down, owing in great part to the diabetes in which he became engaged. He had serious differences with the rector, John Seddon, and wrote strongly against the scheme which the latter was advocating of introducing fixed liturgical forms into non-conformist worship. It was not until Taylor had passed middle life that a radical change in his theological views seems to have taken place. Shortly after undertaking his new post at Northwell, he read the Scripture Doctrine of the Trinity, by Samuel Clarke (q.v.), and a consequence his belief in that central dogma suffered eclipse, and in a *Postscript* with *Notes on the Epistle to the Romans*, etc. (London, 1745, Dublin, 1746), he freely discusses his Arian sentiments. Naturally enough he abandoned the Calvinistic view of human nature; and his work, *The Scripture Doctrine of Original Sin* (London, 1740, 4th ed., enlarged, 1767), which called forth the famous reply of the older Edwards, was more instrumental than any work of his kind in undermining the root ideas of the Calvinistic system both in England and in the American colonies. Devising as far as he did from the forms of traditional orthodoxy, his treatise on prayer (*The Scripture Account of Prayer*, London, 1761, 2d ed., 1762), written at the close of his life, would seem to negate the description of Wesley that Taylor's views were "old chain in a new dress." Some of his other works not mentioned above are: *The Scripture Doctrine of Atonement* (London, 1731); and *The Lord's Supper Explained upon Scripture Principles* (London, 1746). Especially noteworthy is *The Hebrew Covenants* (2 vols., folio, London, 1734-37), adapted to the English Bible and dispersed after the manner of Baxter, which held first rank among works of his kind for almost a century, and is an enduring monument to the author's accuracy and industry. Biography: L. Harwood, *Sermon Occasioned by the Death of Wm. Taylor*, 1745; E. Taylor, in *Congregationalist Magazine*, July, 1811; *Monthly Repository*, 1828, pp. 432-43; L. Sturges, *English Pulpit in the Eighteenth Century*, in the *Encyc. Brit.*, 1811; *Am. Rev.*, 1819-20; the reference to existing sources.

Taylor, Nathaniel William: Congregationalist preacher, teacher, and author; b. at New Milford, Conn., June 23, 1796; d. at New Haven, Mar. 10, 1858. He was graduated at Yale College in 1817; studied theology with President Dwight, and became pastor of the First Church in New Haven in 1811, which office he resigned in 1823, to take the chair of dogmatic theology in the theological department of Yale, where he continued to teach until his death. As a preacher he was singularly impressive, combining solidity and clearness of thought with a remarkable eloquence. Unusual results followed upon his sermons, especially in connection with Revivals (q.v.). From early youth deeply interested in the problems of theology, and endowed with metaphysical talents of a very high order, he worked out, on the basis of the previous New England theology, an elaborate system, which gained numerous adherents, and powerfully affected theological thought and preaching in America beyond the circle of its professed

advocates. For his labors, views, and influence in this direction see *New-England Theologian*. His most noted sermon was the *Golden Crown* (New Haven, 1828), though he had previously issued others, e.g., *One on Expiation* (1816). After his death his *Practical Sermons*, ed. Noah Porter, were published (New York, 1838); also *Lectures on the Moral Government of God* (2 vols., 1850); and *Essays and Lectures upon Select Topics in Revealed Religion* (1850). Biography: G. P. Fisher, *Discussions in History and Theology* (New York, 1830, 3d ed., 1840); *Journal of Rev. Mr. Taylor*, by J. S. Foster, in *New Englander*, pp. 291-40; in *quest.*, 8, 1801; B. S. Martin, in *New Englander*, vol. xvii; S. Porter, in *New Englander*, vol. xviii; J. H. Foster, *Gleaner* (New York, 1871).

Taylor, Walter Ross: United Free Church of Scotland; b. at Thurso (10 m. n.e. of Lerwick), Caithness, Apr. 11, 1838. He was educated at the University of Edinburgh and New College, Edinburgh, from which he was graduated in 1861. He was minister of East Kirkcaldy Free Church in 1862-1868 and since 1868 has been minister of Kirkcaldy Free Church, Glasgow. He is a member of the committee of the Glasgow United Free Church Theological College, chairman of the Glasgow United Free Church Normal College, and vice-president of the National Bible Society of Scotland. His theological position is liberal, and he is an advocate of the union of churches and the revision of the creed. He has written *Religious Thought and Church Life in Scotland in the Nineteenth Century* (Edinburgh, 1900).

Taylor, William: Methodist Episcopal missionary bishop; b. in Rockbridge County, Va., May 2, 1821; d. at Palo Alto, Cal., May 18, 1902. He went from his father's farm and tanyard into the ministry, 1842; was regular itinerant, 1842-49; missionary in California, 1849-56; evangelist in the Eastern States and Canada until 1862, when he went to Europe, engaging in evangelistic work; he traveled over the continent, then to Egypt, the Holy Land, Australia, Africa, the West Indies, and India. He organized many self-supporting churches in India, and as a result of his work the South India conference and Madras conference were organized. Later he visited Central and South America. He was elected a bishop in 1884, and going again to Africa he established a chain of mission stations on the Congo and elsewhere. His works embrace *Seven Years' Preaching in New Providence* (New York, 1827); *California Life Illustrated* (1858); *Christian Advertisers in South Africa* (London, 1857); *Four Years' Campaign in India* (New York, 1875); *Our South American Cousins* (1878); and *Ten Years of Self-supporting Missions in India* (1882).

Taylor, William Mackenzie: Congregationalist; b. at Kilmarnock (20 m. e. of Glasgow), Scotland, Oct. 25, 1829; d. in New York Feb. 8, 1888. He was graduated from the University of Glasgow, 1849, and from the United Presbyterian Theological Seminary, Edinburgh, 1852; became pastor of the parish of Kilmarnock, Ayrshire, 1853; of Derby Road Church, Liverpool, England, 1855; visited the United States in 1871, and became pas-

286 THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

tor of the Broadway Tabernacle (Congregational), New York, 1872. He was Lyman Beecher lecturer in Yale Seminary, 1876 and 1886; L. P. Stone lecturer in Princeton Seminary, 1887; and editor of *The Christian of Work*, 1876-80. He was a preacher in the front rank and enjoyed an international reputation. He was compelled by a stroke of paralysis to retire in 1881. He was the author of *Life-Traitor: Being Discourses on Christian Discipleship and Duty* (Liverpool, 1862); *The Wrecker: Hopes and Faith and Hindrances* (Edinburgh, 1863); *The Last Friend, and the Wanderer's Welcome* (1870); *David, King of Israel* (New York, 1871); *Eliphaz the Prophet* (1876); *The Ministry of the Word* (Lyle Lecture, 1878); *Peter the Apostle* (1878); *David the Beloved* (1878); *Moses the Lawgiver* (1879); *The Gospel Mission to their Religion as Christ and Christianity* (Princeton lectures, 1880); *The Limitations of Life, and Other Sermons* (1880); *Paul the Missionary* (1881); *Congregational Writings, and Other Sermons* (1883); *John Knox, a Biography* (1885); *The Parables of our Saviour, Explained and Illustrated* (1886); *Joseph, the Prime Minister* (1888); *The Scotch Psalm from the Reformation to the Present Day* (1887); *The Ministry of our Saviour, Exposed and Illustrated* (1890); *David, the Gleaner: Fisher, the Queen* (1891); *Paul the Missionary* (1892); and *The Boy Jesus, and Other Sermons* (1893).

THE DEUM: The title of the so-called Ambrosian hymn, taken from the opening words: *Te Deum laudamus*. This hymn has been regarded from early times as the classic expression of Christian faith said placed on a par with the liturgical confessions. In the Roman hymnals it bears the designation: "Hymn in honor of the Holy Trinity" and "Hymn of St. Ambrose and St. Augustine"; the former in reference to its contents and the latter in agreement with the legend that, at the time of the baptism of Augustine, in 387, Ambrose intoned the hymn and sang it alternately with Augustine. That Ambrose and Augustine were the originators of the hymn, in the sense of the legend that carried after by the inspiration of the incident, they inspired it, can not be held, though it is possible that the memory of a real event on that memorable occasion endured, especially if it was a hymn new to the community and one that had been borrowed by Ambrose from the Eastern Church, and was first used in public on that occasion. The hymn in its present form is of no original and strictly uniform content. Verses 1-21 are composed in rhythmic prose and the other verse in ordinary prose (Lacey, in *Revue Critique*, 1861, 1, 102). Verses 1-21 are therefore probably of earlier date than the rest. It is consequently no mistake to regard these verses (as far as an argument) as the foundation of the *Te Deum*, which then appears as a psalm with an antiphon, in about the following form:

1. *Te Deum laudamus, ad Dominum confitemur*. 2. *Te deum servum verum sempiternum*. 3. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 4. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 5. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 6. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 7. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 8. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 9. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 10. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 11. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 12. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*.

Fascination from *verum sempiternum*. *Te Deum*. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 13. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 14. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 15. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 16. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 17. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 18. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 19. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 20. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 21. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 22. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 23. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 24. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 25. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 26. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 27. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 28. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 29. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 30. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 31. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 32. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 33. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 34. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 35. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 36. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 37. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 38. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 39. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 40. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 41. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 42. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 43. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 44. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 45. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 46. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 47. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 48. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 49. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 50. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 51. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 52. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 53. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 54. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 55. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 56. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 57. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 58. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 59. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 60. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 61. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 62. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 63. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 64. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 65. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 66. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 67. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 68. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 69. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 70. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 71. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 72. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 73. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 74. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 75. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 76. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 77. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 78. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 79. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 80. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 81. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 82. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 83. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 84. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 85. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 86. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 87. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 88. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 89. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 90. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 91. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 92. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 93. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 94. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 95. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 96. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 97. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 98. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 99. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*. 100. *Te Deum servum verum sempiternum*.

289

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Temple

secondly, if such evidence is forthcoming, this is referred to intelligently. C. A. BECKWITH.

Beauchamp, J. Michael and **G. Drake**. *Practical Peace and Special Days in Christian Education*. 1933; 2. *Practical Peace*. *From the Fields of the Populace*. Munich, 1931. P. Weiss. *Der Faustkampf der Juden*. Leipzig, 1931. A. Bredt. *Kriegs-Erzählung*. B. 1931. L. J. Jost. *Les Causes Juives*. Paris, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz.

Beckwith, C. A. *The Christian Church* (1937). *Story of the Upper Room* (1935). *A Set that moved the World*. *Three Generations of Children Saints and Palatine Saints* (1937). *Men's Partnership with Divine Providence* (1938). *The Life of James Harrison* (1939).

Teller, Romarus; German Lutheran; b. at Leipzig Feb. 21, 1733; d. there Apr. 5, 1793. He was educated at the university of his native city (1749-52), and in the following year returned to the Peterskirche in Leipzig. In 1753 he was called to Merseburg, but in the following year returned to the Peterskirche in Leipzig. He became pastor of the Peterskirche in 1765. Meanwhile he was also active in academic circles. He had been appointed associate professor of theology in 1758, and had advanced to a full professorship in 1765; while in 1748 he was made a canon, and in 1748 successor of the consistory. He is best known for his "Englisch Bibel," bearing the title *Die heilige Schrift . . . nicht ohne selbständigen Gedankengang darzustellen, sondern als dem unverständigen Anmerkeren vordenkender englischercher Schriftsteller zusammengetragen und zuerst in französischer Sprache in das Lateinisch geölt* (19 vols., Leipzig, 1760-70), a work of distinctly Reformed tendency, but of which Teller himself was able to edit only two volumes.

Beauchamp, J. Michael and **G. Drake**. *Practical Peace and Special Days in Christian Education*. 1933; 2. *Practical Peace*. *From the Fields of the Populace*. Munich, 1931. P. Weiss. *Der Faustkampf der Juden*. Leipzig, 1931. A. Bredt. *Kriegs-Erzählung*. B. 1931. L. J. Jost. *Les Causes Juives*. Paris, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz.

TELEPHORUS (not of the East). Pope 127-127. *Invenit* (Horr. III, li. 3, ANP, 140). Followed by Boniface (Horr. VII, c. 1, ANP, 2 var., 1. 182), states that he was a martyr; but Boniface contradicts him as to the year of "Telephorus' death, saying in his *Hitl. cod. (lat sup)* that it was in the first year of Antoninus (i. e. 127). "Chronicle" putting it in the eighth year of Hadrian (135). The tradition that this papal office established the forty days of Lenten fasting and the celebration of the midnight Christmas mass is erroneous. See *Lectures*, II, 1, 2. (A. H. C. 24.)

Beauchamp, J. Michael and **G. Drake**. *Practical Peace and Special Days in Christian Education*. 1933; 2. *Practical Peace*. *From the Fields of the Populace*. Munich, 1931. P. Weiss. *Der Faustkampf der Juden*. Leipzig, 1931. A. Bredt. *Kriegs-Erzählung*. B. 1931. L. J. Jost. *Les Causes Juives*. Paris, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz.

TELPILO, JOHN; English Wesleyan; b. at Winton (11 m. s.w. of Oxford), Cumberland, Oct. 5, 1831. He was educated at Bishop's College, Manchester, and at London University, and, after holding various positions and being in 1904, one of the secretaries of the committee which prepared the Methodist Hymn Book, he became, in 1905, editor of the Wesleyan Methodist Connection. He is also editor of the *Wesleyan Methodist Magazine* and of the *London Quarterly Review*. Among his numerous publications mention may be made of his *Life of Charles Wesley* (London, 1886). *Life of John Wesley* (1888). *Two West-End Churches*, or, Sketches of London Methodists from Wesley's Day (1886). *The Story of Man and Judah*. *His Lessons for Ten Days* (1903). *Makers of our Modern World*. *Women in the Modern World* (1895). *History of Lay Preach-*

XI.-19

290

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

Temple

ing conducted for the benefit of the insane asylum. *Ann. d. d. d. d.*

Beauchamp, J. Michael and **G. Drake**. *Practical Peace and Special Days in Christian Education*. 1933; 2. *Practical Peace*. *From the Fields of the Populace*. Munich, 1931. P. Weiss. *Der Faustkampf der Juden*. Leipzig, 1931. A. Bredt. *Kriegs-Erzählung*. B. 1931. L. J. Jost. *Les Causes Juives*. Paris, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz. *Die Juden*. 3d ed. Leipzig, 1931. H. G. Schatz.

TEMPLE (KNIGHTS-TEMPLARS): A military order founded in Jerusalem in 1119. The Templars formed under the Augustinian rule one of the spiritual orders of clergy that owed their origin to the Crusades (q.v.)—a knightly order on a spiritual basis and for spiritual ends. Under King Baldwin II of Jerusalem, Hugo de Payens and Godfrey of St. Omer, along with six fellow knights, united under a solemn vow to protect the pilgrims facing from the coast to Jerusalem. Baldwin gave to them quarters in his palace, called "Solomon's Temple," whence came their designation as "Poor Knights of the Temple." During their initial years, the knights lived their calling with unassuming simplicity. Expansion, firmer organization, and papal approbation, were first obtained through the Synod of Troyes, in 1128. Through Bernard of Clairvaux the order received official sanction from Pope Honorius II. The draft of a new set of statutes was entrusted to Bernard. According to this rule, the Knights were bound to observe the canonical hours, or, if prevented, to repeat a number of paternosters; meals were in common, accompanied with spiritual reading; the fare was plain, and every tenth loaf was to be given to the poor. According to the older rule, the garb was a white cloak, in token of purity of the heart. Pope Eugenius III. supplemented the Templars' mantle with a red cross; the attendants wore a black robe. No knight was to have more than three horses and one servant. All mess of the members were supplied by the order; and the individual must offer his wants to the master; the latter, in turn, was bound to parental obedience. No knight was allowed to write letters or to receive them; conversation with women was strictly to be avoided. The penalty for grave delinquency was exclusion from intercourse with the brethren; stubborn impudence involved expulsion. After the Synod of Troyes, Hugo de Payens visited France, England, and Spain in the interests of the order, receiving everywhere stately welcome and powerful support. As the order grew, so to be the standing host of the Church in the East. But the spiritual and monastic side of the order receded more and more into the background, ever more obtaining the predominance of the knightly side.

The most considerable manifestation of papal favor was derived from Pope Alexander III. By the bull *Omne diluxit* (June 18, 1163), the order was authorized to institute its own clergy, which was to be consecrated by any bishop whatsoever. Under the papal favor, the order became a rich and powerful league of nobles. Its stations

prevail, so that, though he had powerful friends, he ceased his returns on dignities and in 1767 gladly accepted a call to be an abbot, superior commendatory abbot and provost of Keil.

At Berlin, in the reign of Frederick the Great, Teller was in his element. He was elected to the Academy of Sciences in 1788, and though he was unsuccessful as a preacher, his oratorical services commanded wide circles. In 1772 he published at Berlin the first edition of his *Wiederkehr der Vornehmsten zur Erklärung christlicher Lehre*, in which he held that Christianity was designed to be merely "the wisest counsel to an ever ascending blessedness" and that many things must be altered to harmonize with riper religious concepts and changed conditions, so that "kingdoms of heaven" meant "the Christian Church," "to repent" is "to improve oneself," and "atonement" is "the union of the Jews with other peoples, and that of all mankind in one religion." This work, which naturally evoked orthodox hostility, and called forth several analogous books of an opposite tendency, received a supplement in his *Zedler'scher Vorkommen* (Berlin, 1782), in which he urged the abandonment of a number of doctrines, including that of justification, and the furnishing of a practical knowledge of God and of his blessings for the benefit of man, this knowledge leading to good conduct and beneficent activity, while all dogma was to be confined from sermons, which should be devoted simply to practical Christianity.

In the same spirit Teller in the same spirit Teller wrote upon classical and Germanic philology, his *Vollständige Darstellung der deutschen Sprache in Lezlers Bibliothekwissenschaft* (2 vols., Berlin, 1794) still being a book of value. Special mention should also be made of his *Abhandlung zur Religion* (Berlin, 1795). *Strenuous enger Gebote zum Gebrauche der öffentlichen Gottesdienste* (1793), and *Opuscula novum opusculum* (Frankfurt-on-the-Oder, 1798).

With the death of Frederick the Great, Teller's position became precarious. Long before he had tried to mold the religious views of the late-abbot by his enormous *Vorkommen der Erben, oder polémique Unterredungen eines Mannes mit seinem Tempelober über die Religionsphilosophie der Unterthänen* (Brandenburg, 1777), and when the famous religious edict of Johann Christian Willher (q.v.) was issued in 1788, he sought in a pamphlet to weaken its force. For several years he was more or less involved in a controversy, which he himself had started, regarding the admission of Jews to Christianity with the avowed purpose of securing equality with Christians. Teller's sole requirement being that such persons should state that Christ was the founder of a better religion than the one which they had formerly belonged. In 1791 he defended the views of the orthodox and rabbinical, Johann Heinrich Schickel, pastor at Gleditz, who had attacked the elements, not only of Christianity, but of all religion; and for this position Teller was sentenced to suspension from office for three months, his salary during this time be-

XI.-19

I. Solomon's Temple. Arrangement of the Temple. The Temple of Jerusalem. The Temple of Solomon.

1. Solomon's Temple: Among the great services which David rendered to the Hebrew nation was that of securing a capital which served as a center not only for political life but also for religious life. Here he placed the sacred ark, removed from the forgetfulness in which Saul's superstition had involved it. The Bible further relates that it was his purpose to provide for it a stately habitation, but was deterred by prophetic injunction from carrying out that purpose (II Sam. vii. 1-17; I Chron. xxi. 1-5).

TEMPLES, HEBREW. Construction (I 4). Architecture (I 5). The Temple of Jerusalem. The Temple of Solomon. The Temple of Zerubbabel.

The Temple building may be described in its three chief parts: the Temple proper, its surrounding structure, and the fore-courts. The Temple proper or house of God was an oblong sixty cubits long, twenty wide, and thirty high interior measurement. Proper. The thickness of the walls is not given; in Ezekiel's ideal temple this was six cubits. The partition between the holy place and the holy of holies may have been of thin wood, notwithstanding I Chron. iii. 14. On the eastern side in front of the Temple there was a stately porch twenty cubits by ten and probably of equal height with the temple. Its side walls were in line with the lower walls of the Temple and were probably of the same thickness. The height of 120 cubits given in II Chron. iii. 4 is obviously an exaggeration. There was probably a flight of steps rising to the porch. The other three sides of the building north, south, and west, were not open to the view of the

operator, but were concealed by a structure fifteen to twenty cubits high. This was in three stories and contained a great number of small rooms or cells, each five cubits high; those on the ground floor were five cubits wide, those on the second floor six, and those on the third, seven. The approach to the whole was on the south side and the ascent from lower floor to upper by means of a staircase. Ezekiel mentions thirty-three rooms on one floor, which would show them to have been very small—probably for storing paraphernalia, vessels, offerings, and the like. The Temple proper was divided into two chambers, the holy place and the holy of holies. The door to the latter was of olive wood, the lintel above forming with the posts a pentagon. The entrance door to the holy place was of cedar and cypress, very wide, double, and each door was in two parts. The holy place was forty cubits long and twenty wide. It was the room for the officiating priests and the vestibule to the holy of holies. The latter, which was the real shrine, inaccessible to the ordinary mortal and even to the priest, was a cube of twenty cubits and was accordingly ten cubits lower than the holy place, and there must therefore have been a room ten cubits high above it, as in the Temple of Herod. From this the light was completely excluded, while the holy place was but dimly lighted. Both chambers were wainscoted and paneled with cedar and cypress. The windows are not described (I Kings vi. 4), but were probably along the upper third of the walls. Light was obtained from candles. In the holy of holies stood the ark of the covenant, the golden table, and the altar of incense (see below, IV).

but the pillars are probably to be related to the obelisks and pillars that were characteristic of Phœnician and Canaanite temples (see ALEX. I, § 3; and SACRIF. SYSTEM). Solomon's Temple can hardly have been of native design. Solomon was obliged to import not only materials, but workmen. There was apparently no native architectural art in Israel. 5. Architecture: The house work was entrusted to Hiram, a Tyrian artificer, and it seems probable that both execution and conception of the plan of the Temple were strongly influenced by Phœnicia. But it seems unlikely that the Phœnician originated the style of architecture employed; they were better imitators than inventors. The type is common in Egypt, where a chamber of columns corresponds to the holy place, and the pylons to the porch, while in front of the pylon stand two pylons or obelisks. The home of the pylon style employing wooden columns must be sought in the Lebanon district, in northern Syria; but it probably goes back still further, to Egypt. The Temple represents then, a mixture of styles. The Temple proper with its firm, square construction corresponds to the native Phœnician-Canaanite style. It was essentially Phœnician in origin, though details were borrowed from Mycenaean and Egyptian. The arrangement of the holy of holies, cells, vestibule, and walled court is ultimately Egyptian origin, further developed in Assyria under Phœnician and Hittite influence. II. Zerubbabel's Temple: The most important source for the origin of the Temple which took the place of Solomon's, which was destroyed by Nebuchadnezzar, is the book of the prophet Haggai. This says nothing of the opposition of the Samaritans, who, according to Ezra iii. 6, prevented the early completion of the structure on which a beginning was made two years after the return. Haggai attributes the delay of construction to the halft-heartedness of the congregation itself. The building was begun about the middle of the year 520 a. c., and the ceremonies were laid on the twenty-fourth day of the ninth month. Haggai says nothing of a former attempt, and it must be assumed that the returned exiles had merely raised an altar, as narrated in Ezra iii. 1. Hag. ii. 14 has a similar implication. The accounts, Biblical and other, give unfortunately scarcely any information as to the character of the Temple that was built in 520 under Zerubbabel and the high priest Joshua. It may be assumed that it occupied the site of Solomon's. From Hag. ii. 4 it appears that the new building made a net impression on those who had seen the earlier. It was, in all probability, inferior not so much in its dimensions for the plan of Solomon's Temple was probably followed, but in its construction, appointments, adornment, and surroundings. According to Ezra vi. 4, Cyrus ordered a building sixty cubits high and sixty wide, much larger, therefore, than Solomon's Temple. If Cyrus issued this order, it evidently was not executed. Hecataeus is probably right (Josephus, Antiq. i. 20) in giving the dimensions of the fore-court as 475 x 142 feet. It was entered by a folding-door. The altar for

burnt-offerings stood inside, of the same size as that of Solomon's Temple and, according to I Mac. 1: 44 sq. of silver vessels. There must have been an outer court in which there were the oft-mentioned cells (Ezra viii, 25, 4, etc.). The holy place in the time of Alexander Jannaeus, had free access to the inner court in all its parts. The ark having disappeared, its place in the holy place was taken by a flat stone called the shewbread, upon which the high-priest on the day of atonement placed the censor. There was a curtain between the holy place and the holy of holies, and the entrance to the holy place seems also to have been hung with a curtain. In the holy place were found a golden candelstick, the table of shewbread, and the gold altar of incense. The Temple contained besides, at least in later times, rich ornaments.

The absence of the ark resulted in a change in the conception of the Temple; God was no longer thought of as actually present in the holy of holies. Although the sacrifices continued, they were not gifts to God as present, but symbols of the heart's devotion. The priesthood took on increased importance. Religion became more and more the exclusive business of the priesthood and the (quasi-hereditary) State. Of the later history of this Temple, it is reported (Ezra. 1) that Simon II repaired the Temple and raised the outer walls. Antiochus Epiphanes plundered and desecrated it; Judas Maccabeus restored and purified it, after which it was newly desecrated and fortified. It was destroyed by Pompey, who penetrated to the holy of holies, and again by Herod.

III. The Temple of Herod: About 20-19 a.c. Herod conceived the plan of erecting a new temple at Jerusalem; but his motives were political rather than religious, as he aimed to conciliate the Jews, whom he had formerly outraged, and to rival the magnificent temple of Greece. Josephus (Ant. xv, 3), and the Mishna tractate Middot see the chief sources of information concerning this Temple. The area covered was twice that of the old. The present Haram is essentially the work of Herod. The walls resembled a great fortress with towers and bastionments. The chief gates were on the west and south sides. Josephus mentions four gates, one of which connected with the city by means of a bridge, and another by a roadway. The two "Huld's" gates were on the south. The great outer court, or court of the gentiles, was surrounded by a magnificent pillared hall, the most splendid of which, the royal hall, contained 162 Corinthian marble columns in four rows; on the other three sides the columns were in two rows. There were, no doubt, also rooms for the priests and a chamber for the Sanhedrin. A short flight of steps led up to the inner court in the northern half of the area. Bronze tables forbade any but Jews to enter on a day of death, and one of these was discovered in 1871 by Clermont-Ganneau (for the text of Schürer, *Ezra*, transl., II, 1, 292). This court was divided into three parts: the court of women, the court of men, and the court of the priests, who had surrounding the Temple. The altar stood in the innermost court, the holy being allowed to view the ceremony only from a distance, the women

further removed than the men. Outside the men's and women's courts ran pillared halls, and adjoining these were chambers for paraphernalia. Sentinels guarded the vestibule—Levites on the outside, and priests inside. The altar of burnt-offering, in the innermost court, was 22 cubits square at the bottom, extending to twenty-four at the top. The blood of the sacrifices was drained away through two holes, a canal conducting it to the Kidron. The altar was approached by a stairway sixteen cubits wide and thirty-two long, of unhewn stones, like the altar itself. Behind the altar was a bronze laver, approached by twelve steps, and north of the altar was the slaughter-house, behind which were pillars and marble tables for the preparation of the sacrifices.

The Temple proper, gleaming with gold and marble, was approached by twelve steps. The vestibule was 100 cubits high, 100 wide, and twenty deep. Through its golden entrance over which Herod had placed an eagle, afterward torn down by the people, could be seen the door to the holy place, hung on the outside with a great Babylonian curtain, and ornamented with golden lions with wings. The holy place was an oblong forty cubits long and twenty wide, containing the table of shewbread, the seven-branched candelstick, and the altar of incense; only the priests might enter. The holy of holies was a cube of twenty cubits. The high priest alone entered it on the day of atonement to offer incense and place the sacrificial blood on the stone that had taken the place of the ark. A double curtain forty cubits long and twenty wide separated it from the holy place. A three-story structure, as in Solomon's Temple, containing thirty-eight cells ran around three sides of the Temple, as high as the interior of the holy place; which consequently must have been dark. Over the holy place was an attic, and probably a double-attic over the holy of holies.

IV. The Temple Furniture: In the Hebrew of I Sam. xvi, 1 the expression commonly rendered "shewbread" is "bread of the face," i.e., that placed before Yahweh; it was called also "hallowed bread," and later other names. Table of the food offerings in other systems. Shewbread, of religion, and the offering itself goes back to the times when the gods were thought to need sustenance, and it might in early times be eaten only by persons ritually clean. At Rib this bread was probably placed on a table, and such a table is to be assumed for the Moses Tabernacle. But historically such a piece of furniture is provable first for the Solomon Temple (I Kings vi, 20), where it was an altar of cedar overlaid with gold (I Kings vii, 48); according to the Chronicle (I, xxviii, 16; II, iv, 8, 19) there were ten such tables in Solomon's Temple; Ewald (alt. 22) makes the (one) table two cubits square and three high. Like other altars, it probably had horns or projecting corners. Comparisons of the Solomonite articles with that described in Ex. xxv, 23 sqq. shows a difference of construction, of size, and of proportions. It was probably destroyed when Nebuchadnezzar took Jerusalem, as it is not

tised with the body. The second Temple also had a table (I Mac. 1: 22) which was among the only articles that retained the signifying of Antiochus Epiphanes, and was replaced later (I Mac. 1: 49). For the Herodian table the directions of I Mac. xxi, were probably followed (see TANNENBAUM, THE MOSAIC), though the representation on the Arch of Titus suggests some departure in detail. The description by Josephus (Ant. III, vi, 6) of the table in the Tabernacle corresponds with the Herodian description, except that the place feet on it; Josephus places the rings differently from that on the Titus Arch and from that in Ewald's.

As Shiloh in the night light was furnished by a lamp with enough oil to last till morning (I Sam. iii, 3). This implies that the sanctuary must have been adequately lighted by windows, windows, and artificial illumination was necessary. Accordingly, mention is made of ten golden candelsticks, placed on both sides of the entrance (I Kings vi, 21). Though the passage is a later addition, it is not justifiable to reject these candelsticks as unhistorical; they were, however, probably of bronze. Josephus (Ant. III, vii, 7) makes the sevenfold candelstick consist of seventy pieces, and sees in them seventy symbols through which the seven planets pass. The symbolism may be old and true, but applies more appropriately to seventy lights than to seventy evenly distinguishable parts of a single candelstick. It corresponds, moreover, to the ten candelsticks of seven lights each placed by Solomon in his Temple. The Chronicle (I, xxviii, 15; II, iv, 7, xiii, 11) varies between one and ten in his account. It follows from the foregoing that the candelsticks were intended for use in the daytime. The reference in II Chron. xlii, 11 is to the time of lighting, not to its duration.

The Temple of Serapheus contained only one candelstick, and that was comparatively large. It was carried off by Antiochus Epiphanes, restored by Judas Maccabeus, and by Herod placed in his Temple. It was also carried off by Titus, and is figured in the Arch. Vanopland placed it in the Temple of Peace, and it can be traced till 531 a.d., when it was taken from Carthage to Constantinople. Subsequently it was taken to Jerusalem and destroyed at a plundering of the city.

In the Temple of Solomon stood a circular basin ("as 7") of bronze, ten cubits in diameter, five in height, and a handbreadth in thickness; its brim was slightly curved, like the petals of a lily. Under the edge were two rows of bronze cucumbers as ornamentation. It stood on twelve cases in groups of three, each of which groups faced toward a cardinal point. It was decorated with a golden structure out of copper taken as booty

articles. (I Chron. xviii, 6). It is said to have served the priests in ceremonial cleansing, but was well adapted for such a purpose. The expression "as" results that Babylonian, Egyptian, Syrian, and Phoenician temples were also provided with "asas," symbols of the deity symbolizing the sun-disk. King Ahas made use of the basin to pay tribute to the king of Assyria. The basin was

finally carried to Babylon by Nebuchadnezzar. In the Temple of Zoroastrianism no "as" seems to have existed, though there were basins for ceremonial washing. In the Temple of Herod stood a circular basin with brass pedestal, for the priests for the washing of hands and feet before officiating.

In Solomon's Temple were ten pedestal pieces, the "basas" (I Kings vii, 27) not otherwise mentioned. Vases found in Cyprus seem to aid the description, and to show that on four wheels on a frame, on which figures of animals and cherubim were applied. Upon this frame was a cylinder, into which a kettle was fitted. As these articles were movable, they were possibly for washing the sacrificial animals. (R. KERRAN.)

7. Other Hebrew Temples. A new chapter in the history of Hebrew worship and temple has been opened by the investigations in Egypt. For the Chnse temple see LACROIX, etc. It is now known that a temple for worship and sacrifice existed at Elephantine, Egypt. The Arabian papiri discovered there (see SEARCE, LANGENAUER AND LITZBERGER; of E. BUDDE, *Abhandlungen der Gesellschaft der Wissenschaften der Universität Göttingen*, 1907, pp. 405-411; A. H. NEAVE, *Ancient Egypt*, Diameser at Assuan, London, 1906) show that in the 6th century a Jewish community possessed an imposing temple, but through the machinations of Egyptian priests the temple had nearly been destroyed and its treasures and vessels appropriated. Two of the documents are an appeal for the reconstruction of this temple, while the third implies that the request was granted. The rest of the documents is made in connection with the critical opinions concerning Is. 56, 18 in that in view of the known numerous settlements of Hebrews in Egypt, the discovery of other temples there would now hardly be a surprise. It is interesting to note that partly as a result of the discovery and verification of the existence in Egypt of these Jewish temples, Ex. xx, 25 is interpreted as referring to an inquiry by the "eldest of Israel" (verse 1) respecting the erection of a temple to Yahweh in Babylon. The answer, on this interpretation, was an emphatic negative (verses 20-41). (GEO. W. GILSON.)

Background: On the discovery of the site of the Temple amidst the abundant literature under JERUSALEM, and the *Temples des Muses*, Göttingen, 1860; F. ADLER, *Die Tempel der Juden*, Leipzig, 1870; also the *Temples of Jerusalem*, published, Stuttgart, 1872; also, *Die Synagoge der Tempel in Jerusalem*, and *Tempel der Juden*, Berlin, 1880; A. MOMMSEN, *Tempelbau der Juden*, 2 parts, Leipzig, 1880-81; A. KILIAN, *Antiquitäten von Jerusalem*, Leipzig, 1881.

On the different temples in Jerusalem consult: F. F. BAKER, *Die Tempel der Juden*, Leipzig, 1870; F. F. BAKER, *Die Tempel der Juden*, Leipzig, 1870; O. WILHELM, *Die Tempel der Juden*, Leipzig, 1881; J. T. BARNETT, *The Temple of the Jews*, 1875; T. H. FERGUSON, *The Temple of the Jews*, 1875; T. H.

blood of Christ are present in the Eucharistic elements, and (3) affirms that justification (remission of sin and reconciliation to God) is by the merits of Christ, though good works are still necessary. The second five deal with ceremony, define images as means of remembrance and not objects of worship, teach that saints are patterns of living and objects of prayer, and hence may be invoked as intercessors, declare ceremonies to be mystically significant, and sanction prayers for the dead. The articles are embodied in *Faithful of a Christian Man* (commonly called "The Bishop's Book," London, 1537).

REVISION: J. H. Overton, *The Church in England*, I, 375, London, 1897; W. Clark, *The Anglican Reformation*, pp. 103-104, New York, 1897.

TER COMMENDAMENTI. See DISCALCATOR.

TESSERAE: The name given the matins and lauds usually sung on Wednesday, Thursday, and Friday of Holy Week. The Gloria Fidei is omitted, as are the hymns, the antiphons of the Blessed Virgin, etc., in conformity with the sacerdotal aspect of the season. When the office is begun, fifteen lighted candles are placed on a triangular candlestick, and one is extinguished at the end of each Psalm, only one being left lighted. The candles on the high altar are extinguished during the singing of the *Sanctus*; and at the antiphon after the *Sanctus* the one lighted candle is hidden at the epistle end of the altar until the completion of the office, when a peculiar vesper chapter is recited.

The gradual extinction of the candles in the office typifies the growing darkness of earth after Christ, "the Light of the World," was taken away, though the hiding and subsequent bringing out of the one lighted candle shows that the divine light could not really be extinguished. The death of the chapter represents the confusion which followed the death of the cross.

TERSON, THOMAS: Archbishop of Canterbury; b. at Cottenham (6 m. n. of Cambridge), England, Sept. 29, 1636; d. at Lambeth (3 m. of Charing Cross, London) Dec. 14, 1715. He studied at Corpus Christi College, Cambridge (A.B., 1657; M.A., 1660; fellow, 1662; incorporated at Oxford, 1669; B.D., 1667; D.D., 1680). In 1662 he became tutor and in 1665 was appointed university reader at Cambridge. He was ordained about 1669; became vicar of St. Andrew the Great, Cambridge, 1665; preacher at St. Peter Mancroft, Norwich, rector of Holywell and Needingworth, Huntingdonshire, 1667; upper minister of St. Peter Mancroft, 1671; was rector of St. Martin-in-the-Fields, London, 1680-91; minister of St. James, Piccadilly, 1688-92; became archdeacon of London, 1689; bishop of Lincoln, 1692; and archbishop of Canterbury, 1695. He showed great administrative power, for which he was more remarkable than for political eloquence. He was an active Churchman, and busy in matters connected with the Revolution of 1688. In favor with William III., he held various political posts during that reign, but his favor ended with the accession of Queen Anne when he crowned, 1702. It was as president of the upper house of convocation that he had the most arduous duties to discharge. The lower house was chiefly composed

of High-churchmen, unfriendly to the Revolution (which Tereson cordially approved), and advocating the independence of the ecclesiastical establishment in a way which he condemned. Among church reformers, he manifested a dogmatism of purpose and an inviolability of calm resistance, which was for him the name of the "rock-like" Tereson. He erected the first public library in London; it was for his parish in Castle Street, Leicester Square. An archbishop he gave great support to the religious societies, and in particular to the Society for the Propagation of the Gospel, of which he was the continued benefactor and to a large degree the founder. His publications were serious and controversial tracts.

REVISION: *Memories of the Life and Times of . . . T. Tereson, an Archbishop of Canterbury*, London, 1716; C. J. Asher, *The English Church and its Doctors*, 1716-16; J. Hall, *The History of the Church in England*, vol. II, London, 1807; W. H. Holden, *The English Church . . . 1688-1715*, St. Louis, 1910, pp. 67-68.

TENNENT: A family of ministers illustrious in the history of the American Presbyterian Church. 1. William: Presbyterian and educator; b. in Ireland 1675; d. at Newhampton, Bucks County, Pa., May 6, 1746. Being graduated probably from Trinity College, Dublin, in 1704, he entered the ministry of the Episcopal Church of Ireland, but came to America, and entered the Presbyterian ministry of Philadelphia, 1718. In 1720 he settled at Bedford, Westchester County, N. Y., and in 1726 became pastor at Newburgh, Pa., although he was never formally installed. Impressed by the lack of educational facilities for the young men growing up around him, he erected, in 1728, a log-house, the famous "Log College," wherein he taught three of his four sons and a number of other youth, several of whom afterward rose to prominence in the church. Log College was the first of the literary and theological institutions of the Presbyterian Church in America. Tennent withdrew from active labor about 1742. His publications were mostly sermons. Knowledge of his life and culture is in good part derived from Whitefield's journal, which shows his apostolic character.

2. Gilbert: Presbyterian, eldest son of the preceding; b. in County Antrim, Ireland, Feb. 5, 1703; d. in Philadelphia July 23, 1764. He came to America with his father, 1718; was ordained by him; after a year's study of medicine he turned to theology and was licensed by the presbytery of Philadelphia, 1725; he acted as tutor in Log College for a year; preached for some time in New Castle, Del., and was ordained and installed pastor in New Brunswick, N. J., 1729. Like his father, he was an ardent admirer of Whitefield, and at Whitefield's solicitation, he accompanied him to Boston on a preaching-tour. By his fiery zeal, deep personal earnestness, spirituality, no less than by his logic and his argumentative ability, he produced everywhere a profound impression; his popularity was second only to Whitefield's. But he was lacking in tenderness and consideration for those who differed from him. At that time many Presbyterian ministers were conscientiously opposed to the methods adopted by the revival preachers. Tennent had no appreciation of such scruples, but set their faces

to a lack of vital religion. Moreover, Log College was openly criticized by the spread of Philadelphia, because of the type of jury there fostered, and its educational defects. Tennent naturally resented these attacks, and under what he deemed sufficient provocation, preached in 1746 his famous "New England sermon," in which he dealt vigorously with his opponents. Largely as a result of this sermon and of Tennent's impetuous course came the division of the Presbyterian Church. Although he then contributed so largely to the disruption, he was an active laborer in effecting the reconciliation of 1758. In 1748 Tennent was called to the Second Presbyterian Church of Philadelphia, then just formed, made up of the admirers of Whitefield and the friends of the revival. But, although he remained their pastor till his death, he did not repeat in his second charge the triumphs of his first; he was faithful and highly useful, but his preaching was quiet, and his delivery much less impassioned. In 1750 he raised in Great Britain some \$1,000 for the College of New Jersey. Besides a memoir of his brother John (Boston, 1753), he published a volume of sermons (Philadelphia, 1743), and occasional sermons and pamphlets.

3. William: Presbyterian, and brother of Gilbert; b. in County Antrim, Ireland, Jan. 2, 1740; d. near Freehold, N. J., Mar. 8, 1777. He came to America with his father, who gave him a preparatory course; he then studied theology under his brother Gilbert in New Brunswick; was licensed by the presbytery of New Brunswick; ordained pastor of the church near Freehold, 1763, and held the position throughout his life. He was the subject of a notice which has given him great celebrity. While preparing for his examination for licensure, he fell sick, and had a trance which lasted three days, during which time he was, as he believed and declared, in heaven, and heard "unutterable things." His friends thought he was dead, and were upon the point of burying him, notwithstanding the protestations of his physician, when he revived. He regained his health in a year, but had lost all his knowledge of reading and writing, much more, all his previous learning. After a time, however, his knowledge began rapidly to return. "For three years," he said, "the sense of divine things continued so great, and everything else appeared so completely vain, when compared to heaven, that, could I have had the world for sleeping days for it, I believe I should not have thought of doing it." Tennent was a remarkable character, full of reserve, and indistinguishable in Christian labors.

4. John: Presbyterian, and third son of William; the first; b. in County Antrim, Ireland, Nov. 12, 1706; d. near Freehold, N. J., Apr. 23, 1772. He came to America with his father, and received both classical and theological training at the Log College; in 1729 he was licensed to preach; and was pastor near Freehold, N. J., 1730-52. He was very earnest and successful.

5. Charles: Presbyterian, and fourth son of William; the first; b. at Columbia, County Down, Ireland, May 1, 1711; d. at Buckingham, Md., 1771. He came to America with his father; was educated

at Log College; licensed to preach, 1730; was pastor at Whiteley Creek, Del., and later at Buckingham, Md.

6. William, the third: Presbyterian, and son of William; the second; b. near Freehold, N. J., 1740; d. at Charleston (7), S. C., Aug. 11, 1777. He was graduated from the College of New Jersey, 1758; was licensed to preach, 1762; ordained, 1763; junior pastor of the church in Norwalk, Conn., 1765-72; pastor of an Independent Church in Charleston, S. C., 1772-77. He was an eloquent preacher and of clear judgment.

REVISION: The list of writings by the Tennents will be found accurately described in C. F. Brown, *American Bibliography*, vol. II, pt. 1, Chicago, 1904-10. Notices of all but Charles will be found in W. B. Swaine, *Annals of the American People*, pp. 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27, 28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 37, 38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 46, 47, 48, 49, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 61, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 75, 76, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 86, 87, 88, 89, 90, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 102, 103, 104, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 112, 113, 114, 115, 116, 117, 118, 119, 120, 121, 122, 123, 124, 125, 126, 127, 128, 129, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, 135, 136, 137, 138, 139, 140, 141, 142, 143, 144, 145, 146, 147, 148, 149, 150, 151, 152, 153, 154, 155, 156, 157, 158, 159, 160, 161, 162, 163, 164, 165, 166, 167, 168, 169, 170, 171, 172, 173, 174, 175, 176, 177, 178, 179, 180, 181, 182, 183, 184, 185, 186, 187, 188, 189, 190, 191, 192, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 198, 199, 200, 201, 202, 203, 204, 205, 206, 207, 208, 209, 210, 211, 212, 213, 214, 215, 216, 217, 218, 219, 220, 221, 222, 223, 224, 225, 226, 227, 228, 229, 230, 231, 232, 233, 234, 235, 236, 237, 238, 239, 240, 241, 242, 243, 244, 245, 246, 247, 248, 249, 250, 251, 252, 253, 254, 255, 256, 257, 258, 259, 260, 261, 262, 263, 264, 265, 266, 267, 268, 269, 270, 271, 272, 273, 274, 275, 276, 277, 278, 279, 280, 281, 282, 283, 284, 285, 286, 287, 288, 289, 290, 291, 292, 293, 294, 295, 296, 297, 298, 299, 300.

REVISION: See TABERNACLA, HEBREW.

TERPELLIN (PHYLACTERIES): Bones containing inscriptions in Hebrew worn by Jews for ceremonial purposes. The bones are constructed from the skin of a clean animal and sewed upon a strong leather foundation; they contain definitely prescribed messages from the Pentateuch. They are worn during prayers during the week, being fastened to the forehead and the left arm by means of straps. The rabbinical command to wear phylacteries rests upon a literal construction of Deut. vi, 6-8 [cf. xl, 18; Ex. xiii, 9, 16; Matt. xxiii, 1, 2, 3]. A metaphorical sense has been seen in the passage by some Jews and by Christians, but the passage leaves a literal reading. The terpellin for the hand differ from those for the arm. The former consist of four compartments, each of which contains a passage from the Bible (Ex. xiii, 1-10, 11-16; Deut. vi, 4-9, and xl, 18-21) written on a strip of parchment, which is rolled up and tied with a hair. On two sides on the outside of the phylactery is placed the letter Shin, one with four and the other with three prongs. The arm phylacteries have but one roll in which the same Biblical sections are contained on one roll of parchment. The terpellin for the hand during prayer are firmly placed on the forehead below the hair, between the eyebrows. The knot of the loop that passes across the hand must lodge on the neck behind, and the straps must be long enough to fall over the shoulders and hang down in front below the breast. The hand-terpellin are so fastened that the box is turned inward toward the heart, the end of the forefinger. The straps are wound seven times around the arm and then three times around the middle and ring finger. The single compartment of the hand-terpellin symbolizes the unity of God; the four compartments of those for

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA
Teraphim

the head, his sway over the four corners of the earth. At the putting on of the tephilin for the head, the benediction, "Blessed art thou, Yahweh, our God, king of the universe, who hast bestowed us by thy commandments and hast commanded us to wear the tephilin," is pronounced. When assuming the hand-tephilin the benediction is only slightly deferred. There are minute injunctions as to who may wear them, where and when they may be worn, etc. They are first put on by boys at confirmation at the age of thirteen, but are not worn on the Sabbath or on holidays.

The Talmud lays great stress on the tephilin ceremonial, and carries its prescriptions into the minutest details, which are assumed to be Mosaic. The practice of the ceremony was looked upon as a kind of altar service. Whoever puts on the tephilin and reads the Shema (Deut. vi. 4-9) may be considered as one who has built an altar and laid a sacrifice upon it (Rosh ha-shanah 3; Sha). God himself is said to wear them. He himself revealed them to Moses and taught him how to place the knot behind the head. The tephilin were supposed to guard their wearer from witchcraft and sin, and were worn as amulets. Some teachers went beyond the Biblical injunction and wore them all day. Nevertheless, there were persons and sects who discarded or made light of the articles, especially in later centuries.

Minute directions are given for the preparation of these articles. They must be made by Jews. The words on the parchment may be written from memory, but no letter may run into another or stand out more than another. No erasures or corrections may be made. The name of God must be written by the scribe with reverence and full appreciation of its significance. (Antonie Winer.)

BRUNSWICK: M. Margolin, *Fundamentals Principles of Jewish Law*, p. 10; J. Friedl, *Das Alte Testament der Hebräer*, pp. 441-4; L. Zuck, *Genese des Alterthums*, pp. 121-2; Smith, 1876; G. Klein, in *FZT*, 1881, pp. 245-252; H. A. Hoffmann, *Deutsches und Jüdisches*, pp. 146-150; *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, pp. 381-384; London, 1905; *Die Arabische in den westlichen Sprachen*, Göttingen, 1901; H. Grönbom, *Genese des Alterthums*, pp. 120-2; Berlin, 1901; *Schöber, Geschichte*, s. 484 seq.; 1871; *DR*, II, 189-194; *EB*, II, 1186-121; *JR*, x, 21-24; *Yamada, Encyclopaedia Judaica*, pp. 381-2; and the commentaries on the Septuagint passage cited.

TERAPHIM. The name of an image or object apparently used specifically for divination. The term occurs in nine passages in the Old Testament, though plural in form, the usage in I Sam. xix. 13-16 shows that it was, at any rate, at times singular in meaning, just as was (for the most part) *Elohim*, "God," though the use of the plural "gods" by Laban when speaking of the tephilin suggests a real plural. Some explain the use of the word as a plural of majesty; others, however, regard it in the same way as they do *Elohim*, the linguistic evidence being that the object concerned was one time plural. In Gen. xxxi. 19, 33, 35 (2) the use was evidently not great, since it could be hidden in the owner's litter, and the context (verse 30) suggests that it was an

image or idol ("my gods" as above). I Sam. xix. 13-16, on the other hand, gives the impression that it might be as large as a man, and both passages seem to involve use in the household, not in a temple. From Judges xvii. 4-5, xviii. 17, 18, 20, 26, it evidently differed from both a "graven" and a "molten" image, and does not appear to have been an object of worship, since Judges xviii. reports again and again that the Danites set up (for worship) the graven image which Micah had made, but of the tephilin it alleges only that they took it from Micah and carried it with them. The use of the object as a means of divination is settled by Exek. xxi. 21, where it is described as employed by the king of Babylon among other means for determining the future; and by Zech. x. 2, where the parallelism is: "teraphim have spoken vanity, diviners have seen lies."

In I Sam. xv. 23 (R. V.; the A. V. obscures the original), one of the later (Deuteronomistic) portions of the book, the tephilin is implicitly condemned; according to II Kings xxii. 24 it was among the things which were abolished in the reformation of Josiah. It is not impossible that in Gen. xxxv. 2-4 (by E, the writer of Gen. xxxi. 19 seq.) the tephilin are included in the "strange gods" which were to be put away (see Deuter. 10). On the other hand, in Hosea ii. 4, it is among the things which may be chased as official deprivation of which was to be a part of the punishment of unfaithful Israel, viz., king, priest, sacrifice, pillar, and ephod, and it was therefore by that prophet not regarded as inconsistent with the worship of Yahweh. Consequently, the total effect of all the Old Testament passages is to indicate that the use of the tephilin was variable, that it might be kept in a house or temple or shrine; that it is to be distinguished both from a molten and a graven image, but that its form is not known,* that it was probably an importation from abroad (Gen. xxxv.), both Laban and the king of Babylon making use of it; that its employment came under condemnation at least as early as 611 B.C., possibly considerably earlier, if Gen. xxxv. 2 intends to include it among the "strange gods," though in the time of David and probably of Hezekiah its use was regarded as legitimate; and, finally, it does not appear, except from the mention by Hosea, to have belonged to the public official cult, but rather to have been employed in private or household practice in divining. To be noted is the fact that there is no statement outside of the Genesis passages or even necessary implication that the tephilin were an object of worship, although the contrary hypothesis has been tried in excess.

By the best authorities the derivation of the word is still regarded as doubtful (Droves-Drives-Drives, *Hebrew and English Lexicon*, s. v.); many connect the word with *teraphim*, "shades" (cf. Lat. *ter*, "emptorily" in the former [Katholikon], vi. 298, 1657-78). From him Philip Labab Spier adopted both the idea and the term, stating repeatedly that "although the purpose of grace commonly lasts with amens till the end of this life, nevertheless it can be virtually cut off in the course of life, by the judgment of oblation" (*Das Gesetz der Teraphim*, pp. 24 seq., Frankfurt, 1701).

* It does not follow from I Sam. xix. etc. that the form was more than approximate to the object, or in that case must have corresponded to that of a man.

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG
Teraphim

will its form, etc., so not to think of it as requiring explanation. They usually translate the word, however, as a singular, as a plural. In I Sam. xix. 13, 16, they render by *gods*, the only possible meaning of which in the passage is image, the usual sense, "empty tokens" or "idols" having no adequate sense. Symmachus renders by *idolons* or *idols*. The Vulgate is very varied in its rendering, sometimes simply translating, sometimes translating by *idola*, *idolatria*, *idola*, *idolatriam*, *idola*, *idolatriam*. The Syriac sometimes renders by the word equivalent to the Hebrew *teraphim*, "image" (Gen. i. 20), also by other words which have a connection with soothsaying. Rabbinic tradition varies between some undefined medium used in divination, the name of a human hand, or a monumental hand such as reported in its use by Hasmonians for other purposes (cf. D. Chavinson, *Die Schaber*, II, 19 seq.; 150 seq.; St. Petersburg, 1856). This would lead naturally to the conclusion that it was employed as an amuletic or talismanic object, which has indeed been a common method of explanation, comparison being made with the Roman *Lares* or penates (cf. F. Schwalbe, *Das Leben nach dem Tode*, pp. 35 seq.; Gießen, 1892). H. Schultz, in his *Old Testament Theology*, p. 119, calls the tephilin "household gods."

BRUNSWICK: J. Friedl, *Das Alte Testament der Hebräer*, pp. 441-4; L. Zuck, *Genese des Alterthums*, pp. 121-2; Smith, 1876; G. Klein, in *FZT*, 1881, pp. 245-252; H. A. Hoffmann, *Deutsches und Jüdisches*, pp. 146-150; *Encyclopaedia Judaica*, pp. 381-384; London, 1905; *Die Arabische in den westlichen Sprachen*, Göttingen, 1901; H. Grönbom, *Genese des Alterthums*, pp. 120-2; Berlin, 1901; *Schöber, Geschichte*, s. 484 seq.; 1871; *DR*, II, 189-194; *EB*, II, 1186-121; *JR*, x, 21-24; *Yamada, Encyclopaedia Judaica*, pp. 381-2; and the commentaries on the Septuagint passage cited.

TERAPHIM. The name of an image or object apparently used specifically for divination. The term occurs in nine passages in the Old Testament, though plural in form, the usage in I Sam. xix. 13-16 shows that it was, at any rate, at times singular in meaning, just as was (for the most part) *Elohim*, "God," though the use of the plural "gods" by Laban when speaking of the tephilin suggests a real plural. Some explain the use of the word as a plural of majesty; others, however, regard it in the same way as they do *Elohim*, the linguistic evidence being that the object concerned was one time plural. In Gen. xxxi. 19, 33, 35 (2) the use was evidently not great, since it could be hidden in the owner's litter, and the context (verse 30) suggests that it was an

by the Pietist movement, it was nevertheless proved by its use in full significance. Already J. C. Danhauser (q.v.), on the basis of Heb. ii. 7, had distinguished between the "times of vengeance" and of "visitation" (*Gröndafiska Christiana*, p. 876, 1649), and mentions a "time of grace" or "emptorily" in the former (*Katholikon*, vi. 298, 1657-78). From him Philip Labab Spier adopted both the idea and the term, stating repeatedly that "although the purpose of grace commonly lasts with amens till the end of this life, nevertheless it can be virtually cut off in the course of life, by the judgment of oblation" (*Das Gesetz der Teraphim*, pp. 24 seq., Frankfurt, 1701). Teraphim attained to greater importance first through the tract of a Some deacon, J. G. Blose (p. at Oelshausen, II, n. s. a. s. of Leipzig, about 1662; d. at Sorau, 95 m. s. e. of Berlin, Feb. 1700). He studied at Leipzig, notably under J. R. Carpov, and came to Sorau in 1698. Here he soon experienced an inner conversion, which caused him to give much more serious heed to his official duties, particularly the confessional. Above all he took offense at the frivolity of "death-bed conversions." A penitential sermon on Rom. ii. 4-5, as well as his total behavior, occasioned manifold conflicts with members of the congregation, and chiefly with his clerical brethren both within and without the town. The situation grew still more acute upon the publication of the tract, *Teraphim, peremptoria solida assurance* (1698; 2d ed., Frankfurt, 1701). An opinion from Rostock, in 1699, was unfavorable to Blose; but a second Leipzig review, by the time the Pietistic members had gained the control of the faculty, supported him. In Jan., 1700, he was suspended and died the following month. The second Leipzig review was opposed at Wittenberg. Two of its established professors became bitterest enemies and chief antagonists in the dispute. The one was Adam Buchner (1642-1711), successor of Spener; the other was Thomas Hitz (1643-1710), the local superintendent. Of outside faculties Wittenberg (J. G. Neumann, Johann Dutschmann), and Rostock (Johannes Voigt, A. J. Krakowitz) interposed on the anti-terministic side. In a short time, the number of controversial tracts exceeded that of any previous ecclesiastical dispute, theologians from all quarters of Germany taking a part. After 1702, Buchner and Hitz withdrew more or less from the controversy, but this continued until 1704, and was renewed occasionally thereafter.

As with Spener in Pietism so with Blose, the motive of the terminism was thoroughly practical; he desired to have an effective weapon for chastising the security of wanton sinners. He was led, however, to a position beyond this which gave grave cause for doubt. On one occasion he affirmed that for every human being, and not merely for hardened sinners, only one defined season of grace was set for conversion within this present life; and then he appeared to base the same wholly upon the free will of God, without regard to human conduct. Yet in point of fact, Blose applied his theory of the denial of the term of grace only to those who hardened themselves; nor would it seem that he ever became clear whether the ultimate cause for oblation and

or in close associations lived according to "the third rule" of certain orders. The institution first arose among the Monks (see FRANCIS, SAINT, OF ASSISI, AND THE FRANCISCAN ORDER), then was limited in the preaching order, and later, under various names, arose also in other orders, such as the Augustinian, Servite, and Trappist (qq.v.). (C. ZUCKERMAN.)

REMARKS: Omit the list of works under the article in this work on the origin stated in the text, also J. G. Ashley and C. L. Moore, "Third Order," *A Treatise on the Order of the Trappists*, London, 1902, at *Annals of the Order*.

TERTULLIAN, 160-190. QUINTUS SEPTIMIUS FLORENTINUS.

- I. Life.
II. Writings.
III. Theology.
IV. Moral Principles.

I. Life. Quintus Septimius Florens Tertullian, the first great writer of Latin Christianity and one of the greatest and most original characters of the ancient Church, was born at Carthage about 150 or 160, and died there between 200 and 210. Of his life very little is known, and that little is based upon passing references in his own writings, and upon Eusebius, Hist. eccl., II, ii, 4 (Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., i, 106), and the notes of A. C. McMillan, and Jerome, De vir. ill. (Eng. transl. in NPNF, 2 ser., iii, 375). His father held a position (contorno procurator, "side-deputy") in the Roman army in Africa, and Tertullian's Punic blood palpably pulsates in his style, with its fierce and provincialisms, his glowing imagery, its passionate temper. He was a scholar, having received an excellent education. His writing at least three books in Greek, to which he himself refers; but none of these are extant. His principal study was jurisprudence, and his method of reasoning reveals striking marks of his juridical training. He chose among the advocates of Rome, as Eusebius reports. His conversion to Christianity took place about 197-198 (so Harnack, Hovetveth, and others), but the immediate antecedents are unknown except as they are conjectured from his writings. The event must have been sudden and decisive, transforming at once his own personality; he himself said that he could not imagine a truly Christian life without such a conscious breach, he himself said of conversion: "Christians are made, not born" (Apol., xvii; ANF, iii, 33). In the church of Carthage he was ordained a presbyter, though he was married—a fact which is well established by his two books to his wife. In middle life (about 207) he broke with the Catholic Church and became the leader and the passionate and brilliant exponent of Montanism (see MONTANISM, MONTANISTS), that is, he became a schismatic. The statement of Augustine (Hercules) that before his death Tertullian returned to the bosom of the Catholic Church is very impressive. His party, the Tertullianists, still had in the times of Augustine a basilica in Carthage, but in that same period passed into the orthodox Church. XI.—20

Jerome says that Tertullian lived to a great age. In spite of his schism, Tertullian continued to fight heresy, especially Gnosticism, and by the doctrinal works thus produced he became the teacher of Cyprian, the predecessor of Augustine, and the chief founder of Latin theology.

II. Writings: These number thirty-seven, and several Latin treatises are lost (cf. ANF, ii, 12-13) as well as those written in Greek. Tertullian's writings cover the whole theological field. 1. General of the time—apologetic against pagans—Character, law and justice, polemic, polity, discipline, and morals, or the whole re-organization of human life on a Christian basis; they give a picture of the religious life and thought of the time which is of the greatest interest to the church historian. Their general temper is austere, their purpose practical; they are full of life and freshness. In his endeavors to make the Latin language a vehicle for his somewhat tumultuous ideas, the author now and then becomes strained and obscure; but, as a rule, he is quick, precise, and pointed. He is always powerful and intrepid, commanding, not begging, the attention of the reader; with reference to earlier literature and customs he is a master of wit and sarcasm and is always original. He has been likened to a rock mountain torrent, tumultuous, and making its own path.

The chronology of these writings is in part determined by the Montanistic views that are set forth in some of them, by the author's own allusions to this writing or that as antecedents to this writing (cf. Harnack, Literatur, 2 ser., ii, 200-202), and by definite historical contents. Data (e.g. the reference to the death of Septimius Severus, Ad Scapulae, iv). In his work against Marcion, which he calls his third composition on the Marcionite heresy, he gives its date as the fifteenth year of Severus' reign (Adv. Marcionem, i, 135). The writings may be divided with reference to the two periods of Tertullian's Christian activity, the Catholic and the Montanist (cf. Harnack, ut sup., ii, 202 seq.), or according to their subject-matter. The object of the former mode of division is to show, if possible, the change of view Tertullian's mind underwent. Following the latter mode, which is of a more practical interest, the writings fall into two groups: (1) apologetic and polemic, e.g., Apologetic, De iustitia anime, Adv. Judaeos, Adv. Marcionem, Adv. Praxasem, Adv. Firmianum, De praescriptioe hereticorum, Scorpius, to counteract the rising of Gnosticism, etc.; (2) practical and disciplinary, e.g., De monogamia, De virginitate, De cultu, De ieiuniis, De pallio, De pudicitia, De evasione, Ad mortuos, etc. Among the apologetic writings the Ad apostolicae address to the Roman magistrates is the most pungent defense of Christianity and the Christian ever written against the reproaches of the pagans, and one of the most magnificent legacies of the ancient Church, full of enthusiasm, courage, and vigor. It first clearly proclaims the principle of religious liberty as an inalienable right of man, and demands a fair trial for the Christians before they are condemned to death. Tertullian was the first to break the force of such

charges as that the Christians sacrificed infants at the celebration of the Lord's Supper and committed incest; he pointed to the commission of such crimes in the pagan world, and thus proved by the testimony of Pity that Christians proved themselves not to commit murder, adultery, or other crimes; he adduced also the inhumanity of pagan customs, such as flogging the flesh of gladiators to beasts. The gods have no existence, and thus there is no pagan religion against which Christians may offend. Christians do not engage in the foolish worship of the emperor; they do better, they pray for them. Christians can afford to be put to torture and to death, and the more they are used down the more they grow; "the blood of Christians is seed" (chap. 1). In the De praescriptioe he develops as his fundamental idea that, in a dispute between the Church and a separating party, the whole burden of proof lies with the latter, as the Church, in possession of the unbroken tradition, is by its very existence a guaranty of its truth. The five books against Marcion, written 207 or 208, are the most comprehensive and elaborate of his polemical works, invaluable for the understanding of Gnosticism. Of the moral and ascetic treatises, the De pudicitia and De spectaculis are among the most interesting, and the De pudicitia and De virginibus resemble among the most characteristic.

III. Theology. Though thoroughly conversant with the Greek theology, Tertullian was independent of its metaphysical speculation. 1. General. He had learned from the Greek apologetic character, and forms a direct contrast to Origen. Origen pushed his idealism in the direction of Gnostic spiritualism. Tertullian, the prince of realists and practical theologians, carried his realism to the verge of materialism. This is evident from his ascription to God of corporeity and his acceptance of the traducian theory of the origin of the soul. He despised Greek philosophy, and, far from looking at Plato, Aristotle, and other Greek thinkers whom he quotes as forefathers of Christ and the Gospel, he pronounced them the patriarchal foundation of the heresies (De animis, iii). He held up to scorn their incoherence when he referred to the fact that someone is dying covered a cloak to be sacrificed to Esculapius (De anima, i). Tertullian always wrote under stress of a felt necessity. He was never so happy as when he had opponents like Marcion and Praxasem, and, however abstract the issues may be which he treated, he was always moved by practical considerations to make his case clear and irresistible. It was partly this element which gave to his writings a formative influence upon the theology of the post-Nicene period in the West and has rendered them fresh reading to this day. He was a born disputant, moved by the indolent impulse known in the Church. It is true that during the three centuries no mention is made of his name by other authors. Lactantius at the opening of the fourth century is the first to do this, but Augustine treats him openly with respect. Cyprian, Tertullian's North African compatriot, though he nowhere mentions his name, was well read in his writings, as Cyprian's secretary told Jerome.

Tertullian's main doctrinal teachings are as follows: (1) The soul was not pre-existent, as Plato affirmed, nor subjected to metempsychosis. 2. Specific ideas, as the Pythagorean held. In Teachings each individual is a new product, proceeding equally with the body from the parents, and not created later and associated with the body (De anima, xxvii). It is, however, a distinct entity and a certain corporeity and as such it may be tormented in Hades (De anima, lxxii). (2) The soul's sinfulness is easily explained by its traducian origin (De anima, xxxix.). It is its bondage to Satan (whom works it recognizes in baptism), but has seeds of good (De anima, cxi.). and when awakened, it passes to health and at once calls upon God (Apol., xvii) and is naturally Christian. It exists in all men alike; it is a culprit and yet an unconscious witness by its impulse to worship, its fear of demons, and its mourning on death to the power, benignity, and judgment of God as revealed in the Christian's Scriptures (De anima, v-vi.). (3) God, who made the world out of nothing through his Son, the Word, has corporeity though he is a spirit (De praescriptioe, vi.; Adv. Praxasem, vii.). In the statement of the Trinity, Tertullian was a forerunner of the Nicene doctrine, approaching the subject from the standpoint of the Logos doctrine, though he did not fully state the immanent Trinity. In his treatise against Praxasem, who taught patripassianism in Rome, he used the words, "Trinity and economy, person and substance." The Son is distinct from the Father, and the Spirit from both the Father and the Son (Adv. Praxasem, xxv.). "These three are one substance, not one person; and it is said, 'I and my Father are one' in respect not of the singularity of number but the unity of the substance." The very names "Father" and "Son" indicate the distinction of personality. The Father is one, the Son is one, and the Spirit is one (Adv. Praxasem, ix.). The question whether the Son was coeternal with the Father Tertullian does not set forth in full clearness; and though he did not fully state the doctrine of the immanence of the Trinity, he went a long distance in the way of approach to it (B. B. Warfield, in Princeton Theological Review, 1900, pp. 56, 109). (4) In soteriology Tertullian does not dignify, he prefers to keep silence at the mystery of the cross (De pudicitia, iii.). The sufferings of Christ's life as well as of the crucifixion are efficacious to redemption. In the water of baptism, which (upon a partial quotation of John ii, 3) is made necessary (De baptismo, vi.), we are born again; we do not receive the Holy Spirit in the water, but are prepared for the Holy Spirit. We little fishes, after the example of the cologne, "fish," Jesus Christ (having reference to the fact that Jesus Christ, there was under the initials of which makes up the Greek word for "fish"), are born in water (De baptismo, i.). In discussing whether sins committed subsequent to baptism may be forgiven, he calls baptism and penance "two planks" on which the sinner may be saved from shipwreck—language which he gave to the Church (De penitentia, x.). (5) With reference to the rule of faith, it may be said that Tertullian is constantly using this expression and by it means

3-14, directions concerning church building, § 19; and a church order, specifying the duties of the clergy and of the laity, § 20-25. To instructions for the consecration of bishops a long liturgy is appended. There are other liturgical parts, e.g. 1, 23, 24-25. Noteworthy are the canon on widows, 1, 40-42; there are female clerics, ranking above the deaconesses. The sources of the work are as various as its parts. The Apocrypha is no doubt borrowed; chap. xix-xvii correspond to chap. xxv-xxvii of the Arabic Didascalica; yet the Testament is probably the prior source. From 1, 20 there is so much resemblance to the Egyptian church order that this must part may be considered as an elaboration of the same. Here and there occur analogies to the Apostolic Constitutions and the "Canon of Hippolytus"; and T. Zahn points out verbal agreements with the prayers of the "Theodotian Acts of Peter." These desultory parts are held together by the liturgy which to which the Testament owes its name. After the resurrection, it is represented, Christ appears to the apostles, imparts to them the Holy Spirit, and, at the request of Peter and John, gives them a description of the end, i.e., the Apocrypha (1, 1-15). John, Peter, and Matthew write down the New Testament and sent it into the world through Paulinus (perhaps Drusus of Antioch), Silas, Magnus (perhaps Masius), and Aquila. Further on, the author does not take pains to sustain the disguise. In the form of the Testament of Christ may be seen the culmination of the apostolic fiction that attaches to church order from the beginning. In the conviction that church orders were derived from the apostolic tradition, all books on the subject since the Middle Ages were ascribed to the apostles. The fiction increases in the Apostolic Church Order and the Apostolic Constitutions, viz. (see APOSTOLIC CHURCH ORDER: APOSTOLIC CONSTITUTIONS AND CANONS) where each apostle in turn is made to give his directions verbally; finally, in the Testament all is put into the mouth of Christ himself. This form was facilitated by the apocryphic introduction. Even the eschatological address of Mark, xiii, 5 seq., Revelation, and the Apocrypha of Peter are represented as spoken or communicated by Christ. It can not be doubted that the fabrication was generally accepted in good faith. The Apocrypha seem to have originated in Syria, as this (1, 10) stands at the head of the hands that are to suffer from Antichrist. Zahn suggests that it might have originated in a separatist church, having first in mind the Antiochian (q.v.), A. Baumstark ascribes it to the Monophysites; A. Hamack and F. Drews refer it to Egypt, as the formula and usage are Egyptian. The time of its production is assumed by most to have been the fifth century; it is already cited in the "Theology" of Ariarctus, at the end of the fifth century, as a pseudopigraphical work. (H. ACHTERH.)

1900, pp. 612 seq.; Fehrer, 1900; Hamack, in *SEA*, 1900, pp. 873 seq.; Achelis, in *Theologische Literaturzeitung*, 1900, pp. 70 seq.; Zahn, in *1872*, 1900, pp. 449 seq.; Baumstark, in *1872*, 1900, pp. 1 seq.; Drews, in *TKL*, 1901, pp. 14 seq.

TETRAGRAMMATON. See ZEMOVAN, YARWEN.

TETRAPOLITAN CONFSSION: The Confession presented by the four cities of Strasbourg, Constantine, Memmingen, and Lindau to the Diet of Augsburg, and properly speaking the first confession of the Reformed Church. The call of the Diet by the emperor at Augsburg, Apr. 8, 1530 (see AUGUSTINE CONGRESS AND ITS AFTERMATH, § 1), declaring for an open discussion and final reconciliation, though not with mingling in upper Germany, where the delegates were advised rather to work for a future free general council, yet encouraged electoral Saxony and several imperial cities in southern Germany to prepare arguments in writing for the defense of their respective beliefs and forms. By Apr. 26, Wolfgang Capito (q.v.) was at work at Strasbourg. However, the instructions to the delegates, Johannes Sturm, (q.v.) and Martin Farel, aimed at two things; the avoidance of the dilemma of the Protestant states, and of the examination of the meaning of doctrines. When they arrived at Augsburg this policy proved impracticable, because Johann Eck's 404 articles included the Strasbourg party in its attack; because there were present a number of Lutheran theologians holding themselves entirely aloof; and in the opening address the demand of a written presentation and defense in Latin and German was made of each confessional. Again and again the delegates sent back to Strasbourg for theologians, but no invitation or freedom of passage having been assured, the council hesitated to send Martin Butzer and Capito for fear of their arrest. Meanwhile the two had started and arrived June 23 and 26, but for three weeks longer they deferred their public appearance. It was clearly certain that the princes would not admit the cities dissenting on the doctrine of the sacrament to a subscription of their Confession (Augsburg); and only by the sacrifice of those dissenting on the sacrament, Melancthon hoped to save the cause of the Evangelicals, since it was known that the emperor would not admit the corporal presence in the Eucharist in question. Simultaneous with the arrival of Butzer, it happened that Landgrave Philip of Hesse, in spite of scruples as to the article on the sacrament, signed the Saxon Confession. The Strasbourg theologians, therefore, had to prepare in haste his own confession. In substance it followed as closely as possible the Confession of the princes. Accordingly, in the article on the sacrament it is declared that "the Lord in this sacrament according to his Word gives to his followers his true body and true blood to eat and drink, to the nourishment of their souls and to eternal life, that they may remain in him and be in him." Zeingel's influence appears in the twenty-three articles in the first paragraph on the scriptural principle, followed by Christ and his grace as the chief content and the critical instance of ecclesiastical tradition. Further, also, it is the stress on the Church as invisible as the "Bride of Christ." The sacraments are so called not only because they are visible tokens

Tetrapolitan Confession

of grace, but also because they are acts of homage to Christ; hence a reversal of the accent of the Augsburg Confession, viii. The use of images is rejected, though "in themselves, when not honored and worshipped, they are unobjectionable." Denunciation of abuses is more severe than in the Confession of the princes, the mass being termed "a horrible confounding mass" and so "monstrous and abominable." While the theologians were thus busy, the delegates were endeavoring to induce other cities to sign, but not with little success. Only Constantine, Memmingen, and Lindau declared themselves willing, if the articles on the sacrament were abridged. After a second unsuccessful effort to present the Confession in the emperor's presence, it was rejected by his chancellor on July 9. The emperor demanded next (July 14) that all protesting cities should declare their faith, with the result that, besides Nuremberg and Rouvlingen, also Heilbrunn, Kempten, and Windsheim joined the Saxon Confession. The adherents of the Tetrapolitan, now more isolated, surmised correctly that they were to be pressed to a more positive avowal of the Zeingelian idea of the sacrament; hence, they made no further statements, referring to their Confession as neither Lutheran nor Zeingelian, but in obedience to Christ's command according to Scripture. For a considerable time they had only uncertain rumors concerning the reception of their document. Evidently the emperor was playing arbitrary treatment. However, the first decree (Sept. 22) favoring common council and common cause against those not holding to the real corporal presence in the sacrament and against Anabaptists, was declined by the Lutheran estates with the expressed hope that the former might be reconciled in common with the Christian churches. This reference had in mind, doubtless, the pending efforts of Butzer and Capito to bring together Luther and Zeingel. At any rate the principal effect of the decree was to spare the cities holding the Zeingelian doctrine the peril of a joint Roman and Lutheran antagonism, and led to a decisive break between the emperor and the Lutheran estates, leading to drive the latter party of Strasbourg ventured to apply to the Saxons for admission into the Evangelical league and were not unfavorably met. Meanwhile the Tetrapolitan Confession had been submitted to the committee of theologians, which was already occupied with a confutation of the Saxon Confession. The confutation prepared by Foh, Johannes Faber (q.v.), and Johannes Cochlerus (q.v.) was in the hands of the emperor, Aug. 10. In the confutation of the Tetrapolitan there is less noticeable citation of tradition than against the Saxon and more reference to Biblical proof, consistent with the Zeingelian Scripture-principle. The tone is very severe, and, without warmest, holds of necessity of reply with a brief and dignified extension. "The four cities declared (Oct. 20) that they were open to conviction through a general council," according to the divine Scripture," said, for the rest,

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

avowed their allegiance. This unyielding firmness perplexed the emperor. Nothing remained but to ignore the cities till the prorogation of the diet. The decree of the emperor turned more sternly against the "Zwinglian cities" than against the Lutheran; and he threatened to visit severe measures upon the grave error against the sacrament as against iconoclasm and the like. Naturally, the four cities declined the decree; but they had all the more reason to seek closer relations with the Lutherans. They were present at Schmalkald, and their signatures appear in the document of the league of Feb. 27, 1531 (see SCHMALKALD ARTICLES). Thus a development arose which turned aside the Tetrapolitan. The Swiss, to whom it was represented as a bridge to the Lutherans, would not exchange their clear doctrine on the sacrament for vague words. The Confession of the League was the Augustana, to which the Tetrapolitan became secondary, as in substance the same; and the party of Strasbourg admitted at the Diet of Schweinfurt, 1522, that they recognized the Augustana alongside of their, but were not willing to abandon their own. Soon after the reading of the confutation, the Strasbourg delegates secured a secretly taken copy, and Butzer set to work to prepare an apology, which, with the Confession, was published, *Religionum der vier Frey- und Reichstätt Strassburg, 1531*; Zwickher, 1604). A Latin translation of the Tetrapolitan appeared (Strasbourg, 1531).

(E. F. KAHN MULLER.)
BIBLIOGRAPHY: T. Klein, *Strassburger Bekenntnisschriften*, Tübingen, 1835; F. Dost, *Memorien in Reformationsgeschichte*, parts 1-7, Augsburg, 1900; Zahn, in *1872*, 1900, pp. 449 seq.; F. Fehrer, in *Theologische Abhandlungen für F. Fehrer*, Tübingen, 1900; K. Müller, *Die Reformationschriften der reformierten Kirche*, Leipzig, 1901. The text of the text was translated in *Papers of the American Society of Church History*, 2 ser., ii, 21-41, New York, 1910.

TETRARCH: The title primarily of a military and later of an administrative official. In its military sense it denoted the commander of a cavalry squadron of four companies or sixty-four men. In its administrative sense a tetrarch was the ruler of a tetrarchy, originally the fourth part of a country; as was the case among the Dioscuri, and, at one time, among the Galatian Celts of Asia Minor (cf. also the four "tribes" of Africa previous to the time of Cleopatra). Later he was simply a tributary or petty prince, and in this sense the title was applied by the Romans to the many petty principalities of Syria, only the most important being officially styled "king."

The best example of tetrarchy is furnished by the Herodian dynasty. In 41 a.c. Herod and his brother Phasael received the title of tetrarch from Antony. In 40 his younger brother Pheloneas was made tetrarch of Perea. On the death of Herod (4 a.c.), his dominions were divided among his sons, Archelaus having the preeminence as "ethnarch," while his brothers Antipas and Philip were tetrarchs. Archelaus I received from Caligula (37 a.c.) the title of king together with the tetrarchies of Philip and Lymanias; and his son, Archelaus II, was, already

king of Chabria when he was given the tetrachelous of his father. The New Testament registers the popular disregard of these official distinctions; in Matt. ii. 22, Archelaus is "king" instead of his father, and Matt. xiv. 6, as contrasted with xiv. 1, and Mark vi. 14 sqq. term Herod Antipas "king."

Only Luke observes the exact nomenclature (Luke iii. 1, 19, 14; Acts xiii. 1).

(E. von Domschütz)
BRUNOWSKI, W. M. Ranney, The Church as an Roman Empire, pp. 41, 43. St. Louis and New York, 1901.
Meyer, H. W. J., The Templars, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.

that it was purely German. The beginning of the order is to be discerned in a field hospital which was established during the siege of Ars, begun in Aug. 1189, which, after the conclusion of the siege, was transferred to the imperial chaplain Konrad, the chamberlain Burkhard, those with others united to form a fraternity after the rule of the Knights of St. John and named it the "Hospital of St. Mary of the Germans in Jerusalem." In its origin, therefore, the order was purely a brotherhood for the care of the sick; at the head of which stood a ecclesiastic, the above-mentioned Chaplain Konrad, who appears in a document of 1191 as Provost of the hospital at Jerusalem. After the conquest of Ars in July, 1191, the brotherhood erected there a hospital and a church.

Clement III. in 1191 and Celestine III. in 1196 gave formal sanction to the order, which found powerful protectors in Duke Frederick of Swabia and the Emperor Henry VI. From the latter it received, in 1197, a hospital at Badetta, its first possession in the West, and the vassal monastery of the Holy Trinity at Palermo. The favor of the emperor proceeded largely from his desire to make the order an instrument for the prosecution of his plans in Europe, and this led to the assumption of the military character. In 1198 the brotherhood made military service according to the rules of the Templars a part of its work, and a knight was chosen as the first grand master. Confirmation by Innocent III. followed in Feb. 1199. The lodgings of the order was a white mantle with a black cross upon it. Though progress under the first grand masters was not rapid, the order gained a foothold in Germany, where a number of hospitals at Halle, Coblenz, Nuremberg, and other places came into its possession. The oldest province was Thuringia; the province of Austria was created in 1205. The Emperor Frederick II. and Pope Innocent III. gave the knights their protection, and the latter, in a bull of Jan. 1212, placed the order on an equal footing with the Knights of St. John and the Templars.

Under its fourth grand master, Hermann of Salza (1210-39), the order entered upon a rapid development. In the time covered the most important event in the history of the order, its establishment in Prussia. The planting of Christianity in that region had been effected after many attempts by Christian, a Cistercian monk of Oliva, who in 1212, was made bishop of Prussia. A papal request to the country independent Bishop Christian to set up of the Teutonic Order, which received from pope and emperor the promise of the absolute possession of all the lands it might occupy. In the spring of 1230 an army of the order entered Masovia. The cities of Kulm, Thorn, and Marienwerder were founded and the conquest of Prussia proper was begun. Reinforcements poured in from Germany, where the crusade against the heathens was being prosecuted with the utmost vigor. The power of the Prussian territory, the possession of which was secured by the erection of castles and the establishment of cities. By 1283 the power of the order was definitely established. As early as 1227 the Teutonic Order had succeeded in following

BRUNOWSKI, W. M. Ranney, The Church as an Roman Empire, pp. 41, 43. St. Louis and New York, 1901.
Meyer, H. W. J., The Templars, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.

TEUTONIC ORDER (Ordo Hospitales S. Marie Theutonicorum in Jerusalem). An order originally of the hospital type and later a military order in origin to the Knights of St. John and the Templars and probably not inferior to them in lasting importance. It differed from these two orders in

what every other order failed to accomplish, the erection of an independent state. After the fall of Ars in 1201 the capital of the order was removed to Venice, and in 1209 to Marienburg in Prussia. The spread of the Christian religion and the generalization of the land were carried on simultaneously. Prussians from Lower Saxony and Westphalia transferred the lands into fertile grass fields, and by the side of the castles of the order arose numerous towns with a German population, which grew rich through an extensive commerce. Between the knights and the inhabitants of the cities cordial relations prevailed and the order itself derived great wealth from its trade. The strength of the order was due to its rigorous discipline and its excellent organization. At its head was the grand master, who was limited in the exercise of his powers by a council of the other high officials, among them the chief hospitalier and the treasurer. The supreme power was vested in the grand chapter of the order which elected the grand master and exercised its power of punishment and deposition. Each house of the order was under the authority of a commander (Komtur) and a number of houses constituted a province at the head of which stood the Land-Komtur. Eligible to membership in the order were Germans only of legitimate birth, showing the arms of four ancestors, pure in morals and unblemished in honor. The discipline in the houses was strict, the life simple. Unquestioning obedience to superiors was the highest duty and every transgression was punished severely. Fights in battle or intercourse with the heathens was punished by expulsion. The order also employed clerical workers for the performance of religious duties, and sisters, whose work by opportunity in the hospitals. The principal hospital in Prussia was situated at Elbing and in Germany at Nuremberg.

The order attained the height of power in the second half of the fourteenth century. In the peace of Kalisz 1266, Prussia was acquired from Poland and with the acquisition of the Danish possessions in Estonia its authority extended along the entire Baltic coast. It became also the great power in the Baltic Sea. In 1266 the best land, Gotland from the Vislan Brothers and in 1404 it acquired Wisby. By the purchase of Nemack its connection with the empire was established. In this very time of glory, however, powerful forces of decay had begun to work. In place of the rigorous discipline and simplicity of old appeared luxury and ostentation. Party strife sapped the strength of the order and the relations of friendship between knights and citizens had disappeared. Moreover, with the rise of Poland appeared a formidable rival to the German influence. In 1386 Jagello, Grand Prince of Lithuania, became King of Poland. War soon broke out with the knights, and on June 15, 1410, on the plain of Tannenberg the forces of the order were crushingly defeated; all its great officers perished, and the power of the order was annihilated at one blow. It was saved from destruction by the heroism of Count Henry of Plauen, who held Marienburg against the Poles to such good effect that the peace of Thorn in 1411 left the possessions of the order almost unimpaired. Internal anarchy, how-

ever, hastened the end. In 1440 a part of the knights and the cities organized the Prussian League in opposition to the main order and in a civil war which followed the league gained possession of more than fifty towns and effected to place the country under the suzerainty of Poland. Finally, by the peace of Thorn in 1466, West Prussia was incorporated with Poland, while East Prussia was granted to the grand master as a Polish fief. In Germany, too, decay had overtaken the order so that no help could be derived for the defense of the possessions in Prussia. In 1525 Albert of Prussia (1511), ecclesiastic into a hereditary duke, held of the king of Prussia. In 1563 Gerhard Wettler, following the example of Albert, received Livonia as a fief from Poland with the title of duke of Courland and Semigalia.

The subsequent history of the order, restricted to its possessions in Germany, Austria, and the Netherlands, possesses little interest. By the treaty of Pressburg in 1805 the presidency of the order was vested in the house of Austria, and within the next few years its territories in South Germany and on the Rhine were transferred by Napoleon to the governments within which they lay. As a purely Austrian order it was recognized in 1809 and confirmed by Emp. IX. in 1871. Its activity is now restricted to its original service, the care of the sick in war and in peace. (G. LAMBERT.)

BRUNOWSKI, W. M. Ranney, The Church as an Roman Empire, pp. 41, 43. St. Louis and New York, 1901.
Meyer, H. W. J., The Templars, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.
Meyer, H. W. J., Die Templer, pp. 141-143. Leipzig, 1891.

TRINCH, GEORG DANIEL: German Lutheran; b. at Schlaburg (290 m. s.e. of Badepst), Transylvania, Dec. 12, 1817; d. at Hermannstadt (72 m. s.e. of Klausenburg) July 2, 1893. He studied at Vienna and Berlin, 1837-50; was instructor at the Evangelical gymnasium at Schlaburg, 1842-46; and rector, 1850-63; preacher at Agnetzsch and dean of the ecclesiastical district of Schlaburg, 1863-67; and Evangelical bishop in Hermannstadt, 1867-83. Trinch was the leader for German institutions among the Saxons in Transylvania, particularly in education and religion. He was instrumental in defending and maintaining the autonomy of his church and its schools against the encroachments of the Roman Catholic and Greek Churches and the Magyar influence; and he was active, both before and after becoming bishop, in his internal organization and promotion. As a preacher he was eloquent and persuasive. His vrote Urkundenbuch der evangelischen Landeskirche in

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Siebenbürgen (Hermannstadt, 1822); Synodal-ent-
scheidungen der evangelischen Landeskirche in Sieben-
bürgen bis 1850 (1851); and Geschichte der sieben-
bürgischen Synode (3d ed., 1899). (F. TRUMBULL.)

THANESWORTH: The monastic abbey of Thanet was
founded at Thanet, Kent, and was a seat of the
Bishop of Dover. It was destroyed by the Danes in
1012 and 1020. See *ibid.*, II, 2, 12.

THANETUS: See *ibid.*, II, 2, 12.

THAMER, 18th CENT. THEOBALD: German convert
to the Roman Catholic Church; b. at Oberkahlm
115 m. s. w. of Strasburg at the beginning of the
sixteenth century; d. at Freiburg May 23, 1569.
He received his education at Basle, and at the
University of Wittenberg, where he studied 1535-39.
He then went to Frankfurt-on-Oder, and in
1543 was called to Marburg by Landgrave Philip
of Hesse as professor of theology and preacher at
St. Elizabeth's Church. Thamer had been hardly
a year in Marburg when his brazen defense of the
Lutheran doctrine as to the Lord's Supper brought
him into collision with his colleague, Andrea Hy-
pocrit; but the landgrave admonished the Mar-
burg theologian, Oct. 14, 1544, to refrain from strife.
At the beginning of the Schmalkald War, Thamer
was appointed army chaplain by the landgrave, and
thereby gained opportunity to make observations
that were decisive on his subsequent life. His ex-
periences and the unhappy issue of the war moved
him to questions regarding the causes of the Reforma-
tion; and thus began his doubts touching the
correctness of the Evangelical doctrine of repentance
and justification. Thamer was not the man to con-
ceal these conflicts and what stirred him became
known to all Marburg and set the Lutheran com-
munion. The government at Cassel interposed, and
summoned Thamer, Frobenius, and Adam Traut-
to Cassel. Thamer here declared that he could not
hold the doctrine "by faith alone" as sound and
Evangelical, but promised to abstain from further
attacks on the Lutheran teaching. At Easter, 1549,
however, he started the conflict anew, and was sus-
pended on Aug. 8, 1549, after the synod at Zingen-
heim and Cassel, till the return of the landgrave.
At the close of 1549 he became second preacher at
St. Bartholomew's in Frankfurt-on-Main, but
because of his sharp attacks on Lutheranism, he was
dismissed from this post, he then turned to Land-
grave Philip, and requested a regular examination
of the errors charged against him. Philip resolved
on the extraordinary step of procuring him the op-
portunity of conferring in person with the most
eminent theologians of that age. So he journeyed
to Jena to meet Erhard Schegk, then to Melancthon
at Wittenberg, next to Superintendent Daniel
Grener at Dresden, and finally to Bullinger at
Zürich. But none of these theologians could per-
suade him to his favor. Thamer then went to Rome,
and there passed over to the Roman Catholic
Church, probably in 1553 or 1554. Two years later
he returned to Germany and was appointed preacher
in Minden. Thereafter he obtained a vacancy at
Münster, where he issued his *Analys* in 1561. The
same year he received a theological instructorship

at the University of Freiburg which he held till his
death.

THANKSGIVING DAY: A day specially ap-
pointed for the expression of a sense of obligation
for divine favor, instituted in New England, in
much the same way as Fast-day (q.v.). One was
often appointed to offset the other. At first a day
of thanksgiving was observed in gratitude for un-
usual services, and became regular only in the last
half of the seventeenth century. There is evi-
dence to show that the first Thanksgiving Day of
the Pilgrims was on Dec. 20, 1620, upon their first
arrival, but the date is usually given to be the
thanksgiving week celebrated in company with the
Indians in the autumn of 1621 after the first crops
had been gathered in. The first civil Thanksgiving
in the Massachusetts Bay colony was observed
July 8, 1630, after all the ships of Winthrop's
company had arrived; and other thanksgivings
followed special providences. On Oct. 12, 1637,
all New England celebrated the overthrow of the
Pequot. The first Thanksgiving Day of the Con-
necticut river towns, appointed on account of an
abundant harvest, was held Sept. 18, 1639. The
northern settlements of New England naturally
followed the example of Massachusetts. Rhode
Island thanksgivings were private affairs of churches
and individuals until Governor Andros made the
observance of the day compulsory throughout New
England.

The annual Thanksgiving Day in celebration of
harvest became regular in Connecticut after 1643,
in Massachusetts Bay about 1660, and in Plymouth
in 1668. Rhode Island delayed its first adoption
until the time of the Revolution. It had become a
regular institution in all the New England states by
the end of the eighteenth century. Thanksgiving
Day has always been distinctly a home festival,
but its religious character was not observed in the
days of the fathers, especially in Connecticut and
Massachusetts. Until well into the eighteenth cen-
tury two church services were held, one on a week
end at the family hearth, and the services of God
were recounted; but the social functions of the day
in time crowded out the second church service, and
the day became a feast day with a grand dinner
for the invited family, and with general merry-
making as its accompaniment. The latter develop-
ment became common after the Revolution.
The struggle for independence drew the colonies
together, and they all joined in a general thank-
sgiving on Dec. 18, 1777, after the downfall of
Burgoyne. Similar celebrations were observed
regularly during the war, and on special occasions
up to 1815, but they did not become a permanent
national custom. Meantime the idea was growing

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

in favor through the country. In 1817 New York
began its regular observance. New England's
influence was felt through the emigration of its
people to the West, and by the middle of the nine-
teenth century nearly all the states of the Union
had adopted it. President Lincoln appointed a
special thanksgiving on Aug. 6, 1863, to celebrate
the victory of Gettysburg, and on Nov. 23 of the
same year a harvest festival was observed likewise.
From that time Thanksgiving Day has become a
national occasion of rejoicing, and is appointed
regularly by the president for the last Thursday
of November, and the governors of the several states
also appoint the same day. HENRY K. ROY.
Bibliography: *Ground the Unseen under Paradise*, and
E. K. Hines, *Thanksgiving Stories*, New York, 1915.

THAYER, JOSEPH HENRY: Congregationalist,
New Testament lexicographer; b. in Boston, Mass.,
Nov. 7, 1828; d. at Cambridge, Mass., Nov. 26,
1901. He was graduated from Harvard College,
Cambridge, Mass., 1850, and from Andover Theo-
logical Seminary, Mass., 1857; was pastor at Salem,
Mass., 1859-61; chaplain Fortieth Massachusetts
Volunteers, 1862-63; professor of sacred literature
in Andover Theological Seminary, 1864-82; and
from 1884 professor of New Testament criticism and
interpretation in the Harvard Divinity School. He
translated the 7th ed. of *U. von Arnim's* *U. von Arnim's*
Grammar of the New Testament Greek (Andover,
1869); A. Bittmann's *Grammar of the Greek New*
Testament (1873); and with revision and enlarge-
ment the 2d ed. of Grimm's *Wilke's Greek-Engl.*
Thesaurus, under title, *A Greek-English Lexi-*
con of the New Testament (New York, 1866); and
edited a new edition of Sophocles' *Greek Lexicon*:
Roman and Byzantine Period (1887). These publica-
tions established his reputation in the first rank in
New Testament and patristic scholarship, especially
in textual criticism. He was one of the New Testa-
ment company of American revisers of the Bible.
Bibliography: C. H. Toy, *The Revised Greek Testament*,
1881; *ibid.*, 1891; *ibid.*, 1897; *ibid.*, 1901; *ibid.*, 1905;
see also *Religious World*, xix (1892), 748 seq.; W. N.
Dunn, in *Religious World*, ix, 309-10.

THEATRITES: An order of regular clergy founded
in Italy in the beginning of the sixteenth century.
It was in a way a precursor of the Society of Jesus
in that it composed noteworthy results in battling
with "heresy," in connection with the incipient
Reformation in Italy. The Theatine organization
had its point of departure in Rome under Leo X.
and his successors, when it grew out of the Oratory
of the Holy Love (q.v.), the fundamental ideas of
which Augustus of Theano (b. at Vienna in 1488;
d. 1547) sought to apply in a more comprehensive
activity. His first foundation, in Verona, a fraternity
of (Hymenaeus) having similar aims; he then
returned to Rome, renounced his parsons, and
joined with Bonifacio da Colle, Paolo Compagni,
and Giovanni Pietro Caraffa (see PAPA, IV), in
creating the order, which was confirmed by Clement
VII. The name which the common people gave
the new order, *Claretii*, shows that they deemed
not Caraffa, but Caraffa to be the actual founder
or leader, their ascription referring to the use of
Claret, which had been occupied by Caraffa. The

hall of confirmation approve the reading of the
three vows, the election of a procurator for terms of
three years, administration of the daily routine,
and convey to the order all privileges canonically
of the Lateran.

One of the four joint organizers owned a house in
Rome, which was fitted up for the order. Material
substance was to be secured through purely vol-
untary donations. High value was attached to
diligent preaching; but fasting was also given
to the sick. As the membership increased, a larger
house was occupied; but when the sack of Rome,
in 1527, drove the Theatines away in the general
rush, there succeeded two other settlements, one in
Venice, 1527, and one at Naples, 1533. The Roman
settlement was renewed in 1538. The biographer of
Paul IV., Antonio Caracciolo, accounts it as chief
merit of the Theatines that by means of their social
connections at Naples, as likewise by cleverly
utilizing what information the confessional afforded,
they discovered the evil plant of heresy, and
eradicated the same. And the measure devised by
Gastone at Naples, from 1528 forward, against the
fellow sympathizers of a Juan de Valdez, against
Pietro Martire Vermigli and Bernardino Ochino
(q.v.), he repeated at Venice, from 1541.
Caraffa, as pope, assigned to the order, in 1555, the
church and cloister of San Silvestro, and there the
Theatines' headquarters remained until they evicted
the convent and church of S. Andrea della Valle.
Meanwhile the order had spread over all Italy,
crossed the Alps, and found acceptance in Spain,
France, Germany, and Poland (Danzig, Vienna,
Prague, Paris, etc.). Its founder was beatified in
1625, and canonized in 1669. Two sisterhoods
were also organized under his name, that of the
"Immaculate Conception," and that of the "Her-
mits." In the articles of both, emphasis is laid
on the adoration, day and night, of the blessed
sacrament. K. BERNARD.

THEATRITAE: *Haydn, Ordo theatritarum*, ix, 71 seq.;
Historia, Ordo theatritarum, ix, 228-269 (with
very full indexes); *Banko, Pagan*, 131-132; *The Firm*
of the Order of S. Theatini, *Chicago*, 1912; and *Con-*
stantin's Via Sacra, iv, 311 ff. See also *de la*
Claretie, Ordo Theatini (1480-1517), Paris, 1902.

THEBAN LEGION: The theme of a legend con-
cerning about the town of St. Maurice (21 m. s. e.
Geneva), in the canton of Valais, Switzerland, but
found also elsewhere in Switzerland, in Italy, and on
the lower Rhine. In its oldest and simplest form,
according to a poetic attribution to Eusebius,
bishop of Lyons (q.v.), the Emperor Maximian
(285-310) had under his command a legion called
the Theban, consisting of 6,000 men sent from the
East. They were all Christians and refused to obey
the imperial command to take part in the persecu-
tion of their fellow Christians. Maximian, then
encamped at Octodurum (Martigny) at the foot of
the Great St. Bernard, twice had the legion des-
tined, and when the survivors at the abstrac-
tion of their leader Maurice (Mauritius) remained
unmodified, had all put to death. Among the mar-
tyrs is placed St. Gervon, in whose honor a church existed
at Cologne in the seventh century. Later versions
of the legend simply add details.
The legend has given rise to a long controversy.



ism and chose with the death of Theodor (429), falls far behind those of Socrates and Sozomen. It contains many scores otherwise lost, especially letters on the Arian controversy; but it is defective in historical sense and chronological accuracy, and on account of Theodor's inclination to embellishment and miscellaneous narrative, and preference for the personal. Original material of Antiochian information appears chiefly in the later books. Theodor's sources are in dispute. According to Valentin these were mainly Socrates and Sozomen. A. Gildersleeve's thorough research placed Rufinus first, and next to him, Eusebius, Athanasius, Sozomen, Sabinius, Philostorgius, Gregory Nazianzen, and, least of all, Socrates. N. Chichkovski counts Eusebius, Rufinus, Philostorgius, and, perhaps, Sabinius. The "Religious History," with an appendix on divine love, contains the biographies of thirty (ten living) ascetics, had forth as religious models. Upon the request of a high official named Sproctus, Theodor compiled a "Compendium of Heraldal Accounts" (*Heraldscurus Adularum compendium*), including a hagiology (books 1-14) and a "compendium of divine dogmas" (v.), which, apart from Origen's *De principiis* and the theological work of John of Damascus, is the only systematic representation of the theology of the Greek Fathers.

Among dogmatic treatises Theodor mentions (*Epist.* cxlii, cxvii) having written against Arrian and Eunomius, probably one work, to which were adjured the three treatises against the Macdonians. Three were, besides, preserved: works against the Apollinarianism and of dogmatic the *Opera adversus Macrones* nothing has been preserved. The treatises "On the Trinity" and "On the Divine Dispensation" (*de Peri Theopotas* *an* *de* *deis* *enandropoli*; *Epist.*, cviii), assigned by A. Elshard to the work "On the Holy and Life-giving Trinity" and "On the Incarnation of the Lord" of Cyril of Alexandria, certainly belong to the Antiochian School and to Theodor. To the same belong *Opp.* xvii, and brief parts of other chapters of the fragments which J. Garnier (*Auslöser*) included under the title, "Theology of Theodor on the Incarnation" as well as three of the five fragments referred by Marius Mercator to the fifth book of some writing of Theodor. They are polemics against Arrianism and Apollinarianism. Theodor's "Refutation" of the twelve anathemas of Cyril is preserved in the anti-polemics of Cyril (*MPO*, lxxvi, 202 sqq.). He detects Apollinarianism in Cyril's teaching, and declines a "contracting into one" of two natures of the only hypostas, he would accept only one that "manifests the essential properties or modes of the nature." The man united to God was born of Mary; between God the Logos and the form of a servant a distinction must be drawn. Only minor fragments (*de Epist.* cvii) of Theodor's defense of Theodorus and Theodor (438-444) have been preserved (Chichkovski II, 121). His chief christological work is the *Praxiteles etis polydromos* ("Dog-

ma or Multiforum") in three dialogues, representing the Monophysites like beggars passing of their doctrines captured by ascetics from diverse heretical sources and himself as the orthodox. God is immutable also in becoming man, the two natures are separate in Christ, and God the Logos is ever immortal and impassive. Each nature remained "pure" after the union, retaining its properties to the exclusion of all transmutation and intermixture. Of the twenty-seven cantions in defense of various propositions, the first six agree in their given content with Theodor. A few extracts from the five cantions on Christism were preserved by Flavianus (order 27). Most valuable are the numerous letters (Eng. transl., *NPNF*, 2 ser., iii, 250-248).

BRITISH MUSEUM: The edition of the works of Theodor to be noted are: P. Nestle (Latin only), Rome, 1846; J. Brehm, Cologne, 1873 (also Latin); A. Sprengel, 4 vols., Halle, 1847 (Greek and Latin), vi, 4; H. J. Conybeare, 1884, second with corrections and additions by J. Schalles and J. A. Nusselt, 2 vols., Halle, 1890-74, reproduced in *MPO*, text-texts; Eusebius Irenaeus, 2 vols., Halle, 1766-78 (Greek only). His "Church History" was first edited by Fabricius, *Opus*, 1817, after the edition of P. M. Le Beau, Paris, 1837-54; by Wetstein, Cambridge, 1750; and by G. Hatch, Oxford, 1847. The works have been issued in London: 1812, 1843, in *Robt's Ecclesiastical Library*, 1834, and transl. in 1877, *see*, vol. iii. His *Sermones et praesentia* also appeared in English as *The History of Early Preteristism*, London, 1810.

REMARKS: *JG* 1, 1, 10. **THEODORUS,** Theodorus, *Reliquiae Graecae*, vii, 277 sqq.; Haneler, 1901; F. A. Hahnemann, *De Theodorus auctoritate*, 1879 sqq.; *Patriologia-Magica*, 1890, 1, 1, 10. **THEODORUS,** Theodorus, *Reliquiae Graecae*, vii, 277 sqq.; Haneler, 1901; F. A. Hahnemann, *De Theodorus auctoritate*, 1879 sqq.; *Patriologia-Magica*, 1890, 1, 1, 10. **THEODORUS,** Theodorus, *Reliquiae Graecae*, vii, 277 sqq.; Haneler, 1901; F. A. Hahnemann, *De Theodorus auctoritate*, 1879 sqq.; *Patriologia-Magica*, 1890, 1, 1, 10. **THEODORUS,** Theodorus, *Reliquiae Graecae*, vii, 277 sqq.; Haneler, 1901; F. A. Hahnemann, *De Theodorus auctoritate*, 1879 sqq.; *Patriologia-Magica*, 1890, 1, 1, 10. **THEODORUS,** Theodorus, *Reliquiae Graecae*, vii, 277 sqq.; Haneler, 1901; F. A. Hahnemann, *De Theodorus auctoritate*, 1879 sqq.; *Patriologia-Magica*, 1890, 1, 1, 10.

THEODORUS ASCIDAS: See **CHRISTIANITY CONTEMPORARY;** and **THEOSOPHY CONTEMPORARY.**

THEODORUS, THE-O-DON, LECTOR (AMAGOSTES): Greek church historian. That he lived in the sixth century is known from the fact that he brought his history down to 527. Of his authorship it is known only that, at the suggestion of a friend in Georgia, he compiled a work of excerpts from the church histories of Sozomen, Socrates, and Theodor in four books entitled, *Exilage et des abbas de la theologie*, commonly known as *Historia scripta* (manuscript, first part mutilated, in library of St. Mark, Venice). The history covers the period from the twentieth year of Constantine to the death of Constantine

Theodosius of Alexandria

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

380

II. (361). The work was never printed, but an extract was much used by later chroniclers. Theodoret's method was to select, with verbal faithfulness, from the narratives in common the clearest and best in style and note the agreements in the margin, while his parts peculiar to each were also used and so each specially pointed out. Theodoret continued this work in a church history of his own, beginning with the death of Theodosius II, and ending with the reign of the elder Justin (355); but this work is lost with the exception of a few examples and citations in the works of subsequent authors and in the acts of the seventh council (cf. *MFO*, lxxvii, 157-258).

(FRANZ PREUSSNER.)

THEODOSIUS I.

THEODOSIUS I., *theodosios*, FLAVIUS; Roman emperor (379-385); b. probably at Caesaraugusta (Roman miles from Segura), Spain, in 346; d. at Milan Jan. 17, 395. His father, Count Theodosius, was one of the best of the great generals of the western empire, and he, his father, emperor, and his military training. Theodosius early had a command of his own in Moesia, but he renounced public service upon the execution of his father through intrigue, after the death of Theodosius I. (375), and lived with his wife, a woman of noble family, both being orthodox Christians. In 375 Theodosius, in dire straits by reason of a new inundation of heretics, recalled Theodosius from private life and in 379 proclaimed him Augustus of the East. Theodosius throughout his reign Theodosianus, the ruler of his operations against Goths, Alans, and Huns. Early in 380 he fell ill and desired baptism, which was administered by the venerable Bishop of Acharis. Theodosius then issued at Constantinople, Feb. 27, 380, the explicit edictum de fide orthodoxa as a law of the empire, wherein the catholic faith is solemnly acknowledged and heretics are threatened with punitive penalties. This edict strikes the keynote of the emperor's religious policy, clearly indicating the course of his further activities.

The war with the Goths came to an end at the close of 380, and Theodosius triumphantly entered Constantinople Nov. 24. The ecclesiastical situation was then controlled by the Arians, and the emperor's immediate effort was to convert them to the spirit of his edict. Bishop Theophilus forced the city two days after the emperor's arrival, declining to acknowledge the Nicene Council as the indispensable condition for his further activity. The emperor appointed as his successor Gregory Nazianzen (q.v.), and the same policy was pursued in a series of edicts. On Jan. 19, 381, the pretorian prefect, Eutropius, was directed to expel the anti-Nicene heretics from the towns and cities, while

Sapor was dispatched to the East to eject the Arian bishop. On July 19, the heretics were forbidden to build new churches. These two edicts were also incidentally announced in a third edict of July 20, the same year, while decrees were issued against the Manicheans. These measures may probably be taken as threats intended to have restraining effect. To this period belongs the ecclesiastical Council of Constantinople (381), at which the Pretorian Prefect a layman, was elected bishop of Constantinople, whereunto invitations were issued to leaders of both orthodox and heretical parties. But the orthodox proved feeble, and decrees of July 25 and Sept. 25 renounced interference of religious assemblies of heretics; including the Apollinarians and the Macedonians, while ordination of heretical ecclesiastical was forbidden. In the autumn of 385, the emperor was wholly preoccupied with the treacherous usurpation of Gratian, at Lyons, on Aug. 25, and the usurpation of the Spanish Maximus in Gaul, who was not fully conquered until the summer of 388. Thereupon legislation to strengthen the Church was vigorously resumed, and sharp measures were passed against paganism. Probably in 388 the Prefect Prefect Crispianus was dispatched to Egypt and Asia Minor with the commission of eradicating Hellenism by destruction of the pagan temples and inhibition of idolatrous rites. As a result, in many places, bloody tumults arose, especially in Alexandria, where Bishop Theophilus, in cooperation with the civil power, demolished the Serapeion. Also on Sicily and elsewhere conflicts occurred; and though the detailed facts have not been transmitted, the edict of Libanius, *Pro def. Arripe*, discloses a great devastation in which the monks played a leading part. After the emperor's return to Milan (390 or 395), his religious policy against the believers in the gods was exercised with great firmness, finding distinct expression in a ruling addressed to the pretorian prefects on Feb. 24, 391: "No one shall pollute himself with sacrifice. No one shall slaughter an innocent sacrificial beast. None shall set foot in a heathen sanctuary, nor visit a pagan temple. None shall look up to an idol made with human hands." A law of Nov. 8, 392, placed animal sacrifice and soothsaying on a footing with high treason. While the edict was running its course, the West had once again fallen into a dangerous crisis, which required the emperor's prompt intervention. Theodosius, on leaving the West, had appointed the Frankish Arbogast as mentor to the troubled Augustulus. But this gave rise to difficulties which culminated in the assassination of Valentinian at Vienna May 16, 392. Arbogast elevated in his place Eugenius, who reluctantly assumed the honorable dignity, and was soon constrained,

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theodosius of Alexandria Theodulf of Orleans

against his Christian conviction, to make concessions to the impudent friends of the gods. This paganism revived once more. But on Sept. 6, 394, Eugenius was slain in a fierce battle along the Frigidian, near Aquileia. There duly followed the suppression of pagan superstition. Theodosius himself took direct control of the imperial sovereignty and found it possible to carry out a stronger policy of action. It was under him, especially, that the religion of antiquity vanished from public life and came to be styled "paganism." And with all this went repaid for the advancement of the moral and religious tasks of the Church. The emperor also upheld firmly the cause of the State, and made it the mass of control of ecclesiastical abuse. His policy toward the Jews opposed mixed marriages between Christians and Hebrews, and forbade the latter to hold Christian slaves. But he insisted on the observance of the law which insured religious freedom to the Jews, and threatened severe penalties against any violence to their synagogues. Some significant statistics, in the life of the emperor, to his relations with Ambrose. According to the account of Ambrose the following was the course of events: (1) The assassination, by the infuriated rabble, of the local commander, Eutherich, moved Ambrose to intercede with the emperor, who could not be induced to commit himself to a definite promise. (2) On the contrary, under the influence of his counsellor Rufinus, Theodosius ordered a rigorous chastisement. (3) The brutal and unchristian manner whereby the sentence was executed does not permit the emperor to be held accountable for this feature of the case. (4) Ambrose failed to make allowances and demanded penance. (5) The emperor thereupon submitted to public penance before the assembled congregation.

The name of Theodosius is linked with an eventful period in the final stage of the Eastern and Western Roman Empire. He was a leader who combined clear insight with determination and energy. The combination of prince and soldier in Roman imperial history found its last successful embodiment in the person and deeds of Theodosius. What seemed the impossible task of pacifying the gods was accomplished. A benevolent plan of legislation was presented consistently to combat with it of church transmission within the political fabric and the social organism. The piety of Theodosius was deep and stern, and strongly independent as against hierarchical pretensions. In all his imperial dealings he had the conscience of a Christian prince.

(VICTOR SCHEFFER.)

ii. 94-99 et passim; and in general the works on the history of the period. The Theodosian Code was edited by Mommsen, Berlin, 1905.

THEODOSIUS ZYXOMALAS

THEODOSIUS ZYXOMALAS; Greek theologian of the sixteenth century; b. in 1544. He held the position of first secretary to the Constantinopolitan patriarch, Jerome II. Considerable is known of him through Stephan Gerlach, who at that time was chaplain to the German embassy at Constantinople. Gerlach brought about a literary intercourse between Theodosius and certain scholars at Tübingen. The *Theophrastus* (Basel, 1584) of Martin Crusius, a valuable source information regarding the Christian orient of the sixteenth century, is largely compiled from communications of Theodosius. From the literary remains of Gerlach, Crusius copied the two brief works entitled *Geographica de monte Zion*, *Alto, alique Graecia locis*. In 1578 he sent Gerlach communications containing information regarding the bishops, priests, and monasteries collected from almost the entire domain of the orthodox church. His position under the ecclesiastical patriarch as collector from the slaves of the *alms* by which the palatial for the Greek Christians living under the Turkish yoke was paid to the Sultan, afforded him exceptional opportunities for gathering reliable information. He is important for the West chiefly as the author of the replies of the patriarch to the Württemberg theologian (see JANSSEN II.). (FRIEDRICH MERTS.)

THEODOSIUS THE TARNER. See MONACHALAN-ISM, III, § 1-2.

THEODULF, *theodulf*, OF ORLÉANS. Poet and theologian at the court of Charlemagne; b. apparently in Spain, c. 750; d. in exile at Angers (180 m. s.w. of Paris) Sept. 15, 821. Of Gothic descent, unable on account of troubles to remain in his own country, he was received by Charlemagne, and the king made him bishop of Orléans, a dignity which he occupied as early as 788. To this several abbots were added, probably St. Meunin and St. Flacy on the Loire, and at least Alaman. He proved himself worthy of the trust. A collection of ecclesiastical regulations has come down in a twofold series of forty chapters and a longer capitulary, relating to the duties of priests and synods and the welfare and discipline of the laity, and, particularly, to the Convivial policy of public schools in villages and towns. To secure workers he peopled St. Meunin with Benedictines and introduced monastic reforms, and he established a hospice for strangers. In 798 he was entrusted by Charlemagne with a mission to Septimania and Provence. He described this journey in a worthy poem. He offers a word of solemn warning to the Frankish judges against official temptations (*Carmina xxviii*), and bids his companion with the Musis, the Frankish law was exceedingly strict. His leniency in the judgment of Leo III. secured him

opinion the best preparation for a clerical career was pedagogy, and, as a matter of fact, the early Lutheran pastors had invariably been teachers, a profession to which many of them returned when better studies were offered, while many more were employed in both professions simultaneously. In those days a necessary step in the ministry, but such a system became impractical with the increasing demands on the clergy and the development of public school teachers. Nevertheless, the close connection between the two professions still continues, and the pedagogic activity of young theologians from early times is now represented by their employment as private tutors or instructors in private schools.

The earliest recognition of the fact that the interval between the completion of study and installation should be devoted to practical work was contained in the Saxon church order of 1527, which required of all pastors a preliminary novitiate under regular advanced pastors for securing practical training in the various forms of pastoral care.

A like purpose was the object of the "preachers' societies" or "preachers' colleges" after the beginning of the seventeenth century. It was not, however, until the commencement of the eighteenth century that institutions were seriously organized to behalf of theological candidates. In 1745 the consistory of Hanover directed that "aministranten" be established for theological candidates who had passed their first examination, their duties there being essentially those of deacons. In like manner the Dresden consistory, in 1788, placed the candidate for the ministry under the supervision of the superintendent. This led to the present Saxon system whereby the candidates are formed into a society over which the superintendent presides, meetings being held at which assigned subjects are discussed. Another method of theological training is the vicariate, a system peculiar to Württemberg. Immediately after passing their first examination, candidates are employed in practical church work, being first ordained. They are now called vicars and are made assistants to some pastor, who is required to supervise their theoretical and practical progress. They then receive pastures of their own, under the supervision of an elder pastor, or are appointed assistant pastors in larger churches with a relative degree of independence, though required, until they receive definite charges, to report regularly on their progress to the ecclesiastical authorities. This system has been imitated in other branches of the Lutheran Church, as in Baden, Hesse, Schwarzburg-Rudolstadt, Prussia, and Hanover. The vicariate lasts a year, and usually ceases with the second examination. In addition to these two systems, a number of national churches have established special preachers' seminaries for the further training of candidates for the ministry. The first of these institutions dates from the time of Pietism. As early as 1677 a number of theological candidates were received at the hospice of Loccum, where they were to assist in the cloister school and occasionally in preaching. This aided in the establishment of the oldest true

Evangelical seminary, that of Hildeshausen near Brunswick, whose constitution is dated Sept. 27, 1690. Though its statutes contain nothing specifically Pietistic, the close relations of the common prayer daily of Brunswick, Rudolf August, with Spener, as well as passages in the writings of Viti Ludwig von Seckendorf (q.v.) and Spener, imply that Hildeshausen was essentially a foundation of Pietism. The seminary contained twelve candidates of superior ability, who were to remain at least a year, and, if proved suitable, two or three years. The exegetical hours were observed regularly, time was given daily to Biblical exegesis, and each Tuesday evening was devoted to disputations, while sermons alternated with catechizing. The seminary lived on, with many vicinities, until 1809, when it was destroyed by the French invasion. Another seminary was founded at Dresden in 1718 by Valentin Ernst Löscher (q.v.), but it succumbed in the troubled period of the Seven Years War. In 1735 yet another Pietistic seminary was established at Frankfurt under the supervision of the sector of the clergy.

Rationalism, with its love for the practical, accepted the seminary, and its influence is apparent in the reports of Charles Frederick of Seminare, the Bible with the help of antiquities and church history and for preaching and catechizing, but also for classics, the history of Baden, mathematics, physics, agriculture, and botany. The transformation of Loccum into a seminary for preachers and the foundation of the seminary in Hanover also date from the rationalistic period. In 1800 the course in the former institution was revised by Albot Hallid, who placed a "director of studies" at the head of the seminary and organized the curriculum of the exercises partly by the director and partly by the students themselves. This reorganization was taken as the basis of the course drawn up in 1820, when modern development of the institution began. After long negotiations the seminary at Hanover was established in 1816, its model being Loccum, though it contained at most only five members and had a director for only a brief time. It was reorganized in 1854, and in 1891 was transferred to Emden near Marktheidenfeld. While opposition to seminaries was not lacking, doubts due in part to the rationalistic interest in such institutions, many of the conservatives favored them. Thus Frederick William III, in a special edict of May 27, 1816, insisted on the need of such seminaries and urged the establishment of additional ones. The sole result of his appeal, however, was the foundation of the seminary at Wittenberg, in part compensation for the city's loss of its university, in 1817. It was not until 1854 that the matter of seminaries was again taken up in Prussia, when the royal Domänenrat at Magdeburg in 1857 for the training of teachers of religion in secondary schools, and by the seminary of Saut (1862), Namburg-on-the-Quell (1866), and Danneberg (1869), now called

Wittenberg; the ultimate intention being that each province of Old Prussia shall have at least one seminary.

Other national churches have founded seminaries. To this number belong the seminaries of Herborn in Nassau (1618), Munich (1825), Wolfenbüttel in Brunswick (1836), a revival of the seminary at Hildeshausen, Friedberg in Hesse (1836), Tübingen (1837), Hildesheim (1838), and the Friedrichs-Collegium of St. Paul's in Seminare, Leipzig (1862), Alsenburg (1883), with courses in practical theology, as early as 1834, Hofjeuina in Hesse-Kassel (1891), Preisa in Silesia-Hildesheim (1886), a similar institution had existed at Hadersleben since 1870 to train pastors for Danish-speaking churches, and Schwerin in Mecklenburg (1901). These seminaries fall into three groups: obligatory of the old type (Herborn, Friedberg, and Hildesheim); optional (of the seminaries of Old Prussia and Hanover, the Prediger-Collegium at Leipzig, and the seminaries of Mainz, Alsenburg, Hofjeuina, and Wolfenbüttel); and obligatory of the new type (Preisa with Hildesheim and Schwerin). Attendance at the seminaries of the first and third groups is required of all candidates for admission to the second examination, the difference between the two groups being that those of the old type treat those who attend them essentially as pupils, while those of the new type, like the optional institutions, allow wider scope for independent practical work and substitute conferences of the candidates for lectures. In consequence of their more elastic organization seminaries of the second, or optional, group may also admit such theological candidates as have already passed their second examination. In Wittenberg and Herborn it is the rule to include candidates for pastorate among their members, while the Domänenrat in Berlin and the Prediger-Collegium in Leipzig accept, essentially speaking, only those who are awaiting a call to a parish, this being adopted as a principle at Wolfenbüttel. In Silesia-Hildesheim, since 1896, all candidates are required, after completing their courses at Preisa or Hadersleben, to officiate for a year as vicars.

In the Roman Catholic Church theological education received a new impetus in the sixteenth century when the Council of Trent decided upon the training of future clergy in ecclesiastical institutions, thus requiring the establishment of seminaries for priests. The Catholic future clergy were to attend these training seminaries from the age of twelve, and in Germany in them were to receive their entire training, except the most elementary, which was required as a condition of entrance. The establishment of such seminaries was made the special duty of bishops, and many institutions of this character were soon erected, probably the first being those founded by Cardinal Amulio de Rieti and by Bishop Martin of Schaumburg in 1564. Others soon followed in Benevento, Verona, Lodi, Brisa, and Orlino. The pope often gave funds for establishment, thus giving rise to the "papal seminaries." Gregory XIII, for instance, founded six seminaries at Rome for the Eastern Church, the

Helvetic seminary at Milan, and two seminaries at Venice. The Jesuits, however, relieved the Church in great measure of the burden of theological education, not as it is until the suppression of the order that the ruling of the Council of Trent required earnest attention. In Germany Roman Catholic clergy are either trained from boyhood in episcopal seminaries, where they may remain until their ordination, or they first attend a public gymnasium, then complete the three years' course at a university, and, finally, before ordination, take a course in a seminary, the latter institution being essentially dependent on the sanction of the State. Prussian seminaries for Roman Catholic priests now exist in Treves, Köln, Gnesen, Ermland, Hildesheim, Osnabrück, Paderborn, and Breslau. Each of these seminaries; there are theological faculties in Bonn, Paderborn, and Breslau, lycées in Brunswick, Paderborn, and Gnesen, and an academy in Münster. Saxony has a Wittenberg seminary in Prussia, while the province of the Upper Rhine and Alsace-Lorraine have one seminary each in Mainz, Strasbourg, and Metz, and two each in Freiburg and Rottenburg. There are theological faculties in Freiburg and Rottenburg. Each of the Bavarian dioceses possesses a seminary for priests, while Munich-Freising has two; there are royal lycées in Freising, Dillingen, Regensburg, Passau, Bamberg, and Eichstätt, and theological faculties in Munich and Würzburg, as well as a large number of seminaries for boys, mostly connected with seminaries for priests.

The development of Roman Catholic theological education gained fresh impetus from the reform of studies in Austria in the eighteenth century. The various departments of church history and the auxiliary Biblical sciences were then introduced into theological education, pastoral theology was separated from moral theology and canon law, and systematic lectures on dogmatics and moral theology were inaugurated. The Austrian course of studies covering three years, has been adopted everywhere in Germany and is still in force.

(FREDERICK CORBA)
II. Supplementary: The earliest Christian training was by means of personal contact and instruction, such as Jesus gave to his disciples and Paul to his companions. Not until the singular faith crystallized into doctrines and a canon did it become necessary to establish theological schools. Before the end of the second century the debates with Gnosticism and pagan philosophy made it clear that the leaders of Christianity must be well-versed in theology and interpretation. Catechetical schools became the nurseries of Christian converts and seminaries for the clergy. The oldest and most prominent of these was that of Alexandria, Ptolemais (q.v.) was its first known teacher (c. 180), and the school was made famous by Clement of Origin (q.v.). A school was begun at Caesarea by Origen (q.v.). Antioch had its school about 200, where some of the most illustrious of the Church Fathers received their training. Cyril of Jerusalem

11. Roman sect of seminaries for priests. The Catholic future clergy were to attend these training seminaries from the age of twelve, and in Germany in them were to receive their entire training, except the most elementary, which was required as a condition of entrance. The establishment of such seminaries was made the special duty of bishops, and many institutions of this character were soon erected, probably the first being those founded by Cardinal Amulio de Rieti and by Bishop Martin of Schaumburg in 1564. Others soon followed in Benevento, Verona, Lodi, Brisa, and Orlino. The pope often gave funds for establishment, thus giving rise to the "papal seminaries." Gregory XIII, for instance, founding six seminaries at Rome for the Eastern Church, the

Theological Education THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 334

has left a treatise on ecclesiastical instruction that has made him famous as a teacher. Other renowned schools of that day were Edin and Nisibis in the East, and the Patriarchum at Rome in the West. The genus of episcopal schools for prospective clerics are also found in the instruction given by leading preceptors or bishops to young men of promise.

The discipline of the fourth and fifth centuries altered many established customs. Theological education in the Middle Ages came to depend for its maintenance on the episcopal schools.

2. Middle Ages. The bishops, Cassiodorus in Italy, Cassian and others in Gaul, and unknown founders in England and Ireland established monastic schools in the fifth and sixth centuries; the Benedictine order made famous such schools as St. Gall (q.v.) and Bobbio on the continent, and Iona and Lindisfarne in Great Britain; and the missionaries of the period, both Irish and Saxon, accomplished for learning by the founding of monasteries what modern missionaries achieve by the founding of schools. It became customary for each cathedral also to have its episcopal school, and in 814 this was made compulsory. Education was on the decline in the seventh and eighth centuries, but Charlemagne encouraged both episcopal and monastic schools, and at his own palace school set an example which inspired others. The episcopal schools of Orleans and Reims became far-famed in the ninth century. In the tenth century Liège was the most renowned school; in the eleventh century Le Bec in Normandy held that position. In such schools as these the few great scholars of that era, such as Alcuin, Bede, Lantfranc, and Anselm (q.v.), studied and taught. None of these institutions did much more than give elementary instruction; higher education, when there was any, was directed to the Scriptures and the Fathers. Many pupils were so poor that they were forced to receive aid. The rationalistic tendency stimulated learning in the twelfth century, and resulted in the disintegration of the Scholasticism and the establishment of the universities. Theological studies became a part of the university system from the thirteenth century. The University (q.v.) sprung up independently of the monastic and cathedral schools, but they became the centers of all learning, and theological faculties took their place in them beside the faculties of medicine and law. Several of the greatest universities, like Paris and Oxford, became most renowned for their theological instruction. At Paris in the twelfth century ten years were required for the completion of the theological course. Biblical interpretation and dogmatics made up the bulk of the instruction, and the methods used included lectures and disputations. Among other famous theological schools founded before 1500 were Rome (1103), Prague (1177), Padua (1262), Erfurt (1279), Heidelberg (1385), Leipsic (1409), Lovain (1433), Freiburg (1477), and Erlangen (1477).

The Renaissance and the Reformation had a great influence on theological education. The revival of the classical Latin and Greek, the new knowledge of the East, especially of the Semites, and the expansion of the realm of science and philosophy,

all enlightened and broadened men's minds; and when the spiritual awakening liberated thought from the time-worn channels of theology.

3. The received a new impulse that has not since ceased to be felt. After the Humanism and the Reformation, the education of the Reformed Church saved itself by the method.

Counter-Reformation, the education of the priesthood passed largely into the hands of the Jesuits, and they established numerous seminaries all over Europe. Its educational system of to-day includes both theological faculties in the universities and separate theological seminaries. Scores of these seminaries are to be found all over Europe, and even in England there are nearly thirty. German humanism became transformed into a spiritual reform, and it was natural that most of the German universities should proceed to teach Lutheran theology. Melancthon at Wittenberg impressed his ideas upon all Germany. The study of biblical interpretation in the original languages formed the basis of educational work. Time brought a decline in spirituality, and philosophy assumed a larger place in the universities. The Pietist movement and the founding of the University of Halle (1694) were a protest against this. Undoubtedly the influence of the Pietists was not in favor of scientific theology, and it is not strange that their reaction against them went to the extreme of rationalism. Göttingen (1711) is a representative of the latter tendency. Nineteenth-century theology in Germany has been dominated by modern scientific thought. Ferdinand Christian Baur (q.v.) at Tübingen, Edward Husch (q.v.) at Strassburg, and Albrecht Ritschl (q.v.) at Bonn have each made a marked impression upon the theological education of their time. All departments of instruction have felt the new force; church history has had to be rewritten; dogma has been thoroughly reviewed and in some measure recast. Thorough and scientific investigation is constantly demanded of students. Not alone among Germans has this influence gone forth, but to the other Protestant countries of Europe and to America the lines and expressions of the German ketten-system have made their way. All northern Europe felt the impulse of the Renaissance of the fifteenth century, and everywhere Protestant universities are to be found in the sixteenth with their theological faculties. Among the older universities are Upsala in Sweden (1477), Copenhagen in Denmark (1479), Basel in Switzerland (1490), Groningen (1614) and Utrecht (1614) in the Netherlands, Glasgow (1481) and Edinburgh (1582) in Scotland, and Oxford and Cambridge in England, both dating back to the twelfth century. Theological erudite has more than once stamped itself upon their history. Calvin made Geneva the center of French Protestant education; Calvinists maintained itself in the Netherlands at Groningen and Utrecht against Arminianism at Leyden; in Scotland, St. Andrews, Glasgow, and Aberdeen have clung to the same Calvinism, while Edinburgh has been more open to liberal influences. In England Oxford and Cambridge have regularly offered theological instruction, but they have no separate theological departments. Cambridge has been more progressive, feeling the influence of Puritan and

335 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theological Education

rationalistic movements, while Oxford has preserved its peaceful way, little aroused until the Tractarian movement began. Besides the universities, the Anglican church has more than twenty theological seminaries. The national churches of European Protestantism have made no provision for the theological education of Non-conformists, so that separate schools have sprung up of necessity. Baptists, Methodists, and Congregationalists have their own institutions in Germany and Scandinavia, and also in Great Britain.

In America the need of theological education made itself felt acutely as soon as the first generation of university men passed on. Harvard (1636) was founded "for Christ and the country," and its first century entered the ministry.

4. America. The first American colleges were founded along the Atlantic seaboard, and the prevailing motive was the preparation of an educated ministry. Chairs of divinity were established at Harvard in 1638 and at Yale in 1741, but the most practical training that students for the ministry received was the experience and individual instruction gained in the homes of the student ministers of the colonies. The first theological school established was that of the Dutch Reformed Church at New Brunswick, N. J. (1784). The early years of the nineteenth century produced seminaries of many, by all denominations, and before 1850 these numbered more than fifty. The growth of the West and the necessity of teaching the freedmen in the South have increased the number rapidly in the last half-century. See THEOLOGICAL SEMINARIES.

The schools that form a department of a university are most popular locally, and the present tendency is toward the affiliation of separate schools with a neighboring university when practicable. The regular course of instruction is offered to those who are qualified, preferably to college graduates, and occupies three years. The fundamentals of theological instruction are the literature of the Bible and its interpretation, systematic theology, homiletics, and church history; but the present emphasis on a practical Christianity has resulted in the addition of courses in ethics, sociology, missions, and religious pedagogy. The tendency of the age toward specialisation has made it necessary to introduce seminary and post-graduate courses, and America may be expected continually to increase her contributions to scientific theological literature. H. K. BOWEN.

III. Roman Catholic Teaching Orders: The great orders and congregations which had their origin in the old world and were founded with the express purpose of engaging in the work of education are now conducting schools, academies, colleges, and theological seminaries in the United States. The first and aim of many of these communities are described elsewhere in the pages of this Encyclopedia (see Roman Catholic Education; Schools and special articles on the separate orders). In addition to those which receive separate treatment may be mentioned several congregations of women, having numerous establishments in several states. The Congregation of the Sisters of St. Joseph was founded in 1650 at Le Puy, France, for the Christian educa-

tion of children. During the upheaval of the French Revolution the congregation was suppressed, several of the sisters being guillotined during the reign of terror; the order was restored in 1807 under Napoleon, and so rapid was the increase in its numbers that it soon extended its activities, not only into other countries of Europe, but even into Africa and Asia. The first foundation in America was made in 1839 at Carondelet, a town near St. Louis, Mo., by a colony of nuns from the mother house at Lyons, France. The community is now engaged in all forms of educational work in every part of the country. The Sisters of Notre Dame de Namur were founded in 1803 at Antwerp, France. Their first establishment in America, made at Cincinnati, Ohio, in 1840, quickly became the center of an extensive system of schools and academies throughout the west. The sisters conduct schools also in the eastern states, including Trinity College in Brookfield, D. C. A branch of the Notre Dame sisters was introduced in Connecticut, Germany, in 1851, but the members were forced by the Prussian Government to form themselves into a separate community, independent of any foreign authority. When the Kulturkampf (see ULTRAMONTANISM) broke out, the sisters were expelled. They emigrated to the United States in 1874 on the invitation of the bishop of Cleveland. The community now conducts schools and academies in several dioceses. It is a fact worthy of mention that the accession to this country of many religious communities has been due to the estrangement between Church and State in the old countries. New institutes have been introduced here and those already established have had their ranks recruited by members sent from their native lands.

The School Sisters of Notre Dame are a branch of the Congregation of Notre Dame, founded in France by St. Peter Fourier in 1597. They were introduced into the United States in 1847. The Presentation Nuns and various congregations bearing the title of Sisters of Providence conduct numerous schools in different parts of the country. Other congregations mentioned under WOMEN, CONGREGATIONS, have found a home in the United States, such as the Daughters of Jesus. A full list of the communities engaged in teaching is given with statistics in The Official Catholic Directory and Clergy List (Milwaukee and New York, 1911, pp. 794-835).

In regard to the United States it is to be noted that conditions have rendered it imperative for congregations which were not originally intended for the purpose to engage in the labor of education. The Sisters of Charity, although originally founded by St. Vincent de Paul (q.v.) to minister to the needs of the sick and the poor, are principally engaged in this country in conducting parochial schools. As the communities that were modeled upon the older foundations of Europe found it incumbent to adapt their work to different conditions, so in the manner have some new congregations spontaneously arisen here and there to meet the demands of the time and place. The teaching institute of the Sisters of Loreto at the Foot of the Cross had its rise in an effort made in 1812 by Miss

of the sisters being guillotined during the reign of terror; the order was restored in 1807 under Napoleon, and so rapid was the increase in its numbers that it soon extended its activities, not only into other countries of Europe, but even into Africa and Asia. The first foundation in America was made in 1839 at Carondelet, a town near St. Louis, Mo., by a colony of nuns from the mother house at Lyons, France. The community is now engaged in all forms of educational work in every part of the country. The Sisters of Notre Dame de Namur were founded in 1803 at Antwerp, France. Their first establishment in America, made at Cincinnati, Ohio, in 1840, quickly became the center of an extensive system of schools and academies throughout the west. The sisters conduct schools also in the eastern states, including Trinity College in Brookfield, D. C. A branch of the Notre Dame sisters was introduced in Connecticut, Germany, in 1851, but the members were forced by the Prussian Government to form themselves into a separate community, independent of any foreign authority. When the Kulturkampf (see ULTRAMONTANISM) broke out, the sisters were expelled. They emigrated to the United States in 1874 on the invitation of the bishop of Cleveland. The community now conducts schools and academies in several dioceses. It is a fact worthy of mention that the accession to this country of many religious communities has been due to the estrangement between Church and State in the old countries. New institutes have been introduced here and those already established have had their ranks recruited by members sent from their native lands.

The School Sisters of Notre Dame are a branch of the Congregation of Notre Dame, founded in France by St. Peter Fourier in 1597. They were introduced into the United States in 1847. The Presentation Nuns and various congregations bearing the title of Sisters of Providence conduct numerous schools in different parts of the country. Other congregations mentioned under WOMEN, CONGREGATIONS, have found a home in the United States, such as the Daughters of Jesus. A full list of the communities engaged in teaching is given with statistics in The Official Catholic Directory and Clergy List (Milwaukee and New York, 1911, pp. 794-835).

In regard to the United States it is to be noted that conditions have rendered it imperative for congregations which were not originally intended for the purpose to engage in the labor of education. The Sisters of Charity, although originally founded by St. Vincent de Paul (q.v.) to minister to the needs of the sick and the poor, are principally engaged in this country in conducting parochial schools. As the communities that were modeled upon the older foundations of Europe found it incumbent to adapt their work to different conditions, so in the manner have some new congregations spontaneously arisen here and there to meet the demands of the time and place. The teaching institute of the Sisters of Loreto at the Foot of the Cross had its rise in an effort made in 1812 by Miss

of the sisters being guillotined during the reign of terror; the order was restored in 1807 under Napoleon, and so rapid was the increase in its numbers that it soon extended its activities, not only into other countries of Europe, but even into Africa and Asia. The first foundation in America was made in 1839 at Carondelet, a town near St. Louis, Mo., by a colony of nuns from the mother house at Lyons, France. The community is now engaged in all forms of educational work in every part of the country. The Sisters of Notre Dame de Namur were founded in 1803 at Antwerp, France. Their first establishment in America, made at Cincinnati, Ohio, in 1840, quickly became the center of an extensive system of schools and academies throughout the west. The sisters conduct schools also in the eastern states, including Trinity College in Brookfield, D. C. A branch of the Notre Dame sisters was introduced in Connecticut, Germany, in 1851, but the members were forced by the Prussian Government to form themselves into a separate community, independent of any foreign authority. When the Kulturkampf (see ULTRAMONTANISM) broke out, the sisters were expelled. They emigrated to the United States in 1874 on the invitation of the bishop of Cleveland. The community now conducts schools and academies in several dioceses. It is a fact worthy of mention that the accession to this country of many religious communities has been due to the estrangement between Church and State in the old countries. New institutes have been introduced here and those already established have had their ranks recruited by members sent from their native lands.

The School Sisters of Notre Dame are a branch of the Congregation of Notre Dame, founded in France by St. Peter Fourier in 1597. They were introduced into the United States in 1847. The Presentation Nuns and various congregations bearing the title of Sisters of Providence conduct numerous schools in different parts of the country. Other congregations mentioned under WOMEN, CONGREGATIONS, have found a home in the United States, such as the Daughters of Jesus. A full list of the communities engaged in teaching is given with statistics in The Official Catholic Directory and Clergy List (Milwaukee and New York, 1911, pp. 794-835).

In regard to the United States it is to be noted that conditions have rendered it imperative for congregations which were not originally intended for the purpose to engage in the labor of education. The Sisters of Charity, although originally founded by St. Vincent de Paul (q.v.) to minister to the needs of the sick and the poor, are principally engaged in this country in conducting parochial schools. As the communities that were modeled upon the older foundations of Europe found it incumbent to adapt their work to different conditions, so in the manner have some new congregations spontaneously arisen here and there to meet the demands of the time and place. The teaching institute of the Sisters of Loreto at the Foot of the Cross had its rise in an effort made in 1812 by Miss

Theological Libraries

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

888

Ductor (4 vols., Basel, 1618-20), and J. Leander's (Amsterdam, 1667), besides many eighteenth and nineteenth century editions of the Hebrew; including that of J. H. Michaelis (Halle, 1720). New Testament texts found are one of Erasmus (1516 ed., 1522), the "O mirificum" of R. Stephens (Paris, 1546), the "editio regia" (1550), and an edition by the younger Stephens (Geneva, 1560), several of Beza's texts, one by Joseph Scaliger (Geneva, 1629), an Elzevir of 1624, and two of Comenius (Amsterdam, 1638 and 1675). Of notable Bible versions are a Colobryer Latin (1520), a Stephens (1546), *Die Bibel in Niederdeutschland* (double the first of its kind), *Das neue Testament nicht profanisch sondern* (Strasbourg, 1524), and a Roman Catholic version for use in modern mission fields, and those in Indian dialects.

Colgate University, Hamilton, N. Y.: The Colgate Baptist Historical Collection is perhaps the most complete collection of Baptist historical material in the country. It is rich in historical articles, pamphlets, catalogues, reports, addresses, histories of local churches, anniversary sermons and addresses, biographical material, minutes of conventions, the transcripts of missionary and benevolent societies, and the like. The Davis Collection on Baptism consists of about 500 volumes.

Crozer Theological Seminary, Upland, Pa.: This institution possesses a large number of unique and valuable books and pamphlets on Anabaptist and Baptist History.

Cumberland University, Theological Seminary of Lebanon, Tenn.: The Herbeck Library consists of

over 1,000 volumes relating to church history, including Erasmus' first edition of Ambrose, the *Magnum Aedonum Romanum*, and Beza's Latin translation of Solomon Jarchi's commentary on the Old Testament.

Drew Theological Seminary, Madison, N. J.: The Crozier Collection of Hymnology contains about 1,000 volumes and is particularly strong in Wesleyan and Methodist hymnals; there are a Sternhold and Hopkins (1579), Buchanan's paraphrase (1658), and a first edition of Toplady's *Psalm* (1739), and a copy of Purcell's poems, supposed to be the only copy extant in the British Museum; there is a supplementary collection on the history of hymns, with books on liturgies. There is also a Collection of Bibles of nearly 900 volumes, including a Latin Bible (Venice, 1476), the Antwerp and London polyglots, a Stephen Hebrae Bible (Paris, 1548), and copies of the editions by Hutter (1599 and 1603); in this collection are a number of early Greek New Testaments, e.g., an Elzevir (1633), Beza (1642), Mills (1707), Wettstein (1751), and notably an Erasmus (3 vols., Paris, 1540), one of Thomas Aquinas' *Interpretationes* (The Hague, 1521; not usually noted in lists); and one of Straburg, 1526, which must be the fourth (not, as usually called, the third). Among English versions are the Bishop's Bible (1570), the Geneva (Geneva) Bible (1589, 1601, 1602, 1610), King James's (1611), and the Blackie Bible (1868, a fine example of the printer's art). There are a number of American

imprints, and about 60 volumes of missionary Bibles. The Tyerman Collection of Pamphlets on Early Methodism approximates 10,000 pamphlets bound in about 300 volumes, collected by Luke Tyerman for his works on the Wesley, Whitfield, and Fletcher. The Osborn Collection of Pamphlets relates chiefly to John Wesley. The Osborn Collection Related to British Methodism is rich in rare editions of John Wesley's works, and in Wesleyan biography, as well as in literature on the minor Methodist denominations. These three last-named collections are the nucleus of a literature on Methodism numbering about 10,000 volumes, including very complete files of minutes of conferences and works on discipline. The Spangue collection of pamphlets was gathered by William B. Spangue for his *Annals of the American Pulpit*, and of this about 30 bound volumes are found here (see below, *PANORAMA THEOLOGICAL SCIENCE*). The Collection of Books on Mission numbers about 4,400 volumes, while related is the Bishop Hartwell Collection on Africa, the African, and Siavery.

General Theological Seminary, New York, N. Y.: Especially noteworthy are the collections of patristics, the history of the councils, the histories of the Protestant Episcopal Church, and a very complete collection of diocesan journals. The library of the Assyriologist Eberhard Schrader (q.v.) was acquired in 1899. The Collection of Liturgies contains about 3,000 volumes, including a complete set of the standard editions of the American Book of Common Prayer. The Collection of Bibles includes the Copinger collection of Latin Bibles (the largest in the world), over 1,200 editions in about 2,400 volumes, 23 polyglots, 96 editions of the Harpach, 302 editions of the New Testament, and missionary versions, including John Eliot's Indian Bible (Charlottesville, Mass., 1683), a Masani Bible (1482; cost \$15,000; and an ed. of 1488, believed to be unique for as public libraries are concerned), and many other rare fifteenth-century editions; the copy of the Antwerp Polyglot is perfect, and there is a Hutter Polyglot. Of 124 known editions of Latin fifteenth-century Bibles 98 are in this collection, and of 562 from the next century, 428 are here. Of first editions of English Bibles twenty of one set are the Coverdale (1535), Matthew's (1527), Great Bible (1539), and the first ed. of 1541, Geneva (1560), Bishop's (1568), and the two latins of the King James's (1611). There are also numerous editions of the Greek Testament.

Lutheran Theological Seminary, Gettysburg, Pa.: The Collection on Synodals is noteworthy for its comprehensiveness and for its rare volumes on Lutheran synodals, dealing with the history of the Augsburg Confession, the Lutheran synodical books and commentaries on them, Schabusberg's *Collegii Aeronorum* (13 vols.), the *Corpora doctrinae* from 1569, Augsburg Confession and Apology (1st ed., 1531, the second ed. of the same year; also of 1640), the *Concordia* (1st ed., 1580), and a first Latin edition of the Formula (1580). The *Bibliotheca Collegii Hilldale, Mich.* The Hilldale Collection of Bibles includes over 100 volumes — the Geneva (1560, 1613), Bishop's (1600), a Latin Bible of 1647, a Beza New Testament (1599), also

880

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Theological Libraries

numerous seventeenth and eighteenth century editions.

Hartford Theological Seminary, Hartford, Conn.: The Amick Collection includes the Miller Semite Library of about 1,100 Arabic books and several hundred pamphlets besides 200 other volumes added and 150 Arabic manuscripts. There are many editions of the Koran, great strength in native lexicography and grammar, Ibn Chalikha's "Biographical Dictionary," and other rarities. The Collection of Bibles is wealthy in Hebrew and Greek printed texts; in polyglots it has the Complutensian, Antwerp, Heidelberg, Paris, and London; in Hebrew Bibles it has a Bomberg ed., Felix Pratensis (Venice, 1517-18), a Münster Hebraeo-Latin (2 vols., Basel, 1546), four Plantin Bibles (three editions of 1566, and Hebraeo-Latin, 1571), a Hutter (Hamburg, 1596), a Barbot Rabbinic Bible (1615-1616), Athias edition (1661) and Leander edition (1742-44), Fuser's Oxford quarto (1750), and the leading critical texts of the last fifty years. Of Greek New Testaments it has an Erasmus of Basel, 1516) and a third edition (1522), two of Robert Stephens (1546, 1550), Beza's of 1565, 1589, 1598, Elzevir of 1624 and 1633, and Doulos' first edition (1743). The *Paine Hymnological Collection*, as made by Silas H. Paine, contained over 5,000 titles (to which constant additions are being made in all branches of hymnology), besides first-hand information, including manuscript correspondences, gathered by the collector. The annotations of the hymnologist David Crozier and Daniel Sedgwick in the collection at Drew (see above) are transcribed. The principal modern works on hymnology are included, while of native mission may be made of *Timoteus Gatarenis*, *In Hymnis ecclesiasticis brevis thesaurus* (Venice, 1582), *Poesiones de David* (Paris, 1622), several editions of Beza's *Poesiones de David* (e.g., 1608, 1660); and Latin hymnals of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries. There is a large number of English and American hymn books, including a Sternhold and Hopkins of 1584, an Alcornth *Book of Psalms et Hymnes* (Amsterdam, 1611), a copy of Knox's *Liturgy* (1615), Gies's (Saxony), *A Paraphrase upon the Psalms of David* (London, 1620), and many rare first editions. A collection of Lutheran hymns, among them the standard editions and first and other rare editions of fugitive writings, and the collection is probably not exceeded in value by any other in America. The collection on Missions is of prime importance because of its wide range and the wealth of auxiliary collections on ethnology, comparative religion, and travel.

McKormick Theological Seminary, Chicago, Ill.: The Collection on Patristics is worthy of mention because of its completeness, including the *Migne Patrology* and *Migne's Concilia*. The Warrington Collection of Hymnology consists of about 600 volumes, chiefly modern.

Lutheran Theological Seminary, Mt. Airy, Philadelphia, Pa.: The archives of the Ministerium of Pennsylvania are located here, and contain the rec-

ords since the founding of the ministerium in 1748, besides correspondence and journals of leaders of Lutheranism and transcripts of documents in the archives at Halle. Though not in a special collection, the works on the early history of Lutheranism in America are worthy of mention. Lutheranism is especially represented by many first editions of Luther's sermons, the program of the Leipzig disputation, controversial tracts, Eck's "Four Hundred and Four Theses" (1520), the first English edition of the "Harmony of the Confession" (1586), a first edition of the "Book of Concord" (Dresden, 1580). The Bible collection is strong in German and English editions, including the Lection Polyglots. Of Latin Bibles there is a Nuremberg (1483), Basel (1611), and four volumes of the fourth edition, 1608-1622. Of English Bibles there are two (untranslated) Matthews (1549, 1551), Geneva (1st ed., 1560); also later editions, Geneva's (1562 and later), Bishop's (1572), Luther's version in its several editions (1st ed. of the Post-tau, 1522); and of the second part of the Old Testament (1524); *Dieringensberg's Roman Catechism* version (1534 and 1567), and *Bibel Deutsch* (Augsburg, 1535). Erasmus is represented (editions of 1519, 1522, 1527), also Beza (1st ed., 1565). There are facsimiles of the principal notes, and of Wyclif's and Coverdale's Bibles and Tyndal's New Testament. Catechisms are represented by about 200 volumes, besides a very complete set of American editions of Luther's catechisms. The liturgical collection is made up of several collections brought together, and is particularly rich in materials on the Lutheran liturgy, German church orders and agendas. There are about 1,000 volumes, which include *Parvus's Rationes*, *discursus episcopus* (1453), *Manuale parochianum sacerdotum* (1494), *Ordo servus sacerdotum quosdam in ecclesia Christi aedificandi* (1553), *Quallia's De veteribus acris Christianorum ritibus* (1647), and works in this department by Duranti, Martini, Remondet, Barthe, Manstet, Covellari, and Zuercher. Devotions are well represented, notably *Munster* (1484, Bamberg, 1499), facsimiles, and more modern works. German liturgies give the distinctive character to this collection, among them a manuscript (illuminated) of the fifteenth century, Luther's first liturgical work, *Ordnung des Gottesdienstes in der Gemeinde* (1523), *Erasmus's Mass* (1524), *Quallier's Taufordnung* (1526), and Spangenberg's *Centones ecclesiasticus* (1545). The development of the American Lutheran service is traceable from the material here. In this department musical settings have received attention.

Princeton Theological Seminary, Princeton, N. J.: The Library of the Society of Inquiry is the nucleus (1,062 volumes, 1,207 pamphlets) of a selection of works on missions. In the general library there are collections on Semitics, patristics, and also facsimiles of the leading editions of the Bible. The Spangue Collection of Pamphlets consists of about 20,000 pamphlets collected by William B. Spangue (q.v.) for his *Annals of the American Pulpit*, bound in 1,500 volumes, with additions. Sermons on election and fast days in Massachusetts, Connecticut, and Vermont before the governor and general

Theological Libraries
Theological Section

court, before the Ancient and Honorable Artillery Company (1701-1820), before other bodies, ordination sermons, funeral addresses and sermons, and many other varieties of pulpit discourses are here. Controversial tracts are abundant, such as those on the Trinitarian-Unitarian controversy, on baptism, episcopacy, Quakerism, Roman Catholicism, the Calvinistic-Unitarian controversy, and the like. Local church disputes are also registered by entries, and the anti-slavery movement. The collection of contemporaneous pamphlets on the Synod of Dort contains over 400 pamphlets, and furnishes perhaps the best collection of sources in America. The Hinckley Collection on Kirtland's "Church Law" consists of over 2,000 numbers. The Agnew Collection on the Baptist Controversy consists now of over 2,000 volumes and 3,000 pamphlets, and the range of interests is very wide. The collection of Puritan literature comprises about 2,000 volumes of English and American Puritan writings of the seventeenth and eighteenth centuries, theological, controversial, biographical, and sermons, very many of the numbers being rare if not unique in this country.

Protestant Episcopal Divinity School, Philadelphia, Pa.: The liturgical collection comprises over 500 volumes for the most part on Anglican and Protestant Episcopal usage, but includes such works of wider scope as those of Gouge, Beaumont, Martin Gerbert, and Bartholomew Gayvasto, as well as the *Mount Rosemead*. It contains the publications of the Henry Bradshaw Society and the Surtees Society, editions of the Book of Common Prayer, and pamphlets on the revision of the American Book of Common Prayer.

Rochester Theological Seminary, Rochester, N. Y.: The general library contains the library of Mansueti, his "Church History." The Baptist history collection is perhaps the richest in America on the Anabaptists, and on the English and continental Baptists since the early sixteenth century it has very many works.

Union Theological Seminary, New York, N. Y.: This institution has a wealth of collections in many departments, among which may be named in general 430 incunabula, 37 valuable manuscripts, 1,246 titles of Reformation literature in original editions, over 4,200 volumes in church history, patristics, and canon law; the comprehensive Manual Ministry Jackson collection on Zwingle and the Reformation at Zurich, a selection on the dogma of the immaculate conception, and a large number of editions of Greek New Testaments. The Gillett Collection of American Theology and History abounds in general and local history, ecclesiastical and secular, and in biography. The Field Collection consists of a large number of pamphlets on early American religious history. The Malpas Collection of British Theology and History is rich in material from the seventeenth century; its materials being surpassed in this department only by the Bodleian and British Museum; there are few volumes from the Roman Catholic controversies of the Reformation period, on the early Baptists, Brownists, Independents, and obscure sects, especially valuable is that part which contains the religious and controversial works of the Puritan and Westminster divines and those which deal with the distinct and ecclesiastical controversies of the eighteenth century. The Hymnological Collection has for its nucleus the library of the late Frederick Mayer Hirt (q.v.) and now numbers over 5,000 volumes, accessible through a card catalogue. It embraces foreign worship collections, in which are found the *Hymnals* (Grossscholl of 1741, the French Psalm books of Marot and Bess (Geneva, 1607), Greek paraphrases of the Psalms; a line of Latin hymnals among which may be noted the *Psalms* scores of L. Torricelli (1694), the *Protholoides scholasticorum* of F. Le Tort (1586), *Epigrammes* (1645), Jacob Ballo's *Solus gratia* (1646) as well as George Buchanan's *Psalms* (Geneva, 1607) and *Psalms* (Geneva, 1607). The department of English worship collections is classified according to denominations, and has many early specimens, some of them exceedingly rare. The minor denominations are well represented. Of very high value is that part which contains the Latin versions, in which are a first and several later editions of the Bay Psalm Book (q.v.), a copy of the *editio princeps* of Tate and Brady (1706), and many other rarities. General treatises are well represented, of notable books an unusually rich assemblage, nearly one hundred by Lowell Mason, and about sixty by Thomas Hastings. Sources are also richly present, noticeable among which is a first edition of Taylor's *Prædicationes in Sacris Scholis* (1749).

Wesley Hall, Nashville, Tenn.: This institution has a collection of Methodist disciplines, from the first (1784) up to the present.

Western Theological Seminary, Chicago, Ill.: The Hibbard Egyptian Library comprises about 1,000 volumes, to which additions are constantly made, on Egyptology, comparative religion, ethnology of the Bible and the Orient.

Tate Divinity School, New Haven, Conn.: The Lowell Mason Library of Church Music is a collection of about 8,000 titles in about 4,000 volumes gathered by Lowell Mason, unusually complete in early publications in America, and promoting the development of American musical taste up to the time of Dr. Mason's death. The Foreign Mission Library comprises 8,000 volumes, the hope being to assemble "the entire foreign missionary literature of the Protestant nations of the world." The nucleus consists of copies of all translations of the Scriptures published or sold by the American Bible Society. It therefore includes: translations of the Bible or parts of it made by missionaries; with dictionaries and grammars in the various languages; with other works prepared by missionaries; histories of missionary operations and enterprises; histories of modern missions, including early Jesuit missions; missionary biographies and autobiographies; lists of the reports of many of the Protestant missionary societies, and of the principal American and European missionary periodicals; reports of work among Jews and Mohammedans.

In Canada may be named: *Presbyterian College,*

which contains the religious and controversial works of the Puritan and Westminster divines and those which deal with the distinct and ecclesiastical controversies of the eighteenth century. The Hymnological Collection has for its nucleus the library of the late Frederick Mayer Hirt (q.v.) and now numbers over 5,000 volumes, accessible through a card catalogue. It embraces foreign worship collections, in which are found the *Hymnals* (Grossscholl of 1741, the French Psalm books of Marot and Bess (Geneva, 1607), Greek paraphrases of the Psalms; a line of Latin hymnals among which may be noted the *Psalms* scores of L. Torricelli (1694), the *Protholoides scholasticorum* of F. Le Tort (1586), *Epigrammes* (1645), Jacob Ballo's *Solus gratia* (1646) as well as George Buchanan's *Psalms* (Geneva, 1607) and *Psalms* (Geneva, 1607). The department of English worship collections is classified according to denominations, and has many early specimens, some of them exceedingly rare. The minor denominations are well represented. Of very high value is that part which contains the Latin versions, in which are a first and several later editions of the Bay Psalm Book (q.v.), a copy of the *editio princeps* of Tate and Brady (1706), and many other rarities. General treatises are well represented, of notable books an unusually rich assemblage, nearly one hundred by Lowell Mason, and about sixty by Thomas Hastings. Sources are also richly present, noticeable among which is a first edition of Taylor's *Prædicationes in Sacris Scholis* (1749).

Wesley Hall, Nashville, Tenn.: This institution has a collection of Methodist disciplines, from the first (1784) up to the present.

Western Theological Seminary, Chicago, Ill.: The Hibbard Egyptian Library comprises about 1,000 volumes, to which additions are constantly made, on Egyptology, comparative religion, ethnology of the Bible and the Orient.

Tate Divinity School, New Haven, Conn.: The Lowell Mason Library of Church Music is a collection of about 8,000 titles in about 4,000 volumes gathered by Lowell Mason, unusually complete in early publications in America, and promoting the development of American musical taste up to the time of Dr. Mason's death. The Foreign Mission Library comprises 8,000 volumes, the hope being to assemble "the entire foreign missionary literature of the Protestant nations of the world." The nucleus consists of copies of all translations of the Scriptures published or sold by the American Bible Society. It therefore includes: translations of the Bible or parts of it made by missionaries; with dictionaries and grammars in the various languages; with other works prepared by missionaries; histories of missionary operations and enterprises; histories of modern missions, including early Jesuit missions; missionary biographies and autobiographies; lists of the reports of many of the Protestant missionary societies, and of the principal American and European missionary periodicals; reports of work among Jews and Mohammedans.

In Canada may be named: *Presbyterian College,*

Montreal: The Steverigt Collection contains odd and curious books, including a few incunabula. The Ribaud Collection contains 25 volumes of historical and liturgical manuscripts. The institution possesses, besides, the *Baptism Lectures* (q.v.), the *Magna Pietatis*, the *Compendium* and *London Polyglott*, the *Burling* Rabbinic Bible, and a small collection of other Bibles.

Westray Theological College, Montreal: The *Forbes* Collection consists of the works of Samuel, John, and Charles Wesley.

McMaster Theological Seminary, Toronto, possesses collections of patristics, and classic editions of history and theology of the medieval and Reformation periods.

Victoria College, Toronto, has a collection of pamphlets, reports, and minutes of conferences, and of manuscript material on the history of Canadian Methodism.

W. H. Atkinson:

REFORMATION: Literature on general theories is indicated by G. R. Forester, *History of the Reformation*, London, 1901, 1906. Consult further: W. H. Atkinson, *History of the Reformation*, vol. 1, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 2, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 3, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 4, New York, 1901. Consult also: *History of the Reformation*, vol. 1, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 2, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 3, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 4, New York, 1901.

REFORMATION: Literature on general theories is indicated by G. R. Forester, *History of the Reformation*, London, 1901, 1906. Consult further: W. H. Atkinson, *History of the Reformation*, vol. 1, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 2, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 3, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 4, New York, 1901.

REFORMATION: Literature on general theories is indicated by G. R. Forester, *History of the Reformation*, London, 1901, 1906. Consult further: W. H. Atkinson, *History of the Reformation*, vol. 1, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 2, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 3, New York, 1901; *History of the Reformation*, vol. 4, New York, 1901.

of Adam's sin by a divine constitution, and yet it is his own free act. Nathaniel Finney (q.v.) held that, on account of Adam's sin, when God forms the souls of infants he produces in them by divine efficiency those moral exertions in which moral depravity essentially consists. He agreed with Edwards in the assertion that God is not that made the author of sin, since sin lies not in its cause but in its nature, but differs from him in holding that infants have knowledge of moral law as well as

of Adam's sin by a divine constitution, and yet it is his own free act. Nathaniel Finney (q.v.) held that, on account of Adam's sin, when God forms the souls of infants he produces in them by divine efficiency those moral exertions in which moral depravity essentially consists. He agreed with Edwards in the assertion that God is not that made the author of sin, since sin lies not in its cause but in its nature, but differs from him in holding that infants have knowledge of moral law as well as

of Adam's sin by a divine constitution, and yet it is his own free act. Nathaniel Finney (q.v.) held that, on account of Adam's sin, when God forms the souls of infants he produces in them by divine efficiency those moral exertions in which moral depravity essentially consists. He agreed with Edwards in the assertion that God is not that made the author of sin, since sin lies not in its cause but in its nature, but differs from him in holding that infants have knowledge of moral law as well as

of Adam's sin by a divine constitution, and yet it is his own free act. Nathaniel Finney (q.v.) held that, on account of Adam's sin, when God forms the souls of infants he produces in them by divine efficiency those moral exertions in which moral depravity essentially consists. He agreed with Edwards in the assertion that God is not that made the author of sin, since sin lies not in its cause but in its nature, but differs from him in holding that infants have knowledge of moral law as well as

of Adam's sin by a divine constitution, and yet it is his own free act. Nathaniel Finney (q.v.) held that, on account of Adam's sin, when God forms the souls of infants he produces in them by divine efficiency those moral exertions in which moral depravity essentially consists. He agreed with Edwards in the assertion that God is not that made the author of sin, since sin lies not in its cause but in its nature, but differs from him in holding that infants have knowledge of moral law as well as

of Adam's sin by a divine constitution, and yet it is his own free act. Nathaniel Finney (q.v.) held that, on account of Adam's sin, when God forms the souls of infants he produces in them by divine efficiency those moral exertions in which moral depravity essentially consists. He agreed with Edwards in the assertion that God is not that made the author of sin, since sin lies not in its cause but in its nature, but differs from him in holding that infants have knowledge of moral law as well as

tion of moral action. According to Timothy Dwight (q.v.) God permits but does not create sin. Leonard Woods (q.v.) in distinction from the Westminster Catechism (*Letters*, Boston, 1827) denies the imputation of sinful disposition or act to man which is not strictly his own; this may, however, begin with the life of the soul (ib. p. 305). The other aspect of sin discussed was the divine permission of sin. Here the fundamental position was that sin is the necessary means of the greatest good. This Wood, Nathaniel William Taylor (q.v.) assumed divine decrees in the Calvinistic sense, and, on the other hand, natural ability to obey God as the basis of accountability, together with a propensity to sin which was in some sense sinful, he, however, denied imputation. According to him, there is no hereditary but only voluntary sin, arising in a disposition which becomes sinful only when the soul yields to it. Looking back over the course of this discussion, it is seen to issue in four great affirmations, all of which modified the strict Calvinism of an earlier day: (1) original sin is incompatible with the nature of infants, and with adult accountability; (2) Moral action is certain, but is coupled with "power to the contrary"; (3) Concerning the divine permission of sin, or whether sin was the necessary means of the greatest good, the affirmation was that God could not wholly prevent sin in a moral world, and that sin was never either a good or necessary; (4) Sin may be forgiven by means not of Christ's payment of a debt but of his maintenance of the divine government. Here are indeed great gains over the positions of Edwards, but in the reasoning by which they are reached one is reminded of the Judaic, medieval, and Lutheran substitutionism.

In the following presentation of more recent thought it is not assumed that contributions of the same nature and even of similar value have not been made by English and continental authors.

Attention is, however, directed to the American field. Taking up in order the subjects which have been treated in the first paragraph above, there is first the doctrine of man. Expansion has taken place in three directions, two of which are diametrically opposed to each other, while a third, although unrepresented, has not been less effective. More than to any other source the conception of the inherent, immeasurable, and indefeasible worth of the soul has owed its initiative and defense to Unitarian thinkers, to W. E. Channing (q.v.) first of all, "Sermon Preached at the Installation of Jared Sparks in Baltimore, 1815," Boston, 1815. On the other hand, Calvinism, even his essential glory and shined his pride in the dust; but since it made him the highest creature in the universe, subject of the divine decrees, in whose interest the entire machinery of redemption was set in operation, thus expressing the whole consciousness and purpose of God, he was inevitably exalted to a position of the highest significance (cf. J. Edwards, *Freedom of the Will*, also H. Bushnell, q.v.,

"Dignity of Human Nature seen in his Redeem" in *Sermons for the New Life*, New York, 1858). Moreover, the previous development of the doctrine of sin and the general advance in humanitarian spirit, evidenced partly by the lofty ethical idealism of Kant (q.v.), partly by the spiritual philosophy of Coleridge (q.v.), and partly by the great moral reforms which agitated the first six decades of the nineteenth century, raised the entire conception of evolution two contributions of great value have been made, neither by theologians, but both issuing in the religious interest, J. Le Conte, *Evolution and its Relation to Religious Thought* (New York, 1861), and J. Fiske, *The Destiny of Man Viewed in the Light of his Origin* (Boston, 1854). The works which deal with immortality, including conditional immortality, are among the most fruitful additions to American thought on this subject (see *Encyclopaedia*, VIII.). Outside of the writings of Unitarianism (see *Unitarianism*) future prediction was advanced in *Progressive Orthodoxy* by professors in Andover Theological Seminary (ib. 1886), who maintained that since the final judgment is Christian judgment, the opportunity to accept this must come consecutively to every soul.

The person of Christ has received attention from two different interests—his character and his essential nature. Chief among the treatises on his character are, W. E. Channing's *Works*, "The Immutability of the Character of Christ" (ib. 1875), H. Bushnell, *Notes and the Supremacy*, "The Character of Jesus" (New York, 1858), J. A. Brodus (q.v.), *Jesus of Nazareth* (ib. 1898), E. Jefferson (q.v.), *The Character of Jesus* (ib. 1908), N. Schmidt, *Prophet of Nazareth* (ib. 1907). The principal attempts to reconstruct the doctrine of the inner nature of Christ have been made by H. Bushnell, *God as Christ* (ib. 1849), in which the content of Jesus's consciousness is declared to be divine, the form human, and by H. M. Grobner, *Christ and Humanity* (ib. 1873), which finds the eternal humanity in God the principle of the incarnation—a view not unlike that presented by O. A. Gordon (q.v.) in *The Christ of Today* (Boston, 1905). The incomprehensibility of God and man offers a clue to other presentations of the person of Christ: H. Van Dyke (q.v.), *The Gospel for an Age of Doubt*, lect. IV (New York, 1896); T. De Witt Hyde (q.v.), *Social Theology*, p. 60 (1895); F. Palmer, *Shades in Theologic Definition* (1895).

Significant contributions have been made to the doctrine of the atonement. In addition to those referred to in the article on atonement and satisfaction which defined traditional positions, Atonement. Five works require attention: H. Bushnell, *The Vicarious Sacrifice* (ib. 1865), in which love is suggested as the secret of Christ's sacrifice; H. C. Trumbull (q.v.), *The Blood Covenant* (ib. 1885), which presents sacrifice as an original form of blood-convancing blood-brotherhood between God and man effected by transfusion of blood; and God and man united in the blood of Christ; professors in Andover Theological Seminary, *Progressive Orthodoxy* (Boston, 1886), in which God is seen to be propitiated by man's repentance, and

Christ's "sympathetic repentance" in his work is a "salvation of humanity that Christ for humanity means Christ"; C. C. Everett, *The Gospel of Paul* (St. Louis, 1905) which represents the same as removed on account of Paul's view of Christ's death on the cross outside of the walls of the Holy City; and E. D. Burton and others, *The Biblical Idea of the Atonement* (Chicago, 1909), where the statement is for the first time brought into line with the social consciousness of sin and salvation.

For contributions on the Spirit of God see **SPYRUS** or **GOY**; on conversion and religious experience, see **CONVERSION**, also **Supplements to RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA**.

In Apologetics (q.v.) the most notable contributions have been by Henry B. Smith (q.v.), *The Es-*

sayes of Faith and Philosophy (New York, 1877); Horace Bushnell, *Notes and the Supernatural* (St. Louis, 1858); John Pike, *The Idea of God* (Boston, 1866); and *Through Nature to God* (St. Louis, 1899); Apologetics W. A. Brown, *The Essence of Christianity* (New York, 1902); G. W. Knox (q.v.), *The Direct and Fundamental Proof of the Christian Religion* (St. Louis, 1903); G. B. Foster, *The Finality of the Christian Religion* (Chicago, 1906); and G. A. Gordon, *Religion and Morals* (Boston, 1909).

The foregoing presentation has not aimed to be exhaustive, some subjects having been omitted and only few books on each subject named, but the main lines have been indicated and leading works suggested. C. A. Beckwith.

THEOLOGICAL SEMINARIES.

- | | | |
|--|-------------------------------------|------------------------------|
| I. Baptist. | 2. Hartford. | 3. Columbia. |
| 1. Divinity School of the University of Chicago. | 3. Luther. | 3. Columbia. |
| 2. Oakland. | 11. Mount Airy. | 4. Southwestern. |
| 3. Chicago. | 12. Columbia (formerly Mount Airy). | 11. Union (Georgetown, Va.). |
| 4. Kansas City. | 13. Peasland. | 12. Lutheran (Philadelphia). |
| 5. New York. | 14. Saint Anthony Park. | 13. Fitchburg. |
| 6. Rochester. | 15. Newburgh. | 14. United Presbyterian. |
| 7. Southern. | 16. Swanton. | 15. Zion. |
| 8. Southwestern. | 17. New York. | 16. Protestant Episcopal. |
| 9. Virginia Union. | 18. New York. | 17. Zion. |
| 10. Washington. | 19. New York. | 18. Protestant Episcopal. |
| II. Episcopal. | 20. New York. | 19. Zion. |
| 1. Episcopal. | 21. New York. | 20. Zion. |
| 2. Episcopal. | 22. New York. | 21. Zion. |
| 3. Episcopal. | 23. New York. | 22. Zion. |
| 4. Episcopal. | 24. New York. | 23. Zion. |
| 5. Episcopal. | 25. New York. | 24. Zion. |
| 6. Episcopal. | 26. New York. | 25. Zion. |
| 7. Episcopal. | 27. New York. | 26. Zion. |
| 8. Episcopal. | 28. New York. | 27. Zion. |
| 9. Episcopal. | 29. New York. | 28. Zion. |
| 10. Episcopal. | 30. New York. | 29. Zion. |
| 11. Episcopal. | 31. New York. | 30. Zion. |
| 12. Episcopal. | 32. New York. | 31. Zion. |
| 13. Episcopal. | 33. New York. | 32. Zion. |
| 14. Episcopal. | 34. New York. | 33. Zion. |
| 15. Episcopal. | 35. New York. | 34. Zion. |
| 16. Episcopal. | 36. New York. | 35. Zion. |
| 17. Episcopal. | 37. New York. | 36. Zion. |
| 18. Episcopal. | 38. New York. | 37. Zion. |
| 19. Episcopal. | 39. New York. | 38. Zion. |
| 20. Episcopal. | 40. New York. | 39. Zion. |
| 21. Episcopal. | 41. New York. | 40. Zion. |
| 22. Episcopal. | 42. New York. | 41. Zion. |
| 23. Episcopal. | 43. New York. | 42. Zion. |
| 24. Episcopal. | 44. New York. | 43. Zion. |
| 25. Episcopal. | 45. New York. | 44. Zion. |
| 26. Episcopal. | 46. New York. | 45. Zion. |
| 27. Episcopal. | 47. New York. | 46. Zion. |
| 28. Episcopal. | 48. New York. | 47. Zion. |
| 29. Episcopal. | 49. New York. | 48. Zion. |
| 30. Episcopal. | 50. New York. | 49. Zion. |

[In the preparation of the present article every possible effort has been made to secure completeness, and to that end a letter was sent by the editors to some persons of authority in each theological seminary of every religious communion in the United States. In the interests of strict accuracy it has been deemed best to give accounts of those institutions only from which replies were received. Accordingly, non-mention of a seminary in the article implies that the editors received no response to their request for information.]

I. Baptist—1. Divinity School of the University of Chicago: This institution, formerly known as "The Baptist Union Theological Seminary," was founded by "The Baptist Theological Union, located at Chicago," when, in 1855, W. W. Cook of Whitehall, N. Y., and Lawrence Barnes and Mill Davis of Burlington, Vt., subscribed an annual joint sum of \$1,500 for five years, thus making possible the organization of the work of instruction. Some preliminary work was done in 1855-56, when a few students received training from Dr. Nathaniel Oliver and Rev. J. C. C. Clark, who in 1857, when Dr. George W. Northrup, professor of church history in Rochester Theological Seminary, was made professor of systematic theology, and Dr. John B. Jackson, pastor in Albany, N. Y., was made pro-

fessor of church history. The number of students the first year was twenty, and the first building of the seminary, including lecture-rooms, dormitories, and four residences for professors, was dedicated in July, 1859, in which year Dr. G. W. Northrup was made president of the institution. In 1873 Scandinavian department was organized which later developed into the Swedish Theological Seminary and the Danish-Norwegian Theological Seminary. These two seminaries in 1910 having sixty-three students. After ten years of work in the city, during which the annual attendance of students had increased from twenty in 1857 to a little over eighty in 1870-77, the seminary was transferred from its location in Chicago to the suburb of Morgan Park, where it remained until 1892, prospering during those fifteen years in all departments of its work. Beginning with endowment funds of \$50,000 in 1877, it had increased these to \$250,000 in 1892, while the number of students so grew that in 1891-1892 it reached 130.

The University of Chicago opened its doors to students Oct. 1, 1892, and by an agreement between the board of trustees of the university and of the seminary the latter became "The Divinity School of the University of Chicago," so that, on the opening of the university, it transferred its work to the buildings of that institution in the city. In connection with this transfer Dr. G. W. Northrup, who had conducted the affairs of the seminary with distinguished ability, resigned the presidency and was succeeded by Dr. Wm. Rainey Harper (q.v.), president of the university, whose incumbency continued until his death in 1896, when he was succeeded by Dr. Harry Pratt Judson, the new president of the university. On the union of the seminary with the university in 1892 and the transfer of its work to Chicago as "The Divinity School of the University of Chicago," Dr. Ed. B. Hubbard (q.v.), who had occupied the chair of church history for eleven years, was made dean of the school, and continued to fill this position until his death in 1907. By the terms of the union of the two institutions, under which the divinity school has prospered greatly, the seminary became the sole divinity school of the university, the president of the university became the president of the school, the board of the school turned over to the university the conferring of degrees, the department of Old Testament and Semitic studies was transferred to the university, vision and direction of matters pertaining to instruction, and the university agreed to confer the election of all professors and instructors in the school when and to the extent that the funds available for the school should admit. Ample dormitories have been built for the divinity school on the university grounds, and its work has been conducted in the buildings of the university, except that the Scandinavian departments have occupied one of the former buildings of the school at Morgan Park. The number of students has rapidly increased, and during the year 1909-10 was 423, this large attendance being in part accounted for by the four-quarter system which was instituted on the union of the school with the university. There are four quarters

in the school year—the summer, autumn, winter, and spring quarters—of approximately twelve weeks each. Students may take their vacation in any one of these quarters, or by taking no vacation, except the annual one in September of a full month, may complete the three-years' course in two years. Students may, with the approval of the dean, take courses in other departments of the university, and so close to the union that the opportunities of a great university are thus open to the students of the divinity school. The libraries belonging to the divinity school are that of Prof. F. W. Hengstenberg (q.v.), late of the University of Berlin, that of Dr. George B. Ide, the Cobwell library of the American Bible Union, and other collections of books of history, science, sociology, literature, and theology, to which a thousand or more volumes are added yearly, while the libraries of the university, containing 600,000 volumes, are also open to divinity students. The two men who have made the greatest financial contributions to the institution are E. Nelson Blake and John D. Rockefeller, who have made possible the securing of the present productive endowment funds of the school, which, including \$100,000 held for it by the university, aggregate \$300,000. The income of this fund being insufficient to carry on the work of the school, a large sum is appropriated annually by the university toward the current expenses.

The more prominent of the professors who have been connected with the school are the following: Drs. George W. Northrup, John B. Jackson, A. N. Arnold, Wm. Hagen, Edward C. Mitchell (q.v.), R. E. Feltton, Thomas J. Morgan, James B. Bann, Wm. B. Harper (q.v.), Ira M. Price (q.v.), Ed. B. Hubbard (q.v.), Justin A. Smith, John A. Edger, Nath. P. Jensen, Gabaha Anderson (q.v.), Franklin Johnson (q.v.), Adairam Sage, Ernest D. Burton (q.v.), Charles H. Henslow, Shailer Mathews (q.v.), George B. Foster (q.v.), John W. Moorehead, Edgar C. Goodspeed, Henrik Gunderson, Carl G. Lagergren, Harry P. Judson, Andrew C. McLaughlin, Theodore G. Sorens, Edward Justice, Alvaro E. Parker, Gerald B. Smith, Alvin Hobbes, Shirley J. Cass, and Benjamin A. Greene. Dr. Shailer Mathews was appointed junior dean of the school in 1899, and was made sole dean in 1908. The board of trustees consists of fifteen members, divided into three classes of five members each, holding office three years, who are chosen as elected by the corporation of "The Baptist Theological Union, located at Chicago."

Among the principles for which the divinity school of the University of Chicago has stood are liberty of teaching, the historical method in the study of the Bible, and the practical application of Christianity to the immediate needs and problems of modern social life. In marked work it has introduced the four-quarter system and the employment during the summer quarter of unusual professors from other institutions of this and other countries, thus affording to students and members of other institutions large opportunities for additional study and training. It has published two periodicals, *The Biblical World* since 1893, and *The American Journal of Theology* since 1908.

THOMAS WAKEFIELD GOODSPEED.

B. Colgate This institution, the oldest Baptist theological seminary in America, had its historic beginning in "thirteen men, thirteen prayers, and thirteen dollars," and the resulting organization, in Sept., 1817, of the Baptist Education Society of the State of New York, incorporated in 1819, with the purpose of establishing an institution which should afford opportunity for a thorough theological education, including a full literary and scientific course of training and culture. The first student, Jonathan Wade, later renewed in missionary Canada, was received in 1818, but it was not till 1820 that the school was definitely organized at Hamilton, N. Y., which became known as "The Hamilton Literary and Theological Institution." Rev. David Haswell, one of the founders, was the first professor, while among other members of the faculty in the earlier years, Nathaniel Kendrick, Barnas Sears (q.v.), Joel S. Bacon, George W. Eaton, and Thomas J. Conant (q.v.) acquired national reputations as scholars and educators. Of the present faculty, the following, who have rendered fifteen or more years of service, are well known in the Baptist world: William H. Maynard, Sylvester Burnham, Arthur Jones, David F. Estes (q.v.), George B. Berry, and William Newton Clarke (q.v.). In 1830, the institution admitted students not having the ministry in view, which led naturally to the organization of a college, which in 1845 was incorporated under the title of Madison (since Colgate) University. The attempt, finally defeated in 1850, to merge the two institutions from Hamilton created a serious crisis out of which both moved into increasing prosperity. Three successive congresses (1847, 1853, 1860) between the Education Society and the university have been the basis of the administrative and educational control of the seminary. While the latter has itself no funds, it is the chief beneficiary of the Education Society, the productive endowment of which at the present time (1910) exceeds \$750,000. Its library is merged in that of the university, which contains over 10,000 volumes besides periodicals and pamphlets; and the Samuel Colgate Baptist Historical Collection, which is rendered, in the most complete way in this country in materials relating to the history of the Baptists in England and America. Already the Theological Seminary of Colgate University, to use the present name, has sent out nearly 4,000 students and graduates, of whom nearly 100 have rendered missionary service on foreign fields. The courses of instruction at present cover three years; the senior class spends one term in New York City where it studies the religious and social problems of the large city and methods of religious work. In 1907, an Italian department was opened in Brooklyn for the training of Christian workers among the Italians in America.

WILLIAM H. ALLBORN.
Baconian. *James Bacon: First Half-Century of His Life.* Boston: Theobald, n. d. (originally published at Hamilton, N. Y., 1872).

B. Crozer Crozer Theological Seminary is located just outside the limits of Chester, Pa., in the borough of Upland, Delaware Co. On Nov. 2, 1868, the widow and seven children of John Price Crozer endowed the seminary with land, buildings, and in-

vested funds amounting to \$775,000, and on Apr. 4, 1867, the institution was incorporated by act of legislature. Its trustees and faculty are Baptists, but students of any denomination are admitted. Its earliest instructors were Henry O. Weston (q.v.); president and professor of pastoral theology; G. D. B. Pepper (q.v.); professor of theology; Howard Coppee (q.v.); professor of Hebrew and church history; and Lemuel Moss (professor of New-Testament literature). The seminary was formally opened Oct. 2, 1868, and graduated its first class of eight students in June, 1870. Since then 696 men have been graduated, including the class of 1910, and 437 others have pursued studies without graduation. Though the youngest of Baptist theological schools, Crozer's roll of alumni includes many of the foremost men in the denomination. It has always stood for the best possible training of every man who is called to the ministry; and among its prominent instructors have been George B. Ellis, professor of Biblical interpretation; John C. Long, professor of systematic theology; and James M. Stiller, professor of New-Testament exegesis.

The seminary has a faculty of twelve professors and instructors and a board of twenty trustees who direct their successors; is empowered to confer degrees in theology; and confers the degrees of B.D. and Th.M. (for work done (on honorary diploma). The first president, Henry O. Weston, died Feb. 6, 1870, after a service of forty-one years, and Prof. Milton G. Evans was chosen his successor in June of the same year. In 1910 there were eighty-nine students enrolled (among them being one Methodist and one Disciple), including six resident graduates and one special student. The productive endowment is \$600,400 and the number of books in the library is nearly 25,000.

Henry C. Venness.
Baconian. *Historical Sketch of Crozer Theological Seminary, Chester, Pa., 1868.* A history of the institution is printed in its catalogue each year.

B. Kansas City The Kansas City Baptist Theological Seminary, located at Kansas City, Wyandotte Co., Kan., was founded in 1891 to meet the need of the Baptists of the Middle West for an institution devoted exclusively to ministerial education, none such then existing west of Chicago and Louisville. Rev. E. B. Meredith, missionary secretary of the Kansas Baptist State Convention, was president of the board of trustees, and on his retirement in 1902, Rev. S. A. Northrup, of Kansas City, Mo., took his place. Rev. B. W. Whitman was financial secretary, and others influential in the founding of the school were Rev. I. N. Clark and Rev. S. M. Brown, both of Kansas City, Mo.; Rev. J. F. Wells, of Kansas City, Kan.; and Prof. M. L. Ward, of Kansas University, Kan. Mrs. Charles Lovelock, of Turner, Kan., gave 115 acres of land as the "Merritt E. Butler Foundation," in honor of her deceased husband, and this property, now worth from \$75,000 to \$115,000, formed the nucleus of the school's resources. The seminary is under the control of the Baptist denomination; nevertheless its trustees must be Baptists, and the Convention of each contributing state may nominate at least one trustee to represent it on the board, and

may appoint yearly a visiting committee. Instruction began Oct., 1892, with five students and the following faculty: Rev. James F. Wells, acting executive and professor of church history and English scriptures; Rev. A. C. Rafferty, systematic theology; Rev. F. L. Streeter, New-Testament Greek; and Rev. P. W. Cannon, homiletics and pastoral theology. In May, 1903, Dr. Cannon became president, and in Sept., 1905, the chair of Hebrew (Prof. Henry T. Martin) and public speaking (Prof. P. K. Dilleboeck) were added, while in 1908 the departments of Christian sociology and religious pedagogy (Prof. W. R. Rafferty) were created. The seminary seeks, on the basis of an intelligent conservatism, and a profound faith in the deity of Christ and the inspiration of the Scriptures, to furnish a broad, scholarly, well-balanced, and emphatically practical training for the pastorate. While its curriculum covers all phases of the minister's preparation, special attention is paid to the English Bible, homiletics, pastoral theology, evangelism, pedagogy, and sociology, in which latter departments it is one of the pioneers, while missions is also one of its specialties. It seeks to supply especially the Middle West, although its student body is drawn from every part of the Union and from several foreign countries. Of its hundred graduates and former students, chiefly settled in Kansas, Missouri, and Oklahoma, many are found in other states, principally in the West. Its classes are freely open to women, either as special or as regular students. It has (1910) twenty-two trustees from Kansas, Missouri, Oklahoma, Iowa, Colorado, and Nebraska; seven instructors (six regular, one special); two field secretaries; and fifty-one students from nine colleges and from thirteen states and countries. Its resources are \$170,000, including an endowment of \$75,000, and its library contains 3,500 volumes. Rev. Philip Wendell Cannon is president, and Rev. H. B. Dewart (professor of Hebrew) is secretary of the faculty, while Rev. J. F. Wells is field secretary, and Rev. B. W. Whitman is associate.

B. Newton Newton Theological Institution is located on the summit of a beautiful hill in Newton Centre, Mass., and occupies fifty-two acres, including walking paths, lawns, arboretum, and athletic grounds. The institution was founded in 1825, and is the oldest seminary established exclusively for American Baptists for the purpose of providing college graduates with a suitable course of theological instruction occupying three years. Courses are offered in the oriental and Greek languages, the history and interpretation of the Old and New Testaments, church history, theology, homiletics and pastoral duties, sociology and social reform, missions, religious psychology and Sunday-school pedagogy, and church music. While the privileges of the institution have been intended primarily for college graduates, students who can present evidence of equivalent training and of maturity of mind are received in special instances. The institution is controlled by a board of forty-eight trustees, including both ministers and laymen. The work of the seminary began with a single professor, Rev. Iraha Chase (q.v.), with whom tran-

Henry J. Ripley was associated in 1829; in 1834 Rev. James J. Knicker was added to the faculty; and in 1836 Rev. Barnas Sears (q.v.). Professor Knowles died in 1838, after a short period of brilliant service; and in 1839 Rev. H. B. Harkness (q.v.) was made professor of Biblical literature and interpretation. All of these were eminent scholars and teachers; and the institution, though financially weak, prospered under their care. From 1839 to 1846 the number of professors was four; in 1846 an assistant instructor in Hebrew was added; and from 1868 to 1869 there were five regular professors, one of them the president, and a teacher of elocution. After years of service as a professor, Rev. Alvah Hovey (q.v.) was chosen president of the seminary in 1866, and continued in that office for thirty years. With the inauguration of President George K. Herr (q.v.) in 1908, the curriculum was enlarged to include instruction in sociology, religious psychology and pedagogy, and church music. The permanent board of instruction includes: in the Biblical departments, Professors Charles H. Brown (q.v.), Frederick L. Anderson, and Wilbur N. Emmons; in church history and sociology, President Herr and Prof. Henry K. Rose (q.v.); in theology, Prof. George Crook; in homiletics, Prof. John M. English (q.v.); and in elocution, Prof. Samuel S. Cherry. Additional lecturers are appointed from year to year to supplement the regular staff, and a weekly convocation of faculty and students brings many other speakers to the seminary.

There are registered in the present year (1910) ninety-two students, of whom thirteen are post-graduates (candidates for the degrees of B.D. and S.T.M.), seventy-four are undergraduates, and five are young women preparing for foreign missionary service after a year's resident study. Students come from all parts of the United States and Canada, from England, Germany, Sweden, and the Far East, and thirty-seven scholars and students are represented. A summer school is held in June of each year, and in 1910 fifty-seven students were in attendance. The Gordon School, a training-school for Christian workers, with twenty years of history and with sixty students in attendance, is affiliated with the institution, although located in Boston. The institution has a well-selected library of about 20,000 volumes, and a commodious reading-room. The library is open to students fourteen hours every day, except Saturday evening and Sunday, and has an income of approximately \$10,000 for the purchase of books and periodicals. To meet other expenses the institution has an endowment of \$600,550, besides forty-six scholarships involving a total of \$120,000 for the benefit of indigent students. It has six principal buildings: Colby Hall, containing the chapel and lecture-rooms, Farwell Hall and Stratton Hall, which are heated by steam and have rooms comfortably furnished for seventy students, besides the dining-hall and the reception rooms, the Hills Library and Hartshorn Reading-room, a President's house, and a gymnasium. About 1,200 students have been connected with the institution, although some of them have not taken the full course. One hundred and twenty have gone from it to be missionaries in foreign fields, and more than

half as many have been made presidents and professors in colleges and theological seminaries, though most of the graduates have become pastors in America.

6. Rochester: The Baptist theological seminary in Rochester, N. Y., was established in 1850 by "The New York Baptist Union for Ministerial Education." A fund of \$100,000 was raised, largely through the efforts of Rev. Pharoah Church and John N. Wilder, and Oren Sage, while five professors, accompanied by many of their students, transferred their activity from Hamilton (now Colgate) University and Seminary to Rochester, two of the professors, Thomas J. Conant (q.v.) and John S. Maginnis, joining the faculty of the new seminary, while the others became instructors in the equally new University of Rochester, which, though also under Baptist influence, has no organic connection with the seminary. The first class graduated from the seminary numbered six, and in 1851-52 there were two professors and twenty-six students. Among the distinguished members of its faculty have been Eschel G. Robinson (president, 1866-77), John H. Raymond, George W. Northrup, Howard Cogswell (q.v.), Albert Henry Newman (q.v.), Benjamin O. Truitt, and Henry E. Robins (q.v.). In 1852 a German department was added to the seminary, its curriculum being entirely distinct from that of the seminary itself, since it is designed especially for the training of German Baptists who, without full college education, may desire to enter the ministry of their denomination. Apart from the early years, when the difficulties and anxiety incident in the ancient undertaking were increased by some tension with the older sister institution, Hamilton, the record of the Rochester seminary has been one of steady growth. Its aim has been to make its graduates not merely students and preachers, but men of thinking ability and practical force, and it has done much to give an aggressive, independent tone to the ministry of their denomination.

In 1910 the seminary had a faculty of eleven (Augustus H. Strong, president) and a board of thirty-three trustees, eleven of whom are elected each year by the New York Baptist Union, which from the very first has maintained and controlled the institution. It had in 1910, 167 students, of whom seventy-seven were in the German Department, and its courses are open to members of all denominations. Its total assets in 1910 were estimated at \$2,112,292, and its productive endowment at \$1,689,000, from which some generous provision is made for scholarships for needy students, as well as for fellowships. The library contains over 3,700 volumes, including the entire collection of the church historian J. A. W. Newlander, which was presented to the seminary by Howell S. Burrows in 1850, and the beginnings of a museum of Biblical geography and ethnology have been made.

7. Rochester: The Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, now located in Louisville, Ky., was established in 1850 by the Southern Baptist Con-

vention—a step which had been decided in May, 1837, at an educational convention of Southern Baptists at Louisville. The leading spirit in the foundation of the seminary was James F. Boyce (q.v.), who was ably assisted by John A. Broadus (q.v.), these two together with Basil Maule, Jr., and William Williams, constituting the first faculty. The seminary was started at Greenville, S. C., but during the Civil War it was forced to close, its professors supporting themselves by preaching and other religious work. In the fall of 1865 the institution, badly crippled in finances, reopened its doors and maintained a precarious existence in Greenville until 1877, when in hope of endorsement from states that had suffered less from the war, and in receipt of overtures from Kentucky Baptists, it was removed to Louisville. Here its tenure was equally uncertain until 1880, when a large donation from Joseph E. Brown, of Georgia, put it on a footing which assures its life and growth.

The seminary stands for the highest conservative-progressive scholarship in the education of the ministry, and its curriculum is designed to make its graduates practically efficient in pastoral life, in the pulpit, and in all forms of denominational leadership. It was the first theological institution to adopt the elective system in its course of study, and the first to open its doors to men without college training, as well as among the first to introduce Sunday-school pedagogy in its regular curriculum.

Among the prominent members of the faculty in the past may be mentioned Rev. William H. Whitsett (q.v.) and Rev. E. C. Dugas (q.v.; resigned) and Rev. F. H. Konfort (deceased). All the present faculty (in number, are presented in chronological life, and include Edgar Y. Mullins (q.v.; president and professor of theology), John E. Sweeney (Old-Testament interpretation), A. T. Robertson (q.v.; New-Testament interpretation), W. J. McGlothlin (church history), W. G. Carter (comparative religion and missions), George B. Eger (Biblical introduction and pastoral theology), B. H. De Mot (q.v.; Sunday-school pedagogy), C. S. Gardner (hymnology and ecumenology), and T. M. Hawes (deacon). The trustees, elected from three nominating boards for each vacancy by the Southern Baptist Convention, number sixty-seven, and represent the southern states, the number from each state depending on the amount of money contributed to the endowment fund of the institution from the state in question. This board of trustees meets annually in connection with the meeting of the Southern Baptist Convention, and an executive committee elected by the board has general charge of affairs between the annual meetings. The management of endowment funds is vested in a financial board elected annually by those who have contributed \$1,000 or more toward the endowment, this election being ratified by the board of trustees. The majority of the students, who in 1910 numbered upward of 300, come principally from the southern states, though many are from the North, and some every year are from foreign lands. The great majority of the students are Baptists, but the classes of the seminary are open to members of any denomination who are properly recommended. The

invested funds now amount to about \$255,000, apart from grounds and buildings; the library contains about 25,000 bound volumes.

8. Southwestern: The permanent home of this institution since Oct., 1910, has been Fort Worth, Texas Co., Tex. The seminary was founded in 1901 at the Theological Department of Baylor University, Waco, Tex., and originated in the desire of Dr. B. H. Carroll, one of the most eminent preachers, denominational leaders, and theologians of the Southwestern, to supply the 1,000,000 Baptists of the South with an educated ministry. Dr. Carroll had been for nearly thirty years pastor of the First Baptist Church, Waco, and president of the board of trustees of the university, and was at that time secretary of the educational commission of the Texas Baptist Convention. To aid in the development of this department of the university Prof. Albert Henry Newman (q.v.), at that time a member of the theological faculty of McMaster University, Toronto, Canada, was induced to accept a position in Baylor University, and with him was associated Prof. R. N. Barrett, Dr. Carroll's successor in the chair of systematic theology, and began giving comprehensive courses of lectures on the English Bible, and a reasonably full course of theological studies was provided from the beginning.

Dr. Barrett died in 1903 and was succeeded by Dr. B. H. De Mot (q.v.; now professor in the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary), who in turn was succeeded in 1904 by Dr. L. W. Doobin. By 1905 it had become practicable, through the efforts of Dr. Carroll, to enlarge the faculty. Dr. Calvin Goodspeed, an eminent Canadian theologian, apologist, and polemicist, and Dr. C. B. Williams to that of church history and history of doctrine, and Dr. Doobin that of Hebrew and cognate languages, and literature. In 1907 Dr. J. D. Ray was appointed professor of homiletics, missions, and pastoral duties, and in 1908 Dr. L. R. Scarborough became professor of exegesis and field secretary, and Dr. J. J. Reeve succeeded Dr. Doobin. From 1905 the designation "Baylor Theological Seminary" was employed.

By the autumn of 1907 the conviction had been reached that the interests of both seminary and university demanded the separation of the two institutions and the removal of the former to another city, and university and seminary officials cooperated in securing the occurrence of the State Convention in 1907 appointed a board of trustees and arranged for securing a charter from the state of Texas, and this was accomplished in March, 1908, the title "Southwestern Baptist Theological Seminary" having been previously adopted. The sessions 1908-09 and 1909-10 were, however, conducted in the buildings of Baylor University.

In Oct., 1909, the trustees of the seminary accepted the offer of the Baptists and others in Fort Worth to contribute \$100,000 or more for the erection of a building with ample grounds, if the subscription would choose Fort Worth as its location. A building costing nearly \$150,000 has been erected, and, in addition to the site, supposed to be worth at least \$100,000, have been donated for the trustees.

As already intimated, the seminary is under the control of the Baptist denomination and aims to assist in providing a thoroughly educated, reverent, conservative, consecrated ministry for the home and foreign field. The trustees, twenty-five in number, appointed by the state convention, with provision for additional members to be appointed by other southwestern state conventions, constitute the governing body, but the internal management is almost entirely in the hands of the faculty.

The charter provides also for a Women's Training School, and provision has been made for the immediate inauguration of this department of work, the seminary professors being the chief instructors, and a number of special courses by other lecturers having been arranged for. The number of students enrolled for the session 1909-10 was 261. With few exceptions the students are Baptists, though the institution is freely open to Christian students of all evangelical denominations. Thirteen states and four foreign countries (England, Peru, Portugal, and Mexico) were represented in the student body. Besides the Fort Worth building lot mentioned above, a cash endowment of over \$200,000 and a considerable sustentation fund have been subscribed through the efforts of Drs. Carroll and Scarborough, and others.

A. H. NEMANEC. B. VIRGINIA STATES: This school, which is properly speaking, the theological department of Virginia Union University, is located at Richmond, Va., and is a union of three schools established for freedom immediately after the Civil War: Dr. Edward Turner's school, opened in Washington, D. C., in 1865, and sustained for the most part by the National Theological Institute and University; Wayland Seminary, opened in Washington, D. C., in 1869 by the American Baptist Home Mission Society, and united with Dr. Turner's school in 1869 under the name of Wayland Seminary and under the presidency of Dr. G. M. P. King; and a school in Richmond, Va., first conducted for a year (1865-66) by Dr. J. G. Blinney under the American Baptist Home Mission Society, reopened in 1867 by Dr. Nathaniel Colver under the National Theological Institute and University, but transferred to the American Baptist Home Mission Society in 1869, presided over for thirty years (1869-99) by Dr. Charles E. Corey, and called successively Colver Institute (1867-70), Richmond Institute (1870-86), and Richmond Theological Seminary (1886-99). In 1899 it was united with Wayland Seminary under the name of Virginia Union University, and retained a group of five granite buildings on the northern border of Richmond. Gen. T. J. Morgan, Secretary of the American Baptist Home Mission Society, and Dr. Malcolm McVey, the first president of the university, were the chief agents in securing the union of the schools and the erection of the buildings.

All three original schools were established primarily for the training of negro preachers, and secondary for the training of other negro Christian workers, especially teachers. The very elementary instruction of the early years was gradually supplemented by more and more advanced studies, secular and theological, until in 1877 Wayland Seminary began regular work in a Bachelor-of-Arts course, and in 1886 Richmond Theological Seminary limited itself entirely to students for the ministry, and inaugurated a full three-years' theological course, although a very elementary ministers' course, extending over two years, is still provided for those who can not prepare for a more thorough course. In English, theological courses, and a full theological course, including Hebrew and Greek interpretation, are also given.

The institution stands for a moral, intelligent, evangelical Christian ministry among the negroes. It aims to give the negro preacher who is prepared for it practically the same theological training as that which is given to white men, believing that a broad and thorough education will be needed by the religious leaders to meet all kinds of errors, to guide the people to a higher life, and to win the respect of the increasingly intelligent young negro people.

A board of sixteen trustees, about equally divided between Northern white men, Southern white men, and negroes, controls the school. The theological department has five professors, whose salaries are partly paid by an endowment of about \$55,000, the American Baptist Home Mission Society paying the rest. Of the university library of 12,000 books, about 7,000 may be said to belong to the theological department. The students enrolled in 1910 number thirty, and there are seventy others in the university looking forward to the ministry who have not yet entered upon their theological course. About 1,000 negro preachers have received their training in this school from 1857 to 1910. GEORGE BROWN HENRY.

REMARKS: C. R. Covey, *Reminiscences of Philip Faneuil* (taken in the South, Richmond, Va., 1895), *Golden Years of the American Baptist Publication Society*, New York, N. Y., 1907.

II. Free Baptist.—Hillsdale: This seminary forms one of the departments of Hillsdale College, situated in Hillsdale, Mich. It was founded in 1870, and is affiliated with the Free Baptist denomination. In 1890 the Free Baptist Education Society gave to Hillsdale College the sum of \$17,000, on condition that a theological department be organized in accord with certain requirements accompanying the gift, and these stipulations having been satisfactorily met upon the part of the board of trustees, the seminary was opened on Sept. 1, 1870. The principal agent in its foundation was the Rev. Ransom Dunn (q. v.), and he and the Rev. J. J. Butler were the first teachers. From the time of its establishment until the present the department has been continuously at work, and with no little degree of success, when one considers the small amount of its endowment and the relative size of its denominational constituency. It has strengthened its courses of study, has disbursed thousands of dollars to needy students, and has imparted instruction to hundreds of young men. The department stands

for the cultivation of the moral and spiritual life on a foundation of thorough scholarship and efficient Christian service. Believing that the Bible is the supreme source for the religious life, the department aims to make its students earnest, devout, and scholarly interpreters of the word. At the same time, recognizing the activity of the Spirit of God in the history of the world, this source of divine truth is not neglected in the endeavor to trace the unfolding purpose of God, all of which helps the student better to solve the problem of to-day in the light of history. Mere acquisition is considered of less value than training and a correct method, and the class work is conducted with a view to interest the student in independent investigation, and to develop a capacity for it.

The department has exerted a wide-spread and highly beneficial influence upon the Free Baptist denomination. Its graduates are found as pastors of many of the most influential churches of the denomination, and more than half of the Free Baptist workers in the mission fields are graduates of Hillsdale, while a considerable number of its alumni are to be found in important positions in other denominations. Prominent among its instructors have been the Rev. Ransom Dunn, the Rev. J. J. Butler, the Rev. A. T. Salyer, the Rev. Charles D. Dudley, and the Rev. J. S. Copp; and its present corps of instructors is composed of the Rev. Delavan B. Reed, the Rev. J. T. Ward, and the Rev. Leroy Waterman. The seminary is under the supervision of thirty-five trustees, assisted by a theological advisory board of nine, nominated by the executive committee of the General Conference of Free Baptists and elected by the trustees. In 1910 the number of students was twenty, coming from New York, Ohio, Wisconsin, and Michigan, and including, besides Free Baptists, Methodists, United Brethren, and Congregationalists. The endowment is about \$50,000, and the library contains some 2,000 volumes, the students also having access to the general college library of 17,000 volumes. DELAVAN B. REED.

III. Congregational.—1. Andover: In the year 1837 a plan was formed for the establishment of a theological seminary in Andover, Mass., which should be connected with Phillips Academy, where for years students had been trained for the ministry by resident pastors. While the projectors of this school were maturing their plans, they heard of another and similar institution which was to be established at Newbury, less than twenty miles distant. Elijah Peabody (q. v.) was most prominent among the promoters of the Andover institution, and Samuel Spring (q. v.) among the Newbury group; the Andover men were "moderate Calvinists" or "conservative Calvinists," though they were usually called "High Calvinists." It was obviously undesirable that two Calvinistic theological schools should be founded at near together that efforts were at once made to combine them, and after prolonged struggle a union of the two projects was effected. To provide a theological platform for the seminary, the two parties united in a creed, representing in its modifications from the Westminster Assembly's

Catechism a compromise of the two wings of Calvinism, and destined to constitute what has been known as the Andover theology. This creed has remained unaltered from the first, but since 1800 formal subscription to it has not been demanded of the professors, either at their inauguration or at five-year intervals, as formerly. The seminary was established at Andover, as a branch of Phillips Academy, and under the management of its Board of Trustees, and a Board of Visitors was established which should represent the theological views and courses of the interests of the Associate, Congregational, and Newbury men were called. At the formal opening, Sept. 28, 1808, thirty-eight students were in attendance, and the summary of the attendance during one hundred years is as follows: graduates in the regular course, 2,170; non-graduates, 1,066; students in the special course (1869-92), 45; resident licentiates, 509; advanced class (1852-60), 107; graduate students (1869-92), 11; or a total of 4,065 students, of whom 1,092 are supposed to be living. Of the total number, 3,011 were enrolled, 2,778 of them as Congregationalists, 273 as Presbyterians. Foreign missionaries numbered 247; college presidents, 96; college professors, 271; seminary professors, 132. The seminary, always holding graduation from college as a condition of graduation, has made exception only in the cases of ministry men. A special examination of the figures of the second fifty years shows that three-fourths of the graduates of that period, entering the service of the churches as pastors, remained directly and technically in their service for life, or until the present. Including the professions for which a seminary training in the natural preparation, ninety-five per cent of the graduates have carried out faithfully the purpose which brought them to the seminary. Since the year 1859, the degree of S. T. D. has been conferred upon the graduates.

The list of the faculty contains many noted names, some of which may be mentioned. Elijah Peabody was the first professor of sacred literature, but only for a year (trustee until 1820). Leonard Woods (q. v.) was the first professor of theology, holding the position for thirty-eight years, and his theological attitude and personal influence were important factors in securing the union of the two enterprises at the outset. Other well-known names of whom no detailed are Moses Stuart (q. v.), Edward Robinson (q. v.), Bela Bates Edwards (q. v.), Calvin Ellis Stone (q. v.), Elijah Porter Barrows, and Joseph Henry Thayer (q. v.), in the department of Biblical literature; Edwards A. Park (q. v.) in theology; in history, James Munroe (q. v.), Ralph Emerson, William G. T. Shedd (q. v.), and Egbert Coffin Smyth; and in sacred rhetoric, Edward Deer Griffin (q. v.), Ebenezer Porter (q. v.), Thomas Harvey Skinner (q. v.), Austin Phalge (q. v.), and Charles Otis Day (q. v.). Prof. J. Wesley Churchhill, serving the seminary for thirty years in the department of eloquence, occupied a unique and honorable position among teachers of his art. The history of the seminary has been identified with many religious and philanthropic movements of the country. The student secret missionary society, "The brethren," and the Institute and of Judson, Newell, Nott, and

Hall had prominent place in the organization of the A. B. C. F. M., while the American (now Congregational) Education Society, the American Temperance Society, the American (now Congregational) Home Mission Society, the American Tract Society, the Andover House (near the South End House, a social settlement in Boston), and the plan for the first religious newspaper in the U. S., had their origin in whole or in large part on Andover Hill. The Andover press was noted for nearly a century in the publication of religious works. The American Bible Repository was published here from 1831 to 1838, and the *Bibliotheca Sacra* from 1844 to 1883; and the *Andover Review* was edited by Andover professors during the ten years of its publication, 1884-93.

In the eighties there were several changes in the faculty, and prolonged theological controversies, involving questions as to the propriety of the Board of Visitors in the administration of the seminary. The legal question was carried to the supreme court of the Commonwealth in a protracted trial, and the controversy extended, in a train of deplorable results, to the relation of the seminary and its students to the churches, and especially to the A. B. C. F. M. During the same decade, and later, the classes became very uneven, with a diminution in numbers, until from 1900 (when several other faculty changes occurred) they numbered no more than six men. It became increasingly difficult to secure men to fill the vacancies in the teaching force, for reasons obvious from the record above, and from the isolated situation of the seminary. After prolonged deliberation covering several years, and in the exercise of powers expressly vested in the trustees, in the year 1908 the seminary was removed to Cambridge. Already in 1897 the general feeling on the part of friends of academy and seminary, that the interests of both schools demanded separate boards of control, had led to the incorporation of the seminary as a separate institution, with gradual changes in the membership of the board of trustees. The extensive, though somewhat antiquated, plant at Andover was readily sold to the academy, which needed the buildings.

The relations established between Harvard University and the seminary, and especially between the divinity school and the seminary, are as novel and so interesting as was the establishment of the seminary a century previous. The terms of affiliation provide for the maintenance of the seminary as a separate organization, with its own trustees, faculty, buildings, registration of students, endowments, and degrees. The two institutions agree to avoid rivalry and unnecessary duplication, and to develop the resources of each in such way as to offer to students the best possible education. Visitors in the faculty have been filled, and a building in process of erection, to be occupied in 1911. More recent negotiations in the spirit of the terms of affiliation provide for the combination of the *Harvard* of the two schools in the new Andover building, at the Andover-Harvard Theological Library, comprising at the outset over 100,000 volumes. OWEN H. GATTS.

2. Atlanta: This divinity school is situated in the

southern suburbs of Atlanta, Ga., with a beautiful campus of thirteen acres on the crown of a large hill overlooking the city. It was founded in 1901, when a company of ministers, under the lead of the Rev. Frank E. Jenkins, purchased the property that has since been its home. The institution was established, and has since been sustained, by the Congregationalists, although the privileges have always been offered, without charge, to all denominations. Funds for its maintenance have come largely from the North, and its control is in the hands of a board of trustees, thirty in number. During the first four years, Rev. J. Edward Kirby was its president; and from the beginning had the education of the South have been represented among its teachers. At present (1910) there are forty-one men enrolled, coming from a dozen states, and eight are to be graduated from an easy course of study. The seminary-extension work has increased in even larger measure, this being in free to reach by home-study students who can not attend. The library of 10,000 volumes is open to all teachers and pastors in the South, the beneficiaries paying postage. An effort is being made to increase the endowment, now amounting to \$10,000. The faculty consists of five professors, the Rev. E. Lyman Hood being president. Their purpose is to train consecrated men to become spiritual interpreters of the Scriptures, fervent preachers of the Gospel, and helpful pastors of the churches.

E. LYMAN HOOD.

8. Bangor Theological Seminary. Located in Bangor, Penobscot Co., Me., and chartered by Massachusetts in 1814. The persons named in the charter as trustees were Revs. John Sawyer, Elisha Bailey, Elisha Cook, David Thorton, Jenck, Mitchell Blood, Asa Lyman, David Thorton, Harvey Loomis, Hon. James C. Mink, and Samuel S. Dutton. The first president of this board was Rev. Edward Payson (q.v.), and the first instructor was Mr. John Ashmun (afterward colonial agent in Liberia) and Rev. Abijah Wines. The seminary was designed to provide an evangelical ministry for the state (then the district) of Maine, for at that time Andover was the only other Congregational seminary in existence, and it could not supply the needs of the region. Bangor Seminary was originally located at Bangden, but in 1819 it was removed five miles up the Penobscot River to its present location. During the thirty-four years of its existence the seminary has sent out 479 graduates and has educated, for one or more years, 300 other students. It has numbered among its instructors men eminent for piety, scholarship, and influence. Not to name any still living, mention may be made of Enoch Pond (q.v.), to whom, more than to any other man, the success of the institution was due, who for fifty years was connected with it as professor and president; Dr. Pond's successor in the chair of history, Levi L. Paine (q.v.), a stimulating master of his classroom; the scholarly Leonard Woods, Jr. (q.v.), afterward president of Bowdoin College; and his successor in teaching Biblical Literature, Daniel Smith (q.v.), a fine scholar; George Shepard, eminent as a pulpit orator; Samuel Harris (q.v.), who began his life of teaching theology by twelve years of instruction in Bangor; and Lewis

F. Stearns (q.v.), a worthy occupant of the same chair, whose early death was a loss to the country at large. The names just given indicate that, although the seminary is Congregational, it has never been partisan in spirit. Its position is fairly comprehensive, as indicated by the denominations represented by its student body. According to its latest catalogue, of its 44 students, 28 were Congregational, 11 Methodist, 2 Baptist, 2 Presbyterian, and 1 Lutheran, and of these 21 came from the United States, 7 from Canada, 3 from Great Britain, 1 from Macedonia, 1 from Asia Minor, and 1 from Japan. At present its staff numbers 7 professors, 5 giving instruction, 2 instructors, and 7 lecturers, and it is governed by a self-perpetuating board of trustees, whose number is usually fifteen. It has productive funds amounting to \$300,000, and the value of the buildings is set at \$100,000, while its library numbers more than 27,000 volumes.

F. B. DUNN.
Bangor, Me.; *Rev. Leonard Woods*, Bangor, Me., 1876; *Historical Catalogue*, Bangor, Me., 1901.

4. Chicago. The Chicago Theological Seminary, located at 20 North Ashland Boulevard, Chicago, Ill., was organized Sept. 27, 1857, by delegates from Congregational churches in Michigan, Indiana, Illinois, Iowa, Wisconsin, and Missouri; was incorporated Feb. 15, 1858, and began work Oct. 3, 1858. Its full corporate name is "The Board of Directors of the Chicago Theological Seminary." Among the names of its founders were Stephen Peet, Philip Carpenter, Truman Peet, A. S. Kelzie, and G. S. F. Savage, and the earliest professors were Joseph Haven, Samuel C. Bartlett (q.v.), and Franklin W. Fisk. Among their successors were G. N. Boardman (q.v.), S. I. Curtis (q.v.), H. M. Crosby (q.v.), E. T. Harper, and W. D. Mackenzie (q.v.), while among the present professors are Francis S. Davis, Graham Taylor (q.v.), C. A. Beckwith (q.v.), and F. W. Monistad (q.v.). The institution is organized as the seminary and its institutes, and its administration consists of the triennial convention, the board of directors, the faculty, the board of instruction (consisting of all regularly appointed teachers in the institution and the Institutes), and the board of examiners. The seminary is unique in its relation to the churches of the Middle West, since it has continued to be governed as at first by a Triennial Convention, composed of delegates from each of the sixteen states west of Ohio and east of the Rocky Mountains, and including the board of directors and the faculty. The Triennial Convention elects the twenty-four directors who are chosen for six years, half appointed each three years, from members of the Congregational or other evangelical churches within the constituency. The faculty are elected by the board of directors, while the board of examiners are appointed annually from some states which send delegates to the Triennial Convention. Associated with the seminary are three institutes: German, established 1862, Danish-Norwegian, founded 1884, and Swedish, begun in 1888, all of which were recognized as institutes in 1903, their aim being to provide a trained ministry for foreign-speaking peoples. In 1902 the Chicago School of Church Music was established, to give practical training in the conduct of music in public

worship, and in 1909 the Department of Seminary Extension was organized, which, through correspondence and lectures, offers training in theological study to ministers and others. The plant consists of Flax Hall, with administration offices, lecture-rooms, parlor and reception rooms, dormitories, and gymnasium; Keyes Hall, with lecture-rooms and dormitories; Carpenter Memorial Chapel and Hall with music and other rooms; and Hammond Library. The library contains 30,000 volumes, collections on Egyptology and on the rise of Congregationalism, and a museum of Christian antiquity, with within easy access of the students are the city libraries, aggregating 500,000 volumes.

As defined by its charter of incorporation, the aim of the seminary is "to furnish instruction and the means of education to young men preparing for the Gospel ministry, and . . . be equally open to all denominations of Christians" for this purpose. Accordingly, the seminary is a high-grade institution providing training along approved lines to meet the demands of the churches for an educated ministry. Located in the heart of a great cosmopolitan city, it offers through its department of social sciences an unequalled opportunity for firsthand observation of actual conditions and for personal conferences with specialists at work. Under the leadership of the head of the department of social sciences is the Chicago Commission, a center for social and civic betterment, and the Chicago School of Civics and Philanthropy. In 1910 there were 24 directors and 2 honorary directors, 2 professors emeriti, 21 instructors and teachers, and 72 students, while in addition there were 43 students in seminary extension courses. The institution has a productive endowment of about \$800,000.

C. A. FICKSWIRE.

6. Hartford. Hartford Theological Seminary, which until 1885 was entitled "The Theological Institute of Connecticut," the fourth Congregational seminary established in the United States, was founded in 1824 at East Windsor Hill, Conn., the organizing body being a voluntary association known as the Fraternal Union of Connecticut, and the leading spirit in the enterprise being Rev. Bennett Tyler (q.v.), who served as first president until 1827. In 1860 the institution was removed to Hartford, where, after a period in temporary quarters, in 1879 it received from Mr. James B. Hopper the gift of its present large and convenient buildings, including chapel, recitation-rooms, dormitories, etc., besides a separate gymnasium. To the main building, Honor Hall, was added in 1881 a separate department building, the gift of Mr. Newton Case, and called, in memory of his wife, the Case Memorial Library. The government of the seminary is in the hands of thirty trustees, one-third chosen annually for three years, elected by the Pastoral Union. This latter body is self-perpetuating, and comprises about 175 ministers (not limited to Connecticut or to Congregationalists), who, with the trustees and the professors, give assent to the creed which is part of the constitution of the Union. The present faculty (1911) includes eleven full professors, the librarian, two associate professors, and nine instructors. Since 1900 the curriculum has

been arranged under five main groups of prescribed studies, varied so as to give emphasis respectively to the Old Testament, the New Testament, church history, systematic theology, and practical theology, and amounting in each case to two-thirds of the 1,200 hours required for graduation; the remaining one-third is open to elective choice from a very large list of courses in all departments. Since 1903 the Hartford School of Religious Pedagogy (see *Religious Pedagogy*) has been closely affiliated with the seminary, and many of its courses are taken by seminary students. Instruction is provided, especially in piety, to students of other denominations than the Congregational, and among many lectures annually given are those provided by the Case Foundation, on various subjects, and those on the Hartford-Lansdown Foundation, on the religions of the world. There are two fellowships for foreign study, and two for graduate study at Hartford. The library at present (1911) numbers about 68,000 volumes and over 40,000 pamphlets, being specially strong in apparatus for textual criticism, patristics, Reformation history, Arable and other Semitic literatures, missions of every class, liturgies, homiletics, current periodicals, etc., so that it is one of the largest and most serviceable theological libraries in the world. The seminary is the custodian of the large missionary and ethnological museum of the American Board of Foreign Missions, which, with its own valuable collection, is adequately arranged for study. The total number of full graduates (to 1910) is 676, besides about 383 who have taken less than the full course. About 75 of the more than 500 living alumni are engaged in foreign missions. Since 1889 women have been admitted on the same terms as men, giving forth as missionaries, Bible teachers in colleges, leaders in W. C. A. work, and the like. The present roll of students numbers 65, including 6 fellows and 10 graduate students.

After the resignation of President Tyler in 1857 the leadership of the institution devolved upon Prof. William Thompson (q.v.) as dean of the faculty, until in 1868 Prof. Chester D. Hartwell (q.v.) was made president. In continued in office for twenty-five years, profoundly stimulating the entire life of the institution by his varied scholarship, his lofty ideals, and his practical enthusiasm. In 1903 he was succeeded by Dr. William Douglas Medsker (q.v.), who came from Chicago Theological Seminary, and immediately proved himself a worthy successor. Among the professors who have won distinction by long service and usually through publication as well as instruction, are the following: Bennett Tyler, 1824-57 (systematic); J. H. Thompson, 1824-41 (Testament); Robert G. Vernaby, 1838-72 (systematic); Matthew B. Rhoads (q.v.), 1871-82 (New Testament); Edwin C. Russell (q.v.), 1880-92 (Hebrew); William Walker (q.v.), 1880-1901 (theory); Alfred T. Perry, 1890-1900 (literature), and of those in the present faculty who have served ten years or more, Waldo S. Pratt (q.v.), from 1882 (music and homiletics); Clark S. Beardslee (q.v.), from 1888 (Biblical dogmatics and homiletics); Arthur L.

libel, from 1888 (apologetic), Melancthon W. Jacobs (q.v.), from 1891 (New Testament), Edwin E. Mitchell, from 1892 (early church history), Alexander R. Merriam (q.v.), from 1892 (homiletics and exegesis), Lewis B. Paton (q.v.), from 1892 (Old Testament literature), Duncan H. Macdonald (q.v.), from 1892 (Hebrew language), Edward E. Stone (q.v.), from 1895 (Biblical theology), and Curtis M. Ober, from 1900 (history).

The only general catalogue of the alumni is one issued in 1881, which naturally includes accounts of the earlier graduates only. There is no general history of the seminary, but at the fifth anniversary, in 1884, there was published a *Memorial of the Semi-Centenary Celebration of the Founding of the Theological Institute of Connecticut*, which contains considerable historical matter. In 1890 the Hartford Seminary Review began to be issued, at first as a bimonthly, and later as a quarterly, under the editorship of a committee of the faculty; this periodical, which completed its twentieth volume in 1910, regularly contains a large number of articles on theological, critical, and practical topics, and also includes much information about the current life of the institution and of its alumni. In connection with the seventy-fifth anniversary in 1909 a sort of *Festschrift* was published under the editorship of Prof. J. B. Paton, with the title *Recent Christian Progress* (New York), to which trustees, professors and alumni of the seminary contributed a series of over eighty excellent expository articles in all principal branches of theological scholarship and practical effort since 1834. The annual series of Hartford-Lansdown Lectures is also being published in uniform style.

6. Oberlin: Oberlin Theological Seminary is the post-graduate department of Oberlin College, the term "College" being used to cover all the work of the various departments of the institution. It is located in Oberlin, Lorain Co., and was founded in 1833 by the first settlers of the town, who proposed to found at the same time both a town and a college. The college, including the theological seminary, has never had explicit connection with any ecclesiastical organization, although during most of its history it has been associated more largely with Congregationalists than with the members of any other denomination. The purpose of its founders was to establish a Christian institution for the evangelization of the Mississippi Valley and the regions beyond, and the originator of the idea were Rev. John J. Shipherd, pastor of the Presbyterian Church of Elyria, O., and Philip F. Stewart, who had been a missionary to the Indians in Mississippi. The first president was Am. Mahan (q.v.), and the earliest instructors in the theological seminary were Charles G. Finney (q.v.), John Morgan, John P. Cowles, Henry Cowles (q.v.), and the president. The original plan of the founders in 1833 included a theological department, a scheme which was unexpectedly developed later by the arrival of a considerable number of students from Lane Theological Seminary in Clermont Co., Ga., the seat of the state university, and induced Charles G. Finney to come from New York City to be their professor of theology. The seminary was very early open

to all races and to both sexes. Largely through the influence of President Finney, its life has been characterized by keen interest in the philosophical aspects of theology, together with a deep and constant devotion to practical evangelism, and large numbers of its graduates have been missionaries. During anti-slavery days Oberlin was so strongly committed to the anti-slavery movement that its graduates were not acceptable to the board of foreign missions that would naturally have commissioned its missionary graduates. Consequently there was founded in Oberlin a missionary organization which later merged with others to form the American Missionary Association, and for many years the latter drew largely upon Oberlin students for its teachers and preachers. In the early decades of its history the theology of Oberlin was considered radical, and its general trend has always been what its friends like to call "progressive orthodoxy."

The following are the teachers whose terms of service were longest, not including those now actively connected with the work of the seminary: Charles G. Finney, John Morgan, Am. Mahan, Henry Cowles, James H. Fairchild (q.v.), Elijah P. Barrows, Henry E. Peck, Judson Smith (q.v.), Hiram Mand, Albert H. Currier, George F. Wright (q.v.), William B. Chamberlain, William G. Ballantine (q.v.), Frank H. Foster (q.v.), and Owen H. Gates, while among those connected with the faculty for shorter periods were John Henry Barrows (q.v.), George B. Burroughs, and Julius A. Howe. At present (1911) Henry Churchill King (q.v.) is president of the theological seminary. The seminary has eight professors, and in addition has the use of certain courses in the College of Arts and Sciences; twenty-four teachers (who act for all departments), and eighty students, including ten in the Slavic department, which trains preachers for the Slavic peoples in the United States. These students, who are members of fifteen denominations, come as graduates from forty-seven colleges and represent sixteen states and four foreign countries. The theological library is a part of the general library of the college, which numbers about 200,000 bound and unbound volumes. The seminary shares in the general endowment of the college, which amounts to about \$200,000 of productive endowment and \$1,000,000 invested in grounds and buildings, while the amount of productive endowment specifically set apart for the seminary is about \$400,000. The seminary is governed by its faculty, whose action is subject to the approval of the general faculty of the entire college, while in certain cases its authority is limited to the power of recommendation to the general council of the college and to the board of trustees.

7. Rowan Institute: Rowan Institute, Rowanover: J. H. Pate, Owens, as Owens, Progress, and Rowan, Owens, 1871, and Owens, as Owens, 1871. J. H. Pate, Owens, 1871, and Owens, as Owens, 1871. W. G. Ballantine, as Owens, 1871.

7. Pacific: This theological seminary is located in Berkeley, Alameda Co., Cal., the seat of the state university, and originated in view of the difficulty of obtaining an educated ministry sufficient in numbers and adapted to meet the conditions of

a new country. Effort was first made by a number of leading Congregationalists to secure an inter-denominational institution; but this plan failed, and a denominational institution was projected, among its notable founders being Rev. J. A. Denton, Rev. George Moore, Rev. E. D. Doolittle, Rev. W. G. Ford, Mr. Edward Coleman, Dr. J. G. Holloway, Mr. Edward Smith, and Mr. Knox Sherman. The founders were laid by the General Association of the Congregational Churches of California, in 1866, in which year a theological association was incorporated, a board of trustees elected, and the beginning of an endowment secured. In 1869 Rev. J. A. Denton assumed the first professorship, and in 1871 a special property was secured in Oakland. In 1870 Rev. George Moore was elected professor, and in 1881 Rev. Israel E. Drexler. In 1901 the seminary moved to Berkeley and was established beside the state university. During the earlier period of its history the seminary was chiefly distinguished by the personalities of its three leading instructors, Drs. Denton, Moore, and Drexler, men of unusual strength of character, breadth of culture, and influence. In 1894 Rev. John Knox McLean was elected president, and under his administration the seminary has advanced chiefly in the line of higher standards of scholarship and of more efficient service to the churches and the community, through which men of wide reputation and influence have made important contributions to the thought and life of the Pacific Coast. Among the most significant acts in its life is the seminary's unswerving commitment to the policy of close affiliation with the life of the university, thereby influencing other denominations to take the same step, and thus creating a circle of theological schools closely cooperating with one another and offering opportunity for broad and varied theological education. Four institutions are now associated with Pacific Seminary, representing the Congregational, Disciples, Baptist, and Unitarian denominations.

Prominent among the instructors of Pacific Seminary have been Prof. Frank H. Foster (q.v.), now of Olivet, Mich.; Prof. Charles Sumner Nash, since 1891 professor of homiletics; Prof. John Wright Bushkany; and Prof. William Frederic Bain. The institution has at present the largest number of students and most promising outlook in its history. It has a faculty of five professors and three instructors, and an associate faculty consisting of professors in the university and in other seminaries beside two annual lecturers. It has a governing board of sixteen trustees, of which the president of the university is ex-officio president, and it has forty-six students, of whom sixteen come from affiliated seminaries. The several affiliations of the students are: Congregationalists 22, Baptists 13, Methodists 4, Presbyterians 2, Disciples 2, Unitarians 1, Episcopians 1, and Methodists 3. The Seminary has an endowment of \$250,000 and a library of 10,000 volumes.

8. Yale: Yale Divinity School is a coordinate

department of Yale University, located in New Haven, Conn., and is un denominational in character. It was organized as a distinct school of the university in 1822, though one such graduate of Yale from its foundation, in 1791, had been trained for the ministry, and definite graduate instruction had been given since the establishment of a professorship of divinity in Yale College in 1755. The earliest professors of the divinity school were Nathaniel W. Taylor (q.v.), Charles T. Fitch, Josiah Willard Gibbs, Channing A. Goodrich, and James L. Knigley, the four first names constituting its faculty for more than thirty years. The school was founded in a period of wide-spread theological discussion, in which its first professor of theology, Nathaniel W. Taylor, was a leader. It represented the modified Federalist Calvinism known as the "New Haven Theology." Originally well attended, the deaths of its early instructors, still it renewed its strength during the period from 1838 to 1870 by the growth of a new faculty, eminent in which were Timothy Dwight (q.v.), George P. Fisher (q.v.), Leonard Haven (q.v.), and George E. Day, to whom Samuel Harris (q.v.) was soon after added. Under their leadership large increase of endowment was obtained, the present buildings of the school were begun in 1870, and the number of students rapidly and permanently grew. The theological position of the school now became broadly and progressively moderate. Without being controversial, as in the earlier period, the school emphasized, and has continued to illustrate, an earnest evangelical type of faith, in hearty sympathy with what it deems the more progressive developments of theological and Biblical science in this country and in Europe.

The course of study was originally three years, the successful completion of which has led, since 1896, to the degree of Bachelor of Divinity. Since 1870 a four-year study has been offered, and constantly increasing cooperation with other departments of the university, notably the graduate school, has led to a great broadening of the field of instruction. In 1910, the school was divided into four departments, each having a specific type of Christian activity in view—those of pastoral service, missionary service, religious education, and practical philanthropy. The school stands for efficient practical training, thorough scholarship, and untrammeled investigation of truth. It is under the control of the Corporation of Yale University by which its instructors are appointed and its interests administered, though its immediate government is by the faculty. At the present time (1910) it is served by eleven professors, three instructors, and six lecturers, with the cooperation of twenty-three additional instructors more immediately connected with other departments of the university. There are 106 regular students enrolled in the school, and 121 under instruction. Of the regular students Connecticut is the home of 25, Massachusetts of 6, Nebraska of 7, Canada of 5, Ohio of 5, Indiana, Wisconsin, and Pennsylvania of 4 each, Turkey of 3, Georgia, Maryland, Missouri, New Hampshire,

New Jersey, New York, Virginia, Tennessee, and Sweden of 2 each, while one student each is from Alabama, Arkansas, British Guiana, England, Illinois, Iowa, Italy, Japan, Michigan, Minnesota, Mississippi, North Carolina, Oregon, West Virginia, and Washington. Its students represent a wide variety of Protestant religious bodies, though a majority are Congregationalists, as might be expected from the historic affiliations of the school. The endowment amounts to about \$55,000. The library is principally merged in that of Yale University, though the separate departmental collections of the school, largely of the nature of a working reference library, contain 18,500 volumes.

WILLIAMSON WALKER. **BUSINESSMAN: The Sem-Convent** (est. 1872). W. L. Walker, *Five Cities*, New York, 1915, p. 14-16. **IV. Disciples of Christ—1. Bible College: The College of the Bible**, affiliated with the Disciples (Christian) Church, and the oldest theological seminary of that body, is located in Lexington, Fayette Co., Ky. It was founded in 1865 as a department of Kentucky University (now Transylvania University), but in 1875 it was severed from that institution and was reorganized under separate management. In that year it had three professors and thirty-seven students, while it has now (1919) a faculty of seven professors, and during the session of 1919-20 enrolled 169 students, of whom nine were women. It was founded by Robert Milligan and John W. McFarley (q.v.), the former of whom was its first president, while at the time of its reorganization Robert Graham became its president, and is, John W. McFarley, and Isaiah E. Grubb, constituted its faculty. The institution is devoted entirely to the training of preachers, missionaries, and religious workers, and has exerted a wide influence, more than half of the prominent preachers of the Disciples Church having received instruction in its classrooms. The students have come from 1800-40 come from twenty-two states of the United States and from England, Japan, Canada, Denmark, and Australia. Its present faculty is John W. McFarley, president; Isaiah E. Grubb, professor emeritus; W. C. Morro, dean, and professor of Christian history and doctrine; Benj. C. Lewney, professor of Biblical interpretation and exegesis; Samuel M. Jefferson, professor of philosophy; Earl L. Callahan, professor of Hebrew and Old Testament; and Wm. F. Smith, professor of Bible-school pedagogy. It has eighteen trustees, all of whom are members of the church with which the institution is affiliated. Its present profitable endowment is \$175,000, with an additional \$100,000 not now yielding the institution an income, but which will be available within the next few years. It now has a library of 4,900 volumes.

WILLIAM C. MORRO. **BUSINESSMAN: J. W. McFarley, The College of the Bible, Lexington, Ky., 1916.** **D. Drake:** This seminary, located at Des Moines, Ia., and founded in 1881, forms part of Drake University, which although considered un denominational, was built up and is supported by the Disciples of Christ. It had its origin in an unsuccessful attempt to remove the denominational

school known as Oskaloosa College from Oskaloosa, Ia., to Des Moines, and it owed its foundation chiefly to the late Gov. F. M. Drake, aided by his brother-in-law, George T. Carpenter (formerly president of Oskaloosa College), and D. B. Latta, then pastor of the Central Church, Des Moines. The early instructors in the seminary were George T. Carpenter and Norman Dunbar, and its student body has grown until in 1910 it numbered nearly 175. Drake Seminary stands for a thorough knowledge of the Bible and all lines of Christian work, and maintains that denominationalism is an abnormal condition, contrary to New Testament standards. While it does not set its way clear to follow the so-called assured results of modern Biblical study, it criticizes extreme conservatism, and strives to reconstruct the old lines of thought with the purpose of eliminating errors and incorporating new truths. Probably the most important movement connected with the seminary is the Bell Bennett Mission (so named from an intending foreign missionary student, who was accidentally drowned), which has been instrumental in sending out many to the foreign mission field. Among the more prominent of the seminary's instructors, besides the two already mentioned, are Dr. D. B. Dunbar, B. J. Radford, A. I. Hobbs, Robert Malow, H. W. Everett, Oscar Morgan, Dr. Clinton Lockhart, Walter Statin, A. D. Veach, Sherman Rink, Dr. F. C. Norton, W. S. Adams, and A. M. Haggard. In 1910 the seminary had five instructors, and its trustees, about twenty in number, were the same as those of the university. The government of the seminary consists of a dean, responsible to the president of the university, who, in turn, is responsible to the board of trustees. The larger number of the students are from Iowa, Missouri, and the neighboring states, and eight or ten usually come each year from the Pacific Coast, as well as from Colorado. In 1910 there were about thirty students from Australia and New Zealand, about ten from England, six or eight from the Philippines, and a few from Canada, China, and Japan. As a rule other denominations than the Disciples are represented among the student body. The endowment fund amounts to \$100,000.

ALFRED MAYER HANSEN. **B. Eugene:** Eugene Bible University (known, till 1908, as "Eugene Bible Seminary") located at Eugene, Lane Co., Ore., was founded by Eugene C. Sanderson in 1865, largely through the generosity of Judge J. W. Cowles and Hon. T. G. Hendricks. Its first instructors were Eugene Sanderson and Merton L. Row. The institution was opened in a rented building, Oct. 6, 1865, but within a year the foundation of the Hubbard Library had been laid and had had been purchased, on which have been erected three buildings adjacent to the University of Oregon, with which its relations are most cordial. More recently a branch, the Pullman Bible Chair, has been established adjacent to the campus of the state college at Pullman, Wash. Besides the university comprises the Bible college, school of music and oratory, the department of art, the chair of Bible-school science and pedagogy, and a preparatory department; and its students are also entitled to all courses offered in the University of

Oregon. The purpose of the school is to give its pupils a proficiency which shall be both scholarly and practical for all departments of Christian work. Among its more prominent instructors have been Eugene C. Sanderson, David C. Hillman, James S. McCullum, and Ernest C. Wigmore. In 1910 the institution had, in all departments, twelve instructors. The number of trustees is thirteen, elected partly by the board in annual meeting, and partly by the denominational conventions of Oregon, Washington, and Idaho. The executive board consists of the president of the university and the president, vice-president, treasurer, and secretary of the board of trustees. The number of students has increased from seven the first year to seventy-four (117 in all departments) in 1910. The value of the school property is about \$80,000, and its endowment is about \$50,000, while its library contains 3,400 volumes.

J. A. BRANDELL. **E. Brainerd Association—1. Brainerd:** The Evangelical Theological Seminary is located at Newport, Va. Page Co., Ill., and was founded in 1872 as the "Union Biblical Institute," a name which it retained until 1909, when its name was changed to "The Evangelical Theological Seminary." The institution was established by several Western conferences of the Evangelical Association, Illinois taking the lead, other conferences gradually joining, until their number now is thirteen. The first principal of the seminary was Bishop J. J. Edgar (1876-1879), and the senior professor, S. L. Umbach, had occupied the chair of historical and practical theology since 1878. Two courses of study are offered in the seminary: a diploma course and a degree course, the latter emphasizing the study of the Bible in the original, presupposing a college course with at least three years of preparatory Greek, and leading to the degree of B. D. In 1910 a graduate school was established under the direction of the seminary faculty. The courses offered in this school may be taken in non-residence, and on completion the degree of B. D. is conferred. Women desiring a theological training for Christian work of any kind or for the foreign mission field are admitted to the seminary on the same terms as men. The institution holds that theology is a growing science, and that the sources of knowledge are nature, human consciousness, and the Bible; and it maintains that, although the Bible is the ultimate authority, there is need of all the light of nature and of human reason to interpret it properly.

In 1910 the seminary had three regular professors: S. J. Gamewater (principal and professor of exegetical and systematic theology), S. L. Umbach (historical and practical theology), and C. B. Bowman (apologetic and Biblical instruction); and in addition to their instruction, prominent men from this and other denominations are secured as lecturers on various subjects before the students. The institution is controlled by thirteen trustees, one from each of the annual conferences interested in the seminary, together with one member of the board of bishops of the denomination. The latter, appointed by his board, holds office for four years, the others, elected by the members of their respective conferences, for three. The number of students in 1910 was

twenty-five, five of whom were graduated at the close of the seminary year. S. J. GAMEWATER, **VI. Jewish—1. Hebrew Union College:** This institution was founded by Isaac Mayer Wise, rabbi of Congregation Bnai Jeshurun, at Cincinnati, O., in 1875, after several unsuccessful attempts at creating theological schools for the Jewish communities in America had been made in Philadelphia and New York, and also in Cincinnati. Finally, convinced that only through a union of congregations could a college be permanently established which would meet the demands of progressive American Israel for American-born rabbis imbued with the spirit of American life and liberty. Dr. Wise agitated for the formation of such a union. In 1873 the Union of American Hebrew Congregations was organized with the view of establishing an institution for the training of ministers for the Jewish pulpits and for the promotion of Jewish learning, and on Oct. 3, 1875, the Hebrew Union College was opened with an enrollment of seventeen students who formed the first preparatory class. After four years the collegiate department was opened with Dr. Moses Minkler of New York as professor of Talmud; in 1881 a permanent home for the college was acquired and dedicated; and in 1882 the first four rabbis were graduated and ordained. Dr. Wise, the first president, remained in office until his death on Mar. 29, 1900, when Dr. Minkler, the senior member of the faculty, was appointed to take his place. After the latter's death, and for some time during his illness, Dr. Gotthard Deutsch became the acting president. On Feb. 26, 1903, Dr. Kaufmann Kohler (q.v.) of New York was elected president with the express understanding that the Hebrew Union College shall forever continue to be the exponent of American Reform Judaism as taught and expounded by its immortal founder, Isaac M. Wise, and his illustrious co-workers; and on Oct. 18, 1903, he was inducted into office.

The institution is administered by a Board of Governors consisting of twenty-four members (ten of whom are residents of Cincinnati), appointed by the executive board of the Union of American Hebrew Congregations. The college is composed of two departments, the preparatory, which extends over a course of four years, into which high-school students are admitted; and the collegiate, which extends over a course of five years, into which only university students or graduates are admitted. Graduates from the preparatory department receive the degree of bachelor of Hebrew Literature, while the rabbinical diploma is conferred upon the graduates from the collegiate department, though only after they have been graduated from the University of Cincinnati or some other university of recognized standing. The post-graduate course leads to the degree of D. D., which is also conferred *honoris causa* on theologians of distinction. The subjects taught are Hebrew and Aramaic; Bible-interpretation with Hebrew commentators; Mishnah and Targum; Mishnah and Talmud with some of the medieval codes; apocryphal, apocryphic, and Hellenistic literature; Jewish philosophy, chiefly of the middle ages; Jewish history; history

and literature of the Jewish people from Biblical to modern times; the history of Judaism and its sects; systematic and practical theology; and comparative religion; Jewish ethics and pedagogy; homiletics and applied sociology. The faculty as at present constituted consists of the following members: Dr. Kaufmann Kohler (president and professor of theology, homiletics, and Hellenistic literature); Dr. Gustaf Deutsch (Jewish history and literature); Dr. Louis Grossman (q.v.) (ethics and pedagogy); Dr. David Neumann (Jewish philology); Dr. Jacob Z. Lasker (Talmud); Dr. Moses Huttenwieser (Biblical exegesis); Dr. Julius Morgenstern (Bible and Semitic languages); Dr. Henry Englander (Bible exegesis and Biblical history); and Dr. Boris B. Rogov (special instructor in sociology with relation to Jewish philanthropy). The Hebrew Union College Library has grown steadily from small beginnings, and now comprises about 30,000 volumes extending over the entire range of Biblical and Rabbinical Hebrew, and modern Jewish, Hellenistic, philosophical, Samaritan, Karaite, English, German, and French literature, besides periodicals and pamphlets. It includes the libraries of Dr. Samuel Adler, M. Kaperlingk, and others; and contains many rare editions. One hundred and thirty rabbis have been graduated from the college, most of whom occupy prominent pulpits in the various Jewish communities of America. The present college building located on a new college edifice and an adjoining library building has been purchased in the vicinity of the University of Cincinnati. The corner-stone has just been laid, and it is expected that by the commencement year of 1912 the two massive structures will be completed.

KARVAERS, Theological Seminary of America: This is a rabbinic seminary of conservative tendency founded in New York City in 1886, mainly through Dr. Salomo Moros of Philadelphia, and conducted by him until his death in 1899, when for a time Dr. A. Kohut, the professor of Talmud, conducted the institution. Upon his death the position of the seminary became precarious, until it was reconstituted in 1902 by a new organization which was endowed with a fund of over \$500,000, to which contributions were made by Leonard Lewinsky, Daniel Guggenheim, and others, including Jacob H. Schiff, who also donated a special building at University Heights. It received a charter from the State of New York in the same year, with the right to confer the degree of rabbi, doctor of divinity, and doctor of Hebrew literature, whereas Dr. Solomon Scheiber (q.v.), reader in rabbinics in the University of Cambridge, England, and the well-known discoverer of the Hebrew original of Enochianism, was elected president of the faculty and a number of scholars were brought over from Europe to carry on the work of the seminary under the new direction. The seminary moved, in 1903, into its new building at 531-535 West 123d Street, which contains its highest story night room for the fine library which has been collected since that date, and which now (1911) amounts to 30,000 books and 1,200 manuscripts.

the greatest collection of Jewish works in any Jewish institution in the world. This includes the libraries of the late M. Steinschneider, David Cassel, and M. Halberstam, and a large number of works presented by Judge Mayer Sulzberger. The number of students is at present about seventy, of whom thirty are in the senior class, all graduates of American colleges or possessing an equivalent degree. The course of study extends over a period of four years, and includes training in Bible, Talmud, Jewish history and literature, theology, homiletics, and Semitics. Connected with the seminary is a teachers' institute, which provides training for teachers of Sabbath and religious schools. The seminary publishes a series of scientific works on Jewish literature, entitled *Tzets and Studies of the Jewish Theological Seminary*, and three volumes have already appeared, edited by Prof. I. Ginsberg (New York, 1910 sq.).

Angelsburg Seminary: This is a rabbinic seminary of conservative tendency founded in New York City in 1886, mainly through Dr. Salomo Moros of Philadelphia, and conducted by him until his death in 1899, when for a time Dr. A. Kohut, the professor of Talmud, conducted the institution. Upon his death the position of the seminary became precarious, until it was reconstituted in 1902 by a new organization which was endowed with a fund of over \$500,000, to which contributions were made by Leonard Lewinsky, Daniel Guggenheim, and others, including Jacob H. Schiff, who also donated a special building at University Heights. It received a charter from the State of New York in the same year, with the right to confer the degree of rabbi, doctor of divinity, and doctor of Hebrew literature, whereas Dr. Solomon Scheiber (q.v.), reader in rabbinics in the University of Cambridge, England, and the well-known discoverer of the Hebrew original of Enochianism, was elected president of the faculty and a number of scholars were brought over from Europe to carry on the work of the seminary under the new direction. The seminary moved, in 1903, into its new building at 531-535 West 123d Street, which contains its highest story night room for the fine library which has been collected since that date, and which now (1911) amounts to 30,000 books and 1,200 manuscripts.

Angelsburg Seminary: This is a rabbinic seminary of conservative tendency founded in New York City in 1886, mainly through Dr. Salomo Moros of Philadelphia, and conducted by him until his death in 1899, when for a time Dr. A. Kohut, the professor of Talmud, conducted the institution. Upon his death the position of the seminary became precarious, until it was reconstituted in 1902 by a new organization which was endowed with a fund of over \$500,000, to which contributions were made by Leonard Lewinsky, Daniel Guggenheim, and others, including Jacob H. Schiff, who also donated a special building at University Heights. It received a charter from the State of New York in the same year, with the right to confer the degree of rabbi, doctor of divinity, and doctor of Hebrew literature, whereas Dr. Solomon Scheiber (q.v.), reader in rabbinics in the University of Cambridge, England, and the well-known discoverer of the Hebrew original of Enochianism, was elected president of the faculty and a number of scholars were brought over from Europe to carry on the work of the seminary under the new direction. The seminary moved, in 1903, into its new building at 531-535 West 123d Street, which contains its highest story night room for the fine library which has been collected since that date, and which now (1911) amounts to 30,000 books and 1,200 manuscripts.

of ministers through a seven-year's course, of which the first four are preparatory for theological study proper. In the theological course much more time is given to Biblical and historical than to dogmatic theology, the idea being that Christianity is not a philosophical system, but a personal life. The history of Augsburg Seminary has been one of continual struggle, partly on account of the financial difficulties with which an institute of this kind must contend among poor and struggling immigrants, and partly because the principles of the seminary have been the subject of many and penetrating attacks from those who were more or less interested in continuing in the new country the ideas prevailing in the state churches in regard both to the education of ministers and to the relation between the clergy and the common people in the churches.

Augustana, Theological Seminary: Augustana, Theological Seminary, under the control of the Evangelical Lutheran Church, was established in 1863 at Chicago, where it was removed, three years later, to Paxton, Ill., and thence, in 1872, to Rock Island, Ill., where it is now permanently located. It had its origin in the need of providing ministers for the Swedish immigrants, and among its founders were Rev. L. F. Edglin, Rev. T. N. Hansquist, and Rev. Edward Carlsson, while its earliest professors were the two first named and Dr. A. K. Cernis, T. N. Hansquist being also first president until his death in 1891. In 1880 two additional professors were appointed, and the course of study was changed on the adoption of the university plan, the courses offered now numbering twenty. The number of graduates of the seminary, inclusive of the year 1908, and the instruction corresponds to the best requirements of well-equipped seminaries; the diploma being recognized by the Church of Sweden. The seminary has also a post-graduate department offering twenty-four courses. Students may receive the B. D. degree, and all who have acquired A. B. and B. D., and pass satisfactory examinations in eight subjects of the post-graduate courses, receive the degree of C. T. by continued studies and on the completion of an accepted and printed thesis on some theological subject. The degree D. D. may be conferred if the scholarship of the candidate and his standing are such that he may be recommended. The seminary stands for Lutheran orthodoxy, evangelical Christianity, and higher theological culture, and its influence on its own denomination has been to extend the work of Augustana Synod throughout the United States and to encourage missionary activity in foreign lands. Standing for true conservatism, true doctrine, and faithfulness to the Augsburg Confession, it has done much to strengthen the Lutheran Church in the United States. There are, however, no special movements that have originated in the seminary except the Augustana Foreign Missions Society. All the professors of the seminary have been more or less prominent, and among them special mention should be made of T. N. Hansquist (who was also one of the founders of the Augustana Synod), O. Olsson (q.v.), president of the institution from 1891 to 1905, and R. P. Waldner (q.v.), now president

of the Lutheran Seminary at Chicago). The regular professors are four in number: C. E. Lindberg (acting president and professor of systematic theology, liturgical church polity), N. Forsander (q.v.), historical theology, Swedish homiletics, and pastoral theology; S. G. Younger (philosophy, Greek New Testament, exegesis, New Testament introductions, and catechetics); and C. A. Blomgren (Hebrew, Old Testament introduction and exegesis, prophecies, and English homiletics). There are eighteen trustees of the institution, which is governed by the Evangelical Lutheran Augustana Synod; and it had in 1910 fifty-eight students, all of whom are Lutherans from almost every state in the Union. The endowment is about \$350,000, this being for the entire institution of Augustana College and Theological Seminary, and the library of 24,000 volumes likewise belongs to the institution as a whole. A new library building known as the Deane Memorial Library, costing over \$200,000, was dedicated in 1911. Since 1901 the president has been Dr. Gustav Anderson, who for some years has been relieved from teaching in order to raise additional funds for the college and seminary.

Augustana, Theological Seminary: Augustana, Theological Seminary, under the control of the Evangelical Lutheran Church, was established in 1863 at Chicago, where it was removed, three years later, to Paxton, Ill., and thence, in 1872, to Rock Island, Ill., where it is now permanently located. It had its origin in the need of providing ministers for the Swedish immigrants, and among its founders were Rev. L. F. Edglin, Rev. T. N. Hansquist, and Rev. Edward Carlsson, while its earliest professors were the two first named and Dr. A. K. Cernis, T. N. Hansquist being also first president until his death in 1891. In 1880 two additional professors were appointed, and the course of study was changed on the adoption of the university plan, the courses offered now numbering twenty. The number of graduates of the seminary, inclusive of the year 1908, and the instruction corresponds to the best requirements of well-equipped seminaries; the diploma being recognized by the Church of Sweden. The seminary has also a post-graduate department offering twenty-four courses. Students may receive the B. D. degree, and all who have acquired A. B. and B. D., and pass satisfactory examinations in eight subjects of the post-graduate courses, receive the degree of C. T. by continued studies and on the completion of an accepted and printed thesis on some theological subject. The degree D. D. may be conferred if the scholarship of the candidate and his standing are such that he may be recommended. The seminary stands for Lutheran orthodoxy, evangelical Christianity, and higher theological culture, and its influence on its own denomination has been to extend the work of Augustana Synod throughout the United States and to encourage missionary activity in foreign lands. Standing for true conservatism, true doctrine, and faithfulness to the Augsburg Confession, it has done much to strengthen the Lutheran Church in the United States. There are, however, no special movements that have originated in the seminary except the Augustana Foreign Missions Society. All the professors of the seminary have been more or less prominent, and among them special mention should be made of T. N. Hansquist (who was also one of the founders of the Augustana Synod), O. Olsson (q.v.), president of the institution from 1891 to 1905, and R. P. Waldner (q.v.), now president

of the Lutheran Seminary at Chicago). The regular professors are four in number: C. E. Lindberg (acting president and professor of systematic theology, liturgical church polity), N. Forsander (q.v.), historical theology, Swedish homiletics, and pastoral theology; S. G. Younger (philosophy, Greek New Testament, exegesis, New Testament introductions, and catechetics); and C. A. Blomgren (Hebrew, Old Testament introduction and exegesis, prophecies, and English homiletics). There are eighteen trustees of the institution, which is governed by the Evangelical Lutheran Augustana Synod; and it had in 1910 fifty-eight students, all of whom are Lutherans from almost every state in the Union. The endowment is about \$350,000, this being for the entire institution of Augustana College and Theological Seminary, and the library of 24,000 volumes likewise belongs to the institution as a whole. A new library building known as the Deane Memorial Library, costing over \$200,000, was dedicated in 1911. Since 1901 the president has been Dr. Gustav Anderson, who for some years has been relieved from teaching in order to raise additional funds for the college and seminary.

Chicago: This seminary, officially known as "The Theological Seminary of the Evangelical Lutheran Church at Chicago, Ill.," received its charter July 29, 1891, was opened Oct. 1 of the same year, and is now located in Maywood, a suburb of Chicago. The directors, originally appointed by the officers of the General Council of the Evangelical Lutheran Church, are self-perpetuating, and elect their successors from among its elect members with the doctrinal position of the seminary "as set forth in the Fundamental Principles of Faith and Church Policy as declared by the General Council (1847) at Fort Wayne, Ind.," The first president of the board (to 1894) was the Rev. W. A. Pannasch (q.v.), by whose will and liberality the seminary was founded. So far but five professors have been connected with the seminary: Rev. E. P. Waldner (q.v.), dogmatics and exegesis since 1891; elected president in 1903; Rev. H. W. Bush (practical theology and church history, 1891-97); Rev. O. H. Oberdorfer (practical theology, 1891-97); Rev. E. F. Kraus (New Testament exegesis since 1900), and Rev. Alfred Ramsey (historical theology since 1904). The aim of the institution is to prepare men for the ministry of the Gospel, especially in connection with the Evangelical Lutheran Church, and is open to all students of the Evangelical Lutheran Church and to all pastors thereof, as well as to any others, whether students or pastors, who, having the proper gifts and education, give evidence of Christian character and experience. All the sciences included in theology, some thirty or more, are logically arranged so as to be completed in twenty-one distinct and independent courses covering seventy-two hour instruction weekly, and each subject, except Greek and Hebrew exegesis, may be completed in one year. A student of average ability can graduate in three years (sixteen courses), and in four years can take the degree B. D. (twenty-one courses). Twenty-four different courses are also offered to post-graduates by correspondence.

In addition to the regular professors, five or more instructors are appointed each year to give instruction from three to twelve hours weekly. On an average forty students have been enrolled as resident students for the last fifteen years, and on an average over a hundred as non-resident students since 1900. There are about 10,000 carefully selected books in the library.

REV. FRANKLIN WYNNEN, Secretary of the Protestant Lutheran Church of Chicago, Ill., Chicago, 1904.

6. COLUMBIAN. The Evangelical Lutheran Seminary at Columbus, O., the oldest educational institution of the Lutheran Church west of the Allegheny Mountains, was established in Canton, O., in 1839 by the Lutheran Synod of Ohio and Adjacent States, generally known as the Joint Synod of Ohio, an organization of German and English Lutheran pastors and congregations dating back to the year 1818. About two years after the founding of the school, it was removed to Columbus, O., where it has since, with some slight interruptions, continued its work of furnishing a goodly percentage of German and English pastors to the Lutheran congregations of Ohio and states farther west. It represents doctrinally the status of the Joint Synod, which is that of conservative and confessional Lutheranism, and is an exponent of the theological thought of the Lutheran Church of Germany during its orthodox period. Its first instructor was Prof. Wilhelm Schmidt, a graduate of the University of Halle, who for ten years remained its only teacher, and among his successors the most influential have been Prof. Wilhelm H. Lehmann and Prof. M. Ley, now professor emeritus. The institution has been largely influential in making Western Lutheranism confessional and orthodox, and hundreds of its graduates have been, and still are, active in the work of establishing congregations especially among the settlers throughout the West who come from the Lutheran countries of Europe. A unique feature is that its instruction is bilingual, theological lectures in German alternating with those in English, and perhaps seventy-five per cent of its graduates are able to preach in both languages. The faculty, eleven in number (eight clergymen and three laymen), are all members of the membership of the Joint Synod, and are elected at the biennial convention of this body. The faculty numbers five of whom one, as emeritus, is no longer engaged in active work. The dean is Prof. F. W. Steubner (q.v.) and the secretary is Prof. George H. Scholde (q.v.). The student body, which in some years runs up to fifty, was in 1910 thirty-eight, namely, eighteen in the senior, ten in the middle, and ten in the junior class. Although originally incorporated as "The German Theological Seminary," by act of legislation the name was changed several years ago to "Theological Seminary," as both German and English are utilized to teach the body of the school. GEORGE H. SCHOLDE, Secretary of the Lutheran Synod of Ohio, Columbus, Ohio, 1910.

8. CONCORDIA (St. Louis). This institution, the largest of its denomination in the United States, was founded as a classical college and school of theology in 1839 by Lutheran emigrants from Saxony, who were fleeing from the proscriptions of a nationalistic state-church to the land of religious liberty. Its first home was in the forests of Perry Co., Mo., at the village of Altonburg, and its first building was a log-cabin constructed by members of the first faculty, which consisted of the candidates of theology C. F. W. Walther (q.v.), J. F. Buehner, G. Fuchsbinger, and Th. Brohm. After the organization of the German Evangelical Lutheran Synod of Missouri, Ohio, and Other States in 1847, the institution was, in 1849, removed to St. Louis, and the synod elected Walther, at that time pastor of the Lutheran congregation at St. Louis, its first professor of theology. He remained with the institution as its foremost teacher and president until his death in 1857. In 1851 the classical (preparatory) department—"Practical Theological Seminary," with Professor Cressner, was transferred from Fort Wayne to St. Louis, and was united with the "Theoretical Seminary" under the presidency of Professor Walther. The two seminaries remained united until 1875, when the "Practical Seminary" was removed to Springfield, Ill. During Walther's presidency the teachers were A. Biewend, G. Schick, Alex. Sauer, G. Seyffarth, H. Lange, Laur. Lauen, A. Cressner, E. A. Brauer, Th. Brohm, E. Preuss, J. A. Schmidt (q.v.), G. Schaller, M. Guenther, and F. Pieper (q.v.). By synodical action Professor Pieper, after the death of Walther, succeeded to the presidency and the chair of systematic and pastoral theology, and with him the following have been holding theological professorships since 1857: G. Stoeckhardt (emeritus), A. Graebner (d. 1903), A. W. Kraus (diplomat), O. Furbinger (emeritus), F. Bente (emeritus), G. Moser (emeritus), and W. Du (English diplomat). With the number of resident students steadily growing, the capacity of the college buildings had to be increased from time to time. The erection of a large main building in 1885 at the cost of \$150,000, raised the capacity to 200, and an annex built in 1907, to 300 resident students. The doctrinal position of Concordia Seminary is understood from the position to which its founders were led under severe struggles of an awakened conscience crying for more grace and truth. Its founders had emerged from the rationalism of a degenerated state-church, and had overcome very pronounced hierarchical tendencies in their own midst; they had firmly grasped, and they deeply impressed upon their students, the principle that, as regards doctrine and discipline, there is only one conscience-binding authority, viz. the Word of Christ, which is given to the Church in the Holy Scriptures. All matters not settled by the writings of "church authorities" but by the mutual consent of Christians themselves, church councils, synods, etc., having only advisory power in such matters. As regards doctrine, in particular, Concordia Seminary inculcates in its students the following principles: The doctrine to be taught in the Church should be

divine doctrine, not only in the sense that it treats of divine matters, but, above all, in the sense that it exhibits God's own nature, to the education of all human views and opinions. Christian doctrine is nothing but what God himself thinks and proclaims about these matters in Holy Scripture, and Christian doctrine regarding Holy Scripture is not what man holds it to be, but what Christ and his apostles taught us that it is, viz. the infallible Word of God given by inspiration. The various parts of Christian doctrine form a harmonious whole to such an extent that an aberration in one doctrine affects, by consequence, the whole body of doctrine, especially the doctrine of justification, and whatever lacuna appears in the body of Christian doctrine are not to be filled up by human speculation, but must be left open, to be filled by the perfect knowledge of eternity. This principle explains the position which Concordia Seminary occupies over and against Calvinism on the one hand and Arminianism or Synergism on the other. Concordia Seminary retains both the teaching of unconditional grace and, *ad gratiam*, claiming that Scripture teaches both, and it finds a correct statement of Biblical doctrine over against error in the Confessions of the Lutheran Church, while holding that later Lutheran theologians have in some points deviated from the accuracy of Scriptural teaching, as on the relations of Church and State, Sunday, conversion, and predestination. By rigidly adhering to these principles the institution has been instrumental in educating a homogeneous Christian ministry, which in modern in equipment—only graduates of classical colleges are admitted—and acquainted with modern doctrinal liberalism, while rejecting and combating doctrinal looseness in every form as unchristian and unscientific. Its graduates are at work in all the states of the Union, and in Canada, South America, Australia, India, and Europe (London, and the Lutheran Free Churches in Germany and Denmark).

Concordia Seminary registered in 1910 285 students, and seven professors, who lecture in German, English, and Latin. It is governed by a board of trustees composed of three lay and two clerical members who are elected by the Missouri Synod for a term of three years. All the students are Lutherans and, with some few exceptions, are graduates of the Synod's classical schools at Brookville, N. Y., Fort Wayne, Ind., Milwaukee, Wis., St. Paul, Minn., Concordia, Mo., and Winfield, Kan. The supply of young men upon whom the Synod may rely for making up losses in, and for enlarging, its ministry is practically unlimited, since 2,122 parochial schools are in operation within the Synod. Concordia Seminary carries no endowment, and all expenses are defrayed from the synodical treasury, which is kept solvent by voluntary contributions of the congregations and by the proceeds of the Synod's book concern, the Concordia Publishing House. The number of books in the library of the seminary is 15,000. FRANK A. O. FRANK, Secretary, Deo Latroone, xxviii, xxviii; F. Pieper, *Lutheranism and Mission*, pp. 10-11; St. Louis, Mo., 1910.

11. CONCORDIA (Springfield, Ill.). This institution, now situated in Springfield, Ill., and officially

entitled "Concordia College," owes its origin to Rev. J. C. W. Lohm (q.v.) of Neundorff, Bavaria, who, lured by the religious distress among the emigrated Germans, founded a seminary for practical preparation for the ministry at Ft. Wayne, Ind., in 1844. Lohm sent over eleven young men, together with a talented candidate of theology, Boehlein, as instructor, and, under the supervision of Dr. W. Silber (q.v.), the school was opened in an upper chamber of the parsonage. The earliest instructors of this "Practical Seminary of the Missouri Synod" were Dr. W. Silber and Prof. A. Wolter and A. Biewend (1846-50). St. Louis Seminary was filled in 1850 by Prof. A. Cressner, who for forty-two years was an untiring and untiring laborer in behalf of the "Practical Theological Seminary." A radical change occurred in 1861, when the classical department of Concordia Seminary, St. Louis, was removed to Ft. Wayne, while the "Practical Theological Seminary," with Professor Cressner, was transferred from Ft. Wayne to St. Louis to be united with the "Theoretical Seminary" under the supervision of Dr. C. F. W. Walther (q.v.). Until 1875 all the professors lectured to the students of both seminaries, but now another important change was to take place. In Springfield, Ill., the Illinois State University had passed into the hands of the General Council. This synod was desirous of selling the institution, and, largely through the agency of Rev. W. A. Paavola (q.v.) and Rev. H. Katt (now of Terre Haute, Ind.), then assistant pastor at Springfield, it was purchased by the Missouri Synod in 1875. The following year the "Proseminary" (established in 1852), with Professor Knoering, was removed from St. Louis to Springfield and in 1878 the "Practical Seminary" followed, with Professor Cressner as president. Here the seminary has found a permanent home. Prof. H. Wymken was called in 1876, and Prof. J. S. Simon in 1881. Wymken resigned in 1890 on account of failing health; Cressner died in 1891; and Knoering was called to Milwaukee in 1892; their successors being Prof. R. Pieper (elected to the presidency in 1891), Prof. J. Herzer, and Prof. F. Stuedemann (1892). In 1892 an English theological professorship was founded and filled by the appointment of Prof. J. Wessel. Professor Simon resigned in 1904, and was succeeded first by Prof. T. Scholde (now at Watertown, Wis.) and then by Prof. O. Bueder (1909). As quite a number of Slovak students pursue their studies here, Prof. S. Tuby was appointed in 1910 to instruct them in their mother-tongue.

The whole course embraces two departments: the proseminary (two years) and the seminary (three years), in the latter of which the usual branches of exegetical, systematic, historical, and practical theology are taught. Since the ministers must be enabled to officiate in German and in English, instruction is imparted in both languages. The institution stands for sound Lutheran doctrine and no teaching contrary to the Book of Concord is tolerated, and for a thoroughly conservative position in respect to Biblical criticism and "scientific theology." Over 700 ministers have graduated here.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theological Seminaries

The campus comprises eight and one-half acres with modern and commodious buildings, and the seminary is entirely sustained by the Missouri Synod. The student body (1910) numbers 216, and comes from all parts of the United States and Canada, while six are from Australia, two from Brazil, and one from New Zealand. The library contains about 4,200 volumes. **Lena Wiest.**

7. Gettysburg. This institution, officially designated "The Theological Seminary of the General Synod of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in the United States," is located in Gettysburg, Adams Co., Pa., where it occupies a site of over forty acres on the historic Seminary Ridge, overlooking the town. It was founded in 1826 by the General Synod, which at its first meeting in 1826 appointed a committee to report on the feasibility of establishing a theological school. The project was deemed impracticable, but it was revived, chiefly through a sermon preached by the Rev. S. S. Schmeucker (q.v.) of New Market, Va., at the meeting of the Maryland and Virginia Synod, Oct. 17, 1824, and the General Synod in consequence reconvened the matter a year later, taking steps at once for the organization of the seminary. Rev. S. S. Schmeucker was elected the first president in 1826, and for forty-two years he remained its head, during the greater part of this period being the most potent factor in the building of the Lutheran Church, and for the first four years of its existence the seminary being its only professor. During the great battles of July, 1863, the old seminary building was considerably damaged by shells, books being used as a hospital, and the institution also passed through the stress of ecclesiastical controversy in the matter, resulting in the resignation of several professors, the establishment of another Lutheran seminary in Philadelphia in 1864, and the organization of the General Council in 1868.

The seminary has been attended by 1,100 students, most of whom have entered the Lutheran ministry in other denominations. It has also prepared many professors for colleges and seminaries, as well as missionaries for the home and the foreign field. The doctrinal basis is the Word of God as contained in the canonical Scriptures of the Old and the New Testament as the only infallible rule of faith and practice, and the Augsburg Confession as a correct exhibition of the fundamental doctrines of the divine word. The institution occupies a conservative, orthodox position, in accord with the evangelical character of the Lutheran Church in America. This seminary, being the oldest purely theological Lutheran institution in America, and the largest in the General Synod, has exerted a correspondingly wide influence, and during the first half of its existence, before other Lutheran seminaries were founded, nearly all the leading ministers and educators were trained there. Among past instructors the following may be mentioned: Drs. S. S. Schmeucker (q.v.), E. L. Haselua, H. I. Schmidt, C. A. Hay, Charles P. Knauth (q.v.), Charles F. Schaeffer (q.v.), J. A. Brown, H. Val-

entine (q.v.), E. J. Wolf, C. A. Stork, and J. W. Richard. In 1910 the number of professors was five, besides whom there are occasional lecturers on doctrinal and practical subjects. The institution is governed by a board of directors, whose maximum number does not exceed fifty, chosen by district synods which contribute toward its support. There are now fifty-two students in attendance, all of whom are Lutheran, all except two being college graduates. Three-fourths of them are from Pennsylvania, one from Germany, and the rest from adjacent states. The endowment amounts to about \$250,000, and the real estate is worth \$250,000. The library contains 20,000 volumes, including the valuable collection of 3,000 of the Lutheran Historical Society. **J. A. SCHAFF-HERZOG.**

8. Hannan. This institution is located in Springfield, Clark Co., O., and was known as the Wittenberg Theological Seminary until, in 1905, its name was changed to Hannan Divinity School in recognition of Dr. and Mrs. M. W. Hannan, who had just given almost \$200,000 for the endowment and extension of the institution. The school was established in 1845, and has always been affiliated with and controlled by the General Synod of the Evangelical Lutheran Church, its founder being the Rev. Ezra Keller, who had come from Maryland at the call of the scattered Lutherans in Ohio. Among the early teachers were Dr. Keller (president of both college and seminary), Dr. Samuel Spencer (q.v.), for twenty-five years president and for thirty-five years instructor; Dr. F. W. Conard (alternate editor of *The Lutheran Observer*), Dr. J. H. W. Stuckenberg (q.v.), and Dr. Samuel A. Ott, for eighteen years president and for thirty years instructor. The school stands for the conservative theology of the historic Lutheran Church. It believes strongly in the creeds of the church, is opposed to the so-called liberalizing tendencies of radical theology, and teaches heartily that the Bible is the Word of God, but at the same time it is progressive, and looks forward hopefully to the time when there may come a union of the Lutheran forces in America. The influence of the school has been strongly felt throughout the general body to which it belongs, and it is safe to say that no other theological school has had more influence during the past twenty years in shaping the positive and affecting the development of the General Synod.

The present faculty is made up as follows: Charles G. Hecker (president), Leonard E. Kruger (Christian theology and ethics), David H. Bonville (q.v.; ecclesiastical history), V. L. A. Truesler (q.v.; New Testament philology), Loyal H. Latimer (Old Testament language and exegesis), and J. L. Neve (symbolics and practical theology). The board of directors numbers forty-two, and the same staff controlling Wittenberg College. The student body has been slowly increasing in numbers during the past five years, and the enrollment for 1910, in which Germany and Norway are represented, thirty-four. The endowment is about \$200,000.

Theological Seminaries THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 362

much of which has been given during the last ten years, and there is also a special endowment for library and art purposes. The library contains about 12,000 volumes. The only printed history of the seminary that contained in a history of Wittenberg College published in Springfield in 1857 by Rev. G. G. Clark. **CHARLES G. HECKER.**

9. Marquette. This institution, which is the oldest Lutheran classical and theological school in America, is located near Cooperstown, Otsego Co., N. Y., where it was founded in 1797 by Rev. John Christopher Hartwick, for the purpose of educating the American Indians who at that time occupied large portions of the state of New York, and to furnish missionaries to labor among those tribes. Funds were secured by Hartwick from the sale of some 15,000 acres of land which he had bought from the Indians in 1781. The first instructor in theology was Dr. John A. Kneass, and this department has been maintained uninterruptedly from 1797 to the present time. In 1818 the first seminary building on the present site was erected, and Dr. E. L. Haselua was elected principal and professor of theology. Though Hartwick's Indian scheme proved a failure, his seminary has been a factor in Lutheran theological education for more than a century. For twenty-five years it was the only school of its denomination in the United States, and in its early history it frequently had students from all parts of the country between Canada and South Carolina. It met a distinct need in American Lutheranism by furnishing English-speaking pastors for the Anglicized descendants of the Palatine who early settled in the Hudson, Schoharie, and Mohawk valleys, and the existence of the older English-speaking Lutheran congregations in New York State may be traced directly to Hartwick Seminary.

The institution is under the control of the General Synod of the Lutheran Church, and has always stood for the pietistic type of Lutheran theology. Two of its most distinguished professors being of Moravian ancestry. Among its prominent instructors have been Drs. John C. Kneass, E. L. Haselua, G. B. Miller, William D. Strick, William N. Scholl, and James Pfeiffer. At present (1911) three professors give instruction in theology, and there are eight students who are candidates for the ministry. The faculty is composed of J. G. Traver (principal), Alfred Hiller (q.v.; theology), J. L. Kintler (Greek and mathematics), G. B. Hiller (natural science), and two assistant teachers. The school is governed by a board of twelve trustees elected (since 1911) by the Lutheran Synod of New York for the term of four years. The amount of endowment is \$65,000, and the library contains 6,300 volumes. **ALFRED HILLER.**

REMARKS: *Hartwick Seminary Memorial Catalogue*, Cooperstown, N. Y., 1888, 1897; *Hartwick Seminary*, 1910.

10. Luther. This institution, the "Practical Seminary" of the Lutheran Joint Synod of Ohio and Other States, was founded in 1884 under the direction of Rev. E. Wilson, who was also its first instructor. It originally formed part of what is now the "Theological Seminary" at Columbus, O., but in its very first year it was transferred to Akron, Minn., and from this year, in which the present seminary was first established, Dr. H. Ernst has been president. The growth of the institution created a necessity for more commodious quarters, and in 1892 it was accordingly removed to its present location in St. Paul, Minn., where its further development has resulted in plans for additional buildings now being under consideration. The seminary has thus far sent out 200 graduates, who have formed the nucleus of several districts of the Joint Synod, particularly those of Minnesota, Wisconsin, Kansas, Nebraska, and Canada. In 1910 the institution had five instructors and seventy students (all Lutheran) coming from fifteen states, as well as from Germany, Canada, Austria, Russia, and Austria-Hungary; and it is under the supervision of a board of seven trustees. The endowment is about \$15,000, and the library contains some 2,000 volumes. **K. G. TRAVER.**

11. Mount Airy. This institution, officially entitled "The Theological Seminary of the Evangelical Lutheran Church at Philadelphia," is located at Mount Airy, a suburb of Philadelphia, and was established in 1864. As early as 1749 Henry Melchior Muhlenberg had purchased ground for such an institution; but its foundation was delayed until 1864, when the Ministerium of Pennsylvania elected Rev. Drs. C. F. Schaeffer (q.v.), W. J. Mann (q.v.), and Charles Porterfield Knauth (q.v.) full professors, and Rev. Drs. C. W. Schaeffer (q.v.) and G. F. Krodel (q.v.) associate professors, all being installed Oct. 4, 1864. In 1869 the seminary removed from the center of Philadelphia to its suburbs at Mt. Airy, north of Germantown, where, on a plot of five acres, an administration building, a large dormitory, a church, and five residences now stand, besides the Knauth Memorial Library, for whose erection and equipment a friend has contributed over \$100,000. The Ministerium of New York, the Synod of New York and New England, and the Pittsburgh Synod (all belonging to the General Council) have united with the Ministerium of Pennsylvania in its support and control. Previous to 1893 its property was held under the charter of the Ministerium of Pennsylvania, but since then it has been constituted a separate corporation. It is administered by a board of thirty-six members, six of its professors, upon nomination by the directors, are elected by the Ministerium of Pennsylvania. The charter declares: "The seminary shall rest on the Divine Word of the Old and New Testament Scriptures, as the absolute Rule of Faith, and the confessions of the Evangelical Lutheran Church set forth in the Book of Concord, as in conformity with that Rule, and all its teachings shall be in accord with said Rule." The standard of educational preparation for admission is that of college graduation, exceptions being possible only by a unanimous vote of the faculty. The instruction is through the medium of the English language, supplemented by special courses in which German is used for those less familiar with English. The faculty consists at present of Dr. H. E. Jacobs (q.v.; chairman, 1885), J. Fry (1891), G. F. Spicker (q.v.; 1895), Henry Ottmann (1910), G. T.

Horn, T. E. Schmauk, and L. D. Reed (all 1911), and there are also two instructors and four lecturers, while the library is administered by a librarian and three assistants. The alumni list numbers 808, while about 200 have taken partial and post-graduate courses. The number of students in attendance during 1910-11 is 45. Dr. Adolph Spang (q. v.), for thirty-seven years a professor, and for fourteen years the chairman of the faculty, died June 25, 1910. Graduates of the seminary are serving in all parts of the Lutheran Church, all parts of the country, and in many languages, as well as in other denominations. The Lutheran Church Review, a theological quarterly, published by the alumni, is edited by Rev. Theodore E. Schmauk, president of the board of directors, assisted by the faculty. Henry E. Jacobs.

15. Columbia (formerly Mount Pleasant). The theological seminary of the United Synod of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in the South was located at Mt. Pleasant, Charleston Co., S. C., until 1911, when it was removed to Columbia, S. C. It was founded in 1831 as a classical and theological institute to provide ministers for Lutheran churches, especially in South Carolina and the adjacent states. It was created by the action of the Evangelical Lutheran Synod of South Carolina, under impetus given by the Rev. John Bushman, of Charleston, S. C., and the first professor was the Rev. John G. Schwartz, who died shortly after the inception of the institution. Temporarily located in Newberry County, S. C., the classical and collegiate institute was more permanently situated in 1853 at Lexington, S. C., with Ernest L. Haerdtius as the chief professor. In 1859 it was removed to Columbia, S. C., and became Newberry College, but its operations were crippled by the war, and the theological department was separated from the college and became the Theological Seminary of the United Synod (South) of the Lutheran Church in 1867. Its work was carried on at various places until, in 1872, it was located at Salem, Va., with Rev. E. A. Reppas and Rev. T. W. Dosh as professors. In 1884 the seminary was discontinued, but two years later it resumed its life as the theological department of Newberry College, under the control of the South Carolina Synod. In 1892 it was adopted as the theological seminary of the United Synod of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in the South, which was formed in 1890, and which succeeded the General Synod (South). The institution continued at Newberry, S. C., until 1898, when it was removed to its present location at Mt. Pleasant, in the vicinity of Charleston, S. C. It stands for confessional Lutheranism according to the basis of the United Synod, and its chief influence has been to strengthen Lutheran consciousness and to promote homogeneity in the Lutheran Church in the South Atlantic States. The most prominent of its instructors was Dr. E. L. Haerdtius, although Dr. J. P. Stauffer and Dr. A. Reppas were also men of note.

In 1910 the teaching force of the seminary consisted of ten regular professors and three lecturers, and it is governed for the United Synod by a board of fourteen directors, elected by that body. In 1910 fourteen students were in attendance, all Lutheran,

from the states of Virginia, North Carolina, South Carolina, and Georgia. The amount of endowment is \$40,000, and the library contains 5,000 books.

16. Concordia. J. F. Schmeier. Historical studies of the Evangelical Lutheran Synod of South Carolina, Charleston, S. C., 1871. W. J. Bergson. History of the American People, vol. 1, pp. 101, 102. Century Company. Historical studies of the Lutheran Pastor, 1904.

17. Saint Anthony Park. This seminary, which is affiliated with the United Norwegian Lutheran Church, is located in St. Anthony Park, St. Paul, Ramsey Co., Minn., and was founded in 1890. It is under the direct control of the Annual Meeting of the United Norwegian Lutheran Church, which elects the professors and the board of trustees of the seminary, prescribes the course of study, holds title to all real estate, and has control of all funds. The institution was originally known as "Augustberg Seminary," and was located, from 1890 to 1893, in the buildings of the older institution of the same name, at Minneapolis, Minn., which have remained under the auspices of the Norwegian Evangelical Lutheran Synod of North America, and which still constitute Augustberg Seminary. From 1893, the new seminary occupied temporary quarters until Jan. 1902, when it was removed to its present permanent home. The aim of the institution is to educate men in the various branches of theology so as to fit them for the public ministry of the Gospel in the Norwegian Lutheran Church of America, and for the foreign mission field. The confessional basis is the same as that of the United Norwegian Lutheran Church: "The canonical books of the Old and New Testament are the revealed Word of God, and therefore, the only source and rule of faith, doctrine, and life"; and it also holds that the Apostles', Nicene, and Athanasian Creeds, and the unaltered Augsburg Confession and Luther's Smaller Catechism, are true and their affirmations of the doctrines of the Word of God. Both the Norwegian and the English languages are used in instruction, and the seminary course takes three years, the preparatory training for admission being the usual college course with the classical languages, although the latter requirement may exceptionally be waived. The equipment of the seminary consists of about nine acres of land, on which are the main building (erected at a cost of nearly \$100,000), the Muskego Church (the first church erected at Muskego, Wis., in 1844, by Norwegian Lutherans in the United States; removed to the seminary grounds in 1905; and serving to honor articles of interest from Norwegian church history in America), and two professors' houses. In 1890 there were five professional degrees and six lay degrees conferred. In 1909, E. A. Johnson (Old Testament exegesis and Hebrew), E. A. Schmidt (q. v.; dogmatics and symbolism), C. M. Wierow (church history and homiletics), the professorship of practical theology and missions being vacant. There are also four instructors. There have thus far been 363 graduates, and the enrollment in 1910 was 62. The total value of the property of the seminary is \$145,000, and its endowment is \$121,000, besides which it receives annual appropriations from the United Norwegian

Lutheran Church. Its library contains about 5,000 volumes. CHAS. M. WATERS. 14. BUNDESHANSA: The School of Theology of St. Elizabeth's University, at Schlegelberg, Pr., is a part of the school founded in 1838 by Rev. B. Kurze. It has always been under Lutheran control, and is known as a "Missionary Institute," until its incorporation with St. Elizabeth's University in 1894. Its special object as the Institute was to prepare men for both home and foreign mission work, and students were received without regard to age or domestic ties, and ordinarily with less than a college education. The course was three years, and was practical rather than theoretical, but since 1894 has required as preparation a full college training. Among its theological teachers have been Drs. B. Kurze, H. Zedler, F. Born, J. B. Focke, C. M. Heider, and J. Zetly. In 1910, the faculty numbered four: C. T. Allen, F. J. Marshall, D. B. Floyd, and H. M. Follmer, and there were twelve students, with the library of the entire university contained in 11,500 volumes. FRANK F. MARSHALL.

15. Wartburg. This seminary, which is situated at Delongue, Ia., is the theological institution of the Evangelical Lutheran Synod of Iowa and Other States. It was founded by Rev. George Groesmann, at Delongue, Ia., in Sept., 1858, supported by the Lutheran Church of Germany under the leadership of Rev. Wilhelm Loh, of Neuensteilahn, Bavaria. After Groesmann had withdrawn from the Bavarian settlements, near Baginaw, Mich., to prevent schism, that territory became the possession of Loh, who was no longer desired by the Missouri Synod. From 1858 to 1876 the faculty consisted of George Groesmann, Sigmund Fritschel, and Gottfried Fritschel. In 1857 the seminary was removed to St. Sabal, Ia., where the necessities of life were raised on a farm, but in 1874 it found more spacious quarters at Mendota, Ill., whence it was transferred. In 1880, to its present home at Delongue, Ia. It stands for the principles represented by the Iowa synod, namely, a conservative, positive Lutheranism, avoiding, on the one hand, a laxity which ignores the peculiarities of the Lutheran heritage, and, on the other hand, a rigorous extreme which makes no distinction between essentials and non-essentials. It emphasizes the absolute superiority of the Scriptures in all matters of faith, and finds those expressed in the Lutheran confessions. No new movements have originated in this seminary, but the old Lutheran methods of preaching the Gospel purely have been practiced. The brothers Fritschel mentioned above, two of the leading Lutheran theologians, have exerted an influence far beyond the bounds of the Iowa synod. Sigmund especially as the representative at the meetings of the General Council, and Gottfried also as author.

In 1911 there were four professors and sixty-eight students. A board of trustees elected at the triennial sessions of the synod controls the financial affairs, while the professors are elected by a special board. The students live together in the seminary building, and board is furnished by the institution. The internal affairs are regulated by the students and the faculty. The nine months' work closes with final examinations before a synodical board,

and the graduates are entitled to positions in the synod. The course of instruction provides for three years' work in a "theoretical" section for college graduates, and a "practical" section for others. All branches of Lutheran theology are taught in the curriculum, partly in German, and partly in English. For its support the institution depends upon the liberality of the Iowa synod congregations, although an endowment fund of \$72,214 has accumulated. The present student body comes from the following states and countries: Germany (7), Bohemia (1), Illinois (7), Iowa (17), Kansas (1), Michigan (1), Missouri (2), Nebraska (4), North Dakota (1), Ohio (4), Wisconsin (1), and Texas (7). The library has about 12,000 volumes, among which a collection on Lutheran polemics and treatises, bequeathed by Prof. Sigmund Fritschel, is worthy of mention, being the most complete in its line on this continent. GEO. J. PETERSEN.

16. Wauwatosa. J. Dehliester. Geschichte der evangelisch-lutherischen Synode Iowa, Chicago, 1897, p. 1. Fritschel. Geschichte der lutherischen Kirche in America, Göttingen, 1899-97.

17. Wauwatosa: The Lutheran theological seminary, now located at Wauwatosa, Milwaukee Co., Wis., was founded in 1865 by the Evangelical Lutheran Synod of Wisconsin and Other States, at Waterville, Wis., as part of the Northwestern University established at that place, its purpose being to train young men for the ministry of the Lutheran Church. Rev. E. Moldenke was the first teacher of the seminary, but was succeeded in 1867 by Rev. A. Honnecke, who was thereafter connected with the seminary until his death in 1908. When, in 1870, Honnecke accepted a call to one of the churches of Milwaukee, the work of the seminary was suspended until 1878, when the institution was reestablished at Milwaukee, still under the charter of Northwestern University. Honnecke was appointed to be the president, and Prof. A. L. Gronow and Prof. E. Note were the other members of the new faculty. When Prof. Gronow, in 1887, accepted a call to Concordia Seminary, at St. Louis, Mo., Rev. C. Thibault was chosen as his successor. In 1901, Prof. J. A. Koehler took the chair of church history made vacant by Prof. Thibault's resignation, and Prof. Note having died in 1903, Rev. A. Tappert was appointed in his place. In the same year, the seminary had been moved from the city of Milwaukee to its present suburban location within the limits of Wauwatosa, and the new building was dedicated in 1883. Soon after the Synod of Wisconsin transferred the control of the institution to the Joint Synod of Wisconsin, Minnesota, Michigan, and Other States, then newly formed, of which the Wisconsin synod had become an integral part of the establishment. The faculty continued unchanged until Dr. A. Honnecke died on Jan. 3, 1908, his place being taken, in September of the same year, by Prof. J. Schuler.

The seminary stands for positive Lutheran theology in the strictest sense, so closely following the teachings of the Bible, which, being verbally inspired by the Spirit of God, is the last and only authority in questions of doctrine. Among the instructors who have been attached to the institution,

Professor Henschel stands prominent for far-reaching influence as a dogmatist. In the year 1910 the faculty of the seminary consisted of Prof. J. Schuler (president and professor of dogmatics, pastoral theology, and homiletics), Prof. J. F. Koehler (church history and New Testament exegesis), and Prof. Aug. Piper (Old Testament exegesis, symbols, and liturgics). The enrollment of students for 1910 was fifty-one, with fifteen graduates who entered the ministry, and most of the students are drawn from the territory covered by the Joint Synod above named. A board of managers is the connecting link between the institution and the synod, and the school is supported by voluntary contributions from the church-members of the entire body embraced in the Joint Synod, excepting a small endowment, the proceeds of which are used to defray the expense of indigent students in the way of board, etc. The library contains some 5,000 volumes.

17. Western Theological Seminary. The first and only seminary of the General Synod west of the Mississippi, is located at Ashland, Alachua Co., Fla., where it was founded, in 1856, by the Board of Education of the General Synod of the Evangelical Lutheran Church in the United States of America, by the authority of the General Synod, held at Hagerstown, Md., in June, 1855; and it originated in the urgent demand to secure the full equipment of young men for the Gospel ministry in the territory where they expected to labor. Rev. Frank D. Altman, the first president, was installed in Nov., 1856, and other instructors have been Drs. Jacob A. Cline, J. Howard Strong, J. L. New, Holmes Doyinger, and M. F. Frowell. The doctrinal basis of the seminary is the Word of God, as contained in the canonical Scriptures of the Old and New Testaments, the only infallible rule of faith and practice, and the Augsburg Confession, a correct exhibition of the fundamental doctrines of the Divine Word, and of the faith of our Church founded upon that Word, and it is the purpose of the seminary to provide the churches with pastors in harmony with the above basis. The seminary has a productive endowment of about \$21,000, and 3,000 volumes in its library. It has thus far been attended by 17 students, 72 of whom have satisfactorily completed the course of instruction and have received their graduation diplomas. In June, 1910 the government was assumed by the trustees of Midland College, and Dr. M. F. Frowell is president of the combined institutions.

18. Methodist Episcopal—1. Astbury. This college is situated at Wilkes, Hamilton Co., Ky., where it was founded in Sept., 1850, by Rev. J. W. Hughes for the promotion of true science and through it, of Christian holiness for all the world. The institution is affiliated with the Methodist Episcopal denomination, although its trustees, fifteen in number, are selected with regard to their moral, spiritual, and business fitness rather than with respect to their church relations. It began its work with two teachers and eleven pupils in a four-room cottage, but in 1903 it was deemed best for it to pass from personal control into the hands of a board

of trustees. The school emphasizes the Wesleyan type of experimental religion—conversion and entire sanctification as conscious experiences of grace and holy living in all walks of life—read each year of his history has witnessed a revival of religion. Any use of liquor or tobacco is forbidden in pupils, as are card-playing and intercollegiate games. Higher criticism is frowned upon. The institution believes in the inspiration and authenticity of the Scriptures and a full Gospel; it endeavors to build up close manhood and womanhood; it strives to promote civic righteousness and the speedy evangelization of the world; and it stands for prohibition. It has, accordingly, exercised an influence on many churches for a more definite Christian experience and life, and its alumni may almost be found in Korea, Japan, Persia, India, the Philippines, Cuba, the West Indies, Africa, and other fields. In 1910, Ashbury College had seventeen instructors (Rev. H. C. Morrison, president), and during recent years its student body of both sexes, has averaged 250, about one-half of whom are from Kentucky, and the remainder from some twenty or thirty states. The attendance was reduced by a disastrous fire in Mar., 1909, but new and better buildings have since been erected, and an effort is now being made to add an industrial plant. The library contains about 2,000 volumes, but the college is entirely without endowment.

2. Boston. The school of theology attached to Boston University is the oldest theological seminary of the Methodist Episcopal Church, and dates from the action of a convention of New England friends of improved theological training, held in Boston, Apr. 24-26, 1839, the first centennial anniversary of universal Methodism. In the absence of endowments it was started by the small gifts of a large number of individual parties, and until 1852 the year of the first centennial of American Methodism, was wholly maintained by small donations and by collections in the churches of the adjacent annual conference. Instruction was first provided in 1841, when it was offered as a distinct course in connection with an older Conference academy at Newbury, Vt., but six years later this theological department of the academy was transferred to Concord, N. H., and by charter was independently incorporated as "The Methodist General Biblical Institute." In 1857 the institute was removed to Boston, and was reorganized under a Massachusetts act of incorporation as the "Boston Theological Seminary" and four years later, by a new act of the Legislature, it became the official department of the then newly chartered Boston University. In Newbury, for lack of funds, the school had no independently organized faculty, but at Concord, under considerable personal instruction was given by John Demerest Baker (1847-52), S. M. Yell (1847-52), David Patten (1853-57), Bishop O. C. Baker (1854-57), and J. W. Merrill (1854-57). In Boston, the seminary teachers have been David Patten (1857-60), W. F. Warren (q.v.; since 1867), L. T. Townsend (q.v.; 1867-60), J. W. Linsley (1868-64), J. E. Linsler (1869-85), H. C. Sheldon

(q.v.; since 1874), H. G. Mitchell (q.v.; 1883-1900), M. D. Buel (q.v.; since 1884), H. J. Cramer (1880-1886), Daniel Steele (q.v.; as supply, 1889-95; 1892-1901), O. A. Curtis (1890-95), H. K. Morris (1894-1900), M. B. Chapman (1898-1905), J. M. Barker (since 1885), S. L. Bellie (since 1905), A. C. Braden (since 1905), and G. C. Coll (since 1908). The school was the first in this country to employ open study representatives of differing Christian confessions, as that, between 1870 and 1875, Presidents Woodley (q.v.), of Yale; McCall (q.v.), of Princeton; Hopkins (q.v.), of Williams; Robinson, of Brown; Harris (q.v.), of Bowdoin; and Anderson (q.v.), of Rochester; with other scholars of non-Methodist affiliations, gave courses of lectures in the institution. It was the first to have a permanent chair of comparative religion, and also the first to employ annually a lecturer to give a course on the history, theory, and practice of Christian missions. As early as in 1869, 1869 it offered courses of lectures in five different languages—Latin, English, French, German, and Italian. It was the first to open to men and women alike the advantages of a full and free Biblical and theological education with promotion to the appropriate degree after full qualification. Up to the year 1911 about 3,000 candidates for the ministry have been trained here. These graduates have served thirteen different denominations and a large number have become foreign missionaries. In the enrollment in 1910 172 of the 217 students were college graduates, and the graduating class of 1909, believed to be the largest ever sent out by any American theological seminary, numbered 871—eighty, all but four of whom were college graduates. Six graduates have been elected bishops; twelve, presidents of universities or colleges; and at least half a hundred, professors in theological and collegiate institutions.

The present number of instructors is fourteen; of trustees, thirty-four, those governing the school as one department of the university; and of students 210, of whom seventeen are pursuing post-graduate courses. The present student body comes from twenty-seven states of the Union, and from the following countries: Armenia, Bulgaria, Canada, China, Germany, India, Italy, Japan, Syria, and Turkey. They represent fifty-nine colleges and universities, and four theological seminaries, and, as usual, several nationalities and religious denominations are reported. The endowments of the school are an unyielding part of the general endowment of the university, the university having covenanted to support the seminary at the time it accepted in 1871 as its official organized department. The library of the school, and the collection of the adjoining general theological library (the latter established by the university and open to the students), include 40,000 volumes, while the Boston Public Library, located but a short distance away, gives access to nearly a million more.

WILLIAM FERRIS WALKER.

(q.v.; since 1874), H. G. Mitchell (q.v.; 1883-1900), M. D. Buel (q.v.; since 1884), H. J. Cramer (1880-1886), Daniel Steele (q.v.; as supply, 1889-95; 1892-1901), O. A. Curtis (1890-95), H. K. Morris (1894-1900), M. B. Chapman (1898-1905), J. M. Barker (since 1885), S. L. Bellie (since 1905), A. C. Braden (since 1905), and G. C. Coll (since 1908). The school was the first in this country to employ open study representatives of differing Christian confessions, as that, between 1870 and 1875, Presidents Woodley (q.v.), of Yale; McCall (q.v.), of Princeton; Hopkins (q.v.), of Williams; Robinson, of Brown; Harris (q.v.), of Bowdoin; and Anderson (q.v.), of Rochester; with other scholars of non-Methodist affiliations, gave courses of lectures in the institution. It was the first to have a permanent chair of comparative religion, and also the first to employ annually a lecturer to give a course on the history, theory, and practice of Christian missions. As early as in 1869, 1869 it offered courses of lectures in five different languages—Latin, English, French, German, and Italian. It was the first to open to men and women alike the advantages of a full and free Biblical and theological education with promotion to the appropriate degree after full qualification. Up to the year 1911 about 3,000 candidates for the ministry have been trained here. These graduates have served thirteen different denominations and a large number have become foreign missionaries. In the enrollment in 1910 172 of the 217 students were college graduates, and the graduating class of 1909, believed to be the largest ever sent out by any American theological seminary, numbered 871—eighty, all but four of whom were college graduates. Six graduates have been elected bishops; twelve, presidents of universities or colleges; and at least half a hundred, professors in theological and collegiate institutions.

The present number of instructors is fourteen; of trustees, thirty-four, those governing the school as one department of the university; and of students 210, of whom seventeen are pursuing post-graduate courses. The present student body comes from twenty-seven states of the Union, and from the following countries: Armenia, Bulgaria, Canada, China, Germany, India, Italy, Japan, Syria, and Turkey. They represent fifty-nine colleges and universities, and four theological seminaries, and, as usual, several nationalities and religious denominations are reported. The endowments of the school are an unyielding part of the general endowment of the university, the university having covenanted to support the seminary at the time it accepted in 1871 as its official organized department. The library of the school, and the collection of the adjoining general theological library (the latter established by the university and open to the students), include 40,000 volumes, while the Boston Public Library, located but a short distance away, gives access to nearly a million more.

WILLIAM FERRIS WALKER.

BIBLIOGRAPHY. A. W. Cushman, *Early History of Methodist in New York*, 1864; *Methodist in N. Y.*, W. W. Phelps, "Historical Address" in *First Quarter-Centennial of Boston University*, Boston, 1867; *Methodist in N. Y.*, as quoted in the history of the institution during its centennial period, by J. W. Merrill, is preserved in manuscript in the archives of the New England Methodist Historical Society, Boston.

B. Drew. This seminary, which is situated at Madison, Morris Co., N. J., was founded in 1866 by Daniel Drew, who gave \$250,000 to purchase the Gibson property, consisting of about six acres and a fine old colonial mansion, and who proposed to give an equal amount for endowment, which financial reverse prevented the consummation of his plan. Under the presidency of Dr. John McCall (q.v.), the seminary was opened in 1867, and under the care of its successors, Drs. Foster and Hunt (q.v.) passed successfully through the formative period, and also attained the trial of the great financial panic in which the founder's private fortune disappeared. After the election of Drs. Foster and Hunt to the bishopric, Dr. Henry A. Rust (q.v.) became president in 1880, continuing also to fill the chair of New Testament exegesis. During his administration the productive endowment of the seminary has increased to \$600,000, and buildings for library, administration, dormitories, and gymnasium have been erected to the same amount. The library contains 114,000 volumes, and more than 100,000 pamphlets. The seminary is under the control of the Methodist Episcopal Church, whose bishops constitute a board of supervision, and nominate the professors, who are elected by a board of trustees consisting of thirty-nine members, all of whom, both lay and clerical, must be members of the same church. The faculty consists of seven professors, who are assisted by two instructors and a librarian. The professors must be members of the Methodist Episcopal Church, and are required to subscribe annually to its doctrine. The number of its students (1910) is 176, and it has over 1,000 graduates engaged in pastoral or mission work throughout the world. The seminary was fortunate in its early professors, who filled large roles in the Church, and made many contributions to its literature, among them being John McClintock, James Strong (q.v.), John Milroy, and George H. Crooks (q.v.). Though closely attached to the Methodist Episcopal Church, the seminary has exercised much influence upon other denominations, and has always freely admitted to its student body members of any Evangelical church, being never without representatives of other Protestant bodies.

Roxbury W. Rootman.
4. Garrett Biblical Institute. This institution is located at Evanston (a suburb of Chicago), Cook Co., Ill., and was incorporated by the general assembly of the state as a theological seminary of the Methodist Episcopal Church, the incorporation named in the charter being Orrington Lunt, John Evans, Philo Julian, Grant Goodrich, and Stephen P. Keyes. More than a year before the charter was obtained, a building was secured sufficient to accommodate forty students, and the school opened Jan., 1854, under charge of Rev. John Demerest, Rev. William Goodrich, and Rev. William F. Wright. Four students were present at the beginning of the first term, but sixteen were enrolled before the close. In 1857, Rev. Daniel P. Kidder, Rev. Henry Damsker, and Rev. Francis D. Hemenway were added to the faculty. The control of the institute is with a board of its trustees, three laymen and three ministers, elected by the annual conference within the bounds of which the school is

hosted. The trustees have power to elect and remove the teachers as they see fit, and the board of instruction may, with the trustees, elect a president. During the first twenty-five years the senior professor acted as president, but in 1879, Dr. William C. Hilde was elected to this office and served until 1884, when he was elected bishop. In 1885, Dr. Henry B. Edgaway was made president, and upon his death, in 1895, Dr. Charles J. Little, the present incumbent, succeeded him in office. There were in 1910 seven professors and one assistant professor. The property of the institute consists of a portion of the campus of the Northwestern University which is leased in perpetuity. Two buildings at present occupy this ground, Hook Hall, a dormitory capable of accommodating 100 students, and Memorial Hall, which contains the chapel, the lecture-room and studies of the professors, and the library annex. This annex is a fire-proof building, the gift of William Deering, and contains, besides the library, a museum of Christian archeology given as a memorial of the late Prof. Charles W. Benson. The library has over 72,000 volumes, including probably the most extensive and valuable collection of the literature of Methodism in the world. The productive endowment of the institute is not far from \$750,000, and consisted at first of a large property, improved and unimproved, in the city of Chicago given by the will of Mrs. Eliza Garret, after whom the institute is named.

Garret Biblical Institute stands for thorough instruction in all those studies which are usually taken in theological seminaries, and which are deemed necessary to an accomplished minister of the gospel, and the doctrine taught conforms to the acknowledged standards of the Methodist Episcopal Church. While urging the great importance of a thorough collegiate training as a condition of admission to its classes, this institute has never rejected any candidate for the Christian ministry who, upon examination and trial, evinced a sufficient preparatory training to pursue one of its courses of study with a fair measure of success. The total number of graduates is over 1,000, and three times that number have for a time enjoyed the privileges of the school. For no considerable period has there ever been a noteworthy falling off in the numbers in attendance. The registration for the last four years has been 172 in 1907, 199 in 1908, 211 in 1909, and 194 in 1910. These students have come from all parts of the United States, and not a few from foreign lands. They have gone forth to minister in nearly every state and territory of the Union, and more than thirty of the graduates have gone as missionaries to South America, Africa, India, the Philippine Islands, China, and Japan. Most of the graduates are still living and acting as pastors of churches, many of them occupying conspicuous positions with respect to ability, while a few have been chosen editors of religious journals, secretaries of benevolent organizations, professors and presidents of colleges, and bishops of the Methodist Episcopal Church.

MINNESOTA. **St. James' Theological Seminary.** General Volume of *Seventeenth-Century Great Britain* (London, 1906).

6. German. This seminary forms a department

of Central Wesleyan College, located at Warrenton, Warren Co., Mo., and founded by German Methodists in 1844 for the purpose of educating the youth of the land and of training young men for the ministry. In 1878, Dr. J. L. Koenig was appointed professor of Theology; in 1884 two professors were elected; and since 1900 there has been a faculty of four, with Otto E. Krueger as president. In this year the theological department, recognized as Central Wesleyan Theological Seminary, was recognized by the bishops of the Methodist Episcopal Church as an official seminary. In 1909 the German College at Mt. Pleasant, Ia., was united with Central Wesleyan College at Warrenton, Mo., and the theological department is now known as German Theological Seminary. There are two three-year theological courses, a four-year classical-theological course, leading to the B.A. degree, and a three-year theological course, leading to the B.D. degree. The number of students is about forty. Of the 627 alumni of Central Wesleyan College, 137 entered the ministry, and 137 became teachers, while probably a hundred more, who did not graduate, are serving the church as ministers or missionaries. It has been the aim of the seminary to meet the new conditions of the German Methodist Episcopal Church by supplying well-equipped bilingual ministers, since many German churches to-day need men who can use the German language in the morning services and the English tongue equally well in the evening services. The two pattern German conferences depend almost wholly upon the output of the German Theological Seminary for their new supply of ministers, and the supply does not equal the demand. A number of graduates have entered English conferences, and a few are serving with honor in other denominations. A board of trustees elected by two German conferences of the Methodist Episcopal Church governs both the college and the seminary. The endowment of the seminary is \$50,000, and its library contains 2,000 volumes.

OTTO E. KRUEGER.

6. Next: *Nast Theological Seminary* is located at Stone, California Co., Ca., and is in reality a part of German Wallace College, since there is no special charter nor trustees-board for the seminary; the charter of the college including the seminary. The institution was founded in the year 1863, the names of the members of the first board of trustees and incorporators of the college and seminary being: Dr. W. Nast, Rev. W. Adams, F. Fischer, E. J. W. Bruch, Rev. E. Wundelich, P. Pinger, D. Malow, J. Knick, Rev. E. Schuler, J. Weitzel, W. Mack, J. C. Schupp, and Anton Haensching. Among the earliest instructors were Dr. W. Nast, Rev. J. Rothwiler, F. W. Moschler, Dr. Albert Nast, Miss Mary Haensching, Rev. A. Loehndorf, Rev. C. F. Moe, Dr. C. Riemenschneider, Rev. P. Wacker, Rev. J. O. Herr, Rev. C. F. Paulin, and Prof. W. Trilker. The seminary was founded by, and is affiliated with, the German Methodist Church, and its purpose is the education of the sons and daughters of German Methodism, and the training of ministers for the German Methodist Church. The seminary is strongly conservative in its theology, and aims to be very thorough in its methods and courses. A very large

percentage of the ministers of the German Methodist Church were educated in this seminary. The names of some of its professors are well known throughout this country and in other countries, as, for example, Dr. C. F. Paulin, Dr. C. Riemenschneider, Prof. V. Wilker, and Bishop John L. Neulen (Sw.).

The school has been enabled to do its excellent work partly through its connection with Baldwin University, also located at Stone, O. A contract with this university permits students of German Wallace College and *Nast Theological Seminary* to pursue, without payment of tuition, class-work in Baldwin University, and students of Baldwin University are permitted to do the same in German Wallace College. The institution has now (1911) 250 students, 23 instructors, 24 trustees, \$300,000 endowment, and about 1,000 volumes in its library, while the campus and buildings are valued at about \$150,000. A magazine, *Zeitschrift für Theologie und Kirche*, is published bi-monthly by the faculty of the seminary.

ARIZONA. **Arizona Bible Seminary.** The Swedish Theological Seminary of the Methodist Episcopal Church, now located at Escudon (a suburb of Chicago), Ill., was organized in Galshburg, Ill., in Jan., 1870, for the purpose of educating ministers for the Swedish Methodist churches in America; and the pastor in Galshburg, Rev. N. O. Westergren, being elected its teacher, began his work with three students. Some years afterward the school was moved to Galva, Ill., where it was located two years, with Rev. C. A. Wirm as teacher, until Jan., 1873, when it was moved again, this time to Evanston, Ill., where it is still located, and where it became affiliated with Garrett Biblical Institute of the Northwestern University.

Rev. Win. Hennrich, of Upland, Sweden, now became its president, and served in this capacity till 1888, when he was succeeded by Rev. Albert Edson, who was its president until 1909. In the year 1888 a suitable building was erected, at a cost of \$100,000, on the shore of Lake Michigan, near the Northwestern University, but since this building stood on leased ground the trustees of the seminary bought, in the year 1908, a half block of land on Orrington Ave. and Lincoln St., in Evanston, and erected a commodious building at a cost of \$35,000.

The institution is now owned and maintained by the five Swedish conferences in America through a board of directors consisting of eleven members. The current expenses are raised by an annual collection in the different conferences and by interest from an endowment fund of about \$500,000. In 1910 the faculty consisted of three professors, C. G. Waldstrom (president), J. E. Hillberg, and P. A. Lundberg, and for the last ten years the registration has varied between twenty-five and thirty. The course of study extends through a period of four years, the first two comprising an academic course for those who have not completed their academic education, while the last two years are devoted to a thorough study of the theological branches. Since its organization the school has graduated more than two hundred students who are ministers in different parts of America and Sweden. The seminary has a library of about 1,500 bound volumes (study

books and historical works) and 200 pamphlets.

C. G. WALDSTROM.

6. Next: *St. James' Theological Seminary* is located at Stone, California Co., Ca., and is in reality a part of German Wallace College, since there is no special charter nor trustees-board for the seminary; the charter of the college including the seminary. The institution was founded in the year 1863, the names of the members of the first board of trustees and incorporators of the college and seminary being: Dr. W. Nast, Rev. W. Adams, F. Fischer, E. J. W. Bruch, Rev. E. Wundelich, P. Pinger, D. Malow, J. Knick, Rev. E. Schuler, J. Weitzel, W. Mack, J. C. Schupp, and Anton Haensching. Among the earliest instructors were Dr. W. Nast, Rev. J. Rothwiler, F. W. Moschler, Dr. Albert Nast, Miss Mary Haensching, Rev. A. Loehndorf, Rev. C. F. Moe, Dr. C. Riemenschneider, Rev. P. Wacker, Rev. J. O. Herr, Rev. C. F. Paulin, and Prof. W. Trilker. The seminary was founded by, and is affiliated with, the German Methodist Church, and its purpose is the education of the sons and daughters of German Methodism, and the training of ministers for the German Methodist Church. The seminary is strongly conservative in its theology, and aims to be very thorough in its methods and courses. A very large

ing men for the ministry of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South, and since it was deemed wise that such students should not be separated from those preparing for other avocations, the seminary was designated a department of the university itself. During the history of the university over a thousand students for the ministry have been enrolled, the number in the seminary (or biblical department) being 110 in 1910 (the largest attendance thus far reached), and sessions in the academic department. Nearly 700 alumni are in the active work of the ministry, chiefly in the Methodist Episcopal Church, South (forming at least a tenth of the entire ministry of the denomination), as well as in the foreign mission fields of Mexico, Cuba, Brazil, China, Japan, and Korea. In 1901, a correspondence school, under the direction of the theological faculty, was organized, which in 1910 had about 1,000 students, chiefly young ministers of the Methodist Episcopal Church, South. Work done in this school may be credited to the extent of one-third of the total amount required for a diploma or degree, residence being required for the remainder, and degrees being given only to those already having the B.A., those not having it receiving merely diplomas.

The first chancellor of the university was Dr. L. C. Chalkley, who was succeeded in 1863 by the present chancellor, Dr. James H. Kirkland; while the first dean of the theological faculty was Dr. Thomas O. Sumner (q.v.), who was succeeded, at his death in 1882, by Dr. A. M. Shipps (q.v.). Among the other noteworthy members of the faculty have been Bishops John J. Tigert (q.v.) and J. C. Granbery (q.v.). The work of the biblical department is now organized in nine schools, each under one of the following faculty: J. H. Stevenson (q.v.; Old Testament language and literature), Thomas Carter (New Testament language and literature), H. B. Carr (biblical theology and English exegesis), O. E. Horn (biblical and ecclesiastical history), Walter F. Tillet (q.v.; systematic theology; exegesis), J. A. Breen (q.v.; practical theology), G. W. Dyer (practical theology), A. M. Harris (public speaking), and J. L. Cunningham (religious education). The department is supported partly from the original general endowment of the university, and partly from the income of about \$150,000, especially contributed to the department, the whole income available for it being about \$20,000 annually. Besides this, the general conference at Asheville, N. C., in May, 1910, inaugurated a plan whereby the department may receive the additional sum of \$12,000 or \$15,000 annually from a general assessment levied on the entire denomination. The library contains about 10,000 volumes, and the department publishes an annual bulletin. The correspondence school issues a similar bulletin. The correspondence school issues a similar bulletin. In addition, a small monthly journal, entitled *The Correspondence Reporter*.

WILIAM F. TANNAR.
IX. Methodist Protestant—1. Adria: This theological school is connected with Adria College, which is located at Adria, Leavenworth Co., Mo., where it was founded in the year 1860 or 1861. It was at first affiliated with the Wesleyan Methodist Church, but in 1871 it was transferred to the Methodist Protestant Church, which continues to operate.

the school aiming to meet the needs of the denomination with which it is now associated. Prominent among its instructors have been the Rev. Rev. Am. Mahan (q.v.), and Rev. G. B. McEroy and Rev. Luther Lee. The school, until recently, was under the management of the president of Adria College (Rev. B. W. Anthony), but had its own dean (Rev. H. L. Foman), who was responsible for the course of study, etc. In the year of 1909-10 the school offered a full seminary course, but in the spring of 1910 President Anthony recommended that it be placed under separate and distinct management, and be known as the Adria Theological Seminary, with its former dean as its president. This recommendation was unanimously adopted by the board of trustees, and the school now has only an affiliated relation to its former college. It is a graduate school, receiving none excepting those who have the bachelor's degree.

Its course of study has been chosen after the most careful examination of the courses offered by the best seminaries in the country, and it is governed by a board of three directors chosen by the board of trustees of Adria College. The school is without endowment, but has a very good working library. Prof. H. C. Roston is associated with Dr. Foman in the management of the institution. The classes are naturally small, owing to the fact that it is a graduate school; but the seminary has opened with great promise for future success.

W. W. ARMOUR.
2. Westminster (Tobaccoana, Tex.): The Westminster College of Theology, located in Tobaccoana, Limoness Co., Tex., was founded in the fall of 1893 under the supervision of the Methodist Protestant Church, largely through the efforts of Rev. J. L. Lawlis, assisted by Rev. T. L. Garrison, both members of the Texas Annual Conference of the Methodist Protestant Church. In the early years of the work, Dr. Lawlis was assisted in the theological department by Prof. C. O. Strubbs. The institution was established to meet the needs of the Methodist Protestant Church in the Southwest, and its foundation was especially aimed at young men who were called to the ministry should have an opportunity to prepare themselves for their life-work in the section in which they resided and expected to labor. The seminary is evangelized in faith, and stands on the broad doctrine of the church which has it under its supervision. It was opened in connection with the College of Arts and Sciences in the summer of 1902 (both colleges were moved to Tobaccoana, where they are now located). Dr. Lawlis died in the fall of 1902, and in 1903, Rev. Henry Hofter, Jr., was elected to the presidency of the College of Theology. In 1908, the College of Theology and the College of Arts and Sciences were united under one president, the Rev. M. F. Foy, and the theological work was arranged as a department of the main college work. Professors H. H. Price and R. F. Day have been most prominent in developing the course of instruction.

The department has three instructors and several assistants, while eight members, elected by the Texas Annual Conference, constitute the board of trustees.

which is the governing body and determines the general policy of the institution. The executive officer of the board is the president of the faculty, who has authority to act in matters that pertain to the policy and government of the institution in the intervals between the board meetings. In the year 1909-10 ten students were enrolled in the theological department—all Methodist Protestants—seven from Oklahoma, one from Arkansas, and seven from Texas. The endowment amounts to \$100,000, and the number of books in the library is about 200.

HARVEY HERRON, PRINC.
3. Westminster (Westminster, Md.): This seminary was founded in 1862 at Westminster, Md., and had its inception in a resolution adopted by the Maryland Annual Conference of the Methodist Protestant Church in March, 1851, appointing a committee to mature some plan by which systematic theology might be taught those graduates of Western Maryland College who were preparing for the Christian ministry. This committee reported to the conference in 1855, recommending that a department of theology be established in the college and that a minister of the conference be placed at the head of that department; to be acted the principal of the School of Theology. The conference adopted this recommendation, but at a special meeting of the board of trustees, held in May, 1852, to confer with the principal upon the organization of the department of theology, and upon the recommendation of the principal (Dr. T. H. Lewis), it was resolved that it was impracticable to establish such a department in the college, and that an independent theological institution should be organized. To aid in this design the board purchased and presented to the school of theology a tract of land adjoining the college, and offered to borrow a loan for the purpose of erecting a building thereon for the use of the school. This was carried out, and work was begun in 1852, while in Jan., 1854, the recommendation of the principal, the institution was incorporated by the Maryland Legislature. The earliest instructors of the seminary were Drs. J. T. Ward, T. H. Lewis, J. T. Murray, and L. W. Bates, while other prominent teachers on its faculty have been Drs. H. F. Benson and J. D. Kimer. The institution stands for evangelical Christianity with a progressiveness that is not radicalism, and a conservatism that is not stagnation; and among its alumni are pastors of prominent Protestant churches, and presidents of Methodist Protestant colleges, missionaries in China and Japan. In 1910 there were five instructors (Rev. Hugh Landon Eldridge, president), and a governing board of ten (three ministers and seven laymen). To the board the Maryland Annual Conference of the Methodist Protestant Church every fourth session accredits the session of 1884, while two ministers and three laymen are elected by the General Conference of the Methodist Protestant Church, and hold office for four years. This board holds the property, elects the faculty, and exercises general supervision over the interests of the seminary. There is also a board of visitors, composed of one minister and one layman, appointed by each patron Annual Confer-

ence—i.e., each conference appropriating a collection to the seminary. In 1910 there were forty students from thirteen annual conferences, all Methodist Protestants, excepting one. The endowment amounts to about \$200,000, and the number of books in the library is about 2,000. H. L. EVANS, PRINC.

X. New Jerusalem Church—1. Cambridge: The New Church Theological School was organized in the year 1806, and was incorporated May 17, 1831, by members of the General Convention of the New Jerusalem in the United States of America. During the first twelve years it was located at Waltham, Mass., but in 1818 it was removed to Boston, and in 1830 to Cambridge, Mass., where a convenient and ample property was secured in the immediate neighborhood of Harvard University. The first president and instructor in theology was the Rev. Thomas Worcester, and others early connected with the school were the Rev. Samuel F. Dike and the Rev. T. O. Paine (q.v.). Among its more recent instructors have been the Rev. John Worcester (q.v.) and the Rev. T. F. Wright (q.v.). The purpose of the school and its distinctive work is the preparation of ministers for the New Church, giving them thorough instruction in the Sacred Scriptures and in the doctrine of the New Church as unfolded from the Word in the writings of Emanuel Swedenborg. Twelve managers and fourteen directors, with the president and treasurer, care for the spiritual and material welfare of the school, which had in 1910 five professors, four students, and an endowment of \$696,625.75.

WILLIAM L. WOODBRIDGE.
XI. Presbyterian (Northampton, N. Y.): This institution is located in Auburn, Cayuga Co., N. Y., where it was founded in 1818. The proposal to establish such a seminary under the control of the local presbyteries was made in the Presbytery of Cayuga in Jan., 1818, was adopted in the same year by the Synod of Geneva, and, after approval by the General Assembly in 1819, was chartered in 1820 and opened for students in the following year. Its work has since been continuous, except in 1854-55. The seminary had its origin in the religious revivals that swept through the new settlements early in the last century, and in the consequent quickening of missionary work and the increasing demand for ministers. Foremost among the founders of the institution was Rev. David C. Lansing, and the first faculty consisted of him and of M. L. Ferriss, H. Mills, and J. Richards. Originally there were four chairs of instruction, one for each of the departments now known as exegetical, historical, dogmatic, and practical theology. In 1827 the exegetical department was merged by establishing the chair of Hebrew language and literature, and in 1833 the faculty was strengthened, especially in the department of general theology, by the election of a president, who is also professor of pastoral theology, church polity, and sacraments. In 1833, the assistant professorship in the New Testament department was created, in 1834 the chair of system and apologetics, and in 1837 the assistant professorship in the Old Testament department. In 1890, the assistant professorship in the New Testament department became professor of New Testament language and criticism, and the

assistant professor in the Old-Testament department became professor of Semitic languages and religions. The seminary stands for the largest and best scholarly, practical, and spiritual preparation and character of young men for the work of the ministry. It encourages liberty of investigation and expression, both in professors and students, and aims to equip its students in all respects for the skillful and efficient discharge of the duties of their high profession. It was in this institution that many of the ministers received their training who were excluded from the Presbyterian Church in 1857-58 and formed the New School Presbyterian Church, and it was one of the chief sources of supply of ministers for that church until the reunion of the Old and New School churches in 1870. Since then it has been recognized as one of the foremost of the seminaries of the reunited denomination. It was here that the Auburn Convention was held, on Aug. 17, 1857, which framed the Auburn Declaration (q.v.), which played such an important part in the division of the church at that time, and which furnished a basis for the reunion in 1870. As this seminary has always emphasized the importance of preparing its students for the active work of the ministry, it has been generally recognized as the leader in all those modifications of seminary curricula which tended toward making more practical such training, and it was the first of the seminaries to introduce, among other subjects, the teaching of English Bible, missions, Sunday-school, and pedagogy. Prominent in its faculty, in addition to its original professors, are found the names of Samuel Hanson Cox (q.v.), Laurens P. Hickok (q.v.), Saml. Mills Hopkins, William G. T. Haskell (q.v.), Saml. A. Huntington, Edwin Hall, Willis J. Beecher (q.v.), Herrick Johnson (q.v.), Rufus B. Johnson (q.v.), J. Tyson, Henry M. Booth. In 1910 its faculty numbered ten, and it is governed by twenty-eight trustees, eighteen of whom are elected by the eighteen presbyteries in the state of New York, one from each, the remaining ten being elected by the board itself, the president of the seminary being ex officio one of the ten. All of these directors, except the president, are elected for a term of three years. The board is an independent and self-governing body, and its acts are not reviewable. There were, in 1910, seventy-one students, twenty-eight from New York State, with representatives from sixteen other states, as well as from Asia Minor and Japan, these students being attached to the following denominations: Presbyterian (32), Baptist (1), Christian Church in Japan (6), Union Church in Japan (1), Congregational (2), American Presbyterians (1), Methodist (6), Italian Presbyterians (1), A. M. E. Zion (1), and Disciples (2). The endowment of the institution is \$500,000, and its library contains 33,472 volumes. **Groom, B. Stewart.**

2. Bloomfield. This German Presbyterian theological seminary was established at Newark, N. J., in 1869, by the Presbytery of Newark, and is regularly affiliated with the Presbyterian Church of the United States of America. Its charter was granted in 1871 and amended in 1873; and by a general act of the legislature of New Jersey its modified collegiate standing in 1909. Its foundation is largely due to the efforts of the Rev. D. W. Foot, and the school was organized by the Presbytery of Newark, among whose members were two German pastors, the Rev. J. U. Gunther and the Rev. George C. Seibert, who clearly saw the necessity of establishing an institution for educating Germans to labor in the American spirit among the German immigrants. The earliest instructors were the Rev. George C. Seibert and the Rev. J. U. Gunther in German, and the Rev. Joseph Fawcett and the Rev. Charles A. Smith in English branches. At its inception the school had nine students living in a dormitory next to the parsonage of the First German Presbyterian Church of Newark and a faculty of four teachers had been provided, giving a total of twenty-two lectures per week of instruction. In 1872 the institution, then having twenty students, was transferred to Bloomfield, N. J., where it has since been located, and where 149 ministers of the Gospel have been graduated and a number of lay workers have been educated. In the fall of 1873 the Rev. Chas. E. Knox, secretary of the board of directors since its organization, was elected president and professor of homiletics, church government, and pastoral theology, and remained in that position until his death in 1900, and the Rev. George C. Seibert was elected professor of Biblical exegesis and church history, and he and the Rev. Chas. T. Hoek were retained members of the faculty to date, while in 1902 the Rev. Arnold W. Fleming was elected professor of New-Testament exegesis and ethics. In 1899 a special course for Italian students was added to the German course, but, for lack of support, was discontinued in 1898. In 1904, however, at the suggestion of the General Assembly, the institution undertook policy work, extended the course to nine years, and adopted a curriculum to impart instruction to students of other nationalities in addition to the Germans. The seminary has at present (1910) eleven instructors and twenty-three directors, who are elected by the Presbytery of Newark, the General Assembly having a veto power as regards the election of directors and professors. The number of students in 1910 was fifty-seven: 25 Germans, 12 Magyars, 11 Italians, 5 Hebrews, 3 Rumanians, and 1 Syrian. Of these students, one is affiliated with the Lutheran Church, one with the Evangelical Synod, two with the Reformed, and fifty-three with the Presbyterian Church. The endowment of the school amounted in 1910 to \$206,826.46, and the library consists of 7,580 books. **Henry J. Winters.**

Bloomfield. C. E. Knox, *The German Problem and the Solution Offered by the German Theological Seminary at Newark, N. J., New York, 1873*. **Chas. T. Hoek,** *Germany and New York, N. J., 1873* (these appeared as the first two issues of the *German Theological Seminary of Newark*).

8. Lane. This institution, which is located at Cincinnati, O., was founded in 1829 through the ef-

forts of a number of Presbyterian ministers and laymen interested in providing an adequately educated ministry and for the West. Its original endowment consisted of several thousand acres donated by Ebenezer Lane and his brother, who were Huguenots, and of sixty acres of land on Walnut Hill given by members of the Kemper family. It was at first proposed to establish an academy as well as a theological institution, and a preparatory school was opened in Nov. 1829; but after an experiment of five years, this department finally closed. The theological institution was established in Dec. 1832, when Drs. Lyman Beecher (q.v.) and T. J. Riggs were formally inducted into office. Dr. Calvin H. Stowe (q.v.) entered its service in the following July; and Baxter Dickinson in Oct. 1835, retiring in 1839. Dr. Beecher resigned in 1850, and Professor Stowe shortly after. Among those who have served the seminary since its organization, the most distinguished, next to Beecher, was Dr. Howe Allen, and others of note have been George E. Day, J. B. Condit, Lewis J. Evans (q.v.), E. Ballantine, Henry A. Nelson (q.v.), Thomas K. Thomas, Henry Prentiss Smith (q.v.), Z. M. Humphrey, James Dala, John De Witt (q.v.), A. C. McElroy (q.v.), H. M. Hullbert, D. Sibley Schaff (q.v.), Henry Goodwin Smith (q.v.), J. A. Craig (q.v.), Kemper Fullerton, William Henry Roberts (q.v.), D. P. Putnam, and Edward D. Morris (q.v.). Lane has always been, in a broad and free sense, Presbyterian, and its charter provides that all professors, tutors, teachers, and instructors shall be members of the Presbyterian Church in good standing. After 1857 it sided with the New-School branch, but entered heartily into the union of 1869. It has a spacious campus, commodious buildings, a fair though inadequate endowment, considerable scholarship and library funds, and an excellent faculty of nearly 20,000 volumes, and is well equipped for useful service to the church. Its present faculty consists of William McChibbin (q.v., president and professor of systematic theology), Alexander B. Riggs (q.v., New-Testament exegesis and introduction), Edward Mack (Hebrew and Old-Testament literature), and Solly Trappo Yano (church history). The Theological Seminary of the South (Cumberland), having lost its location in Lebanon, Tenn., through an adverse legal decision, is by advice of the General Assembly (1910) transferred to Lane for the present, two of its professors, J. Y. Stephens and F. H. Fay, being added to the faculty. About fifty students are enrolled. **E. D. Morris.**

4. Lincoln. This theological seminary, the first to be founded in the United States for the higher Christian education of negroes, is located at Lincoln University, Chester Co., Pa. Its origin is traced to an ordination service in 1849 in New London, Pa., when Rev. John Miller Drake, while assisting in the ordination of James I. Mackey, a white man, as a missionary to Africa, determined to establish an institution where negroes could be trained for a like purpose. Four years later the New Castle Presbytery requested and secured the approval of the General Assembly for the establishment of such a school, which took legal form in a charter from the State of Pennsylvania in 1854 as Ashmun Institute. On Jan. 1, 1857, a small three-story building opened its doors to four students, and from 1857 to 1860 Rev. John Pym Carter, and, following him, Rev. John Wynn Martin, combined president and faculty each in his own person, while during this time the Board of Foreign Missions established a presbytery in Liberia with three missionaries from the school. In 1868 Dr. Martin resigned, and was succeeded by Rev. Isaac N. Randall, who presided over the institution until 1906, when Rev. John B. Randall was elected president. In 1869 the legislature approved the petition of the trustees, amended the charter, and changed the name to Lincoln University. From 1865 both a college and seminary course have been in operation, and in 1871 the charter was again amended, placing the seminary under the oversight of the General Assembly. During its existence the institution has graduated 374 ministers of all denominations, twenty-seven of whom have been missionaries to Africa. Among the earliest instructors were Drs. Isaac N. Randall, Ezra E. Adams, Edwin R. Howe, Lorenzo Westcott, Gilbert T. Woodhall, Aspinwall Hooper, and Benjamin T. Jones. In 1910 there were nine professors, twenty-one trustees, and sixty-two students, chiefly from North and South Carolina, Pennsylvania, Virginia, and Maryland, together with eight from the West Indies and three from South America, while in 1909 three South Africans and in 1908 three Zulus were graduated and returned as missionaries to their native lands. The students in 1910 are chiefly Presbyterians (28), Baptists (14), and Methodists (11), with nine of various other denominations. The property and endowment of the seminary amount to \$416,758, and the institution also shares in the use of some of the public buildings of the university, while the library of 18,000 volumes is used likewise jointly by both university and seminary. **J. B. Randall.**

6. McDowell. This theological seminary, now located at Clinton, Ill., was founded in 1827 through the efforts of Rev. John Clay Fluke, of Havana, Ind. Partly as a result of the revival of 1827-28, Havana Academy on its own initiative, was adopted as a graded school by the Synod of Indiana on condition that a theological department be connected therewith. Agreeably to this provision, Rev. John Matthews was called to the chair of theology, and with him Rev. John W. Cunningham, Rev. George Bishop, and Rev. James Wood served at different times as professors. The institution was then called the Indiana Theological Seminary, but in 1840 it was moved to New Albany, Ind., and renamed the New Albany Theological Seminary, with the hope that it would thereby have an increased constituency, while in addition Mr. Elias Ayres offered for endowment what was then considered a large sum of money. Its success in this location was not great, and it became manifest that removal was essential to its growth. In 1857 the last class at New Albany was graduated, and for the next two years the question of its future home was actively discussed, until the General Assembly received a proposition from the board of directors by which the seminary was to be transferred from syndical to

assembly supervision, the matter of location being left to the assembly. Mr. Cyrus H. McCormick had offered an endowment of \$100,000 on condition that the seminary be moved to Chicago, and the assembly accordingly voted for this site and elected new professors and a board of directors of what was now called the Presbyterian Theological Seminary of the Northwest. From 1850 to 1881, the seminary maintained its position in spite of difficulties and limited endowment, but in the latter year reconstruction took place, and from that date onward new professorships were established and enlarged endowments obtained, new dormitories and a library building were erected, and a large increase was secured in the number of books. In 1888 the name was changed to the McCormick Theological Seminary of the Presbyterian Church. The institution stands for the largest and broadest theological culture, the deepening and strengthening of the intellectual and spiritual life of the students, and the promotion of all that will fit them for efficient work both at home and abroad. The men who have filled its chairs or been prominent in its board of directors have been leaders in the councils of the church in many important crises. The first theological student association in the country was organized at McCormick in 1877, and became one of the important factors in the development of the theological section of the Student Department of the Y. M. C. A. Prominent among its instructors, now deceased, were Drs. John Matteson, Lewis D. McMaster, Nathan L. Rice, R. W. Patterson, Charles Elliott, William M. Blackburn, and Leroy J. Halsey.

The number of instructors in 1910 was fifteen, and there are forty directors, consisting of an equal number of ministers and elders, who control all affairs pertaining to the institution, and have not only the choice and election of professors, but also of trustees who are responsible for the care of the property. An annual report is made to the General Assembly, which has the right of veto on appointment to board or faculty. The number of students in 1910 was 141, coming principally from the states west of the Alleghenies, although Brazil, Canada, Cuba, Italy, Mexico, Persia, and Syria are also represented. The great majority were Protestants, but a few of other denominations. The amount of endowment is \$1,991,204, and the number of books in the library is 34,200.

BIBLIOGRAPHY: L. J. Halsey, *History of the McCormick Theological Seminary*, Chicago, 1911; J. H. McPherson, *McCormick Theological Seminary*, Princeton, 1910.

6. Northwest. This institution, formerly known officially as "The German Presbyterian Theological School of the Northwest," re-incorporated in 1911 as the Dabunco German College and Seminary, is located in Dabunco, Ia., where it was founded in 1852 by the Rev. Adriaan Van Vliet. The German immigrants had begun to pour into the Mississippi Valley, and large numbers of them were without religious services because they could not understand the preaching in the American churches. The school commenced in a small way in the pastor's study, where a few German boys were trained for work among their countrymen. The enterprise rapidly

developed, a second teacher, Rev. Godfrey Moser, was secured, and a building adjoining the church was purchased and fitted up for the school, for which the Presbytery of Dubuque, in Iowa, and the Presbytery of Dunes, in Wisconsin, both under the care of the Old School General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, became responsible. When the Old School and New School churches united, the German Seminary came under the care of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America, and in 1871 a well-equipped school building was bought. Among other early professors may be mentioned Rev. Jacob Cozzett, Rev. A. J. Schlager, Rev. Adam McColland, Rev. A. Van der Lippe, and Rev. N. M. Siedtze. The seminary has for its object the education of a ministry of foreign speech for the immigrant population, and in this work it is the pioneer in the Presbyterian Church. It was found that it was impossible to supply the churches with an imported ministry, and that where such ministers were secured they were not desirable. Hence Van Vliet planned to send out young men of immigrant families, trained as the American minister is trained to take the gospel to their countrymen; and as this necessitated not only theological, but classical and scientific education, the school was organized into three departments, academy, college, and seminary. This plan has met with the cordial approval of the Assembly, and has met with the approval of the people in undertaking on this method, its success being witnessed by over a hundred churches organized by its graduates among the German. This aspect of the activity of the seminary has so extended that a well-equipped Bohemian department has been added, while the school has many other forms among its students—Dutch, Slovak, Russian, Mexican, Jewish, Rumanian, Bulgarian, Hungarian and Japanese.

In 1907 the growing work entailed a new and large building with an extensive campus. Already the collegiate department had been erected into the German Presbyterian College, and the scope had been broadened so that a classical education is offered to those who do not intend to enter the ministry. The number of students in the year 1911 was 119, coming from all parts of the United States as well as from Germany, Russia, Austria-Hungary, Servia, Missouri, Japan, and Mexico. The present faculty numbers twelve: C. M. Steffen (president), W. O. Roston (q. v.; dean), Albert Rubin, W. C. Laube, John Zimmerman, Daniel Greider, Albert Barth, J. O. Hill, John A. McFadden, H. S. Fickel, Paul A. Wain, and Justus H. Brandt. The school is governed by twenty-four regular and four life directors, who are nominated by the board and approved by the General Assembly, and who operate under the care and with the review of the General Assembly. The interesting endowment amounts to \$200,000, and the amount invested in campus and buildings is \$125,000, a total of \$325,000, while the library contains 5,000 volumes.

7. Omaha. This seminary, located at Omaha, Neb., was founded Feb. 17, 1891, by clergyman and laymen from the Episcopate of Nebraska, Iowa, Mis-

ouri, Kansas and South Dakota, and is the only theological institution of the Presbyterian Church for English-speaking students, between Chicago and San Francisco. The first board of directors consisted of twenty ministers and twenty laymen, and the faculty of Drs. Wm. W. Harkis (systematic theology), Stephen Phelps (homiletics and pastoral theology), Matthew B. Lewis (New-Testament literature), John Gordon (q. v.; ecclesiastical history), and Charles G. Sterling (Hebrew). The seminary opened in Sept., 1891, with nine students, and has thus far enrolled 200 students and graduated eight hundred classes. Many of these men have become leaders in the religious movements of the west and south, and several of the classes have representatives in the foreign field. By the provision of its charter the institution is under the control of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, U. S. A., and stands for the institution of the cardinal doctrine of the Presbyterian Church, although while the institution is distinctly Presbyterian, its doors are open to all young men, whatever their theological opinions, who desire training for the ministry. Free discussion is allowed, and none are compelled to adopt Presbyterian views. Its professors seek to know and teach the results of the best modern scholarship. Nothing is retained just because it is old. The changing conditions of society receive special consideration, and such methods of service are recommended as these conditions seem to demand. The seminary was established to increase the supply of ministers for the great mission field within the bounds of which it is located. Its founders have not been disappointed in the results, for more than half of its graduates have entered that field, and through their labors thousands have been added to the membership of the Church. The opportunities and advantages of the institution are also extended to laymen who desire to equip themselves for Christian work.

The development of the institution has been gratifying to its founders and friends, and it has always had the hearty commendation of the Presbyterian General Assembly. At the present time its student body represents ten episcopes and four denominations. The faculty consists of Albert B. Marshall (president and professor of methodology), Matthew B. Lewis (homiletics, pastoral theology, and English Bible), Joseph J. Lammie (Hebrew and Old-Testament literature), Daniel E. Jenkins (didactic and polemic theology), Charles A. Mitchell (New-Testament literature), and Charles Heron (ecclesiastical history, church polity, and missions). Through the generosity of friends, especially Mrs. William Thaw, Thomas McLaughlin, and John H. Cavanaugh, the seminary possesses a desirable site and a modern building ample for all its present requirements. Its endowment fund, with other holdings, amounts to \$225,000, and its library contains 45,000 volumes.

A. B. MARSHALL.
8. Princeton. This seminary, which is located at Princeton, New Jersey, was founded in 1812 by the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A., which created it and entrusted it. Its

official name is "The Theological Seminary of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A." The assembly of 1810 decided "immediately to attempt to establish a seminary." That of 1811 adopted a plan or constitution for the seminary. That of 1812, after an agreement with the trustees of the College of New Jersey, located the seminary in Princeton. On May 3, 1812, the assembly elected the first board of directors, consisting of twenty-one ministers and nine ruling elders, six at present, and on June 2 the Rev. Dr. Archibald Alexander (q. v.) was elected professor of didactic and polemic theology. On Aug. 12 the seminary was formally opened with one professor and three students. The classes were first held in Dr. Alexander's house. Those most influential in the location of the seminary were Rev. Drs. Anselm Green (q. v.), who wrote the plan; Archibald Alexander (q. v.); Samuel Miller (q. v.); Joseph J. Hawley, and President Timothy Dwight (q. v.) of Yale College. The General Assembly of 1813 made Princeton the permanent site of the seminary, and the Rev. Dr. Samuel Miller was added to the faculty. The number of students increased rapidly, and it was found necessary to hold the classes in the college buildings. The first seminary building was occupied in 1817. In 1822 Dr. Charles Hodge (q. v.) was elected a professor. In a large measure the seminary owes what it has been and what it has always stood for to its three earliest teachers.

In 1822 the trustees were incorporated by the New Jersey legislature, with control over the material interests of the seminary. The original charter fixed the number at twenty-one, twelve of whom should be laymen and citizens of New Jersey. In 1877 the board was authorized to add twelve to its number. This it has not yet done. The division of the Presbyterian Church, in 1857, into two branches raised the question to which branch the seminary should belong. The course decided in favor of the Old School branch (and to that branch the seminary adhered). Until 1835 the faculty consisted of three professors. The next most important additions were J. Addison Alexander (q. v.) in that year, William Henry Green (q. v.) in 1851, and Casper Wistar Hodge (q. v.) in 1861. In 1871 a new chair of Christian ethics and apologetics was created. In 1877 Archibald Alexander Hodge was associated with his father in the chair of dogmatics, and in 1880 Francis Lanier Patton (q. v.) was called to the chair of the relation of philosophy and science to the Christian religion. In this latter year there were seven professors and two instructors in the faculty. Dr. Charles Hodge celebrated his professional jubilee in 1875, and Dr. William Henry Green celebrated his in 1896. A new chair of biblical theology was founded in 1891. In 1830-37 there were 137 students, the high-water mark of the early period; in 1858-59, 181; and 263 in 1894-95, the largest number in any one year. The total number of students, up to 1910, was 5,742, of whom 3,076 were divines, while 2,667 have become foreign missionaries.

Princeton Seminary has always stood for a divinely inspired Bible and its perfect authority in all matters of faith and practice. It has maintained

and inculcated the doctrines of the recognized standards of the Presbyterian Church, believing them to be contained in the Scriptures. It has always practiced and encouraged a reverent and scientific study of the Bible and has been ready to subject it to the keenest scrutiny in the spheres of the lower and the higher criticism.

As the oldest of the Presbyterian seminaries in the United States, it has largely influenced the character of the others. Of its students, 108 have become teachers in the Presbyterian schools of theology in the United States, and 65 have been moderators of the General Assembly. The *Biblical Expository and Prædication Series* founded by Charles Hodge in 1825, wielded a powerful influence upon the theological thought of its time. Its resources have continued, except during the years 1877-80, until the present *Prædication Theological Series*. Some seventeen other religious weeklies and quarterlies have been authorized or edited by alumni of the seminary. The publications of its professors have been extensively and most influential, particularly the *Systematic Theology of Dr. Charles Hodge* and his other works and those of Archibald Alexander, Samuel Miller, J. Addison Alexander, William Henry Green, and Archibald Alexander Hodge (q.v.).

The faculty in 1910 consisted of ten professors, two assistant professors, and five instructors. There are two endowed professorships, six fellowships, and five prizes. Besides a broad curriculum there are extra courses leading to the degree of B.L.T. The governing boards are a board of directors and a board of trustees, the one electing the professors and assigning their duties, and controlling the educational interests of the seminary subject to the resolutions of the General Assembly, the other having the care of its material interests and the appointment of the librarian. Until 1902 the senior professor was the recognized head of the faculty; but in that year provision was made for a president of the seminary, and Dr. F. L. Patton was elected to this office, and still retains it. The president is ex officio a member of both the governing boards.

There were 131 students in the seminary in the year 1909-10, coming from thirty-three states and territories, from Canada, Brazil, Ceylon, China, Ireland, and Japan. As to denomination, there were 111 Presbyterians, 10 Baptists, 4 United Presbyterians, 3 Reformed Presbyterians, 3 Methodists, 3 Congregationalists, 1 Lutheran, 1 United Evangelist, 1 Church of Christ in Japan, and 2 non-theologians. The library contains 87,000 bound volumes and 22,000 pamphlets, distributed in two buildings. The real estate of the seminary is valued at \$628,000, and the total value of all its other holdings is \$1,252,000. There are on the campus three dormitories, two library buildings, a recitation building, a chapel, a gymnasium, a power house, and nine professors' houses. The present faculty are as follows: Francis Landay Patton, D.D., LL.D., president and professor of biblical theology; Benjamin Beckwith-ridge Warfield (q.v.), D.D., LL.D., Charles Hodge (q.v.), D.D., LL.D., professor of biblical theology; John D. Davis (q.v.), D.D., LL.D., Helms professor of orien-

tal and Old-Testament literature; John DeWitt (q.v.), D.D., LL.D., Archibald Alexander professor of church history; William Brewster Green, Ph.D. (q.v.), D.D., Stuart professor of apologetics and Christian ethics; George Van (q.v.), Ph.D., D.D., Halcyon professor of biblical theology; Robert Dick Wilson, Ph.D., D.D., William Henry Green, professor of Semitic philology and Old-Testament criticism; William Park Armstrong, A.M., professor of New-Testament literature and exegesis; Charles Bonbruy Entman, professor of practical theology; Frederick William Lottcher, Ph.D., professor of homiletics; James Oscar Boyd, Ph.D., assistant professor of oriental and Old-Testament literature; Caspar Wistar Hodge, Ph.D., assistant professor of dialectic and polemical theology; Henry Wilson Smith, A.M., instructor in elocution; Kerr Duncan Macmillan, instructor in church history; John Goodlam Machen, instructor in the New Testament; Oswald Thompson Allen, A.M., instructor in Semitic philology; Joseph Heavly Dulles (q.v.), A.M., librarian; Paul Smith, register and secretary of the faculty; William Boyd Sheldon, assistant librarian.

JOSEPH HEAVLY DULLES.

Historical: Charter and plan of the Theological Seminary of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A., with changes up to date; Minutes of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church, 1825-26; *A Brief Account of the Rise, Progress, and Present State of Theological Seminaries in the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1827; *The Theological Seminary of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1829; *J. F. Johnson, History of Theology and its Institutions*, 2 vols., Philadelphia, 1879; *The Presbyterian Church*, Boston, 1879; *Report of the Board of Christian Education of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1881; *Address before the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1881; *Prædication Theological Seminary, a Discourse on Education*, 1874; *J. H. Miller, Personal Recollections of the Presbyterian Theological Seminary, Treston, 1899; Reminiscences and Reports on the Proceedings of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1891-1899; C. A. Schaff, *Presbyterianism*, New York, 1893; *History of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.*, New York, 1893; *J. W. Alexander, The Life of Archibald Alexander*, New York, 1845; *Personal Recollections of the Life of Samuel Miller*, 2 vols., Philadelphia, 1869; E. C. Alexander, *The Life of Charles Hodge*, Philadelphia, 1898; *History of the Presbyterian Church in the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1907; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1871; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1872; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1873; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1874; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1875; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1876; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1877; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1878; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1879; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1880; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1881; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1882; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1883; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1884; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1885; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1886; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1887; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1888; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1889; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1890; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1891; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1892; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1893; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1894; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1895; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1896; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1897; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1898; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1899; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1900; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1901; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1902; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1903; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1904; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1905; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1906; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1907; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1908; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1909; *Minutes of the General Assembly of the U. S. A.*, Philadelphia, 1910.

San Francisco: This seminary is located in San Anselmo, Cal., and was founded in 1871, being placed under the care of what was then known as the Synod of the Pacific of the Presbyterian Church. It sprang from a conviction that the peculiar needs of the Pacific Coast demanded a ministry trained upon the field, and its founders were the Rev. William Scott and Rev. William Alexander (q.v.), who, with the Rev. George Burrows and Rev. Daniel W. Thor, were its earliest instructors. It was located for years in the city of San Francisco, where the old City College and St. John's Presbyterian Church

Theological Seminaries

THE NEW SCHIAFF-HERZOG

furnished a temporary habitation. More permanent quarters were secured by the erection of a suitable building in 1880, and in 1890 the present site at San Anselmo was presented by Mr. A. W. Foster, of San Rafael. Here, through the munificence of Mr. Alexander Metzger, of San Francisco, and others, there were erected, in 1892, two spacious stone buildings, one the library and recitation hall, the other the dormitory. To these was later added the beautiful Memorial Chapel, and there are also commodious residences on the grounds for the professors. The location is sufficiently near the city to enable the students to avail themselves of its advantages and to engage actively in missionary and other church work. The aim of the seminary is to prepare men for a practical and efficient ministry and for missionary work in other lands. For nearly twenty years of its history the endowment was small, and the professors were pastors, giving to the seminary such time as they could spare from their pastoral duties. The number of students during this period was small. For the past twenty years, owing to the increase in endowment, which now amounts altogether to about \$500,000, the faculty have devoted their entire time to study and teaching, and the number of students has increased. Its graduates constitute twenty-five per cent of the active Presbyterian ministry of California, and they are also ministering in considerable numbers in every large missionary field of the world among the eminent men who have served as instructors have been Rev. William A. Scott (q.v.), Rev. James Edin, and Rev. Henry C. Minton (q.v.), each of whom was moderator of the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church.

The seminary is at present in excellent condition. There are six full professors and one instructor, and its library contains 18,000 volumes. The board of management consists of twenty-five directors, an equal number of ministers and laymen, eighteen of whom are elected by the Synod of California, six by the Synod of Oregon, and two by the Synod of Washington. The directors elect five of their number as a board of trustees, in compliance with the laws of California, who direct the financial affairs of the institution. While it is under the immediate control of the Synod of California, it bears the same relation to the General Assembly as all the other seminaries of its denomination. The average number of students is twenty-five. They are all connected with the Presbyterian Church, and about sixty per cent of them come from the Pacific Coast churches.

WARREN HALL LAYTON.

BIOGRAPHICAL: *History of the Presbyterian Theological Seminary of the Presbyterian Church, Newark, N. J.*, 1867.

10. Union (New York): Union Theological Seminary, in the City of New York, was founded by a group of Christian ministers and laymen of the Presbyterian Church, who believed that it was wise to plant a training-school for ministers in a great city. They first met Oct. 10, 1835, and, after three intermediate meetings, constituted a board of directors by the election of ten ministers and fourteen laymen, Nov. 9-16, 1835. This board of directors held its

first meeting Jan. 18, 1836, when it chose its officers, appointed its committees, adopted its constitution, and proceeded to further business. Jan. 18, 1836, is therefore regarded as the official date of the founding of the seminary. The seminary was opened for instruction on Monday, Dec. 5, 1836. The Legislature of the State of New York passed the act of incorporation Mar. 27, 1838, and this was accepted by the board of directors Dec. 20, 1839. The founders of the seminary were Presbyterians of the broader type represented in the New-School branch of the church, and had many affinities with New England Congregationalism. They had in view a service of wider boundaries than those of the Presbyterian Church alone. They not only believed in freedom of thought, but in the widest possible cooperation with other Christians in the practical work of the church. The Old-School men, on the other hand, were advocates of strict control both in matters of thought and practice. These differences were reflected in the training given in the seminaries of the church, all of which were at this time under ecclesiastical control. Union Seminary owes its origin to the dissatisfaction of the New-School men with this state of affairs, and to the desire to create a new institution which should more perfectly reflect their own ideals. The seminary had been the outset independent of any ecclesiastical control, except for a period of twenty-two years, on May 10, 1810, a few months after the reunion of the Old- and New-School wings of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America, it conferred to the General Assembly of that church the right of veto on the election of its professors. This action was taken in the interests of harmony within the church and in order to secure disinterested standing for all its theological seminaries. This concession was withdrawn on Oct. 13, 1852, as a result of the difference of opinion which arose between the seminary and the General Assembly in connection with the transfer of Dr. Briggs (q.v.) to the newly established Edward Robinson chair of Biblical theology. The General Assembly interpreted this transfer as a new appointment, and under the influence of the excitement caused by Dr. Briggs' inaugural address, by an overwhelming majority disapproved the action of the board. The directors on their part maintained that the appointment of Dr. Briggs was a simple transfer involving no change of duties, and hence was not subject to review by the Assembly. This led to an examination by the seminary authorities of the legal aspects of the matter, and they were advised that the document had been ultra vires. On all grounds, therefore, they felt that they must reconsider their action. Since 1892 Union Seminary has been ecclesiastically independent, according to the plan of its founders and the provision of its charter. For many years the directors and professors gave their ascent to the Westminster Standard, the exact form varying from time to time. Since 1903 this requirement has ceased, and a new form of declaration has been provided, which secures the Christian character of the institution in more comprehensive terms. At the present time the board of directors and the faculty include representatives of the Presbyterians, Con-

protestant, Protestant Episcopal, Baptist, and Methodist Episcopal Churches. The principles underlying the foundation of the seminary were expressed by the preamble composed by Dr. Enkhe Mason and adopted by the board at its first meeting on Jan. 18, 1838. They were as follows: In the first place, the founders expressed their belief that a great city furnished peculiar facilities and advantages for conducting theological education. In the second place, while providing for instruction in the doctrine and discipline of the Presbyterian Church, of which they were members, they declared their purpose to furnish the means of a full and thorough education in all the subjects taught in the best theological seminary in this and other countries. In the third place, they emphasized the importance of practical training for an efficient ministry. They believed that it was not enough to be pious and scholarly; one must know how to express his faith and apply his knowledge in action. Accordingly, they proposed that their students identify themselves with the various churches of the city, actively engage in their services, and become familiar with all the benevolent efforts of the city and of the time. In the fourth place, they proposed to train men not only for the Christian ministry, but for every form of Christian service, whether educational, philanthropic, or religious. Finally, they wished to provide an institution of truly catholic spirit, or, in other words, to use their own memorable language, one around which "all men of moderate views and feelings, who desire to live free from party strife and to stand aloof from all extremes of doctrinal speculation, practical radicalism, and ecclesiastical domination, may cordially and affectionately rally." The charter provides that "equal privileges of admission and instruction, with all the advantages of the institution, shall be allowed to students of every denomination of Christians." In fact, instruction is given not only in the doctrine and polity of the Presbyterian Church, but also in those of other leading Protestant churches. The student body at the present time is made up of members of fifteen different Christian bodies. All of these are urged to retain their original connection, and to enter the ministry of their respective churches. The endeavor is made to provide them all with what they need for effective service to their own people.

The first seminary building was at No. 9 University Place, and was dedicated Dec. 12, 1838. Four professors' houses were also erected on Greene Street, but, owing to the financial embarrassments of the institution, these houses were sold some four years later. Two of these, together with the house and lot adjoining at the corner of Greene and Eighth Streets, were subsequently acquired in order to provide the students with dormitories. In 1884 the seminary moved to its second home on Leves Hill, where its important group of buildings, with the main entrance at 1200 (formerly 700) Park Avenue, was dedicated Dec. 9, 1884. The generous benefactions of ex-governor Edwin D. Morgan, supplemented by large gifts from D. Willis James, Morris K. Jessup, and others, made this move possible. In 1910 the seminary moved, for the third

time, to its present quarters on Morningside Heights. This removal was made possible through the princely generosity of D. Willis James, then vice-president of the board, to which, after his death, Mrs. James made large additions. The work of constructing the building was begun in 1908; the building was opened for instruction in Sept., 1910, and the formal service of dedication took place Nov. 29, 1910. The buildings, which are of English perpendicular Gothic, occupy the double block bounded by Broadway, Claremont Avenue, 120th and 122d Streets, and form a large rectangle enclosing a quadrangle of approximately 300 feet long and 100 feet wide. They consist of an entrance tower, an administration building, a library building, a memorial chapel given by Mrs. James in memory of her husband, a dormitory for students, a home for the president, and an apartment house with accommodations for ten professors.

The library of the seminary, on account both of its great size and the value of its collections, offers unusual opportunities to scholars and investigators. On May 1, 1909, it contained about 97,000 volumes, 25,000 pamphlets, and 180 manuscripts. The beginning of it was the library of Leander Van Eue, consisting of over 15,000 volumes, including such rare and valuable works as 410 incunabula, from 1499 to 1510 A.D.; 1,246 titles of Reformation literature, in original editions; 37 manuscripts; 4,200 volumes in church history, patristics, canon law, etc.; and about 200 editions of the Vulgate and of German Bibles (the earliest being 1470). Valuable additions have been made from the collections of the late Drs. Robinson, Field, Marsh, Gillett, Smith, Adams, Mattfeldt, Hitchcock, Schiff, Prentiss (qq.v.), and others. Special mention may be made of a collection of nearly 800 Greek Testaments gathered by the late Dr. Isaac H. Hall (q.v.), and presented in 1898 by Mr. David H. McAlpin, of the hymnological library of Prof. Frederick M. Bart (q.v.), consisting of some 5,000 volumes, presented in 1888 by the late Henry Day, Esq., and of an almost exhaustive collection of Scandinavian literature in 1901 by the Rev. Prof. Samuel Marsden Jackson, D.D., LL.D. The Gillett Collection of American History (completed in 1884 by the late David H. McAlpin), and the Field and other collections of pamphlets contain useful material for the study of the civil and religious history and the theology of America. Most valuable of all is the McAlpin Collection of British History and Theology (acquired in 1884 by Mr. McAlpin), gathered under the direction of Professor Briggs. It contains thousands of rare and important books and pamphlets relating to the early Puritans, to the Westminster Assembly, and to the dissent, trinitarian, and ecclesiastical controversies of the eighteenth century, as well as a large collection of general and local histories of Great Britain and her churches.

The original plan of the seminary contemplated the meeting of the necessary expenses by an annual subscription from the friends of the institution, but this proved impracticable. The first permanent fund was obtained in 1884 by the gift of \$25,000 from Dr. James Boorman for the endowment of the

theological chair. A further sum of \$50,000 was received some five or six years later by a bequest of Mr. James Roosevelt. During the years from 1883 to 1911 the funds of the institution were further increased by \$600,000, of which the greater part was obtained by general subscription. Three years later the institution was further strengthened by a generous gift of \$300,000 from Mr. James Brown, as a result of which the original corps of professors was increased from three to seven, and the funds of the professorships from \$25,000 to \$80,000 each. These funds were subsequently increased by gifts from Mr. D. Willis James, Mr. William E. Dodge, Jr., Mr. Morris K. Jessup, and Mr. John Crosby Brown. At the present time the seminary has ten professorships fully endowed, most of which bear the names of their several founders or of funds designated by them. In the order of their foundation they are the following: The Davenport Professorship of Hebrew and the Cognate Languages, the Rosewell Professorship of Systematic Theology, the Washburn Professorship of Church History, the Babbin Professorship of Sacred Literature, the Brown Professorship of Homiletics, the Skinner and McAlpin Professorship of Practical Theology, the Edwin Robinson Professorship of Biblical Theology, the Jessup Graduate Professorship of Practical Theology, the Marchessault Professorship of Philosophy and the History of Religion and Missions, and the Professorship of Christian Ethics. In addition to these there is an endowment for the Department of Applied Christianity, at present used for the director of Christian work and headworker of the Union Settlements. Other professorships and associate and assistant professorships are not yet endowed. The endowment of the seminary includes provision also for special instructions and lectureships, the most important of which are the Harkness Instructionship in Vocal Culture and Education and in Sacred Music, the Ely Lectureship on the Evidence of Christianity, the Morse Lectureship on the Habitations of the Bible to the Science, and the Parker Lectureship on the Laws of Health.

On the rolls of the seminary may be found the names of many men prominent in philanthropic and religious life. Its founders were actively interested in, and many of them officers of, the leading missionary societies of the country. Among its directors were such clergymen as Anselm Peters, Enkhe Mason, Albert Barnes (q.v.), Samuel Hanson Cox (q.v.), Edwin P. Hatfield (q.v.), and Jonathan French Stearns; and such laymen as Maxwell Taylor, Richard T. Haines, William M. Hubbard, Charles Butler, the Hon. William E. Dodge, Norman White, D. Hunter McAlpin, D. Willis James, John Crosby Brown, William E. Dodge, Jr., and Morris K. Jessup. The first president of the board was the Rev. Thomas McAuley, D.D., who was succeeded in 1840 by Richard T. Haines, who served until 1871. Charles Butler was president from 1871 to 1898, and John Crosby Brown from 1898 until 1909. The present president is Robert C. Ogden. No less notable is the roll of the faculty. The first professor of sacred literature was Edward Robinson (q.v.), the Nestor of American Biblical scholarship, and in other departments the seminary

has commanded the services of such men as Henry B. Smith (q.v.) and W. G. T. Shedd (q.v.) in theology, Philip Schaff (q.v.) and Rowell D. Hitchcock (q.v.) in church history, Thomas H. Skinner (q.v.), William Adams (q.v.), George L. Prentiss (q.v.), and Charles Cutbert Hall (q.v.) in practical theology. Thomas McAuley, the first president of the board, acted also as president of the faculty. He was succeeded in 1840 by the Rev. Joel Parker, D.D. In 1842 the presidency of the faculty lapsed, but was revived in 1873, when William Adams, pastor of the Madison Square Church, and chairman of the New School Committee of Boston, became president. He was succeeded in 1880 by Rowell D. Hitchcock, who was followed in 1887 by Thomas S. Hastings (q.v.). On his resignation in 1897, Dr. Charles Cutbert Hall was chosen president, and served until his death in 1908, when he was succeeded by Dr. Francis Brown (q.v.).

From the first the faculty have recognized their responsibility to the cause of productive scholarship. In the long list of their publications mention may be made of Robinson's monograph on the geography of Palestine, and his translation of *Ourin's Dictionary*; Schaff's edition of the *Creeds of Christendom*, and his *Church History*, the *International Theological Library*, and *Critical Commentary*, edited by Dr. Briggs, in cooperation with Dr. Salmon, and with Canon Driver and Dr. Plummer respectively; and the Hebrew Lexicon of Drs. Francis Brown and Briggs, in cooperation with Canon Driver; also of McAlpin's *Apocrypha*, Dr. W. Adams Brown's *Christian Theology in Outline*, and Thomas C. Hall's *History of Bible Societies Organized Christianity*. The spirit of the teachers has descended upon the scholars, and among the 5,000 alumni of the seminary no less than 74 have been college presidents, 222 teachers in colleges, and 99 in seminaries. In the curriculum of the seminary the original languages have always had a prominent place, and were formerly required of all graduates. A distinction is now customarily made between the diploma and the degree of the seminary, an acquaintance with the original languages being required only of candidates for the latter. In recent years the range of subjects included in the curriculum has greatly increased, and the freedom of election has been widely extended. At the present time no less than 143 courses are offered by the faculty, the division by departments being as follows: Old Testament, 22; New Testament, 21; church history, 17; philosophy of religion, 10; apologetics, 4; systematic theology, 6; Christian ethics, 11; practical theology, 25; religious education, 6; theological encyclopaedia and generalization, 11; vocal culture, 2; sacred music, 5. In thirty years the curriculum has been increased threefold. In addition to its own courses, through an arrangement entered into with Columbia and New York Universities, the seminary is able to offer to its students the advantage of university courses in philosophy, sociology, and other subjects of value for the student of religion. A graduate department of the seminary which now embraces some forty students has for some years been in operation. The scholarships of the seminary are administered on a merit basis.

has commanded the services of such men as Henry B. Smith (q.v.) and W. G. T. Shedd (q.v.) in theology, Philip Schaff (q.v.) and Rowell D. Hitchcock (q.v.) in church history, Thomas H. Skinner (q.v.), William Adams (q.v.), George L. Prentiss (q.v.), and Charles Cutbert Hall (q.v.) in practical theology. Thomas McAuley, the first president of the board, acted also as president of the faculty. He was succeeded in 1840 by the Rev. Joel Parker, D.D. In 1842 the presidency of the faculty lapsed, but was revived in 1873, when William Adams, pastor of the Madison Square Church, and chairman of the New School Committee of Boston, became president. He was succeeded in 1880 by Rowell D. Hitchcock, who was followed in 1887 by Thomas S. Hastings (q.v.). On his resignation in 1897, Dr. Charles Cutbert Hall was chosen president, and served until his death in 1908, when he was succeeded by Dr. Francis Brown (q.v.).

From the first the faculty have recognized their responsibility to the cause of productive scholarship. In the long list of their publications mention may be made of Robinson's monograph on the geography of Palestine, and his translation of *Ourin's Dictionary*; Schaff's edition of the *Creeds of Christendom*, and his *Church History*, the *International Theological Library*, and *Critical Commentary*, edited by Dr. Briggs, in cooperation with Dr. Salmon, and with Canon Driver and Dr. Plummer respectively; and the Hebrew Lexicon of Drs. Francis Brown and Briggs, in cooperation with Canon Driver; also of McAlpin's *Apocrypha*, Dr. W. Adams Brown's *Christian Theology in Outline*, and Thomas C. Hall's *History of Bible Societies Organized Christianity*. The spirit of the teachers has descended upon the scholars, and among the 5,000 alumni of the seminary no less than 74 have been college presidents, 222 teachers in colleges, and 99 in seminaries. In the curriculum of the seminary the original languages have always had a prominent place, and were formerly required of all graduates. A distinction is now customarily made between the diploma and the degree of the seminary, an acquaintance with the original languages being required only of candidates for the latter. In recent years the range of subjects included in the curriculum has greatly increased, and the freedom of election has been widely extended. At the present time no less than 143 courses are offered by the faculty, the division by departments being as follows: Old Testament, 22; New Testament, 21; church history, 17; philosophy of religion, 10; apologetics, 4; systematic theology, 6; Christian ethics, 11; practical theology, 25; religious education, 6; theological encyclopaedia and generalization, 11; vocal culture, 2; sacred music, 5. In thirty years the curriculum has been increased threefold. In addition to its own courses, through an arrangement entered into with Columbia and New York Universities, the seminary is able to offer to its students the advantage of university courses in philosophy, sociology, and other subjects of value for the student of religion. A graduate department of the seminary which now embraces some forty students has for some years been in operation. The scholarships of the seminary are administered on a merit basis.

270 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theological Seminaries

Through two fellowships the seminary offers to the best students in each class the opportunity of two years of graduate study under the direction of the faculty in this country or abroad. In addition, the seminary offers the degree of D.D. to advanced students.

From the first the students have been active workers in the churches, Sunday-schools, and other religious and philanthropic institutions of the city and its vicinity. The supervision of these activities has recently been entrusted to the Department of Christian Work, through which students are assigned to different fields and counseled as to the best methods of dealing with the problems they present. In this connection attention may be called to the Union Settlement in East 104th Street, founded in 1885 by a group of Union Seminary alumni. While not officially connected with the seminary, the settlement is an expression of its social spirit. The seminary's director of Christian work is at the same time head worker of the settlement. The practical interest of the seminary appears further in its provision for university extension. The Department of Religion Education, recently organized under the leadership of Professor Coe, offers special facilities for the training of lay workers. The foundation lectureships of the seminary are open to the general public, and many of the courses deal with topics of popular religious interest. A Sunday service is maintained in the chapel for the residents of the neighborhood, and the Union School of Religion provides a model Sunday-school for their children. In addition, attention should be called to the various conferences organized by the seminary from time to time, such as the Conference on an Efficient Ministry, held in 1908 for the pastor of the neighboring churches; the Conference on the Training for the Ministry, conducted by the students of the seminary in cooperation with the students of Hartford and Yale seminaries; and the Quiet Day for social workers. Specially notable has been the strong missionary interest among the students. From the first a large number of its graduates have found their way to the foreign field, and the number of foreign missionaries now on the seminary roll amounts to 231. This missionary interest was greatly stimulated by the two visits of the late President Charles Colburn Hall to the East as Barrows Lecturer. Provision has recently been made by a friend of the seminary for a similar lectureship, to be filled in 1911-12 by Prof. George William Knott (q.v.).

WILLIAM KNOTT, D.D., *William Knott Theological Seminary*, 1885, *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1885-1886*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1886-1887*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1887-1888*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1888-1889*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1889-1890*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1890-1891*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1891-1892*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1892-1893*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1893-1894*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1894-1895*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1895-1896*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1896-1897*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1897-1898*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1898-1899*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1899-1900*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1900-1901*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1901-1902*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1902-1903*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1903-1904*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1904-1905*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1905-1906*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1906-1907*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1907-1908*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1908-1909*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1909-1910*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1910-1911*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1911-1912*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1912-1913*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1913-1914*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1914-1915*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1915-1916*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1916-1917*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1917-1918*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1918-1919*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1919-1920*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1920-1921*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1921-1922*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1922-1923*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1923-1924*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1924-1925*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1925-1926*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1926-1927*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1927-1928*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1928-1929*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1929-1930*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1930-1931*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1931-1932*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1932-1933*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1933-1934*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1934-1935*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1935-1936*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1936-1937*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1937-1938*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1938-1939*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1939-1940*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1940-1941*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1941-1942*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1942-1943*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1943-1944*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1944-1945*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1945-1946*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1946-1947*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1947-1948*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1948-1949*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1949-1950*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1950-1951*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1951-1952*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1952-1953*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1953-1954*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1954-1955*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1955-1956*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1956-1957*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1957-1958*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1958-1959*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1959-1960*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1960-1961*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1961-1962*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1962-1963*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1963-1964*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1964-1965*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1965-1966*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1966-1967*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1967-1968*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1968-1969*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1969-1970*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1970-1971*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1971-1972*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1972-1973*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1973-1974*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1974-1975*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1975-1976*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1976-1977*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1977-1978*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1978-1979*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1979-1980*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1980-1981*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1981-1982*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1982-1983*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1983-1984*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1984-1985*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1985-1986*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1986-1987*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1987-1988*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1988-1989*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1989-1990*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1990-1991*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1991-1992*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1992-1993*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1993-1994*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1994-1995*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1995-1996*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1996-1997*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1997-1998*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1998-1999*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 1999-2000*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2000-2001*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2001-2002*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2002-2003*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2003-2004*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2004-2005*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2005-2006*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2006-2007*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2007-2008*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2008-2009*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2009-2010*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2010-2011*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2011-2012*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2012-2013*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2013-2014*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2014-2015*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2015-2016*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2016-2017*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2017-2018*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2018-2019*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2019-2020*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2020-2021*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2021-2022*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2022-2023*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2023-2024*; *Annals of the New York State Historical Society, 2024-2025*.

11. **WESTERN.** This seminary was founded at Allegheny (now part of Pittsburgh), Pa., in 1827, after the General Assembly had determined in 1825,

when the need for such an institution for the West had been felt for several years, to establish a training-school for Presbyterian ministers. The first instructors were Rev. Joseph Stockton and Rev. Eliza P. Swift, and among the distinguished members of its faculty have been Luther Halvey, John W. Nevin (q.v.), Alexander T. McGill, Melancthon W. Jacobus (q.v.), William S. Plummer (q.v.), William M. Paxton, A. A. Holger (q.v.), S. J. Wilson, S. H. Kellong, B. H. Warfield (q.v.), David G. Gregg (q.v.), and M. B. Riddle (q.v.). The spirit and policy of the seminary are admirably expressed in the fundamental principle which was incorporated by its founders in the "plan": "That learning without religion in ministers of the Gospel will prove injurious to the Church, and religion without learning will leave the ministry exposed to the impositions of designing men, and insufficient in a high degree for the great purposes of the Gospel ministry." In accordance with this, a combination of learning and piety, of erudition and earnestness, of intellectual discipline and practical efficiency, is the standard which has been set up, while the institution has always been distinguished for its strong missionary spirit. While the seminary is a Presbyterian institution, it is not sectarian; students of all denominations are cordially welcomed and are entitled to scholarship aid; and representatives from bodies other than the Presbyterian Church are always found among the students. Recently the curriculum was thoroughly revised to meet modern demands, by the introduction of the elective system and by laying greater emphasis on sociological studies. The regular course extends over three years, a fourth year of study entitling a student to the degree of D.D. In 1902 a special department was organized for the training of ministers for the immigrant peoples among whom the Presbyterian Church labors, and extension courses of lectures are conducted by the faculty in the churches of Pittsburgh and vicinity.

The total number of matriculants is 2,196, of whom 117 have been foreign missionaries, and the average number of students for the last five years has been eighty; the enrollment for 1911 being seventy-nine. The faculty consists of seven professors and four instructors, all of whom, with the exception of the instructor in music and elocution, must be ministers of the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America. On induction into office the professors are required to subscribe to the Westminster Catechisms and Confession.

The government of the seminary is vested in a board of directors and a board of trustees; the former consisting of forty members (twenty-eight ministers and twelve ruling elders), one-fourth of whom are chosen annually. The board of trustees has power to elect, suspend, and remove professors, such election and removal being subject to the veto of the General Assembly. They superintend the curriculum, inspect the fidelity of the professors, and watch over the conduct of the student body. The board of trustees, incorporated by the legislature of the state of Pennsylvania on May 29, 1841, consists of twenty-one members, nine of whom all at one time are laymen citizens of the State of Pennsylvania.

Theological Seminaries THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 380

was," and to them is committed the management and disbursement of the funds of the institution. Each board elects its own members, subject to the approval of the General Assembly.

The institution now has two halls, a library, and four professor houses, and plans have been laid for a complete new plant, to consist of a dormitory, administration building, and chapel. The buildings are valued at \$250,000, and the endowment is \$278,807, the chief benefactors being Rev. C. C. Beatty, James Laughlin, James Laughlin, Jr., S. P. Elarton and his estate, S. S. Marvin, and David and John Robinson.

XXI. PRESBYTERIAN (SOUTHERN).—1. **AUSTIN.** This institution is located at Austin, Travis Co., Tex., where it was founded in 1854, practically as an independent movement, under the control of no denominational body, though it was recognized and endorsed by the Synod of Texas of the Presbyterian Church in the United States. Under the title of "The Austin School of Theology," it continued in operation until 1895, when it was compelled to suspend because of lack of sufficient funds with which to carry on the work. The actual revival of its activity took place in 1898, when the Synod of Texas appointed a board of trustees, with full power to open the institution, and ordered that its name should be "The Austin Presbyterian Theological Seminary"; and that it should be regarded as the successor to the Austin School of Theology. The Synod of Texas adopted a constitution in Oct., 1891, and ordered the board to open the institution for students as soon as \$100,000 should have been raised. This was done at once, and the seminary resumed its work in Oct., 1902. The founders of the seminary, in 1894, were the Rev. Richmond E. Sneed and the Rev. Robert L. Dalbey (q.v.), while its revival was under the administration of the Rev. Thornton R. Sampson, its first president, the first faculty consisting of the Rev. Samuel A. King, as professor of systematic theology, and the Rev. Robert E. Vinson, as professor of Old Testament languages and exegesis. Later, the Rev. Dr. Sneed was added to the faculty. The institution is now under the control of the Synods of Texas, Arkansas, and Oklahoma of the Presbyterian Church in the United States, and during the last period of eight years the seminary has given to the ministry of the Presbyterian Church in Texas, Oklahoma, and Arkansas about thirty men, trained in whole or in part under its instruction. The office of the first president of the seminary having terminated, according to the constitution, when the faculty reached the number of four, from 1904 to 1908 the institution was governed by the faculty under the direction of the board of trustees, appointed by the controlling synods. In 1908 the board, with the consent of the controlling synods, determined to make the office of president a permanent part of the administration of the seminary, and elected to this position the Rev. Robert E. Vinson, D.D.

This seminary stands for the type of theology which is presented in the Westminster Confession of Faith and Catechisms, and was founded for the avowed purpose of furnishing an adequate ministry in both supply and equipment for the rapidly de-

veloping homogenous territory of the Southwest. Among its instructors the best-known, perhaps have been the Rev. Robert L. Dalbey, the Rev. Thomas Gary Johnson, the Rev. Samuel A. King, the Rev. H. K. Sneed, and the Rev. E. R. Sampson. In the year 1910 the seminary had five instructors, thirty-four students, a library of about 2,500 volumes, buildings to the value of \$100,000, and endowments to the value of \$200,000; it is governed by a board of fifteen trustees, appointed by the three controlling synods. In the student body there are four discriminations represented: Presbyterians (31), Disciples of Christ (1), Baptists (1), and Episcopalian (1).

2. COLUMBIA. The Theological Seminary of the Synods of South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, and Florida, popularly known as Columbia Seminary, is located in Columbia, Richland Co., S. C., and was founded in 1828 by the Presbyterians of the then Synod of South Carolina and Georgia. The institution had its origin in the conviction that if the Presbyterian churches of the section were to have an adequate supply of educated ministers, they must not only be raised up from the churches themselves, but must be trained for the ministry somewhere within this territory. From 1828 to 1831 the faculty of the seminary consisted of but a single professor, Dr. Thomas Goodling, but in 1831 Dr. George Hays and in 1833 Dr. A. W. Linnard were added to the faculty. While it has had its share of vicissitudes, Columbia Seminary has a record of service not only to the churches of the section, but to the entire Presbyterian Church in the United States, popularly known as the Southern Presbyterian Church. It has numbered among its faculty such men as James Henry Throckmold (q.v.), B. M. Palmer (q.v.), J. B. Alder, James Woodrow (q.v.), William S. Plummer (q.v.), J. B. Wilson, John L. Giraudon, and Samuel Spargy Laws. Its alumni took a conspicuous part in organizing the Presbyterian Church in the United States, and were largely influential in determining its distinctive character and in shaping the lines along which its life and activities have developed. Dr. J. Langdon Wilson (q.v.), one of the first graduates of the seminary, shaped the foreign-mission policy of the Southern Presbyterian Church, while its home-missionary activities have been largely under the direction of alumni of the same seminary, and its interest in and work for the negro have been stimulated and directed by such alumni as Dr. C. C. Jones, John L. Giraudon, and Charles A. Stillman (q.v.). In addition to supplying the denomination with many of its best preachers, Columbia Seminary has given it a considerable number of its theological professors, not to mention its other educators. Of the thirty-nine members of the General Assembly, thirteen have been alumni of this institution.

The faculty in the year 1910 consisted of four professors, with two chairs vacant—those of natural science in its relation to revelation, and of pastoral theology, English Bible, and homiletics. The institution is owned and controlled by the Synods of South Carolina, Georgia, Alabama, and Florida, their control being exercised through a board of directors, six of whom are elected from the Synod

of South Carolina, four from the Synod of Georgia, four from the Synod of Alabama, and two from the Synod of Florida. From year to year the directors submit their minutes to the synods for approval, and also send to the General Assembly a report for its information. There were in 1910 twenty students in attendance, nine of whom were from South Carolina, four from Georgia, five from North Carolina, and two from Tennessee. All of these were candidates for the Presbyterian ministry. The endowment of the seminary amounts to \$775,000, and its library contains 2,450 volumes.

W. M. McNEVIN.

Bibliography: *Memorial Volume of the Synodical Conference of the Danville (Ky) and the Louisville (Ky) Theological Seminaries*, ed. Columbus, S. C., Columbia, 1914.

3. Kentucky: This institution, located at Louisville, Ky., was formed in 1801 by the consolidation of the Danville (Ky) and the Louisville (Ky) theological seminaries. The Danville seminary was founded at Danville, Ky., in 1833, by the General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church (Old School), and had a notably successful career until the beginning of the Civil War, attracting a large number of students. Its most widely known professors were Robert J. Breckinridge (q.v.), Edward P. Hamphrey, Stuart Robinson (q.v.), Stephen Yerkes, and Nathan L. Rice (q.v.), and its influence on the Southwest through its alumni has been marked and enduring. In 1863, the Synod of Kentucky Church in the United States, founded the Louisville Seminary at Louisville, Ky., and by reason of its strong faculty and its location in a large city the institution at once took high rank and in the fourth session enrolled sixty-seven students. The original endowment was \$100,000, mostly in real estate, and for three years the instruction was given in the rooms of the Second Presbyterian Church. In the first session Mr. A. J. Alexander gave real estate to the value of \$15,000 to fund a chair in memory of his son, while temporary endowment by annual subscriptions and the gift of their services as professors by three pastors of the city enabled the seminary to meet its expenses. By the generosity of Mr. W. N. Hadden, proprietor of the Cooper-Formal, a permanent location was secured in 1896, and in 1901 the Danville and Louisville seminaries were united at Louisville, under the name of the Presbyterian Theological Seminary of Kentucky. Under the terms of the agreement for consolidation the seminary is under the control of the Synod of Kentucky in connection with the Presbyterian Church in the United States of America, and of the Synod of Kentucky and Missouri in connection with the Presbyterian Church in the United States, this control being exercised through a board of twenty-four directors, who elect the professors. Annual reports of the work of the seminary are submitted to the controlling synods and to the two General Assemblies, and the election of directors and the election or transfer of professors are subject to veto by the respective assemblies. Under the charter and constitution of the seminary the instruction "shall at all times be in accordance with those standards which are now common in both of said [Presby-

terian] churches, and with such modifications thereof, as may hereafter be made and adopted by both of said churches." The credits of both the Presbyterian denominations concerned represent the same type of theology and ecclesiology, and the faculty are divided almost equally between the two, agreeing thoroughly in making the teaching and spirit of the institution conservative in theology and in Biblical criticism. The faculty of instruction consists of eight professors: Charles H. Hempill (q.v.), president and professor of New Testament exegesis and practical theology; John M. Womel (practical theology, emeritus); William H. Marples (Biblical introduction, English Bible, and Biblical theology); Harry E. Dosker (q.v.; church history); Robert A. Walsh (q.v.; apologetics and systematic theology); Jesse L. Cotton (Old Testament exegesis); and Thompson M. Isaacs and J. C. McAllister (assistants).

The courses of study are organized into distinct schools, and cover all the subjects of theological disciplines with special attention to preparation for the practical demands made on the modern minister. Students are received from any Evangelical Church, and are ordinarily expected to have a literary degree from a reputable college. The only degree conferred is B.D., given after examination on the completion of a three-year course. In 1910 the institution had an attendance of 419, of whom were ministers pursuing special graduate courses. The grounds and building of the seminary represent an outlay of \$290,000, while the invested funds embrace \$500,000 for endowments, \$60,000 for scholarships, and a small library fund. The controlling benefactor of the seminary was Mr. William T. Grant, of Louisville, a director of the institution, who left a bequest of \$300,000 to the seminary, probably the largest gift ever made in the South to theological education. The library includes about 20,000 volumes, and nearly 6,000 unbound periodicals.

CHARLES H. HEMPELL.

4. Southwestern: This institution, which is located at Clarksville, Montgomery Co., Tenn., and is under the control of the Presbyterian Church in the United States, was founded in 1833 through the combined efforts of the Synods of Alabama, Mississippi, Arkansas, Texas, Louisiana, and Nashville, to establish a school for the education of young men for the ministry within their bounds. Its earliest instructors were Drs. John L. Waddell (chancellor and professor of church polity), Joseph B. Wilson (theology and homiletics), J. B. Shaw (Hebrew and New Testament Greek), and Robert Price (ecclesiastical history). The history of the seminary from its inception to the present has been one of uniform, unintermitted, and successful work. It stands for the conservative interpretation of the standards of the Calvinistic system of doctrine, for the Presbyterian form of church government, and for the highest standard of moral learning and personal piety in the ministry. It has supplied a large number of the most useful ministers of the Southern Presbyterian Church, both in home and in mission fields. In 1910 the seminary had four instructors and twelve trustees. It constitutes a department of the Southwestern Presbyterian University, and its stu-

dents are subject to the government and discipline of the university. Its support is derived from the university endowments, and for its library it depends on that of the university, which contains some 15,000 volumes.

5. Union (Richmond, Va.): This institution, officially known as Union Theological Seminary in Virginia, is located at Richmond, Va., and was founded in 1812. In April, 1806, the Presbytery of Hanover resolved to establish a Hampton-Sidney College a theological library and a fund for the education of young men for the ministry, and appointed Rev. John Holt Rice a special agent to solicit books and money for this purpose. In 1807 Rev. Moses Hoge was elected president of Hampton-Sidney College, and in accordance with the plan of the presbytery began at the same time to instruct in theology the candidates for the ministry, although it was not till 1812 that the Synod of Virginia formally adopted the plan of the seminary and formally appointed Dr. Hoge its professor of theology. He continued the work for the remaining eight years of his life, sending about thirty young men from his classes into the ministry. When he died in 1820, the synod, after trying in vain for two years to fill his place, transferred the seminary with its funds to the Presbytery of Hanover. This presbytery in 1822 reorganized the seminary, appointed a new board of trustees, and elected as professor of theology Rev. John Holt Rice, pastor of the First Presbyterian Church of Richmond, to whom, more than to any other man, the success of the institution is due. Dr. Rice began his instruction on Jan. 1, 1824, with three students. There were as yet no buildings for the seminary, and its whole endowment amounted only to about \$10,000, but funds for both purposes were now rapidly raised. In 1826, the seminary was placed under the care of the General Assembly, the trustees of that body taking charge of the funds and in 1827 the Presbytery of Hanover surrendered the institution to the joint management and control of the Synods of Virginia and North Carolina. In commemoration of this co-operation its name was changed to Union Theological Seminary. By 1831, the year in which Dr. Rice died, the institution had acquired buildings sufficient for its needs at that time, had gathered a fair library, and had secured three instructors and about forty students. A fourth professorship was added in 1850, and a fifth in 1891.

The seminary has had the following professors in theology: Moses Hoge (1812-20), John Holt Rice (1821-31), George A. Baxter (1831-41), Samuel B. Wilson (1841-50), Robert L. Dalney (q.v.; 1850-1853), Thomas E. Peck (1853-59), Charles B. Vaughan (1859-90), and Geneva B. Strickler (q.v.; 1859-1860). In ecclesiastical history and polity: Stephen Taylor (1833-38), Samuel L. Graham (1838-39, 1840-50), Robert L. Dalney (1853-59), Thomas E. Peck (1860-83), James F. Latimer (1884-92), and Thomas C. Johnson (q.v.; since 1892). In Hebrew and Old Testament interpretation: Hiram P. Goodrich (1850-59), Samuel L. Graham (1859-69), Francis S. Sampson (1869-84), Benjamin M. Smith (q.v.; 1854-89), Walter W. Moore (q.v.; since 1891), James Gray McLintire

(adjunct professor, 1904-05), and A. D. P. Gilmore (associate professor, since 1905). In Biblical literature and New Testament interpretation: William J. Hoge (1856-59), Henry C. Alexander (1860-61), Charles C. Hosman (1861-1908), and Thomas R. English (since 1908). In English Bible and practical theology: Thomas C. Johnson (1861-92), Thomas R. English (1863-68), and Thos. H. Rice (since 1908). There were in 1911 seven instructors and thirty-four students, representing twenty states and countries, and three religious denominations, though the great majority are Presbyterians. The total attendance of students from the beginning to the present time has been 1,688. This seminary has educated more of the ministers of the Presbyterian Church in the South than any other, having furnished about three-fourths of all the ministers in the Synod of Virginia, about one-half of those in the Synod of North Carolina, and a goodly proportion of those in the other Southern synods as well. In addition about half of all the missionaries who have been sent by the branch of the church to foreign lands.

The seminary is under the care of the synods of Virginia and North Carolina, and the board of directors is composed of twenty-four members, twelve from each of the synods to which the board reports. The General Assembly of the Presbyterian Church in the United States also has a right of general superintendence, and may advise and recommend, but may not originate, measures for the management of the institution. The plan of the seminary is thoroughly Biblical, and the Bible is the chief textbook in all departments, while the foundation stands for the theology of the Westminster Confession of Faith. Although insisting upon thorough scholarship, and requiring for its degree of B.D. a grade equal to that of the professional schools of the University of Virginia, the chief aim of the seminary is to give men practical training for the actual work of the ministry, and especially to make them effective preachers. Throughout its history it has been characterized by a remarkably strong and steady missionary spirit. Its Society of Missions Inquiry was organized in 1818, and in 1831 its founder, Dr. Rice, dictated from his deathbed the overture to the General Assembly which led to the organization of the Presbyterian Board of Foreign Missions.

In 1896, by order of the controlling synods, the seminary was removed from Hampton-Sidney to Richmond. Its property now consists of forty-five acres of land in Glister Park, Richmond, nine substantial buildings, all erected within the last twelve years, valued at \$201,000, and productive endowments of \$337,000, besides unproductive assets of \$55,000. Its principal benefactors have been Cyrus H. McCormick of Chicago, Henry Young of New York, Joseph Blair Wilson of Rockledge Co., Va., William W. Spence of Baltimore, and George W. Waff of Durham, N. C. The library, which is unusually select, numbers 23,367 volumes, carefully housed in a fireproof building. W. W. Moore, *Historical and General Catalogue of Union Theological Seminary in Virginia*, 1891, contains the only existing history of the institution and selection of all its students.

XII. Reformed Presbyterian.—1. **Pittsburg:** This institution is located in Pittsburg, Pa., in the North Side, formerly Allegheny, and was founded in 1810, although it has been in its present site only since 1836. It is in connection with the Reformed Presbyterian Church (Old School), and was formed by the action of that body. The purpose of the denomination to institute a seminary goes back to 1817, but it was not formally organized until May 25, 1810, with a board of superintendents consisting of Rev. John Black, Rev. Alexander McLeod, and Rev. Gilbert McMaster; and with Rev. Samuel Brown Wyllie as teacher of theology. The seminary then constituted was in Philadelphia, and Dr. Wyllie continued as professor of theology till 1827, with some years of intermission from 1817 to 1823, during which time the students were taught by pastors; four years' instruction being required. There was a similar interruption from 1827 to 1829, but from that period on, with little break, the students of theology were taught by professors elected by the synod, though the location was changed several times from East to West, until in 1856, it came to its present place. The professors during this shifting period were James Benwick Wilson and Thomas Sproul (q.v.). The seminary stands for the Reformed theology as embodied in the Westminster standards, and in the *Act and Testimony of the Reformed Presbyterian Church*, which constituted in 1871 at Pittsburg, Pa., and is bound to witness politically against national atheism, to seek a Christian constitution, to testify against secret oath-bound orders, and to promote total abstinence and other reforms.

There are four instructors in the seminary, and eight members of the board of superintendents, who meet annually at the close of the session and pass upon the work, and hear discourses from the students. They report annually to the synod, who control the election of professors. The number of students enrolled in 1904 was ten, all in the membership of the denomination. One came from Massachusetts, two from New York, two from Pennsylvania, one from Illinois, two from Iowa, one from Missouri, and one from Colorado. The endowment of the seminary is about \$90,000, and the number of books in the library is about 3,000.

D. B. WILSON.
DISCOVERY: W. B. Spang, *Annals of the American People*, vol. 10, New York, 1899; J. M. Wilson, *Presbyterian Church: Historical Outline of the Philadelphia Synod*, 1887; W. M. Duncan, *Historical Outline of the Philadelphia Synod*, 1887; W. M. Duncan, *Historical Outline of the Philadelphia Synod*, 1887; W. M. Duncan, *Historical Outline of the Philadelphia Synod*, 1887.

XIII. United Presbyterian.—1. **Xenia:** This seminary is located at Xenia, Greene Co., O., and is an institution of the United Presbyterian Church, under the control of the Second Synod of the West and of the groups of Illinois, Iowa, Kansas, and Nebraska of the United Presbyterian Church. Its immediate control is committed to a board of managers, twenty-five in number, and a board of nine trustees, while the terms and course of study are determined by the General Assembly. The seminary was founded by the Associate Presbyterian Synod of North America in 1794, and was at first located at Service, Beaver Co., Pa., where a build-

ing was erected and a library of about 800 volumes was collected, and Rev. John Anderson was elected professor of theology, the first and only teacher for some twenty-five years. For 117 years it has labored to equip men for the ministry of the Gospel. It is probably the oldest Protestant theological seminary on the continent. Professor Anderson resigned, 1810, and soon thereafter the Associate Synod decided to remove it from Service to Chambersburg, Pa., which was done, and Rev. James Rainey was chosen professor of theology. In the time other professors were added to the teaching force and the course of study was enlarged. In 1835 the synod agreed to another removal of the seminary, and it was transferred to Xenia, O., where it has prospered its work now for fifty-six years.

The Associated Reformed Church, one of the two branches forming the United Presbyterian Church, established a theological seminary at Oxford, O., in 1839, and Rev. Joseph Chubbuck, a local pastor, was appointed professor of theology. In 1837 this institution was removed from Oxford, O., to Monmouth, Ill., where it remained till 1874, when it was consolidated with the Xenia Seminary, its library and funds forming a part of Xenia's equipment.

The amount of endowment, including the value of buildings and grounds, is about \$250,000, and the library contains between 7,000 and 8,000 volumes, besides a large collection of pamphlets and periodicals. The faculty consists of the following members: Joseph Kyle (systematic theology, history of doctrine, homiletics), Jesse Johnson (ecclesiastical history and apologetics), John E. Whitart (Hebrew exegesis, O. T. literature, practical theology), J. Hunter Webster (Greek exegesis and N. T. literature), Melvin G. Kyle (permanent lecturer on Biblical theology as illustrated by archeological research), Peter Robertson (evangelical culture), and William G. Moorhead (English Bible).

WILLIAM G. MOORHEAD.
XIV. Protestant Episcopal.—1. **Beekmantown:** This divinity school is located in Beekmantown, Madison Co., Ont., and had its beginning in a theological department informally organized in Trinity College, Hartford, in 1851, by the president of the college, the Rt. Rev. John Williams (q.v.), assistant bishop of the Episcopal Church in Connecticut. Three years later a charter was granted for the school as a separate institution under its present name, to be located at Middletown, where a large building, which had been the residence of Rev. Dr. Jarvis, was given for its use. Bishop Williams removed his residence to that city, and was dean of the school for forty-five years, until his death in 1899. The first student professor was Rev. Edwin Harwood and Rev. Thomas F. Dr. Thomas W. Cull and other lecturers. The Jarvis House, now called the Washington Hotel, served as a residence, dormitory, chapel, and library for several years, but in 1860 another dormitory was built; in the next year a beautiful stone chapel, erected by Mrs. Mary W. Alport Miller, in memory of her husband, was consecrated; and in 1868 an adjacent colonial dwelling was purchased which serves as a refectory. In 1896

a handsome and spacious library, with provision for lecture-rooms, was built, bounding another side of the grounds and serving as a special memorial of the founder of the school. Generous provision has been made from time to time for the support of the institution in the form of professorial and scholarship endowments, as well as gifts to the general funds, and the alumni have provided a fund of \$100,000 for the maintenance and enlargement of the library. Under the guidance of Bishop Williams and of his successors, the Beekmantown Divinity School has had a strong and widely extended influence in the life of the Episcopal Church for more than half a century. Among its most eminent instructors have been the Rev. Dr. John Binney, who still holds the professorship to which he was called in 1874, and who was dean from 1899 to 1908; Rev. Dr. Samuel Fuller, professor for thirty-two years; Rev. Dr. Frederic Guedler (q.v.), whose professorship covered twenty-one years; and Rev. Dr. John Humphrey Harbour, who died at the end of eleven years of service. The number of its students has never been very large; in fifty-five years it has graduated about 800 men, all of whom have taken holy orders. Of these twenty-two, with three non-graduates, have been consecrated bishops, and five have been called to the bishopric of other theological seminaries. The living alumni, 341 in number, are about one-seventeenth of all the Episcopal clergy of the country, while of the members of the House of Bishops one-fifth are graduates of Beekmantown.

The corporation consists of thirteen trustees, eight chosen and five laymen, vacancies in their number being filled by the Diocesan Convention or by the remaining trustees. There are, in 1910, five full professors and several instructors and lecturers. The number of students, including graduates engaged in advanced work and some special students, is thirty-five; nine are undergraduates in full standing, all of these, with one exception, having college degrees. The students come from different parts of the country, and the alumni are widely distributed, about one-fifth being in Connecticut and one-fifth in the State of New York. The endowment funds amount in all to about \$485,000, and there are about 28,000 volumes in the library.

BARNES HART.
B. Gumbidge: This theological school, which is located in Cambridge, Mass., was founded in 1867 by Benjamin Tyler Reed, a wealthy merchant of Boston, who was much interested in the progress of the Episcopal Church, of which he was a member, and was strongly desirous that it should remain true to its evangelical traditions. He accordingly founded this school, making only one requirement of its instructors, that they should maintain the doctrine of justification by faith. Dr. John S. Stone was made the first dean of the school, and associated with him in the work of instruction were Dr. A. Y. G. Allen (q.v.), and Dr. P. H. Storer (q.v.), who composed for a time the teaching force. Dr. Francis Wharton and Dr. Eliza Moulton (q.v.) being added later. Friends of the founder and of the deceased benefactor, such as Adams Lawrence built a dormitory, John Appleton Burnham a refectory, and Robert Means Mason a chapel, while a building for a library and for lecture-rooms was called Reed

Hall in honor of the founder of the school, who, in addition to his initial gift, made the institution the residuary legatee of his estate. Thus established, the school has ever since stood for liberal and progressive scholarship in the Episcopal Church. The seminary in 1910 had seven full professors and two instructors, and is governed by a board of seven by trustees, originally appointed by the founder, and self-perpetuating, who manage the finances of the school, the responsibility for the teaching resting upon the faculty. Thus the school in its government follows the plan which prevails in the American parishes, the trustees corresponding to the vestry. There are forty students, of whom fifteen belong to Massachusetts, and eight to New York, others being from Pennsylvania, Rhode Island, Maine, Michigan, Washington, Tennessee, Texas, Canada, and China. The endowment is \$1,000,000, half of which is in land and buildings, and the other half in productive funds. There are 12,000 books in the library. A second dormitory was added to the original group of buildings, and named for Hon. Robert C. Winthrop, then president of the board of trustees, and Mrs. George Zabrickis Gray, widow of the second dean, gave a library, while a library building, now in process of construction, is the gift of John G. Wright.

B. GOSWAMI.
B. General: This seminary, by far the largest under the jurisdiction of the Protestant Episcopal Church, is located in New York City, where it was founded in 1817. Soon after the opening of the nineteenth century there became manifest, in various parts of the American Episcopal Church, a strong desire for, and belief in, a more systematic, thorough, and disciplined training for the ministry, as opposed to the previous isolated classes of candidates under the tuition of a single clergyman, or the inconspicuous recourse to English universities. This feeling manifested itself in diocesan resolutions, pastoral addresses of bishops, and the formation of such societies to promote and aid theological education as the Protestant Episcopal Theological Society founded in New York in 1808. Bishops Doane of South Carolina, Hobart (q.v.) of New York, and White (q.v.) of Pennsylvania were especially active in the movement, and as a result of the agitation the General Theological Seminary was founded by the General Convention of the church on May 27, 1817, and instruction began in New York two years later. It was the intention of the founders, as expressed in their resolutions of 1817, that the seminary should "have the support of the whole Church in those United States, and be under the superintendence and control of the General Convention." This breadth of plan became, and still is, a characteristic of the seminary, part of its governing trustees being still chosen by the General Convention, and its professors and students representing all sections of the country as well as of the missionary districts. In 1819 when the seminary opened, there were but two professors, Rev. S. F. Jarvis, and Rev. S. H. Turner (q.v.), and six students. Among the latter, however, were two non-C. W. Doane and Martin Bartsch—whom by their distinction later, as bishops of New Jersey and Massachusetts respectively, foreshadowed the future work of the institution in main-



ing leaders of the church as well as the rank and file of the clergy; and with the passing of fourteen years and ten nearly seventy bishops had been students at the seminary—almost a fourth of the entire American episcopate from 1784 to 1910. In the same period had been graduated 1,722 men, of whom over 1,000 are living and in the ministry to-day—almost a fifth of the present total number of the American Episcopal clergy.

Despite the encouragement with which the seminary opened, it temporarily languished, and even for a brief period (1820-22) removed to New Haven, Conn. It received new material life, however, with the bequest, in 1821, of about \$60,000 from Jacob Sherwood, and the gift of an extensive tract of land in what was then the upper part of New York City, from C. C. Moore (q. v.). In this location was erected the first building in 1825, and there now stand the present seminary buildings. Gifts to the institution during its first half-century were many, but its present admirable equipment of buildings, etc., may be said to date very largely from the beginning of the century of the late Very Rev. E. A. Hoffman (q. v.). In 1879, the buildings occupy what is known as Chelsea Square, the block bounded by Ninth and Tenth Avenues, and 20th and 21st Streets, and are a very notable group architecturally, harmonious and dignified in their outward fabric as well as distinctive in the quiet and reserve of their old-world atmosphere. The library contained in 1910 51,386 volumes and several thousand pamphlets and is especially strong in the history of the Anglican and the American Episcopal Church, patristic, liturgical, and conciliar history. It contains the Copinger Collection of Latin Biblical texts, presented in 1883 by Dean Hoffman and contains Vanderhill, which at the time of its acquisition was one of the three largest collections of Latin Biblical texts in the world, and also the private library of the eminent Augustinian theologian and scholar. Among its other treasures are a valuable collection of Babylonian tablets, and several Biblical manuscripts.

Entering students are prepared to have been admitted as candidates for orders in the Episcopal Church or to have been graduated as a "recognized university or college." Under the terms of an affiliation agreement with Columbia University, they may take courses in the latter institution and use its library. With the purpose of elevating and broadening the standard of theological education, special effort has been made to foster advanced and graduate work. The five fellowships now maintained, with provision for study abroad or at the seminary, and the certainty of an increase in their number at a later date have served to sustain this effort, and the number of graduate students has largely increased in the last decade. In addition, a number of scholarships are available for worthy undergraduates. The total number of students at the seminary in the year 1910-11 was 126, exclusive of several non-residential graduate students.

The publications of the seminary include, in addition to its catalogues and proceedings of trustees, a series of alumni publications issued by the associate alumni and the Fuldock Lectures. The latter, XI.—25.

a series of volumes issued since 1881, contain the lectures delivered annually at the seminary by well-known scholars and theologians, among the more recent lecturers being Rt. Rev. A. C. A. Hall (q. v.), bishop of Vermont; Rt. Rev. C. H. Brent (q. v.), bishop of the Philippines; Rt. Rev. G. H. Walpole, bishop of Edinburgh; Rev. F. J. Hall (q. v.), Rev. W. P. De Rose (q. v.), and Rev. W. H. Inge (q. v.), of Cambridge University, England. The present endowment of the seminary is \$2,112,115.81, exclusive of the site occupied by the institution, its buildings, and adjoining land owned by the seminary valued at \$2,138,263.25. The faculty at present is as follows: W. L. Robbins (q. v., dean), W. J. Seachary (q. v.; ecclesiastical polity and law), J. C. Roper (dogmatic theology), H. M. Denlow (pastoral theology), C. N. Stinson (Hebrew and cognate languages), C. C. Edmunds (New Testament), L. W. Batson (Old Testament), A. F. Hunt (Christian ethics), A. W. Jenks (ecclesiastical history), F. B. Birdseye (adjunct professor of Old Testament), W. H. F. Hatch (adjunct professor of New Testament), and C. H. Boynton (adjunct professor of homiletics and pedagogy). The professorship of Christian apologetics is at present vacant by death. In addition to the regular faculty, there are three special lecturers, three resident instructors, and a librarian. EDWARD HANCOX VINCENT.

4. **Nashotah** This seminary, officially known as "Nashotah House," is situated at Nashotah, Washburn Co., Wis., and was founded in 1841 by James Lloyd Brock, William Adams, and John Henry Eberhart (q. v.), under the Rt. Rev. Jackson Kemper, Episcopal bishop of the Territories of the Northwest. At first it was an associate mission, but very shortly became a training-school for men desiring to give themselves up to missionary work in the great Westward. From this point of departure Nashotah House soon became a recognized seminary of the Protestant Episcopal Church, and after its incorporation in 1847 it grew until, to-day, it is the second largest training-school for priests in the American Church. Among the earlier instructors, besides those whose names have already been mentioned, were the Rev. A. D. Cole, Rev. Lewis A. Kemper, Rev. T. M. Riley, Rev. A. W. Jenks, and Rev. H. E. W. Foster. The seminary has always stood unqualifiedly for the principles of the Oxford movement in England, and firmly holds to that position at the present time. Though the institution has never mothered any great movement, it has, each year since its incorporation, sent out more strong champions of Catholic faith and practice, who, working as they have in nearly every diocese and missionary district of this country and in almost every part of the world, can not but have done much to push forward that great movement which arose at Oxford in the middle of the last century.

At the present time the institution numbers sixty students, eight professors and instructors, and a board of trustees of twenty-one members. The buildings, including three houses used as homes for members of the faculty and a new library building, number ten. The endowment of the institution is at present \$450,000. The library, already rich with

material in certain directions, and consisting of about 15,000 volumes, has now the possibility of a brilliant future, due to recent bequests.

5. **Padua** The "Church Divinity School of the Faith" is located at San Mateo, San Mateo Co., Cal., and was founded in 1893 through the generosity of George W. Gibbs of San Francisco and J. Pierpont Morgan of New York City. It is under the charge of Rt. Rev. William Ford Nichols (q. v.), bishop of California, who is also its donor and sole trustee. Its purposes at present (1910) three buildings, but it is hoped that it will ultimately be possible to remove the whole institution to San Francisco and there make it part of the quadrangle of the cathedral-dioce. The present faculty consists, in addition to Bishop Nichols, of J. O. Lincoln, H. H. Powell, F. C. Margaret, and two lecturers, E. L. Parsons and A. B. Shields. To the end of 1909 the seminary had had forty-seven students, of whom seventeen were special. The enrollment for 1909-10 was twenty, the great majority being from the diocese of California, with two from Los Angeles, and one each from Western Colorado and Texas. The library contains over 6,000 volumes, and the institution is characterized by a strong missionary spirit. LAWRENCE HERKHOFF.

6. **Philadelphia** This school, officially designated "The Divinity School of the Protestant Episcopal Church in Philadelphia," is located in Philadelphia, Pa., and was incorporated in 1902 after an informal training-school for candidates for holy orders had already existed for some years previously under the direction of Bishop Alonso Potter (q. v.). This priests, who must be regarded as the real founder of the school, set forth its purpose as follows: "The divinity school is founded upon a national and Catholic basis and doctrine. Its object is to raise up large-hearted, earnest-minded, well-instructed, and common-sense ministers of the Word and sacraments, and to send them forth, trained practically as well as theoretically, to fill up the ranks of our foreign and domestic missionaries, and to serve as men of power and godliness at home." The present buildings of the seminary were erected in 1882, and to them were added a chapel in 1885 and a library in 1907. The faculty consisted in 1910 of six professors: of systematic divinity; of liturgical, church polity and canon law; of New-Testament literature and language; of Old-Testament literature and language; of homiletics and pastoral care; and of ecclesiastical history and there is also an instructor in voice culture and elocution. The faculty is well equipped for its work and offers instruction in Semitic, Greek and Latin, German, French, and Italian. The school has had students from Canada, the West Indies, China, Japan, Haiti, and Liberia, and from all parts of the United States. Out of over 500 students matriculated, it graduates almost number twenty 400, of whom three are bishops, while two others have declined the episcopate. The number of students living in the building is between thirty and forty, and an average of about fifty additional pursue postgraduate work for degrees. Arrangements have been made whereby students of theology can take courses of special study at the University of Pennsylvania, and a system for exchange of credits

has been adopted, these exchange credits falling within the departments of Hebrew, New-Testament Greek, and ecclesiastical history. Students who desire to become acquainted with the missionary and institutional features of the Church's work have an excellent opportunity through their services as lay readers in the institutions, churches, and missions in Philadelphia. The library contains over 20,000 volumes, including valuable theological works that are daily consulted by students from the neighboring seminaries and institutions of learning; and students of the divinity school may also use the extensive library of the University of Pennsylvania. THOMAS J. GALLEANO.

7. **Seabury** This divinity school, the corporate name of which is "Bishop Seabury Mission," is located in Fairbank, Riv. Co., Minn., and was founded in 1858 by Rev. James Lloyd Brock. It was and is affiliated with the Episcopal Church, and confines itself to the training of men for that church's ministry. It originated in the desire to found a school which would send forth clergymen equipped to meet the pioneer condition of the new Northwest, which was then just opening to settlement. Among its earliest instructors, in addition to its founder, were Bishop H. B. Whipple (q. v.), Rev. Solon W. Mamey, Rev. (later bishop) E. S. Thomas, Rev. Thomas Halsey, and Rev. J. S. Ketchum (q. v.). In the second year of the school's existence, Bishop Whipple came to Fairbank, and made it his residence, this not only bringing the students into personal contact with the great "apostle to the Indians," but also enabling him to keep a close watch over the school and to provide for its needs. Dr. Brock began the school in the most primitive way, by the erection of a frame dormitory for the students who attended recitations in his home. Later on a stone building was built, but this was burned in 1872 and the present main building (named Seabury Hall) was erected in 1872, near the site of Dr. Brock's former residence. Dr. Brock moved to Benicia, Cal., in 1867, and after a brief interval Dr. Fisher became head of the school, a position which has since been held by eight other clergymen. In 1885 a second building for the library and recitation-rooms was built and named Johnson Hall after the father of the donor, Mrs. Shumway. During the years of the school's existence, more than 300 men have received their theological education, wholly or in part, within its walls. It has contributed largely to the growth of the Episcopal Church in the Northwest, the majority of its alumni giving their best years to missionary work in that part of the country. It stands for conservative churchmanship, sound learning, and practical training. In the year 1910 there were seven instructors in active work; the trustees are twenty in number, and are a self-perpetuating body; and the administrative office is in the rectory. There are at present twenty-eight students in attendance (the school's capacity being thirty-two), coming from eleven different dioceses in eight different states. The seminary has an endowment of about \$450,000, and a library of about 11,000 volumes. F. A. McELWAIN.



Encyclopedia. G. S. Thayer, *History of the Diocese of Missouri*, St. Paul, 1900.

8. Virginia. This divinity school, officially termed "The Protestant Episcopal Theological Seminary in Virginia," is situated in Fairfax Co., Va., overlooking the Potomac River, three miles west of Alexandria. It was founded in 1823, and was at first located in Alexandria, whence it was removed to its present site in 1827. It owes its inception to a resolution of the Diocesan Convention of Virginia, which met in 1815, recognizing the great necessity for a supply of candidates for holy orders, and of a school for training them. A theological class was accordingly established in the college of William and Mary at Williamsburg, Va., in 1821, but the students were transferred to Alexandria in 1825, when the history of the seminary really begins. Chief among its founders were the Rev. William H. Wines of Washington, D. C., the Rev. William H. Wines of Alexandria, the Rev. William Meade (q. v.), afterward bishop of Virginia, Dr. Thomas Henderson of Washington, and Francis Scott Key, the author of "The Star-Spangled Banner." Its first professors were the Revs. David Keith, William H. Wines, and Oliver Norris. The seminary has had a most interesting history, centering to a large extent around the missionary life of the Episcopal Church. Ecclesiastically it has stood, from the beginning, for the principles known as "evangelical," in the Episcopal Church, and for simplicity in ritual and in the appointed forms of worship. But it maintains its position in no spirit of narrow exclusiveness, and recognizes that "evangelical," in its best sense, refers to the historic faith as contained in the New Testament and set forth by the ancient creeds; so that every form of church government has been educated within its walls. The influence of the seminary has been unquestionably great as a conservative and spiritual force throughout the Episcopal Church, holding fast, and contributing to the essential principles of the English Reformation. Its chief glory, however, is in the great contribution it has made to the development of missionary work in the Episcopal Church. It has founded all its foreign missions except where, in recent years, that church with other communions has followed the flag in the colonial possessions of the United States. The seminary has a list of more than 1,000 alumni, many of whom have held, and now hold, distinguished positions in all parts of the United States. About seventy-five have become foreign missionaries, and thirty have been consecrated bishops. Among seventy-five have become foreign missionaries, and thirty have been consecrated bishops. Among seventy-five have become foreign missionaries, and thirty have been consecrated bishops.

resident being the bishop of Virginia. This is a self-perpetuating body, chosen from the three dioceses within the limits of the states of Virginia and West Virginia, with the exception of two who are called alumni trustees, elected by the alumni, and permitted to be residents of other dioceses. The government of the seminary is vested in the board of trustees while the general administration as relating to the students is in the hand of the dean and faculty. The present number of students is forty-six, coming from twenty dioceses. Of these, twenty-seven are from the South, ten from the Middle States, one from the North, six from the West, and one from Hawaii, China. The seminary has a well-selected library, containing about 55,000 volumes. The buildings are of brick, with a beautiful chapel, the choir and chancel of which were given by the late Bishop Henry C. Potter (q. v.).

XV. Reformed (German).—1. Central. This institution of the Reformed Church in the United States (formerly the German Reformed Church) is located at Dayton, O., and was formed by the union of two theological seminaries, Heidelberg Theological Seminary (formerly located at Tiffin, O.), and Union School of Theology (formerly located at Collegeville, Pa., and later at Philadelphia, Pa.). The former institution was founded by the Ohio Synod of the Reformed Church in the United States, after several efforts had already been made to establish a theological seminary, as at Canton, O., in 1808, with Rev. J. G. Baetjer as professor, and at Columbus, O., in 1848, under Rev. A. P. Freese. Finally, in 1850, the synod decided to found Heidelberg College at Tiffin, O., and also, in connection with it, a theological seminary which should bear a similar name. For ten years there was only one professor at a time, Rev. S. V. Gerhart (q. v.; 1851-55) and Rev. Moses Kieffer (1855-61), but in 1861 another professor, Rev. Herman Rust, was added, and in 1869 Rev. J. H. Good was elected professor in Dr. Kieffer's place. Later other professors were added, among them Rev. A. S. Zerbe, Rev. D. Van Home (q. v.), Rev. E. Hertrunk, Rev. J. I. Swander, and Rev. H. J. Christman, and the faculty usually had four professors. From 1853 to 1907 the seminary had graduated 343 students, the attendance being usually from twelve to twenty. Its plan contemplated five professors, and it had an endowment of about \$90,000, but it had no buildings of its own as long as it was at Tiffin.

The Union School of Theology was part of Ursinus College, which was located at Collegeville, Pa., and which had three departments— theological, collegiate, and seminary. Instruction began about 1871, and the first class was graduated about 1873. It was organized and conducted by the synod in the Reformed Church of the United States which was opposed to the liturgical tendencies of the theological seminary established first at Mercersburg, Pa., and later at Lancaster, Pa. Though it was not under the direct control of any synod of the denomination, it was officially recognized by the General Synod of the Church in 1872, and in 1878 the Eastern Synod, within whose bounds it was located, gave it a vote of recommendation. Its first pro-

fessor was Rev. J. H. A. Bomberger (q. v.; president of Ursinus College), with whom were associated Rev. H. Supper, J. Van Haagen, M. Peters, G. Sulzner, J. I. Good, J. H. Seiler, and W. Hillis. In 1888 it was removed to Philadelphia, Pa., where it remained until 1907, during which time Revs. Ph. Volmer and C. H. Bremer joined its faculty, the number of active professors being usually four. At Collegeville it used the buildings of the college as dormitories and for recitations, and never had any independent separate from that of the college, but while in Philadelphia it purchased a building of its own. The number of its students ranged from ten to thirty-five, and from its beginning to 1907 it had about 300 graduates.

In 1906 negotiations were begun between the Ohio Synod and the board of directors of Ursinus College, looking toward a union of those seminaries, and the plan was consummated in 1907. The united seminary was located at Tiffin for one year (1907-08), but in 1908 Dayton, O., was made its permanent location. Its faculty is composed of the united faculties of both seminaries, and numbers seven. It has an endowment of about \$100,000, and property worth \$35,000, on which a theological building is soon to be built, costing about \$20,000. Its course is that which is usually presented in the theological seminaries of the United States, and its aim is to be both scholarly and Biblical, and to combine the finest theological course with the elective by granting a number of electives each year. It now has a large constituency, and its students, who come from all over the church, usually number from thirty to thirty-five. A post-graduate course has also been arranged which usually has a dozen students. Since 1908 the seminary has graduated twenty-eight. The theological position of the seminary is that of the Heidelberg Catechism—mildly Calvinistic, but over against the modern higher critical movement it stands for the old Evangelical orthodoxy.

JAMES I. GOSS. 8. Lancaster. This seminary, which is located at Lancaster, Pa., was established by the Synod of the Reformed (German) Church convened at Bedford, Pa., in 1854, and at the same time Rev. Levin Meyer, then pastor at York, Pa., was elected as the first professor of theology. The synod accepted the generous offer of accommodations from the authorities of Dickinson College, Carlisle, Pa., and the first session of the seminary opened in a room in that institution on Mar. 11, 1855, with a class of five students and one professor. It was the first institution of higher learning founded by the Reformed Church in the United States, and has been ever since under the supervision of one or more of its synods and conducted in its interests. Since its foundation the seminary has been located successively in Carlisle, Pa. (1855-59), York, Pa. (1859-57), Mercersburg, Pa. (1857-71), and Lancaster, Pa. (1871 to date). The following have occupied the several professorships up to the present time: Systematic theology, Lewis Meyer (1853-59); Hebrew, N. Y. N. (q. v.; 1860-1881), Bernard C. Wolf (1881-84), Henry Harbaugh (q. v.; 1885-87), Edmund V. Gorham (q. v.; 1888-1894), and Christopher News (1904-07); church history and exegesis, Daniel Young (1850-51), Fred-

erick A. Rauch (q. v.; 1852-41), Philip Schaff (q. v.; 1844-63), Elias E. Hulse (1863-71), and Thomas G. Apple (1871-80). In 1857 a theological faculty was founded, and during the twelve years of its actual existence (1851-72) there were three tutors—William M. Rolly, Jacob B. Kershner, and Frederick G. Gae (q. v.). In 1872 the faculty was abolished, and in its stead the chair of Hebrew and Old-Testament theology was established, to which Professor Gae was chosen in May, 1874. In 1891 the Synod of the Potomac endorsed a fourth professorship, New-Testament exegesis, of which John C. Bowman was the first incumbent (1891-1904). A fifth professorship, practical theology, was added by the Pittsburgh Synod in 1893, and was first occupied by William Rupp (1893-1904). By the concurrent action of the three synods John I. Swander was appointed Associate Professor of Systematic Theology. In 1903 the original charter was amended so as to vest the control of the seminary in the three eastern (English) synods of the Reformed Church—the Eastern Synod, the Pittsburgh Synod, and the Synod of the Potomac, each synod being represented on the two boards, the board of visitors and the board of trustees, in proportion to its numerical strength. The board of visitors consists of twelve ministers and supervisors of the instruction; and the internal affairs of the institution, while the board of trustees, composed of eighteen laymen, holds and controls the property and funds.

The faculty for 1910-11 is constituted as follows: John C. Bowman (president, and professor of practical theology), William C. Schaefer (New-Testament exegesis), George W. Richards (church history), Theodore F. Herman (systematic theology), John I. Swander (associate professor of systematic theology), Frederick A. Gae (semiotics professor of Hebrew and Old-Testament exegesis), Irwin Hoch Dalang (Hebrew and Old-Testament exegesis), and John M. Chambers (instructor of sacred oratory). The number of students enrolled for the year 1910 is 46—seniors 13, middling 16, and juniors 17, with 4 graduate students; 42 are members of the Reformed Church, and 3 of the United Evangelical Church. The students come from the following states: Pennsylvania, 42; Maryland, 1; West Virginia, 1; Iowa, 1; and North Carolina, 1. The estimated value of buildings and grounds is \$200,000; the endowment fund is \$200,000; and the library contains 12,500 books, besides pamphlets and periodicals.

By the first professors of the seminary the distinctive genius and doctrine of the Reformed Church in the United States, formerly the German Reformed Church, whose confessional standard is the Heidelberg Catechism, were interpreted and promulgated in American Protestantism; and the system of philosophy and theology originated and expounded under the leadership of Dr. Rauch, Nervis, and Schaff came to be known as the "Mercersburg Theology" (q. v.).

GEORGE W. RICHARDS.

Encyclopedia. T. A. S. *Dictionary of the Historical Foundations of the Reformed Church in Pennsylvania*, Lancaster, Pa., 1907. *Mercersburg Journal*, Jan. 1876.

9. **Plymouth.** This seminary, or, rather, "mission house," is located near Plymouth, Sheboygan Co., Wis., and was founded by the Sheboygan Classis of the Reformed Church in the United States in 1860 to provide ministers for the settlers who emigrated to Wisconsin and the Northwest from Switzerland and Germany, for whom it was impossible to procure ministers either from Europe or from the eastern part of the United States through the board of missions of this church. The first instructors were Rev. H. A. Mankaster and Rev. J. Bouard. The seminary has, from the beginning, been an integral part of the mission house, but its formal organization as a school separate from the preparatory departments, college and academy, did not occur till 1875, when the Synod of the Northwest, to which the school had been transferred by Sheboygan Classis in 1867, passed resolutions to that effect, and founded the various classes of theology. In 1881 the Central Synod was organized in Ohio, and this new German synod, as also, in 1886, the German Synod of the East, received a proportional interest in the institution, so that the mission house is now the property of the three German synods of the Reformed Church in the United States. The seminary has adhered faithfully to the confession of the church, the Heidelberg Catechism; and in the liturgical order of the sabbath and early services it, together with the great majority of the German ministers of the church, occupied a middle ground, including neither to ritualism nor to the so-called new movements. Among the instructors Dr. Bouard (b. 1855) was known and acknowledged in Germany as an authority in philology, especially in Greek and Hebrew grammar. Rev. H. Kurts (d. 1889) was an authority in classic church music, and many of his anthems and other compositions, published by the Central Publishing House, Cleveland, O., are still sung throughout the church; and Dr. H. J. Ruetsnik, still living, ranks high as writer and author. The seminary is under the control of a board of trustees elected by the synods. In 1910 there were three professors and twenty-six students, all of this church and from various states. The endowment, which is slowly increasing, amounts to \$40,000, and annual collections from the congregations affiliated with the school cover the running expenses. The library of the mission house contains 16,000 volumes, of which about half are theological.

FRANK GREENER.

Brainerd. D. W. Yarns, *Catholicism and Missions*, Cleveland, O., 1881; L. Prushkin and H. A. Stein, *Our Missionaries*, St. 1907.

XV. Reformed (Dutch).—1. New Brunswick. This institution, officially designated "The Theological Seminary of the Reformed (Dutch) Church in America at New Brunswick, New Jersey," is located, as its name indicates, in New Brunswick, Middlesex Co., N. J. Its origin was due to the need of the Dutch churches in New York and New Jersey for educated ministers when conditions made it no longer possible to obtain them in Holland. In 1754, the "General Body," afterward the General Synod, appointed as its professor of theology the Rev. John H. Livingston (q.v.), minister of the Protestant Reformed Dutch Church of New York City. This

professorship he held until his death in 1825, and his students attended his lectures in New York for twelve years, when, on account of the expense to students of city life, he removed them to Flatbush, L. I., though the next synod directed their return to New York. After having graduated about thirty students there, the seminary was removed to New Brunswick, N. J., in 1810, where its work was carried on in the buildings of Queen College (since 1828 Rutgers College), an institution founded by the Dutch churches, and at that time under the control of their General Synod. In 1804 a separate and spacious campus was acquired by the school, which is the present home of the institution, and now contains three large halls and six residences. The first professor of languages, Rev. H. Meyer, was appointed by the synod since its 1754, and in 1812 Rev. John M. Van Hartingen became the first professor of ecclesiastical history, while in 1815 pastoral theology was formally provided for, in connection with the historical chair. In 1860 practical theology became a distinct department under Rev. D. D. Demarest, and in 1884 the department of languages was divided. In 1904 a lectureship in Biblical history and theology was established, and instructors in grammar and music are also regularly employed. The seminary has had a large influence in the life of the church to which it belongs, and has sent many strong men into the pulpits, the seminaries, and the missions of other churches. It is the birthplace of the Arabian Mission. Its average number of students is thirty-five, of whom one-third are from the Middle West. It has five professors, a lecturer, and two instructors, an endowment, aside from scholarships, of \$320,000, and a library of 49,000 volumes. There is no corporate body apart from that of the General Synod, which owns the property, chooses the professors, supervises their work through a board of superintendents, and dictates the curriculum. The institution thus stands, in fact and in principle, for the complete control by the Church of the training of the church's ministry. In its actual work it stands for a theology resting on Holy Scripture as a positive and authoritative revelation, which centers around the doctrine of the gracious sovereignty of God, and it aims to produce a scholarly and evangelical ministry of orthodox and aggressively missionary spirit.

J. P. SCHAFF.

Brainerd. *Catholicism and Missions*, New York, 1877; E. T. Corey, *Manual of the Reformed Protestant Faith*, New York, 1906; D. Demarest, *Reformed Church in America*, New York, 1880.

X. Western. This seminary, which is under the control of the Reformed Church in America, is located at Holland, Ottawa Co., Mich., and was formally organized by the General Synod of the church in 1869, after special instruction had already been given in theology for three years in connection with Hope College, and a class of seven was ready to graduate. The necessity for such a school grew largely out of conditions arising from the settlement of a large colony from the Netherlands, whose attachment to the Reformed Church in their native country led to their unwilling with the Reformed Church in America. The desire to extend its own influence in the West, where many of its members

were settling, and the appeal of these fellow Christians resulted in the organization of Hope College in 1860, and of the Western Theological Seminary in 1869. In 1867 the Synod elected the Rev. C. E. Crippel professor of dialectic and polemic theology, and invited other professors in Hope College to assist him in the instruction of the students. The heavy demands made upon the teachers, who were giving instruction in both college and seminary, proved too great a burden, and in 1877 the synod resolved to suspend its operation, at the same time asserting the desirability that it would be resumed as soon as the necessary endowment could be secured. In 1884 the work of endowment had so far advanced that the synod elected the Rev. Nicholas M. Stoffens professor of dialectic and polemic theology, with the Rev. Peter Koenigke as lecturer in Greek and the Rev. Henry E. Dukker (q.v.) as lecturer in church history. Work was resumed the following December, with one student in the middle class and four in the junior class. Other professorships have been established as follows: In 1888 exegetical theology, with the Rev. John W. Bourdake as professor; in 1894 historical theology, with the Rev. Henry E. Dukker as professor; and in 1907 practical theology, with the Rev. James F. Zwerger as professor. In 1895 Mr. Peter Semmlink erected a fine brick building containing lecture-rooms, a chapel, and rooms for a library. The "Chambers Library" had its beginning in a small donation of money and the library of the Rev. Anson DuBois, and has been augmented by the valuable donations of many others, until it has become a good working library. The seminary stands for the great principles, doctrine in the Reformation in the Netherlands, seeking always to follow the leading of God's Spirit and providence in adapting those principles to present conditions. It insists upon an educated ministry and a vigorous missionary effort at home and abroad, and seeks to commend the Gospel as the only adequate basis for the individual, society, and the State in their efforts to reach the best results in life. Its organization is directly subject to the General Synod, which controls its finances and elects its professors and board of superintendents, who make annual reports to the synod. Its present members, including and real estate worth \$50,000, an endowment of \$200,000, and a library of about 10,000 volumes.

J. W. BRANCAZZO.

Brainerd. *Manual of the Reformed Protestant Faith*, New York, 1906; D. Demarest, *Reformed Church in America*, New York, 1880.

XVI. Christian Reformed.—1. Grand Rapids. This seminary is located at Grand Rapids, Mich., and was founded in 1876, its origin lying in the difficulty of obtaining ministers from the Netherlands, especially as the people were poor, and some of the leaders of the churches in the Netherlands did not approve of what was termed the secession of 1857. In 1862 the classis appointed a local minister, D. J. Van der Werp, instructor, and he served without a fixed salary, using his study as a classroom. On his resignation in 1876 the synod elected as professor

Rev. G. E. Boer, who opened the school with seven students, while the course was divided into a literary department of four years and a theological department of two years. In 1884 Rev. A. K. Hanken, and in 1888 Rev. Goerhaas Vos, was elected, and the theological course was extended to three years, while in 1900 the literary course was made four years (an additional year being added in 1906), and was opened to students coming at other vocations than the ministry. Among other instructors of the seminary have been E. Boeker (1864-1900), W. Hoyns (1902-06), F. M. Ten Hoe (since 1900), L. Barkhof (since 1905), and G. D. De Jong (since 1908). All instruction in the institution must be in harmony with Reformed principles, and the various branches of study are considered in the light of Christianity as a life and a worship.

In 1910 the seminary had four instructors, thirty-one students, five outside the Christian Reformed denomination being found either in necessity or in college. The entire institution is controlled by a "curatorium," or board of trustees, twenty-two in number (two from each of the eleven classes), who supervise the whole school and are empowered to declare graduates of the seminary eligible for the ministry. The instructors both in college and in seminary are elected by the synod. The endowment amounts to \$40,000, and additional support is secured by an assessment laid on the congregations by the synod. The library contains 4,000 volumes. **GIAKIM DOORNBOS DE JONG.**

Brainerd. *Manual of the Reformed Protestant Faith*, New York, 1906; D. Demarest, *Reformed Church in America*, New York, 1880.

XVII. Roman Catholic.—1. St. Patrick's. This training-school for the Roman Catholic priesthood is located at Menlo Park, San Mateo Co., Cal., and was established in 1898 through the efforts of the Most Reverend Patrick William Riordan, Archbishop of San Francisco. The institution is conducted under the archbishop, by the Superior Fathers, and is intended solely for boys and young men who desire to devote their lives to the service of God in the Roman Catholic priesthood. It takes the boy from the parochial school and leaves him a priest at the altar. The period of preparation is twelve years: first, a classical course of six years, then two years given to the study of mental philosophy and the natural sciences, and, finally, four years devoted to theology and the other branches which are special in clerical training, such as Sacred Scripture, Hebrew, canon law, church history, homilies, liturgies, apologetics, and sacred music. In the instruction of its founder, Saint Patrick's is to serve as the ecclesiastical training-school for all the Roman Catholic dioceses of the Pacific Coast. It has at present a corps of sixteen professors and a roster of about one hundred students, principally from the states of California, Oregon, and Washington. It has already educated students for the dioceses of San Francisco, Los Angeles, and Santa Monica, Cal.; Portland and Baker City, Ore.; Seattle, Wash.; Titusville, Pa.; Victoria, B. C.; Helena, Mont.; Boise City, Ida., and Santa Fe, New Mex. The present institution represents the third at-

*See the paragraph at the head of this article.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theological Seminaries

tempt to establish a school of this kind in California. The first attempt was made at the old Mission Dolores in San Francisco in 1833, soon after the close of the war with Mexico and the coming of California to the United States; and the second attempt was made in 1882 at the old Mission San Jose, but in both instances events proved that conditions were not yet ripe for such a foundation. The present institution faces brighter prospects, and gives every promise of permanence and success.

H. A. AVERILL.
Bibliography: J. M. Gales, History of the State of California, Chicago, 1904, pp. 1482-1489; San Francisco Mission, "Theological Seminary," Sept. 17, 1884, and "Golden Age," Jan. 25, 1894.

2. St. Thomas of Villanova. This seminary, officially known as "The House of Studies of the Brotherhood of Hermits of the Order of Saint Augustinus for the American Province of St. Thomas of Villanova," was established by brief of Pope Gregory XVI, Dec. 23, 1843, and is located at Villanova, Delaware Co., Pa. The studies are under the direction of a rector, who is subject immediately to the prior-general of the order at Rome. Among the earliest instructors were Fr. William Harbert, Fr. Patrick Stanton, and Fr. Peter Crane. The purpose of the study house is to train members of the brotherhood in Scripture, theology, history, and canon law for parish, mission, and college work, the field mainly of the order's activities in the United States. In 1910 there were four hundred thirty-eight professed clerical students, and seven novice clerical students. FRANKLIN C. SOUTHWORTH.

Bibliography: T. C. Middleton, Historical Sketch of the Jesuits in the United States, St. Louis, 1910.

XVIII. Unitarian.—1. Massachusetts. This school was established in 1841 in Mendon, Crawford Co., Pa., its foundation being Harri Jan Hildesheimer, a native of Holland, and his son Frederic (see Hildesheimer, Frederic), who became its first professor. Dr. Rufus P. Stebbins was its first president, and associated with Dr. Stebbins and Mr. Hildesheimer, a number of its first faculty were Elder David Milard and Dr. George W. Homer. Founded and endowed by Unitarians for the special purpose of providing ministers for the new western Unitarian churches, the school has always received students from all denominations on equal terms, and during its early years had among its trustees, faculty, and students many representatives of the Christian Connection. Dr. Stebbins' success in the presidency have been Dr. Oliver Stearns (1856-63), Dr. Alvin A. Livermore (q.v.; 1863-80), Dr. George T. Cary (q.v.; 1880-1902), and Dr. Franklin C. Southworth (q.v.; since 1902). The number of students at the opening was five, and it increased the second year to twenty-three. In 1871 the comparative study of religion was introduced by Prof. H. H. Barber (q.v.), and this work has since been carried on under the direction of Prof. George E. Freeman and Henry Preserved Smith (q.v.). The Clarke professorship of church history was established in 1890, with Dr. Francis A. Christie (q.v.) as incumbent of the chair, and the Dallas lectureship of practical Christian education was founded in 1892. In 1893 the school became a pioneer in introducing sociology into the

theological curriculum, through the establishment of the Hackley professorship of sociology. This chair is held by Prof. Nicholas P. Gilman, and the school has in recent years sent a number of its graduates into the field of religious philanthropy. The school was also a pioneer among American seminaries in applying, under Dr. Cary, the methods of the higher criticism to the study of the New Testament. In all, the school has sent out 307 graduates, of whom 163 are now in the Unitarian, 16 in the Universalist, 9 in the Episcopal, and 6 in the Christian Connection ministry, and it has also sent 127 students into the ministry after a partial course.

At present the school has seven professors, one professor emeritus, a librarian, and instructors in elocution, music, and physical culture. Its governing body is a self-perpetuating board of thirty trustees, and the alumni association has the privilege of making nominations to fill vacancies in the board. The school is, and has been from the beginning, entirely free from ecclesiastical control, and is provided in the charter that "no doctrinal test shall ever be made a condition of enjoying any of the opportunities of instruction." It assures absolute freedom of inquiry both to teacher and student, and applies the same canons of criticism and interpretation to sacred Scripture as to secular, approaching the problems of theology in the same spirit in which it would approach problems of science. The students number twenty-eight, and represent eight different nationalities and thirteen different states in the Union; and though the majority of them are Unitarians, they come from five different church fellowships. The German Evangelical Protestant churches of the Central West are establishing (1911) a German professorship at the school, for the special training of their own ministers. On the Crull traveling fellowship one graduate may be sent abroad each year for further theological study. The school has an endowment of \$792,800, and a library of 36,000 volumes. FRANKLIN C. SOUTHWORTH.

Bibliography: G. W. Cook, Christianity in America, Boston, 1902, p. 10; and F. T. Miller, How Men Have Lived, Boston, 1904.

2. Pacific. This institution, officially designated "Pacific Unitarian School for the Ministry," is located at Berkeley, Alameda Co., Cal., and was founded in 1904 (chartered 1906) by Mr. and Mrs. Francis Cutting of Oakland, and Mr. and Mrs. Horace Davis of San Francisco. During its first two years it was located at Oakland, and in 1905 it removed to Berkeley in order to take advantage of opportunities for cooperation with the University of California, and with three other divinity schools located there. It was organized by Dr. Earl Morse Wilbur, who is president and professor of practical theology, while the Rev. William Suckess Morgan is professor of systematic theology. The instruction given in the school itself is supplemented by that offered in the Pacific Theological Seminary and other divinity schools at Berkeley, and in the University of California, and its courses are respectively recognized by the other schools, as well as for higher degrees at the university.

Theological Seminaries THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 392

The school is affiliated with the Unitarian denomination, and was originally designed for the training of Unitarian ministers on the Pacific coast; but it receives students of both sexes from all sources without distinction, and it stands free and progressive scholarship of high order, with an especial view to the practical requirements of the modern ministry. It offers a three-year degree course for college graduates, and a four-year certificate course for others, and furnishes excellent opportunities for post-graduate study. The school is governed by a board of fifteen trustees, whose appointment must be approved by the directors of the American Unitarian Association. It had in 1911 three professors and two instructors, and six regular and eleven special students. Its library contains about 7,000 volumes and about 3,000 pamphlets, and is especially rich in the history and literature of the Unitarian movement. It owns property valued at \$50,000, but until its endowment of \$300,000 becomes available, its support is derived chiefly from annuities. EARL MORSE WILBUR.

XIX. Unity of the Brethren—1. Bethlehem. This institution is situated in Bethlehem, Northampton Co., Pa. The founding of a school for training teachers and ministers to serve in the schools and congregations of the Moravian Church in America engaged the attention of a conference held in 1820, and composed mainly of ministers representing the work of the Moravian Church in five states of the Union. Such a project had previously been urged by leaders of the church, particularly by Rev. Jacob Van Dyck, principal of Nazareth Hall, an academy for boys at Nazareth, Pa., and Rev. Christian Lewis Bates, stationed at Salem, N. C., but the plan was not actually realized until Dec. 2, 1837, when the institution was formally opened for the reception of students. The origin of the institution is attributable to the devotion of Moravians to their church, and to their conviction that the Moravian Church, whose activity in America had begun in 1733, had function and opportunity in the United States. The first professors were Ernst Levin Hardison, later prominent in Lutheran theological seminaries, and John Christian Becher, later a bishop of the church. Originally connected with Nazareth Hall academy, the institution bore the character of a normal school, as well as that of a theological seminary; but in 1838 the connection with Nazareth Hall was severed, and thenceforward the institution has enjoyed an independent existence. Gradual development of the two departments made reorganization possible in 1858, under the name of Moravian College and Theological Seminary, the college offering complete classical and, since 1896, Latin-semester courses, and the theological seminary affording a curriculum of studies that does not materially differ from that of other theological schools. The institution was chartered by the legislature of Pennsylvania in 1865, and, after having led a somewhat migratory existence for half a century, was finally located in Bethlehem, Pa., in 1908. The relation between the institution and the Moravian Church in America has always been vital and a warmly aggressive, educational, home-missionary, and foreign-missionary activity. The scheme of the seminary is thoroughly

Biblical, and the Bible is the chief text-book in all departments, while the principles of the fathers of the United States, or the Moravian Church, "in matters of unity, in non-sectarian liberty, in all things charity," has ruled from the beginning. Every professor is pledged to faithfulness to the doctrinal and disciplinary standards of the church. Since 1858, the official title of the head of the institution has been "president," and Rev. L. F. Kampmann, Rev. Lewis R. Hubsteter, Rev. Edmund de Schweinitz (q.v.), and Rev. Augustus Schabus (q.v.) have, in the order named, worn this dignity. The number of students connected with this institution during the first century of its existence (1837-1907) was 200, a large proportion of whom have been ministers and teachers of the church and professors in this and other institutions of learning, while an uncommonly large percentage have been missionaries to the heathen. In 1910 the number of students was fifty-six, five of whom came from northwestern Canada, five from southern states, and the remainder equally from the eastern and western states in which the Moravian Church is represented. With few exceptions the students were members of the Moravian Church, and about sixty per cent were candidates for the ministry. Five professors devote their entire time to teaching each in both the college and seminary department of the institution. The faculty is organized, and together with the board of trustees, composed of sixteen members representing the northern province of the Moravian Church in America and five advisory members representing the Southern Province of the Church, controls the institution. Each body is responsible to the Synod of the Northern Province of the Moravian Church in America and to the governing board which that synod elects. The endowment fund of the institution amounts to \$125,207, and the special endowments, including real estate and buildings, total \$105,791. The library numbers 10,000 volumes and many hundreds of unbound pamphlets. W. N. SCHWARTZ.

Bibliography: W. N. Schwartz, Ministry of the Moravian Order and Theological Seminary, Bethlehem, Pa., 1910.

XX. Universalist.—1. Canton. This institution forms the theological school of St. Lawrence University, which is located at Canton, St. Lawrence Co., N. Y. It was founded in 1856 by an organization called "The Educational Society," appointed by the New York Universalist State Convention, which still acts its trustee. The first president was Rev. Ebenezer Fisher, and among its earliest instructors were Rev. Massena Goodrich, Rev. J. S. Lee, and Rev. Orville Case (q.v.). The students of the seminary have numbered about 300, this figure being due to the establishment of other theological schools in the denomination. Its work has gone on steadily without marked crises. Founded for the purpose of supplying Universalism with an educated ministry, it has from the first devoted special attention to fitting men for intelligent pulpit work and practical pastoral administration. Its professorships have been filled by men representative of progressive tendencies, and it has exerted a distinctly liberalizing effect upon the opinions of its denomination.

In 1910 the seminary had four instructors and fifteen students, all Universalists, and coming from states as remote as Maine and Louisiana. It is governed by a board of nine trustees. Its invested funds and property have increased to about \$300,000, while the separate endowment of the seminary is \$165,000; and it also shares an undivided interest in grounds and buildings with the College of Letters of St. Lawrence University. The library contains 12,000 volumes.

A. Crane. This theological school is a department of Tufts College, which is located at Tufts College, Middlesex Co., Mass. It was recognized as a separate department in 1869, and arose from perception of the fact that a general college training needed to be supplemented by specific professional work for the proper training of ministers. The first foundation was given by Mr. Sylvanus Packard, and the largest gift was made in 1906 by Mr. Albert Crane, of Stamford, Conn., in fulfillment of the expressed intention of his father, Mr. Thomas Crane, of New York. The school is not under denominational control, but is Universalist in sympathy. The first instructor was Rev. Thomas J. Sawyer. The history of the institution has been entirely uneventful in its matters of outside change or controversy are concerned. It has stood steadily for the application of sound scholarship to the materials of religious knowledge, for serviceable and practical preaching, and for a type of religious thinking in close contact with the realities and problems of daily life. It has always held scholarship above convention, truth above tradition, had life above creed, but at the same time it has realized the importance of orderly and well-regulated thought as the basis of right living. It has emphasized the human relations of the minister's work, and has sought to strengthen the hold of its students upon reality by identifying them as closely as possible with the general life of the college, so that the theological students are not a class apart, but are associated with the general student body as an integral part of the college community. The Crane Theological School has shared with the sister school at St. Lawrence University the intellectual leadership of the Universalist denomination, not in rivalry but in generous emulation; and it has done much to prevent the thinking of the denomination from becoming stereotyped and to keep its life thoroughly modern. The special movement of most significance which originated under its influence was that which made Universalism a credible church, by transforming the Winchester Profession and its later alternative, the Boston Declaration, into simple statements of things commonly accepted among Universalists and abrogating all subscription requirements. Its more prominent instructors have been Dr. Thomas J. Sawyer, its first dean; his successor, Dr. Charles H. Loomis; Dr. Hendley G. Mitchell (q.v.), in Old Testament; Dr. William G. Toussy, a teacher of ethics and logic; Dr. George M. Hartson, its professor of New-Testament literature and criticism; and Dr. George T. Knight (q.v.), professor of systematic theology.

The number of professors directly assigned to the school by the catalogue for 1909-10 is five, but

the relations of the school to the college bring the students under instruction of the teaching force of the School of Liberal Arts, twenty-three in number. The school is under the absolute control of the thirty trustees of Tufts College, twenty of whom are self-perpetuating, while ten are elected by the graduates. No other supervision or control exists. The president of the college has the general direction of the school, which is organized with a dean and a department faculty. There were in the school in 1910 fifteen students, all Universalists, the majority from the New England states, and one Englishman. The separate endowment of the seminary, including grounds and buildings, amounts to \$245,000, although its close relations to the college are of great financial advantage to it, and it also uses the college library, which numbers 61,000 volumes and 46,000 pamphlets.

FREDERICK WILLIAM HAMMOND.
S. Ryder. This divinity school constitutes the theological department of Lombard College, Galesburg, Knox Co., Ill., and was opened Sept. 4, 1881, being established to meet the needs of the Universalist denomination in the Middle West. In 1890 its trustees voted to change its name to Ryder, in honor of the late Rev. William Henry Ryder, whose gifts to the institution amounted to more than \$200,000, another generous benefactor being Hon. A. G. Thayer, of California. The school is open to all candidates for the Christian ministry, although its main work has been training men and women for the Universalist denomination. Among its noteworthy instructors have been Melancthon White, E. H. Chapin (q.v.), and Isaac Parker. It has never been a large school, having had but about 150 students throughout its entire history. Of these about forty have graduated with a degree, and about fifty are now engaged in active ministerial work.

XXI. Undenominational.—1. Harvard. This seminary is located in Cambridge, Mass., and was so closely associated with Harvard College that no special year can be named as that of its foundation, since one of the objects of Harvard from the very beginning was the training of men for the ministry. The earliest instruction for theological students apart from the regular college courses, however, was in 1811, and classes were conducted in this way until 1818, when a distinct faculty of theology was established. With this establishment J. T. Kirkland (q.v.), then president of Harvard, had probably none to do than say other men, and the original faculty consisted of Henry Ware, Sr. (q.v.), Sidney Wilson, Levi Fiske, and Andrew Norton (q.v.). The initial constitution of the school, as made in 1818, provided "that every encouragement be given to the serious, impartial, and unbiased investigation of Christian truth; and that no assent to the peculiarities of any denomination be required either of the students, or professors, or instructors." The distinct organization of the school was largely due to the formation in 1816 of the Society for the Promotion of Theological Education in Harvard University, and from 1824 to 1830 the school was under the direct oversight of the directors of this society, though they acted under the corporation of Harvard

THEOLOGICAL SEMINARIES
Theology as a Science THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 304

Others, to which it transferred its property in Dec. 1830. This society, however, which was later incorporated, still exists and holds property in trust for the divinity school. In 1869 the previous requirements for admission to the school were lowered to accommodate the students of the short-lived Boston School for the Ministry, but they were soon raised, and since 1899 no student has been admitted to the school who has not already received a degree in arts or its equivalent. No degree was conferred for graduation in the school until 1870, and then only to those who passed special examinations, but since 1875 there has been no graduation without this degree. Throughout its history the school has stood for the principles already quoted from its constitution; and it has maintained the impartial, critical, and scientific study of theology in its broadest sense. Among its best-known instructors have been the two Henry Wares, Andrews Norton, John O. Falley, George H. Noyes (q.v.), Ezra Abbot (q.v.), Charles Carroll Everett (q.v.), and Joseph Henry Thayer (q.v.). Although formally committed to nonsectarianism, the institution was for many years practically identified with Unitarian Congregationalism, since as a rule its graduates were welcomed by no other denomination; but in 1878, the undominational aspect of the school was emphasized anew, and at the present time its faculty contains three Unitarian and three Unitarian Congregationalists, and one Episcopalian. In 1919 it had a faculty of eleven and a student attendance of fifty-seven, sixteen of whom were graduates. In addition the institution has conducted, since 1899, a brief summer school, attended in 1907 by fifty-nine men and five women. The elective system is carried throughout the course, and the senior students have the right to attend lectures in other departments of Harvard University, and in Andrew Theological Seminary now located at Cambridge and affiliated with Harvard University. The special library of the school contains about 28,000 volumes and 11,000 pamphlets, besides which the general university library is also available.

HERZOG, A. C. *History of Harvard University*. Cambridge, Mass., 1897; *The Harvard Book*, Cambridge, 1871, pp. 187-191, 19, 20; *Book of Praise*, *Prayer Book*, in *Massachusetts*, Washington, 1891, pp. 137-141.

THEOLOGY AS A SCIENCE

- I. History of the Idea. Early Use and Meaning of the Term (I 1). Development of the Term (I 2). II. Scientific Presentation. Relation to Religion (I 3). Christianity: Other Religions, the Hebraic (I 3). Philosophy of Religion, Lutheran (I 3). III. British and American Theology. The Term "Theology" (I 3). Theology in Dogmatics (I 3).

I. History of the Idea: The name and even the notion of theology, in its particular, extends back into the scientific usage of the Greeks. In the Christian Church it appears first not in the New Testament but in the apologetic. Taken over from Greek science it soon was fastened on its own

In Aristotle's "Metaphysics" (VI, 1, 10, cf. XI, vii, 10) are distinguished three branches of theoretical philosophy—mathematical, physical, theological. In Clement of Alexandria the expression "metaphysics" is identified with theology as a science. The doctrine of God as among the questions of fundamental philosophy: "The terms *theologos*, *theologos* (*theologos*) have in Aristotle . . . the fixed meaning . . . of poetical (mythical) narratives of the gods (*Göttergese*), corresponding to the expressive metaphysics, *mythologia* (Natorp, in *Philosophische Monatshefte*, no. xxv, 1888); thus it was a prehistoric stage of reflection concerning things. According to Natorp, the idea was the center of the idea of a science of theology. With the theology of the poets came the philosophical (physical) interpretation as philosophical theology. Neoplatonism (q.v.), of importance for the theology of Christianity, was the first to impress the Platonic and Aristotelian philosophy upon theology. At the outset Neoplatonism developed a view of the world on the foundation of religious notions in philosophical form and with philosophical methods. Before Scholasticism (q.v.), however, there were only side movements called by Neoplatonism. It was common even into the 18th century to designate the ancient poets (*Orpheus, Hesiod, Musaeus, Homer*) as theologians. Albertus Magnus distinguishes between a "worldly" and a "theological" wisdom (*Suppl.*, 2). For Clement of Alexandria the "philosophy which really is philosophy" is identical with "true theology" (*Strom.*, v, 6). Augustine speaks of a "natural theology" (*City of God*, viii, 1, *Eng. transl.*, 1747, ser., p. 146). For Christian reflection, the man of the Bible took the place of the "poet" and assumed the rôle of "theologian." To Philo, Moses was the theologian par excellence. How early and in what respect John became specifically the "theologian" is not certain (cf. G. A. Deussen, *Lehrbuch d. bibl. Arch.*, 202-203, Friburg, 1906). The ancient Christian allegorical exegesis of the Bible effected by Philo belongs with the ancient (Stoic) myths which the theologians of an earlier time used. The theology of the philosophers became the foundation of the apologetic. Whether the apologetic or the Gnostics are to be regarded as the creators of a peculiar Christian theology may be left undecided.

Harnack with justice repeatedly indicates (cf. *Doctrines of the Fathers*, 122 seq., Friburg, 1908; *Eng. transl.* of earlier ed., vol. 1, Boston, 1893) that the establishing of a specific religious doctrine is a singular and at bottom a surprising act of the Christian community. This is ultimately coincident with the influence of Paul. For Paul it was this apostle who necessarily had to create a Christianity. By an inner necessity piety was impelled to fashion a view of the world which corresponded to faith. Here the

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theological Seminars Theology as a Science

facts, especially of the life of Jesus, could not be drawn out in mere allegories, for this depended too much on the actuality of the same as events. Christianity and eschatology took course in the life of Jesus. But how Jesus as the Christ was essentially to be considered was a problem in which allegory had no place. The solution was found in the use of a theologizing on the person of the Lord which never relinquished itself to mere "philosophy." In Justin's consideration of the predicate *theos* as belonging to Jesus as Messiah (*Dialogue*, 6, 9) is the foundation for that religious doctrine which became the religious center of Christian dogmatics, completing itself in the doctrine of the Trinity which in the early Church received the title of *theologia* in the restricted sense. With this came as a second foundation of Christian doctrine the incarnation of the *Logos* for the redemption of man. In the early Church, however, the term "theology" was not used as its present custom to designate all Christian doctrine. In the Middle Ages *theologia* was the name for Christian doctrine as a whole; *theologia* was and remained the term for the doctrine of God in the narrower sense. Gradually the title "theology" came to include the complex of the church disciplines which are in any way concerned with God. The information brought no discussion concerning the scientific idea or scope of theology (see *Encyclopaedia*, *THEOLOGICA*, I 4). Granted that the doctrine was drawn from legitimate sources and rightly defined, it remained only to ask what doctrine meant and did not mean for the faith, but this was not condensed into a theology. At the time of the consolidation of Evangelical doctrine into a new orthodoxy, in another tendency was essentially reproduced what the Middle Ages had already worked out in the universities (cf. E. Troeltsch, *Verneinung und Offenbarung bei Johann Gerhard und Melanchthon*, Göttingen, 1911; E. Weber, *Die philosophische Scholastik des deutschen Protestantismus im Zeitalter der Orthodoxie*, Leipzig, 1907; O. Ritschl, *Dogmengeschichte des Protestantismus*, vol. 1, Leipzig, 1908). What was new as introduced by the period of the Enlightenment (q.v.) was at first negative and fruitful when rationalism and with it the mere recourse to the reason and natural religion rivaled.

The significance of Schleiermacher (q.v.) for theology consists in this, that relying on philosophy which had outgrown dogmatics, after long critical disintegration of the idea of the Bible, Schleiermacher prepared as for the remainder De Wette, of dogmatics in dogma, and for faith and Strauss in certain universal religious ideas, anchored in the reason, hence, in such a way, however, that he established for faith a separate spiritual function—a new basis for theology—from which the character of all modern theology is determined. Theology originates in a vision of God and of faith; and theology ceased to believe in dogmatics. For his method of organizing theology with reference to "Encyclopaedia," see *Encyclopaedia*, *THEOLOGICA*, I 4 (cf. Schleiermacher's *Kurze Darstellung des theologischen Systems*, Berlin, 1811). For Schleiermacher theology

has always a "given" object, wherein he agrees with the orthodox conception: "There lie in the background conceptions as to faith and dogma which require examination before his theology can be accepted. Of real importance for this is the final nature of the introduction to *Der Christliche Glaube* with the heading 'Of the Relation of Dogmatics to Christian Piety,' especially § 15. In § 17 Schleiermacher expressly discusses the worth of the dogmatic propositions and affirms that these are of a double nature—cosmological and scientific, but points out only the scientific. As the foundation of theology he laid down a discipline which he named 'philosophical theology.' This can take its point of departure with reference to Christianity in the general notion of the pious community. With this proposition Schleiermacher enters theology and general science. W. M. L. de Wette's *Ueber Religion und Theologie* (Berlin, 1812) presents thoughts which are really not far from those of Schleiermacher, yet they have a peculiarity which is not without subsequent influence. Schleiermacher points back to Kant, Spinoza, and Goethe, De Wette to Pico and Herder; yet both are independent theologians. The view of De Wette (q.v.) concerning the nature of theology as science—the understanding which produces science; the ideal-ethic which presents itself as faith and as feeling. Religion is faith and likewise feeling. Religion is an inner life which has been historically formed for us through Christ in a long process of spiritual church dogmas. It rests on revelation, which theology conceives in ideas and symbols. De Wette reflected on a philosophical theology which was to be nothing other than a description of human nature or anthropology. D. J. Strauss (q.v.) as scholar of Hegel formed a type of theology. In his closing discussion of his *Life of Jesus*, and especially in his introduction to his *Dogmatics* (1840), he allies to theology no other task than its transformation into a philosophy of religion. Hiedemann with affecting love for Christianity as such has more completely than Strauss sought to realize his program for dogmatics. Rosenly, F. R. Lipsius (*Uebung der theologischen Wissenschaft*, Leipzig, 1904), with other means than Strauss, proceeded as aim for theology that it transform itself into (cosmological) philosophy.

II. Scientific Presentation: Every theory of theology is accompanied by presuppositions. To Gottschalk, ecclesiastical Christianity appears as a simple reality, and he undertakes to prove that this is both an understood and an understood. Relation rivet fact of consciousness which must be Religion, be scientifically isolated; hence the *prolegomena*. But as yet a scientific understanding of the nature of Christianity has not been attained, and this is best by greater difficulties than were formerly conceived (cf. Kattenbusch, *Die Lage der gegenwärtigen Theologie in der Dogmatik*, in *ZfK*, 1908, pp. 103-146; ibem, in *Christliche Welt*, no. 22, 1907). The most significant fact in the recent history of theology must be seen in the widening of the perspective for the historical considerations

tion of Christendom. Few will object if theology be defined as "science of Christianity." But it is perhaps more difficult than ever to answer the question. What is the essence of Christianity as religion? All theologians will so far agree in the designation of Christianity simply as religion. But the question immediately arises what religion is and what notes in particular characterize the Christian religion, both as piety and as content of the Church; it is debated in what degree the "experiences" of the individuals or of the community come into consideration; whether the foundation of religion is reached by the simple observation of the "being" of religion or at the same time—if not instead of this—of the "obligation" in it, whether a determination of what is empirical in piety or of the self-judgment of the same according to a norm must take the lead. One may perhaps say that all are to be combined. With Schleiermacher (contrast with De Wette) it was always a common conviction that religion and theology were to be held apart. This has led to regarding theology as a specific discipline—only a branch of general science, hence as not belonging to the church (cf. G. Krüger, *Die wissenschaftliche Theologie in Christliche Welt*, no. 34, 1909; F. Traub, *Kirchen und weltliche Theologie*, in *ZfK*, vol. xiii, pp. 39 sqq.; J. F. Gotthardt, *Die Entstehung der Lehrgänge der Theologischen Fakultäten*, in the same, pp. 17 sqq.). It is admitted that theology as a university study should serve the Church, hence one will deny a pedagogical place to theologians in its instruction. One must, however, make it clear to himself that the expression "church" has two strata—the legal, the religious community, and the religious, not only believing individuals, but a "society of faith and of the Holy Spirit." The task of theology concerns the latter.

To many the religious historical method appears to involve the treatment of Christianity by theology on the same lines as all religions. As a religion Christianity does indeed belong with

1. Christ—the other religions in some one sense
2. Christ—under a common thought, and it has
3. Other—been realized in the hearts of men in
4. Religions, the wholly distinct historical connections.

Individual. But it is a pre-judgment that it is therefore to be treated as all religions are.

If one will be taught by Christianity how it regards and judges itself, he can not help admitting that it knows itself as over against the other religions and ascribes to itself a supernatural basis. Science can neither simply accept nor simply ignore the self-judgment of Christianity; it tests the matter even if it ends with a *non esse*. In this way it may perhaps be convinced that Christianity and the other religions have at bottom even common experiences and perceptions. The Church will not deny the reference to Rom. 1: 19-20 yet this does not prevent the Christian religion from "perhaps" standing by itself according to its essence and truth (cf. S. Dunkmann, *NZ*, xix, 233 sqq., 1908; H. Müller, in *ZfK*, xvii, 225 sqq., 1908). A peculiar turn of the discussion concerning the character of theology in relation to religion has lately been occasioned by V. Herrmann (cf. *Kahle der Gegenwart*, Teil I, Abs. 4, *Die Christliche Religion*, 2d half, 39-

396) *emanuelle christliche Theologie*, Leipzig, 1906. Pious men would agree only concerning what religion or faith is and by what means it is established. For every man the inner meeting with the Christ of the New Testament is the moment when he learns to know the ethical power which can indeed bring him to full subordination in perfect freedom and so to faith in God. Faith need not renounce living in thought every thing which it experiences, but so one should declare the result as normative; since every one experiences the same thing differently.

Another series of questions emerges in a comparison of theology with the philosophy of religion. In whatever sense one sets up a formula for the essence of religion or of Christianity, he touches

1. Philoso—the problems of epistemology, psychology of religion, metaphysics, ethics, Natural
2. Religio—ism and idealism, monism and dualism,
3. Apologetica—pantheism and personal theism are associated with theology and philosophy.

The notions of the soul, freedom, and immortality change between theology and philosophy. While theology itself, in the questions concerning methods and legitimate theological judgments all kinds of points of view meet. The complexity of the present situation is evinced by the premature renunciation of the apologetic problem—now indeed the ruling one. The so-called modern "positive" theology is predominantly apologetic (cf. K. Beth, *Die Mission und die Prinzipien der Theologie*, Berlin, 1901; G. Vietter, *Die Theologie des Christentums zu Kreuze!* Tübingen, 1902; E. Otto, *Naturalistische und religiöse Weltanschauung*, B. 1004; A. Trilling, *Religion und Zurechnungswelt*, B. 1004; A. W. Huninger, *Zur apologetischen Aufgabe der evangelischen Kirche in der Gegenwart*, Leipzig, 1907; *Probleme und Aufgaben der gegenwärtigen evangelischen Theologie*, B. 1008). The discussion of the essence of religious faith and the ethical consciousness, especially those whose which are Christian with opposing antitheses, will be carried on under favorable auspices only when the thesis at least are plainly formulated. That this is so now the case on will amply demonstrate.

When theology seeks to be fundamental, it moves under much uncertainty in three directions

- (1) Schleiermacher's psychological conception of religion as a purely natural datum in the human spirit is still influential. This feeling of "absolute dependence" is a perceptible element
- (2) of the soul, but it is no more than just
- (3) Advance. This, the religious feeling can be combined with other feelings and elements of the soul; in itself it can be only "clearer," not other in content, than it is in so far as it points to a source. It is involved in the notion of absolute dependence that it works out in the consciousness of man together with the all—as a piece of it, not as much "in tow" as "being towed." Religion is the profoundest, though it is a mere, aspect of being-consciousness. Thus it is fundamentally rational. Theology will be formed in the concrete community partly positively, partly philosophically. Naturally the psychology of religion can be scientifically developed in a far more concrete manner

than by Schleiermacher, and religious historical investigations will be of great service especially if coupled with religious psychopathology (for the relation of the confessional school of theology to Schleiermacher, cf. F. Kattenbusch, *Von Schleiermacher zu Ritschl*, 2d ed., Gießen, 1903). In the Erlangen school, the feeling of absolute dependence has been rejuvenated by the thought of "experiences" of regeneration. "Faith" as a special "organ" of the spirit remains of De Wette. Finally, endeavors to press theology on the path of psychology can appeal to Schleiermacher (cf. W. Janssen, *Verlauf der Religionen*, New York, 1902; J. O. Scheel, *Die Moderne Religionspsychologie*, in *ZfK*, xvii, 1 sqq., 1908; E. W. Mayer, *Lehr Religionspsychologie*, in the same, pp. 252 sqq.).

(2) Over against the mere psychological conception of religion, A. Ritschl's idea, however, stands itself not in one's own "feeling" or even "experience," but in a closed revelation, i. e., the objective content of the Gospel or the person of Jesus. With the making of the personal quality of God as strong as possible, there is affirmed a contact of the human spirit with a supernatural reality susceptible of personal experiences but never universally demonstrable. Because naturalism has been avoided, the reproach of illusoryness has had to be met. The absoluteness of Christianity has gained a sharpness which is often conceived as a return of the old "dogmatism."

The followers of Ritschl have tried to guard against misunderstanding of his thought (cf. W. Herrmann, *Die Religion im Verhältnis zum Weltbewusstsein und zur Sittlichkeit*, Halle, 1879; *Ideen, Die Glaube an Gott und die Herrschaft unserer Zeit*, in *ZfK*, 1905, no. 1 sqq.); K. Kuhn, *Glaube und Gewissheit*, Leipzig, 1900; J. Käpfen, *Die Einheit des Erlebens*, Tübingen, 1900). For Troeltsch it is a possibility of historical science to regard even religion as a steadily developing entity. Even Christianity will not be the final form of religion, but only a contribution to its history. The aspects of Christianity are more or less different aspects of it which have to be considered when one discusses its nature (cf. *Die Entstehung des Christentums und die Religionsgeschichte*, Tübingen, 1902; *Ideen, Psychologie und Erkenntnistheorie in der Religionswissenschaft*, 1903). All religions have an absolutely fixed point in mystical "experiences," but Troeltsch assigns no formula for these as did Schleiermacher; to the pious it is a vital certainty of experience, but for others it is in itself irrational and debatable. God's influence on the spirit is always combined with the entire content of the spirit in contemporary culture. Christian theology has three essential tasks: (1) the purely historical psychological reception of Christianity in its inner history and development and of universal religious history; (2) the treatment of its experiences and notions in connection with all phenomena of the spiritual life, especially with the highest ideas and convictions to be reached in philosophy, thereby confirming its right; (3) the thorough blending of its world-view with that of modern science. Troeltsch's judgment concerning the Gos-

pel is not supported by an investigation which is due from a theologian. The next task of theology lies in a comprehensive consideration of the Gospel which naturally shall not be partial.

(3) **TRATTENBERG.**

III. British and American Theology. In Great Britain and America until a recent period Protestant theology followed the Reformation program both in its point of view and in its order of steps.

The three doctrinal divisions have

1. Three been the Calvinist, the Arminian, and
2. Division, the Socinian. To whatever school of
3. philosophy theologians belonged, they never doubted that metaphysics was a valid handmaid of theology. For the Calvinists and Arminians the Scriptures were the supreme authoritative source of doctrine and their principles of interpretation were in agreement. A secondary authority, often scarcely less than the first, was attributed to creeds and great names. Among the major Socinians the Scriptures were accepted as authoritative, the difference between them and the Calvinists and Arminians being in the method of interpretation; gradually, however, the Trinitarian and other traditional views came to be regarded as extra-biblical and greater reliance was placed on the reason as an independent source of religious truth. During the eighteenth century the previous distinction between Socinian and Arminian was obscured and Socinian notions appeared under the general name of "Arminian." During the last century these were again differentiated, the Socinians being gradually identified with the Unitarians and Universalists (q. v.), the Arminians swinging back into the Evangelical ranks under the lead especially of the Methodist church, and becoming a powerful lever even in the Calvinist hold (see *Americanism and Arminianism*; New Eastern Theology).

Two general characteristics of English and American theology are to be noted: first, lack of thoroughgoing systematizing or strict unfolding of doctrine on an ideal principle. This is due in part to a practical interest; the Anglo-Saxons mind care less for absolute theoretic consistency than for the pragmatic value of ideas. Secondly, during the last three-quarters of a century perhaps the most quivering and influential contributions to theology have been not the systematic presentations of theologians but suggestions lodged in sermons or embodied in discussion of particular subjects (cf. works by F. W. Robertson, Home, Bushnell, Henry Drummond, John Fluke, and Joseph LeCombe).

The past century, like other ages of the Church, has been a transitional one. Many endeavors have been made to unite the old and the new in varying proportions in one presentation. Some theologians have indeed continued steadfastly in science in the traditional paths, making the least *Disruptiva* possible concessions to modern thought and with only a potent interest in its conclusions (C. Hodge, *Systematic Theology*, Philadelphia, 1865; W. G. T. Shedd, *Dogmatic Theology*, New York, 1888). Others, although yielding a modified assent to evolution, to the sufficiency but not the inerrant infallibility of the Scriptures, and to some form of divine immensity, still represent

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 398

essentially the traditional positions (cf. J. Orr, The Christian View of God and the World, New York, 1893; H. C. Sheldon, System of Christian Doctrine, 16th ed., 1903; J. A. Beet, A Manual of Theology, London, 1908; M. S. Terry, Biblical Doctrines, New York, 1907; A. H. Strong, Systematic Theology, Philadelphia, 1907-08). On the other hand, several tendencies have appeared which propose modifications in the traditional modes of conceiving the realities of the Christian faith. (1) A Christocentric basis for theology has been advocated from two points of view, either constituting Christ as the heart and controlling principle of interpretation (advocated but not carried out by H. B. Smith, System of Christian Doctrine, New York, 1890, and by L. F. Stearns, Present Day Theology, St. Louis, 1905), or regarding the consciousness of Christ as the norm of theological construction (A. M. Fairbairn, The Place of Christ in Modern Theology, New York, 1883; W. N. Clarke, An Outline of Christian Theology, 18th ed., 1908; The Use of the Scriptures as Theology, 18th ed., 1906). (2) A reconstruction of theology has been indicated which rises out of the Hellenistic background and has for its immediate aim a fresh evaluation of faith, especially as affected from the historical and social side (H. G. King, Reconstruction in Theology, New York, 1901; Ideas, Theology and the Social Conscience, 1902). (3) Among the attempts to relate theology to vital religious experience as interpreted through its history both in the Scriptures and the Church may be mentioned G. B. Stevens, The Christian Doctrine of Salvation (New York, 1903); G. F. Curtis, The Christian Faith, Personally Given as a System of Doctrine (Boston, 1905); A. A. Beckwith, Outline of Christian Theology (Boston, 1906); W. A. Brown, Christian Theology in Outline (New York, 1906). This method finds its experience its immediate source of theology and in history the form which that experience has taken in its rational development, and accordingly devotes particular attention to these two aspects of life. (4) In the doctrine of the incarnation of God has been the basis for serious discussion in theology, as, e.g., by R. J. Campbell, The New Theology (London, 1907), and by Sir Oliver Lodge, The Substance of Faith Allied with Science (St. Louis, 1907). The point of view is that of an essentially positivistic method, characterized by two significant bearings—a tendency to eliminate the fact of God, and a firm emphasis on the social aspect of Christianity. Endeavors to adjust the claims of religion to the ethical demands of consciousness have been made by J. Caird, An Introduction to the Philosophy of Religion (London, 1880), by J. Royce, The World and the Individual (vol. 1, Boston, 1901), and by B. P. Bowen, Theism (ib. 1902), and The Immensity of Faith (London, 1905; cf. Chap. IV). (5) Evolution has been accepted by most recent theologians as on the whole the method of God in his cosmic action. Some have so described the redemptive purpose as to relate this from the uniform activity of God in the creation (cf. H. Hodge, et al.); others, as W. N. Clarke and A. H. Strong, have admitted evolution but with reservations; while others have adopted this as the constant mode of God's working, not only in creation and provi-

dence, but also in redemption, and have made it the key to their entire presentation (L. Abbott, The Theology of an Evolutionist, Boston, 1887; E. Griffith Jones, Assent through Christ, London, 1901). (6) Psychology occupies a far more definite and influential place in theology than at any previous period. While Augustine and Edwards had unexplored insight into the nature and workings of the religious consciousness and expressed themselves with a subtlety and force never surpassed, yet as theology has branched itself with the human side of divine grace, it has been compelled to make a greater use of psychology in its discussions of man and sin, of the person and work of Christ, of conversion and sanctification, of future punishment, and not least of all in its determination of the character of God (for a single aspect of this subject, see Covarrados, supplement; and in addition to the works there indicated, cf. G. B. Cutten, The Psychological Phenomena of Christianity, New York, 1905). (7) The so-called "positive theology" has for its key-note the "primacy of the given." There is an objective content of revelation. Christ was in relation to God what he himself and his first disciples thought him to be. In him, in his cross God redeemed the world. This action was not merely a saving influence but a saving deed; it changed God's relation to men objectively and once for all. This fact is creative of Christian experience. It is not, however, mediated through the supernatural offers a firm basis for the existence of the supernatural in the New Testament times and in the New Testament itself. With reference to this objective gospel faith is not something which the Christian shares with Christ in imitation of him, but is directed to him as the one in whom the objective revelation centered and was declared (cf. D. S. Caird, Christianity in the Modern World, New York, 1906; P. T. Forczyk, Positive Preaching and the Modern Mind, St. Louis, 1907; R. Soborg, The Fundamental Truths of the Christian Religion, London, 1908). (8) The "critical" theology seeks the revelation of God in the orderly processes of the natural world and in the rational consciousness. The supernatural is the natural negated from its divine creative ground; the natural is the regular method of God's activity. Hence no conflict arises between the scientific and the religious view of the world. The traditional apologetic in defense of miracles is thus unnecessary; the true apologetic is the actual adequateness of Christianity to the social needs of men. Redemption emptied of its miraculous content is ethical emancipation. The power of the cross lies in its capacity to quicken in the souls of men a spirit of sacrifice and service like that of Jesus (cf. G. B. Foster, The Finality of the Christian Religion, Chicago, 1905). In the foregoing description no attempt is made at an exhaustive account of any one of the various treatises referred to. In all of them the lines of tendency cross and recross and each shares to some degree in all the features of the modern spirit. The purpose is to indicate only the dominant notes in the respective presentations. The aim of theology

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theology as a Science 399

today, whether nominally or not, is as it has always been, to relate its findings to the actual as well as the ideal Christian life. In this endeavor it is powerfully aided by many interests which have not been available at any previous time.

C. A. BECKWITH.
BIBLIOGRAPHY: The subject is discussed in the works named in the preceding section on systematic theology (see under DOCTRINE, below) and in the works mentioned under DOCTRINE in the notes. Consult further: A. B. Beckmann, 'Christliche Dogmatik,' 2d ed., 2 vols., Berlin, 1882-83; A. Binz, Theologie und Philosophie, 2d ed., Göttingen, 1887; C. A. Beckwith, Die geschichtliche und die philosophische Methoden in der Theologie, Erlangen, 1897; L. Baur, Theologie und Philosophie, Freiburg, 1901; G. Wobbenin, in: Theologie und Philosophie, 1900, 273-81; and O. Lohm, in the same, at 1902, 202-203; J. Kuhn, Die Methode der Theologie, 1904; J. Kuhn, Theologie und Philosophie, Berlin, 1907; H. Bode, Die Bedeutung der methodischen Probleme der Theologie, Tübingen, 1908; A. Eckert, Einführung in die Philosophie und Methoden der systematischen Theologie, Leipzig, 1905; E. Heidegger, Probleme und Aufgaben der systematischen Theologie, Leipzig, 1906; A. Müller, Theologie in Methode und Wissenschaft, 2de. (Unterschiede der Theologie der Anschauung, Philosophische vom Grundwissen der Theologie), Tübingen, 1910; F. Meier, Die System der Dogmen und seine Philosophische und Wissenschaftliche Grundlagen, Marburg, 1910; K. G. Müller, 1911.

THEOLOGY, MONUMENTAL. See MONUMENTAL THEOLOGY.

THEOLOGY, MORAL, ROMAN CATHOLIC VIEW OF. See MORAL THEOLOGY.

History till Thirteenth Century (1-6). Till the Reformation (1-6). The Modern Period (1-6).

Divine revelation has at all times contained in addition to truths to be believed and accepted as coming from God, certain precepts to be put in action. The first divine command, emphasizing the natural law and supplementing it in view of the higher condition to which man has been raised and of the means provided for the attainment of his ultimate supernatural end, constituted, when arranged in logical and systematic order, the science of Christian ethics (see ETHICS; MONASTERY, BUREAU; MONASTERY, MORAL LAW), or, as it is commonly called in the schools, moral theology. It includes in principle, besides the precepts of the natural and divine law, the ordinances emanating from ecclesiastical and civil authority, and covers the entire field of moral and religious duty. In a broad sense it is sometimes made to include what is known as ascetic and mystic theology, both, strictly speaking, it has for its object the laws of right and wrong that should govern the Christian life, while ascetic and mystic theology deal with the laws of Christian perfection and with the higher processes of the spiritual union of the soul with God. The importance attached to this branch of ecclesiastical science is

Roman Catholic theological schools is based on the conviction that nothing is so practically essential to the Christian as a right knowledge of his duty toward God and his fellow men, on the proper discharge of which depends his eternal salvation.

Moral theology is generally divided into two parts. In the first are treated the general or fundamental questions pertaining to man's ultimate end, the true nature and norm of right and wrong, the morality of human acts, vision, law and authority, conscience, and the like. In the second, which is called special, various categories of human acts are discussed, viz., the different Christian virtues (theological and moral, with the precepts of the Decalogue, q.v.), the obligations pertaining to particular occupations and states of life, and likewise the sacraments, since they are the recognized sources of the grace necessary for the proper (i.e., supernatural) fulfillment of all Christian duties.

The sources of moral theology are in the main the same as those of Roman Catholic theology in general, viz., Holy Writ, ecclesiastical tradition, and authority, and reason. Scriptures being the chief depository of divine revelation is naturally the most important source of moral science, for "all Scripture, inspired of God, is profitable to teach, to reprove, to correct, to instruct in justice, that the man of God may be perfect, furnished to every good work" (II Tim. iii, 16, 17). The Scriptures indeed abound in moral instruction in the form of both precept and example. It goes without saying that in making use of the Old Testament for the purposes of moral as well as dogmatic theology, account must be taken of the constantly progressive character of divine revelation, and, consequently, isolated texts and precepts, to be of real value, must be considered in the light of this doctrinal and ethical evolution. Moreover, the canonical and judicial precepts of the Jewish law, being of a temporary nature, are considered as abrogated under the new dispensation, and, while the moral precepts and the concrete examples of virtue retain a true value they must nevertheless be used with discretion and with due regard for the higher ideals of Christian ethics. Even as regards the New Testament, a certain doctrinal and ethical progression must be admitted, though naturally in a far less degree; and, finally, the principle of progressive development, under the guidance of the Holy Ghost abiding in the Church, is recognized during the ages that have elapsed since the close, with the death of the apostles and inspired writers of what may be termed the final era of authentic or official revelation. It should be noted also in connection with the ethical significance of the New Testament, that it contains, besides formal precepts which oblige under pain of sin, counsels of perfection (e.g., Matt. xix, 16-17) and, although these are sometimes set forth in mandatory terms, they should not be confounded with the former; hence in moral theology the distinction between canonical precept and counsel. The final determination of what belongs to each of these lines with the authority of the Church, and the general consensus of tradition and of the theologians, is

The manner is also determined which of the New-Testament precepts have a universal and permanent binding force, and which are only of a temporary or local character (cf. Acts xv, 28-29). Here, as in matters of faith, the Scriptural data are interpreted officially, when necessary, by the teaching Church, aided by the testimony of tradition and by the expert opinions of recognized theologians. Thus papal and conciliar decrees, condemned propositions, and similar authoritative pronouncements become sources of moral theology. Chief among the Roman Catholic congregations which, with the approval of the pope, render decisions bearing on the subject-matter of moral science, are the Congregation of the Council, the Congregation of the Inquisition, and the Sacerdotale Pontificio. The first is empowered to interpret officially the decrees of the Council of Trent (q.v.) in disciplinary matters. Its decisions relative to the meaning of these decrees are binding and apply to all cases which they cover, but the application of a decree to a particular case does not necessarily oblige in all similar contingencies. The Congregation of the Inquisition has jurisdiction in matters of heresy and schism, apostasy, abuse of the sacraments, and the like, and it has issued many decrees bearing on the moral as well as the dogmatic aspects of these questions. The doctrinal authority of this congregation is very great, but its decisions are not considered inflexible unless so intoned by the pope as to make them his own in a special bull or brief. The Sacerdotale Pontificio does not deal with speculative moral questions or controversies. Its function is to settle practical and concrete cases of conscience, and its decisions, while useful, do not have the authority of a law binding force. The place occupied by the writings of the Church Fathers and theologians as sources of moral theology is much the same as in doctrinal matters. Their contents as witnesses of a constant tradition is more important than as expressions of their own views, and their testimony in all cases, subject to the authoritative rulings of the official Church. Finally, since God is the author of human reason as well as of revelation, and since even the revealed precepts should be rationally understood, moral theology makes extensive use of the ethical principles of natural law by way of comparison, illustration, and proof. Indeed, those principles can never be in real opposition to the revealed precepts of the divine will, though they are supplemented and elevated by them. Like with the statements of civil authority are utilized as remote and secondary sources of moral science. While the value of human reason is duly recognized by theologians and the teaching Church in questions of moral science, its independence in the rationalist sense is consistently denied; it remains amenable to the higher light of divine revelation properly understood or interpreted by church authority. Besides the great utility of rational ethics in the study of moral theology, other branches of science have an important though less direct bearing on its various problems. Among these may be mentioned psychology both speculative and experimental, sociology, political economy, civil jurisprudence, and history.

In outlining the history of moral theology in the Christian Church it is customary to distinguish between the period of the Fathers and that of the theologians. The first extends from the earliest moral treatises down to the time of the 4th century. The second, which is the longest, is called the history of the Fathers. The 13th century marks the beginning of the medieval period, and the 17th century the beginning of the modern period. The 19th century belongs rather to the history of the positive sciences of moral theology, for the Fathers made no attempt to expound either doctrine or morals in a systematic or scientific manner, and further reference to it may be omitted here (cf. A. Tancqueray, *Systeme theologique moral*, vol. ii, pp. xxx-xi, New York, 1906). The period of moral theology properly so called begins with the early schoolmen in the twelfth century. Their work was preparatory to the great development of scholastic science in the century following—called the golden age of scholasticism. Suffice it to mention the monastic school of Beaumont (see Beaumont, *Annuaire*), founded by Ludovicus (q.v.) and made illustrious by Anselm (q.v.), who was one of the first to introduce the scientific method; the school of Albertus (q.v.), who, in his *Parvulus de theologica arte* set forth a summary of theology in general, and in his *Summa theologiae* traces a compendium of ethics from the standpoint of human reason; the school of St. Victor in Paris, which through more mystical than didactic, contributed not a little to the progress of moral science. Foremost among the writers of this school is Hugo of St. Victor (q.v.), who in his treatise *De sacramentis* embodies a brief discussion of nearly all topics pertaining to moral theology. The next famous doctor, however, of this period was Peter Lombard (q.v.), professor of theology and later bishop of Paris. In his *Quarta libri sententiarum* he discusses in scholastic form the entire cycle of moral as well as dogmatic theology derived from the scriptures and the writings of the Fathers. In the thirteenth century the monastic schools were superseded by the great universities, and a powerful impetus was given to the study of theology which in its comprehensive treatment absorbed nearly all the other branches of knowledge. Its practical or moral aspect was not yet so sharply differentiated from the speculative as in later times, and thus the great dogmatic theologians of the epoch were also the great masters of moral science. This period was marked by the rise of the great rival theological schools of the Dominicans (see Doumenc, RAYON and the Franciscans (see FRANCIS, RAYON, or ASSISI). Among the Dominicans theologians two deserve special mention: Albertus Magnus (q.v.) and Thomas Aquinas (q.v.). The former, who was professor successively in Paris and Cologne besides discussing many of the fundamental questions pertaining to moral theology in his *Summa theologiae*, has much bearing on the same subject in his *Summa contra gentes*. Thomas Aquinas, who taught philosophy and theology in Paris and in some of the Italian universities, is considered the greatest of all the medieval theologians. He was the first to apply successfully the Aristotelian philosophy to the systematic elucidation of revealed truths, and

his high logical order and clearness of exposition have never been equalled by any of the scholastics. His greatest work is the *Summa theologica*, which has remained a classical standard in Roman Catholic theological schools down to the present time. The second part of the *Summa* is devoted to moral theology in its highest and broadest as well as its most practical aspects. In treating of the virtues he does not confine the discussion merely to what constitutes right or wrong (cf. below), but deals equally with the higher ideals of Christian perfection, thus containing moral and ascetic theology. Among the illustrious masters of the Franciscan school may be mentioned, besides Alexander of Hales (q.v.), who joined the order when already advanced in years, the mystic St. Bonaventura (q.v.) and Johannes Duns Scotus (see DUNS SCOTUS), whose moral as well as doctrinal principles, speculatively considered, are often divergent from those of Aquinas, whence many animated and subtle controversies between the representatives of the Dominican and Franciscan schools. The secular clergy of this epoch is well represented by writers such as William of Paris (d. 1249), who composed diverse treatises on moral subjects, e.g., *Summa virtutum et vitiorum*, *De fide et operibus*, *De remedio instantium*, *De divina anima*, *De penitentia*, and others. During the ensuing period covering the fourteenth and fifteenth centuries scholasticism suffered a marked decadence due to various causes, chief among which may be reckoned the rivalry and disputes concerning nominalism and realism, and the great schism with its demoralizing influence. The broad synthetic treatment of theological questions was abandoned and scholastic discussion became overcharged with its own subtleties and hair-splitting distinctions. Moral theology shared in the general decadence and its works of importance were produced during these two centuries. Roman Catholic theologians were again aroused to activity by the strife of the Humanists and still more by the aggressive doctrinal controversies incidental to the Protestant Reformation. Moral science also received a fresh impetus. The 16th century witnessed a revival of moral science, and the seventeenth century, among the distinguished writers on this and other subjects during this period may be mentioned Cardinal Thomas Cajetan (q.v.), Fr. de Victoria (d. 1546), Bartholomaeus Mezzana (d. 1551), Domingo de Soto (q.v.), Petrus de Soto (q.v.), Joannes a S. Thoma (d. 1584), Fr. B. Guarré (d. 1581), and Joannes Martinus Prado (d. 1668). All Dominicans. The Franciscan school was represented by Antonius Gualterius (d. 1578), Em. Rodriguez (d. 1613), Martinus de S. Joseph (d. 1649), J. M. de Castellano (d. 1653), and Petrus Marchant (d. 1661). The order of the Jesuits produced many illustrious theologians and moralists, among whom may be mentioned Petrus Canisius (q.v.), Francis Tolet (q.v.), Fr. B. (d. 1586), Luis Molina (q.v.), Gregory of Valentia (d. 1603), Johannes Amor (d. 1608), Francisco Suarez (q.v.), Gabriel Vasquez (d. 1604), Thomas Sanchez (d. 1610), Joannes Martinez de Ripalda (d. 1648), and, perhaps the greatest of all

as a moral theologian, Cardinal Johannes de Lugo (d. 1660). Among the moralists of the Benedictine order were Ludovicus Bionini (d. 1560), J. Gratian (d. 1626), and Josephus Saura de Aguirre (q.v.). The secular clergy was represented during this period of revival by such writers as Carlo Borromeo, bishop of Milan (q.v.), and St. Francis de Sales, bishop of Geneva (q.v.), who labored so strenuously for the reform of ecclesiastical discipline and Christian morals. Toward the end of the seventeenth century and toward the science of moral theology again declined, because of the prevailing tendency in the schools to reduce it to mere casuistry. Discussion of the underlying principles was lost sight of and undue attention was given to the solution of concrete cases of conscience with the result that this branch of theology lost much of the dignity and scientific character. It was now completely separated from ascetic theology and was almost exclusively occupied in drawing a line between what should be considered mortal and what could be tolerated as free from sin, and in defining the degree of sin (mortal or venial) involved in a given act of transgression. Discussion of the virtues and the principles of Christian life and perfection was passed over as pertaining to other disciplines or ascetic theology. Not a few of the casuists were accused of laxity in their decisions, and the situation was not helped by the long and bitter controversies between rigorists, probabilists, and probabilists (see PROBABILITAS). Hence the obliquity that has come to be attached to the word casuistry. During this last period of the history of moral theology no writer has arisen comparable with the great masters of previous epochs. The one who comes nearest to this standard, though yet far distant, is Alphonsus Maria di Liguori (q.v.), the founder of the Redemptorist order. His works comprise a complete treatise of moral theology and other practical treatises for the use of confessors, viz., *Praxis confessorum*, *Summa episcopalis*, *Summa confessorum*, etc. Such a middle view between the probabilists and the ultra lat exponeis of probabilism, he evolved a system known as equiprobabilism. On this account and because of the recognition bestowed on his works by the official Church, his writings and their interpretation have been the subject of not a little controversy. The last half-century has been fertile in the production of condensed manuals of moral theology chiefly of the practical or casuistic type of the use of confessors and theological students. Among the more popular may be mentioned those of Just Ferrer Gery (q.v.), Augustinus Lankshild, Edward Genicot, and two remarkable treatises, *De theologia moralis*, *Judicium* and *De rebus theologis*, by Thomas de Bouillon (d. 1902), professor at the Catholic University of America.

JAMES F. FRANCOIS.
BIBLIOGRAPHY: J. B. Rogge, *Catholic Theology*, pp. 197-249; Bionini, *liber de theologia moralis*, Lugduni, 1646; Gratian, *de theologia moralis*, pp. xxvii-xxxiii, Medii, 1647; T. Borromeo, *Summa moralis*, pp. xxvii-xxxiii, Medii, 1647; S. de Soto, *Summa moralis*, pp. 11-14, Romae, 1603; Petrus de Soto, *Summa moralis*, pp. 11-14, Romae, 1603; Petrus de Soto, *Summa moralis*, pp. 11-14, Romae, 1603; Petrus de Soto, *Summa moralis*, pp. 11-14, Romae, 1603; Petrus de Soto, *Summa moralis*, pp. 11-14, Romae, 1603; Petrus de Soto, *Summa moralis*, pp. 11-14, Romae, 1603; Petrus de Soto, *Summa moralis*, pp. 11-14, Romae, 1603; Petrus de Soto, *Summa moralis*, pp. 11-14, Romae, 1603.

THE NEW SCHAFER-HERZOG

THEONAS, the-*nas* (THEON): Arrian bishop of Maroneia, in the Egyptian province of Cyrenaica, in the fourth century. He is mentioned in the apocryphal letter of Bishop Alexander of Alexandria to Athanasius, *Sacred Works and Letters*, in *NPNF*, 2 ser., iv, 69 sqq. as an adherent of Arius. He and the monks of Ptolemais were the only two Egyptian bishops who sided with Arius; and it is probable that their line of conduct was regulated by political rather than by theological reasons. At all events, they absolutely refused at the Council of Nicaea (325) to condemn Arius, and were consequently deposed and banished.

THEOPHILACT, *the-*phi*-*lakt**: A term designating in its widest sense all Christians who recognize as correct the formula "God has suffered" or "God has been crucified." In very early times (Ignatius, *Ad Eph.*, i, 1, *Ad Rom.*, vi, 3; Tertullian, *De carne Christi*, v.) naive expressions like the "blood of God," the "suffering of God" were used. Then came Modianus (qv) and Marcionitism (see CHRYSOLOGUS, II, § 1-2; MONARCHIANISM), and finally theophrastian terms became suspicious to pious ears since they could be used in a Sabellian sense. They had some attractions, however, for those who spoke of Mary as *theotokos*; if God could be born, why could he not die? What from the standpoint of the Trinity was understandable was not so from a christological point of view. An ecclesiastical master occasion or controversy came from Peter the Fuller's (see MOVEMENTS, § 14 4 seq.) addition to the Trisagion (qv), making it read "Holy God, Holy the Mighty One, Holy the Immortal One who was crucified for us." The Patriarch Callinon attempted to relieve the baldness of the expression by adding to it with the words "O Christ the King." Of the preceding events in Antioch no reports have come down to us, although they have value as showing how in certain circles the new expression was decided. The situation with reference to the Trinity and to iconoclasm was mixed. The history of the Monophysitism controversy shows that the unilateral decision elsewhere and they are justified from the point of view of the Heronians (qv). But Harnack is right in asserting (*Dogma*, iv, 231) "That attempt to extend the Trisagion in a theophrastian sense was rejected because it involved an innovation in worship and because it could be interpreted in a Sabellian sense."

After the death of Anastasius the theophrastian controversy broke out again. At the beginning of the year 519 there appeared in the capital many monks (called in the sources Egyptian monks, who in the great schism between Rome and Constantinople had held with Rome) with the motto "one of the Trinity has suffered in the flesh," which seems to have called forth opposition. But they found support for their formula in the sentence of the Heronians. At Constantinople at that time all thoughts were directed to the consummation of union with Rome. After the stoppage of negotiations under Anastasius Justinian did not rest until he had reached an agreement with Heronius, at the time of the monk's coming, the pope's legate was expelled, and after their arrival on Mar. 25, 519, the schism ended. The monks alienated in various ways the sympathy of the papal legate, who, however, acted upon the direction of the pope not to become involved in anything except that which was their only concern, the matter of union. The legate also made the position that they could receive only what the four councils had settled and what Leo's letters contained; their leader, Dioscurus, expressed his opinion against the formula of the monks. But those despatched to Rome refused to plead their case before the pope—*fabianus Maximianus, Leontius, Adelinus, Maurinus*, and perhaps others. Their leader, in a writing directed to the legate, had traversed the position of Dioscurus resting of addition to statements of belief, and supporting the monk's position by citing Cyril, Augustine, Flavian, Proclus, and others. He saw neither a trinitarian nor a christological problem; but sought to illustrate a long-drawn article of faith. Letters from the legate and Justinian to the pope, however, put the monks in an unfavorable light, and Justinian demanded that they be sent home. The pope found himself in a dilemma; he did not care to disavow his legate in favor of the monks nor to come to a disagreement with Justinian; on the other hand, he did not care to dismiss the monks. He therefore postponed; a letter from Justinian in July he answered on Sept. 2, to the effect that he was awaiting the return of the legate, and to the latter he said that he was retaining the matter to John of Constantinople, while the legate repeated their accusations of the monks. Meanwhile Justinian was coming to think that the monks were being treated harshly; he did not wish to mix in a dogmatic affair and saw wrote the pope to decide, for the matter was one of words or terms only, and the monks need not fear to return home. In December the pope wrote his legate, and letters from Justinian (Jan. 10, 520) and from the pope (end of March) show that the whole question was trinitarian. The monks appealed to the monks and also sought support outside Rome, where they gained a point in confirmation of the orthodoxy of their position. In a letter to the African Bishop Possidius, then in Constantinople, the pope expressed his objection over the querulous spirit manifested, which drew a bitter reply from Maximian and in the last trace of the *Scythian* monks. On July 9, 520, Justinian had appointed again for a decision from the pope, calling the attention of the pope to the fact that it was ambiguous to speak of "one of the Trinity" without prefixing the name of Christ. Not till Mar. 25, 521, did Heronius reply, and then he avoided committing himself on the point in controversy; yet he asserted that according to the conclusion reached in the synod against Nestorius and Eutyches and according to the pronouncements of Pope Leo, new dogmatic distinctions were not feasible. While this was the pope's last word, Justinian did not give the case up; the monk's formula

possessors of a god (as at the festivals at Delphi); in the broader sense, every anonymous sign whereby deity revealed its approach, particularly its beneficent proximity. In the ancient Church the term *theophrasia*, the same as *epiphania*, was almost exclusively restricted to the manifestation of God and the divine glory in Christ. The application of theophrasia or epiphania to designate God, is proof that by the above was implied principally the manifestation of God in the incarnation of the Logos. Indeed, *theophrasia* was occasionally applied to the baptism of Christ; yet decisive was the distinction between the epiphania as the manifestation and self-revelation of God in the baptism of Christ (highlighted in the impartation of deity by some of the Gnostics), and the theophrasia, namely, the festival of the birth of Christ. The latter name was maintained, even after the removal of the festival of the birth to Dec. 25, while for Jan. 6, as the festival of the baptism, and, further, the manifestation of the glory of Christ to the baptism, the name of epiphany was retained. J. L. Stegmayer in *Christologie* (vols. II-III, Berlin, 1881-82) restores the order of the ancient Church by designating, as epiphania in the life of the Lord, the baptism, the temptation, and the transfiguration; while as theophrasia in the life of the Lord he names the cleansing of the temple, the walking on the sea, and the entrance into Jerusalem. A third limitation follows on the christophania of the glorified Christ. From the New Testament the restriction of the concept of the theophrasia to the incarnation of the Logos is amply justified by such passages as John 1, 14, v. 9; Col. 1, 15, 19, ii, 9. Not less did the testimony of Paul, I Cor. x, 4, and the practice of the Greek Fathers from Justin Martyr, who identified the "angel of the Lord" with the Logos, furnish excuse for conceiving also the theophrasia of the Old Testament as christophania. The Logos then became universal as medium of manifestation. The later biblical theology has, however, returned to the conception of theophrasia in the wider sense, every extraordinary manifestation of God reported by the biblical authors, apprehensible by the human senses; but especially, in the narrower sense, those manifestations of God in which, equipped with the attributes of his divine glory, he appears upon earth, to command, aid, or punish. In the widest possible sense, according to the above, within the scope of theophrasia would come generally all the manifestations of God which result in a direct impartation of his will and Word. The illustration of theophrasia would then coincide with the modes of revelation. Such an extension of the conception would be inapplicable, since in the innumerable manifestations of God by Word and spiritual operation the entrance of his person into the sphere of human realization is out of question. Theophrasia in reality presupposes that somehow the person of God enters into relation with man in terms of space. Assuming this, classes of theophrasia appear in the biblical accounts; those reported as historical facts, those depending on prophetic vision or announcement, and those which serve simply as literary argument or introduction to religious truths.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

THEOPHILACT, *the-*phi*-*lakt**: A term designating in its widest sense all Christians who recognize as correct the formula "God has suffered" or "God has been crucified." In very early times (Ignatius, *Ad Eph.*, i, 1, *Ad Rom.*, vi, 3; Tertullian, *De carne Christi*, v.) naive expressions like the "blood of God," the "suffering of God" were used. Then came Modianus (qv) and Marcionitism (see CHRYSOLOGUS, II, § 1-2; MONARCHIANISM), and finally theophrastian terms became suspicious to pious ears since they could be used in a Sabellian sense. They had some attractions, however, for those who spoke of Mary as *theotokos*; if God could be born, why could he not die? What from the standpoint of the Trinity was understandable was not so from a christological point of view. An ecclesiastical master occasion or controversy came from Peter the Fuller's (see MOVEMENTS, § 14 4 seq.) addition to the Trisagion (qv), making it read "Holy God, Holy the Mighty One, Holy the Immortal One who was crucified for us." The Patriarch Callinon attempted to relieve the baldness of the expression by adding to it with the words "O Christ the King." Of the preceding events in Antioch no reports have come down to us, although they have value as showing how in certain circles the new expression was decided. The situation with reference to the Trinity and to iconoclasm was mixed. The history of the Monophysitism controversy shows that the unilateral decision elsewhere and they are justified from the point of view of the Heronians (qv). But Harnack is right in asserting (*Dogma*, iv, 231) "That attempt to extend the Trisagion in a theophrastian sense was rejected because it involved an innovation in worship and because it could be interpreted in a Sabellian sense."

After the death of Anastasius the theophrastian controversy broke out again. At the beginning of the year 519 there appeared in the capital many monks (called in the sources Egyptian monks, who in the great schism between Rome and Constantinople had held with Rome) with the motto "one of the Trinity has suffered in the flesh," which seems to have called forth opposition. But they found support for their formula in the sentence of the Heronians. At Constantinople at that time all thoughts were directed to the consummation of union with Rome. After the stoppage of negotiations under Anastasius Justinian did not rest until he had reached an agreement with Heronius, at the time of the monk's coming, the pope's legate was expelled, and after their arrival on Mar. 25, 519, the schism ended. The monks alienated in various ways the sympathy of the papal legate, who, however, acted upon the direction of the pope not to become involved in anything except that which was their only concern, the matter of union. The legate also made the position that they could receive only what the four councils had settled and what Leo's letters contained; their leader, Dioscurus, expressed his opinion against the formula of the monks. But those despatched to Rome refused to plead their case before the pope—*fabianus Maximianus, Leontius, Adelinus, Maurinus*, and perhaps others. Their leader, in a writing directed to the legate, had traversed the position of Dioscurus resting of addition to statements of belief, and supporting the monk's position by citing Cyril, Augustine, Flavian, Proclus, and others. He saw neither a trinitarian nor a christological problem; but sought to illustrate a long-drawn article of faith. Letters from the legate and Justinian to the pope, however, put the monks in an unfavorable light, and Justinian demanded that they be sent home. The pope found himself in a dilemma; he did not care to disavow his legate in favor of the monks nor to come to a disagreement with Justinian; on the other hand, he did not care to dismiss the monks. He therefore postponed; a letter from Justinian in July he answered on Sept. 2, to the effect that he was awaiting the return of the legate, and to the latter he said that he was retaining the matter to John of Constantinople, while the legate repeated their accusations of the monks. Meanwhile Justinian was coming to think that the monks were being treated harshly; he did not wish to mix in a dogmatic affair and saw wrote the pope to decide, for the matter was one of words or terms only, and the monks need not fear to return home. In December the pope wrote his legate, and letters from Justinian (Jan. 10, 520) and from the pope (end of March) show that the whole question was trinitarian. The monks appealed to the monks and also sought support outside Rome, where they gained a point in confirmation of the orthodoxy of their position. In a letter to the African Bishop Possidius, then in Constantinople, the pope expressed his objection over the querulous spirit manifested, which drew a bitter reply from Maximian and in the last trace of the *Scythian* monks. On July 9, 520, Justinian had appointed again for a decision from the pope, calling the attention of the pope to the fact that it was ambiguous to speak of "one of the Trinity" without prefixing the name of Christ. Not till Mar. 25, 521, did Heronius reply, and then he avoided committing himself on the point in controversy; yet he asserted that according to the conclusion reached in the synod against Nestorius and Eutyches and according to the pronouncements of Pope Leo, new dogmatic distinctions were not feasible. While this was the pope's last word, Justinian did not give the case up; the monk's formula

possessors of a god (as at the festivals at Delphi); in the broader sense, every anonymous sign whereby deity revealed its approach, particularly its beneficent proximity. In the ancient Church the term *theophrasia*, the same as *epiphania*, was almost exclusively restricted to the manifestation of God and the divine glory in Christ. The application of theophrasia or epiphania to designate God, is proof that by the above was implied principally the manifestation of God in the incarnation of the Logos. Indeed, *theophrasia* was occasionally applied to the baptism of Christ; yet decisive was the distinction between the epiphania as the manifestation and self-revelation of God in the baptism of Christ (highlighted in the impartation of deity by some of the Gnostics), and the theophrasia, namely, the festival of the birth of Christ. The latter name was maintained, even after the removal of the festival of the birth to Dec. 25, while for Jan. 6, as the festival of the baptism, and, further, the manifestation of the glory of Christ to the baptism, the name of epiphany was retained. J. L. Stegmayer in *Christologie* (vols. II-III, Berlin, 1881-82) restores the order of the ancient Church by designating, as epiphania in the life of the Lord, the baptism, the temptation, and the transfiguration; while as theophrasia in the life of the Lord he names the cleansing of the temple, the walking on the sea, and the entrance into Jerusalem. A third limitation follows on the christophania of the glorified Christ. From the New Testament the restriction of the concept of the theophrasia to the incarnation of the Logos is amply justified by such passages as John 1, 14, v. 9; Col. 1, 15, 19, ii, 9. Not less did the testimony of Paul, I Cor. x, 4, and the practice of the Greek Fathers from Justin Martyr, who identified the "angel of the Lord" with the Logos, furnish excuse for conceiving also the theophrasia of the Old Testament as christophania. The Logos then became universal as medium of manifestation. The later biblical theology has, however, returned to the conception of theophrasia in the wider sense, every extraordinary manifestation of God reported by the biblical authors, apprehensible by the human senses; but especially, in the narrower sense, those manifestations of God in which, equipped with the attributes of his divine glory, he appears upon earth, to command, aid, or punish. In the widest possible sense, according to the above, within the scope of theophrasia would come generally all the manifestations of God which result in a direct impartation of his will and Word. The illustration of theophrasia would then coincide with the modes of revelation. Such an extension of the conception would be inapplicable, since in the innumerable manifestations of God by Word and spiritual operation the entrance of his person into the sphere of human realization is out of question. Theophrasia in reality presupposes that somehow the person of God enters into relation with man in terms of space. Assuming this, classes of theophrasia appear in the biblical accounts; those reported as historical facts, those depending on prophetic vision or announcement, and those which serve simply as literary argument or introduction to religious truths.

Of the historically reported are, first, those when the fact is simply stated without elaboration (Gen. xii. 7, xlvii. 2). To this category belong also the accounts of dreams (ex. i, xx, 2, 6), where there is an underlying suggestion of God's form (Further Ex. iv. 24; I Sam. iii. 2; I Kings iii. 5). Next follow the manifestations more or less in human form (Gen. xlviii. 1 sqq.; cf. Genesis). The biblical narrators were here conscious of the distinction between the real being of God unapproachable to man and his 'temporary manifestation'; thus, in the passage Ex. xxxiii. 20, whatever of the person of God enters the visible represents only a partial revelation of his being as adaptable to human weakness and limitation. In Ex. xiv. 19, the angel, though distinct from God, is yet representative of him, inasmuch as he bears "the name" with its peculiar efficacy (see Exodus). In a similar sense in Ex. xxxiii. 14, the face of God is to guide the people. Here a definition is implied between the complete personality and the outward appearance, just as in the more definitely detailed historical theophanies, the majesty of which occurred in the legislation of Sinai and the journey through the wilderness, the pillar of fire or cloud, which is an outward accident of the inner fire, is employed to hide the full majesty of God (Ex. xiv. 9; 16, 21; cf. xvii. 12 sqq. and xxxiv. 29 sqq.). Upon the erection of the tabernacle, this became the scene of the theophanies. Only here the accounts vary. According to one the cloud descends immediately after its completion to prevent the entrance of Moses, because the glory of God filled the tabernacle (cf. 24 sqq.). According to other and older passages the cloud descended when Moses entered and it remained stationary at the door (xxviii. 35; cf. Lev. ix. 23; Num. x. 25, xiv. 10). Although the theophany is referred in Ex. xvi. 10, xxvi. 17, and again on extraordinary occasions (Lev. ix. 6, 28; Num. xiv. 19, xlv. 19), as the appearance of the glory of Yahweh, yet this is not to be understood as an advance beyond the concealment of the divine majesty in the cloud. Originally the 'glory of Yahweh' referred to the halo visible to sight and emanating from Yahweh himself when he appeared in the storm or cloud of Sinai; but this, like "angel of God," was afterwards released to apply to the revelation of his being, to the majesty of God in his operations which was to all the earth (Diu. xiv. 21-22; Ps. lxxviii. 19). This was not to be absolutely identified with the fulgure of the divine majesty as apparent from I Kings viii. 11; the glory of Yahweh in the form of a cloud filled the house when the ark was brought in, yet the heaven and heaven of heavens (vers. 27) can not contain God; much less the house. No more can a theophany of another sort, i. e., of the absolute divinity of the divine being, be inferred from the passages which represent Moses as conversing with God face to face (Deut. xxxiii. 10; "as a man speaketh unto his friend" Ex. xxxiii. 11; "mouth to mouth," Num. xii. 9).

That only an intermediate intercourse is meant in these instances is shown in Ex. xxxiii. 18 sqq. where direct vision of the glory is denied and only an after glimpse is permitted when Yahweh has passed by. The more remarkable is therefore the one instance.

(Ex. xxiv. 9-10) in which no mention of external or mediate manifestation of the divine majesty occurs. The writer, aware (verse 11) of the implication that no man shall see God and live, seems to have been under the impression that at this most pregnant moment of the history of the theophany, immediately after the sprinkling with the blood of the covenant (verse 6 sqq.), an exception, though indeed relative, was granted.

Beside those in connection with the account of the journey through the desert, only two other theophanies receive special mention: the covenant sacrifice of Abraham (Gen. xv. 17 sqq.), and the episode on Horeb, where the presence of God is announced to Elijah (I Kings xix. 11 sqq.). In both cases the representation is restricted to the outer form of the appearance.

In the prophets theophanies a distinction must likewise be drawn between general announcements of the appearance of God for judgment (Isa. ii. 21; Jer. ii. 28) or redemption (Isa. xl. 5), and such proclamations as involve a closer proximity to an actual appearance: such as for judgment on the heathen (Isa. xix. 1, xxx. 27 sqq.; Isai. i; Nah. i. 3 sqq.), of destined vengeance (Isa. lxxv. 1 sqq.), even on Israel (Mic. i. 9). In almost all the instances the accompaniment is some element of a storm, as lightning or hail, or the earthquake; and the glory of God is always developed in the cloud. Instruments of war and weapons of God are suggested (Nah. ii. 4-5; Isa. lxxi. 15), and in further detail (Hab. iii. 8-9, 11, 13), with the representation of Yahweh as a man of war (Isa. x. 3; Jer. liii. 15; Ps. xlv. 6-9; of II Kings vi. 17; Ps. lxxviii. 17). Among prophetic theophanies relating to violence some are sparing of detail (I Kings xxi. 19 sqq.; Amos vii. 7, ix. 1), while others afford more elaborate delineation (Isa. vi. 1 sqq.; Ezek. i. 4-5; II. 12 sqq.; viii. 4 sqq.; x. 1 sqq.; lb. xliii. 2 sqq.). In Deut. x. 9 sqq. the Ancient of days is pictured in human form.

Theophany in literary description always in the introduction of the descriptions of God's works of redemption and judgment almost invariably appear in some form of the storm symbol; to mention the most recent case (Isaiah v. 4; Ps. cvii. 7-8; lxxvii. 7-9; lxxviii. 16 sqq.), and for vengeance divine (Isai. v. 26 sqq.); likewise to judge his people (Isa. i. 3), or reason with his accused (Isa. xlviii. 1). As point of departure for the theophanies in the instances quoted he heaves are sometimes expressly named as the permanent location of the throne of God (Ps. cviii. 5), and sometimes Sinai as the mountain of God and the scene of his earlier revelations to Israel (Deut. xxxiii. 2; of Judges v. 4-5 and Hab. iii. 3). For the post-Biblical construction of theophany of the study of the efforts in the time of the Septuagint and of Philo to replace the immediate operation of God by anthropomorphic means thus get rid of anthropomorphism, see *Philosophy of Theology* by F. Wolfen (transl. by P. Dinklage and G. Schulerman, Leipzig, 1897). (E. Kauter.)

man, *Die Theologie der orientalischen Völker*, Bielefeld, pp. 8 sqq. (Göttingen, 1869). F. Wolfen, *Die Gottheit Gottes und seine Anrede*, Tübingen, 1870; *ib.*, pp. 302-303; *ib.*, pp. 117-119; also the works of the ancient Stoics of the 7th c.

THEOPHILANTHROPISTS. A French religious organization of the Revolutionary period. In Sept., 1793, during the reign of the Directory, a small pamphlet appeared in Paris, under the title *Manuel des Theophilanthropes*, by Comte. The divine worship described in that book had originated as a kind of family worship. During the period when all religious service was positively prohibited, five house families used to gather together their families for common prayer, singing of hymns in honor of God, and listening to moral and patriotic speeches. The basis of the whole organization was from deism, the last traces left of true religion among the aberrations of atheism. The first public meeting took place on Jan. 5, 1797, in a house in Rue St. Denis, God, Virtue, and the immortality of the soul formed the three articles of the Theophilanthropic creed; and any one who agreed on those three points could become a member of the association, even though he belonged to some special sect with respect to the further details of his creed.

The movement met at first with great success; Thomas Paine was a member, while Lavetolles Lepeaux of the Directory was its leader; and the Directory granted it the use of ten churches in Paris. The service it instituted was very simple; the walls of the churches were ornamented with some few moral maxims; the altar was a plain table covered with flowers or fruit; the ministering officer was any one who felt disposed; and the ceremonies were reduced to a minimum of forms. The Christian baptism became a mere presentation and naming of the child; Christian wedding, a mere recognition of the civil marriage contract, accompanied with congratulations and admonitions. New members were admitted after a short catechism upon the three articles above mentioned. As the Theophilanthropes considered their religion the only true universal religion, because the only true natural religion, they were aware to all kinds of propaganda, but they took much care of the education of their children, and their instruction in good morals.

During the first and second years of their existence the Theophilanthropes formed associations also in the provinces. But by degrees, as the Christianistic feeling became awakened in the French people, the Theophilanthropic movement died away, and in 1802 the First Council Bonaparte deprived them of their churches, which he restored to the Roman Catholics.

THEOPHILANTHROPISTS. II. *Origin. Hist. des sectes religieuses*, 2^e ed., Paris, 1810; N. H. H. *La Henri des Theophilanthropes*, 1810; *Recueil de notices sur les sectes. . . des Theophilanthropes*, 1817; H. G. *Les Theophilanthropes*, *Paris*, 1819; H. G. *Les Theophilanthropes*, *Paris*, 1819; H. G. *Les Theophilanthropes*, *Paris*, 1819; H. G. *Les Theophilanthropes*, *Paris*, 1819.

THEOPHILUS OF ALEXANDRIA. Patriarch of Alexandria from 385 to 412; d. at Alexandria Oct. 18, 412. Of the events of his life before his elevation to the archbishopate nothing certain is known, but soon after this event he was consulted

by the Emperor Theodosius with regard to the adjustment of the difference between the Alexandrian and Roman reckonings of Easter, 387, a matter which Theophilus was able to arrange to the emperor's satisfaction, especially as he prepared a paschal cycle for 413 years, besides rekindling the days on which Easter would fall for the century 390-400. About 399 Theophilus either obtained permission from Theodosius to destroy the pagan temples at Alexandria, or according to other accounts, was granted the privilege of building a church on the site of a temple of Dionysus. At all events the patriarch incurred the bitter hostility of the pagans by public insults to their sacred emblems, and, after working vengeance on the Christians, they made a stand in the famous Serapeum of the city. When the pagans surrendered in terror at the absence of the receipt of an imperial edict for the destruction of all pagan shrines, Theophilus and his followers were enabled to enter the Serapeum, where he caused the image of Serapis to be cut down, this being followed by wide-spread demolition of temples of the ancient faith, only one image (that of an ape, preserved for obvious uncommissurable reasons) being spared.

In 391 or 392 Theophilus was appointed by the Council of Capua to arbitrate in the controversy between Flavian of Antioch (v. 7) and Evagrius, which he ultimately decided, following the lead of Chrysostom and some time after the death of Evagrius, in Flavian's favor in 398 (see Mazarircus or Arrianus). In 394 he was at Constantinople, attending a council in which he urged that deposition from the episcopate should be pronounced not merely by three bishops (the number required by the canon of the Council of Nicaea for a consecration), but, if possible, by all bishops of a province.

With the year 395 the character of Theophilus, under the sinister influence of the Originistic Constantines (v. 7), underwent a lamentable change. He had himself been in sympathy with Origin's revolt against anthropomorphism, and in a pastoral letter of 396 had insisted sharply that the divine nature must not be construed in anthropomorphic fashion. This aroused the violent antagonism of the Soretic monks, and before their open threats the patriarch descended to ambiguous phrases more politic than honorable. With this change of attitude there would even seem to be connected personal antipathy for certain of his old friends, notably Isidorus, whom he had proposed for the see of Constantinople in 398, and some of the "Long Troubles"—all these being in sympathy with Originism. Late in 399 or early in 400 he convened a synod at Alexandria in which Originism was condemned, following up his attack in his pastoral letter of 401. In this same year, fortified by an imperial edict forbidding any monk to read Origin, Theophilus proceeded to Nitria and secured the expulsion of all those monks who would not subscribe to entire anthropomorphism. The accounts of this procedure are unfortunately, as at variance that it is difficult to say whether it was carried out with violence, as Theophilus' bitter enemy, Palladius (v. 7), asserts, or as Theophilus himself declared in a synodical letter (transl. by Je-

Theophilus of Alexandria

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

406

rome, Epist. xcix., Eng. transl. in *NFNP*, 2 ser., vi. 185-193) after a further weighing of the obvious sense of Origin. Late in the year some of the exiled monks made their way to Chrysostom at Constantinople, entreating him to use his good offices in their behalf that they might be permitted to return to Egypt. Chrysostom accordingly wrote to Theophilus, but the result of the correspondence, still further complicated by the injudicious activity of the monks, was that the patriarch of Alexandria became bitterly hostile to his fellow patriarch of Constantinople. Theophilus accordingly urged Epiphanius to secure a synodal condemnation of Origenism, and the reading of any of the writings of Origen was accordingly forbidden in Cyprus. Meanwhile, however, the exiled monks at Constantinople had not been idle, but had induced the emperor, Arcadius, to summon Theophilus for trial before the patriarch of Constantinople, with the charges lodged against the monks were pronounced banished. After a deliberate delay in obeying the summons, Theophilus finally landed at Constantinople late in June, 403, and, after hearing Chrysostom openly, practically secured his deposition and banishment at the synod of Quercus on the "Long Bridge," the most important of whom were dead. But the people would have none of Theophilus, and soon Chrysostom was recalled, while Theophilus sailed in haste for Egypt. Within two months the patriarch of Constantinople was again in imperial disfavour, and his old enemy was urged to make a fresh attack upon him. He declined, however, to come in person, but his creatures worked his will, and Chrysostom again sent into banishment, from which he was never to return (for further details see CLEMENTS, *loc. cit.*).

THEOPHILUS, the-of'-luz, OF ANTIOCH: Bishop of Antioch in the second century. His birthplace was not far from the Ephesus and Tigris and he did not become a Christian till he had reached mature years, while his earlier tastes and education were Greek. He was sixth bishop of Antioch, successor of Elpis and predecessor of Maximian or Maximus (*Chaetius, Hist. eccl.*, iv., xx., xxiv., 3). The only determined chronological datum is that he wrote his third book to Autolytus not before 181 (cf. A. Harnack, *Die Zeit des Ignatius und die Chronologie der antiochenischen Bischöfe*, pp. 42-43, Leipzig, 1878; *Ibid.*, *Literatur*, ii., 298 sqq.).

In his paschal letter of 404 Theophilus, while not mentioning Chrysostom's name, returns to his attack upon Origenism. He now informed Pope Innocent that he had deposed Chrysostom, but the pontiff ignored the sentence and directed that a new synod be convened to try the entire case with fairness. All was in vain—the commands of the pope and the appeal of Innocent, emperor of the West and his brother Arcadius, who stubbornly upheld Theophilus.

THEOPHILUS developed a many-sided literary activity and for a time his works (sometimes ascribed to Theophilus of Alexandria) were much read and used. After the fourth century they were forgotten. They included (*Chaetius, Hist. eccl.*, iv., xxv.) three books to Autolytus, polemical writings against Hermogenes and Marcellus, and certain books of instruction and edification; further (*Jerome, De vir. ill.*, xxv.), commentaries on the Gospels (see *Clement*) and Proverbia, and a work in several books of which Theophilus himself cites the first as *Peri Asterion*. Only the three books to Autolytus are preserved and these in but a single manuscript. The first book is apologetic, defending the Christian faith against the doctrine of Autolytus, an old heathen friend of Theophilus. The second is polemic, dealing the popular religion of the heathen as well as the speculations of philosophers and poets about it, so far as true as all taken from the prophets. The third book compares the Christian Scriptures with heathen literature to the disparagement of the latter. The genuineness of this work is commonly acknowledged. On the other hand, the commentary on the Gospels is regarded by later scholars with the exception of Zahn (*Forschungen*, vi., Erlangen, 1863) as not the work of the Antiochian bishop of the second century. Harnack assigns the work to the early Middle Ages (c. 500) and thinks it consists of excerpts from the older Latin Fathers (cf. *Beroman in ZKG*, x., 1868, pp. 169 sqq.; and Hauck in *ZKW*, v., 561 sqq.). The commentary does not belong to Theophilus of Antioch and is a compilation from older writings made before 700. (A. Harnack.)

THEOPHILUS OF ANTIOCH: The text was edited by J. P. Boncompagni, *Scripturae*, vol. vii., 1841; and C. G. C. in *Corpus Apostolicum*, vol. viii., 1841; and does in so the text with which we are here furnished. Cf. *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550. A. Harnack, *Die Zeit des Ignatius und die Chronologie der antiochenischen Bischöfe*, pp. 42-43, Leipzig, 1878; *Ibid.*, *Literatur*, ii., 298 sqq.; and *Hauck in ZKW*, v., 561 sqq.; and *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550. A. Harnack, *Die Zeit des Ignatius und die Chronologie der antiochenischen Bischöfe*, pp. 42-43, Leipzig, 1878; *Ibid.*, *Literatur*, ii., 298 sqq.; and *Hauck in ZKW*, v., 561 sqq.; and *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550. A. Harnack, *Die Zeit des Ignatius und die Chronologie der antiochenischen Bischöfe*, pp. 42-43, Leipzig, 1878; *Ibid.*, *Literatur*, ii., 298 sqq.; and *Hauck in ZKW*, v., 561 sqq.; and *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550.

THEOPHILUS OF ANTIOCH: The text was edited by J. P. Boncompagni, *Scripturae*, vol. vii., 1841; and C. G. C. in *Corpus Apostolicum*, vol. viii., 1841; and does in so the text with which we are here furnished. Cf. *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550. A. Harnack, *Die Zeit des Ignatius und die Chronologie der antiochenischen Bischöfe*, pp. 42-43, Leipzig, 1878; *Ibid.*, *Literatur*, ii., 298 sqq.; and *Hauck in ZKW*, v., 561 sqq.; and *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550. A. Harnack, *Die Zeit des Ignatius und die Chronologie der antiochenischen Bischöfe*, pp. 42-43, Leipzig, 1878; *Ibid.*, *Literatur*, ii., 298 sqq.; and *Hauck in ZKW*, v., 561 sqq.; and *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550.

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theophilus of Alexandria

tract. St. Louis, 1908. *Ibid.*, *Chaetius*, i., 278-290; *J. P. Boncompagni*, *Scripturae*, vol. vii., 1841; and C. G. C. in *Corpus Apostolicum*, vol. viii., 1841; and does in so the text with which we are here furnished. Cf. *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550. A. Harnack, *Die Zeit des Ignatius und die Chronologie der antiochenischen Bischöfe*, pp. 42-43, Leipzig, 1878; *Ibid.*, *Literatur*, ii., 298 sqq.; and *Hauck in ZKW*, v., 561 sqq.; and *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550.

THEOPHILUS OF ANTIOCH: The text was edited by J. P. Boncompagni, *Scripturae*, vol. vii., 1841; and C. G. C. in *Corpus Apostolicum*, vol. viii., 1841; and does in so the text with which we are here furnished. Cf. *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550. A. Harnack, *Die Zeit des Ignatius und die Chronologie der antiochenischen Bischöfe*, pp. 42-43, Leipzig, 1878; *Ibid.*, *Literatur*, ii., 298 sqq.; and *Hauck in ZKW*, v., 561 sqq.; and *Chaetius, P. Faustus, Euseb. Hist. eccl.*, i., 1, Paul. in *JFT*, 1875, pp. 546-550.

THEOPHYLACT, the-of'-lakt: Archbishop of Antioch (the modern Oshana in Albania, 140 m. n. of Jasin). He was a native of Euboea and for his great learning was chosen teacher of the young Prince Constantine, son of Emperor Michael Doucas (1071-78), to whom he dedicated a treatise on the "Education of a Prince" (*Opera*, iii., 529-545). About 1078 he became archbishop of Antioch and he survived the accession of Alexius Comnenus (1081), but by how many years is not known. Theophylact was a distinguished representative of the Byzantine churchman. He was a disciple of Michael Pallas (q.v.) and learned from his master no small degree of classical culture. As archbishop he ruled in large measure independently of Constantinople and he grasped faithfully with the difficulties of the rough Bulgars who composed his flock. He was far from narrow-minded and judged leniently in the controversies between East and West. As except he was a skillful and sensible, though dependent in his views on the earlier Fathers like all medieval Greek commentators, he conceived rightly the aim and method of exegesis, and the precision of his interpretation makes his commentaries still worthy of consideration. They treat of the entire New Testament with the exception of the Apocalypse, and of portions of the Old Testament. An edition of Theophylact's "Works," in Greek and Latin, was published in four volumes at Venice, 1754-53 (reissue of the commentaries (vols. i., ii., and part of iii.), certain homilies, of which those on the education of the crown and the presentation of Mary in the Temple (iii. 460 sqq.) are the best. An account of the fifteen martyrs of Theophylact (iii. 477 sqq.) used old sources. There are also a noteworthy panegyric on the Emperor Alexius (ii. 449 sqq.) and 130 letters (iii. 529 sqq.) to important and well-known personages of his time. (*Patristic Museum*.)

THEOPHYLACT, the-of'-lakt: Archbishop of Antioch (the modern Oshana in Albania, 140 m. n. of Jasin). He was a native of Euboea and for his great learning was chosen teacher of the young Prince Constantine, son of Emperor Michael Doucas (1071-78), to whom he dedicated a treatise on the "Education of a Prince" (*Opera*, iii., 529-545). About 1078 he became archbishop of Antioch and he survived the accession of Alexius Comnenus (1081), but by how many years is not known. Theophylact was a distinguished representative of the Byzantine churchman. He was a disciple of Michael Pallas (q.v.) and learned from his master no small degree of classical culture. As archbishop he ruled in large measure independently of Constantinople and he grasped faithfully with the difficulties of the rough Bulgars who composed his flock. He was far from narrow-minded and judged leniently in the controversies between East and West. As except he was a skillful and sensible, though dependent in his views on the earlier Fathers like all medieval Greek commentators, he conceived rightly the aim and method of exegesis, and the precision of his interpretation makes his commentaries still worthy of consideration. They treat of the entire New Testament with the exception of the Apocalypse, and of portions of the Old Testament. An edition of Theophylact's "Works," in Greek and Latin, was published in four volumes at Venice, 1754-53 (reissue of the commentaries (vols. i., ii., and part of iii.), certain homilies, of which those on the education of the crown and the presentation of Mary in the Temple (iii. 460 sqq.) are the best. An account of the fifteen martyrs of Theophylact (iii. 477 sqq.) used old sources. There are also a noteworthy panegyric on the Emperor Alexius (ii. 449 sqq.) and 130 letters (iii. 529 sqq.) to important and well-known personages of his time. (*Patristic Museum*.)

THEOSOPIHY.
I. Doctrine.
See *THEOSOPHY*, 11, 12.
II. Terminology (a) 11.
Bismarck (11) 15.
Eretria (11) 15.
III. Theosophical Society in America.
See *THEOSOPIHY*, 11, 12.

THEOSOPIHY.
I. Doctrine.
See *THEOSOPHY*, 11, 12.
II. Terminology (a) 11.
Bismarck (11) 15.
Eretria (11) 15.
III. Theosophical Society in America.
See *THEOSOPIHY*, 11, 12.

THEOSOPHY.
I. Doctrine: The main teachings of Theosophy (Gk. *theosopha*, "divine wisdom"), which are at the same time religious, philosophic, and scientific, may be summed up as follows: It postulates one eternal, immutable, all-pervading principle, the root of all manifestation. From that one existence comes forth periodically the whole universe, manifesting the two aspects of spirit and matter, life and

form, positive and negative," "the two poles of nature between which the universe is woven. These two aspects are inseparably united, and are therefore forms. All life being fundamentally one with the life of the Supreme Eristotele, it contains in germ all the characteristics of its sources, and evolution is only the unfolding of those divine potentialities brought about by the conditions afforded in the various kingdoms of nature. The visible universe is only a small part of the field of evolution. As ether interpenetrates the densest solid, so matter, still subtler, interpenetrates ether, and these different grades of matter constitute seven distinct regions, spoken of as the seven great planes of the universe. The physical is the densest; the one next to it is called astral; still subtler than the astral plane is the mental. The four higher spiritual planes are as yet more esoteric to all except initiates and adepts. The materials being thus prepared, the divine life begins the evolution of consciousness, building for itself forms on the various planes, passing slowly through the elemental, mineral, vegetable, and animal forms, and finally reaching self-consciousness and individualization, when it passes into the human stage. Man, being a part of the whole, is also evolving toward the perfect manifestation of the divine characteristics latent in him. That perfection, however, implies not only the attainment of wisdom, but also the possession of divine power and full knowledge of the universe, visible and invisible. As he needs a physical body to work with on the physical plane, so does he need bodies composed of the matter of those higher planes, in order to cognize them, and the organizing of such bodies is the task upon which men are engaged, consciously, in the more advanced members of the race, but unconsciously in the vast majority. The physical body, then, is not the only one man uses, even during his physical life. In connection with it and interpenetrating it, even as the planes of the universe interpenetrate each other, he has an astral body, by means of which he feels and desires, a mental body, by means of which he thinks. The higher four spiritual bodies are still unorganized at the present stage of evolution, are in rare instances. But these three just mentioned are already fully developed and constitute the normal working instruments of man. This does not mean that the astral and mental bodies are as yet organized so as to take direct cognizance of the planes to which they belong by constitution; in the majority, they work only in connection with the physical body. But some individuals have already developed the senses belonging to those higher bodies. The phenomena of clairvoyance, telepathy, prophetic dreams, etc., are merely manifestations of the activity of those finer senses. Available at first, like the infant's vision, they can be developed and trained, until the subtler worlds stand as an open book before the man. This constitutes the evolution of the form, which precedes part passes with the evolution of the consciousness, the activities of which in the subtler bodies may be termed the soul.

THEOSOPHY THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 408

As the seed grows in power, love, and wisdom, it needs a better form in which to manifest itself; as the form grows in perfection, it becomes a better instrument for the soul. Here again evolve side by side the two poles of the universe, life and form, spirit and matter.

This unfolding of man's powers is slow and gradual; hence the necessity of repeated incarnations, each life on earth being like a day in school.

At death, man drops his physical body, 3. Reincarnate, and, clothed in his subtle bodies, lives in nature.

A life of purification, rest, and bliss, rich and full in proportion to his stage in evolution and the deeds of the life just ended. This is the time when he assimilates the experiences of that life, changing them into faculties. As this work is being done, he drops one after the other his worn-out astral and mental bodies, and, finally, having enjoyed all the bliss to which his achievements entitle him, he clothes himself in new bodies and returns to earth to take up the work where he had left it, each life being thus a progress on the preceding one. The fact that man does not remember his past incarnations is no proof against their reality; for the memory of those lives is stored up in the soul and not in the brain, which belongs to the present incarnation only and therefore can not have kept the record of experiences it never went through. But man is so absorbed by earthly interests and ambitions that he identifies himself with the body and has no time to listen to the "still small voice" within. As soon as he turns his attention inward and knows himself as the soul, then his long past will be unfolded before his vision, as it has done in the case of the sage of all times. But even at the present stage, that past shows itself in the accumulated faculties and powers of the man and the voice of conscience, which is but the effort of the soul to guide its lower nature along lines found by experience to be the best.

Evolution proceeds under a law as unerring as any well-established scientific law, namely, that of Karma, or the law of cause and effect. Each action, each deed, each thought produces its result with unerring certainty. "As a man sows, so shall he also reap." This makes perfection possible, for knowledge is power, and when man knows the law and works with it, he can produce any result he chooses, he becomes master of his destiny. Thought is the most potent factor in the creation of causes. Each thought affects the mental body for good or evil, and as mental faculties are the powers of the soul working in the mental body, the mentally active in any one life in the result of repeated thinking in past lives. Hence the splendid mental apparatus of the man of genius is not a gratuitous gift, but is due to hard work in the past. Thought is also the parent of action, and the subtle vibrations, traveling through space, affect others, awakening similar thoughts in the minds situated in the same key. Many a thought has thus urged other men to action, good or evil, in which the thinker has his share of responsibility. As thoughts evolve the mental body, so desires evolve the astral body, and also influence others by their fascinating vibra-

tions. By controlling his desires, purifying them, turning them toward spiritual things, man refines his astral body and rises above his animal instincts. Actions, speaking broadly, determine future physical surroundings; those surroundings are favorable or unfavorable, according as the man has made others happy or unhappy. Reincarnation and karma explain the apparent injustice in the world, the mental and moral differences among men, and the inequality of mental, moral, and physical conditions amid which men are placed.

But a time will come when man, having reached the full perfection attainable in the human stage, shall need no longer these earth-experiences, and

shall pass on to spheres of usefulness whose glory is beyond our conception.

5. Liberty. One of the missions of theosophy is to proclaim anew the possibility of creating the "secret narrow path" which leads to adeptship and liberation, when a man need not return to earth unless he chooses to remain and help his less-advanced brothers. The more advanced members of humanity, a mere handful as yet, have already reached that level, and from their lodge come forth from time to time the great founders of religions, the spiritual teachers of the race. This common source explains the common fundamental teachings in all religions; the forms only varied, according to the needs of the time and people. Now, as in older times, these Elder Brothers are willing to accept as pupils those who possess the necessary qualifications. Those qualifications are: a conviction of the impermanence of one's earthly aims, a perfect indifference to the fruit of one's own actions; perfect control of mind and conduct; tolerance; endurance; confidence in the master and himself; balance, and desire for liberation. But his motive for seeking liberation must be an intense desire to help humanity, for only when this complete forgetfulness of self is attained, can a man's power be safely developed. So long as selfishness lurks in his heart, there is danger of his becoming a curse to the race, instead of the helper he should be.

II. Theosophical Society in America. The teachings are not new; they represent a body of traditions preserved from time immemorial. Reincarnation was taught in the ancient history of India and Egypt, in Greece even before Pythagoras; it is found in the teachings of Plato, Plotinus, the Cabala (q.v.), the early Christians, the Alexandrian Gnostics, Neoplatonists (see Neoplatonism), Paracelsus, and Giordano Bruno (q.v.). During the Middle Ages traces of it appeared in Freemasonry and among the Rosicrucians. In modern times, this wisdom-tradition was revived by Helena Petrovna Blavatsky (q.v.), who had been for years the pupil of great oriental adepts or sages. Aided by Henry Steel Olcott, she founded the Theosophical Society in New York City, Nov. 17, 1875. For the development see III, below.

The three objects of the society are: (1) to form a nucleus of the universal brotherhood of humanity, without distinction of race, creed, sex, caste, or color; (2) to encourage the study of comparative religion, philosophy, and science; (3) to investigate the unexplained laws of nature and the powers

408 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theosophy

latent in man. Assent to the first of these objects is required for membership, the remaining two being optional. "The Society has no dogmas or creeds, is entirely non-sectarian and includes in its membership adherents of all faiths and of none, exacting only from each member the tolerance for the beliefs of others that he would wish to exhibit toward his own." In 1880, William Quan Judge, then vice-president of the society, led a secession movement which resulted in a separation therefrom of a large number of the American and some of the European members. The seceding body, however, soon divided into two bodies, one of which is known as the Universal Brotherhood and Theosophical Society (see III, below). The other body, known as the Theosophical Society in America, again subdivided; one division located at 244 Lenox Avenue, New York City, now publishes *The Word*, a monthly magazine, and the other division, headed by Charles Johnson, 129 Warren Street, Brooklyn, N. Y., publishes the *Theosophical Quarterly*. The parent society is international, with headquarters at Adyar, Madras, India. The last yearly report of its president, Mrs. Annie Besant, shows in Dec., 1907, a total of 655 branches all over the world, 77 of which are in America. A large literature has grown up within the society, including the regular publication of forty-seven magazines. The general secretary of the American section is Walter Van Hook, 403 State Street, Chicago, Ill. MAXIE FORSYE.

III. Universal Brotherhood and Theosophical Society. The original name of the society founded by Madame Blavatsky in New York, 1875, was The Theosophical Society. In this she held no official position except that of corresponding secretary, but nevertheless she possessed the highest authority, and was the inspiration and heart of the movement. Through her the teachings of theosophy were given to the world, and without her the theosophical movement could not have been. In 1878 she visited Great Britain and India, in both of which countries she founded branch societies. The parent body in New York became later the Aryan Theosophical Society and has always had its headquarters in America; and of this William Quan Judge was president until his death in 1906. In 1888 Madame Blavatsky, then in London, on the suggestion of Judge, founded the Esoteric School of Theosophy for students, of which she wrote that it was "the heart of the Theosophical Movement," and of this she appointed Judge her sole representative in America. This is only one of the evidences of Madame Blavatsky's regard for Judge, a regard which continued undiminished until her death, in 1891, when he became her successor. In 1893 there openly began what had been going on beneath the surface for some time, a bitter attack, ostensibly against Judge, but in reality against Madame Blavatsky. This attack threatened to disrupt the whole society and to thwart the main purpose of its existence, the cause of universal brotherhood. Finally, the American members decided to take action and, at the annual convention held in Boston in 1895, reasserted the principles of theosophy as laid down by Madame Blavatsky, and elected Judge president for life, the majority of the active members

throughout the world concurring in this action, which relieved the society of those who had joined it for purposes other than the furtherance of universal brotherhood. One year later, 1896, Judge died, leaving as his successor Katherine Tingley, who had been associated in the work for some years. Mrs. Tingley put into actual working practice the ideals of theosophy for which Madame Blavatsky and Judge had laid the foundations. To safeguard the work, a further reorganization of the society was adopted at the annual convention at Chicago, 1898. The full title of the organization is now the Universal Brotherhood and Theosophical Society. "The principal purpose of this organization is to teach brotherhood, demonstrate that it is a fact in nature and make it a living power in the life of humanity. The subsidiary purposes are: to study ancient and modern religion, science, philosophy, and art; to investigate the laws of nature and the divine powers in man."

In 1908 Mrs. Tingley established the International Brotherhood League, the department of the Universal Brotherhood for practical humanitarian work, and under its auspices rendered aid to soldiers at Montauk after the close of the Spanish-American war. Later she took a relief expedition into Cuba, the United States government affording her free transportation for physicians, nurses, and supplies. This began her work in Cuba, which resulted in the establishment of Raja Yoga schools at Santiago and Pinar del Rio and now on San Juan battlefield, which she has recently purchased. Other Raja Yoga schools besides that at Point Loma have been established by her in the New Forest, England, also on the Island of Visnago, Sweden. In 1910 the headquarters were moved from New York to Point Loma, which is now the international center of the theosophical movement throughout the world. This organization is unsectarian and non-political; none of its officers or workers receives any salary or financial recompense. J. H. FRANKEL.

REFERENCES: The authoritative writings of modern theosophy are the following by Madame H. P. Blavatsky, all works on theosophy and other occult, and additional, some of them noteworthy, of the principal works of Blavatsky and Judge have been cited in *Footnote*, Oct., 1907-10; *The Occultist*, 2 vols., New York, 1877; *Footnote of Science*, 1890; *The Secret Doctrine*, a volume which, since it is published in English, has become a standard work; *Theosophy*, 1891; and *Studies in Occultism* (as editor at Point Loma, 1910); by Annie Besant, all published in London: *Writings of the Karma*, 1894; *Path of Devotion*, 1895; *The Esoteric Tradition*, 1897; *Footnote of Science*, 1899; *Man and His Body*, 2d ed., 1906; *Religion and Culture*, 1902; *A Study in Consciousness*, 1904; *Theosophy and the Esoteric Philosophy*, 1904; *Christianity, Esoteric and Exoteric*, 1904; *Theosophical Studies*, 1909; and *Theosophical Studies*, 1910; by Alice Bailey and J. G. Bennett, *Theosophy*, 1910; by Annie Besant and J. G. Bennett, all published in London except the last: *Chatterbox*, 1890; *Footnote of Science*, 1890; *Footnote*, 2d ed., 1893; *The Adept's Progress*, 4th ed., 1894; *The Christian Creed*, 2d ed., 1894; and *Man's Evolution*, New York, 1901; O. B. A. P. Blavatsky, *The Occult World*, London, 1882; 1900; B. 1898; also *Madame Blavatsky, Light on the Path*, London, 1910; ed., 1906; *The Occult Old Energy*, London, 1906; New York, 1905-1904; Katherine Tingley, *Mastering the Heart*, London, 1904; Point Loma, 1907; *Lines and*

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 410

others, *Phil and Moses of Some Sacred Writings*, B. 1806; ... other works for and against, see J. C. F. Zolner, *Tholuck's Works*, Leipzig, 1867; W. J. G. ...

Prince Ghikas and another manuscript of the year 1104 which he obtained from Constantinople. Another work more widely known in the West is Tholuck's edition of the Greek translation of the apocryphal works of Isaac of Niniveh (qv): cf. *Philobios*, Haderl. xi. 120 made by the monks Patrisius and ...

THEOTOKOS, theotokos (THEOTOKOS), **THEOTOKOS**: Greek scholar and distinguished preacher, archbishop of Catharionav (Savannak) and Kherson and of Astrachan, b. on the island of Corfu Feb. 1731 (or Strals); others 1736; d. in Moscow May 21, 1810. He first came to the school of his native island, and continued there in Bologna and Padua. After returning to his fatherland he became hierodidaskalos in 1748 and hieromonachos in 1758. Until 1768 he was preacher and teacher in the school of Corfu, where he had studied. He was then preacher in Constantinople and resided in Germany for some years from 1770, school-director at Jany, 1774-77, after which he joined his friend Eugenio Bulgakov (qv) in Russia, where he succeeded as archbishop of Catharionav in 1779, and in 1788 was translated to Astrachan. In 1798 he was removed and thenceforth lived in retirement in the Thaurian monastery in Moscow. Tholuck's most cherished wish was to elevate his people religiously and spiritually. He therefore cultivated learning and used the modern Greek speech in his writings with the slight literary skill. He is to be classed with Adimantios Korias and Eugenio Bulgakov among the most influential Greeks of the eighteenth century who prepared the way for Hellenic independence, though he differed from these in that he held more closely to the traditional orthodoxy. In the West he is known chiefly in connection with the modern interest in the so-called *Catena Ligeiensis* (2 vols., 1772-73, of H. Karo and J. Lottmann, *Catena Graecorum catolice, Antiquitate et Graecitate per Tholuckem in Göttingen*, phil.-hist. Kluss, 1892) from a manuscript of the sixteenth century containing the entire *Oktaeuch* which he found in the library of

Prince Ghikas and another manuscript of the year 1104 which he obtained from Constantinople. Another work more widely known in the West is Tholuck's edition of the Greek translation of the apocryphal works of Isaac of Niniveh (qv): cf. *Philobios*, Haderl. xi. 120 made by the monks Patrisius and Alexandros (Leipzig, 1770). He also translated the "Golden Book" of Bahai Summi (Leipzig, 1769). This work, said to have been written in Arabic and translated into Latin by a Spaniard, Alfonso Duro, presents Summi, a rabbi of Mececo, writing to Bahai Enzer and expressing his fear that Anna was the Messiah, bearing the apprehension on the thousand years' duration of the oppression of the Jews, the Old Testament, and the Talmud. It was an apocryphal, however, that Tholuck was best known to his contemporaries, and his influence on the future development of Greek speaking was great. His sermons have been collected (5 vols., vol. 1, Leipzig, 1768, vols. 11-15, Moscow, 1792-1808). Tholuck's general reports were such that questions of dogmatic and practical problems in the cure of souls were often referred to him for answer. In this way a number of minor writings arose which are highly esteemed by the orthodox and exist in both Greek and Russian translation. A collection of letters of this sort was published at Athens in 1800 by Johannes Bakollon under the title "Unpublished Works." He also left works on mathematics and geography. [*An Evangelical and Evangelical Commentary upon Select Portions of the New Testament Founded on the Writings of Nicophorus Tholuck*, by S. Niekisch, was published in London in 1861.] (PETERL. MEYER.)

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA THEOTOKOS

food nor drink, but only the law, the prophetic, songs of praise, and the like. All day they contemplated the (Old Testament) Scriptures, deciphering the hidden sense from the words assumed as symbols. As models they had also the writings of ancient men, or the memoirs of their founders. Besides, they had songs and hymns in different meters. Their devotional chambers they left only after meals, for food and sleep, without consulting, as a rule, the threshold of the house. Fasting was carried on from three to six days in the week. On the seventh day as well as the forty-ninth and fiftieth, after anointing themselves with oil, they assembled in common celebration, the sexes being separated by a partition. On such days, they arrayed themselves in white garments and partook of a common meal, prescribed to consist of bread, salt, honey, and water. The leader delivered a discourse, which was followed by philosophizing on the part of the members, interpreted with singing. Then the holy table was brought in, containing the most sacred vessels, lavased bread and salt. The allegorical significance referring to the table in the temple and the distinction of the holy ones (priests) from others is obscure. Thus followed an all-night vigil, consisting of exhilarating choral song and dance, in imitation of that of Milan upon the deliverance from the Red Sea (Ex. xv. 1-21). The first to mention this writing was Gousselin (*Hist. eccl.*, II, xvi-xvii.; Eng. transl., *NPNF*, 2d ser., I, 116-119), who, professing to quote from Philo, regarded the Therapeutae as the oldest Alexandrian Christians, and that their practices were to him the weightiest proof that the Christian asceticism of his day, the philosophizing asceticism, was original Christianly itself. This was a strong support to the conception of Christianity which prevailed in the Church at the time. Philo rose in estimation and Jerome placed him among the illustrious men of the Church, a dignity which remained unchallenged for a thousand years. Protestant criticism easily overturned this assumption, and declared the Therapeutae to have been a society of philosophizing Jews. Until recently this view prevailed, and the appearance of the Therapeutae in the time of Christ was habitually employed to illustrate the divinization of the Jews in Alexandria. They were presumed to be the Alexandrian parallel to the Palestinian Essenes. H. Gratz first pointed out that they must be Christian monks of the third century (*Geschichte der Juden*, III, 463-465, 2d ed., Leipzig, 1862). The result of the investigation of P. F. Lacina (*Die Therapeuten und ihre Stellung in der Geschichte der Aeltesten*, Stralburg, 1879) was as follows: the work was produced not long before the time of Eusebius by a literary philosophic author of ascetic temperament or a panegyric of asceticism; and to secure the weight of antiquity and authority he attached Philo's name. Thus the existence of the sect was most improbable among the Jews of the Alexandrian period, was shown on internal grounds. Besides, although represented to be scattered world-wide no writer before Eusebius mentioned them, nor did Philo in any other of his writings. Philo could neither have intended nor composed it as an appendix to the

Quod omnia probus liber. Not only the details but the philo-sophic-ascetic ideals of the author with their main attacks upon Plato and Hellenism are inconsistent with Philo's Christianity for Hellenic culture. That it was a copywork of about the year 300 was shown because (1) Eusebius, who knew Christian monasticism, rediscovered the Jewish monks in the Therapeutae; (2) sects based on the Old Testament but who stripped off the Jewish national character are unknown in Judaism; (3) if Christian monks are at the basis, the writing can not be earlier than the middle of the third century. In conclusion, Lacina, from his thorough acquaintance with monasticism before Constantine, was able to point out the detailed correspondence of the Therapeutae to Christian monks, even after the author had veiled unequivocal Christian marks. Nevertheless, if this prove conclusive, yet the writing would open glimpses in many points into an ancient Christian monasticism hitherto unknown. At least not in every respect would it stand isolated to modern knowledge (see HIERASCAS, HIERASCITES); it would locate itself in some offshoot from Origen, but in detail would contain much that is new and striking, since reference to Gnostic communication is not to be thought of. But new light has been brought to bear on the work by P. C. Couperus, and by P. Wendland (*Die Therapeuten*, Leipzig, 1900). The latter showed that the work corresponds philologically with the genuine tract, and points out, from traditional historical considerations, that it was already in existence by the middle of the third century. He made it seem probable, further, that the inconsistency with other works of Philo does not necessarily invalidate unity of authorship; and that the tract was a continuation of the description of the Essenes, and therefore a part of the last *De Judo*. *Judaicae apologeticae*, which is identified with the *Hypopostolice*. If, until further proof to the contrary, the work is to be taken as genuine, then the Therapeutae are to be recognized as a circle of Jewish contemplative students of Scripture settled on Lake Maronitis. If the whole is literally true, Philo has introduced much that is extraordinary and strange; and that he is silent about them elsewhere remains striking. With the Essenes the Therapeutae have no connection.

(A. HANSEN.)

THEOPHILUS: The completion of the edition of Philo's *De vita contemplativa* by F. C. Couperus noted in the next, including the commentary and a most complete list of names. See *Monasteria et loca* above. The Therapeutae, together with the ascetical bibliography (pp. 211-260), make up the most important part of the work. Investigation of the subject should not be undertaken without a mastery of what Couperus has offered. Early mention of him occurs in the work of H. Bouquet, *Les Religions des Antiquités*, pp. 443-454, Berlin, 1892; *RCR*, IV, 316-371.

THEREMIN, LUDWIG FRIEDRICH FRANZ: Distinguished preacher and professor in Berlin; b. at Grossenau (6 1/2 m. N. of Berlin) Mar. 19, 1790; d. in Berlin Sept. 20, 1846. He studied at Halle, and was ordained in Geneva in 1816. From 1819 he lived in Berlin, first as French preacher of a Reformed congregation, after 1814 in a German reformed congregation. He became superior consistorial coun-

Theresa THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 412

editor and member of the department of education in 1804 and professor of homiletics in the University of Berlin in 1810. His preaching was characterized by scrupulous adherence to purity and correctness of form, with earnest striving to enforce the truth by all the arts of eloquence; its content was the Biblical Christ, the pure Evangelical truth. Ten volumes of sermons (Berlin, 1813 seq., in repeated editions and various forms) preserve his discourses, and *Die Bredensamkeit eines Tages*, oder *Gedanken eines evangelischen Predigers* (Berlin, 1814; Eng. transl. by W. G. T. Shedd, *Eloquence in Form*, Andrew, 1853, new ed., 1872) expounds his homiletical principles. In *Die Lehre vom göttlichen Reiche* (Berlin, 1822) Theresa seeks to develop the entire moral and dogmatic basis of Christianity from the concept of the kingdom of God. *Adelberts Lebensgeschichte* (Berlin, 1826; Eng. transl., *Confessions of Adelbert*, London, 1838) is apologetic in character, presenting the story of a life long restless and troubled because of devotion to the world and unbelief, then by providential leading and subjective receptivity brought to faith and Christian fellowship. *Abendstunden* (3 vols., Berlin, 1828-30) was Theresa's most popular work; it is a collection of religious poems, stories, letters, and the like, often showing more rhetoric than true poetic form, yet containing many meritorious productions. His last publication was *Demutigkeit und Mäßigkeit, ein Lehrgang zur Glückseligkeit des Privatmenschen* (Berlin, 1842). (C. von FALKENB.)

BRUNSWICK: *ADB*, xxviii, 774.

TERESA, her'n or 46-64 (TERESA DE JESUS), SAINT: Spanish mystic and monastic reformer; b. at Avila (28 mi. n.w. of Madrid), 1648; Canillo, Mar. 28, 1615; d. at Avila Oct. 4, 1682. The deeply pious and ascetic ideal of saints and martyrs was early instilled in her by her father, the knight Alonso Sanchez de Cepeda, and especially by her mother, Beatriz de Ayala y Ahumada. Leaving her parental home secretly one morning in 1534, she entered the monastery of the Incarnation of the Carmelite nuns at Avila. In the cloister she suffered much from illness. Early in her sickness she experienced perfect spiritual ecstasy through the use of the devotional book, *Alejandro's spiritual alphabet* (published, six parts, 1577-1584). This work, following the example of similar writings of the medieval mystics, consisted of directions for acts of devotion and for spiritual concentration and inner contemplation, known in mystical anatomy as *oratio mentalis* or *oratio mentalis*. Besides, she employed other mystical ascetic works, such as the *Practica de oratione* of mystic Father of Alcantara (q.v.), and perhaps many of those upon which Ignatius Loyola based his *Exercise*, and not improbably this *Exercise* itself. She professed, in her illness, to rise from the lowest stage, "recollection," to the "devotion of peace" or even to the "devotion of union," which was out of perfect ecstasy. With this was frequently joined a rich "blossom of tears." As the newly enter and void Roman Catholic distinction between mortal and venial sin dawned

upon her, she came upon the secret of the awful terror of sinful iniquity, and the inherent nature of original sin. With this was correlated the consciousness of utter natural impotence and the necessity of absolute submission to God. The initiation on the part of various of her friends (c. 1556) of a disordered and divine element in her supernatural experiences led her to the most horrible self-inflicted tortures and mortifications, far in excess of her ordinary asceticism, until Francisco Diego, to whom she had made confessions, persuaded her. On St. Peter's Day of 1559 she became firmly convinced that Christ was present to her in bodily form, though invisible. This vision lasted almost uninterrupted for more than two years. In another vision, a search drove the fiery point of a golden lance repeatedly through her heart, causing an unexamined, as it were, spiritual bodily pain. The memory of this episode served as an inspiration in determining her long struggle of love and suffering, from which emanated her life-long passion for conformity to the life and endurance of the Savior, to be epitomized in the cry usually inscribed as a motto upon her images: "Lord, either let me suffer or let me die."

The incentive to give outward practical expression to her inward motive was inspired in Theresa by Peter of Alcantara (q.v.). Initiating Theresa's devotion, he became acquainted with her early in 1560, and because her spiritual guide and confessor. She now reformer, solved to found a Carmelite monastery for nuns, and to reform the habit which she had found in the cloister of the Incarnation and others. Guzman de Ulfia, a woman of wealth and a friend, supplied the funds. The absolute poverty of the new monastery established in 1562 and named St. Joseph's, at first excised a small amount of estates and portions of Avila, and the little house with its chapel was in part of equipment; but powerful persons like the bishop himself, as well as the impression of well-secured substance and property, turned almost into application. In Mar., 1565, when Theresa removed to the new cloister, she received the papal sanction to her prime principle of absolute poverty and renunciation of property, which she proceeded to formulate into a "Constitution." Her plan was the revival of the earlier stricter rule, supplemented by new regulations like the three disciplines of ceremonial flagellation prescribed for the divine service every week, and the discolouration of the nuns, or the substitution of leather or wooden sandals for shoes. For the first five years Theresa remained in pious seclusion, engaged in writing. In 1567 she received a point from the Cardinal general, Rubeo de Bavausa, to establish new houses of her order, and in this effort and later visitations she made long journeys through nearly all the provinces of Spain. Of those she gave a description in her *de las Perfecciones* in late ed., Madrid, 1880; Eng. transl., *Book of the Foundations*, London, 1871. Between 1567 and 1571, reform convents were established at Medina del Campo, Malaga, Valladolid, Toledo, Paterna, Salamanca, and Alba de Tormes. After her spirit and example,

418 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Theresa

a similar movement for nuns was begun by Juan de la Cruz. Another friend, Gerónimo Gracian, Carmelite vicar-general of the observations of Andalusia and apostolic commissioner, and later provincial of the Theresa reforms, gave her powerful support in founding convents at Segovia (1571), Yaso de Segura (1574), Sevilla (1575), and Carmona in Murcia (1576), while the deeply mystical Juan, by his power as teacher and preacher promoted the inner life of the movement. In 1578 began a series of persecutions on the part of the older observant Carmelite order against Theresa, her friends, and her reform. Pursuant to a body of resolutions adopted at the general chapter at Plasencia, the "definitors" of the order forbade all further founding of convents. The general conditional her voluntary retirement to one of her institutions, she obeyed and chose St. Joseph at Toledo. Her friends and adherents were subjected to greater trials. Finally, after several years her pleadings by letter with Philip II. secured relief. As a result, in 1579, the process before the Inquisition against her, Gracian, and others were dropped, and the extension of the reform was at least negatively permitted. A brief of Gregory XIII. allowed a special provincial for the younger branch of the discalced nuns, and a royal rescript created a protective board of four assessors for the reform. During the last three years of her life Theresa founded convents at Villanueva de la Xara in northern Andalusia (1580), Palencia (1580), Salamanca (1581), Burgos, and Granada (1582). In all seventeen institutions, all but one founded by her, and in many men's cloisters were due to her reform activity of twenty years. Her final illness overtook her on one of her journeys from Burgos to Alba de Tormes. Forty years after her death she was canonized, and her church reveres her as the "simple virgin." The Cortes elected her to patroness of Spain in 1614, and the university previously conferred the title *Doctor ecclæ* with a diploma. The mysticism in her works exerted a formative influence upon many theologians of the following century, such as Francis de Sales, Flacian, and the Port Royalists.

The kernel of Theresa's mystical thought throughout all her writings is the ascent of the soul in four steps: ("Autobiography," chap. v-xiii). The first, or "hour's devotion," is that of devout contemplation or concentration, the withdrawal of the soul from without and specially the devout observance of the passion of Christ and petition.

The second is the "devotion of peace," in which at least the human will is climaxed.

The third is the "devotion of union," in which the other faculties, as memory, reason, and imagination, are not yet so free from worldly distraction. While a partial distraction is due to outer performances such as repetition of prayer and writing down spiritual things, yet the prevailing state is one of quietude. The "devotion of union" is not only a supernatural but an essentially ecstatic state. Here there is also an absorption of the reason in God, and only the memory and imagination are left to ramble. This state is characterized by a blissful peace, a sweet chamber of at least

the higher soul faculties, a conscious rapture in the love of God. The fourth is the "devotion of ecstasy or rapture," a passive state in which the consciousness of being in the body disappears (II Cor. xiii, 5-8). Sense actively ceases, memory and imagination are also absorbed in God or intoxicated. Body and spirit are in the throng of a sweet, happy pain, alternating between a fearful fiery glow, a complete impotence and unconsciousness, and a spell of stagnation, intermission sometimes by such an ecstatic flight that the body is literally lifted into space. This after half an hour is followed by a reactionary relaxation of a few hours in a swoon-like weakness, attended by a cessation of all the faculties in the union with God. From this the subject awakes in tears; it is the climax of mystical experience, productive of the trances.

Theresa's writings, professed for didactic purposes, stand among the most remarkable in the mystical literature of the Roman Catholic Church; the "Autobiography," written before

her 1567, under the direction of her confessor, Pedro Dantes (*La Vida de la Santa Madre Teresa de Jesus*, Madrid, 1882; Eng. transl., *The Life of St. Teresa of Jesus*, London, 1883); *Contra de Perfeccion*, written also before 1567, at the direction of her confessor (Salamanca, 1589); Eng. transl., *The Way of Perfection*, London, 1852); *El Castillo Interior*, written in 1577 (Eng. transl., *The Interior Castle*, London, 1882), comparing the contemplative soul to a castle with seven successive interior courts, or chambers, analogous to the seven heavens; and *Flores*, an extension of the autobiography giving her inner and outer experiences in epistolary form. Two smaller works are *Cooperates of Amor and Esclarecimiento*. Besides, there are the *Cartas* (Saragossa, 1671), or correspondence, of which there are 342 letters and 27 fragments of others. Theresa's prose is marked by an unaffected grace, an earnest sentiment, and charming power of expression, together playing her in the front rank of Spanish prose writers, and her rare poems (*Poesías*, Munster, 1834) are distinguished for tenderness of feeling and rhythm of thought. Of complete editions of Theresa's works should be noted: *Obras santas obras* (6 vols., Madrid, 1881), by V. de la Fuente; and for beauty and accuracy of style, the French translation by Anauld d'Andilly, *Les Oeuvres de Sainte Thérèse* (Paris, 1855, new ed., 1867-69).

(G. ZICKLER.)

BRUNSWICK: The "Life of Theresa" after the original, by E. de Villiers, was first issued, Madrid, 1580, under the title of *La Vida de la Santa Madre Teresa de Jesus*. Her autobiography, preserved in the monastery of S. Lorenzo in the Escorial, was first issued, Madrid, 1882, and is in Fr. transl., Paris, 1911, 1912, 1880, Germ. transl., Berlin, 1885, Eng. transl., London, 1883. *Contra de Perfeccion*, 1689, Fr. transl., London, Oct. 16, 1687-90. Later ed. see in *Die Heilige Teresa*, Madrid, 1889, Fr. transl., Paris, 1885. *El Castillo Interior*, S. 1811; A. de S. Augustin, II vols., p. 173-96. F. A. B. de S. Augustin, 1784; M. de S. Augustin, 1807. J. B. A. Brindley, p. 180, 1810. F. de S. Augustin, 1807. J. B. A. Brindley, 1807. *Cartas*, 1806. Ed. Comites. Habebat. Munster, 1834. P. Bouquet, *Les Oeuvres de Sainte Thérèse*, pp. 258-378. Paris, 1867. E. Bodo, *Recherches*, 1891; J. Bach, *Recherches*, 1891. Also, *Theresa's letters*.

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

und Max I. von Bayern (Nördlingen, 1868); Das Verbot der Ehe innerhalb der nahen Verwandtschaft nach der heiligen Schrift und nach den Grundsätzen der christlichen Kirche (1869); Die Genese (Basel, 1869); Das Buch Daniel (Basel, 1870); Über den christlichen Staat (1873); Christian Heinrich Zeller's Leben (2 vols. Basel, 1876); Die Ägypter der heiligen Geschichte, nach dem J. Buche Moses beschrieben (1877); Über die Gefährden und die Heilung der christlichen Kirche (1877); Blöcke in die Lebensgeschichte des Propheten Daniel (1884); Lebenslauf der christlichen Kirche (1877); posthumous, contains a manual of Christian doctrine and Christian life which he used in his catechetical instruction. (P. SCHAFF) D. S. SCHAFF. Bismarck: p. Wisand, ff. p. J. Franke's Leben, Bam., 1888 (early manuscript); Bismarck-Herzog. ff. ca. 489-492; ADG, xxviii, 17.

THIETMAR, TH'ndr (DITHEMAR): Bishop of Merseburg; b. July 25, 975; d. Dec. 1, 1018. He was a Saxon, son of Count Sigfried of Wilbeck, and related to the imperial family. He studied in the abbey of Quedlinburg and in Magdeburg, and became bishop of Merseburg in 1009. Starting with the intention of writing a history of his diocese, he produced a "Chronicle" (ed. J. M. Lappenberg, MGH, Script. iii, 1355, pp. 723-877; transl. F. Kurze, Script. rer. Germ., 1889) which is in fact a history of the empire with the neighboring Germanic and Slavic states, and forms the most important source for the later Saxon emperors. A manuscript preserved in Dresden, published in facsimile by L. Schmidt, Dresden, 1905 written by Thietmar himself shows how he worked, amending and adding to the original draft with unerring industry. Naturally this method creates the impression that Thietmar did not fully master his subject; his judgment and opinions are narrow, and his style is dry. But he knew and saw much, was a lover of truth, and was devoted to his task. For the manners and customs of his time he has almost the same importance as Gregory of Tours for the Merovingian period. (A. HATZEL.) Bismarck: p. Wisand, pp. 10-12; also, Festschriften der evangel. Kirchenvereine 1870; also, Festschriften der ev. ev. 489 sqq.; W. Grimm, Zeitschr. f. d. d. 114 sqq.; Harnack, 1884; Bismarck, ADG, ix, 168-69; ADG, xxviii, 26.

THILO, vitz, JOHANN KARL: Professor in Halle; b. at Langensalza (19 m. n.w. of Erfurt), Thüringen, Nov. 28, 1781; d. in Halle, May 17, 1853. He studied at Schulpfort 1800-14, then in Leipzig, and a final semester in Halle. In 1817 he became teacher in the Latin school of the Halle orphan asylum and also in the Royal Pedagogium of Franke's foundation, and filled the position five years. From 1819 he was privat-docent in theology in the university and in 1822 became professor. This lectured on the history of dogma, church history, systematic, practical, and the New Testament, taking up the last-named subject after the death of his father-in-law, C. C. Knapp (q.v.). He early gave attention to the New Testament apocrypha, to which his studies and knowledge peculiarly fitted him, and planned a

comprehensive edition of the entire series of writings with two volumes of comment; but in spite of much labor he published only the Acts of Thomas (Leipzig, 1822), Peter and Paul (1838), Andrew and Matthew (1840), fragments of the Acts of John by Leontius Charinus (1847), and Codex apocryphus Novi Testamenti, vol. 1, containing the gospels (1852). His last great undertaking, a Bibliotheca patrum Graecorum dogmatica, also remained incomplete; only one volume (Socri, Abissinorum episcopi dionysii aetate, Leipzig, 1853) having appeared. Certain dissertations (Frankf. Altarhistor. critica, Halle, 1834; De calce empyre commentationes iii, 1839-40; Commentationes in Epistolam Iacobi ca. 1843-43) were the fruit of deep studies of the Neoplatonists. In German Thilo published a Kritik des Judentums an Augustin über die Schriften des Eusebius von Caesarea und die Eusebius von Emisa (1832) and an introduction to an edition of Knapp's Verlesungen (2 vols., 1827). He belonged to none of the theological parties of the first half of the nineteenth century, though he often pronounced Schleiermacher the greatest theologian of the German Church since Luther, and he maintained cordial relations with the two schools into which teachers and scholars in Halle were dividing in his time, desiring most of all to study with mind ever open to receive new truth. (E. HENCKS.) Bismarck: The second section by H. U. Wendt was published at Halle, 1853. Gesamt-fürter ADG, xxviii, 47 sqq.

THIRLWALL, thir'wul, CONNOP: English bishop and historian; b. in London, Feb. 11, 1797; d. at Bath July 27, 1875. He followed such remarkable proficiency that in 1809 he published, under his father's direction, a volume of essays and poems entitled Princeton. He was educated at the Charterhouse and Trinity College, Cambridge (B.A., 1818; fellow, 1819); entered Lincoln's Inn, 1820, and was called to the bar (1822); abandoned law and returned to Cambridge, 1827; was ordained deacon, 1827, and priest, 1828. He then took a full share of university and college work, and was assistant tutor, 1828-31; was vicar of Otford; rector of Kirby Underdale, Yorkshire, 1834-40; and bishop of St. David's, 1840-74. He was an active member of the Old Testament Revision Company. He translated with J. Hare from Nicholas Leake, and B. of the History of Rome (London, 1823 sqq.); and was the author of A History of Greece (3 vols., 1833-47); Our Works (1842); vol. 1, of Watson and Cochrane's Practical Sermons, 1845-46; The Irish Church: A Speech delivered to the House of Lords (1849); Remains Literary and Theological (3 vols., 1877-79); and Letters, Literary and Theological (1881).

Bismarck: A Memoir by Rev. Louis Stöckel is printed in the Letters, at sup. Gesamt-fürter: F. W. Conant, 1910 (quits full); ADG, iv, 138-141 (after reference to sections).

THIRTEEN, JAMES WILLIAM: English Non-conformist; b. at Lonsdale (60 m. n.w. of Liverpool), Suffolk, Jan. 23, 1834. He was privately educated and was on the editorial staff of the Staffordshire Sentinel (1875-84) and the Terpney

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

THIRTY-ONE ARTICLES. THE

THIRTY-ONE ARTICLES. THE

The Thirty-nine Articles differ from the more elaborate confessions of the sixteenth century in form, but agree with them in spirit. As compared with the later confessions of the period of Dort and the Westminster Confession, they are more English in tone and lack the metaphysical and dogmatic prominence of the latter. Attempt to the Ten Articles of 1539 (q.v.), and the Formable Six Articles of 1539 (q.v.) issued in the reign of Henry VIII, prepared in 1562, the way for a final statement of doctrinal controversies. King Henry VIII, was hardly a Protestant, but he advanced the English Reformation by abolishing the jurisdiction of the pope in England and proclaiming his authority to be no greater than that of any other bishop, as well as by suppressing a large number of English monasteries and sequestering their revenues. The positive Reformation was first fairly introduced during the reign of Edward VI. (1547-53) under the lead of Archbishop Cranmer. Cranmer at first entertained the noble but premature project of framing an Evangelical catholic creed, in which all the Reformed churches could agree in opposition to the Church of Rome, then holding the Council of Trent, and he invited the surviving continental Reformers—Melancthon, Calvin, and Bullinger—to London for the purpose. Calvin was willing to cross ten seas for such a work of Christian union, and so replied to Cranmer in 1552 (the correspondence is in Cranmer's Works, Parker Society ed., 439-423, 1905). But political events prevented the conference, and in the formulation of the doctrinal consensus of the Reformed churches.

Failing in this scheme, Cranmer fringed, with the aid of his fellow Reformers, the Forty-two Articles of Religion for the English reformed church. As early as 1549 he had drawn up a series of articles which were submitted to forty-two divines before issuance. These he Articles, revised and the council submitted them to a committee consisting of Grindal, Horne, John Knox, and others for examination. They were completed in short final form Nov. 1552. The title-page states also that they had the official sanction of convocation. But Cranmer stated at a later time that this was not true (cf. Dixon, 314 sqq.; J. Gardner, A History of the English Church from Henry VIII to Mary, p. 311, London, 1903). The establishment of the paper under the short reign of Mary (1553-58) set them aside.

Under Elizabeth (1558-1603) the Articles were XI—27

reduced to thirty-nine, and brought into the form which they have retained ever since in the Church of England. The Latin edition was prepared under the supervision of Archbishop Parker, with the aid of Bishop Cox of Ely (one of the Marian exiles) and Bishop Guest of Rochester, approved by convocation, and published by the royal printer, press, 1562, but with art. xxix, criticism and out by Elizabeth. The English collection of them, slightly different from the Latin, the Thirty, and containing the omitted art. xxix, nine articles was adopted by the two convocations in 1571, and issued under the editorial care of Bishop Jewel of Salisbury the same year. Seven of the forty-two articles were omitted (those bearing on the doctrine of Christ into Hades, the bishopric against the Holy Spirit, the Millennium, the sleep of the soul after death, etc.). Four new articles concerned the procession of the Holy Spirit, the administration of the cup to the laity, the failure of the unworthy to partake of Christ's body in the Lord's Supper, and a list of heresies. They were much binding on all ministers and teachers of religion, and students in the universities, but subscription was not always enforced with rigor. The Non-conformists, who had objections to the political articles, complained bitterly. The Act of Uniformity (see UNIFORMITY, Act of) under Charles II. (1662) imposed greater stringency than ever; but the Toleration Act (q.v.) of William and Mary gave some relief by exempting dissenting ministers from subscribing to arts. xxvii, xxviii, and a portion of xxvii. Subsequent attempts to relax or abolish subscription resulted at last in the University Tests Act of 1871, which exempted all students and graduates in the universities of Oxford, Cambridge, and Durham, except divinity students, fellows, professors, and heads of colleges, from subscription, and throws these institutions open to Dissenters.

The Thirty-nine Articles are among the most important doctrinal formulas of the Reformation period. They cover nearly all the heads of the Christian faith, especially those which were then under dispute with the Roman Catholics. They affirm (1) the catholic doctrine of the Trinity and the deity of Christ; (2) the doctrine of the Atonement; and (3) the Protestant doctrine on the authority of the Scriptures, "justification by faith only," the distinction between the visible and invisible Church, and the sacraments of baptism and the Lord's Supper. In common with other Protestant formularies, they condemn the doctrine of supererogatory works, purgatory, the worship of relics, the invocation of saints, clerical celibacy, the adoration of the host, and the mass. The efficacy of general councils is affirmed. The bishop of Rome is declared "to have no jurisdiction in England." They are borrowed, in part, from Luther's standards, namely the Augsburg Confession (1530) and the Württemberg Confession (1532); but on the sacraments, especially the much-disputed doctrine of the real presence in the Eucharist, they follow the Swiss reformers, Bullinger and Calvin. The

referred to thirty-nine, and brought into the form which they have retained ever since in the Church of England. The Latin edition was prepared under the supervision of Archbishop Parker, with the aid of Bishop Cox of Ely (one of the Marian exiles) and Bishop Guest of Rochester, approved by convocation, and published by the royal printer, press, 1562, but with art. xxix, criticism and out by Elizabeth. The English collection of them, slightly different from the Latin, the Thirty, and containing the omitted art. xxix, nine articles was adopted by the two convocations in 1571, and issued under the editorial care of Bishop Jewel of Salisbury the same year. Seven of the forty-two articles were omitted (those bearing on the doctrine of Christ into Hades, the bishopric against the Holy Spirit, the Millennium, the sleep of the soul after death, etc.). Four new articles concerned the procession of the Holy Spirit, the administration of the cup to the laity, the failure of the unworthy to partake of Christ's body in the Lord's Supper, and a list of heresies. They were much binding on all ministers and teachers of religion, and students in the universities, but subscription was not always enforced with rigor. The Non-conformists, who had objections to the political articles, complained bitterly. The Act of Uniformity (see UNIFORMITY, Act of) under Charles II. (1662) imposed greater stringency than ever; but the Toleration Act (q.v.) of William and Mary gave some relief by exempting dissenting ministers from subscribing to arts. xxvii, xxviii, and a portion of xxvii. Subsequent attempts to relax or abolish subscription resulted at last in the University Tests Act of 1871, which exempted all students and graduates in the universities of Oxford, Cambridge, and Durham, except divinity students, fellows, professors, and heads of colleges, from subscription, and throws these institutions open to Dissenters.

The Thirty-nine Articles are among the most important doctrinal formulas of the Reformation period. They cover nearly all the heads of the Christian faith, especially those which were then under dispute with the Roman Catholics. They affirm (1) the catholic doctrine of the Trinity and the deity of Christ; (2) the doctrine of the Atonement; and (3) the Protestant doctrine on the authority of the Scriptures, "justification by faith only," the distinction between the visible and invisible Church, and the sacraments of baptism and the Lord's Supper. In common with other Protestant formularies, they condemn the doctrine of supererogatory works, purgatory, the worship of relics, the invocation of saints, clerical celibacy, the adoration of the host, and the mass. The efficacy of general councils is affirmed. The bishop of Rome is declared "to have no jurisdiction in England." They are borrowed, in part, from Luther's standards, namely the Augsburg Confession (1530) and the Württemberg Confession (1532); but on the sacraments, especially the much-disputed doctrine of the real presence in the Eucharist, they follow the Swiss reformers, Bullinger and Calvin. The

Thirty-Nine Articles

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

418

doctrine of transubstantiation is declared to be "repugnant to the plain words of Scripture." The degree of repugnance is not referred to and in the statement of the degree of election the more mild form of the second Helvetian Confession is insisted.

In the political sections they teach the Erastian doctrine of the spiritual as well as temporal supremacy of the sovereign as the supreme governor of the Church of England. They have, therefore, an eclectic and compromiser character, which distinguishes the Anglican Church from the Lutheran and the strictly Calvinistic churches of the continent and Scotland, and from the dissenting denominations of England.

The Thirty-nine Articles must be understood in their plain grammatical sense; and, when this is doubtful, the private writings of Cranmer and other English Reformers and the Elizabethan divines must be called to aid. The

5. Interpretations of the individual sacraments dispersed the Thirty-nine Articles, and John Henry Newman in Tract 90, *Remarks on Certain Passages in the Thirty-nine Articles*, tried to show that art. vi., on justification by faith only, does not exclude the doctrine of justification by works, that art. xxv. does not deny that the five sacraments are sacraments in some sense, that arts. vi. and xx. on the authority of Scripture, do not exclude the doctrine of the authority of Catholic tradition, etc.

The doctrinal decisions in the *Galileo* (Italian Case), *Bonnet*, and other controversies, favor great latitude in their interpretation. High-scholasticism gives to the Articles a place subordinate to the Book of Common Prayer, which is followed when the Articles really are, or seem to be, in contradiction to it, as in the implications it allows in the doctrine of baptismal regeneration, the real presence in the Eucharist, and the sacerdotal character of the ministry.

The Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States, after offering an independent opinion on the episcopacy in consequence of the American Revolution, generally adopted the Thirty-nine Articles of the mother-church at the Trenton, N. J., Sept. 12, 1801, but with sundry Episcopal alterations and omissions in the political articles (xxx., xxxvi.) which the separation of Church and State made necessary. The American revision omits all allusion to the Athanasian Creed (Art. viii.), which is also excluded from the American edition of the Prayer-Book. By this omission the Episcopal Church in the United States has escaped the application of the English Church on that creed.

(Praxis Scriptura.) D. S. SCHAFF. **REMARKS:** The text (Latin and English, with the *Interpretation*) is given in *Handb. Church Hist.*, i. 497-502. Consult: *Thomas Rogers, Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles*, London, 1779; *Gustavus Adolphus, History of the Reformation of the Church of Sweden*, London, 1879-1711 and others. Very full others: *H. Lantieri, A History of the Thirty-nine Articles of the Church of England*, London, 1887; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502.

see Article, Catholics, 1835; *H. W. Brown, An Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles*, London, 1820 and then the most useful commentary, also ed. by J. WEIS, *Handb. of Church Hist.*, London, 1887; *C. Herdwick, A History of the Articles of Religion*, London, 1813, 1821; *J. F. Murray, An Introduction to the Thirty-nine Articles of the General Confession of the Protestant Episcopal Church in the United States*, 1782-1793; i. 279 pp., New York, 1861; A. F. Forster, *An Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles*, London, 1827; *W. H. Stone, Remarks on Certain Passages in the Thirty-nine Articles of the Church of England*, in 326 pp., London, 1832; *H. P. De Cuba*, New York, 1860; *H. W. Dixon, History of the Church of England*, in 326 pp., London, 1852; *H. P. De Cuba*, New York, 1860; *H. W. Dixon, History of the Church of England*, in 326 pp., London, 1852; *H. P. De Cuba*, New York, 1860; *H. W. Dixon, History of the Church of England*, in 326 pp., London, 1852; *H. P. De Cuba*, New York, 1860; *H. W. Dixon, History of the Church of England*, in 326 pp., London, 1852.

THIRTY-YEARS WAR, THE: The great religious struggle of the seventeenth century (1618-1648) between the Protestants and the Roman Catholics, Germany being the chief arena of conflict. Of how much a character the whole affair was, may be seen from the circumstance that, though Roman Catholics on the one side (headed by Austria, Spain, and Bavaria), and Protestants on the other side, under various leaders (Bohemia, Denmark, and Sweden), always formed the groundwork of the party position, Roman-Catholic powers, as, for instance, France, would at times ally themselves with the Protestants, and Protestant powers with the Roman Catholics, as, for instance, the electors of Brandenburg and Saxony.

The war began in Bohemia. In 1617 Ferdinand of Styria, a brother of the Emperor Matthias, a pupil of the Jesuits and a fanatical enemy of Protestantism, was crowned king of Bohemia; and persecutions were immediately instituted against the Protestants. But the Protestants, under the leadership of Count Thurn, penetrated into the castle of Prague, threw the imperial commissioners out of the window (May 23, 1618), organized a general strike throughout the country, entered into alliance with Bethlen Gabor, prince of Transylvania, and the Evangelical Union in Germany; and as Matthias died on Mar. 20, 1619, and Ferdinand shortly after succeeded him as emperor, they declared the Bohemian throne vacant, and offered it to the young elector-palatine, Frederick V., a son-in-law of James I. of England. He accepted the offer, but was very unpopular. The Protestant army was completely routed in the battle at the White Hill, just outside the walls of Prague, Nov. 8, 1620, by Tilly, the commander of the imperial army, which chiefly consisted of the contingent of the Holy League; and Bohemia was speedily reduced to order; that is, more than thirty thousand families belonging to the Lutheran or the Reformed denomination were driven out of the country, and their property, valued at more than forty million crowns, was confiscated. Next year the Palatinate was invaded by a Spanish army under Spinola; and at the diet of Bogenhausen, March 6, 1623, Frederick was put under the ban of the empire, and the Palatinate was given to Maximilian of Bavaria. In 1625 the Evangelical prince of Germany again rallied under the ban of Christian IV., king of Denmark; but he was utterly defeated in the battle at Lutterbeck, 27, 1626, by Tilly. The

419

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Thirty-Nine Articles

Danish peninsula was flooded with imperial troops; and the peace of Lulea, May 22, 1629, made an end of the direct participation of Denmark in the war.

In June, 1630, Gustavus Adolphus, king of Sweden, landed in Germany; and in a very short time occupied Pomerania and Mecklenburg. Gustavus Adolphus was a Christian hero, a great general, and a great statesman. The hope of conquest, of making the Baltic a Swedish sea, was no doubt, one of his motives in taking up the cause of the Protestants in Germany, but his conviction of the justice of that cause was as surely another, and perhaps the stronger one. His army was at first of an infantry superior in moral character to the armies of Tilly and Wallenstein. The Swedish soldiers of Gustavus Adolphus assembled the Ironsides of Cromwell. Tilly was defeated at Breitenfeld, and on the Lech. In the latter battle he was killed and his army scattered. But Ferdinand charged Wallenstein with the formation of a new army, which encouraged that of Gustavus Adolphus at Lützen. Wallenstein was defeated; but Gustavus Adolphus fell, and the emperor found breathing-room again. Though Wallenstein remained inactive in Bohemia, where he finally was assassinated at Eger, Feb. 25, 1634, the standard of the Swedish army rapidly sank after the death of Gustavus Adolphus; and the Protestant army suffered a severe defeat at Nördlingen, Sept. 6, 1634, after which the electors of Brandenburg and Saxony deserted the Protestant cause, made peace with the emperor, and turned against the Swedes.

Nevertheless, the position of the emperor continued to be very critical, and his prospects of final success were very small. Richelieu, whose whole foreign policy turned upon the humiliation of the house of Austria as its true rival, and who for that very reason had subsidized the Swedes from the very beginning, now took the army of Duke Bernhard of Saxe-Weimar into the French service; and the war against Austria and her allies was carried on with a fierceness and cruelty hitherto unheard of. In 1646 no less than a hundred villages were burned down in Bavaria, and the inhabitants driven away. And at the same time the Swedish general Torstensson developed an activity which seemed to threaten the very existence of the Hapsburg dynasty. He defeated one Austrian army under Foccoloni at Breitenfeld, Nov. 2, 1642, and another under Hattendorf at Jankow, Mar. 6, 1645; and he actually approached Vienna in order to form a coalition with Prince Rakoczy of Transylvania, and laid siege to the city. The immediate danger shifted away by the somewhat peculiar proceedings of Rakoczy. But Austria was completely exhausted and the peace of Westphalia (see Westphalia, Peace of), Oct. 24, 1648, was an necessary to her as was welcome to Germany, which by protestants, and equally devastated from one side to the other.

REMARKS: The best bibliography is that in *Dalman-Wells, Geschichte der evangelischen Kirchen*, 718 et seq. *H. Bruns, Geschichte der evangelischen Kirchen*, 1914-18. On the *Protestantism*, see *H. Bruns, Geschichte der evangelischen Kirchen*, 1914-18. On the *Protestantism*, see *H. Bruns, Geschichte der evangelischen Kirchen*, 1914-18. On the *Protestantism*, see *H. Bruns, Geschichte der evangelischen Kirchen*, 1914-18.

and Allen see *Geschichte der evangelischen Kirchen*, in *Handb. Church Hist.*, i. 497-502. Consult: *Thomas Rogers, Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles*, London, 1779; *Gustavus Adolphus, History of the Reformation of the Church of Sweden*, London, 1879-1711 and others. Very full others: *H. Lantieri, A History of the Thirty-nine Articles of the Church of England*, London, 1887; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502.

and Allen see *Geschichte der evangelischen Kirchen*, in *Handb. Church Hist.*, i. 497-502. Consult: *Thomas Rogers, Exposition of the Thirty-nine Articles*, London, 1779; *Gustavus Adolphus, History of the Reformation of the Church of Sweden*, London, 1879-1711 and others. Very full others: *H. Lantieri, A History of the Thirty-nine Articles of the Church of England*, London, 1887; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502; *Handb. of Church Hist.*, i. 497-502.

THIELSD, WALTER: Danish poet; b. in Aarhus (100 m. n.w. of Copenhagen), Denmark, Feb. 28, 1815; d. in Copenhagen, Oct. 14, 1892. He became a teacher in 1845, a minister in Slawick, 1852; and, in 1862, in Timmerup, Zealand. His romances and stories were very popular; he was the author, under pseudonym of Howard, of *Ilse von Hvide* (Copenhagen, 1866); English translation, *Ilse von Hvide*, 2 vols., London, 1866; 1 vol., New York, 1885; and under the pseudonym of E. H. Saint Hermand and Howard, of many other publications.

THORURN, JAMES MILLS: Methodist Episcopal bishop; b. at St. Clairville, O., Mar. 7, 1836. He was educated at Allegheny College, Meadville, Pa. (A.B., 1857); was circuit preacher in Ohio (1857-1859); and in 1860 was a missionary before ordained successively at Naini Tal, Punjab, Moradabad, Lucknow, Calcutta, and Shimla from 1859 to 1883. He was then preaching elder of the Indian conference in the United States (1883-85), and in 1885 was elected missionary bishop of his church, with residence at Calcutta until 1896 and subsequently at Bombay. His jurisdiction extends over the Philippine, Iles

Tholuck the Apostle THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 480

In the author of *My Missionary Apprenticeship* (New York, 1884); *Missionary Sermons* (1885); *India and Missions* (1890); *The Dominion and the Mission* (1891); *Light in the East* (1894); *The Christian Nations* (1896); *The Church of Pentecost* (1899); *Life of Immanuel Tholuck* (1903); *The Christian Congress of India* (1906); and *India and Southern Asia* (1907).

BRUNNEN, W. H. Gieseler, ed., *Tholuck and India*, New York, 1909.

THOLUCK, Immanuel, FRIEDRICH AUGUST GOTTFRIED: German divine and jurist; ancestor: b. at Breslau, Mar. 30, 1799; d. at Halle June 10, 1877. Descended from very humble parents, he first learned a trade, but by the assistance of friends attended the gymnasium of his native city, and the university of Berlin. When he left college, he delivered an address on "The Superiority of the Oriental World over the Christian," which was chiefly a eulogy on Mohammedanism. But during his university course he was thoroughly converted from his pantheism and skepticism, under the influence of the lectures of Schleiermacher and Neander, and more especially by personal intercourse with Baron Ernst von Kottwitz, a member of the Moravian brotherhood, who combined high social standing and culture with an amiable type of piety. In 1821 he was graduated as licentiate of theology, and began to deliver lectures as privat-docent; in 1824 he was appointed extraordinary professor of oriental literature. In 1825 he made a literary journey to Holland and England at the expense of the Prussian government, and in 1828 was called to the university of Halle as ordinary professor of theology, which position he occupied till his death, with the exception of a brief period (1827-29), which he spent in Rome as chaplain of the Prussian embassy. In Halle he had at first to suffer much opposition and reproach from the prevailing criticism of his colleagues (Gieseler and Wepesloeder), but succeeded in effecting a radical change; and the whole theological faculty of Halle later became decidedly Evangelical. On Dec. 2, 1870, his friends celebrated the jubilee of his professorship. The university and magistracy of Halle and obsequies of several universities and of all schools of theology took part in it; and his pupils in Europe and America founded a seminary adjoining his own home, for beneficent students of theology, as a perpetual memorial of his devotion to students. He was always in delicate health, but by strict temperance and great regularity of habits he managed to do an unusual amount of work till within the last years of his life. He was inconstant in his lectures, presided regularly at university chaplains, and found time to write many books.

His principal works are as follows: *Die Lehre von der Sünde und dem Verbrechen, oder die unsterbliche Seele des Menschen* (Berlin, 1824, and others); Eng. transl., *Guilt and Justice. The Doctrine of Sin and the Propriety*, London, 1836; and *The Two Studies, Guilt and Justice*, 1855; written in answer to Dr. Wette's *Theodor, oder des Zwölfers Wechs* (Berlin, 1823); *Bildungslehre und der mangelhaften Mensch* (1825), a collection of translations from the mystic poets of the East; Commentary on

Romans (1825; Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1828-30, 1848), the first exegetical fruit of the new Evangelical theology; on St. Paul's Gospel (Hamburg, 1827; Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1836, Philadelphia, 1859), less thorough and permanent, but more popular, and better adapted for students, than his other commentaries; on the Sermon on the Mount (1835; Eng. transl., 2 vols., Edinburgh 1834-37, 1860), his most learned, elaborate, and valuable exegetical production; on Hebrews (1836; Eng. transl., Edinburgh, 1842); and on Paulus (1842); Eng. transl., Philadelphia, 1855); *Die Geschichtslehre der evangelischen Geschichte* (1837), a vindication of the Gospel against the mythical theory of Strauss; and *Stunden christlichen Andachts* (1846); several Eng. transl., *Hours of Devotion*, London, 1853, 1870, Edinburgh, 1873), containing several original hymns. In this book he pours out his fervent Evangelical piety with all the charms of fresh enthusiasm. He was one of the most eloquent German preachers of his day, and published a series of university sermons (collected in 5 vols., 3d ed., Götting, 1865-64, Eng. transl. of one volume, *Light from the Cross, Sermons on the Passion of our Lord*, Philadelphia, 1858). He issued also two very interesting vols. of "Miscellaneous Essays" (1839). His last works were contributions to German church history since the Reformation, derived in part from manuscript sources; namely, *Lehrbuch Theologie Wittenberg im 17. Jahrhundert* (Hamburg, 1852); *Das akademische Leben des 17. Jahrhunderts* (2 vols., Hamburg, 1852, 1854); and *Geschichte des Rationalismus* (part 1, Berlin, 1865, never finished). A complete edition of his works appeared 1865-74, in 11 vols. He also republished the commentaries of Calvin on the Gospel and Epistles, and his *Lehrbuch Christianer Religionis*. He conducted for several years a literary periodical, and contributed largely to the first edition of the *Encyclopädie für protestantische Theologie und Kirche* of Hering.

Tholuck was one of the most fruitful and influential German theologians and authors during the second and third quarters of the nineteenth century, and better known in England and America than any other. He was original, fresh, brilliant, suggestive, eloquent, and full of poetry, wit, and humor. He can not be classed with any school. He was influenced by Pietism, Moravianism, Schleiermacher, Neander, and even Hegel. His classic mind was ever open to new light; and his heart was always right, and never shaken from faith and love to Christ. He had an extraordinary talent for languages, studied nineteen foreign tongues before he was seventeen, and spoke English, French, Italian, Greek, Arabic, and several other tongues, ancient and modern, almost like a native. His learning was extensive rather than thorough and exhaustive. He was one of the regenerators of German theology, leading it from nominalism to the Scriptures and the literature of the Reformation. His commentaries broke a new path. His personal influence was as great and good as that exerted by his works, and yields only to that of Neander among his contemporaries. He was gifted with personal magnetism, and brilliant powers of conversation. Having no children, he devoted all his paternal affection to his

not before the latter part of 1261, he took up his residence in Rome. In 1269-71 he was again active in Paris. In 1272 the provincial chapter at Florence empowered him to found a new studium generale at such place as he should choose, and he selected Naples. Early in 1274 the pope directed him to attend the Council of Lyons and to undertake the journey, although he was far from well. On the way he stopped at the castle of a noble and there became seriously ill. He wished to end his days in a monastery and not being able to reach a house of the Dominicans he was carried to the Cistercian Fossanova. There, first, after his death, his remains were preserved.

Thomas made a remarkable impression on all who knew him, as represented in contemporary biographies. He was placed on a level with Paul and Augustine, receiving the title doctor apostolicus.

In 1319 the investigation preliminary to canonization was begun and on July 18, 1323, he was pronounced a Church saint by John XXII, as Avignon pontiff and chief-superior; he had a large head and was somewhat bald in front. His manners and bearing accorded with his rank; he was refined, affable, and jovial. In argument he maintained self-control and won his opponents by his superior personality and great learning. His tastes were simple and his requirements few. His associates were specially impressed by his power of memory; but the passion of his soul was the search for the truth involving the inner struggle for the knowledge of God. Absorbed in thought he often forgot his surroundings. His admirers heroically believed him to be inspired, and it was reported that Paul, and Christ instructed him supernaturally. What he attained by such strenuous absorption he knew how to express in clear and simple language with remarkable clearness and simplicity. In his writings he does not, like Dante, make the reader his associate in the search for truth, but he teaches it authoritatively. Thomas became the teacher of his church and has always remained such. The consciousness of the insufficiency of his works in view of the revelation which he believed to have received was to him an oppressive burden.

The writings of Thomas may be classified as: (1) exegetical, homiletical, and liturgical; (2) dogmatic, apologetic, and ethical; and (3) philosophical. Among the genuine works of the first class were: Commentaries on Job (1218-65);

3. Writings, reports, or report of some sources furnished by his companion Baylis; the *Summa contra gentes* (1264) and other; Eng. transl., ed. by J. H. Newman, 4 vols., Oxford, 1841-45), which is a running commentary on the four Gospels, condensed on numerous citations from the Fathers; probably a Commentary on Canticles, and on Jeremiah; and wholly or partly reports, on John, on Matthew, and on the epistles of Paul, including, according to one authority, Hebrews 1-7. Thomas prepared for Urban IV, *Officium de corpore Christi* (1264); and the following works may be either genuine or

reports: *Expositio apostolica salutacionis*; *Tractatus de donis preteritis*; *Orationes dominice expositio*; *Sermones pro dominica diebus et pro sanctioribus solemnitatibus*; and L. Pigeon knows *Sermones de apostolis* and *Sermones de quadragesima*. Of his sermons only manipulated copies are extant. In the second division were: *In pastoralem sententiam libro*, of his first Paris sojourn; *Quatuordecim disputatio*, written at Paris and Rome; *Quatuordecim quodlibetis deinde*; *Summa catholice fidei contra gentes* (1261-64); and the *Summa theologica*. To the dogmatic works belong also certain commentaries, as follows: *Expositio in librum bovi Evangelii de divinis nominibus*; *Expositiones prime et secunde decretalis*; *In Boetii libro de hebdomadibus*; and *Præfatio quaestiones super librum Boetii de trinitate*. A large number of epigrams also belonged to this group. Of philosophical writings there are catalogued thirteen commentaries on Aristotle, besides numerous philosophical epigrams of which fourteen are claimed as genuine.

The greatest work of Thomas was the *Summa* and it is the fullest presentation of his views. He worked on it from the time of Clement IV (after 1265) until the end of his life. When he died he had reached question ninety of part II, on the subject of penance. What was lacking was afterward added from the fourth book of his commentary on the "Sentences" of Peter Lombard as a supplement, which is not found in manuscripts of the thirteenth and fourteenth centuries. The *Summa* was Part I; translated into Greek (especially by Theology, Maximus Planudes, c. 1327), into Armenian, into many European tongues, and even into Chinese. It consists of three parts. Part I treats of God, who is the "first cause, himself uncaused" (primus movens in se) as an existent only in act (actus), that is pure actuality without potentiality and, therefore, without corporeity. His essence is *esse purum actus*. This follows from the *dividuum* proof for the existence of God; namely, there must be a first mover, unmoved, a first cause in the chain of causes, an absolutely necessary being, an absolutely perfect being, and a rational designer. In his conception the thoughts of the unity, infinity, unchangeableness and goodness of the highest being are deduced. The spiritual being of God is further deduced as thinking and willing. His knowledge is absolutely perfect since he knows himself and all things as they are by him. Since every knowing being strives after the thing known as end, will is implied in knowing. Inasmuch as God knows himself as the perfect good, he wills himself as end. But in that everything is willed by God, everything is brought by the divine will to himself in the relation of means to end. Thence God wills good to every being which exists; he loves it; and, therefore, love is the fundamental relation of God to the world. If the divine love be thought of simply as an act of will, it exists for every creature in like measure; but if the good assumed by love to the individual be thought of, it exists for different beings in various degrees. In so far as the loving God gives to every being what it needs in relation

to the whole, he is just; in so far as he thereby does away with misery, he is merciful. In every work of God both justice and mercy are united, and, indeed, his justice always progresses his mercy, since he does no one anything and gives more bountifully than is due. As God rules in the world, the "plan of the order of things" prevails in him; i.e., his providence and the exercise of it in his government are what condition as cause everything which comes to pass in the world. Hence follows predestination: from eternity some are destined to eternal life, while some others "he permits some to fall short of that end." Reprehension, however, is more than mere foreknowledge; it is the "will of permitting anyone to fall into sin and incur the penalty of condemnation for it." The effect of predestination is grace. Since God is the first cause of everything, he is the cause of even the free acts of men through predestination. Determinism is deeply grounded in the system of Thomas; things with their source of becoming in God are ordered from eternity as means for the realization of his end in himself. On moral grounds Thomas advocates freedom energetically; but, with his premises, he sees how in mind only the psychological form of self-motivation. Nothing in the world is accidental or free, although it may appear as such in reference to the proximate cause. From the point of view mankind become necessary in themselves and are to be considered merely as intelligible to man. From the point of view of God, however, all is undecidable, although from the limited point of view of the secondary cause miracle may be spoken of. In his doctrine of the Trinity Thomas starts from the Augustinian system. Since God has only the functions of thinking and willing, only two processions can be ascribed from the Father. But these establish definite relations of the persons of the Trinity one to another. The relations must be conceived as real and not as merely ideal; for, as with creature relations arise through certain accidents, since in God there is no accident but all is substance, it follows that "the relation really existing in God is the same as the essence according to the thing." From another side, however, the relations as real must be really distinguished one from another. Therefore, three persons are to be affirmed in God. Man stands opposite to God; he consists of soul and body. The "intellectual soul" consists of intellect and will. Furthermore the soul is the absolutely indivisible form of man; it is immaterial substance, but not one and the same in all men (or the Averroistic assumed). The soul's power of knowing has two sides: a passive (the *intellectus possibilis*) and an active (the *intellectus agens*). It is the capacity to form concepts and to abstract the *mensura* (species) from the objects perceived by sense. But since what the intellect abstracts from individual things is a universal, the mind knows the universal primarily and directly, and knows the singular only indirectly by virtue of a certain reflexion (of *SENSATIONIS*). As certain principles are immanent in the mind for its speculative activity, so also is a "special disposition of works," or the *speculatio* (rudiment of conscience), is inherent in the

"practical reason," affording the idea of the moral law of nature, so important in medieval ethics. The first part of the *Summa* is summed up in the thought that God governs the world as the "universal first cause." God gives the intellect in that he gives the power to know and impresses the *specie intelligibile* on the mind, and he wields the will in that he holds the good before it. It is as aim, and creates the *virtus volens*. *Summa*. "To will is nothing else than a certain Part II; inclination toward the object of the *voluntas* which is the universal good." God works all in all, but so that things also themselves exert their proper efficacy. Here the *Arrogantia* ideas that the graduated effects of created things play their part in Thomas's thought. The second part of the *Summa* (two parts, *prima secunde* and *secunde secunde*) follows this complex of ideas. If the divine love be thought of in the highest end, which is the blessedness of the state *beatitudo*. Here Thomas develops his system of ethics, which has its root in Aristotle. In a chain of acts of will man strives after the highest end. They are free acts in so far as man has in himself the knowledge of this end and thereby the principle of action. In that the will wills the end, it wills also the appropriate means, chosen freely and completely the consensus. Whether the act be good or evil depends on the end. The "human reason" pronounced on the end. The character of the end, it is, therefore, the law for action. Human acts, however, are meritorious in so far as they promote the purpose of God and his honor. By repeating a good action man acquires a moral habit or a quality which enables him to do the good gladly and easily. This is free, however, only of the intellectual and moral virtues, which Thomas treats after the manner of Aristotle; the theological virtues are imparted by God to man as a "disposition," from which the acts here proceed, but while they strengthen, they do not form it. The "disposition" of evil is the opposite alternative: An act becomes evil through deviation from the reason and the divine moral law. Therefore, it involves two factors: its substance from the divine law; in form, however, it is deviation from the divine law. Sin has its origin in the will, which decides, against reason, for a "changeable good." Since, however, the will also moves the other powers of man, sin has its seat in those too. By choosing such a lower good as end, the will is misled by self-love, so that this works as cause in every sin. God is not the cause of sin, since, on the contrary, he draws all things to himself. But from another side God is the cause of all things, so he is efficacious also in the *causa* but not as *causa*. The devil is not directly the cause of sin, but he incites by working on the imagination and the sensuous impulse of man, as man of things may also do. Sin is original. Adam's first sin passed upon himself and all the succeeding race; because he is the head of the human race and "by virtue of preterition human nature is transmitted and along with nature its infection." The power of generation, etc., therefore, designated especially as "infected." The thought is involved here by the fact that Thomas, like the other

scholastic, held to creationism, therefore taught that the souls are created by God. Two things according to Thomas constituted man's righteousness in paradise—the *habitus originalis* or the harmony of all man's powers before they were blighted by sin, and the possession of the *gratia gratiae* (the continuous indwelling power of good), both lost through original sin, which in form is the "loss of original righteousness." The consequence of this loss is the disorder and weakness of man's nature, which shows itself in "ignorance, malice, moral weakness, and especially in concupiscence, which is the material principle of original sin." The course of thought here is as follows: when the first man transgressed the order of his nature appointed by nature and grace, he, and with him the human race, lost this order. This negative state is the source of original sin. From it follows an impairment and perversion of human nature in which the lower aims rule contrary to nature and release the lower element in man. Since sin is contrary to the divine order, it is guilt and subject to punishment. Guilt and punishment correspond to each other; and since the "necessary from the inevitable good which is its fault," fulfilled by man, is unending, it merits everlasting punishment.

But God works even in sinners to draw them to the end by "instilling through the one and adding by grace." The law is the "precept of the practical reason." As the moral law of nature, it is the participation of the reason in the all-determining "eternal reason." But since man falls short in his appropriation of this law of reason, there is need of a divine law. And since the law applies to many complicated relations, the greater dispositions of the human law must be laid down. The divine law consists of an old and a new. In so far as the old divine law contains the moral law of nature it is universally valid; what there is in it, however, beyond this is valid only for the Jews. The new law is "primarily grace itself" and as a "law given within," "a gift superadded to nature by grace," but not a "written law." In this sense, as sacramental grace, the new law justifies. It contains, however, as "containing" of the divine law, identical with both the old law and the new law. The council (see CONCILIA EVANGELICA) show how one may attain the end "better and more expediently" by full remission of worldly goods. Since man is sinner and creature, he needs grace to reach the final end. The "first cause" alone is able to reclaim him to the "final end." This is true after the fall, although it was needed before. Grace is, on one side, "the free act of God," and, on the other side, the effect of this act, the *gratia gratiae* or *gratia creata*, a *habitus infusus* which is instilled into the "essence of the soul" as "a certain gift of disposition, something supernatural proceeding from God into man." It is a supernatural ethical character created in man by God, which comprises in itself all good, both faith and love. Justification by grace comprises four elements: "the infusion of grace, the influence of free will toward God through faith, the influence of free

will respecting sin, and the remission of sins." It is a "transmutation of the human soul," and takes place "instantaneously." A creative act of God enters, which, however, accretes itself as a spiritual motive in a psychological form corresponding to the nature of man. Scientific aptitudes are far removed from Thomas. In that man is created anew he believes and loves, and now sin is forgiven. Then begins good conduct; grace is the "beginning of meritorious works." Thomas conceives of merit in the Augustinian sense: God gives the reward for that toward which he himself gives the power. Man can never of himself deserve the *prima gratia*, *non meretur de corpore* (by natural ability; cf. R. Schlegel, *Lehrbuch der Dogmengeschichte*, II, 105-108, Leipzig, 1898). After thus stating the principles of morality, in the *secunda secunda* Thomas comes to a minute exposition of his ethics according to the scheme of the virtues. The conceptions of faith and love are of much significance in the complete system of Thomas. Man strives toward the highest good with the will or through love. But since the end must first be "apprehended in the intellect," knowledge of the end to be loved must precede love; "because the will can not strive after God to perfect love unless the intellect have true faith toward him." Inasmuch as this truth which is to be loved is practical it first incites the will, which then brings the reason to "assent." But since, furthermore, the good in question is transcendent and inaccessible to man by himself, it requires the infusion of a supernatural "capacity" or "disposition" to make man capable of faith as well as love. Accordingly the object of both faith and love is God, involving also the entire complex of truths and commandments which God reveals, in so far as they in fact relate to God and lead to him. Thus faith becomes recognition of the teachings and precepts of the Scriptures and the Church ("the first subject of man to God is by faith"). The object of faith, however, is by its nature object of love; therefore faith comes to completion only in love ("by love is the act of faith accomplished and formed").

The way which leads to God is Christ; and Christ is the same who leads to him. It can not be asserted that the incarnation was absolutely necessary, "since God in his omnipotence would have re-created man."

6. The power could have re-created human nature in many other ways; but it is Part II, was the most suitable way both for Christ. The purpose of instruction and of satisfaction. The *Gratia* between Logos and the human nature is a "relation" between the divine and the human nature which comes about by both natures being brought together in the one person of the Logos. An incarnation can be spoken of only in the sense that the human nature began to be in the eternal hypostasis of the divine nature. So Christ is *unus* since his human nature took the hypostasis. The person of the Logos, accordingly, has assumed the impersonal human nature and in such way that the assumption of the soul became the means for the assumption of the body. This union with the human soul is the *gratia* which leads to the incarnation of free

gratia debetibus from the Logos to the human nature. Theoretically all human potentialities are made perfect in Jesus. Besides the perfection given by the vision of God, which Jesus enjoyed from the beginning, he receives all others by the *gratia habitus*. In fact, however, as in the limited human nature which receives these perfections, they are finite. This holds both of the knowledge and the will of Christ. The Logos imparts the *species intelligibilis* of all created things on the soul, but the *intellectus* opens transforms them gradually into the impression of sense. On another side the soul of Christ works intrinsically only as instrument of the Logos, since omnipotence in no way appertains to this human soul in itself. Furthermore, Christ's human nature partakes of imperfections, on the one side to make his true humanity evident, on another side because he would bear the general consequences of sin for humanity. Christ experienced suffering, but homelessness reigned in his soul, which, however, did not extend to his body. Concerning redemption, Thomas teaches that Christ is to be regarded as redeemer after his human nature but in such way that the human nature produces divine effects as organ of divinity. The one side of the work of redemption consists herein, that Christ as head of humanity imparts *gratia*, *propitius*, and refers to his members. He is the teacher and example of humanity, his whole life and suffering as well as his work after is as exalted *novus* this end. The love wrought heroically in men effects, according to Luke vi, 47, the forgiveness of sins.

This is the first course of thought. Then follows a second complex of thoughts which has the idea of satisfaction as its center. To be sure, God as the highest being could forgive sins without satisfaction; but because his justice and mercy could be best revealed through satisfaction he chose this way. As little, however, as satisfaction is necessary in itself, so little does it offer an equivalent, in a correct sense, for guilt; it is rather a "superabundant satisfaction," since on account of the divine subject in Christ in a certain sense his suffering and activity are infinite. With this thought the strict logical deduction of Aquinas's theory is given up. Christ's suffering bore personal character in that it proceeded "out of love and obedience." It was an offering brought to God, which as personal act had the character of merit. Through Christ's "meritoria" satisfaction for men. As Christ, exalted, still influences men, so does he still work in their behalf continually in heaven through the intercession (*interpellatio*). In this way Christ as head of humanity effects the forgiveness of their sins, their reconciliation with God, their immunity from punishment, deliverance from the devil, and the opening of heaven's gates. But inasmuch as all these benefits are already effected through the inner operation of the love of Christ, Thomas has combined the theories of Anselm and Abelard by joining the one to the other.

The doctrine of the sacraments follows the Christology; for the sacraments "have efficacy from the incarnate Word himself." The sacraments are signs, which, however, not only signify sanctification but also effect it. That they bring spiritual gifts

in numerous form, moreover, is inevitable because of the numerous nature of man. The *res sacramentales* are the matter, the words of institution, the form of the sacraments.

7. The Sacraments. Contrary to the Franciscan view that the sacraments are mere symbols whose efficacy God accompanies with a directly following creative act in the soul, Thomas holds it not unfit to say with Hugo of St. Victor that "a sacrament contains grace," or to think of the sacraments as they "cause grace." The efficacy of a sacrament thus producing a creative effect, Thomas attempts to remove by a distinction between the *essentia principialis* of instrumentality. God as the principal cause works through the sacrament as the means ordained by him for his end. "Just as instrumental power is acquired by the instrument from this, that it is moved by the principal agent, so also the sacramental efficacy proceeds from the benediction of Christ and the application of the minister to the use of the sacrament." This is spiritual power in as far as they have been ordained by God for a spiritual effect. And this spiritual power remains in the sacrament until it has attained its purpose. At the same time Thomas distinguished the *gratia sacramentalis* from the *gratia gratiae* inasmuch as, in that the former in general perfects the essence and powers of the soul, and the latter in particular brings to pass necessary spiritual effects for the Christian life. Later this distinction was ignored. In a single statement the effect of the sacraments is to infuse justifying grace into men. What Christ effects is achieved through the sacraments. Christ's humanity was the instrument for the operation of his divinity; the sacraments are the instruments through which this operation of Christ's humanity passes over to man. Christ's humanity served his divinity as *instrumentum conjunctum*, like the hand; the sacraments are *instrumentum separatum*, like a staff; the former can use the latter, as the hand can use a staff. For a more detailed exposition cf. Schlegel, op. cit., II, 112 sq. Of Thomas' eschatology, according to the commentary on the "Sentences," only a brief account can here be given. Everlasting blissfulness consists for Thomas in the vision of God; and this vision consists not in an abstraction or in a mental image supernaturally produced, but the divine substance itself is beheld, and in such manner that God himself becomes immediately the form of the beholding intellect; that is, God is the object of the vision and at the same time causes the vision. The perfection of the blessed also demands that the body be restored to the soul as something to be made perfect by it. Since blissfulness consists in *operatio*, it is made more perfect in that the soul has a definite operation with the body, although the peculiar act of blissfulness (i. e., the vision of God) has nothing to do with the body.

For two gifts before all others in Thomas to be praised: namely, his great talent for representing and his power of simple and bold exposition. To be sure the work of preceding generations, especially of Alexander of Hales, had lightened his task as concerns the selection and ordering of the material,

420 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA THOMAS of Celano

After following a mercantile course for some years, he turned to theology, and studied at Newport News, New Church College, Burlington, under T. B. and J. Ball; was minister of the Congregational Church at Chelsea, 1841-44; of the Independent Church, London, 1844-77. He founded in 1853 the National Newspaper League Company, for choosing and improving the daily press, which numbered ten thousand members; also the Working Men's Club and Institute, 1861, and was originator of the University of Wales, at Aberystwith, 1862. He was a man of broad ideas. In all his writings he recognized the fact that as Christ is the only revealer of absolute truth, he is not to be interpreted by the Old-Testament writers or by the apostles, but they are all to be interpreted by him. He conducted The Heralds (50 vols., London, 1832 sqq.); contributed to various volumes of The Pulpit Commentary (London and New York, 1830 sqq.); and was author of a homiletical commentary on Matthew (London, 1843), and on the Acts (1850); The Crisis of Being, Six Lectures to young Men on religious Decision (1842); The Cross of Christ, or St. Peter's Keys (1851); The Progress of Being, Six Lectures on the true Progress of Men (1854); Freedom of Mind. The Book of Job expounded considered (1875); and his complete works appeared as the Homiletic Library (1882 sqq.).

Biographical: English Biographical Year-Book, 1898, pp. 257-259; DNB, vi, 177-178.

THOMAS, JESSE BURGESS: Baptist; b. at Edgewood, Ill., July 29, 1832. He was educated at Kenyon College, O. (A.B., 1850). After practicing law for a number of years, he held pulpites at Waukegan, Ill. (1862-64), First Baptist Church (then the Baptist Temple), Brooklyn, N. Y. (1864-1869), First Baptist Church, San Francisco, Cal. (1869-70), Mission Avenue Baptist Church, Chicago, Ill. (1870-74), and was recalled to the First Baptist Church Brooklyn in 1874, serving until 1888. From 1888 to 1905 he was professor of church history in Newton Theological Institute, Newton Center, Mass., and in 1905 he was made professor emeritus. His theology is a progressive conservatism. He is the author of The Old Bible and the New Science (New York, 1877); and The Head of Doctrine (Philadelphia, 1883).

THOMAS, NATHANIEL SEYMOUR: Protestant Episcopal missionary bishop of Wyoming; b. at Fortville, Minn., June 29, 1847. He was educated at the University of Minnesota (B.A., 1869), the University of Cambridge, and the Theological School of the diocese of Kansas, and was ordained deacon in 1871 and advanced to the priesthood in 1881. After being stationed at Ottawa, Kan. (1881-83), and Topeka, Kan. (1883-84), he was professor of New-Testament exegesis in the Theological school of the diocese of Kansas, dean of the Atchison diocese in the same diocese, and principal-church of St. John's St. Paul's, Lawrence, Kan., until 1887, when he became rector of St. Matthew's, Wheeling, W. Va. From 1892 until 1905 he was rector of the Church of the Holy Apostles, Philadelphia, and in 1909 was consecrated missionary bishop of Wyoming.

THOMAS (THOMAS), to-má's, CHER-TIAR: German Lutheran; b. at Leipzig Jan. 1, 1824; d. at Halle Sept. 23, 1878. He studied philosophy at Leipzig (M. A., 1872), and jurisprudence at Frankfurt, 1875-78; was lawyer and privy-counsel at Leipzig, advocating with great boldness the natural law of Samuel Pufendorf (q.v.). In a dissertation, De crimine lapsus (1850), he asserted that polygamy was not contrary to nature. In the footsteps of Pufendorf he published Festinationes jurisprudentie diuinae (1858), in which he advanced his views on natural right, disclaiming that it was derived from the primitive state of nature. His contempt of the pedantry of the scholars and the intolerance of the theologians, as well as personal attacks, led to many complaints and finally to an order, in 1850, from the superior consistory forbidding him to lecture or to publish. Cut off from all self-support, he went to Berlin, where Frederick appointed him to the consistory and to lecture at Halle. Thomas quickly gathered a large number of students, and laid the foundation for the University of Halle, which was dedicated in 1864, of which he became second professor of jurisprudence, and first professor in 1870.

Thomas was not a creative spirit, but with a firm grasp he seized the progressive thoughts of his time and stood for them with intrepid courage. Endowed with a thorough, open, warm-hearted nature, he too impulsively sometimes combated and ridiculed the current prejudice, faith in authority, pedantry, and intolerance, thus becoming the first successful champion of the Enlightenment (q.v.) in Germany. His weapon was reason; but he was not a profound thinker going back to ultimate principles, his reasoning was that of common sense. He held the epilogism in contempt; and the mathematical method of Christian Wolf Thomas regarded as merely a revised scholasticism. He was an empiricist, mentally related to Locke, by whom he was influenced in more than one respect. He was a typical representative of the practical tendency of the Enlightenment, the highest aim of which was common utility and happiness. Against speculation and logic in religion he defined faith as "an act of heart in God" and a fond of leading the influence of providence in the incidents of his life. He deprecates the hatred, rejects the division of the records, regards the churches as sects, and scourges the heavy-lugging and domineering theologians. He was long regarded as a colleague of the Pietists at Halle. Agreeing with Pietism in his opposition to theological systems and the philosophy of the schools, the emphasis upon practical piety, recourse to Scripture, and liberality on the cross, and choosing Francke as his confessor, and admiring Spener very highly, yet he was not to teach with the central points of Pietism, sin and grace.

His efforts in behalf of the Bible were unceasing. His services to learning were in the fields of jurisprudence and philosophy. His only laudable philosophical activity was his psychological ground-work; the investigation of man's nature is to him the basis of all sciences. Otherwise his philosophy is popular, practical. Testimonies of his practical tendency are, Ethicae usque der Terminologia (1811);

THOMAS THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 430

Anstalt der Verlagsanstalt (1891); Ethikologie zur Sündenlehre (1892); and Darstellung der Sündenlehre (1896). Between the spheres of revelation and philosophy Thomas drew a sharp distinction. In his poetry, he further upheld the principle of natural right in Fundamente dereth (1760). In a series of works on church law, he recognized the State as purely secular and the Church as a society within its domain. The power of the sovereign is supreme over the theologian and the Church, limited only by revelation. He opposed certain forms of severity, such as those against Wiedertäufer (q.v.), which he denied (De crimine magis, 1701), and the rack (De tortura et poena, 1703); and he favored the exercise of the right of pardon on the part of the sovereign, in case of homicide.

(HILGEBER HERRMAN.)

THOMAS, GOTTFRED: Professor in Erlangen; b. at Egenhausen (district of Nagold, Württemberg, 16 m. w. of Pöhlingen), Bavaria Franconia, July 26, 1802; d. at Erlangen Jan. 24, 1873. He was a limited descendant of Christian Thomasius (q.v.). He attended the gymnasium in Ansbach, entered the University of Erlangen in 1821, removed to Halle after a year and a half, and finished his academic studies in Berlin, where he was attracted by Schlegel's Hegel, Maibohm, and Tholuck. Leaving the university in 1823, Thomas spent seventeen years in the active work of the pastorate, first in a village between Erlangen and Nürnberg, after 1829 in Nürnberg. Here his preaching attracted the intellectual men of the city and his success as religious instructor in the gymnasium led to his call to Erlangen as professor of dogmatics in Mar., 1832. He had previously published his Grundriss zum Religionsunterricht in der mittleren und oberen Klasse gelehrter Schulen (Nürnberg, 1832), which met with wide approval (8th ed., 1901). Thomas contributed his share to a development at Erlangen which combined strict adherence to the standards with the truly scientific spirit and genuine theological progress. The subjects on which he lectured were dogmatics and church history, and he receded in the deep and lasting character of the impression he made. For almost thirty-three years he carried on his influence equalled by few teachers of his time. His influence as writer was hardly less than that

as teacher. An early work, Organon. Ein Beitrag zur Dogmatik der Erlanger Theologie (Nürnberg, 1827), helped to pave the way for his transition from the pupil to the professor. Three preliminary treatises (Beitrag zur heidnischen Christologie, Nürnberg, 1845; Dogmata dogmatice Christi: actus historiae et progressus inde a confusione suppositae de formis supra concordia, 3 parts, Erlangen, 1845-46; Das Bekenntnis der evangelisch-historischen Kirche in der Kreuzen actus Principis, Nürnberg, 1848) preceded his greatest work—Christi Person und Werk. Darstellung der evangelisch-historischen Dogmatik vom Mittelpunkt der Christologie aus (3 parts, Erlangen, 1852-61), which treats the whole field of dogmatics in comprehensive expositions which are always based on the Scriptural proof and the consensus of the Church. For Thomas's development of the doctrine of Genesis (q.v.), see Commentary, X, 4, § 4. His exposition of the doctrine of the Trinity was criticized, but his teaching concerning the work of Christ is complete and satisfactory, combining the truth in the view of Anselm and in the old-Lutheran doctrine into the true conception of the atonement. The first treatment of the theme to be sought in the third part of the Dogmatik, an entire work, Das Bekenntnis der lutherischen Kirche von der Verklärung und die Verklärung der D. von Hermann (Erlangen, 1857), treats the same question less conclusively. Die christliche Dogmatik als Entwicklungsgeschichte der christlichen Lehrbegriffe (2 vols., Erlangen, 1874-75; 2nd ed., by N. Bonwitsch and R. Soeborg, Leipzig, 1886-88) was Thomas's last publication. It combines the enthusiasm of youth and the maturity of age with learning, keen judgment, closeness of presentation, and thoroughness of investigation. The first volume treats of the ancient Church; the second, comprising the Middle Ages and the Reformation, was not fully completed at the author's death and was published posthumously by G. L. Fritsch.

For thirty years from 1832 Thomas was university preacher. A deep and conscientious dependence upon Scripture, a joyous and powerful faith, a clear and comprehensible form elevated by its content and even poetically inspired, are the characteristics which win attention in his published sermons (5 vols., Erlangen, 1832-60). His confessional point of view and inner development appear most clearly in Widerwärtigen des evangelischen Lebens in der lutherischen Kirche Bayerns. Ein Stück sächsischer Kirchenpolitik, 1850-1850 (Erlangen, 1857).

Biographical: A. von Balth, Leibniz, Thomas, Meissner, Die Erlanger Theologie, Erlangen, 1887; J. Franke, Geschichte und Kritik der neuen Theologie, p. 244, Erlangen, 1891; G. Fritsch, Die Theologie des 18. Jahrhunderts, pp. 690 sqq., Leipzig, 1895; ADL, xxviii, 102 seq.

THOMAS, LOUIS: French cleric and exegetist; b. at An (U. m. of Marais), Provence, Aug. 28, 1619; d. in Paris Dec. 24, 1697. He was educated in the Congregation of the Oratory, entered the congregation in 1632, and taught successively at Lyons, Bourges, and in the Seminary of St. Magloire at Paris. In 1668 he retired and devoted himself to study, supported by the French clergy. His chief

work was the *Académie de nouvelle discipline de l'Église fondée sur les principes de la théologie* (3 vols., Paris, 1878-79; Lat. transl., by himself, 1688), which is still one of the chief sources for the subject and made so great an impression on Pope Innocent XI, that he would have called the author to Rome and made him a cardinal had not Louis XIV. refused to allow so great a scholar to leave France. Besides many minor writings, Thomassin published *Dissertationes in causis generalis de participatione* (Paris, 1667); *Mémoires sur la grâce* (1668); *Deputés théologiens* (2 vols., 1669-89, ed. F. Taillon, 6 vols., Paris, 1864-70); and a *Glossarium universalis theologiae* (1697), in which he tried to prove that the Hebrew was the original language and the mother tongue. (C. Thompson.)

REMARKS: L. R. Davis, *Recent bibliography*, xviii, 187-98, 35 cols., 1909-11; C. Thompson, *Form of Thomassin*, *see* *Thomassin*, Frankfurt, 1895.

THOMPSON, CHARLES LEMUEL: Presbyterian; b. at Allentown, Pa., Aug. 18, 1838. He was educated at Carroll College, Wis. (A.B., 1858), Princeton Theological Seminary (1858-60), and McCormick Theological Seminary, Chicago (1860-1861). He held pastorate in his denomination at Janesville, Wis. (1861-62), Janesville, Wis. (1862-67), First Presbyterian Church, Cincinnati, O. (1867-72), Fifth Presbyterian Church, Chicago (1872-78), Third Presbyterian Church, Pittsburg, Pa. (1878-1882), Second Presbyterian Church, Kansas City, Mo. (1882-88), and Madison Avenue Presbyterian Church, New York City (1888-90). Since 1898 he has been secretary of the Presbyterian Board of Home Missions. He was editor of *The Expositor* (Chicago) in 1877-79, and has written: *Times of Reformation: A History of American Revival* (Chicago, 1877); *Evangelism in Verse* (New York, 1880); and *The Presbyterian* (1903).

THOMPSON, JOSEPH PARRISH: Congregationalist and Egyptologist; b. in Philadelphia, Pa., Aug. 7, 1819; d. in Berlin, Germany, Sept. 20, 1879. He was graduated from Yale, 1838; studied theology at Andover Theological Seminary and at Yale, 1838-40, when he was ordained; was pastor of Chapel Street Church, New Haven, 1840-45; in 1845 helped to establish the *Independent*, of which he was also an editor for many years. He visited Palestine and Egypt, 1852-53, and wrote much on that branch of study. During the whole period of the civil war he labored with anxiety for the maintenance of national unity on principles of universal freedom. Because he was in Germany a state of things which seemed to call for a defense of American institutions, and an exposition of American ideas, he took up that line of work, and became a link between the United States and Germany. During the "eastern" years, 1876, he vindicated his native land against European popularities by a course of philosophical lectures on American political history, which he delivered in Berlin, Florence, Dresden, Paris, and London, and published as *The United States as a Nation* (Boston, 1877). He resided in Germany, 1872-79, where he was active in central

study, political, social, and scientific discussions, and in various foreign societies. In 1875 he went to England to explain publicly Germany's attitude in regard to Ultramaritism. His personal influence secured the insertion, in the Berlin Treaty of 1878, of a clause favoring religious liberty; and among his last works was the preparation, for the Evangelical Alliance at Basel (1879), of a memorial in behalf of religious liberty in Austria. He was the author of *Man in Genesis and Geology* (New York, 1893); *Theology of Christ from his own Words* (1870); *Home Workings* (Boston, 1871); *Jesus of Nazareth: his Life for the Young* (1875); and *The Wordman* (New York, 1879).

THOMPSON, RALPH WARDLAW: English Congregationalist; b. at Bellary 670 m. n. w. of Madras, South India, Aug. 28, 1842. He was educated at South African College, Cape Town, (S.A.; University of the Cape of Good Hope) and at Chesham College, England (1861-65). He entered the Congregationalist ministry and was minister of the Ewing Place Congregational Church, Glasgow (1865-70), and of the Newwood Congregational Church, Liverpool (1871-80). Since 1881 he has been foreign secretary of the London Missionary Society. In 1908 he was chairman of the Congregational Union of England and Wales. He has at various times made official visits to the society's stations in India, China, South Africa, Madagascar, New Guinea, and the South Seas. His publications embrace, *My Trip to the Lake Willows* (London, 1900); and *Orphan Lake, Story of Fifty Years in China* (1906, new ed., 1908).

THOMPSON, ROBERT ELLIS: Presbyterian; b. near Logan (19 m. s. w. of Bellair), County Anamagh, Ireland, Apr. 5, 1844. He left Ireland for the United States in early life, and was educated at the University of Pennsylvania (A.B., 1864) and the Reform Presbyterian Theological Seminary, Philadelphia (1868). He was licensed to preach by the Reform Presbyterian of Philadelphia in 1867 and ordained to the Presbyterian ministry in 1871. From 1868 to 1892 he was connected with the University of Pennsylvania, where he was professor successively of Latin and mathematics (1868-71), social science (1871-81), and history and English literature (1881-92). In 1894 he became president of the Central High School in Philadelphia. He was editor of *The Penn Monthly* (1870-81), and of *The American Weekly* (1881-92). Since 1892 he has been a member of the staff of *The Sunday-School Times*. His writings of theological interest are: *De civitate Dei: The Divine Order of Human Society* (Stone Institute, Philadelphia, 1891); *The National Hymn-Book of the American Churches* (1893); *History of the Presbyterian Church of America* (New York, 1895); *The Hand of God in Human History* (1902); *Harvard Johnson Lectures on Protestantism in Europe* (1904); *The London as Everyday Men* (1910); and *The Historic Episcopate* (Philadelphia, 1910).

THOMPSON, ANDREW MITCHELL: Presbyterian; b. at Banagher (50 m. s. w. of Edinburgh) July 11, 1778; d. at Edinburgh, Feb. 9, 1831. He

was educated at the University of Edinburgh; was schoolmaster at Markinch, Fife, 1800-02; became parish minister at Spinnodun, Roxburghshire, in 1802; of East Church, Perth, in 1808; of Greyfriars, Edinburgh, in 1810, and of St. George's in the same city in 1814, where he remained till his death. Soon after he took up his work at Greyfriars he was recognized as one of the strongest preachers in the city, and his labors for the enrichment of the service were well recompensed, especially in the department of music, to which he was a contributor, composing several tunes for choruses. His influence continued to increase, and he became leader of the evangelizing party in the Church of Scotland. He was also active in the work of education. The "Apotheosis controversy" was in part excited by him when, in 1827, he gave up his membership in the British and Foreign Bible Society and assisted that organization for lending the Apotheosis with the Bible. He edited *The Christian Instructor*, in which his attack upon the Bible Society appeared; wrote a *Catechism for the Instruction of Communicants* (Edinburgh, 1833); *Lectures Episcopacy and Prevalent* (1816); *Lectures of Plenary more than Lectures of God* (1818), ed. Dr. Caustich, 1867; *The Doctrine of Universal Pardon* (1830); and issued several volumes of sermons.

REMARKS: A *Memoir* was printed to his *Sermons and Discourses* (Edinburgh, 1832), of 252 p., 8vo. **THOMPSON, WILLIAM MCCLURE:** Presbyterian missionary; b. at Springfield (now Spring Dale), O., Dec. 31, 1806; d. in Denver, Col., Apr. 8, 1894. He was graduated from Miami University, Oxford, O., 1830; studied at Princeton Theological Seminary, N. J., 1826-27; was ordained an evangelist, 1831; was missionary in Syria and Palestine under the A. A. C. F. M. and the Presbyterian Board of Foreign Missions, 1832-49, 1850-57, and 1859-76. He then resided in New York City. He was an authority in the department of archaeological biblical research, and was the author of *The Land and the Book, or Biblical Illustrations Drawn from the Memoirs and Customs, the Geology and Geomorphology of the Holy Land* (2 vols., New York, 1839; new ed. revised and rewritten, with numerous illustrations, 2 vols., 1880-86, vol. I, *Southern Palestine and Jerusalem*, vol. II, *Central Palestine and Jerusalem*, vol. III, *Labanon, Damascus, and Beyond Jordan*; revised, 1 vol., 1911).

THORN, CONFERENCE OF: A Polish conference of 1645 held to prevent religious strife between Roman Catholics, Lutherans, and Reformed. About the middle of the seventeenth century in Poland party spirit was rife, and religion played an important part in the political struggles; the Roman Catholic party and in it especially the Jesuits possessed the most influential while the Protestants, Reformed, Lutheran, and Bohemian Brethren (q. v.) were in harmony, and a few were antirritarian Socialists. Officially, Protestantism had enjoyed political toleration since 1573, but the Roman Catholic party tried by every means to lead the Protestants back, after the Jesuits had gained ascendancy over Sigismund III. (1587-1622) and his successor. This was the chief and final reason why King Ladislaus IV. issued a call to

the representatives of the three Christian confessions to a religious conference at Thorn on the Vistula, beginning Aug. 28, 1645. The dissidents were permitted to procure foreign speakers. The Polish Lutheran secured Johann Hilsenmann (q. v.), orthodox professor of theology at Wittenberg, and Abraham Kolyva (q. v.), recter of the gymnasium in Danzig. For the Reformed Lutherans, the great doctor of Brandenburg sent Georg Calixtus (q. v.), but the two former secured his rejection as a Lutheran representative (see Syncretism, Syncretism: CORVOVANSKY). The king and the grand chancellor of the crown, George of Trojan, duke of Osnabrück, as his deputy and conductor of the proceedings. The Roman Catholics chose twenty-four theologians, among them Johannes Bylander, superintendent of the congregations of Greater Poland, who was joined by Amos Comenius (q. v.), Johann Berg, court preacher of the Elector Frederic William of Brandenburg-Prussia, and Professor Reinhold of Frankfurt-on-the-Oder. The Lutherans, numbering twenty-eight, were under the leadership of Hilsenmann and Calixtus. In an instruction issued by the king it was required that each of the three parties first give a statement of its doctrine; and till then should the corrections or inaccuracies of the doctrine be (amicably) discussed; and finally, a statement of customs and usages. The Roman Catholics evidently tried to prevent from the beginning every criticism of their official church doctrine. Each party deliberated in a special room of the town-hall, and conferred with the others only through the exchange of documents or through deputies. The conference consisted of thirty-six sessions, of which only four were public. After the formal preliminaries the Reformed on Sept. 1 drew up a general confession of faith; on the same day the Roman Catholics followed their example, and after those had presented their confessions to the Lutheran on Sept. 7, the latter answered with a reply that essentially referred to the unaltered Augsburg Confession. After that there was to follow a detailed representation of the doctrine of the different parties. Such a document was issued first by the Roman Catholics, on Sept. 11, repeating only the teachings of the Council of Trent with plain ultramaritan additions concerning the power of the pope. The Reformed presented their doctrine, a statement which later obtained great fame as the *Declaratio Theologica*, more accurately *Spiciendae doctrinae doctrinae ecclesiarum Reformationis Catholicae de praecipuis fidei controversis* (original printed in *Scriptis partibus Reformationis*). The Lutheran presented *Kurzer Inbegriff der Lehre der evangelischen Kirchenform*. The Roman Catholics were so embittered over the Lutheran document, as indeed they had been over the Reformed before, that they refused to receive it, much less to permit its reading; and the presiding grand chancellor Osnabrück had himself recalled. Count Johann Lewnitski, his successor, lightened the rules in behalf of his party, and stated, Sept. 25, that no progress had been made because of wandering from the king's instructions. To ex-

plain these he called upon the Jesuit Schönhof, who attempted intimidation of the Protestants. The third public session, Sept. 26, was passed in a preliminary debate. The fourth, on Oct. 3, continued the same way, the more energetically on the part of the Protestants, who regarded the presiding officer as the advocate of the Roman Catholic party. The many speeches that were delivered developed into personal abuse. Allusion to Charles V, and the doctor of Saxony aroused the national pride of the Poles, and their lay representatives now refused to speak except in the Polish language. As the Protestants adhered firmly to their demands, Schönhof stole a march by a personal journey to the king, from whom he obtained a "declaration of his will in regard to the instruction for the conference of Thorn," containing about everything that the Roman Catholics had hitherto demanded. The king instructed that the declarations of the Lutherans and Reformed be received after being purged of offensive and the superfluous; and that the conference was to be restricted to his representative, the presiding heads of the parties, and, respectively, two speakers and alternates, the scribes, and seven hearers of each. Consequently the Lutherans sent Gildenstein and the Reformed their confidant Roy to the king in order to present the situation from the standpoint of the Evangelicals. Two Roman Catholics, however, arrived a day ahead, Oct. 16, and were joined by Schönhof on the 18th. The king, aiming to show an attitude of fairness, had the two Protestant positions of doctrine submitted in writing, and, summoning all three representatives, Oct. 20, asked that his first instructions be carried out, and in the written replies to the Lutherans and Reformed, they were directed to prove their obediency by expunging from their doctrinal position the disputed lines for later consideration. Upon the return of the deputies on Oct. 23, the Evangelicals rejoined over the freedom of conscience guaranteed by the king in his domain, but declined to revise their doctrinal position, which the Roman Catholics now demanded. During November the Reformed entered into private conferences with the Roman Catholics, drawing out themselves the suspicion of the Lutherans. These conferences, though without result, proved that the Roman Catholics wished to create the impression that they would have been willing to confer upon material considerations on the rule of faith. The conference broke up unsuccessfully. The Lutherans tarried a few days to draw up fifty grievances against their treatment and a revision of the protocol as it should have been from their point of view, both of which were officially filed. The conference was a failure. In Poland the lot of the Evangelicals became less favorable, and in Germany a result was the embitterment of the Lutherans against the Reformed, bearing fruit in the operative controversies (see STRICKMAYER, SYNOODICAL CONTROVERSY).

THEOMANN, The official date convenes *Theomania* was published at *Thoma*, and reprinted in the *Thoma* in *Thoma*. A. A. *Thoma* was published in *Thoma*, Berlin, 1925. The *Thoma* date in *Thoma* and *Thoma* was printed at XI—28

DASSEY, 1733. The literature under Gassner, Green, and Gassner, Anthonis, is to be consulted, as well as the writings of these men. Gassner's father, C. Hartmann, 1769. J. L. Anthonis, *Gassner*, 200, 984 von. Frankfurt, 1859. A. L. Anthonis, *Gassner*, 200, 984 von. Frankfurt, 1859. A. L. Anthonis, *Gassner*, 200, 984 von. Frankfurt, 1859. A. L. Anthonis, *Gassner*, 200, 984 von. Frankfurt, 1859.

THEODORUS, HERBERT, Church of England; b. probably in Lincolnshire in 1598; d. at Clarendon 8 a. s. v. of Charing Cross, London, July 11, 1672. In 1613 he became a pensioner at Trinity College, Cambridge (scholar, 1614; B.A., 1617; minor fellow, 1619; M.A., and major fellow, 1620). He was prebendary of Layton Ecclesia in Lincoln cathedral, 1626-40; held the crown living of Claybrook, Leicestershire, 1640-42; was Hebrew lecturer to Trinity College, Cambridge, 1646-47 (i. e. officially); rector of Barby in Hertfordshire, 1642-44. Being a staunch churchman of the Anglo-Catholic type, he was ejected from his preferments during the civil war, but, with the Restoration, he regained them as well as his fellowship at Trinity. He, however, resigned them on being appointed to a stall at Westminster Abbey in 1661. He assisted at the Savoy Conference (q. v.) in 1661, and had a share in the revision of the Prayer-Book the same year, being then a member of convocation. He resumed his residence at Cambridge, 1667, and afterward divided his time between the university and the abbey. The plague drove him from Cambridge in 1666, and in 1667 he vacated his fellowship, retiring to his canonry at Westminster. He was a most learned, systematic, and powerful advocate of Anglo-Catholic theology and High-Church principles in the seventeenth century. The book which most successfully unfolds his theory is *An Epistle to the Friends of the Church of England* (1659), in which he treats of the principles of Christian truth, the covenant of grace, and the laws of the church. The covenant of grace is his central idea. He dwells upon the conditions of the covenant as being baptism, the necessity of the covenant as arising out of original sin, the mediator of the covenant as the divine Christ, and the method of the covenant as an economy of grace. In the treatment of this branch, he brings out the Anglican doctrine of salvation as distinguished from those of Puritanism. His trains of thought were precise and accurate, and his style was erudite and unassuming; his works could never be popular, but they are of value to theologians and divines. He was the author of *A History, Spirit, and Analogue of the Differences in Faith* (1660), *Just Religion and Morals* (London, 1638); *The Due Way of Composing the Differences in Faith* (1660), *Just Religion and Morals* (London, 1638); *The Due Way of Composing the Differences in Faith* (1660), *Just Religion and Morals* (London, 1638); *Theological Works* (6 vols., Oxford, 1844-50). He also assisted Walton in the preparation of his *Poligon* (see BRYAN, PETER, article).

THEODORUS, The *Life* by A. W. H. Haddis in its vol. 11. of the *Theological Works*, at New York (1844), p. 111. See also *Theological Works*, at New York (1844), p. 111. See also *Theological Works*, at New York (1844), p. 111.

THEODORUS, JAMES HERBERT, American Presbyterian and educator; b. in Marlborough District, S. C., Dec. 9, 1812; d. at Charlotte, N. C., Aug. 1, 1882. He obtained the elements of a good education.

tion, and was graduated from South Carolina College, 1832; studied law for a while, but turned to theology; after teaching for two years he studied at Andover Theological Seminary, and at Harvard Divinity School. Returning to the South he was licensed to preach, 1834, ordained, 1835; was pastor of the Presbyterian church at Lancaster, 1835-1837; professor of logic and belles-lettres in South Carolina College, 1837-39; pastor at Columbia, 1839-41; professor of sacred literature and evidence of Christianity at South Carolina College, 1841-43; pastor of Bible Street Church, Charleston, 1843; president of South Carolina College, 1852-55; professor of theology in the Presbyterian Theological Seminary, and pastor of the church at Columbia, 1855-62. He took a leading part in the organization of the Southern General Assembly in 1861. He had high logical and metaphysical talents, and was a champion of the old school Presbyterian theology.

He was the author of *Romanism from the Infidelity of the Church and Testimony of the Fathers on Bishop of the Apospory, Discourses on Religion* (New York, 1843); *Discourses on Truth* (1854); and his collected writings, ed. John B. Alden, appeared (4 vols., Richmond, 1871-73).

THEODORUS, B. N. Paine, *Life and Letters of James Hervey Theodorus*, New York, 1878.

THEODORUS, ANTHONY WILSON, Church of England, bishop; b. at Hougham (7 m. n. of Littleport), England, June 13, 1823; d. at Westminster July 25, 1898. He was educated at Queen's College, Oxford (B.A., 1847; M.A., 1850; D.D., 1877); ordained deacon, 1849; priest, 1850; was curate of Writlington, Lancashire, till 1854; at Holy Trinity, Marylebone, 1854-57; rector of St. Giles-in-the-Fields, London, 1857-57; minister of Curzon Chapel, Mayfair, 1858-60; vicar and rural dean of St. Dunstons, London, 1860-74; resident canon of York, 1874-77; bishop of Rochester, 1877-90; and of Winchester, 1890-93. He was also examining chaplain to the Archbishop of York for a number of years ranging about 1874; and select preacher at Oxford, 1878-80. He had a faculty for grasping detail and for organization. He was the author of *The Presence of Christ* (London, 1869); *The Gospel of Christ* (1881); *The Union of Christ in the Young* (1882); *The Yoke of Christ in the Dulce and Circumstances of Life* (1883); *Questions of Faith and Duty* (1892); *The Tragedies of Christ* (1894); and a volume of sermons, *The Gospel of Truth*, included in *Proceedings of the Age* (1891, seq.). He had a rare spirituality and great facility of expression, so that his practical writings are much-admired books of devotion.

THEODORUS, C. H. (Theodorus), *Life and Works of Theodorus*, London, 1896. D'O'S. his 212-213.

THREE-CHAPTER CONTROVERSY. One of the most important, though least obtrusive, episodes in the ecclesiastical history of Justinian I. (q. v.), instantaneously connected with the Monophysite movement (see MONOPHYTES). The conditions made it desirable to retain the powerful Monophysite party for the church by concessions, if it could be done without abandoning the position of the Council of Chalcedon. For this purpose it was thought advisable to take some action against the doctrines of

the school of Antioch, which was especially obnoxious to the Monophysites. Theodorus Anthonis, who had been bishop of Caesarea in Cappadocia from 537, a zealous Orthodox, helped by them to advise the emperor at the same time to divert attention from the Oriental controversy (see OUSIAS, OUSIASIAN CONTROVERSY). In 544 (according to F. Dinklage, in 542) Justinian issued an edict in which he condemned the so-called Three Chapters (the term *epulphos*, or epulphos, is used for formulated statements, then for special points mentioned in them, or even for persons or writings directly designated by them): namely, (1) the person and writings of Theodorus of Mopsuestia (q. v.); (2) the writings of Theodorus of Cyrrus (q. v.) in defense of Nestorius and against Cyril; and (3) the letter of Ibas of Edessa (q. v.) to the Persian king. As Theodorus had died just before with the church, while Theodoret and Ibas had been expressly recognized as orthodox at Chalcedon, the imperial edict appeared to undermine the authority of the council. There was, however, very little opposition to it in the Greek Church. In the West the controversy became the more violent, though the Roman Bishop Vigilius yielded to the wishes of the emperor in a way which aroused great scandal. In a synod held at Constantinople under Vigilius (545), the bishops were prevailed upon to give written verdicts for the condemnation of the Three Chapters, and Vigilius did the same in his *Adlocutio* of Apr. 11, 546, at the same time insisting on the authority of the Council of Chalcedon. In the West the opposition found a leader in Bishop Faustus of Heraclea (q. v.), and an African priest commemorated Vigilius; but when the emperor by a second edict (*homologatio patris*) pushed things to extremes, he arose in decisive opposition and had repeatedly to take sanctuary from the wrath of Justinian. He refused to be present at the fifth general council (Constantinople, May, 553), which condemned the heresies of Theodorus and the writings of Theodoret, and tried to prove that only individual members of the council of Chalcedon and not the council itself had approved of the edicts of Theodorus. The council did not see him (May 14, 553). The emperor, who acquiesced in the emperor by the terms in which Vigilius had formerly pledged himself in secret to the emperor's position. On June 2, 553, the council decided in accordance with the wishes of the emperor. The Greek Church yielded without success in winning the Monophysites. The resistance of Vigilius was soon broken, and the opposition of the African Church was overcome by the endeavors of Primasius of Carthage after 556. But the dissent of northern Italy, with Aquilana and Milan at their head, broke off communion with Rome, on account of the recognition of the fifth council by Vigilius and his successor, and this separation lasted, under the peculiar conditions caused by the Lombard conquest of Gregory the Great, succeeded in winning over Milan and Theodorus, queen of the Lombards, who was under the arch-

bishop's influence; though he attained this end by completely casting into the shade, or virtually repudiating the fifth general council, of which the West had taken but little notice. The patriarchate of Aquileia, which as a result of the Lombard conquest had been transferred to Grado, resumed communion with Rome, under Greek rule, soon after the death of Gregory in 604; but the Roman Catholic bishops under the Lombard kings and the duke of Friuli set up an opposition patriarchate, which remained separated from Rome till the end of the seventh century. G. KARLICH.

THREES CHILDREN, SONG OF THE. See ANOTHER, A. IV, 3.

THURMELLE, thur'mel, WILHELM: Evangelical theologian; b. at Barmen (77 in. B.Z.S. of Cologne) May 6, 1856. He received his education at the universities of Bonn and Leipzig; became assistant preacher at Galden, and later at Lohme then near Soest; returned as pastor at Galden in 1881, went in the same capacity to Hombach, where, because of a harsh criticism of the Roman Catholic doctrine of transubstantiation, he was subjected to a three-weeks' term of imprisonment, on the termination of which he wrote the sharply worded tract *Die Notwendigkeit der Erlaubnis der Beichte nach römischer Lehre* (Barmen, 1888); he later brought himself under police jurisdiction through a lecture on the pilgrimages to Aachen, and once again because of his denunciations of the papist *Prinzipien der Romisten* (1894); he became privat-docent in church history at Berlin, 1902; extraordinary professor in 1901; and professor of practical theology at Jena in 1902, where he is also director of the homiletical and catechetical seminars. Besides the works already mentioned, he has issued: *Offener Brief an den Herrn Erzbischof Krossen* (see Gals 1893); *Die Acta Claraholz's des Marquers der herzoglichen Kirche* (Berlin, 1890); *Die Entwicklung der Dogmen* (Halle, 1890); *Der Verdingung der kirchlichen Barmherzigkeit*; *Die geschichtliche Entwicklung und gegenwärtige Bedeutung* (Leipzig, 1902); and *Der Bismarckismus durch den Streik* (1906); besides a considerable number of polemical tracts directed against the Roman Catholic Church or the doctrine.

THUMB BIBLE. See BIBLE, VASCOV, B. IV, § 9.

THUNDERBORG LEGION: Name of a Roman legion about which no reliable legend exists. The story is that the Emperor Marcus Aurelius, when

conducting a campaign against the Quadi in Hungary about 174 A.D., was surprised with his forces by a superior number of the enemy. At the same time his army was suffering so gravely from the lack of water that annihilation seemed imminent. The emperor prayed to the gods for rain, but no response was forthcoming. A legion, consisting wholly of Christians, was summoned to the emperor's aid, the soldiers of which prostrated themselves in prayer, and the response was a cold rain upon the Romans, which took the form of seven hail as it reached the Quadi, whom it discomfited. In consequence of this, the legion received the name "Legio Admoventis."

The story has received the attention of many writers of church history, and its difficulties have been summarized as follows: A legion with this name was known before the time of Marcus Aurelius (Dio Cassius, IV, 23), though the exact form of this legion was in the East, not in Hungary. It is seen, however, that these facts are not decisive against the story, since the legion might have been present owing to the emergency, such transfers not being unknown, and the slight change in the form of the name is not decisive. The principal incident is shown not to be improbable by the sculptures on the Antonine column at Rome, erected not long after, showing Jupiter Flavus, from whose lower streams of water are caught in the soldiers' shields, while the enemy are overwhelmed by lightning. The least probable element in the story is that a whole legion was composed of Christians. In favor of a substantial basis of the legend is that it is first mentioned by Claudius Aelianus (c. v.), who addressed his apology to Marcus Aurelius, while a contemporary of the emergency, such transfers not being unknown, and the slight change in the form of the name is not decisive. The principal incident is shown not to be improbable by the sculptures on the Antonine column at Rome, erected not long after, showing Jupiter Flavus, from whose lower streams of water are caught in the soldiers' shields, while the enemy are overwhelmed by lightning. The least probable element in the story is that a whole legion was composed of Christians. In favor of a substantial basis of the legend is that it is first mentioned by Claudius Aelianus (c. v.), who addressed his apology to Marcus Aurelius, while a contemporary of the emergency, such transfers not being unknown, and the slight change in the form of the name is not decisive. The principal incident is shown not to be improbable by the sculptures on the Antonine column at Rome, erected not long after, showing Jupiter Flavus, from whose lower streams of water are caught in the soldiers' shields, while the enemy are overwhelmed by lightning.

THURONGIA: See THURINGIA.

THURINGIA: A collective name applied to a group of small duchies and principalities situated between Prussia Saxony on the north and Bavaria on the south, and between the duchies of Saxony on the east and the Hesse-Nassau on the west. The duchies are Saxe-Weimar, Saxe-Meiningen, Saxe-Altenburg, and Saxe-Coburg-Gotha; the principalities are Heinschedels, Heinschedel, Schwesburg-Rudolstadt, and Schwarzburg-Sonderhausen. The combined area is 1,744 square miles, and the population (1900) 1,502,125. Of these 1,455,949

were Evangelical; 28,045 Roman Catholic; and 4,143 Jews. The church year-book of 1902 shows a Roman Catholic increase of 10 per cent. in Westphalia of 25 per cent, and a Protestant increase of 6 per cent. In Rhesland, the Roman Catholic increase 67 per cent, and Protestant only 4 per cent. Thuringian Roman Catholics are distributed among five bishoprics, Weimar (with 9 parishes) belongs to Fulda, Meiningen (5) to Würzburg, Altenburg (1) and the two principalities of Heins (2) belong to the apostolic vicariate of Saxony at Dresden, Gotha (1) and the two Schwarzburgs (2) to Paderborn, and Coburg (1) belongs to Bamberg. In the cities of Weimar church schools are maintained mostly through the "Society of Benefactors" except in the Elisabeth Hospital, where state schools prevail. The Unity of the Brethren have a settlement at Altenburg, Heins-Schleiss, with farms, primary and mission-schools, and a location at Neudorfsmühl and Gotha. There is a small scattering of Mennonites, Baptists, Methodists, and Irvingites. At Blankenburg the Evangelical Alliance of Germany has a great hotel and auditorium where the national wing of that society assembles the last week of August every year. The history of the church government in the various states is practically the same. There existed consistorial governments until

Reform-Gra: to it was added in 1802 limited local church rule with governing council. Generally, the churches have no representative function. Only in Weimar and Meiningen are there synods in which the local churches and the government have representatives. With the exception of four delegates out of thirty-five in Weimar and two out of twenty-two in Meiningen appointed by the diocesan, and in the former one representative of Jena University, all elected by the larger elective unions composed of the diocesan representatives. These synods, however, have only advisory power; they have no voice in the levy of taxes or adoption of measures. The church government of Thuringia may be described, on the whole, as episcopal under strict control by the heads of the states, with a presbyteral form in Weimar and Meiningen is Evangelical State Church, in Altenburg, Evangelical Protestant; and in the Schwarzburgs and Heins-Gra, Evangelical Lutheran. The church governments of Thuringia are all represented at the Eisenach Conference (c. v.). All except Meiningen have assumed part in the Evangelical church union (see EVANGELICAL CHURCH UNION). In common the Thuringian church governments have a loose affiliation and enter into national conferences as occasion may require.

The grand-duchy of Weimar, with 21 dioceses, having such a superintendent and a judge and a judge-inspector of the circuit on the secular side, had (1902) 312 official positions with 1,250 parishes and vicars. Many parishes, however, are filled by choice of the governing council, about one-fourth by the pastor, usually by virtue of his pastorate; a member of the council, and the majority by the civil church government. Examination of candidates takes place before the council at Weimar and is participated in by a few Jena professors. Visitations take place at stated periods on the part of the general church council and members of the consistorial conference. In Meiningen, upon the order of appointment and visitation is the same as in Weimar; the State Church consists 14 dioceses, 144 parishes, and about 120 clergy; in Altenburg, 8 episcopal, 116 parishes, and about 120 clergy; in Gotha, 12 episcopal, about 100 parishes, and about 120 clergy; in Coburg 4 episcopal, 17 parishes, and about 20 clergy; in Sonderhausen, 4 episcopal, 28 parishes, and about 60 clergy; and in Heins-Schleiss, 5 episcopal, 63 parishes, and about 60 clergy. There is throughout a graduated system of ground income with increments of retiring allowances and widows' pensions. In catechesis, liturgy, and use of hymnals there is much diversity; the system of parishes is still firmly prevalent, limiting the minister in the use of Biblical material and its moving interest. The church attendance is small, more so in the country and lower than in the cities. Charitable orders and institutions are semi-philanthropic and semi-ecclesiastical, owing to the close historical connection of Church and State. A network of women's associations overwreaths Weimar, federated in the "Patriotic Institute of Women's Societies"; but, although possessing the Church as their main support, yet they do not constitute an

Govern: the period of 1848-50, when they were merged into the abolished and their functions assigned to a department of the territorial ministry of public works and education. Under these departments there is a supervision of the churches by episcopals or superintendents of respective districts. At the same time a local church government was instituted consisting in church meetings and church councils; in Meiningen the former have, besides the election of the church council, to decide upon the raising of funds, alterations in the liturgy, the use of catechisms and hymnals, the change of the parish, and the like. The local church councils, of which the pastor and local magistrates are generally ex-officio members, have to see after good morals and church order, the administration of property, legal representation, and local charity, in cooperation with civil boards, if such exist. In Altenburg and the two Schwarzburgs the pastor, in the Schwarzburgs (as also in Weimar) there are general church councils under the department of the ministry of public works and education, which presides over them; its functions are examination of candidates, supervision of official conduct, and introduction of measures looking to the appointment and promotion of the clergy. To the local church councils belongs the prerogative of removing clerics by taxation. In Coburg the administration under the ministry of public works and education is exercised through the division of the State Church into six episcopal, each under the joint control of the local state-consistorial, or magistrate or episcopus, in Gotha each of the eight official church districts is under a board composed of the consistorial or city magistrate, a senator, and the episcopus. Except in the old consistorial order yet exists in

438 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

Jan. 11, 1902. He received his education at the Remonstrant Seminary and the Albinusum... He was the vicar of the Remonstrants of his day...

truth of evolution as applied to religion. The various processes of evolution he discovered in the growth of religion in general... TIFANY, CHARLES COMFORT: Protestant Episcopate; b. at Baltimore, Md., Oct. 5, 1829...

FIGURE, JOHN JAMES: Methodist Episcopal Church, South; b. at Louisville, Ky., Nov. 25, 1836... TIKHON: First Russian archbishop of America; b. in the Russian province of Pskov in 1865.

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 440

graduated from the St. Petersburg Theological Academy and was immediately appointed professor in the seminary at Chelm. In 1897 he was consecrated bishop of Lublin... TIL, BALOMON VAN: Dutch preacher and professor; b. at Wony (8 m. n.e. of Amsterdam) Dec. 26, 1642; d. in Leyden Oct. 21, 1713.

(Frustra, 1712) contains some of the material of his homiletical lectures. Salmus vrede in Leijde, France en Rouen... TILLEMENT, LOUIS SEBASTIEN LE BARON DE: French Roman Catholic church historian; b. of noble family in Paris Nov. 30, 1627...

the first table under Masoretic text represent the original tradition, those in the second table claim attention because of their industry; 2. The Flood seems, however, think those of the Septuagint text nearly according to which till the Flood Methuselah, Jared, and Lamech died in the year of the flood. It is to be remarked that 100 years of them may be deducted from the last table, and this results in the following:

	Heb.	Grec.	Sept.	Dep.
From creation to the flood	1,658	1,267	2,262	1,584
From the flood to Abraham's birth	200	940	1,070	323
Total	1,858	2,207	3,332	1,907

The figures from Abraham on are in Gen. xli. 4, xli. 5, xxxv. 26, xviii. 9, xli. 40-41. Age of Abraham at his call 75
Age of Isaac at Jacob's birth 100
Age of Jacob on entering Egypt 130
After Abraham's call 100
Total 315

These last figures give the lapse of time from creation till the exodus. What the second and third columns mean is doubtful, but it has long been seen that the number 4,000, a "world-number," representing 100 generations of 40 years each, is represented in the Masoretic column by the number 2,666. This leaves the remainder of 1,333 years to be accounted for.

But first it must be noted that in I Kings 3. 1 the number 40 appears in the period of the exodus. Solomon to the building of the temple. The book of Judges does not easily work into this scheme, as the following tables show:

a. Major judges: time of peace

Olden, Judas, 11	40
Ehud, 11	40
Deborah, 11	40
Gideon, viii, 20	40
Samson, xv, 20	40
Total	200 or 206

b. Time of oppression

Under Mesopotamians, iii, 8	8
Moab, xii, 14	18
Canaan, iv, 1	20
Philistines, i, 1	40
Ammon, x, 8	18
Edom, 1	40
Total	111 years

c. Minor judges

Yael, Judges x, 2	22
Jephthah (Gt. Jgs)	7
Samson, xvi, 7	40
Abdon, xii, 14	8
Total	76 years

d. Dates and the judges

None in the wilderness	40
El. I Sam. ix, 16 (LXX, 20)	40
Samuel	7
David, I Kings ii, 11	40
Solomon till building of Temple	4
Total	131 (136) + + + +

The item concerning Elen is doubtful, since he is absent from the prehebraic Septuagint, in Eusebius, and perhaps also from Clement of Alexandria; this would leave 66 years for the minor judges. But the omission in the Septuagint may be accidental. The placing of Jephthah is a matter of judgment; the period, of course, is to be counted but once. To get the period between the exodus and the building of the temple the totals of the four tables above must be added, deducting the repeated items: 225 + 111 + 70 + 124 = 530 for 534, in case Abraham with 2 years is counted in, Judges ix, 22. That this is far beyond the 480 years of I Kings vi, 1. To reduce this it has been noted that it is usual to reckon the years of a usurper's reign with the rightful king, and the years of oppression constitute a sort of parenthesis; then the reckoning would give 531 - 111 = 420, and 60 years are set for + + + +. But an objection to this is that in certain cases, those of Jephthah and Samson, the "usurpation" is longer than the reign. But this argument is deceptive, since in the case of Samson it is reasonable to suppose that the Philistine oppression is reckoned into the time of Eli. Similarly the reckoning with regard to Jephthah is doubtful (note the wording of Judges x, 8). At any rate, the foregoing shows that merely doctored handling of the tables is not to be attempted. Another method of shortening the period recently indicated in the book of Judges, is that of Nöldeke, who regards the tables a and b as so interlarded as to show the idea of the historian of the period of the judges; that would leave no room for the table c, dealing with the minor judges. In that case they were not in the original book and not in the chronological scheme, and that scheme calls for 441 years. But even this seems to allow too much time for + + + +. Then it is helped by the fact that the oppression of the Philistines' rule included the period of Eli's government, so that Samson's 20 years and Eli's make up the 40 of Judges xii, 1, leaving 78 to count 80 for + + + +, allotting 40 to Joshua, and 20 each to Samson and Saul. That makes the scheme from the exodus as follows:

None	Year	Years
Moab	Jephthah	6
Edom	Joshua	40
Canaan	El. Septuagint, 20	20
Philistines	Ehud	40
Ammon	Deborah	40
Edom	Samuel	20
Gideon	Total	200

In this scheme there are two omissions; the minor judges are not taken into account. That Jephthah has no place among the major judges, the 6 years being placed among the count of the minor judges (cf. Judges xii, 7 with x, 8). This leaves 76 years to account for, which violates the entire calculation. The sum of the twelve "rings" noted, if either Jephthah or Eli receive only 20 years, gives 495 years, 74 short of the 480 called for. But the generation of the number forty appears especially in the first six periods of the last table. And this predominance of the number 40 (cf. the confirmation in I Chron. v, 29-34, = vi, 35-38) illustrates the Masoretic chronology. To the 2,666 years between creation and the exodus (969 generations) 480 (12 generations) are now to be added.

For the next step assurance is not in our possession. Yet it seems significant that from the time of Solomon's ascent to the throne (c. 1010) to the return of Zerubbabel (520 B.C.) almost exactly 490 years (390 years, and 100 years) are noted. The worthy that from Zerubbabel's return to the beginning of the exile are eleven generations (I Chron. vi, 8-15). This scheme may have some just before or just after the end of the exile. In that case, the chronologist had before him the 969 generations + 12 + 12, leaving 993 generations, if he was reckoning on the world era of 4,000 years; he must then have expected Messianic times about 137 a.c. The foregoing attempt at solving the scheme of Masoretic chronology, based upon the 2,666 years, is not the only one. Bousset starts from the data given in the Apocrypha of Ezra ix, 38 and I Kings, vi, viii, VIII, §§ 61-62, and X, §§ 147-148 (Greek text), and reaches the conclusion that the beginning of the temple cult (twenty years after the beginning of the building of the temple) fell in the year 3200 from creation. Bousset holds that the Septuagint system is secondary to the Masoretic, arranged in the time when the Hebrew began to compare their chronology with that of Egypt and Babylon and so discovered that their own was too short. This system would work out thus:

upon the number 200, giving a total of 3,166, composed of 200 (12+6) from creation to the construction of the Temple. The first is a popular reckoning, the second is purely theoretical and under foreign influence.

If the Historical Data: It has already been noted that the use of an artificial chronological system does not exclude the presence of historical data, which were probably taken from tradition and brought together and arranged or changed. How far this was the case may be seen by comparison of, e.g., the Book of Judges with other parts of Scripture. If such a combination appears together, one systematically chronologized and one based on traditionally transmitted numbers. In attempting to fix the dates of events the one essential thing looking is a date of reckoning, an "era," in the earlier period; the attempt is not made to connect events with a recognized and fixed date. The reference to Adam or to the flood can not, in the nature of the case, give a definite starting point. More promising appears the reference to I Kings vi, 1 to the exodus, but apart from the fact that the event itself has been called in question, a fixed date for that is not yet determined. Only in very late Biblical times did an era come into use, that of the Seleucids (I Macc. xii, 41-42). The lack of a fixed date within Jewish chronology forces a complete dependence upon foreign data, so far as contemporary events can be established; and this comes first in comparatively late times—in the Assyrian period. Above all regrettable is the fact that no connection exists such that a starting point may be derived from Egypt. For there is a calendar existed which carries one back to July 19, 4241, the oldest fixed date in history, depending upon the fact that the Egyptian new year's day (on a year of 360 days) theoretically begins on the day when Sirius (Sothis) rose with the dawn at Memphis. The year being fixed for 360 days, every four years a day was lost, and 1,660 Julian years = 1,641 Sothic years. The coincidence of the Sothic and the Julian

Original chronology of Josephus, Ant. VIII, §§ 61-62 (Greek text)	Year of the Flood	Birth of Abraham	Exodus of Israel	Building of Temple
1656	1956	2071	2501	3001
Variation of 30 years of Josephus, Ant. X, 47-49	1686	1986	2031	2461
From the beginning of the Book of Judges	1707-1800	1996	2111	2611
Hebrew	1656	1956	2071	2501
Septuagint	1656	1956	2071	2501
Samaritan	1807	2067	2421	2921

Year of the Flood	Birth of Abraham	Exodus of Israel	Building of Temple
1656	1956	2071	2501
1686	1986	2031	2461
1707-1800	1996	2111	2611
1656	1956	2071	2501
1656	1956	2071	2501
1807	2067	2421	2921

A new attempt by Bousset (*Die chronologischen Systeme im A. T. und im Neuen Testament*, in *Monatsschrift für biblische Theologie*, 1908) to arrive at the chronological basis of reckoning results in Bousset's belief that he has discovered two systems worked together. The first uses the generation-number of forty years, reckoning from the birth of Adam to the end of the exile, giving 40 x 50 = 2,000 years (combining the second is a great solar cycle based

new year's day occurred 2781 and 4241 a.c., and at the earliest of times the Egyptian calendar must have begun. In early Babylonian an early fixed date is lacking, and the dating of events depends upon data afforded by Seleucids (see Assmann, *Vit.*, i, § 1; *Beaurova VI.*, i, §§ 1-2) which are seriously called in question. The dating of Sothis is, according to the shorter reckoning, brought down to about 2700 a.c. instead of c. 3750. But even were early Baby-

Isaiah dates assured, they would be unable for Biblical chronology only were definite points of chronology (synchronisms) settled. Such synchronisms are practically entirely lacking. No stringently binding connection exists with a fixed date for the exodus of Abraham or Joseph with Egypt; even for the entrance into and exodus from Egypt the reigning Pharaoh is not known with absolute certainty—assuming the historicity of those events. Similarly with Babylonian, the wandering of the patriarchs in the East, the stay of Abraham in Babylon or Haran, his stay in Canaan—none more granting the historicity of these events—none of these permit of connection with fixed early Babylonian history. Even Gen. xiv, with the kings there mentioned does not afford a relationship, since it is not certain that Amraphel is the Hammurabi (see Hammurabi and his Code) whose date is approximately fixed. All of earlier Biblical chronology depends upon conditions from later Biblical events so far as earlier and later events can be connected.

The first real synchronisms occur in the regal period, when certain actual events are related with Assyrian events. A supposed synchronism with the founding of Carthage and the list of Ptolemaic (Tyrian) kings is only apparent.

3. Synchro-Josephus (Genes, I, 13) gives from Genesis in Menander's list of ten kings of Tyre, P 2 Kings and also (Ant. VIII, II, 1) says that Solomon began the Temple in Hiram's sixteenth year. But both sets of data are inconclusive. For the founding of Carthage Timon assigns the year 813, but without corroborative scientific knowledge of the source this can not be accepted as basis for chronology. In the books of Kings there appears what looks like an extraordinarily exact system of reckoning, in which are two series of figures which seem to support and guarantee one another, though as a matter of fact they do not agree. The one series gives the lengths of the reigns of the rulers of both kingdoms, the other gives synchronisms, stating in what regal years of the contemporary monarch of the other kingdom the king of the one began his reign. Were the system correct and the figures correctly transmitted, this would have high value. But the two systems are not by the same hand, the books having undergone a double redaction, the second at the earliest toward the end of the exile, probably after the exile. This second naturally used, at least for the later parts, traditional numbers, though it may have altered them to fit into the system. Menander in his commentary on Kings has sought to show that the period of 480 years (cf. 1 Kings vi, 1) rules for the time between Solomon and the end of the exile, that between the division of the kingdom and the fall of Samaria is half of this, 240 years (according to another reckoning 265 years). If between the fall of Samaria and of Jerusalem he reckoned 138 years, for the exile a duration of 50, and for Solomon's reign after beginning the Temple 38 years, the sum is 240 (265 + 138 + 50 + 38 = 491 (485) years. Simple addition of the Biblical numbers to Hebraic gives in the Julian series 290 and in the Samaritan series 282 years, with a total to end of exile of 464 and 452 years. This suggests that Hebraic's attempt

tempt has probability behind it, though nothing more. As to the manner of reckoning the length of reigns, it is assumed that the first "old" year was reckoned to a king, the preceding year being given to his predecessor, though it has been otherwise assumed that the last year of a king's reign should be given also to his successor, from which this leading to a doubling and reversal, giving a subtraction of one year between, from such except the first of the series.

For assured reckoning points the Assyrian chronology furnishes a means, through the Eponym Canon and the eclipse of 763 B.C. (see ASTRON., VI, 1, § 11). Synchronisms rule as follows:

Shalmaneser II ruled	825-824
Shalmaneser fought with Sennacherib	814
Tiglath-Pileser ruled	746-727
Tiglath-Pileser fought Assur and Israel	724
Tiglath-Pileser took Damascus	732
Shalmaneser IV ruled	722-705
Sennacherib ruled	705-681
Sennacherib moved to Judah	701

In addition to these data there is the Canon of Ptolemy which gives a survey of Babylonian and Persian rulers of Babylon, and from Alexander of the Ptolemies in Egypt. Usable here are the data that

Sardanapalus ruled	682-681
Nebuchadnezzar ruled	604-562
Sennacherib took Samaria	604-562

From these numbers can be gained certain dates for Israel. From the last of the dates of at least the later kings of Judah, in the battle of Kerker Ahab or Jehoram took part, while Jehu's tribute year was 842 when he must have been on the throne; 734 or 733 was Pekah's last regal year and 722 Hosea's last. There are several synchronisms between Israel and Judah; Jeroboam and Baasha, Jehu and Athaliah, respectively, entered upon their reigns in the same year. Moab records (see MOABITE STONE) that Israel during forty years, i.e., during Chuzai's reign and half of the days of his son (Ahab, Ahaziah, Joram), oppressed Moab. But Ahab, Ahaziah, and Joram reigned only 38 years, hence Chuzai's 12 + half of 36 = 18 are only 30 years, and the 40 of Moab is a round number. It furnishes, however, an example of the reckoning by generation or families. Remembering the inexactitude of modern oriental for exactness in Israel, it is easy to see how in the absence of written records the exact numbers become

4. General records the exact numbers become gross or illustrated also by the number in Judges.

A general but provisional scheme as the result of the foregoing investigation results as follows:

Enoch	1220-1200
Jahel	1200-1120
Enoch ruled	1200
Sameel	1120-1070
Enoch	1070-1017
David	1017-877
Salomon	877

Abraham	2007-2009
Isaac	1997-2007
Joseph	1987-2007
Moses	1872-1872
Joshua	1872-1872
David	1017-877
Salomon	877
Isaiah	740-735
Jeremiah	604-562
Ezekiel	597-587
Jesus	4-30
Paul	30-60
John	60-100
Mark	60-70
Matthew	60-70
Luke	60-70
Acts	60-70
Revelation	95-100

Isaac	1997-2007
Joseph	1987-2007
Moses	1872-1872
Joshua	1872-1872
David	1017-877
Salomon	877
Isaiah	740-735
Jeremiah	604-562
Ezekiel	597-587
Jesus	4-30
Paul	30-60
John	60-100
Mark	60-70
Matthew	60-70
Luke	60-70
Acts	60-70
Revelation	95-100

For the time between the return from exile and Christ only one date is seriously in question, viz., the time of Ezra's visit to Jerusalem (see EZRA-NARAYAN). In spite of Koster's attack on the Biblical reports, Ezra's visit must be placed 458 and the giving of the law 445 or 444. (R. KRISTEN.)

III. The Abrahamic Dates. The determination of the date of Abraham is one of the most difficult problems which the chronology of the ancient orient has left. For its complete solution the chronological data of three oriental peoples must be brought into agreement,—the Hebrews, the Egyptians, and the Babylonians. Each of these systems affords difficulties of its own sufficiently complicated to tax the resources of the greatest experts, and no one of them is thoroughly scientific, though of the three the Babylonian presents more scientifically based data than either of the others. It will be well to take these in the order in which they have been named.

1. The Hebrew Chronology. The Book of Genesis contains in those portions of the book which were compiled and edited by the primary historiographer (P) a most elaborate chronology in which families and individuals are laid up into a complete birth, marriage, and death recording system, every proper note. Unhappily this system can not in some places be reconciled with the data given by the other chief authors whose works have found a place in Genesis, the Judaistic (J) and the Ephraimite (E) sections of the book. For the present purpose the J and E portions may safely be left out of account as they do not materially affect the computation. Taking, then, P alone the dates down to Abraham from the creation may be summarized as follows:

Year	From Creation	From Adam
From the creation of man to the birth of Seth	1606	1207
From the birth of Seth to the birth of Abraham	201	1207
From the creation of man to the birth of Abraham	2,021	2,324

It seems to be perfectly clear that these figures are all artificial; they are the result of elaborate computations and theorizing carried on by the priests of Israel for centuries. But the most searching investigation of modern scholars has failed to find the ultimate basis on which they rest—the point from which they were calculated and the processes by which they were finally determined. The difficulty of dealing with them is enormously enhanced by the difference between the three reckonings of the text. These can not be explained by the old device of accidental corruptions by copyists. Some of them must represent the labors of editors. Some of the older chronologists in modern times have taken freely and indifferently from either reckoning whatever figures might seem to them to be most agreeable to the system they were constructing. The more scrupulous investigations of recent times have unfortunately yielded no certain test for the determination of the relative value of these reckonings. Several of the most eminent modern scholars have presented arguments to show that the Samaritan text has preserved the most probable list of figures, among them Budde, Dillmann, and Holzinger. But their meaning has carried but little conviction and the majority of critical students content themselves with a general adherence to the Masoretic computations. If now these last be accepted, the conclusion is reached that Abraham's call fell in the year of the world 2021. But this is a most unsatisfying conclusion; it must be reduced to a known era, and one must ascertain to what year A.C. it corresponds. For the solution of this problem the book of Genesis affords no data of any kind. A fixed datum must be sought elsewhere by which a reckoning may be guided.

The greatest event in Israel's history was the 977 based on chronology from Egypt; to it the poets & chroniclers and prophets continually hark back on the Perhaps a point of departure may be chosen there be secured.

From the call of Abraham to the birth of Isaac (cf. Gen. xii, 4 with Gen. xxv, 25) Isaac's age at the birth of Jacob and Esau (Gen. xxv, 26) Age of Jacob when he went down into Egypt (Gen. xxxv, 10) The length of the sojourn in Egypt (Ex. xii, 40) From the call of Abraham to the Exodus 445

If now these figures could all be accepted as certain and if data could be discovered in the Bible itself for locating the events in terms of the Christian era, it would be possible at once to determine the date of Abraham, but unfortunately neither of these suppositions is true, as will appear upon a brief examination. In the first place the 430 years (Ex. xii, 40, 41), which in substantial agreement with the words of the promise: "thou shalt sojourn in a land that is not thine . . . and they shall afflict thee 400 years" (Gen. xv, 13), is hopelessly at variance with the passages which assign only four generations from Jacob's children to Moses (Ex. vi, 16-20; Num. xxi, 5-9; of Gen. xv, 10) or five to Joshua (Josh. vi, 1). This difficulty was evidently observed in antiquity, for an endeavor to meet it appears in the text of both the Samaritan and the Septuagint which read in Ex.

iii. 40. "The sojourning of the children of Israel in the land of Egypt, and in the land of Canaan was 430 years." This reduces the sojourn in Egypt from 430 to 215 years, which is exactly equal to the sojourn in Canaan, and this was, as shown above, 25 x 40 = 100 years. It is quite evident that this can not be genuine chronology based on ancient data, for it is highly improbable, to say the least, that the sojourn in Canaan and in Egypt should be of exactly the same duration. These figures are the result of computation and reckoning, not the result of exact records. But, in the second place, there are no data for locating the exodus chronologically in the book of Exodus or Numbers. To find its date according to the priestly chronicles and computation it is necessary to come farther down in the Biblical books.

The passage used for this purpose by Archbishop Usher is found in I Kings vi. 1 as follows: "And **Baasha**—eightieth year after the children of Israel were come out of the land of Egypt, in the fourth year of Solomon's reign over Israel, . . . that he began to build the house of Jehovah." This passage, far from easing the difficulties, simply increases them. In the first place the number 80 seems to be nothing else than a computation made by the writer of books of Kings who, about the beginning of the exile, compiled books with the object of presenting a complete chronology of Israel's historical life. There are a good many appearances of "forty" in the work of chronologists like him, for example in Judges, and it is probable that the number forty is either a round number or more likely the computed length of a generation. On this latter supposition 80 would mean twelve generations, a suggestion which finds support, if not confirmation, in the list of names with which he was operating, namely Moses (in the wilderness), Joshua, Othniel, Ehud, Deborah, Gideon, Jephtah, Samson, Eli, Samuel, Saul, and David. His method would seem to be plain. He computes twelve generations between the exodus and the Temple, and then simply translates these into 480 years. But even if it be assumed that the 480 was an exact number, the goal would still be no nearer, for the book of Kings gives no certain method of determining the fourth year of Solomon. To secure that it would be necessary to go on down through the book of Kings, hoping somewhere to find a king who could be located through his contemporaneity with some ruler or some event known from the outside world. This was Usher's method, and it led him to date the fourth year of Solomon at 1012 B.C., and the exodus at 1491; if now to this be added the 645 years, the result would be 2136 B.C. as the date of Abraham's call, and this would give as the real Biblical date of Abraham's life 2211-2036 B.C. This date must now be tested by the application to it of such comparisons and checks as Egypt and Babylon may be able to furnish. It is best to begin with Egypt.

1. Egyptian Chronology. Prior to the beginning of the eighteenth dynasty Egyptian chronology provides many and complicated questions and few certainties, but from Ahmose I, the first

king of this dynasty about 1580 B.C. (J. H. Breasted, *Hist. of the Ancient Egyptians*, p. 426, New York, 1909), there is substantial agreement among Egyptologists and the error is demonstrably small in any case. It was during this dynasty that the correspondence between the king of Egypt and various rulers and governors of western Asia occurred. (See ANAKA, *Texts*.) The two Egyptian kings Amenophis III and Amenophis IV, are by Breasted located at 1411-1375 and 1375-1350, and other Egyptologists would not slightly change these figures. The correspondence shows quite clearly that during these reigns Egypt was completely master of Palestine, and only during the latter are there signs of a breaking of Egyptian supremacy through the attacks of small bodies of people seeking new homes. Among these the *Habiri* find frequent mention, and efforts have been made by some scholars to identify them with the Hebrews under Joshua, but without success (see ANAKA, *Texts*, IV, § 1). They are indeed probably of the same or of a closely related stock, but they are not the Hebrews of the Old Testament. Indeed the very allusions to these marauders, the *Habiri*, show quite plainly that the conquest described in the summary in Judges I, was not taking place. The date of the exodus at 1491 B.C., therefore, shown to be impossible, for down to 1350 Egypt was still mistress of the whole territory of Canaan. If now this date be thus disposed of, one has next to ask whether any more suitable date may be discovered by the help of the Egyptians. For such a search Exodus I, II reports that the Israelites, before the exodus, built two store cities, Pithom and Ramesses, for the Egyptians. Now the excavations of Edouard Naville have proved that Pithom was built by Ramesses II, of the next, or the nineteenth, dynasty, and the very name of the city Ramesses supports this deduction. Unless, therefore, the Hebrew historical recollections concerning these two cities are in hopeless error, it follows that Ramesses II was the Pharaoh of the oppression, and his successor Menephtah the Pharaoh of the exodus (see Egypt, I, 4, § 3). Breasted dates these two kings at 1292-1225 and 1225-1215 B.C., Petrie locates the former at 1300-1234, Maspero at 1230-1255, and Meyer 1310-1244. The differences between the experts are small, and according to them it is required to date the exodus at about 1230 instead of 1491.

If now this date be taken as a point of departure and the 645 years be added, it is necessary to locate the date of Abraham's call at 1875 B.C., and adding the seventy-five years of his life before that date, Abraham's date would be given as 1950-1775.

2. Babylonian Chronology. This date must now be tested by the data to be derived from Babylonian sources. It makes Abraham the contemporary of a certain Amraphel, king of Shinar. Schrader was the first to suggest that Amraphel was a corruption of the name of the well-known Babylonian king Hammurabi. The difficulties in this identification fell at first gradually vanished as other forms, more closely approximating the Hebrew form of the name, were found in Babylonian documents. There remained, however, a very great difficulty in bringing Hammurabi far enough down, or Abraham far

former removed or fell, other arrangements were necessary. The one pro-Dutchman's assistant, it is contended, was the royal one at 2. Attempts Rebel (Gen. xxviii, 22; Amos vii, 17); to Reconcile Smith stresses the fact that the tithe of the Two D is different from the tribute gifts to Cedes, the northern ancestor; the feast of Amos are not the joyous feasts of the Dutchman's agriculture; they are the heinous banquets provided from the tribute wrung from the people, in which Smith sees the original character of the tithes. But there is no hint that in Jewish the tithes were heaped to the husband, and that abuse arose from this which D attempts to correct. Smith seems to think the tithes for the poor is the only officially required tithes, while that for the other two years was a free-will offering, and this does not correspond with the presentation of D. The ordinary Semitic tithes for the cultus seems to have existed among the Hebrews with adaptations to their own religious genius. Some have thought that D had in view a second tithes, which came to light first after the tenth of the tithes had been deducted (cf. sup.), though mention of such a thing seems to fall entirely. Even though in F earlier legislation than D had in view a second law for the tithes is clearly a step in advance and later. The later practice (tith. 1, 4-8) seems to show the tithes of F and of D both claimed by the Levites. Theoretically there were three tithes, according to F for the Levites, according to D for the public use, and that each third year for the poor. The first accrued wholly to the Levites and covered all that came from the earth (cf. Matt. xxiii, 23); the second was for the officers' meal, though F also gives it to the Levites, and so raises the question whether the twofold or threefold tithes were merely theoretical.

How the system worked and is not known. From II Chron. xxxi, 4 it has been inferred that till the time of Herod the tithes were so small for the support of the personnel of the cultus, and from Deut. xii, 17 a misuse of the tithes is depicted. Evidently the people did not like the tithes (cf. Neh. xiii, 5 sqq; Mal. iii, 8). But there is no report of the actual execution of both the tithes of F and D, and Josephus mentions only the Levitical tithes which was converted into money on the spot (LJos. xii, 151); so at the second temple a second tithes does not appear. But the Jews who were true to the law seem to have recognized by their duty in the matter of tithes (tithes xxvii, 1; II Mac. iii, 49; Matt. xxiii, 23). See PATER, PATERSON, I, § 16.

II. Ecclesiastical: Tithes ("tenths") are in general contributions of one tenth part or of some other defined portion of the yield of a piece of land or active property paid to the lawful claimant as ground rental. These are customary tithes. Doctrine in connection with both spiritual and real practice temporal domains, and are subject to till 1877, both public and private law. As a rule, however, the term tithes is confined to contributions payable to the Church, with which alone the tithes is connected. The title customary with the Hebrews (see I, above) passed from the

synagogue to the Church at a time when the latter's official came to be viewed as priest and the priesthood of the Church as the continuation and fulfillment of that in the Old Testament. Hence it was now required of all Christians to pay tithes as a religious obligation (cf. Apostolic Constitutions, II, xv, xxxv, vii, xix, viii, xxi, xxv, LXX, vii, 498, 413, 471, 484; Apostolic Canon, IV, v, etc.; for the history of the introduction and extension of the tithes consult I. THOMASIN, *Tritha et non ecclesie disciplina*, part III, book I, chap. 1, c. 1, of. xlii, xlii, Paris, 1728). However, some time elapsed before this requirement was generally recognized through the confessional, where the omission to pay tithes was treated as a sin. Moreover, the liberality of princes had its effect, and when this fell short, recourse was had to legislation. The provision was made that of the goods of the Church which the State taxed as benefice subject to reversion to the Church, the tithes, and, furthermore, a sixth of the remaining ninety per cent, or two tithes in all, were to be contributed. On this point, chap. xii, of the *Capitulum Heroldense* (773 a. d.) reads as follows: "Of church property now under assessment, let the tithes and the sixth be paid conjointly with the rating tax." This ruling was afterward often repeated, and the obligation to pay tithes, as the Church affirmed it, was recognized on principle, even apart from these benefice arrangements, e.g. King Pepin's letter to Bishop Iulio of Mainz: "You may provide and ordain by our mandate, that every man, willing or not, shall pay his tithes." And Charlemagne repeated this in chap. vii, of the *Capitulum* cited above. The bishops were thereby empowered to receive and to distribute tithes. The obligation was transferred to the newly converted Saxons, to the so-called *Capitulatio de partibus Saxoniae*, chap. xvii. This custom was thereafter more stoutly maintained, and the mandate was enforced under threat of seven penalties. From that time onward, the tithes were in continual use in Germany and France, also in other countries, coming in with the introduction of Christianity, though often fiercely opposed. Thus they were established in Portugal not until the close of the sixteenth century, about the same time in Denmark and Iceland, and in Sweden not till the beginning of the eighteenth century. Moreover, the Church confirmed the tithes right by means of special provision, many of which occur in the canonical collections. Some of these last aim to insure to the Church such tithes as had been withdrawn through alienation or otherwise. Possession of tithes by the laity was pronounced a sin. All attempts to contest the claims of the Church to tithes were opposed by the Council of Trent (session XXV, chap. xii, *De reformatione*). In consequence of the Reformation, the Church of Rome suffered momentous losses in the tithes which she had hitherto drawn, which now were ap-

plied to Evangelical objects. For the point was hardly anywhere affirmed, that the exaction of the tithes is reprehensible. Only the Anabaptists in Switzerland maintained that after the Christian era neither interest nor reforms—tithes, even the tithes (German) peasants, in their twelve articles of 1525 a. d., did not deny the obligation. Luther generally approved the payment of tithes and in view of their practical convenience, regarded them as the most expedient form of taxing (Works, ed. Walth, a 1006, xvi, 66, 85). In Luther's opinion, tithes were to be paid to the temporal sovereignty; but in this he was not successful. In the Evangelical State Churches, the tithes were retained, though with modifications and were more strictly defined. In the duty of Prussia and in Saxony the church-inspectors were directed to observe the necessary measures for tithes payments (cf. the regulations of 1527 and 1828, in E. Schilling, *Die evangelischen Kirchenverfassungen*, I, 144, 145, 171, Leipzig, 1907). Contributions in kind were frequently commuted, although the natural tithes continued legally in practice. But in the course of time there grew up a class of tithes, partly on economic grounds, partly because of alienation from the Church, e.g. in France it provided formal repeal of the tithes without indemnity (cf. art. 5 of the National Assembly's decree, from Aug. 4 to Nov. 3, 1789). In other countries there was real peculiar kinds of tithes were abolished. Even at present either the tithes direct, or some substitute rating, are much in vogue, thus calling for a statement of the principles governing the application.

Originally viewed, the tithes are either temporal (or civil needs) or ecclesiastical (for the Church). A further distinction is into lay and 3. Church: clerical tithes, which distinction turns on the question whether the recipient of the tithes is a layman or a cleric. Laymen may claim to be in possession of church tithes, and clerics in possession of temporal tithes, a change in ownership having been brought about through alienation or other circumstances. Theoretically this was forbidden, and the possession of ecclesiastical tithes by laymen was pronounced criminal. The distinction has continued to be of practical moment since certain obligations depending upon the originally ecclesiastical tithes still rest upon the holder. The tithes is either paid from the proceeds of some industry and other personal profits, as a personal tithes (less frequently), or it is paid on the basis of other increments, as the "real tithes." But from time immemorial, the real tithes appears generally in practice, whether based on field, grain, sheep or fruit, or on cattle. There also exist subdivisions into great and small tithes. Normally the great natural tithes include the so-called major fruits of the field, and the great livestock tithes includes domestic and farm animals. Pope Alexander III, defines as articles under the small tithes fodder and garden products. The same category also includes the so-called small cattle—sheep, lambs, foals, calves, poultry, bees, etc. When the produce tithes is paid in kind from the soil itself, it

becomes known as natural, abrad, or almost tithes. Distinct from this is the mark, bushel, or village tithes, which is paid from grain already threshed and sacked, or is commuted, including therefore the money tithes as a cash equivalent. Certain other frequently mentioned subdivisions of tithes belong more properly to the survey of the tithes law and obligation as follows: The tithes right is based either on canon or other law, or on tradition, contract, or custom.

4. Title. By legal definition, the tithes generally accrue to the Church, and modes of title payments were subject to the same principles as governed other ecclesiastical revenues. The bishop received the tithes for distribution to the several churches; but where the parish pastors drew the tithes, they were expected to transmit the distribution in the presence of witnesses. The tithes paid to parish churches and baptisteries were to be employed for these alone, without any partial transfer to the cathedral or to the bishop. Subsequently, the traditional division of the church property into four portions, as observed at Rome, was also applied to the tithes, and the fourth part was assigned to the bishop, although this payment gradually lapsed and survived only locally. The bishop is therefore no longer entitled to the quarter of the tithes accruing to the parishes, though he may claim the tithes of his diocese in his diocese as are not especially referred to some parish church. In all other cases the collection of tithes appertains to the parish churches by ordinary law. In this matter canon law proceeds from the premise that the parish pastor are entitled to demand tithes within the entire parish bounds, except as exemptions exist. Hence new tithes (those yielded by *hilitate virgini* soil) are also accredited to the parish church. The tithes right has its corresponding circumstances, and, within a given district, may cover either all or only certain particular fields, may embrace all fruits, or only stated kinds of produce, and the amount of the contribution itself may vary, except that there is a strong presumption to fix it as the actual tenth part. Concurrently with the tithes right goes the tithes obligation. While legislation once ruled that the duty to pay tithes was universal, this ruling fell short of unqualified expressness and eventually became a dead letter. Accordingly, the legal presumption in favor of the tithes obligation is not everywhere in force, and usually evidence is required of one who affirms that right. Another consideration qualifies the tithes obligation, viz., the distinction between real and personal tithes, as well as the religious belief of the persons obligated. Wherever the obligation attaches to real estate, the personal ownership is immaterial, since the real tithes is payable even by non-Christian owners. But the personal tithes is paid only by the actual possessor. According to the maxim, "tithes are to be paid from the natural yield," tithes are due from the fruits produced, and from these directly. Hence the obligation attaches to the fruits, even when these are alienated, so that the tithes can be required of the third party who controls the produce. Where a release from the tithes obligation is affirmed, such release must be proved

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 458

1824 became a member of the Royal Society of Literature. He edited the posthumous works of Milton (London, 1811); and the works of Spenser (1810); wrote the life of Brian Walton (2 vols., 1821); and *Account of the Deans of Canterbury* (Canterbury, 1793); *Illustrations of the Lives and Writings of Gower and Chaucer* (London, 1810); *A Catalogue of the Archaic Manuscripts in the Library of Lambeth Palace* (1821); *Original Sin, From St. Paul, Origen, Augustine, Justification, Good Works, and Universal Redemption* (1818); *A Translation of our Author's Translation and Translations of the Bible* (1819); *An Account of Greek Manuscripts, chiefly Biblical, of the Late Professor Corley* (1823); *A History of the College of Rochester, at Aldridge* (1825); *Of Confession and Absolution* (1828); and *Reverentium Philosophorum* (1838).

BRISTON-ARRETT, F. Nichols. *Literary Anecdotes of the 18th Century*, 10 vols., London, 1814-15; *Illustrations of the Literary History of the 18th Century*, v. 420, 421-425, vi. 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 60, 1817-18; 420 p., 421-425.

TODD, JOHN: American Congregationalist; b. at Rutland, Vt., Oct. 9, 1800; d. at Pittsfield, Mass., Aug. 24, 1873. He was graduated from Yale College, 1822; taught for a year; studied four years at Andover Theological Seminary; was pastor in Groton, Mass., 1827-31; Northampton 1833-36; of the First Congregational Church, Philadelphia, 1836-42; and Pittsfield, 1842-72. He was a man of national reputation, and took an active interest in educational progress. He was the author of *Lectures on Children* (Northampton, 1834-38), translated into various languages, printed in raised letters for the blind, and used as a school-book for the liberated slaves in Sierra Leone; the *Student* (1835), which had a wide circulation and large influence; and *Summa Arithmetica* for the young. A collected edition of his books appeared (London, 1853, new ed., 8 vols., 1882).

BRISTON-ARRETT, J. Todd. *The Story of His Life told mainly by himself*.

TORLÉN, (or von), JOHANN GOTTLIEB: Professor in Frankfurt-on-the-Oder; b. in Charlottenburg (a suburb of Berlin) Dec. 9, 1724; d. in Frankfurt-on-the-Oder Jan. 26, 1774. He entered the University of Halle in 1741, living in the household of S. J. Baumgarten (q.v.) and having the care of his library. After being tutor in Pomerania and in Berlin, in 1748 he became chaplain of Count Scherwin's regiment at Frankfurt; in 1756 professor of philology and theology in the university, where he endeavored himself to the students by the warm personal interest he took in all their affairs. His health was never robust; and an extreme devotion to work brought on a complete breakdown and led to his death at the early age of forty-nine.

After Scherwin and F. D. Meibauer, Tollner was the most important representative of the semirationalistic tendency in Protestant theology of the eighteenth century, theoretically founded by Wolf but practically the outcome of Haller's Pietism, which strove to retain the supernatural character of Christianity as a divine revelation, to hold fast to the divine mission of Jesus and above all to the "beatific

ful morality of Christianity," while it rejected the positive dogmas of the Church as untenable, indifferent, or morally worthless. Its espoused creed as a necessary evil, the Church or the papacy might not forth its system, but no one has the right to propose a system for all time and it is unreasonable and unchristian to reproach anyone for deviation from orthodox in merely theological matters. He makes inspiration assistance from God, but in no way extraordinary. The doctrine of the Trinity involves so much that is improbable and contradictory that one does best to disregard it. Original sin is opposed to both reason and Scripture. Of Tollner's many books, all dry and pedantic, yet showing dogmatic sentences and independence of judgment, the following are most noteworthy: *Prolegomena* (Frankfurt, 1752); *Das Abendmahl des Herrn gegen alle Theologen abhandelt* (1760); *Leiten des Erläuterns* (1767); *Ein Christ und Held: von Nachdenken von Feldmarschall Scherwin* (1768); *Gedanken von der weisen Lehrtätigkeit des dogmatischen Theologen* (1769), which best presents his views on the controversy of his time; a translation and continuation of Turretin's church history (1769); *maxima dogmatische Theologie* (1769), moral theology (1768), hermeneutics (1768), and pastoral theology (1767); *Der theologische Götterdienst* (1768); *Methodus* (1768); and *Zusätze* (Berlin, 1770), which raised much controversy because of its departure from orthodox teaching; *Mein Unterrichtsprogramm* (1769); *Unterricht im apologetischen Theologie* (Göttingen, 1769); *Göttliche Bezeugung der heiligen Schrift* (Münster, 1772), important for his doctrine of inspiration (of also an earlier treatise, *Von der Unverletzlichkeit der heiligen Schrift und des Wortes Gottes*, 1767); *Mein Verzeih* (1772); *Versuch eines Entwurfs der christlichen Religion* (1772); *Theologische Untersuchungen* (2 vols., Rega, 1772-1774); *Commentatio de scientia theologiae non mere arbitraria* (Frankfurt, 1775). A *System der dogmatischen Theologie* (2 vols., Neuwied, 1775), claiming to have been published from a manuscript of Tollner, is thought by many not to be his work, or, if so, not to present his mature views (cf. Guss, 189-99).

BRISTON-ARRETT, P. O. G. Hinchin. *Historisch-theologische* (of Guss, 189-99).

BRISTON-ARRETT, P. O. G. Hinchin. *Historisch-theologische* (of Guss, 189-99).

TOFFREY, OLAFF ALFRED: Protestant Episcopalian, orientalist; b. in the parish of Spruce Island of Gotland, Sweden, June 26, 1863. He received his education at the higher State College of Uppsala, Sweden (B.A., 1885), the University of Uppsala, Johns Hopkins University, and Chicago University (fellow in Semitics, 1903-05; Ph.D., 1905); served as pastor of St. Augustin's Episcopal Church, Minneapolis, 1892-1901; was made priest, 1893; doцент in Assyriology, University of Chicago, 1902; became professor of Semitic languages and Old Testament literature in the Western Theological Seminary, Chicago, 1908; curator of the Oriental Society of the same institution in the same year; and librarian of the Hibbard Egyptian Library of that institution

459 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA

in 1907. He is the author of *Vida Fides Alpha* (Minneapolis, 1897); *Might and the Bible* (1902); *Antient Christianity*, part 1 (Chicago, 1907); *Resurrexerunt in Assyria*, and *Religions Geography*, part 1 (1908); and *The Historic Exodus* (1909).

TOGARMAH: See TAMAR or TAMAR, 4.

TOKEZ, COHENITZ: A small plate of lead marked on one side with one initial or more or with some device, referring to the place or the minister or the date of the congregation, given to intending communicants and collected from them just prior to their receiving the communion. Such articles, differing very much in size, workmanship, and in intrinsic worth, were at one time in general use in Presbyterian churches, but probably now they are not used at all. Their origin has been traced to the earliest times of the Christian Church and even before and beyond it. It is well known that the initiates in the Greek and Latin mysteries had marked stones or other articles as means of proving their membership in such brotherhoods, also that the primitive Christians had similar means of identification and that by showing those they were sure of reception and had treatment from their fellow Christians. Such articles would be of particular value in times of persecution. It is probably not possible to write a consecutive history of the token but it can be shown that its use was known from time to time. At the present day printed cards with emblems on them are in use among the Roman Catholics of Italy, Bavaria, and other countries. So the use of similar means of evidence of membership in Protestant communions can be shown to have existed in England in Reformation times. They were once much used in France among the Huguenots. But the Scotch Presbyterians and their children in Ireland, Canada, and the United States were the first to adopt as a regular practice the use of tokens in connection with the Lord's Supper. The tokens for such use were part of the church outfit. Those who intended to commune and were entitled to do so applied for them on a specified day before the communion and brought them with them when communion-day came. It was the common practice for the communicants to sit at long tables and be served by the church officers, but before the elements were distributed the officers went along the tables and collected the tokens. It was a rare and thrilling experience to discover a person who had not the token. This officer was denied the sacrament. There are several large collections of these communion tokens in private hands and some on public exhibition: *Communion Tokens of the Palen*, New York, manuscript; *Palen, The Story of the Palen*, New York, manuscript; *Palen, The Story of the Palen*, New York, manuscript; *Palen, The Story of the Palen*, New York, manuscript.

TOLAND, JOHN: English deist; b. near Londonderry, Ireland, Nov. 30, 1670; d. at Putney (London), Vt. Mar. 11, 1722. He was born of Roman Catholic parents, changed his original name, James Toland, at school, and became a Protestant at the age of sixteen. From 1687 he studied at the university of Glasgow, Edinburgh (M.A., 1690), and Leyden, 1692-94. He spent several years at Oxford, and published his principal work, *Christianity not*

Mystery (1696; 2d. enlarged ed., London, 1696), which made a great sensation (see Deane, 1-3). The book was burned by the hangmen at Dublin, Toland being in the city at the time. The rest of his career is obscure. He spent much of his time on the continent receiving favors. He engaged in miscellaneous literary work and in writing pamphlets, laying into dissenting and other works. As *Apology for Mr. Toland* (London, 1697); *Narrative, Containing the History of the Gospel of Heracles, the Gospel of the Mahometans. Also the Original Plan of Christianity* (1718); *Fundamentals* (1720); and *Philosophy*, containing (1720).

BRISTON-ARRETT, P. O. G. Hinchin. *Historisch-theologische* (of Guss, 189-99).

TOLEDO, CITY, BISHOPRIC, AND SYNODE OF.

I. City and Bishopric. History and Remains (I 1). II. Synod.

TOLEDO, CITY, BISHOPRIC, AND SYNODE OF.

I. City and Bishopric. Toledo, one of the most ancient and famous of the cities of Spain, is situated in the central part, at the s.e. corner of Madrid. It rises on a bold promontory surrounded on three sides by a deep gorge of the river Tago. Under the name Tolosani it is mentioned by Livy in connection with the year 169 as an "small town but remains strong in its situation." After the said Roman time it fell to the Visigoths. Remains becoming their capital under King Leovigild (568-586). Under the Moors (from 714) it was the center of Mohammedan power in Spain and enjoyed a long period of prosperity. On May 25, 1085, Alfonso VI, the Valiant, of Leon and Castile, wrested the city from the Mohammedans and gave the name of New Castile to the region. The city thenceforth was a favorite residence of the Castilian monarchs. It became the political and intellectual center of old Spain and no less important ecclesiastically. Its churches, convents, chapels, and hospitals occupied more than half of its area, while the archbishop of Toledo—with title of primate of all Spain—wielded a powerful influence. Their names are connected with the weightiest events in Spanish history; they commanded armies; with their immense wealth they built schools, hospitals, and public works; and representing the best and highest civilization of their time, they fostered art and science. The cathedral of Toledo is an enormous structure occupying the site of a Christian church of the Visigothic period and dedicated to the Virgin by King Recared Apr. 12, 587. The Moors made the church their principal mosque. The foundation of the present structure was laid in 1227, and the work of building went on till 1493, when it was completed as at present; of the two projecting towers the southern is still unfinished. The style is

early Gothic with later features corresponding to the long period of building. The forty chapels, profusely decorated and rich in art treasures, are of later date than the main structure. In the chapel of the Holy Sacrament the Mozarabic liturgy (q.v.) is still used, and the Capilla de la Virgen del Sagrario contains an ancient wooden statue of the Virgin overlaid with silver which is considered the palladium of the city. Many of the former churches and convents of Toledo are now in ruins, or like the palace of the Inquisition, have been converted to secular use. The population, once estimated at 200,000, had fallen to 23,373 in 1900, but the city is rich in historical remains. Its surviving churches, not a few of them formerly Mohammedan mosques or Jewish synagogues, its hundred towers and lofty walls, its narrow tortuous streets with houses opening within on spacious courts and gardens, and the like, make it the most medieval city in modern Europe and the most Moorish city in present-day Spain. The provincial library of 70,000 volumes and numerous manuscripts is preserved in the archiepiscopal palace. The university, founded in 1490, was discontinued in 1848. The manufacture of ecclesiastical vestments is still, as formerly, one of the most important of the city's industries.

According to tradition the first bishop of Toledo was Eugenius, a disciple of Dionysius the Areopagite, by whom he was sent from Paris. The bishopric was certainly in existence in the early fourth century, since Bishop Montanus (322-331) was proclaimed metropolitan at the synod of Elvira (300). Bishop Montanus (322-331) was proclaimed metropolitan at the synod of Elvira (300).

2. The of 527 (or 531; see (3), below), not-Bishop, withdrawing the claims of the bishop of Cartagena to the dignity. Under Aurelius (403-415) the influence of Toledo began to increase owing to the residence of the Visigothic kings in the city. From 653 its archbishops presided at synods and were the first to sign their names, and canon vi. of the synod of 681 [see (12), below] attests that the archbishop of Toledo had obtained the primacy, triumphing over his rivals, the metropolitans of Seville, Tarragona, Elvira, and Mérida. Archbishop 657-667, is honored in the Spanish church for his aid for the veneration of Mary. Sisnored (707-721) made little effort to check the corruption which then existed among clergy as well as lay in the latter days of the Gothic rule and fled from his see to some house near the Ardele. Elipandus (c. 785-808) became involved in the Albigensian controversy (see APOSTASY, § 2-4). King Alfonso, after he had regained the city, exerted himself to increase its Christian population, and was ably seconded in the restoration of the diocese by Bernard, a French monk whom he caused to be chosen archbishop in 1086. Bernard received the pallium from Pope Urban II, and was declared primate of all the Spanish realms at Rome in 1088. Rodrigo Ximenes de Rada (1309-47) was one of the most learned and zealous of the archbishops of Toledo. He fought against the Moors, won the affection of the poor by his benevolence, helped to found and build the new cathedral, stoutly defended his right to the primacy against the other archbishops, and wrote several historical works (con-

cluded by A. Schott in *Hispania illustrata*, vol. II, Frankfurt, 1603-08). Cardinal Ximenes (see XIMENES DE CORDOVA, FRANCISCO; 1493-1517), an archbishop and statesman, exerted a mighty influence; he was chief inquisitor and a promoter of science and art. Bartholomae Carreras (q.v.) is said to have expended more than 1,000,000 ducats in charitable foundations. Fernando de Cordova (1725-71), whose philanthropy was incalculable, was expelled from court because of sympathies with the Jesuits. The revenues of the archdiocese have greatly declined and its influence has been weakened. The suffragan bishoprics at present are Orense, Compostela, Madrid, Plasencia, and Sigüenza. The chapter consists of sixty-four members, the number of priests is 600, of parishes 445, and of souls, 508,256.

II. Synods: The annual official meeting of episcopal synods of Toledo is incorrect and arbitrary; since, on the one hand, not all were Spanish-Visigothic national synods; and, on the other hand, two which first in the city on the Tagus are not

1. The included. Naturally the Arian synod of 345 discussed in connection with that of Eclesiae: 380 (see (2) below) would be passed by that with silence. (1) Of a Spanish national synod, of the year 400, twenty canons and two documents concerning the reinstatement of Priscillianite bishops are preserved.

Canon I, II, IV, and VIII expressly contained for the solemnity of the resurrection. (2) The acts of the national synod of 447 contain eighteen anathemas against the Priscillianites and a synod of faith (wrongly attributed to the first synod of Toledo), interdictory since it first pronounces the orthodox doctrine of the Trinity, and thus early emphasizes the procession of the Holy Spirit from the Father and the Son (see PRISCILLIANISM). (3) The third synod in 527 or 531 is not included in the official list, being a provincial and a national synod. Two letters of Archbishop Montanus concerning the consecration of the cleric are an appendix to this synod. The third official synod, May 5, 589, was the most important of the synods of Toledo since the religious policy of Leander and Reccard I. (588-601) had reached the highest point. The disciplinary decrees (capitula) degraded the State to the position of non-members of the Church, excluded the hierarchy above the clergy, made the higher clergy priests and transferred the national synods into diets of the realm in which the bishop had the decisive vote. The synod was presided by a conference of Arian and Catholic prelates, in the course of which Reccard went over to the Catholics and induced a considerable part of his people to abjure Arianism. The first thirteen canons are condemnatory of Arianism. This is noteworthy as the first declared repudiation by a great western synod of the Greek view of the procession of the Holy Spirit. Canon xiv, at least indirectly, disapproves of the semi-Arian doctrine, gloria patri per filium in spiritu sancto. Canon xv, condemns the Arian practice of subjugating converts. Canon xvi, condemns the semi-Arianism adopted by the Arian synod of 488, namely, of receiving proselytes from the Roman Church by the laying on of hands,

the acceptance of the Lord's Supper (Arian), and the above-mentioned doctrine. Canons xx-xxiii, concern the acceptance of the first four ecumenical councils. Happily the decision of the fifth ecumenical council (Second Constantinople, 552) were not submitted, and by tacitly rejecting them, the Spanish-Visigothic church was still in latent schism with Rome at the time of the Arab invasion in 711. The first of the twenty-three disciplinary chapters declares the old canons, the ordinances of the councils, and the synodal letters of the Roman bishops valid. Chapter v, enjoins the clergy to bring suit against their fellows before secular tribunals. Chapter six, excludes Jews from judicial positions and office with power of inflicting punishment on Christians, and prohibits marriage or cohabitation with a Christian woman, and possession of Christian slaves. Chapter xv, reads: "Spiritual and secular judges shall work together to spread the Holy Scriptures, old heathen practices retained by Christians as widespread in Spain and Gaul [Septuagint]." Chapter xvii, is directed against schism, and shows the same tendency to make civil officials aid and serve the ecclesiastical. Chapter xviii, requires annual instead of semi-annual synods and makes judges and fiscal agents more tools of the bishops. In the manner chapter xix, placing the care of all church property in the hands of the episcopal consecrator, and chapter xxi, extol the episcopal power.

(1) A national synod of Dec. 5, 653, was called by King Sisenand and presided over by Isidorus of Seville. Seventy-five chapters were issued, the most noteworthy (vii-lvi) relating to the Jews. Chapter lvi, forbids the compulsory baptism of Israelites, but declares that the Jews already converted by force during the reign of the Visigoths remain Christians. Chapters lvii-lviii, imposed the harshest penalties upon Jews who returned to the faith of their fathers after baptism. (2) A national synod in 638, convened by the new King Chindisind, and presided over by Archbishop Eugenius I, adopted in eight chapters what was merely a stronger repetition of chapter lvi, of the preceding council in confirmation of the power of the throne. (3) Another national synod, 638, under King Chindisind reviewed, in the nineteen chapters, all the anti-Jewish decrees of the fourth synod. Chapter iii, orders the expulsion of all Jews who refused baptism. Chapter xv, against the greed of the bishops, orders that the Church retain whatever the kings or others have donated. (7) A national synod, Oct. 18, 646, was called by King Chindisind, who by the deposition of Tago had gained the throne. After suppressing a revolt he summoned the synod, and his purpose of drastic reformation against the episcopal and temporal nobility appears in the Draconian measures and penalties of the first six chapters. (8) A national synod, Dec. 16, 655, called by Reccard, son of Chindisind, relaxed the harshest penalties provided by the preceding synod but retracted the anti-Jewish laws of the fourth synod. (9) A provincial synod, Nov., 655, presided over by

the metropolitan Eugenius II, adopted seventeen canons mostly in favor of the bishopric. Obedience of the clergy is enforced, and the lay canon requires the baptized Jew always to be present at the Eucharistic service conducted by the bishop, under penalty of beating or fasting. (10) A national synod, Dec. 1, 656, decreed the deposition of clerics tainted with high treason, and forbade the clergy to sell Christian slaves to the Jews. (11) A provincial synod, Nov. 7, 675, called by King Wamba, revised the Apostles' Creed, and issued sixteen canons, which testify to the unexampled closeness of the clergy, including the bishops. Canon I, forbids boldness in return against the synod. Canon II, relates to the ignorance of the Scriptures on the part of the clergy. Canon v, is directed against bishops who commit murder and other acts of violence or seize the property of others. Canon vi, forbids the clergy to pronounce a sentence of death or impose a mutilation. (12) A national synod, Jan. 9-25, 681, presided over by Archbishop Julian, adopted thirteen chapters, the first of which shows that Julian knew of the purity of the reigning king, Ervig, against his predecessor and benefactor Wamba. Chapter vi, contrary to the existing canon law, invests the metropolitan with the primacy, doubtless in reward for Julian's support of the usurper. Chapter ix, approves of the twenty Antianistic laws of Ervig, a codification of all legislation against the Jews since the time of Reccard and Sisnored. Chapter xi, prescribes very severe measures against the remnants of heathenism. (13) A national synod, Nov. 4, 683, likewise presided over by Julian, aimed to protect the royal family against assassins. With an astonishing stimulation of regard for continence the widow of Wamba is forbidden to marry. Chapter ix, reaffirms the primacy of Julian. (14) A synod, Nov. 9, 684, officially presided but not national by representation and validity, again presided over by Julian, was aimed to secure the ratification, by the Spanish church, of the acts of the sixth ecumenical council (Third Constantinople, 680-81), in particular the condemnation of the Monothelites (q.v.) and their doctrine. To secure this Pope Leo II, had sent four letters to Spain in 682. The chapters approved of the acts, including the teaching of the two wills and two energies in Christ, and accepted the council as ecumenical. (15) A national synod, May 11, 688, was called by King Egiza. Two years previously the Spanish bishops had sent to Rome a memorial, composed by Julian, expressing their agreement with the orthodox doctrine of the sixth ecumenical council. Pope Boniface II, asked for changes in certain dogmatic passages. The Spaniards, however, led by Julian, presented this interference of the Curia and now adopted a second apology drawn up by the militant priest and sent by him to Boniface's successor, Sergius, who seems to have been discreet enough to treat the matter with silence. (16) A national synod, called by King Egiza in 693, after a renewed condemnation of Monothelism set up thirteen disciplinary chapters. Chapter I, reaffirms the old Antianistic laws, but provides for Jewish converts exemption from the special taxes and almost equality with other subjects. Canon ii, enjoins bishops, priests, and judges to exterminate

Tolstoy, Leo Tolstoy THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 464

served to undermine the moral prestige of a brutal government and a persecuting church. Tolstoy pertinently said: "There are two kinds of people: the good, who rely on example, persuasion, education; and the bad, who rely on physical force: police, gendarmes, and soldiers." Had the government heeded him to silence, by no doing it would, apparently, have confirmed his indictment. Hostility to crush him by brute force, it had to endure from him a continual stream of scathing criticism which the pertinence of the Church and the authority were quite unable to meet. In another aspect Tolstoy's theory of non-resistance served a useful purpose. A curious superstition exists which causes people to assume that any amount of slaughter and destruction are justifiable provided they are undertaken for national aggrandizement. As a direct challenge to this name Tolstoy's proposition that to slay a man (or even to coerce a man) is always immoral and harmful. It served also as a challenge to what is brutal and vindictive in the criminal code.

Tolstoy expounded his views in a series of short stories ("What Men Live By," "Iraed the Fool," etc.), which had an immense circulation among all classes, and carried the germs of his teaching far and wide. From about 1888 he commenced a series of interesting essays on a variety of questions: manual labor, stimulants and narcotics, the Publication famine, vegetarianism, war, the sex of his views, religion and morality, patriotism, corporal punishment, the agrarian question, etc. He gave his views of the connection between art and religion in "What is Art?" a work which at first met with a storm of hostile criticism, but the iron vein of which is gradually being recognized. In 1899 appeared his novel "Resurrection," in which he incidentally gave a scathing description of the head of the Holy Synod (M. Polakowskoff, q.v.). After a preliminary trial, a decree of excommunication was handed at Tolstoy in 1901, to which he retorted with an outspoken "Reply to the Synod," and followed this up by a bold letter "To the Czar and his Assistants."

Concerning Tolstoy's simplification of his own life there has been much exaggeration. The plain facts are that he was from childhood with his wife, whose views did not agree with those he adopted, he handed over to her, and to his children, the whole of his estates, as well as the copyrights in all his works published before 1888. His own position in the house became that of a guest who is very much at home. He declined to accept payment for his later works or to retain any rights in them. To this rule he made an exception when he accepted money for Resurrection, in order to assist the Dakhobors to migrate to Canada. Before this, in 1891-92, with several members of his family, he spent many months in the famine district to organize soup-kitchens and to administer the famine-relief funds which were sent to him with great liberality from all parts of Europe and America. Wishing to master a handicraft, he learned to make boots, but he never devoted much time to this occupation. From his early life he had been fond of plowing; and for about ten years (1880-90) he devoted a good part of each summer to manual

labor out of doors, doing all the field work during one summer for a peasant woman who could not afford to hire a laborer.

Of no modern writer, probably, is it so difficult to compile a correct bibliography as of Tolstoy. Many of his works were forbidden in Russia and had to appear abroad (in Switzerland, Germany, and England), and in addition to this, his rejection of copyrights led to many of his works being published with little attention to their proper sequence. With regard to the immense number of translations that have appeared in all languages, the case is even worse. Some of them have appeared with titles selected at the fancy of the publisher or translator. The following is a list of the chief of Tolstoy's works dealing with religion, with the year in which each work was completed: A Criticism of Dogmatic Theology (1881); My Confession (1882); written as an introduction to the preceding; Four Gospel Harmonical and Paraphrased (1882); Gospel in Brief (1882); What I Believe (1884); What Men Must Live By (1885); On Life (1887); The Kingdom of God is Within You (1893); Patriotism and Christianity (1895); What is Art? (1898); The Christian Faith (1900); The Story of Our Times (1901); Patriotism and Government (1902); A Reply to the Synod's Decree of Excommunication (1901); What is Religion, and Wherein lies its Essence? (1902). Two collected editions of Tolstoy's works have appeared in the United States: an earlier one published by T. Y. Crowell & Co. (also by Chas. Scribner's Sons), and a later one (more nearly complete to 1902) by Dana Estes. Neither of these supplies a version which at all reproduces the mastery with which Tolstoy states his case in Russian. The books issued by the Free Age Press, Christchurch, Hampshire, England (though the versions of different works are by different hands and of unequal quality), are generally fairly reliable. In the World's Classics Series, the Oxford University Press has published excellent versions (especially commended by Tolstoy) of his tales for the people: Twenty-three Tales, and a selection, including the last three works in the above list, of his Essays and Letters. The latter volume is published in the United States by the Bank & Wagnall Company, which has also an edition of an authorized translation of What is Art? of his works of fiction, the best versions of Semastod and Resurrection, and also of his Plays, are by Louise Mauds, and the best versions of War and Peace and Anna Karenina are by Constance Garnett.

ANALYST: MARCE. BIBLIOGRAPHY: The literature on Tolstoy is voluminous, and the following is a selection. M. M. F. The Life of Tolstoy: Aut. St. Petersburg, London and New York, 1908, and later New York, London, Tokyo and Paris, 1910. R. 1901; C. A. Kahn, Foundations of Great Tolstoy, London, 1902; H. P. Lewis, Leo Tolstoy, the Great Russian, London and New York, 1900; J. C. Kewerby, Tolstoy, the Great Russian, London, 1901; Kewerby, ed., Tolstoy as Man and Artist, London and New York, 1902; A. H. Benson, Tolstoy, the Great Russian, London and New York, 1902; J. C. Kewerby, ed., Tolstoy as Man and Artist, London and New York, 1902; J. C. Kewerby, ed., Tolstoy as Man and Artist, London and New York, 1902; J. C. Kewerby, ed., Tolstoy as Man and Artist, London and New York, 1902.

465 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Tolstoy, Leo Tolstoy

TOBEE, JOHN: Baptist; b. at Bredley (12 m. n.w. of Worcester), England, 1803 (or 1807); d. at Salisbury May 22, 1876. He studied at Magdalen Hall, Oxford (B.A., 1821; M.A., 1824; B.D., 1831), became ordination lecturer; gained a reputation as a tutor; took orders, 1824; and was a lecturer of St. Martin Church, 1824-30. He quickly came into note as a preacher, and was for a time in 1830, preacher at Worcester, but from 1830 to the Restoration, except for the interval of 1843-54, he was vicar of Leominster, Herefordshire; of All Saints, Bristol, 1855; rector of St. Gabriel, London, 1843-45; master of the Temple, 1845-47; curate of Bredley, 1847-50. While at Bredley he was for a while rector of Ross, Herefordshire, and later master of St. Catherine's Hospital, London. In 1854 he became one of Crozer's tutors. In 1860 he went to London and wrote in favor of the royal supremacy in both ecclesiastical and civil matters. He conformed in a lay capacity there, and had Chertsey for a friend. After 1860 he lived chiefly at Salisbury. He was a vigorous, learned, and unswerving opponent of infidel opinions. He had public debates upon this topic with Baxter and others, and wrote numerous tracts upon it. Of his writings may be mentioned Two Treatises and an Appendix to them Concerning Infant Baptism (2 parts, London, 1645); Apology for the Two Treatises (1646); An Exposition (3 parts, 1652-57); A Public Response Touching Infant-Baptism (1654); Ecclesiastical, Concerning the Two Treatises on Christ (1669); Antinomianism in Heaven & Earth, Hermetical questions (1676).

TOBEE, GIFT OF. See SPEAKING WITH TONGUES.

TOBERET: In Roman Catholic usage, a round-shaven spot on the top of the head which serves to distinguish clerics from laymen. It is regarded as a "preparation for receiving orders" (Roman catechism, de ordinibus sacer., III), hence is conferred previous to ordination, at present usually in connection with the lower grades. Bishops, cardinal priests (for their titular churches), and abbots (for regular members of their houses) have the right to confer it. No special time or place is prescribed for the ceremony. The recipient must be confirmed; must know the elements of the faith, and must be able to read and write; hence the tonsure can not be conferred before the completion of the seventh year. Some Roman Catholic liturgical writers conjecture without proof that it was introduced by Peter, and symbolizes the crown of thorns, the royal dignity of the priesthood, immutability of the world and its vocation, and the like. It guarantees to the recipient the rights and privileges of a cleric, must always be retained, and is renewed monthly except for good reason, but clerics of lower grade without tonsure may neglect renewal. Tonsure is a heathen custom which entered the Church by way of manumissions. The priests of Isis and Serapis shaved the head, and Christian ascetics, both male and female, in Egypt and Syria imitated

them as early as the middle of the fourth century. The practice spread rapidly and from the monastic discipline was transferred to both pontiffs and the clergy, leading in the latter case to the tonsure. Originally clerics were merely forbidden to let the hair grow long. The tonsure proper first appears in Christian monuments at the beginning of the fifth century. It was usual in Rome in the time of Gregory I. (d. 604) and was conferred there not only on clerics and monks but also on laymen who performed any sort of church service. The custom became general in the Frankish realm about the same time. The Fourth Synod of Toledo in 633, canon xii, adopts it for Spain. Althelm (d. 709) and Odoifred (d. 716) are witnesses for England. For the East, of canon xxxiii of the Trullan Synod of 682 (Mansi, Concilio, xi, 588-590).

There were three kinds of tonsure: (1) The Roman or coronal tonsure, that described above, which leaves a circle of hair around the head. Since Peter, according to legend, wore this tonsure, it is called also St. Peter's crown or tonsure. This was the prevalent form in Italy, the Frankish kingdom, England, and Spain. During the Middle Ages the size of the shorn spot tended to become smaller, not without opposition, which led to attempts to regulate the matter. Gradually it became customary for the size of the spot to increase by regular grades with the rank of the wearer from subdiacon to bishop. (2) The Irish-Scottish or British tonsure, called also tonsure of St. John or of St. James by its opponents, who regarded it as heretical (the tonsure of Simon Magus), differed from the Roman tonsure in that the ring of hair about the head was broken, the shaven spot being continued forward to the forehead. It was general in the old British Church until the seventh century and later, and was introduced here and there on the continent by British missionaries (see CLERICUS CUTURUS or BARBARUS and ILLUDUM). (3) The Greek tonsure or St. Paul's tonsure (of Acts xxi, 24, 26) consisted originally in shaving the entire front of the head. The Greek Church, in which entrance into clerical rank is signified by the tonsure, has now modified the custom into cutting the hair short over the whole head. The earliest mention of St. Paul's tonsure as distinguished from St. Peter's is in Bede (Hist. eccl., IV, 1), who remarks of Theodor, archbishop of Canterbury, that he "wore the oriental or St. Paul's tonsure." (A. HANCK)

TOUSSAINT: Nijmegen, October 17, 1647, VII, II, 6; G. Chambliss, De curia, Louvain, Paris, 1829; L. Thomassin, Four de nos ordres distinctes, I, 14, Paris, 1738; E. Marten, De antiquo ordine, II, 14, Rome, 1738; J. A. Schmalzer, Kirchengeschichte, I, 4, 2, Bonn, 1897; E. Schmalzer, Kirchengeschichte, I, 4, 2, Bonn, 1897; E. 275 sq.; Streng, 1878; N. Misch, Kirchengeschichte, I, 4, 2, Bonn, 1897; E. 275 sq. of Meuser, 1895; DCA, II, 1899-1900.

TOUSSAINTBERGEN, 'de-meen-haer'—JOHAN JUSTUS VAN: Dutch Reformed; b. at Utrecht Feb. 12, 1822; d. Dec. 12, 1903. He was educated at the university of his native city; was pastor at Elpeter (1844-48); and Friesland (1848-64); director of the missionary society at Utrecht (1864-1869) and gave instruction in dogmatics and other subjects; pastor at Rotterdam (1869-95); and pro-

TOTAL ABSTINENCE.

- I. The Term and its Use.
- II. History of the Total-Abstinence Idea.
- III. Good and Bad Reasons for Total Abstinence.
- IV. Total Abstinence and Temperance Laws.

I. The Term and its Use. Human society can not hold together, much less be prosperous and tolerable to live in, unless most persons voluntarily practice total abstinence from the grosser forms of crime, and an abstinence more or less stringent from many other possible acts and habits. Nothing is more essential to successful living, either individual or social, than the exercise of this form of the virtue of self-control. Opportunities for its exercise in all regions of our experience; but the term is especially associated with the use of certain drugs which affect the nerves and the brain, and result in disastrous habits. Intoxicating alcoholic beverages are the best-known of these, and with them this article will mainly concern itself. But similar dangers arise, and the same principles apply in the case of opium, cocaine, tobacco, hashish, and many other substances.

The term "total abstinence" has a history, and a historical meaning. There is an advantage in employing it in this historical sense. 1. Meaning, and guarding against the perversion of the sense that have incidentally crept in. 2. Term. The taking of the pledge was an important thing in the early days of the temperance movement, and several different pledges were in use. They were alike in that they applied, with possible rare exceptions, only to liquors that might produce intoxication, and to those solely in their use as a "beverage" or "common drink." The substitution, in the pledge, of "alcoholic" for "intoxicating" came later, and whatever the reformers thought concerning alcoholic wine in the sacrament, for example, or the use of alcohol as medicine or in flavoring-essence, they ordinarily left these outside the pledge. But there were other particulars in which the pledges differed, and sometimes two or three different pledges were offered at the same meeting, the people being invited to choose which pledge they would sign. One pledge was against the excessive use of intoxicants, as distinguished from the so-called moderate use. Another was against distilled liquors as distinguished from wine or beer or cider. Another was against all use as beverages of drinks that are intoxicating, whether distilled or fermented. This third was the total-abstinence pledge—total as including all intoxicants and not some only, and total as being against all use of intoxicants as a beverage, and not against excessive use only. There was a longer form of this pledge in which one promised not to sell or give away intoxicating drinks for beverage purposes, as well as not to drink them. Total abstinence, totalism, is therefore, historically, not abstinence

from everything that contains alcohol, but from everything which so contains alcohol that one might get drunk upon it; not abstinence from such liquors for all purposes, but abstinence from them as a beverage. The historical total-abstinence position distinguishes the medicinal and other uses of alcohol from its use as a beverage, though it demands that it shall not be recklessly or needlessly used for these other purposes. It does not place the very light wines and beers on the same footing with those that will intoxicate, though it disapproves them as a matter of prudence, on account of their relation to the stronger beverages.

II. History of the Total-Abstinence Idea: Intoxicants, in the form of wine and beer at least, have been known from the earliest historical times; and the vice of drunkenness has also been known. This is evident from the familiar Biblical instances of Noah and Lot. Modern familiar instances of Noah and Lot. Versus the inscriptions on the Egyptian and Mesopotamian monuments from the Greek myths concerning Dionysos, and from many other sources. But the conditions of the problem of drunkenness have been very materially changed within the last few centuries by the extent to which the art of distillation has developed. This art has long been known and practiced; but it was not until a comparatively recent period that it came to be the powerful means it now is for increasing and cheapening the world's stock of intoxicating beverages. According to Theodore W. Dwight (referred to, Apr. 27, 1882) the earliest recognition of the existence of distilled liquors to be found in English legislation is in the year 1609; and it was not until much later in the seventeenth century that these came to be recognized as in general use. As might have been expected, their introduction greatly increased the evils of intemperance. Says the *Biographical Encyclopedia*, in its article on "Gin":

"In the early part of the eighteenth century, gin-shops multiplied with great rapidity in London, and the gin-traffic formed an important and profitable branch of the average man's trade in that metropolis, and the gin-shops were generally situated in the most crowded and filthy streets, in comfortable houses, well provided for customers."

Contemporaneously with these changes in the facilities for the practice of drunkenness occurred certain other changes in man's habits of living, which also greatly affected the question of the use of alcoholic drinks. Coffee was known as early as 875 A. D., but it was first brought from Abyssinia into Arabia early in the fifteenth century. Coffee houses were established in Constantinople about the middle of the sixteenth century, and in London in 1652; and before the close of the seventeenth century, coffee was a customary beverage in Europe. Chocolate and tea came to be generally used, among Europeans, within a few years of the same time. In both these directions, throughout Europe and America, and parts of Asia and Africa, the generation of men who were of middle age about the year 1700 witnessed a radical revolution in the conditions of human life. In their childhood, fermented alcoholic drinks were the sole source of man, not only for purposes of intoxication, but for all the purposes

for which tea, cocoa, and coffee are now employed. They lived to see the fermented beverages largely superseded, in the one use of them by distilled liquors, and in the other of them by the hot drinks which have ever since been on our tables. In their childhood, however, relatively plentiful wine and ale may be said to have been, they were yet so scarce that habitual drunkenness was beyond the reach of any except those who had access to the cellars of the rich. Before they died anybody could get drunk for a penny. It should be added to this, that the use of tobacco became general during the seventeenth century. And as having a real, though less direct, connection with the temperance problem, account must be taken of all the marvellous discoveries and inventions which have rendered human life in these later centuries so much more complicated and strenuous than it was before.

These radical changes of condition naturally led to corresponding changes in the convictions of men. In regard to the use of alcoholic drinks. 2. Opinions To trace the development of these changed opinions would be to sketch the history with the of the modern temperance reform in Problem. America and the Old World. Until the nineteenth century, the general opinion of mankind certainly did not condemn the use of intoxicating drinks, not even occasional drunkenness, provided the drinker kept himself prudently guarded from further bad results. Fully the Jew, just before the Christian era, wrote extensive treatises "Drunkenness" and "Sobriety." These include a formal discussion of the question, "Whether the wise man will get drunk." Philo replies by citing the expressed opinions of men, as well as evidence of other sorts, on both sides of the question. He says that "the sons of physicians and philosophers of high repute . . . have left behind them commentaries entitled treatises on drunkenness," and comment thereon for the advantage of their treatment of the subject. He insists on the difference between the drinking of "unmixed wine," which will produce intoxication, and that of lighter or diluted wine. He calls unmixed wine a poison and a medicine, and condemns the drinking of it, which were common in his day. But he notes the last instance that he represents to be the current opinion, namely, that a wise man may occasionally get drunk. His highliness when drunk no more deprives his wisdom than if it resulted from a bid- den attack, from sleep, or from death. Philo intimates that the opposite opinion is quite respectably defended, but proves, to his own complete satisfaction, that it is indefensible. His opinions concerning the drinking-habit are certainly those which have been commonly held until the last century. But, as far back as traces exist, there is found a highly respectable line of opinion in favor of total abstinence from intoxicating beverages. Of this, in the eighteenth century, the distinguished Samuel Johnson is an instance. Earlier in the century, Le Sage sarcastically admires "the patriotic fervor of those ancient politicians who established places of public resort, where wretches were drunk out gratis to all customers, and who confined wine to the shops of the apothecaries, that its use might be permitted but

under the direction of Physicians"; and the wisdom of those who frequented these resorts, not for "swilling themselves with wine, but . . . for the decent and economical amusement of drinking warm water" (*Adventurer of Old Bill*, book II, chap. 4). This sarcasm must have been aimed at opinions held by respectable contemporaries of the author. In 1748 John Wesley, in his *General Rules*, mentions as sinful, "drunkenness, buying or selling spirituous liquors, or drinking them, unless in cases of extreme necessity." It is said that in 1753 the trustees of the colony of Georgia, who were living in London, enacted that "the drink of rum in Georgia be absolutely prohibited, and that all which shall be brought there be staved." In the colonies there were several instances of similar legislation. Samuel Pepys, in his *Diary*, 1659-69, figures as an important total abstinence. Going back with a bond to the times of Philo, he asserts (*Travels on Drunkenness*, §) that "great numbers of persons, who, because they never touch unmixed wine, look upon themselves as sober"; yet display the same foolishness, sensateness, lack of self-control, and the like, as are displayed by a drunken person. Still earlier familiar instances are those of the Rechabites and the Nazirites (N. V.), of Hamul, and Babel. Nearly up to the present time, therefore, the world has been aware of the dangers and evils attendant upon the use of intoxicating beverages, has been in possession of the idea of total abstinence from them, and has been compelled to look upon total abstinence with high respect, but has, on the whole, approved the use of such beverages, not merely in what is now sometimes called moderation, but up to the line of occasional and discreet drunkenness.

The revolution of opinion, at least as a great and controlling movement, began in America. A representative incident will indicate its nature. The incident is taken from the *Collection of the Cayuga County Centennial Historical Society*, 1882; Joseph Tallot's *Memories*. Tallot was a member of the Society of Friends, living a few miles north of the town of Auburn, N. Y. In all that vicinity, in 1816, the crops were so short that poor people found it difficult to procure breadstuffs for food. At the same time, Tallot noticed, the distillers kept in operation. He says:

"The circumstances affected me not a little, and I beheld as it were, a picture in my mind, and I beheld the community, inviting them to a serious consideration of the matter, and to a serious consideration of the necessity of temperance. I insisted that nothing short of the complete exclusion of spirits which give health to the world, of obtaining altogether from the use of spirituous liquors for medicinal purposes, would be a sufficient means for the relief of the poor."

It occurred to Joseph Tallot to offer his views for the consideration of the members of the Presbyterian Synod of Geneva. In his narrative he says: "I found my way to the house of Henry Astell, the Presbyterian minister. He was a man of great piety, and he many times began to come into the village, and call on him for instruction where they might be of some service to some of their friends. The matter of the poor was upon my mind, and I was desirous to make it known; which they did, with what would be thought surprising."

Total Abstinence

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG

He turned to me, and abashedly said: "I had supposed it would be a pleasant surprise, that I had been in the same boat; but seeing the end of it, we had abandoned it, and I hoped they would do the same."

Joseph Fallois read his paper, first before a committee, and afterwards before the synod, and went his way. The synod, after duly considering it, published it, with resolutions "fully approving it, and solemnly declaring, that from that time they would abandon the use of ardent spirits, except for medical purposes; that they would oppose against its common use from the pulpit, . . . and use their influence to prevail with others to follow their example." Similar incidents were occurring in different parts of the country and among people of various religious persuasions. In 1790, 200 farmers of Litchfield, Conn., pledged themselves for that season not to use distilled liquors in their farm work. In 1794 Dr. Benjamin Rush of Philadelphia published his Medical Repository, in which he indicated that the use of distilled liquors as a beverage ought to be entirely abandoned. In 1812 the Presbyterian general assembly made a deliverance "not only against actual intemperance, but against all those habits and indulgences which may have a tendency to produce it." In the same year the General Association of Connecticut recommended entire abstinence from ardent spirits; while the Convention of Fairfield County adopted the principle of total abstinence from all intoxicating drinks whatever, especially for "those whose appetites for drink are strong and increasing." The Temperate Society, formed at Monro, N. Y., 1808, and the Boston Society for the Suppression of Intemperance, 1813, were not total-abstinence bodies. In 1818 the Presbyterian Assembly placed itself squarely on the principle that men ought "to abstain from the common use of ardent spirits." In 1823 President Nett of Union College published his Sermons on the Evils of Intemperance. In 1828 the American Temperance Society was organized. The National Philanthropist was started, and Ezra Ripley published his Six Sermons on Intemperance. In the same year Rev. Calvin Chapin, in the 7th Connecticut Observer, advocated abstinence from all intoxicating drinks, and not from distilled spirits merely. From about 1830 this principle came to be generally accepted by the reformers.

The spread of the movement was very rapid in Great Britain, and marvellously rapid in the United States. Societies, local and general, were organized. Temperance books, pamphlets, and newspapers were published in great numbers. Public meetings were held. The pledge was circulated. Total abstinence came to be counted by millions. In 1840 we had drinkers in Baltimore suddenly signed the pledge, and started the "Washingtonian" movement. In a few months, about 1838, the Irish Roman Catholic priest, Father Mathew (see Mathew, TEMPERANCE) administered the pledge to nearly 100,000 persons in Cork alone. It was eminently successful in temperance work in different parts of Great Britain, as well as in the United States.

In the United States the movement may be said to have culminated in the decade that began about

1846 A.D. Very seldom has a movement gained so complete control over public opinion. Among other forms of organization the temperance movement has been the most successful. Results persons knightly orders appeared to of the imagination of the young people; Movement. the order of the Sons of Temperance being founded in New York in 1842; that of the Jehovahs being introduced from Great Britain about the same time; and that of the Good Templars originating in 1851. Temperance organizations multiplied every year, and the churches of the Protestant churches that those who used them attracted attention thereby, though this was more the case in the country than in the large cities. It was easy to pass prohibitory laws, and many were passed. They did not, however, prove as successful as their advocates had hoped. Most of them were either pronounced unconstitutional, or were repealed, or became a dead letter. Thus the temperance interests were overshadowed by those that led to the Civil War. Since the war abolition organizations have appeared, notably political prohibition parties, the Women's Christian Temperance Union, the Anti-Slavery League. Novel temperance movements have at times made great headway—blue-bibber movements, white-clothes movements, and praying "crucades" in the places where liquors are sold. There have been recurring waves of success and defeat in the matter of prohibitory and bond-liquor laws. The total-abstinence tradition has been generally maintained by the descendants of those who originally accepted it. There have been sermons and addresses, the circulation of temperance literature, regular temperance lectures in the Sunday-schools, and compulsory temperance instruction in the public schools. A little has been done in the providing of substitutes for the saloons. Business interests have more or less rigidly insisted upon total abstinence as the condition of responsible employment. Athletic interests have powerfully influenced young men by requiring abstinence during the period of training; however, this may have been neutralized by the debauch that has too often followed the contest. In the navies of the world it is recognized that temperance is the condition of efficiency. Sociological and charitable interests are allies of temperance.

III. Good and Bad Reasons for Total Abstinence: Nevertheless, present temperance convictions have less dynamic vitality than they ought to have. Intemperance is still, and the public is impatient. The enforced temperance law do harm by fostering disrespect for law. The dominance of the saloon is not checked except locally and temporarily. So far as this is due to weak elements in the temperance propaganda, the remedy is in the hands of able advocates of temperance; for it is in their power to search out and eliminate such elements. The argument which experience has shown to be the most effective is that from the evils of drink-enthusiasm. These evils, moral and economical, individual and social, are monstrous, and total abstinence from the use of intoxicants as a beverage

provides the only known adequate remedy. This argument is sound, and is by itself sufficient. It appears to common experience. Its arguments are facts which all intelligent persons from some know. But many advocates of the evils of temperance are satisfied with this Drunken-communion presentation. They are often fascinated with the idea of making the argument scientific, and so they reinforce it with statistics, and with theories of social sciences. This is admirable provided they use sound theories and correct statistics; but when men advocate temperance on the basis of crude social theories and false statistics, intelligent persons hear and disbelieve and become apathetic. The experience of some generations of total abstinence proves that alcohol is not necessary as food. Total abstinence live longer than moderate drinkers. It is an established fact that intoxicants injure one who uses them habitually, even if moderate total abstinence, but the temperance advocates misuses it if, in his laudable ambition to be scientific, he dangles in facts which he only half understands, and which he fails to state correctly. If one makes his fight against the chemical agent called alcohol rather than against intoxicants as such; if instead of using incontrovertible facts he insists mainly on propositions that are in dispute, for example, the proposition that alcohol has no food value, or the proposition that the character of alcohol as a poison is unaffected by dilution, he injures the cause which he is advocating. Such false reasonings are none the less weak for the fact that persons are sometimes convinced by them, when persons so convinced discover their error they become either lukewarm or hostile. Another misuse of this argument consists in putting it into the principal place. To do this is to treat the drink problem as if it were on the same footing as the question of a pork diet, or of blooded food; and this involves a disastrous belittling of the moral and social issues.

The ethical principle in the case is that a person has no right to degrade himself, to injure others or the community, or to run under risks of injuring himself or others. And there is always a double reply to the person who thinks ethical that he is so strong that there are no arguments that he can make in moderate drinking risks the drink-habit have for him; second, which risks upon the strong to deny themselves for the sake of the weak. Probably all advocates of total abstinence agree as to the existence of these obligations, and regard them as sufficient to cover the whole case. They should never be left in the background while weak though specious substitutes are pushed to the front.

From the beginning the total-abstinence movement has been deeply religious. This is true notwithstanding the fact that some of its advocates have been irreligious, and have even used temperance doctrines for venting their dislike to the Bible

and the church. Such intonations attract attention mainly because they are exceptional. The movement being religious, both its advocates and its opponents agreed to the terms of Scripture. In relatively few passages the Scriptures speak of wine and Scriptures. strong drink as being good, and of their strength or being a good quality in them. They commended them for medicinal and for medicinal uses. Very rarely the writers of Scripture thought of them as being, in forms too diluted to be intoxicating, the natural drink of all who could afford them. Different from this is the question of the moderate drinking of liquids of intoxicating strength; whether the Scriptures for their own times approve this as a matter of uncertain inference, and is an academic question. In interpreting these utterances of the Scriptures the facts adduced in the earlier part of this article are important. One who approved the use of the light fermented beverages in the ancient world might now disapprove them, substituting such drinks as tea or coffee. Before intoxicants were made cheap by the art of distillation the evils and risks from them were immensely less than now. Most of the hundreds of passages in which the Scriptures mention or imply wine or strong drink are unimportant commendations of the social drinking usage which then prevailed (e.g. Matt. xxiv. 49; Rom. xiii. 13; Gal. v. 21; 1 Cor. vi. 10; 1 Tim. v. 11, 12, 22; xxv. 7; Amos. ix. 1; Prov. xx. 1, xxiii. 30, 31). As a remedy they sometimes prescribe total abstinence, but never moderation in drinking. In their avoidance of any explicit approval of moderate drinking, they are in significant contrast with such ancient literature as Ecclesiastes or the writings of Plato. One should read these passages and observe that they contemplate habitual drunkenness as exclusively the vice of the rich and the aristocratic. They especially notice the men and women who the natural leaders of the people, and who through drink are ineffective in their public duties. In contrast with this the drunkenness of the twentieth century is especially prevalent among the poor. It is not now a question of relatively a few aristocrats drinking themselves to death, but of a drink cure afflicting the millions of the common people, and bringing with it starvation and crime and wholesale race deterioration. The modern problem differs from the ancient. Supposing the teaching of the prophets and apostles may be that total abstinence is a duty for our time and environment, even though it would be proved not to be a national duty for all times and environments. It can not be proved that Jews drank beverages that would intoxicate, nor that the apostles and prophets approved even the limited common drinking of such beverages; but if this could be proved for the conditions then existing, the proof would not apply in the different conditions that now exist. The Scriptures either prescribe or command total abstinence from intoxicants as a practice that should be followed in a good many cases (e.g. Num. vi. 1; Lev. x. 9; Jer. xxxv. 5; Dan. i. 1; Prov. xxiii. 31; Luke i. 15; 1 Tim. v. 23). They thus by implication prescribe total abstinence in all cases that are parallel to these. Are there now

TOWNSEND THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 474

Paris, 1809; d. at Montbéliard (28 m. w. of Basel) Oct. 5, 1873. Educated at Metz, Basel, Cologne, Paris, and Bonn; became a canon of Metz in 1815, where he first heard of Protestant doctrine, and, being suspected of adherence to them, he was forced to flee to Basel. After a sojourn at Paris, he attempted to introduce the new doctrine into Metz, only to be imprisoned at Pont à Mousson. On Mar. 11, 1826, deprived of his benefice, he was expelled from Metz. He now returned to Paris, where he became an almoner of Margaret of Navarre, but in 1831 was again obliged to flee from France. After visiting Zwitser in Zurich, Guillaume Farel in Grandson, and Simon Steiner in Basel, he went to Wittenberg. While in Wittenberg on his return, he gladly accepted the invitation of David Ulrich of Wittenberg to continue the Reformation begun by Johann Cayling and Farel in Montbéliard. Within four years (1833-37) Protestantism was definitely established, the mass was abolished, and the most of the canonry retired to Besançon. Townsend became the head of the new ecclesiastical organization, which, being French and Swiss in character, became involved in serious controversies with the German chaplains of Count Christopher of Württemberg, who took up his residence at Montbéliard in 1842. As a result he retired to Basel, 1843-46, but returned to Montbéliard when the difficulty was finally adjusted. He was one of the few clergy in Montbéliard in 1852 he resumed his position as superintendent at the head of the Protestant clergy. In 1859, under the guardianship of the new count, Frederick, the Württemberg agents were introduced, but the stubborn resistance of Townsend and his clergy forced the count's guardians to make concessions especially to permit the use of Townsend's liturgy for the time being. In 1863, however, all papers which refused to accept the Württemberg agents were disposed. When, in 1871, Jakob Anker (q.v.) was sent by the Württemberg government to Montbéliard, the clergy were strictly examined. Daniel Toussain (q.v.), the reformer's son, was hounded, and his father was persecuted and replaced by a Lutheran. All the clergy who professed either Calvinism or Calvinism were gradually removed, and the Tubingen dogmas were enforced. Strict in life, Evangelical in spirit, Townsend was a model pastor and well organizer. His sole literary production was *L'Ordre ecclésiastique de Montbéliard en matière de son rôle, et administratif de son ministère dans les années de son mariage et des prières* (1859), of which only a single copy seems to exist. (JAMES VILSON.)

TRANSMISSION: J. Vilson, *Etat de la réforme dans le pays de Montbéliard*, 2 vols., Montbéliard, 1901.

TOWNSEND, LUTHER TRACT: Methodist Episcopal, b. at Orange, N. C., Sept. 27, 1838. He spent his early life in New Hampshire; studied at New Hampshire Conference Seminary; was graduated from Dartmouth College, Hanover, N. H., 1859; from Andover Theological Seminary, Massachusetts, 1862; served as private and adjutant of the Sixteenth New Hampshire regiment, 1862-63; entered

the Methodist Episcopal ministry, 1864; was professor of Hebrew, Chaldee, and New-Testament Greek at Boston University, 1868-70; of historical theology there, 1872; of practical theology and sacred rhetoric, 1872-83; and since then emeritus professor. Of his works may be mentioned *Credo* (Boston, 1869); *The Sacred and Secular* (1871); *God-Man* (1872); *Lord's Prayer* (1874); *Jesus and Thomas* (1874); *The Supernatural Factor in Religious Revivals* (1877); *The International World* (1878); *Bible Theology and Modern Thought* (1883); *Evolution or Creation* (Chicago and New York, 1886); *Story of Jesus in the Light of Higher Criticism* (1897); *Animism* (1902); *God's Goodness and Severity, or Hell and Heaven* (1904); *Adam and Eve—History or Myth* (1904); *Colony of Evolution* (1905); *God and the Nation* (1905); *The Deluge—History or Myth* (1907); and *Bible Inspiration* (1909).

TOWNSEND, WILLIAM JOHN: English Methodist, b. at Newcastle-upon-Tyne, Jan. 20, 1825. He was educated at Percy Street Academy in his native city, and was then engaged in business for several years, after which he studied for the ministry of the Methodist New Connection for a year (1849-50) under James Stacey, of Sheffield. He was minister of various churches of his denomination in Birmingham, Manchester, Leicester, Chester, Halifax, Stockport, and Newcastle until 1866, when he became president of the Methodist New Connection Conference, as well as general missionary secretary of the same body, a position which he held until 1867. In addition to the pastoral work which he then resumed in Birmingham and London, he was editor of the *Methodist New Connection* in 1864-67 and was reappointed in 1902. In theology he "holds generally by Evangelical Christianity as expounded by leading modern Methodist theologians," and "has views on inspiration and the last things which differ from a hard and mechanical view of inspiration, or an arbitrary view of future retributions." He has written *The Great Schism of the Middle Ages* (London, 1880); *Robert Murray, the Pioneer of Chinese Missions* (1883); *Alexander Kibben, the First Methodist Reflector* (1886); *Reminiscences and Memorials of Rev. James Stacey, D.D.* (1891); *Madagascar, its Missions, and its History* (1892); *Strength preferred in Weakness* (1893); *Handbook for Christian Workers* (1897); *Handbook to the Methodist New Connection* (1899); *Life of Oliver Cromwell* (1899); *The Great Schism* (1901); *History of Popular Education in England and Wales* (1903); *As a New road to the Bible* (1904); *The Story of Methodist Union* (1906); and *A New History of Methodism* (1909; in collaboration with others).

TOY, CRAWFORD HOWELL: Theist; b. at Norfolk, Va., Mar. 23, 1820. He was educated at the University of Virginia (A.M., 1850) after which he taught three years (1850-53) and studied for a year (1850-51) at the Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, Greenville, S. C. He was professor of Greek in Richmond College, Richmond, Va., in 1861, but left to enter the Confederate Army, in which he served until 1863. In 1864-65 he was professor in the University of Alabama, and after

475 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA TRANSMISSION

two years at the University of Berlin (1866-68), was professor of Greek in Furman University, Greenville, S. C., in 1868-69. From 1869 to 1879 he was professor of Hebrew in Southern Baptist Theological Seminary, which was located first at Greenville and after 1877 at Louisville, Ky., and since 1880 has been professor of Hebrew and oriental languages at Harvard University. Besides his work as editor of the *Hellenistic department of the Jewish Encyclopedia*, he has written *History of the Religion of Israel* (Boston, 1882); *Questions on the New Testament* (New York, 1884); *Judaism and Christianity* (Boston, 1885); and *Commentary on Proverbs* (New York, 1890), and likewise prepared the Hebrew text and English translation of *Isaiah* for the *Polyglotta Bible* (New York, 1890).

TRACHONITES, trach'onit'is (TRACHON): A district of Palestine belonging to the Tetrarch Philip, son of Herod the Great (Luke iii. 1). The name, which is Greek and signifies "rough country," is sometimes used in the Targumim and other Jewish writings to render the Arabic of Deut. ii. 4, 12-14; 1 Kings iv. 13. Josephus, who repeatedly mentions Trachonitis, beside Aramitis (the Hauran) and Batanea (Bashan), describes it (*Ant.*, xv. x. 1) as a rocky inaccessible region, abounding in artificial reservoirs and caves and infested with robbers. It evidently lay to the east and northeast of Bashan, and an inscription found at al-Minshakh, the site of the ancient Hamat, between twenty-five and twenty-eight miles south of Damascus, describes the place as the chief village of Trachon. This point is situated on the northern edge of the Lajlajh. The *Orientalist* of Damascus locates Trachonitis beyond Baiter in the desert south of Damascus, and Puchey (V. xv. 26) places the Arabs of Trachonitis east of Batanea. In 1858 J. G. Wetstein studied the two Trachon, or rugged tracts, to the southwest and south of Damascus mentioned by Strabo (ii. 735-736). Of these only the latter has any connection with Bible history. It is now called al-Lajlajh, or "place of refuge," and is a lava plateau, extending for twenty-eight miles northwest from the range of the Hauran. The upper surface, whose outer edge averages thirty-three feet above the surrounding region, is a sharply undulating chain of lava-steps, covered with heaps of basalt blocks. The jagged surface is rent by abrupt ravines. The intense humidity has made vegetation possible, while the winter rains are preserved in subterranean reservoirs nearly concealed. The entire region corresponds closely to the description of Amgath, the name Trachon itself being possibly an equivalent of the Arabic *amg*, "strong, inaccessible district," which is applied to the Qal'at in the east and the Lajlajh in the west.

After the death of Ilyanias, king of Ituraea (56 a.c.), Zenodorus leased the northern parts of his domain from Chusatra, and seems to have remained tributary ruler after her death in 30. They were located between Trachonitis and Galilee, and included Uthaba and Panisa (*Ant.*, xv. x. 2). To increase his revenue Zenodorus had the inhabitants of Trachonitis make forays, especially against the people of Damascus. Augustus accordingly

commanded that Trachonitis, Batanea, and Aramitis be assigned to the interests of Herod the Great (23 a.c.), to whom he also gave the domain of Zenodorus at his death (20 a.c.); attempts to make the country an agricultural people meeting with scant success, Herod settled 3,000 Hasmoneans there (10 a.c.). A few years later he likewise placed a colony of 600 Babylonian Jews in Trachonitis, and built for their leader Zannas the fortress of Barthura (probably the modern Bait Ari in the Jordan). On the death of Herod (4 a.c.), Augustus made his son Philip ruler of Trachonitis, Batanea, Aramitis, and a part of the territory of Zenodorus (hence tetrarch of Trachonitis). At Philip's death (38 a.c.), his territory was incorporated with Syria, but in 37 was given by Caligula to King Agrippa, a grandson of Herod, who ruled it until his death in 44 a.d. (see HEROD AND HIS FAMILY). The district then came under the control of Roman procurators until, in 62 a.d., Caligula gave it to Agrippa II, who seems to have held it until his death (100 a.c.). Under Roman rule Trachonitis and the surrounding territory seems to have reached a high degree of prosperity, which was apparently destroyed by the Persian invasions about 615.

(H. COOPER.)

TRANSMISSION: G. A. Smith, *Historical Geography of Palestine*, 2d ed., London and New York, 1907; J. I. Foster, *Four Years in Damascus*, 2 vols., London, 1843; Ben. Grot, *Geography of Palestine*, pt. 12, Berlin, 1871; J. G. Wetstein, *Historical Geography of the Holy Land*, 2 vols., Berlin, 1800; H. de Vogue, *Saint-Cyrene*, 2 vols., Paris, 1877; J. de Guignes and W. G. Waddell, *Geographical progress of Islam*, vol. iii., pp. 2324, 2326, note, 1879; G. Smith, *Barthura*, *Journal of the Palestine Exploration Society*, 20:27, Berlin, 1894; A. Schumacher, *Journal of the Palestine Exploration Society*, 20:27, Berlin, 1894; *ZDPV*, xl (1880), 224-202; *Mit. Ges. A. Halle-Freyburg*, v. *Voll. in Basen und Arab.*, London, 1860; G. Riedel, *Basen in ZDPV*, xli (1881), 148; *Basen, Gesehichte*, 4:43, *Das Innere*, i. 11, 1868; *ZB*, iv. 201; *ER*, iv. 542-56.

TRACT: Its general history, or a small work in which some subject of small range, or some aspect of a subject, is discussed (Lat. *tractatus*, "to treat a subject"). It is distinguished from a treatise by being shorter, and by its persuasive as distinguished from its pedagogical aim. In its religious sense its Latin equivalent was much used in the Middle Ages, and continued to be used after the Reformation. In its modern use the word designates a brief exhortation to a religious life (see TRACT SOCIETY). Liturgically it is an extension of the *Oratio* (q.v.) by a number of verses, especially from the Psalms, used from Septuagesima to Easter on Sundays and festivals, and also after Ash Wednesday on Mondays, Wednesdays, and Fridays, except in masses for the dead. The tract, like its name, seems to date back to the early Church. It essentially implies humility, and its designation is explained (by the Pseudo-Ambrosius, *De divinis officiis*, ii. in 167, c. 1180) as due to the fact "that it is sung slowly or softly (modeste), and signifies the greatness of body meek Church." (A. HECKER.)

TRACT AND COLPORTAGE SOCIETY OF SCOTLAND: See TRACT SOCIETY, III., 3

TRACT SOCIETIES.

- I. Origin and Character.
- II. In Germany.
- III. In Great Britain.
- IV. In America.

I. Origin and Character. Tract societies are associations for the dissemination of brief popular religious tracts, especially on present-day problems and questions of personal life, among wider circles than are immediately reached by the Church, thus seeking to counteract the circulation among the masses of tenets and principles either manager in faith or hostile to Christianity. The tract may be said to begin with the Reformation, as in Luther's ninety-five theses of 1517, which he followed with a long series of pamphlets, being imitated in this respect by other German, Swiss, and French Reformers. Later, English Puritans and Methodists, German pietists, and Moravians affected the circulation of tracts; but it was especially the Augsburg senior Johann Ursperger and the English Hannah More, in the latter part of the eighteenth century, who were responsible for the formation of tract societies. In 1782 the former established at Basel the Deutsche Christenmissiongesellschaft to unite Christians against the rationalism of the period, while the latter, after having conducted French abolition by tracts which reached a circulation of 2,000,000, found her work carried on by the Edinburgh Tract Society (founded in 1790) and the London Religious Tract Society (established in 1799). On the model, and partly with the aid, of the latter organization, associations were soon formed in a number of places for the circulation of tracts, their work being carried on more or less in connection with home missions.

II. In Germany. The most important tract societies in Germany are as follows: Christlicher Verein im nordlichen Deutschland (Erlangen, 1811); Wuppertaler Traktatgesellschaft (Wuppertal, 1814); Hauptverein für christliche Erbauungsschriften in den protestantischen Staaten (Berlin, 1814); Niederdeutsche Gesellschaft zur Verbreitung christlicher Erbauungsschriften (Hamburg, 1820); Evangelische Gesellschaft (Stuttgart, 1822); Evangelische Erbauung in Stuttgart, or Calver's Verein (Calw, 1823); Evangelische Gesellschaft in Strassburg (Strassburg, 1825); Verein zur Verbreitung christlicher Schriften (Basel, 1834); Agentur der Basler Mission (Basel, 1837); Evangelischer Bistumsverein (Berlin, 1843); Evangelische Gesellschaft für Deutschland (Erfurt, 1848); Evangelischer Verein für die protestantische Welt (1848); Nürnberg evangelischer Verein für inneren Mission (Euregensch, 1850); Schriftverteilung der Gesellschaft für innere Mission im Bereich der lutherischen Kirche (1850); Christlicher Kolportageverein in Baden (1857); Nassenbacher Kolportageverein (Herters, 1857); Deutsche evangelische Traktatgesellschaft (Berlin, 1859); and Christlicher Zehntelverein (Berlin, 1880). The circulation of pious sermons began by the Berlin city mission in 1801 serves as the purpose of the Verein für christliche Volkabildung für Rhein-

2. Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.
3. Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.
4. Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.
5. Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.
6. Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge.

III. In Great Britain.—1. The Religious Tract Society. The great development of missionary interest which marked the last years of the eighteenth century led in 1790 to the formation by George J. Burder and others of the Religious and Deist Tract Society. Their act was the more important, inasmuch as it was the first to include the British and Foreign Bible Society. Started by the production of simple tracts for home use, the society's work rapidly developed. The production of books and periodicals was added; work was undertaken for Roman Catholic countries on the continent of Europe; and, as early as the year 1814, attention was given to Christian literature for China on the report of Dr. William Milne and Robert Morrison (q.v.); while other parts of the world and Mohammedan world rapidly came under the society's influence. Its present operations extend to every quarter of the globe, the society continuing to be an agency for producing Christian literature in the most important fields of the world. So far it has used 272 languages and dialects in the course of this work, and every year sees some addition to this total.

Facing first its original work of producing English tracts, the society still provides literature of this type for all climes of the world. Its *Practical and Day Tracts* and its *Tracts for the Times*, written by men of learning and position in the church, address themselves to the greater problems of theological criticism and social life. In its biographical series the lives of men and women eminent in the Christian Church of all ages are treated by writers of position. The necessity of producing special tracts for distribution among men originated the series of *Lectures to My Brother* and *The Men's Gem*. Much of tract distribution being conducted upon a regular system, requiring a constant supply of new tracts,

several series providing them at low prices are regularly issued. Special provision is made of tracts for women and children; and in the arrangement of the various series, regard is had to the particular needs of such classes as soldiers, sailors, and railway men. The work of providing tract literature happily has the sympathy of men distinguished both for scholarship and for position in the Christian Church. Thus modern authors of tracts published by the society include Bishop Handley Mole, the Earl of Northbrook, Sir William Miller, Alexander Milnes, Henry Venn, Griffith Thomas, Robert Forman Horton, Arthur Tappan Fenner, John Watson (his Mission), and Robert E. Speer. The method of the committee in choosing tracts is to-day what it was when the society began its work. Each tract is read by every member of the committee and a vote taken upon it. It is still required that the evangelical message be definite, and it is satisfactory to know that perhaps never in the history of the society have there been more frequent and more remarkable evidence of direct spiritual blessing through the reading of tracts than have been received during the last few years. It is clear that, although from time to time foretrotted observers allege that the day of the tract is past, the Evangelical and pastoral use of tracts has neither a check.

In book publication the society has continued along the lines followed for many years. While primarily anxious to produce that which definitely conveys the Gospel message, or in some way illustrates or supports its claims, the society of books has felt increasingly the need of providing literature which, though not so definitely religious in its message, is distinctly Christian in tone and character. The provision of such literature has again and again been pressed upon the society as a public duty in the face of the overwhelming development of literature, not even debating a moral tone, or, if otherwise beyond criticism, still anti-Christian in its influence. In recent years the more definitely theological part of the society's message has been widely known for its series *By-Paths of Bible Knowledge*, to which authors of the standing of Professor Archibald Henry Sayce, Wallace Hodge, Sir William Dawson, and others contributed; by such helps to Bible study as were furnished by Alfred Edersheim's volumes on *Bible History* and on *The Temple*, by Dr. Samuel Cassell Owen's *Handbook to Old Testament Hebrew*, and *Handbook to the Grammar of the Greek Testament*; and by devotional works from the pens of such authors as Newman Hall, John Angell James, and Charles Edward Hayes. Still more recent additions include the volumes of a *Devotional Commentary* by Bishop Handley Mole, Friedrich Heiler, and Heiler's *Tracts*, and other authors; an important series of works dealing with the controversy with Rome, including a translation with notes, of Karl August von Haas's *Protestantische Polemik* by Dr. Anselm Wilhelm Brenz; the *Handbook of the Bible*, of Dr. Joseph Angus, thoroughly revised by Dr. G. C. Owen; together with practical and devotional works from the pens of such men as Bishop Wilkies, Dr. Horton, William

I. Watkinson, John Henry Jowett, and Dr. Eugene Stock. In general literature features in recent years have been the provision of full biographies of distinguished missionaries such as James Chalmers, Griffith John, and George Crawford, and of finely illustrated works on natural history by Richard Rort and others. No recent British artist engaged in illustration work is now more widely known than Harold Copping, whom the society sent to the East in order to provide Bible illustrations. The society has accordingly produced a Bible illustrated from Copping's sketches and in addition two finely illustrated works—*The Gospel in the Old Testament* and *Scenes in the Life of our Lord*, the latter of which was contributed by Bishop Handley Mole. In fact, the society has continued to produce books for adult readers as well as for the young, retaining old favorites and adding later authors of repute. The periodicals of the society have always been a distinctive part of its work. *The Child's Companion*, begun in 1824, is still issued. *The Sunday of Home* has now more than fifty years of work behind it. *The Girl's own Paper*, started in 1856, has recently been entirely reconstituted. *The Boy's own Paper* is still perhaps the most widely known publication of its kind. Other periodicals appealing to various classes continue the work originated nearly a century ago.

The aid of foreign mission work has, from the earliest days of its existence, been an intimate concern of the society. As early as the year 1814, Morrison and Milne applied to it on behalf of China and promptly received aid. In the previous year the first application for help in Venezuela was received. *Aids to work came from India, and the first Missionary auxiliary tract society was formed at Bangalore in 1817.* Never before the society began to publish in Italian as early as 1806, and in Russian in 1814. The first effort on the part of France was made in 1819, and the work in Austria was begun ten years later. The society now maintains its own book and tract depot at Madrid for Spain; at Lisbon for Portugal; at Vienna for Austria; at Budapest for Hungary; and at Warsaw for Poland. In France it assists the Paris society, the McAll Mission (q.v.), and the Toulouse society; in Belgium, the work of the "Evangelical Mission of Brussels"; in Switzerland, the conjoint work of the "Evangelical Society of Geneva"; in Italy, that of the "Evangelical Publication Society of Florence"; in Turkey and Bulgaria, the publication work of the American Board of Commissioners for Foreign Missions; in Greece, the "Evangelical Society's" work; and in Russia, Norway, Sweden, and Denmark, the publication and distribution of Christian literature through various societies and individual workers. The Religious Tract Society has thus for many years been a powerful supporter of those Protestant communities which, on the continent of Europe, are struggling against the power of Rome. It has continued the work in the face of many obstacles, but has gradually won the liberty of the press and of the individual more and more freely conceded. By the aid and operations of the American Presbyterian press the society has pro-

duced at, and distributed from, Beirut in Syria, a large and varied amount of Arabic literature, both didactic and Christian in its message as well as some amount of general literature (see STRA, VI, 1, § 2). In Egypt it has long been important aid to the publication work of the Church Missionary Society, periodical and otherwise. Elsewhere in Africa, the mission of the Church Missionary Society in Uganda has received important help, while many other missions in different parts of that continent have been helped to produce Christian literature in various forms or have received grants of publications from home.

In India the work is mainly carried on through subsidiary societies at Calcutta, Bombay, Madras, Lahore, Allahabad, Kottayam, and Nagore, while help has also been given to the Christian Literature and Religious Tract Society of Ceylon. Grants have been made, as in other fields, to individual workers among non-Christians as well as those working among the British soldiers and civilians. In China, as in India, the work is mainly done through the auxiliary tract societies organized at Shanghai, Hankow, Chungking, Peking, Foochow, Amoy and Mukden. But here, too, individual grants are also made. The society has its own agent in China for the supervision of its work, more especially for that of the special China Fund started in the year 1908. In Japan, its chief agent is the Japan Book and Tract Society, Tokyo; and in Korea the Korea Tract Society. Scarcely a year passes in which one or more new languages are not added to the society's list, and it has already assisted to publish the *Prophet's Progress* in 112 languages and dialects.

The missionary operations at home consist in the supply of literature free, or at reduced prices, for pastoral and evangelistic work, for the help of ministers and students; for the encouragement of Evangelical missions, and for the instruction of inmates in hospitals and other institutions. In the ten years ending 1911, a special fund of £20,000 was also expended in the distribution of literature more especially directed against the claims of the Church of Rome.

5. Society for Promoting Christian Knowledge: This is not exclusively a tract society. Founded in 1698, it justly claims (Roper, 1907) that its originators "were the first to care for settlers and colonists, the heathen in India with the Mohammedans in the Far East." But its great operations have included help in founding bishoprics, in aid of church building and of education, as well as in providing Christian literature for the home and the mission fields. Its constitution confides its control and its operations to the Church of England and churches in communion with her. For these it has been a Bible society, a Christian literature society, and a tract society. It has been of great help to missionaries in its readiness to print works connected with the study of various languages used in their fields; in its generous provision for the printing of prayer-books and various Christian literature; and in its aid of tract work. Its catalogue of English books is especially strong in works explaining and defending the faith and the position of the Anglican church. Great attention has been given to Christiana evidence and to devotional literature, as well as to the

issue of popular fiction. Its tract catalogue is rich in tracts dealing with Anglican church doctrine, church seasons, and the doctrine of the church. The Report for 1908 gives the total number of works sold during the year (other than Bibles and prayer-books) as a little over 13,000,000. For the mission field, twenty-four works were produced in London and sent to thirty-two published abroad. Foreign publications were granted to the value of £1,200, and the total grants of publications (excluding half-price literature) amounted to £5,813.

6. Other Societies: The *Striving Tract Enterprise* was originated by Peter Drummond, of Stirling, in the year 1848, in order to meet a purely local need. The work met with so much local success that it was gradually extended. The *British Messenger* (formed on the model of the *American Messenger*) was started in 1853, the *Group Trumper* in 1857, and *Good News* in 1862. On the death of Drummond in 1877, the *Enterprise* passed under the care of a body of trustees. It now produces books as well as magazines and tracts, and tracts are published in several continental languages as well as in English. The circulation amounts to about 10,000,000 publications per annum. The *Tract and Colportage Society of Scotland*, founded in 1775, and the oldest Bible, tract, and colportage agency, is rather an evangelistic and distributing society than a publishing house. Its colporteurs are mainly employed in Scotland, but a few work in England. They sell the Scriptures, evangelistic literature, and wholesome publications popular in type. There is also a depository in Edinburgh. About 700,000 tracts were distributed in the year last reported on. The *Children's Special Service Mission*, which began its work in 1857 as an agency for helping outside services for children, while continuing its home work, now has an office and mission in India, with native evangelists in India, Ceylon, and Japan. Its facilities are now published in several continental languages, and are also issued for use in China, Japan, and other mission fields.

Any other tract work done by British societies would be incomplete without reference to the *Christian Literature Society for China* and the *Christian Literature Society for India*. These organizations confine their work exclusively to the fields stated in their titles, but, as missionary organizations, are large producers of tract and other literature. A fuller account of their work would more properly belong to a review of missionary enterprises in those two fields. A. E. REECE-CLARK.

IV. In America: Tract societies are voluntary associations of Christians to publish and circulate religious tracts, including volumes. The importance of adding to the influence of spoken truth and the permanent effectiveness of the printed page were early felt by Christians. What a good book can do and how its influence may be multiplied is shown in the history of Baxter's conversion aided by reading Dr. Gibber's book entitled, *The Bread of Life*, and Baxter's instrumentality in the conversion of Doddridge, by whose *Disc and Progress* Doddridge was led to embrace the truth. It became evident that much good would be wrought by short,

earnest, and strong tracts. Consequently efforts were early made by individuals to furnish these cheaply in such forms and quantities that they could be widely diffused.

One of the first American societies was the *Connecticut Religious Tract Society* at Hartford, founded as early as 1808; in 1812 the *New York Religious Tract Society* rose, and in 1815 the *New England Tract Society* of Andover, afterward transferred to Boston, which in 1825 changed its name to the *American Tract Society*. The friends of this form of Christian activity, however, were soon convinced that the needed work could be carried forward advantageously and effectively only by a national association, centrally located, and securing the confidence and support of all Evangelical Christians and denominations. Hence, there was organized in May, 1825, the *American Tract Society* at New York City. The movement received general approval and rapidly expanded, and took rank with the Bible Society among the chief interdenominational Christian charities of the nation. The society's first publications were tracts, and the end of two years volumes were issued; hand-bills, leaflets, Christian tracts, illustrated tracts, wall-words, etc., followed in quick succession. Publications have been issued in 174 languages, dialects, and characters.

In publications are for all ages and classes, and treat all ordinary phases of truth and duty. As early as 1843 the publication of periodicals began. There have varied in number from time to time: *The American Messenger*, *A mercantile Directory*, *Apple of Gold*, and *Memories of Dr. Orem* being the periodicals now issued. The society furnishes large quantities of its publications either gratuitously or at reduced prices in order to aid missionaries and Christian workers in their efforts to reform and save. Its publications go to soldiers, sailors, to freedmen and immigrants, to hospitals, prisons and asylums, to needy mission-churches and Sunday-schools, to the destitute and neglected in cities, and throughout the entire country.

A large number of colporteurs have been employed to visit from house to house, supplying some of its publications to all, either by sale or grant, conversing with the household, holding meetings for prayer, and organizing Sunday-schools. The importance, necessity and efficiency of this plan of evangelization has been only partially recognized. The time undoubtedly must come when it will be fully understood.

that the nation's greatest need is the need of the nation's homes and that this need lies in the necessity of the moral and spiritual uplift of both parents and children unreachably by giving influence. The one essential lesson yet to be learned is that national transformation can be effected only through the nation's homes. The Society, therefore, has never failed to present this line of work to the full extent of its ability, and during seventy years of colportage has made 77,961,911 family visits and circulated 17,002,881 volumes. Its publications issued at the home office during eighty-four years are 495,184,267 tracts, 34,206,914 volumes, and 288,634,668 periodicals, making a grand total of 715,056,568, not including the millions of tracts published at the mission stations abroad by aid of the society's appropriations. Its foreign work has been indispensable through the supplying of grants in money to create Christian literature in the vernacular at mission stations in the Orient. The total now reaches \$70,007,43, not including many thousands of dollars in electrotypes. Special mention should be made of the publications of Christian literature in Bengali, Hindi, Urdu, Malay, and other languages in the East, and the much-needed literature in the Portuguese language. The gratuitous distribution of literature has reached two and a half millions of dollars, more than \$30,000 worth being sometimes distributed in a single year. The society has issued over 300 distinct publications in Spanish, which have been indispensable in successfully prosecuting missionary work in all Spanish-speaking countries. Its operations are directed by an executive committee, composed of constituent committees, known as publishing, circulating, and finance, six members each. The publishing committee represents at different denominations, which assures the interdenominational character of its publications, and the action of its committee must be unanimous. There is one general secretary with assistants and helpers to carry forward the work.

The society's work is wholly dependent upon donations and bequests. It makes an earnest appeal to all people for sufficient offerings to carry the Gospel truth into every non-Christian home throughout the land. The Western Tract Society of Cincinnati (1831) cooperates with the American Tract Society of New York. JAMES STEAR.

BRITANNICA: The literature is to be sought in the Reports, etc., of the different societies.

TRACTARIANISM.

- | | | |
|------------------------------|---|-----------------------------|
| I. Preliminary Influences. | III. Puseyism. | Protestant Influences (I.). |
| II. The Tractarian Movement. | Doctrinal Outcomes (I.). | Roman Catholicism (I.). |
| The Church Group (I.). | Doctrinal Influences (I.). | American Movements (I.). |
| The Tract (I.). | IV. The Tractarian Movement. | Doctrinal Influences (II.). |
| John Henry Newman (I.). | V. The Ecclesiastical Service of the Oxford Movement. | The Final Process (I.). |
| Reverence (I.). | | Doctrinal Art (I.). |

By Tractarianism is commonly understood the ecclesiastical-theological movement starting out from Oxford in 1833 and profoundly affecting the Church of England. It was an effort to overcome the religious decline of the beginning of the eighteenth century not by recourse to the deeper native resources, but to the older ecclesiastical traditions,

such as the conversion of the creedal faith into devotional mysticism, and an inner approximation to Roman Catholic principles. Following its course in the three forms of Tractarianism, Puseyism, and Ritualism, the new movement turned, on the basis of the Old Anglican theology, against the voiding of valuable religious assets, undertaken by the ag-

gressive liberalism of the time, and against the encroachments of the State upon the rights of the Church. Theologically it was an attempted answer to the inquiry concerning the nature of the Church and its activities. Practically, it was an effort whereby to constitute the Christian life, and to elevate divine worship.

I. Preliminary Influences: The English Reformation of the sixteenth century had been political and ecclesiastical rather than religious. During two centuries later was sterile and depressing upon intellectual life in the Church. John Wesley and the Evangelical movement exerted a great redeeming power; however, their emotional one-sidedness forestalled the requisite influence upon the ecclesiastical theology. The undercurrent in the change of times which now set in was due to the idealism of Kant, Fichte, Coleridge, and Carlyle. A part of the way of liberation which swept over Europe in the eighteenth century meant the annihilation of ecclesiastical despotism and the rising desire for the separation of Church and State, even a menace to the place of the Church itself. This was attended by a reaction on the soil of romanticism that rallied to the aid of the Church. Everywhere in Europe the order was the same; the hunger for freedom, which promised to make the individual absolutely self-dependent, turned into doubt and philosophic anguish, and resulted for many in the reaching up of personality by the strongly authoritative spirit-life of the Roman Catholic Church. This gave rise in England to the new ecclesiastical devotion of the cultured. Following the tide back to nature which had borne along Wordsworth and Shelley, Walter Scott's revival of medieval romanticism included its picturesque piety and ecclesiastical enthusiasm. In Samuel Taylor Coleridge (q.v.), defender of the faith of the Church against rationalistic violence, and advocate for the free establishment of the traditional theology, the two tendencies which marked the English theology of the early nineteenth century were as yet combined. A new valuation came to be placed by the English national spirit, particularly at Cambridge, upon the heritage of the Church, that of faith exercised in love. Creed made way for personal faith; sacrament for preaching. The dividing line between state church and dissent became dim, and the sole right of the state church as such came into question. With the beginning of the third decade, the ecclesiastical-political liberalism, following in the wake of the individualism of the French Revolution, advanced to enforce the attacks on the historic rights of the Established Church by means of parliamentary measures was the ministry of John Russell, which represented the principle of freedom of conscience in the repeal of the Test Act (q.v.). This meant not only the admission of non-conformists (q.v.) to parliament, but their participation in ecclesiastical measures and reforms. The following year (1829) Sir Robert Peel, to pacify Ireland, introduced the Roman Catholic relief bill despite High-church opposition. Reform of the Church or disestablishment was generally expected as a consequence of political reform. Still more perfidious because the situation when the Whigs

came in power and, in deference to a violent national demand, proceeded to pass the parliamentary reforms against the house of lords and the bishops. They succeeded in transferring appeals in ecclesiastical cases from a spiritual court administered by the king to a lay committee of the privy council, whereby the voice of the bishops was silenced also in parliament and in the higher instance of the privy council even on fundamental church questions. The doom of the Established Church was not only announced in parliament, but the passion of the populace vented itself in various acts of violence in London and elsewhere. The drift away from the church spread over all the land. The Reform Bill had placed the power in the hands of those most inimical to the church and most friendly toward dissent. The Church of England, it was said, was about to wrap itself in its shroud to die with dignity. The climax for a final rally to resistance was reached when the parliament of 1833 abolished one-half of the bishoprics of Ireland, professedly as an act of justice.

II. The Tractarian Development: This counter-movement came forth from Oxford, the High-church citadel. The call proceeded from Oriel College, where, under the guidance of Richard Whately (q.v.), a group of young men, including Thomas Arnold, R. D. Hampden, J. H. Newman, R. H. Froude, John Keble, and Oxford E. R. Pusey (q.v.), had become, as it were, the spiritual leaders of the university. The attacks of Whately on the orthodox doctrine of election and justification, and the those of Arnold affirming the idea of a national church, in which the distinction between clerical and lay would be obliterated, and which relegated dogma, ritual, and organization to secondary importance, broke the group into two camps. The right wing of Keble, Froude, Newman, and Pusey forthwith proposed a church reform looking for relief beyond the sixteenth century. About this time Newman returned from a visit to the Mediterranean, Rome, and Paris in the ferment of altering views. Breaking with Whately and even with the High-church Edward Hawkins, to whom he owed his teaching of baptismal regeneration and Apostolic Succession (q.v.); see also Succession, Newman, he returned Oriel, and more congenial to him, and became more and more opposed to his old friends, the Evangelicals. Hence he was asked by his close associates with Froude, from 1830, the fanatical protagonist of the new High-church ideas. The most gifted of the Oxford circle, intolerant and uncompromising, and possessing an ardent passion for truth and an acute purity of life, Froude had early seen the impossibility of reaching the truth by reason alone, and had consequently turned to the Church. Reverting to the past, he was espoused by the majority of the advocates of the Reformation of the sixteenth century, finding particularly in his *Essays* (London, 1830) his fervent animosity against B. Turning first to his own church in the period of Laud, he presently passed to the medieval Roman Church as the standard and type of all other, by its "always, everywhere, and by all" and doctrinal fitness. In

England he hoped for a restoration of the Roman Church with a revival of medieval piety, fasting, good works, asceticism, celibacy, and the virgin cult. To this end he advocated the separation of Church and State. As late as the beginning of the fourth decade, Froude had resolute with Rome possible and desirable, but his journey to Rome changed his view and convinced him that the Roman Church likewise must be transformed to the model of the primitive Church. The spirit of a man who hated Protestantism and combated Rome, a Roman Catholic without a pope, and an Anglican without Protestantism, yet was the prophetic antecedent of the Tractarian system in all its phases. On their return from the south of Europe, Froude and Newman found Oriel in ferment. John Keble, then a member of Oriel and a man of deep piety and gentleness, had published his famous *Christian Year* (1827), a collection of poems which profoundly influenced Newman, who about this time broke with Whately. On the Sunday after Newman's return Keble preached before the university the *Latin Sermon*, published under the title *On the National Apostasy*, which became the alarm-bell for the assembling of the association. A few days later Hugh James Ross, rector of Hadleigh, Suffolk, of his own accord, invited Froude, William Palmer, and A. F. Perceval to the famous Hadleigh Conference to consider the best means to avert the threatening threatening dangers. Keble and Newman, though invited, were unable to be present. The result of the conference was the formation of the Association of Friends of the Church, and Palmer was directed to frame two addresses to the primate, Archbishop Howley, one of which, within a few weeks, was signed by 1,000 clergy (more than half the total number in the country) and the other (drawn up by Joshua Watson) by 29,000 heads of households. The Scottish and American episcopates likewise subscribed, and the former requested archiepiscopal sanction, though in vain. The only point of difference was that of the separation of Church and State, which Keble and Froude strongly urged, while Newman wavered. In the interests of peace the point was not debated. Early in September Keble set forth the program of the new movement as follows: (1) the sole way to salvation is to eat the flesh and drink the blood of Christ; (2) the means ordained in the Holy Eucharist; (3) the right administration of the sacrament is guaranteed by the apostolic commission given to bishops and priests; (4) all possible means must be taken to impress and perpetuate the inalienable prerogative of communion with the Lord through the succession of the apostles, to strive for daily communion and worship in the churches, and oppose every alteration of the established liturgy. This was followed by *The Churchman's Manual* (Oxford, 1834) by Perceval, as the expression of the conservatives. A new phase opened with the tracts undertaken by Newman, fixing a name upon the entire development. Newman was aware to organization and committee, preferring a wide popular movement stirred by personal sacrifice. The fitting points of dissemination were the universities, centers of intellectual and religious influence in England, such

as Oxford. The method must be by tracts or pamphlets, the favorite form of religious propaganda in that country. As history records, Newman was the one person who early gifted to prepare the brief theological reviews. Of his own accord he issued *Tract I* (Sept. 9, 1833), followed by eighty-nine others (mostly before Nov., 1835) under the title of *Tracts for the Times* (8 vols., Oxford, 1833-1841). These address over eight or ten pages in length, treated primarily of organization, discipline, and worship, of the nature of the Church and her relation to the primitive Church, on historic objections to the privileges, doctrines, and liturgy of the Anglican Church, her forms of prayer and her ritual service, proposed changes in the liturgy, lax discipline, and the needs of the individual churches. The Roman Catholic problem, however, had not yet become prominent. Newman was the author of nos. 1, 2, 6, 8, 10, 11, 19, 21, 24, 28, 41, 45, 47, 71, 73, 74, 82, 83, 85, 88, and 90. Next to him was Keble, and then Pusey (nos. 18, on fasting; nos. 40, 47-60, on baptism); Froude contributed but not (no. 65). The *Tracts* were supplemented, after 1833, by a series of extracts from such Church Fathers as Ignatius, Justin, and Irenaeus, prepared by the other Oxford leaders under the title *Remains of the Church*, while in 1838 Pusey, Keble, Newman, and Charles Marriott began a translation of all the Fathers, which appeared as the *Liberary of the Fathers of the Holy Catholic Church*, anterior to the *Division of the East and West* (9 vols., 1838-50). All three works were polemical, and passed beyond the initiative of Keble and Ross, in demanding not only religious but ecclesiastical and ecclesiastico-political reform, the return development of the present Church to the Church of the first three centuries, before the rise of the cult of images, angels and saints, purgatory, transubstantiation, the restriction of the cup to the clergy, auricular confession, indulgences, and papal infallibility. By Newman's presentation of the doctrine of the visible Church as the source of all spiritual gifts and the channel of all grace, set forth in the teaching and usage of the early English Church, the *Tracts* at first gained a most cordial welcome, furnishing the bishops with the argument of divine right through apostolic succession, as their struggle with parliament, and the High-churchmen with a weapon against Evangelicals and Dissenters. Later *Tracts*, however, began to exceed the demand for the restoration of the system represented by the great Anglican divines of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, and were deemed suspiciously akin to Roman Catholic tenets, by their fogging of words and ideas and submerging some becoming transparent (see below, High-church Doctrine). The Evangelical organ, *The Christian Observer*, cheerily received the issue and began battle against the medieval tendency toward Rome. The old popular cry of the eighteenth century, "no popery," resounded again from press and throughout.

In reply Newman, undisputed leader from 1834, came forward (*Tracts* 38, 41) with his doctrine of the vicar. He maintained that it was the piety of the Anglican Church to have taken the middle course between the so-called Reformation and

THE NEW SCHAFY-HERZOG 483

Roman Catholicism. The later English Church had fallen from the faith of the sixteenth century, had disengaged the Prayer-Book, and...

setting the native national faith. Meanwhile the Tracts pursued this tendency, leaving behind the six main. Tract 75 recommended the Roman Breviary as a book of devotion...

RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Tractarianism

"the fastidious advocate of private judgment," until he followed Newman in 1846, led the extreme right. Keble and Williams the right center, and Ferriell the left...

approval of convocation, then in abeyance, an act of parliament in 1852 transferred the jurisdiction of the delegates to the privy council, and in the following year to a committee of the privy council...

THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 484

movement never recovered. From about 1860, therefore, it turned into the channels of ritualism.

IV. Ritualism: the epistemic crisis, HERRAZAR.

V. The Ecclesiastical Services of the Oxford Movement: so long as the Oxford School preserved its prime object in its original purity, the war upon a liberalism which sought to encroach upon the rights of the church to control its own affairs, it was a power in the national church; but Newman's subtle dialectic proved fatal to further

1. Prac- development, the Puseyites gained a factual in- the triumph in the vain battle against fluence. A state power of splendid heritage, and the ritualists diverted their strength in their special aim. Though stirring the English Church profoundly, yet in theological science, dogmatic, historical, and exegetical, it proved lamentably fruitless. It, however, paved the way for participation in Pusey's *Liturgy of the Fathers* (1842), followed by *Liturgy of Anglo-Catholic Theology* (89 vols., Oxford, 1841 seq.), consisting of the writings of fifty-six great Anglicans of the school of Latet. Both works being "London" productions, they can not be regarded as scientific contributions. Unquestionable results, however, stand to the credit of the Anglo-Catholics in the field of practical theology. They succeeded where the first Oxford movement of Wesley and Whitefield had failed, viz., in converting the torpid church into a vital national power. Methodism and the church expelled, Anglicanism it could not shake off. To the Oxford movement is due largely its avivement in the Established Church of profound devotion to the Catholic Church of the Fathers, which was abundantly fruitful in modern labors of love. Its crowning merit is the revived church spirit in the Establishment. By fifty years of labor in the cure of souls, its representative created a new epoch. Not only have there been many of the higher circles that had become estranged from the Church, but by their manifold work among the poor, the sick, and the outcast, the lower levels of society, too, were induced to love the Church. They built hospitals, asylums, schools, and missions; to them are due also new English dioceses, and the number of foreign ones under the archbishop of Canterbury rose from 23 in 1877 to 70 in 1900. In London and throughout England model parishes arose in which this new energy flourished, and developed a multiple variety of philanthropic organization and effort, flowing over beyond parish boundaries. All these agencies are the result of an organization which, rivaling in refinement the best of the Roman Catholic Church, earnestly has its life in anything else in all practical England. The center of this organization, which embraces Great Britain and the colonies, is the priestly Society of the Holy Cross, founded in 1851, but known publicly only since 1873. Its work, which is carried on secretly, is to supervise home and foreign missions, questions of ritual, the distribution of tracts and books of devotion, the episcopal, public assemblies, and gifts and societies. The Dowley Fathers (Society of St. John the Evangelist, see *Parvularum* CROSSLANDS, II, 17), who work among the imperiled and Protestants, and are bound by the triple vow, seem to be allied to

the former. In 1892 was founded the Ordinarium of the Blessed Sacrament, with its thousands of members, including bishops, priests, and lay, looked for the ritual adornment of the services and the church, fasting, prayers for the dead, the exaltation of the Eucharist, and daily recitation and mass. The Association for Promoting the Unity of Christians, whose membership is not published, seeks the reunion of the Anglican, Roman, and Greek communions; and there are, besides, the Order of Corporata Reunion, which re-organizes the clergy of the State Church and holds the Roman pope to be the first bishop and visible head of the Church, the Guild of All Souls, the Altar Club, and the Church Extension Association. The English Church Union has *The Church Union Gazette*, *The Church Times*, and *The Church Review*, as organs for the public defense and promotion of the Anglo-Catholic cause. To these agencies must be added the network of guilds, orders, brotherhoods, and sisterhoods, among them the English Benedictines, the founder of whom, Father Ignatius (see *Lexic*, *IGNATIUS*) founded a monastery in Wales for the training of missionaries; and the English Order of the Augustines, preparing candidates for ordination in strict seclusion and discipline. The sisterhoods, of which the first was established by Pusey, devote themselves to the care of the sick and poor, caring nearly all the poor hospitals of London, aided by the money and the services of thousands of women of the upper and middle classes.

Absorbed in ecclesiastical antiquity, where of necessity it placed its main standard of apostolic succession, and proceeding no further than the revival and adaptation of the body

2. Doctrine: of dogmas of the sixteenth and seventeenth centuries, the Oxford movement added no new thoughts and revealed no new facts or laws. Faithfully historical, it owed a great deal of its impetus to the contemporary view of scientific historical method and, by its doing upon the past, contributed no little to the revival of romanticism. Without a creed or doctrinal writings of its own, except those of the Anglican Church, and having for its object of contention far-reaching fundamentalist affective right of ecclesiastical autonomy and outlying ritualistic adjustments rather than specific dogmas, it is difficult properly to present its teaching. To the private Tractarian literature, predominantly avowed, belong, J. Pusey's *Discourses on Apostolic Succession* (London, 1836; 4th edition ed., by P. G. Lee, 1877); T. T. Carter's *Treasury of Devotion* (London, 1869); William Gray's *Ordination of Clergymen* (London, 1851); *The People's Hymnal* (1867) by R. F. Littledale; besides a formidable array of treatises, manuals, and orations, to be treated with precaution against their subjective, unwholesome modes of thought. A picture of the Tractarian teaching in outline therefore narrows itself to the derivation from the Thirtieth Article, and a consensus of the promulgations of the Oxford school; namely, on the sources of religious knowledge, the means of grace, the Church, the apostolic succession, the real presence, and the derivative ideas from these

485 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Tractarianism

subjects. The absolute truth is given objectively; the function of thought or spirit is not speculative inquiry but the interpretation of authoritative dogma given by the primitive Church. The Scriptures are held in a general sense only to be the rule of faith; but they require exegesis because of their manifold meaning, and explication because of their incompleteness. Valuable for right doctrine, they contain practically nothing concerning church discipline, liturgy, and government, and must be explained by tradition, which preceded the New Testament and formed the canon. They must be supplemented by the uniform consensus of the Fathers, the Roman Catholic bishops, and the conciliar councils. For example, the witness of the entire Church as true according to Scripture for the entire Church, whether understood or not. The Church is the sole divinely appointed authority for the interpretation of the Scriptures, the mediator of the doctrine and grace of the sacraments, and the total organic spring and norm of all its activities in liturgy, organization, and discipline. Presented as the original, generic, prophetic type, the Church is to be an object, like Word and sacrament, of reverent awe, the absolute foundation of all truth, even in the deeper mysteries and symbolic interpretations in which the entire teaching is not always revealed, thus leading, among the more advanced wings, to a distinction between exoteric and esoteric truth. Established on the apostolic foundation in faith and practice, the Church is the source of grace for all ages. This grace is communicated alone through the objective power of the sacraments. The only way to salvation is through the acceptance of the Eucharist, the efficacy of which depends on its administration by the priest in virtue of his power derived by the sacrament of the bishops from the apostles, whereby the perpetuation and right dispensation of the sacrament are guaranteed.

This doctrine of the apostolic succession was central to all the factions of the movement is common from its inception to its ultimate issue. Pro-nounced the arch-pillar of the priestly office, the defense against the encroachment of the State Succession, made it of necessity the ecclesiastical bulwark. Assumed to be implied in the ancient Anglican formula of ordination, it was brought to the front by the Tractarians in their resistance to the State. The gift depends on the laying on of hands and not on any formula attending the act, nor is it necessarily involved in the over-seeing function of the episcopate, seeing that the apostles conferred it upon priests and deacons also. Therefore, to avoid, in consequence of this statement, the claim advanced by non-episcopal communions, the Oxford school maintained that tradition shows that from the apostolic age to the Reformation, and from that time in all true churches, ordination has been given by bishops. Now in this succession merely a following in preaching, maintenance of the sacraments, and the power of the keys, but a holy gift (Rebs), preserved through time by the apostolic succession alone and its mem-

bered significance (Froude). Whoever is not a link in this chain has no right either to the office or to the administration of the sacrament. Inasmuch as the communion of Christ alone gives efficacy to Word and sacrament, this teaching leads further to the doctrine of the sole and necessary mediocrity of the priesthood between Christ and believers, and to the distinction between the clergy and the laity. The Oxford school sought to demonstrate also the historical continuity of the State Church from the apostles. The proof in individual detail being regarded as impossible, it was replaced, historically, by the argument of probability found to be in the ratio of 8,000 to one, and dogmatically by Newman's theory of knowledge, that theoretical, inadequate probability becomes certainty by the supplement of the assumption of faith. Admitting that away from the proof of reason and the facts of history and experience, the argument of conditional probability leads itself alike to faith and superstition, Newman finally converts it to one of positive authority, construing I Cor. xi. 23-24 in the sense that Christ conferred on the disciples as priests the gift of consecration. Linking this with the promise of Peter and Timothy as bishops and the episcopate in the primitive Church, he thought that he had established the absolute necessity of episcopal consecration, falling back on the authority of the Church where Scripture was insufficient. To sum up, the sacrament is the material principle to which the Church in the correlate means or formal principle, representing the mediocrity of Christ, fundamental and supreme in the principle of the sacrament as the sole means of saving grace. The visible Church assures participation in the invisible, and without the former there is no salvation; but, in turn, the essential mark of the true Church, inclusive of catholicity, apostolicity, and autonomy, is the apostolic succession. Hence the Anglican is the most perfect on earth. The Roman Catholic has the apostolic communion but has departed from the apostolic tradition (papal power and infallibility). The Greek Church has preserved this communion and doctrine more purely. Other episcopal churches, beside the Anglican, are healthy branches, while the non-episcopal churches, or sects, are antipathetic limbs, which may have retained the apostolic teaching or not, yet possess no apostolic office and no means of salvation.

The sacramental doctrine of the Oxford movement starting upon the basis of the Anglican Confession is an attempt to extend and deepen the Roman Catholic by doing emphasis upon regeneration. To the Calvinistic conception defined in the catechism, that the sacraments are effectual testimonies of divine grace, was opposed that they were the channels which conduct divine grace to the soul, closed by unbelief and opened by faith. Both sacraments are essentially one, natural man being regenerated through baptism, and this new

4. The life being developed by the Eucharist, Sacramental as that, as Pusey and Newman state, Baptism. They form the sole means of rite of justification for sinners. Those who allege that the new spiritual life is due to the act of faith, not to the gift of God in the sacrament,



Transcendentalism THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 490

see Geschichte der alten Kirche, vol. 1, Biblan, Chemnitz, 1875; E. Kuhn, *From Kant to Schleiermacher*, Berlin, 1881; H. Schlegel, *Geschichte der romantischen Bewegung*, vol. 1, Bonn, 1848; 8 vols., London, 1838-48; T. Mommsen, *The German People*, 1867; F. Arnold, *Die deutsche Geschichte der protestantischen Christenlehre*, Königsberg, 1867; F. Althoff, *Die deutsche protestantische Kirche*, 2 vols., Berlin, 1871; E. Adick, *Christenheit und Roman Empire*, 1889-91; London, 1890; W. E. Hatch, *The Church in the 19th Century*, New York, 1891; E. G. Ripley, *Christianity and the Roman Empire*, pp. 112-124, London, 1894; *United Christian Church*, vol. 2, chap. 2, and in general works on the history of the Church and the history of the literature of the period; Gibbon, *Decline and Fall*, chap. 38.

TRANSCENDENTALISM IN NEW ENGLAND. Philosophical Background (14). Theological Movement (15). The "Atmosphere of Ideas" (14). Relation to Reform and Religion (14). Influence of Transcendentalism (16).

Toward the end of the eighteenth century and the beginning of the nineteenth, a strong reaction took place against materialism. As philosophy, it began in Germany. Voltaire brought from London to Paris the ideas of Hume. From Paris they came to France with him to the court of Frederick the Great. From France they came to England through the efforts of Francis Bacon, and became ruling background principles of thought. Kant subjected them to searching analysis in his famous *Critique of Pure Reason*, and became the leader in a great philosophical reform. Materialism took no deep root in the German mind. The great names in German idealism are Kant, Fichte, Schelling, and Hegel; and the names of the English idealists, so far as it can be conveyed in few words, is as follows: Kant examined the depths of the human mind; Fichte imparted reality to the idea of the human person; Schelling combined the inward and the outward by opposing an Absolute, which he called reason; Hegel transformed what was his the transcendental reason into a being, thus compelling, as it is claimed, the fundamental "categories" of Kant. The word "transcendentalism" and Kantian origin. It means that which is valid beyond the experience of the senses, though present to the knowledge of the mind. It describes a form of idealism. In the judgment of some historians of thought, "The transcendental philosophy is a philosophy of the merely subjective pure reason, for all mind practices, as far as it involves motive, refers to feeling, and feeling is always empirical and subjective." Again, "I call all cognition transcendental which is occupied not with objects as with the process by which we come to know them, in so far as that process has as a prior element. A system of such elements would be a transcendental philosophy." In France, materialism was represented by Condillac, Cabanis, and others; in England, not to mention the poets who are always idealistic, Coleridge reflected Schelling, and Carlyle, Goethe and Richter. Coleridge's *Aids to Reflection* and Friend were early reprinted in America. The writings of Carlyle—*ut supra*, re-

views, essays (produced from 1827 onward), *Signs of the Times*, *Characteristics*, later, *Sartor Resartus*—were eagerly read in American editions. So far as this goes, Transcendentalism was of foreign extraction, an invasion of the German intellect.

It would be a serious and unpardonable mistake, however, to regard the transcendental movement as a simple importation from abroad, a servile imitation of English, French, or German literary writers on the subject. At the last movement, more from this, and was full of the sap of a spontaneity and freshness all its own. . . . Nineteenth-century of the early transcendentalists rubbed but slightly against Kant, Fichte, Goethe, Schlegel, Schelling; but it was from living pollen they bore away from the contact, and in their own minds were vividly impregnated. The whole movement was a spiritual outbreak, a vital sense of newness, a local or New England renaissance, the roots of which reached far back into the past, but its flowers bloomed with a richness and a fresh luxuriance such as were possible nowhere else so well as on the shores of this new world. The soil for it had been carefully prepared. Materialism was abroad in New England, sometimes implicitly, sometimes by force of statement. Unitarianism, which transcendentalism was an offshoot, if not indeed an outgrowth, was itself a protest, on the ground of common sense, against "Orthodoxy" and "Evangelicalism," and was indelibly stamped by the metaphysics of John Locke. It was a system of rationalism—pragmatic, critical, unimpassioned. Its teaching, like most of the religious teaching of the day, was formal, and its worship at the time was becoming uninspiring. It was, in the main, a negative system, its forms mechanical, its beliefs traditional, its associations conventional. The elder men like Channing and Lowell, retained the sentiments of piety which they had brought with them from the faith they had left, but the new movement had begun to lose something of its original enthusiasm. Meanwhile a spirit of individualism was in the air, running occasionally into idiosyncrasy and even schism. In 1822 Abner Kneeland founded *The Investigator*; in 1830 he was prosecuted for blasphemy. There was a general interest in clairvoyance, mesmerism, and identification of spirits. As early as 1824 T. H. Hoopes, a Unitarian minister, raised the banner of revolt in *The Christian Examiner* (November) against the materialism implied in phrenology, which even then was getting possession of the public mind. There was a rage for the exposure of Gull. The popular lectures of Spurgeon were attended by crowds. Later, Combe's book on the "Constitution of Man" was hailed as a gospel. Resurrection by fraud was proclaimed in the name of Graham. Every kind of imposture was called in to do the work of the Holy Spirit. At this juncture, idealism appeared in the shape of a protest against the drift of the time toward skepticism and externalism. The soil was prepared by orthodox mystics, who proclaimed "the life of God in the soul of man"; by the spiritualism taught by Jonathan Edwards; by the Reformed Quakers, with their doctrine of an "inward" "inner light,"



491 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Transcendentalism

by the traditions of Abbot Huthington, Mary Dwyer, and the apostles of self-freedom. Not that the positions taken by these men were assumed by the transcendentalists, accidental. They were indeed quite different, in Church, fact precisely opposite; for those in recognized some supernatural authority, whereas the transcendentalists as a class were pure "individualists," believers in the inspiration of the individual soul; but they looked only at apparent results, disregarding adjacent beliefs. The leaders were young men, almost without exception, educated for the ministry, Unitarians, members of the best class in society, eloquent speakers and cultured scholars, men of liberal culture, cognizant in the declaration of their opinions. Of these Ralph Waldo Emerson was chief, most versatile and persuasive, most uncompromising, too, in his ecclesiastical action. He resigned his charge as a Unitarian minister in 1822 because of scruples in regard to the "communion service," which he regarded as a spiritual rite and was unwilling to outline as such, but not as an ordinance imposed by Church or Scripture. Later he was unwilling to offer public prayer except when so disposed, and retired from the pulpit altogether, making the secular platform his sole visible elevation above the multitude—in deviation not of authority, but of convenience. A few young men gathered around him. In September of 1830, at the celebration of the two-hundredth anniversary of the foundation of Harvard College, four persons—Emerson, Hedge, Ripley, and Putnam—met together in Cambridge, and, after discussing the theological and ecclesiastical situation, agreed to call a meeting of a few like-minded, with a view to strengthening each other in their opposition to the old way, and see what could be done to inaugurate a better. At a preliminary meeting at the house of George Ripley, in Boston, there were present Emerson, Hedge, Abbot, Barrett, Brewster, and Bartlett (a young tutor at Cambridge). Then and there it was resolved, on invitation of Emerson, to hold a convention at his house in Concord during the same month of September. Invitations were sent to as many as were known or supposed to be in sympathy with the objects of the meeting. From fifteen to twenty came, among them, William Henry Channing, John Sullivan Dwight, James Freeman Clarke, Edwin Deshayes, Chandler Robbins, George P. Bradford, Mrs. Samuel Ripley, Margaret Fuller, Elizabeth Peabody, perhaps Theodore Parker. Covers Francis and Caleb Stone were the only men of the older generation who took a practical interest in the movement. Channing was in sympathy with its general aims, but took no active part at the time. His contemporaries either did not appear, or immediately withdrew. The public got intelligence of the Concord meeting, and gave the little fellowship the name of the "Transcendental Club," why, it is not easy to discover; for a club it was not in any sense of the word. There was no organization, there were no officers, there was no stated time or place for assembling, there were no topics for discussion; in fact, there appears no good reason for calling it "transcendental," unless that term was

supposed to carry with it ridicule or opprobrium. The meetings were full, and hastily rearranged. In ten years there were scarcely more than six hundred convocations. Some meetings resulted in the Church, attempting to combine transcendental ideas with ecclesiastical forms; others left the Church for other vocations. Each followed the leading of the individual disposition. The *short-lived Dial* and the *short-lived Massachusetts Quarterly* were results of the "transcendental" spirit. At the time when the transcendental movement was in its height, the atmosphere of New England was filled with projects of reform. Every kind of innovation on existing social arrangements meted had its advocate, its newspaper, "Amos" its meetings, its convention. "Temperance of wine, non-resistance, women's rights, Reform," anti-slavery, peace, claimed attention from those concerned for the progress of mankind. Some of these projects were wild, visionary, and, in the eyes of some observers, grotesque. It is not unlikely that they owed their origin to the same impulse which produced transcendentalism, though the historical and logical connection has not been discovered. That a large part of the ridicule which was wasted on the transcendentalists was owing to their presumed affiliation with these summary fanaticisms is more than probable. Nor was such a presumption unreasonable; for the transcendentalists not merely took no pains to correct the impression, but rather gave it encouragement. Emerson's lecture on "Man the Reformer" was an eloquent arraignment of society. "One day all men will be lovers," he wrote, "and every clamor will be dissolved in the universal sunshine." In his lecture on "The Times," delivered the same year (1841), he says:— "These reformers are not inconsistent; they are ourselves, our own rights and our own consciences; they only name the relation which exists between us and the virtuous institutions which they go to realize. . . . The reformers have their high origin in an ideal spirit; but they do not mean the party of a day. . . . The reforming movement has to be born in its management and death, and its birth and its death are the same. It is not to be born by giving its circumstances; by conditions of that which is dead; they live in each moment, they live, by new relations, above all of the past by which we are made and directed, and to be renewed and renewed." The transcendentalists by virtue of the very principles which underlay their philosophy, and as the foregoing quotations indicate, were interested in reforms of all kinds, some of which were none too new or sober. Most of them were Reformed Abolitionists, many of them were to Reformed Women Suffragists, and all of them forms and were free and radical thinkers in one Religion, direction or another. On the practical side the movement took interesting shape in the Brook Farm community, where a brave and self-sacrificing attempt was made to put into practice the principles of a social brotherhood. George Ripley, who had been settled over a Unitarian parish, was the leading spirit. Channing was deeply interested, and his hopes, though not extravagant, were very high. Nathaniel Hawthorne was a member of the community for a time. He found, however, that a man's soul may be "buried

TRANSCENDENTALISM THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 409

under a dung-heap as well as under a pile of money." He asked himself on leaving, "Is it a praiseworthy matter that I have spent five golden months in providing fodder for cows and horses?" In religion the typical transcendentalist might be a sublimated theist; he was always an idealist, and essentially a mystic. He believed in no spiritual authority except that of his own soul. He was humanitarian and optimistic. His faith had no backward look; its essence was aspiration, not contrition. He had a living and a glowing faith in the reality of spiritual insight. "All are able to detect the supernatural," wrote Owen Brown, "because all have the supernatural in themselves." The divine was everywhere. The "Immanence of God" was not a doctrine; it became a reality.

"Tell me, brethren, what are we? Spirits being in a sea."

So wrote a lesser, but a fervid poet of the faith. It follows, therefore, that the transcendentalist believer was impressed with the glory of life, its privileges, its beauty. Very remarkable was his confidence in nature—in natural powers and capabilities, in the results of obedience to natural law, in spontaneity, impulse, unfolding, growth. His love of childhood, flowers, landscapes, was proverbial. Emerson called transcendentalism "the Saturnalia, or excess of faith." But the faith was a human nature as a possible realization of the divine. It was a new and joyous birth of the spirit. "No strictly 'religious movement' it was rather a 'spiritual ferment,' a wave of mysticism, which found expression in social, intellectual, esthetic, and chiefly religious channels."

The movement, according to T. W. Higginson, provided an "ardent, effusive social atmosphere, it was," he added, "a fresh, glowing, youthful, hopeful, courageous period, and those who were children must always have a sense of peace that they were born before it. Transcendentalism faded away. . . . To the transcendentalist, offering it blossomed a glow and a joy that have been of lifelong permanence. The material achievements, the utilitarian philosophy of later years, may come or go, leaving their ideal, their confidence, their unshaken hope unchanged. And now that much which transcendentalism sought is fulfilled, and that which was entailed has—Emerson predicted—become daily bread, its remembrance mingle with all youth's enchantment, and belong to a period when we too would, faintly, despair, were happy." "Except for a few local and incidental extravaganzas, the fortunes of the movement are noble, inspiring, and beautiful, and the idealism which was the essence of it is the foundation of all spiritual belief. As one form of the great intuitive school of philosophy, it has, perhaps, seen its best days; but its elements will render vital other faiths, which will endure when it is forgotten."

O. B. FROTHINGHAM, Rev. by F. R. FROTHINGHAM. TRANSCENDENTALISM: O. B. Frothingham, *Transcendentalism as New England's History*, New York, 1878, 7. *The Transcendentalists: Six New England Romances, in The Transcendentalist: Its Origin and History*, Boston, 1892, 2. Cook.

Transcendentalism, 1b, 1877, H. C. Goddard, *Studies in New England Transcendentalism*, New York, 1898. TRANSCENDENTALISM: THE: The history of the transfiguration of the Savior (Mark ix, 2-10 and parallels) is under cover first. Schmidt's handling of it (*REB*, IV, 45-70) may be taken as representing a pronounced tendency in contemporary criticism. The study of the sources ends in the dissolution of literary unity. Thus Schmidt treats the transfiguration as a part of the Pentecost legend. Along with this process of literary dissolution goes the depreciation of the historical reality in the life of Christ which is seen dimly, through the dust raised by the study of the sources. The result reached is that no considerable reality adheres to the story of the transfiguration. "It can make no claim to historicity."

But this critical method, apparently objective and judicial, starts with a false bias. The Synoptic Gospels are taken apart by themselves, dissected, and reduced to separate sources. Then, to explain the assembling of the sources into a so-called "Life of Christ," the corporate messianic consciousness of the Apostolic Age is summoned into court. Strauss and the analytical literary critic join forces. The transfiguration becomes a personification and objectification of the genius of the messianic community. But, before the analysis of the sources begins, there is a fundamental fact that calls for explanation. It is, first, the existence of the messianic consciousness in quantity; second, it is the peculiar quality of that consciousness. Without raising the question of the supernatural, students of history may rightly insist that vast reality must be assigned to the persons and work of Jesus in order to account for the corporate messianism which is opposed to account for the "Life of Christ." The ultimate source of knowledge concerning Christ is the Christian consciousness which he created. That consciousness drew upon Jewish messianism for all its raw material. But an immense worldful revolution must have been carried through in order to make Christian consciousness possible. In the first place, it is the consciousness of a triumphant messianic community, a thing without and before the history of Jesus in the second place, Christian messianism was completely freed from the appeal to force which was inherent in all forms of Jewish messianism. Consequently, a sound historical method demands a religious spiritual and moral force and reality in the life of the founder.

One can not, therefore, enter on the study of the life of Christ with a predisposition to believe that Christian messianism accounts for the main elements in the portrait. The truth in such a position must be admitted. But taken as a controlling principle, it invites history to stand on its head. The ordinary predisposition of the Christian is in the other direction. In approaching the account of the transfiguration, the normal Christian bias is in the direct opposite of Schmidt's. One is prepared to believe that the story may be substantially historic. The threaded report at this point presents the same phenomena of agreement in the main report.

408 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA TRANSCENDENTALISM

to inmost difference in detail that characterized it in its whole course. Mark gives a simple, straightforward narrative. In describing the effects of the transfiguration on the Savior's person, he takes his illustration from the fuller's art. The disciples, speaking to Jesus, address him as the current Aramaic "Rabbi." Luke (ix, 28-36) differs considerably. He changes the order of apostolic names. John takes precedence of James. The transfiguration comes upon Jesus while he is praying. The disciples address Jesus as Epiphany, "Master"—possibly a deliberate avoidance of the Palestinian "Rabbi." He adds verses 31-32. In the voice from heaven, "my chosen" takes the place of "my beloved." The solemn and detailed injunction of Jesus that the disciples should keep silent regarding the event is condensed into the simple statement "they hid their faces." Matthew (xvi, 1-13) abounds in his own sense. The Christology is more abundant and more advanced. The divine majesty of the Savior is brought out in every possible way. He is addressed as "Lord." His face and person shine "as the sun" and "like the light." In addressing him Peter says, "If thou wilt." The disciples fall on their faces in fear. The words from heaven are identical with the words used at the baptism. In brief, Matthew's handling of the event is deeply characteristic, in close keeping with the purpose and method of his Gospel.

In the light of the threaded narrative, the account of the transfiguration has quite as strong right to be considered historical as the happenings at Caesarea Philippi, with which it is connected. Briefly its right is a shade lesser, for the variations are less substantial. The account may even be compared with the baptism and come off well. Unless, outside the Synoptics, some reason can be found for impugning the historicity of the narrative, it must be accepted as a solid part of the very earliest tradition regarding the Savior. By the test of position also, it shows up well. It is fixed near the end of the Galilean ministry. Connected with the sermon on the Mount or with the materials which Luke groups under the so-called "Parousia ministry," it holds a position that does not vary. It is fixed as solidly as the baptism or the crucifixion. Tested, then, by such standards as an unambiguous criticism is able to provide, the account of the transfiguration is the report of a real event in the life of Jesus. Beyond doubt the facts have been interpreted and misused. The "Life of Christ," as the Gospels give it, is not a scientific history, but a religious history. It came into literature out of the life, the spiritual warfare, the unwritten memory and faith of Christians in the Apostolic Age. Unless God had worked an inconceivably unusual miracle, suspended all mental laws, then, under the conditions of Christianity in the first century, it could not but happen that the facts in the life of the Savior and that Church's interpretation of the facts should blend in the record. Therefore the fact of the transfiguration, like the other main facts in the Savior's life, has been interpreted, and the interpretation has been fixed with the fact reported. Matthew gives the most marked evidence of this process, particularly in the direction of developing the likeness between Jesus the so-

prems authority in spiritual matters and Moses the great lawgiver. But in Mark and Luke also the interpretative element appears.

None the less the threaded report makes the fact the necessary presupposition of the interpretation. The transfiguration was an event of vital moment in the experience of the Savior. In its importance for knowledge of the Savior, it is as important as the level of the baptism. To find its meaning, the larger context, as sketched by Mark, will serve. The Galilean ministry lay behind him, Jerusalem is calling him to his position and death. The tragedy inherent in every great life whose purpose both transcends its time and place and yet needs testimony with its time and place, is at its height in the life of Jesus. He had victory failed to conquer his own people. His deepening experience of his nation's incoherence and unbelief leads him to appropriate Isa. liii, as the word of Holy Scripture that makes his experience clear and intelligible to him. But the tragic strain of the situation can be relieved only one way. In the intensity of communion with the Father, his will and nature are taken up into the will and being of God. On his mental side, the Savior must be described as the supreme prophetic mystic. So he realizes the divine being and purpose as every ancient mystic realized it, in terms of the "light" within the light. The experience of his and shines out through his face and transfigures it. Science has nothing to say at this point which can justly interfere with the believer's rights.

The place where the transfiguration took place has been the subject of much heated discussion. A tradition as early as the fourth century fastened on Mt. Tabor. But modern investigation, beginning with Robinson, has made that site impossible. F. Schaff (*Dictionary of the Bible*, Philadelphia, 1880) with other scholars fixed on some high peak in the Anti-Lebanon range. If justification exists for searching for a definite mountain-peak, no other inference seems possible. The context in the Synoptic points in this direction. But it is to be remembered that the Synoptists, aside from vague suggestions, give no real help. Mark and Matthew say "a mountain." Luke writes "the mountain." All that the three make it safe to say is that the transfiguration took place in close connection with the events recorded in Mark viii, 27-33 (Caesarea Philippi). The phrase "high mountain" does not justify thinking of some very high peak. The language is relative and mystical, not scientific and exact. The habit of dimming high mountain-peaks is distinctly modern. There is no reason to suppose that the Savior did what no other ancient ever did. The attempt at identification should be abandoned. The geography of the episode concerns the ways of the Spirit rather than the map made with hands.

HENRY S. NASH. REFERENCES: D. F. Strauss, *Leben Jesu*, 2d ed., Tübingen, 1866, King transl., III, 1-21; London, 1867, and *Life of Jesus*, New York, 1875, 201-205, 207; C. Schmidt, *Transcendentalism and the Transfiguration*, in *REB*, IV, 45-70, 1884; K. T. Zahn, *Jesus als Mensch*, 2d ed., Leipzig, 1884; K. T. Zahn, *Jesus als Gott*, 2d ed., Leipzig, 1884; W. G. Ferry, *Life of Christ*, 2d ed., 1874; 1875 ed., London and New York, 2d ed., R. J. Anderson, *Index of our Lord*, pp. 120-125; New York, 1891; A. Edersheim, *Jesus and His Times*, 1843-1891, 4. Berlin, *Jesus als Mensch*, 5, 1-10, 1891, 4. Berlin, *Jesus als Mensch*, 5, 1-10, 1891, 4. Berlin.

TRANSMUTATION THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 494

1897; A. Meunier, *Recherches*... W. J. Mooney, *History and Symbolism*... O. Hollmann, *Life of Jesus*...

TRANSMUTATION: A phase of metamorphosis (see COMPANATIVE RELIGION, VI, 1, § 6) which assumes the vehicle of a soul into another body (reincorporation). Belief in transmigration

TRANSUBSTANTIATION

- I. Rise of the Concept, Eastern Evidence (1-2), Early Medieval Development... II. Scholastic Development, Sources of the Change (1-2), Continuance (1-2)...

I. Rise of the Concept: The doctrine of transubstantiation (namely, that in the Eucharist the substance in the elements of bread and wine is changed into the real substance of the body and blood of Christ, though retaining the accidents of the elements) was the result of four centuries of development. It was fixed as a dogma by the fourth Lateran Council in 1215, during the pontificate of Innocent III. The creed then adopted, a revision of the Apostolic, and promulgated against all heretics, forms the first chapter of the decree, and is sometimes called the fourth symbol. It declares as follows: "There is verily one universal Church of the faithful, outside which no one as at all saved, in which the same priest himself the true, earliest sacrifice, Jesus Christ, whose body and blood are truly contained in the sacrament of the altar under the species of bread and wine, the bread being transubstantiated into the body, and the wine into blood, by divine power." The term itself had already long been in use among theologians, and the concept longer still. The first authentic use of the term seems to have been in the *Tractatus de sacramentis* (about 1050), (MPL, cxxxix, 1291, 1293), a treatise assigned by Jean Mabillon to the first Stephen of Autun (d. 1128 or 1140), the former of the two bishops of Autun known as Peter in the twelfth century. The term, further employed by various theologians during the twelfth century, seems to have been freely current previous to the council of 1215. Innocent himself frequently used it as a correct term in his *de sacramentis altaris superioris*; and a citation from Alanus of Lille (MPL, ccc, 339) shows that it played a part in the controversy with the Gallaecii or Albigenses (see New Macerensis, II), against whom the first chapter of the decree of the council was aimed. William of Auvergne (d. c. 1230) deals in his *Sermones* with the special title *De transubstantiatione*, followed by such scholars as William of Paris (or d' Auvergne; d. 1240), Alexander of Hales, and Albert the Great. As to the concept it does not

is common among primitive peoples, has had a large part in the philosophy of India, and in the West has furnished the theme for a large body of folk-story in Greece it was advocated by Pythagoras and was held by the Orphics; it reappeared in the Cahala (q.v.), reminiscence of it are to be discovered in early obscure Christian sects, and even in the Middle Ages it was not altogether banished from thought. But nowhere else has the conception had so large and abiding influence as in India, where it is practically the key to almost all theological systems and furnishes the reason for the fundamental hope of the Indian of nearly every faith—escape from the samsara or cycle of births.

come to expression either in the "Sentence" of Peter Lombard, who represented the simple realistic idea of the Eucharist, or other text-books of that period. Apparently the term emerged unembodied, but may have been in circulation before the twelfth century. For indications discovered in a writer, Haimo, probably of Halberstadt (d. 853), or possibly of Hirsebau (about, from 1093), shows the idea in its nascent stage. He employs the words: "Therefore we believe... that that substance, namely, of bread and wine, [is] substantially changed into another substance, that is, into flesh and blood"; and again: "The invisible priest changes his visible creature into the substance of his flesh and blood" (MPL, cxviii, 818-819). Among twelfth-century authors, however, Peter of Poitiers (d. 1202) alone seems to consider or justify the use of the term transubstantiation for the change here indicated. Closely following Peter Lombard, he considers the precise effects of consecration under the general category of "change (conversion). The Lombard had already debated whether the "change" was formal or substantial, or of some other species. Finally denying the first, he, however, went no further as to the second than to cite the approval of previous named authorities and to reply to "others" who objected against it. He does not present against the accepted statement that through the priest bread is made into flesh, but how this takes place to him a mystery which is more wholesome to believe than to investigate. His objections were as follows: (1) not the substance of bread becomes the body, except as bread is made out of flour, when it may be said that flour is made, not its bread; (2) what was bread and wine was afterward body and blood, so that the bread is no longer substance, and hence no longer bread; (3) "after consecration there is the substance of body and blood under those accidents under which there was formerly the substance of bread and wine"; (4) the substance of bread and wine remains, but in the same place, after

495 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Transmutation Transubstantiation

the consecration, are the body and blood of Christ. The latter doctrine is evidently consubstantiation; the first, or theory of transmutation, is the one widely held in the Greek Church, while the combination of the second and third (the annihilation doctrine, namely, to be identified against the second, unmistakably represents, virtually, the doctrine of transubstantiation. Peter Lombard refrains from criticising only the third, which doubtless holds the mystery forbidding investigation, but it is unwise when he accordingly does not dare to venture for comment upon the term transubstantiation, or that term had not yet appeared as available for the conception. Peter of Poitiers expressly introduces the term transubstantiation for the outlined conception, with the apology that no adequate term was previously afforded.

A new epoch in eucharistic doctrine had its inception with Paschasius Radbertus (c. v.), who, surpassing his predecessors in the earnestness and thrust by which he asserted the identity of the historic body of Christ with the Eucharist, without any intentional innovation on his part, furnished the impulse for a scientific theological treatment of the eucharistic problem by his *De corpore et sanguine Domini* (MPL, cxxi, 125 seq.). His work was the first monograph in the West on the Eucharist, preceded only by the homily of Paschasius of Pisa (c. v.). 1. Early Christ (MPL, cxx, 271 seq.). At medieval bottom the Christological and polemical development was thus affected: a symptomatic interest beyond mere formal speculation. The first real eucharistic controversy of the West was that conjured up against Berengar of Tours (c. x.) by his opponents, practically the authors of the doctrine of transubstantiation. Of these there were four: Hugo, bishop of Langres, *Tractatus de corpore et sanguine Christi* (c. 1048; MPL, cxi, 122 seq.); Bernard of Treves (c. v.), *De corpore et sanguine Christi* (MPL, cxx, 1275 seq.); Lanfranc, *De corpore et sanguine Domini* (1099 or 1075; MPL, xl, 407 seq.); and Guzman, later archbishop of Arona, *De corpore et sanguine Domini veritate in Eucharistia* (between 1073 and 1078; MPL, cxxii, 1427 seq.). Lanfranc was the first to teach that the body of Christ is received also by the unworthy, a view essentially implying the reality of the change of the bread. He apparently was, however, when he affirms that in the sacrament the Body of Christ is daily sacrificed, distributed, and eaten, notwithstanding that according to another mode of speech the whole Christ is eaten, i.e., when he is looked for as eternal life by spiritual food. Both kinds of communion is deemed essential, the physical and the spiritual, though the latter is impossible without the former. Most important was the work of Guzman, succeeded by Anselm and compiled and further developed by Alger of Liège (d. 1122) in his *De sacramentis corporis et sanguinis Domini* (MPL, cxxx, 743 seq.). The latter stated: "In the sacrament, the substance, not the form, being changed, the bread and wine do not become new flesh and new blood, but

the existing substance, both of bread and wine is changed into the existing substance of the body of Christ." He holds that "the whole host is as the body of Christ, that, nevertheless, each separate particle is the whole body of Christ." In this latter statement are contained four axioms of subsequent theologians: (1) not a part of the body of Christ (as the flesh), but the whole body, the whole Christ, is present in the Eucharist in virtue of the change; (2) the whole body, the whole Christ, is not only in the entire host, but no less entirely in each part; (3) even though a thousand masses are celebrated simultaneously at different places, the whole body of Christ is present in each individually and entirely in all; (4) by the breaking of the host and its crumbling by the teeth the indivisible body of Christ is not divided. The views of Guzman were further systematized by Peter Lombard (d. 1094 or 1097), Anselm of Canterbury (d. 1109), Hugo of St. Victor (d. 1141), and Robert Pulpin (d. about 1150); and by these pushed to their logical conclusions. Anselm, in *De corpore et sanguine Domini* (MPL, cxx, 252), denied that with the blood only the soul of Christ is received and with the body only the body, but maintained that the entire Christ, both God and man, is received in each. Henceforth it was a standing formula that "the entire Christ exists and is received under either species," and through the conception of the Eucharist as spiritual substance prevailed later, yet the argument was repeatedly repeated. Guzman also made an advance in a closer determination of the process in the sacrament. Of four alternative species of change (namely the one in which one thing becomes another that already exists, like the sacramental bread changed into the body of Christ existing already in heaven, pertains exclusively to the Eucharist. Raising the question how this is possible, Alger of Liège, declining the view that this takes place by flight from heaven through space, affirmed that the human nature now exalted was capable by virtue of omnipotence to remain undivided and substantial where it is and at the same time to be at every other place where it will. Guzman applied the logical categories of substance and accident to the Eucharist, in so far as he termed the surviving mass qualities of the changed substance accidents, and Alger further deduced the consequences that God made the accidents to continue without a subject. Both affirmed that as the substance of the bread was no longer present, any disturbance of the inherent substance (the body) was not only impossible but a disturbance of the accidents was merely illusory. The latter, however, seemed to their successors, Hugo, Robert, and Thomas, to impair the verity of the sacrament, and it was assumed that much could occur to the accidents not affecting the body in which they inhere, being not, according to Anselm and the real, specially circumstanced, caused by mine, or taken into the abdomen like the elements. A loose inscription of the substance of the body and the accidents of the bread was thus postulated, yet it is to be taken as the first expression of a thought which was to remain an integrating factor in the Roman dogma. Radbertus had already represented the bread and wine as types or figures of

the body and blood into which they are changed, but he notified the body to a spiritual substance in which the unworthy and unbelieving had no part; this involved a self-contradiction, since a consequence of the reality of transubstantiation is that those who partake, though without the blessing. This view promulgated by Lantini was further developed by Galtmann, teaching that the body present in the Eucharist is again a symbol of the representation of believers from the bosom of the Church, or is a sign by which the members testify to their spiritual birth. The unworthy, then, do not partake of the spiritual communion in Christ, though partaking physically of the body and blood. Hugo of St. Victor formulated these views into the dogma that became permanent for scholasticism and the Church. To him, (1) the species are merely a type, not in turn type of (2) the substance of the sacrament, i.e., the spiritual content of being members in Christ, provided by faith in his body and blood; and this, finally, is objective fact, and type of nothing else. In this sense the unworthy receive the species and the body as born of man, in substance but not in efficacy, i.e., participation in the mystical union.

II. Scholastic Development: Scholasticism gave the doctrine of transubstantiation the final form as it appeared in the Roman Catholic catechism and the works of Cardinal Bellarmin. The matter on which the change is effected is unexamined wheat bread and wine somewhat diluted with water. The "form" of the sacrament consists of the words *Hic est corpus meum, hic est sanguis meus*. The effect of the form upon the matter is to

change it into the Aristotelian sense into of the new, for which it possesses an intrinsic efficacy. But just as regards the operation of the sacrament one testimony was to consider the efficacy as immanent and the other as concomitant, so Albert and Thomas taught a created virtue residing in the words of consecration, while others like Bonaventura and Gabriel Biel held to a new substance by divine omnipotence to the words of consecration in virtue of the institution. The application of the form to the matter is effected by the consecration of the matter with transubstantiation as the immediate result. The use of the word, with its philosophical subtlety, was yet open to scholastic dispute. Albert and Thomas, and Peter Lombard, insisted that the substance of the elements only ceases to be what it was and becomes something which it had not been previously. Duns Scotus holds the idea of transubstantiation under the third form of the Aristotelian category of "mutation." While the first form is from negative to positive (creation), and the second one from annihilation, transubstantiation demands both positive terms, and its transition is from subject to subject or substance to substance. This transition is not to be conceived as through the substance of the "to" and "which" was first originated, but as beginning to exist in a

new place (adhocum). The body does not succeed the bread according to the absolute existence of the body, but the bread is changed into the body according to the local existence of the same with reference to the preexisting bread. If one attempts to view the element broadly underlying the growth of the dogma from the beginning, namely, the presence in the Eucharist of the preexisting body of Christ, inasmuch as the body gains the new presence without losing the old, while the bread undergoes a mutation of loss without gain, it would follow that the question at first hand would be only one of local presence or non-presence, and it would be concluded that the bread did not lose its substantial but only its local existence, and so far did not undergo destruction. If, however, the bread does not remain in its substantial existence and from the above would appear not destroyed, it must cease in some other way, and this is a change from simple existence to non-existence, which would virtually be annihilation, only this term must not apply beyond the "terminus from which," i.e., the bread. As further developed by the nominalists, the Scotist view appears in the Roman catechism as follows: The substance of bread and wine is so changed into the very body of the Lord that the substance of bread and wine entirely ceases to be. Still more sharp is the definition of Bellarmin, who holds (*De eucharistia*, iii, 18) that the concept of true transubstantiation presupposes four things: that something ceases to be (destiti); that something takes the place of what has ceased to be (succedit); that deitance and concomitance have a theological causal nexus, one thing ceasing to be that another may take its place; that both the "end from which" and the "end to which" are positive in nature. The body of Christ, besides its presence in heaven, obtains a new presence in the Eucharist; and transubstantiation depends not on a voided but on a single act of the divine will whereby the bread is made to cease and the body of Christ takes its place under the accidents.

The one effect of consecration is that the body and blood of Christ, and therefore their real presence under the accidents of the elements, have their inscription in the sacraments. Thomas Aquinas contents himself with the fact of the real presence, to be grasped by neither senses nor reason, but only by faith depending on divine authority. Nonetheless, however, he refers to the "true" body as it exists in heaven in contrast with its sacramental presence. Biel, following Occam, reasons more strongly the absolute identity of the two as taught by Bellarmin.

The body of Christ is thus said to be present in the Eucharist the same as in heaven, enthroned at the right hand of the Father, a living, divine, immortal, and glorified body, with all essential qualities and accidents. From this there issues a series of deductions abroad since Anselm and now grounded by Thomas in his theory of "real concomitance." By virtue of the sacramental change not only the living substance or the flesh, but the entire body is in the Eucharist; this must imply Christ, as being in heaven, possessed of a soul, and joined with God. However, both soul and deity are not present by

virtue of the sacramental change but of natural concomitance. And because living body and blood are inseparable the concept of real concomitance affects the combination that blood is under the bread and body under the wine, or the entire body of Christ in either case. This, too, because a first least of scholasticism, receiving very full elaboration in the hands of Biel. That the body of Christ may be present under the species of the host, the substance of the bread must cease to be and only its accidents remain. Scholasticism could not, therefore, avoid the problem whether these accidents could continue to exist without their subject. Thomas affirmed such possibility, proposing an analogous proof that the divine condescension as the first cause could sustain the effect of a terminated second cause, and assuming that after the substance of the bread had ceased the accidents continued in being in the diminutive quantitiveness of the bread as a quasi-subject. The validity of this view was denied by Duns and especially by the nominalists, who answered Thomas that such quantitiveness, after the change, belonged to the accidents themselves, and they found it difficult on their theory in pronouncing the accidents to be self-subsisting after the change. It may be said that accidents that may subsist without a subject can have but a very lax connection with the body after consecration. They do not inhere in or affect the body, and the latter may not be accessible to transference affecting the accidents, such as the breaking of the bread, where the body as a whole or as entirely in each and every part is not affected.

The question of how long the body of Christ is present in the host was not answered conclusively by the earlier scholasticism. Thomas maintained that the presence continued in the species so long as they would not undergo such change as would alter the substance of the "to" of bread and wine were that substance present, existent. This view was repeated by Gherson of Occam, that when the body of Christ ceased to be present in the host, its place was taken either by the remaining substance of bread or by some new substance, thus giving rise to the paradox that "from non-substance" the substantial accidents, "substance may arise." When the process of digestion begins, the presence of the body of Christ in the accidents ceases, and its place is taken, by an absolute act of God, by a surplus which has the nutritive power lacking to the accidents as such. The question likewise arose how the words, "this is my body," were to be interpreted. The followers of Berengar explained them, like Zeingel afterward, as representative; Richard of St. Victor substituted the future for the present; Bonaventura paraphrased the words into, "this which is as yet present under these species as bread will be transubstantiated into my body"; Alexander of Hales paraphrased the words as "this which is designated by the symbol of my body"; Thomas, followed by Biel and Bellarmin made *hoc* refer to a substance qualitatively understood, but considered present under the accidents, and then more closely defined by the predicate as the body of Christ. The proof of transub-

stantiation is drawn from the *hoc*; for if the substance of bread had remained, Christ would have said, "Hic panis est corpus meum."

The most difficult question concerned the quantity of the eucharistic body and its relation to the host. Since the eucharistic body of Christ is in all points the same as it was on earth save its impassibility and immortality, it must quantitatively fill a certain amount of space in "dimensional" or "circumscriptive" fashion, so that the whole occupies its entire allotted room or space, and each part its specific exclusive space; and apparently this heavenly body as such can not be present in the host. This objection could be met only by the

4. Unity doctrine that the sacramental body of Christ, though one and the same with and beneath his celestial body, has another modal of existence in the sacrament; namely, by without quantitiveness. The

used to quantitiveness, like other absolute accidents, a real existence independent of substance and of qualities, holding that through the union of quantitiveness with unquantitative substance or quality the latter first become quantitative things gaining definite area and capacity. This quantitiveness was, according to realism, thus separable from material things without alteration to their real entity. Realists could therefore affirm, as some did, that the accident of quantitiveness existed only in the eucharistic body of Christ, not in the sacramental body. As to how this would be it was proposed that God can provide that one part of the body is entered by another, and this in turn by another (substance), so that each exists not under or beside but only in the other, and the whole has the smallest imaginable natural quantity. This was the multiplication theory, and was objectionable; because if non-quantitative in the Eucharist, the body can not be living and organic and therefore not identical with the celestial body; or as Albert and Duns questioned, without colliding parts there was no form and consequently no real solid-possessing body in the Eucharist. The problem now assumed the shape of proving quantity without spatial extension not self-contradictory, as Bonaventura assumed. The first to attempt a dialectical solution along these lines was Thomas on the basis of transmutation and concomitance. The former has for its terminus not dimensions, but substances, and accordingly only the substance of the bread is changed into the substance of the body of Christ. Since, however, the body of Christ is present in the sacrament as it exists in heaven, its diminutive quantity must also be present. But since this is in the sacrament only "economically" and somewhat as an accident, it is present only according to the mode of substance, i.e., the body is present not as a dimensionative quantity in dimensionative space, but like a substance under its own dimensions. Accordingly, the nature of the substance is contained wholly in the entire body and wholly in each part, analogous to the air. To the objection that the spatial aspect of the species would thus be conceived as empty, he asserted that such was occupied only by the species of bread retaining, after the

transmutation of its former substance, its former dimensions. The mediating thought of this theory is, that that which is in the sacrament by virtue of the change is there of necessity, although owing its presence merely to consecration, thus assuring only an incidental existence, and liable to sacrifice individually, if the sacrament requires it. The dialectic fallacy is the confusion of substance with the abstract nature of substance in the course of the argument. As a consequence the idea of an organic body in the sacrament is emptied and reduced to a mathematical point. Thomas goes no further than the substantiation theory; nevertheless, the Thomistic theory was adopted by the Roman Catholicism.

Duns Scotus, besides his objection to the organic designation of the body, insisted that bread and body must be present, each in all the attributes essential to its concept. Accordingly, he distinguishes in the quantitative existence of an object between a logical "intrinsic position" of the quantity considered absolutely, by which there is a differentiation and a correlation of distinct exclusive parts in the whole, and an "extrinsic position" with reference to space; which is again differentiated into the occupancy of space in general, and the consecutive collocation of the parts respectively with their spaces. The second distinction refers more specifically to the mere coexistence of two quantities, over against their coexistence together with the occupation of the parts. In application, in the manner of coexistence without the coextension of parts. Hence, the presence in of "the whole in the whole and entirely in any part whatsoever." The fallacy in abstracting space from its integral relation, and in its realistic treatment as a quantity; nevertheless, the doctrine was thus summed by Bellarmine:

Ocean attacked the realistic conception of quantity. He rightly insisted that since the occupation of space is derived from the collocation of plural objects or parts, the order of parts in the whole necessarily implies each part in its corresponding space. The fiction of the coexistence of quantities without coextension was thus exposed. In place he now denied that quantitative extension was a thing, real and distinct, and later, mediate between substance and quality, but he affirmed that it was one and the same with the substance or quality to which it belongs as accident, and denoted the thing itself so far as it was a quantity; or it was "the thing conceptually in place," whether this "thing" definitely were substance or quality. If, then, a substance or quality existed by space throughout, while with whole and part with part, it was a quantum; and inversely, if a substance or quality existed without space, it must be a non-quantum. This he terms "definitive existence," which he asserts may also be applied to material, corporeal, divisible things, and necessarily thus to the body of Christ. As to the mode Ocean points to concentration in digestion, holding that to divine omnipotence both are equally

feasible. This doctrine termed "concentration" takes the place of that of substantiation, though the result is the same. The body exists in the sacrament without extension as a mathematical point. The contradiction of corporeity, or organic form, without dimension is also referred to divine omnipotence. Even in the bloom of ecclesiastical substantiation it was felt that the doctrine of transubstantiation was not beyond question, and such men as Duns Scotus, Ocean, and Pierre d'Ailly (q.v.) entertained alternative or modified views, though accepting and defending the orthodox doctrine because of the authority of the Church. The Council of Trent sanctioned it officially as did Pius VI. in his "Constitution," 1774.

III. Practical Results of the Dogmatization: With the establishment of the dogma of transubstantiation, 1215, it became more and more evident that the Eucharist was a sacrament utterly different in kind from all others. They were efficacious only in their administration to such a degree as **Sacraments**, that (with the exception of marriage) **Adoration**; words of their exercise by the priest, **Reservation**, in the Eucharist, on the contrary, the actual act, and the object of this consecration are not the transubstantiation of the elements, or the creation of the presence of Christ and of his body. The purpose of the consecration, moreover, is the sacrifice, the act of the priest, not of the congregation; this sacrifice was believed to be commanded in the words: "This do in remembrance of me." This development was of gradual growth, the original intention, as manifest from Radbert to Palfrey, being to assure the faithful of the real presence for eucharistic communion; but when the perfection of the sacrament came to subsist in the consecration, the consecration of the real identity of the sacrifice of the man with the sacrifice on Calvary from the real presence proved inevitable. Berengar points out that the solemn celebration last of the Mass. Though Thomas holds to the fact and symbol, yet he not only identifies the real effects of the original act of atonement with the symbolic ceremony but explains that by the real presence, and not merely by symbol and signification, the sacrifice of the new law is to tower above the shadowed sacrifice of the old; and containing Christ in reality it is the culmination of all other sacraments, through which alone the power of Christ is imparted. If Christ be present from the moment that the sacrament is completed by the priest, and if he be present both in his humanity and his divinity, then veneration in this Mass, present in the host. Thomas emphasizes always that no bread substance must remain in the sacrament, but anything created may hinder worship. Veneration presupposes the recreation of the host. It is at least certain that in the ancient Church not only were the consecrated elements taken by the deacons to the houses of the sick, but that many took with them some of the consecrated bread; and it is also known that penitents, when

in sudden danger of death, received the consecrated bread as a viaticum, thus rendering probable the practice of reservation in the churches, and consequently the assignment of some place for preservation. In the sixth century the vessel for retaining the host was called *tabernacle*. With the rise of the doctrine of transubstantiation, however, the consecrated host was reserved for the adoration of the worshippers, and to this end was placed in the monstrance (see *Vessels, Sacraments*). The Roman ritual requires that some consecrated particles must always be reserved for the communion of the sick and other believers prevented from attending mass in a well-covered receptacle in the tabernacle, either on the high altar or some other altar suitable for the veneration and worship of so high a sacrament. Veneration as the devotion of the host in the mass, as well as when the host was borne through the streets to the sick, was first required at Cologne in 1252 by the papal legate Cardinal Guido; and in 1277 the elevation of the monstrance was required by canon law. Shortly afterward the feast of Corpus Christi (q.v.) was established, and the Council of Trent directed that, later, the worship due only to God and to Christ as God and man, be paid the blessed sacrament (*Canon III, De eucharistia, v.*). A further consequence of the dogma of transubstantiation is the increased care taken of what remains over from the communion. Tertullian already states that the Christians took extreme pains to prevent any of the consecrated bread from falling on the ground. In Constantinople, on the other hand, the remaining particles of consecrated bread were given the small school-children, and in 1281 it is known by the *Synod of Maastricht* that the remnants were used in a children's communion (see *Loan's Sacraments, v.*). Elsewhere it was the custom to burn what was left, fire being regarded as a pure element. The Roman Missal, in the chapter *De deficiis in celebratione missarum occurrentibus, x.*, gives an entire series of rules in case a drop of consecrated wine falls from the chalice.

That the sacrament of the altar is the office of the priest, and of him alone, is a maxim of the Church, unshakable from the days of Cyprian. Even in the ancient Church "to consecrate" and "to produce the body of the Lord" were synonymous, though the latter phrase then implied merely that through consecration the elements received an **Ontic** function; they had not previously possessed **Communion**. With the rise of transubstantiation, **Ontic** in one however, the expression came to mean **Kind**. The specific change wrought by the priest by means of the consecrating words and their divine efficacy, so that he, in a real sense, creates the body of Christ and produces his presence. This power, combined with the Power of the Keys (q.v.), forms the material significance of the priestly office, and at the same time is solemnly conferred on the candidate as inseparable from his person. The dogma of transubstantiation has thus led to an increased distinction between the clergy and the laity, so as to exalt the priest as a mediator between God and man. The doctrine of real concomitance served to promote the practice on the

part of the laity of frequently refraining from the cup. This usage led finally to the canonical withdrawal of the cup from the laity (see *Mass, II, 1, 5*). The fear of spilling some of the contents of the chalice early led many communicants to refrain from the cup, though not only teachers of the Church but popes, such as Gelasius I. (cf. *MZ, IX, 141*), opposed the practice of refraining. When, however, Anselm of Canterbury declared that the eucharistic body was not without the blood, the practice was sustained by a new dogmatic basis. Nearly all the great church teachers of the twelfth century, as Bernard, Hugo, Peter Lombard, and Peter of Bles, speak of communion in both kinds as the right form, though their views were preceded by many exceptions; and Alexander of Hales demanded that the laity be free to receive only bread, though he held that communion under both kinds is more perfect and efficacious than under one. The general chapter of the Cistercians of 1291 restricted the chalice to the priests, and the Lambeth Synod of 1295 allowed the laity only the chalice of ablution. Albert the Great declared communion in one kind imperfect, since the blood is not in the body in virtue of the sacrament but through "natural union." Thomas Aquinas, developing this "natural union" into the theory of concomitance, did not regard the cup as superfluous because it represents the shedding of blood and its redemptive power, but as absolutely necessary only for the priest. Deeming that the laity should not receive the cup for reasons of expediency, he answered the charge that communion in one kind is imperfect by declaring that the perfection of the sacrament depends on the consecration by the priest, not on reception by the faithful. Bonaventura decided that communion in one kind was as efficacious as in both, only that the symbolism is less complete, a defect compensated by the communion of the priest representing the Church. After his Dominicans and Franciscans alike advocated the withdrawal of the cup from the laity, a practice finally sanctioned by the Council of Constance (1418) and the Council of Trent.

IV. Doctrine in the Greek Church: The Greek term corresponding to the Latin *transubstantiatio* is *metempsychosis*, older (and less orthodox) appellations being *metabole*, *metapsosis*, and occasionally *metempsychosis* (equalling the Latin *transformatione, mutatio, commutatio, et transmutatio*). The transit from a dynamic to an essentially realistic interpretation of the effect of consecration on the relation between the elements and the body of Christ was accomplished, largely through the concept of *metabole*, especially after Gregory of Nyssa. John of Damascus taught a real change of the elements of bread and wine, and through him this doctrine became the common property of the Greek Church. The teaching of earlier Greek theologians presupposes that the "substance" of the bread remains, and that only its "form" is changed; whereas in transubstantiation it is essential that the bread be replaced. The doctrine of *metempsychosis* the idea is also expressed by *metempsychosis*, as well as that of *concomitance*, never became an intense problem among the Greeks, because the idea of

Tremellius THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 502

In the morning from their beds, which consist of a sack of straw and a straw pillow laid on a plank and covered with a rug. Eleven hours they are devoted to prayer and masses, the remainder of the day being given in silence to labor either on the field or within the monastery. All literary work is done in the evening. All literary work is done in the evening. All literary work is done in the evening.

Le Mass, and in 1844 founded a house in Algiers, besides sending a number of monks to North America in 1848. In 1851 a branch of the Trappists was established at Fierquety (near Avignon) by the "Trappist Freshers," which differs from the main order only in omitting the vow of silence with the permission of the superior, and of acting as missionaries. In 1870 there were some eighteen Trappist monasteries, mostly in France; but ten years later 1,400 monks of the order were driven from France. Though they soon returned, the "Association Laws" of 1901 compelled them again to retire.

208 RELIGIOUS ENCYCLOPEDIA Trappists

ing, Freiburg, 1890. De Letourneur de la Trappiste, in Trappist, 1890. Les Trappistes, par le P. de la Trappiste, in Trappist, 1890. Les Trappistes, par le P. de la Trappiste, in Trappist, 1890. Les Trappistes, par le P. de la Trappiste, in Trappist, 1890.

in accord with what he regarded as the best authority, and proposed a plan for a new text, being in unobtrusive agreement with the principles of Lachmann. Finally setting himself to prepare one. Accordingly in 1844 he edited originally in Greek the book of Revelation, and in a new English version, the favorable reception of which confirmed him in his determination to carry out his project. He then began a systematic examination of the textual manuscripts then available, both in England and on the continent, failing, however, to get permission to collate Codex Vaticanus, though his journeys in 1845-46, 1847-50, and 1852 resulted in correction of collations of important manuscripts, among them the noted Codex Bezae Cantabrigiae, a difficult manuscript the work upon which endangered his eyesight. At this period he made the acquaintance of Tischendorf and Tischendorf. While Trappellus collated only Codex Bezae Cantabrigiae, and did not, like some other discoverers of manuscripts, he so carefully collated practically all of the uncial and important minuscules then known that his labors have lasting and permanent value. He also examined some citations of the Church Fathers down to Eusebius, as well as the ancient versions. Before issuing any portion of a new text, however, he prepared his Account of the Printed Text of the New Testament (1854), which served to expound his critical principles, and rewrote that part of Horne's Introduction to the Study . . . of the Holy Scriptures which related to the textual criticism of the New Testament (1856). In 1857 the first part of his New Testament text appeared, containing the Gospels of Matthew and Mark, which contained, beside also the text of Codex Amiatinus, a number of important variant readings and other critical apparatus, including the notation of the Eusebian Canon. The second part followed in 1861, and contained the Gospels of Luke and John. In that year an attack of paralysis compelled him to suspend his labors, and the third part did not appear till 1865, and contained the Acts and Catholic Epistles. The fourth part, making available his edition of the Pauline Epistles, was issued that year as he had prepared it, and Revelation in 1872, but without the prolegomena, this last being issued posthumously with additions and corrections through the labors of Hart and Strassmann. His edition remains as a work of abiding merit and worth, being the fullest in critical apparatus after the eighth edition of Tischendorf's work, his execution being extraordinary. Trappellus regarded his labors upon the text, undertaken out of pure love for the Word of God, as a work of worship, and this was the spirit in which his entire labors were carried on. His life was simple, homely, and charitable. In his last years he received a pension on the civil service account of £200 a year. Trappellus was known also as a poet, and the Latin Brevarium and Schaff's Christ in Song contain poems by him. (GIAN BRUNINI-17) BRUNARIUS. His own name, of the Printed Text, at esp. in of high value for statement of his principles of

Tremellius THE NEW SCHAFF-HERZOG 504

work. Consult further: E. Abbot, in *New York Independent*, Mar. 2, 1873; F. Schaff, *Commentary to the Great Testaments*, pp. 252-263 et passim, New York, 1881; G. Salmon, *Historical Introduction to the Study of the Bible of the N. T.*, pp. 43, 150, 283, London, 1882; F. R. A. Stebbing, *Introduction to the Criticism of the N. T.*, p. 238-241 et passim, London, 1904; C. E. Gregory, *Footnote on N. T.*, pp. 399-401, London, 1927; Jones, *Commentary on N. T.*, pp. 396, 400, 441, New York, 1927; *DNB*, 1914, 110-117.

TREMELLIUS, tre-mel'i-us, **EMANUEL**: Hebrew scholar; b. at Ferrara, Italy, in 1530; d. at Sedan, France, Oct. 9, 1580. His parents being Jewish, Tremellius was thoroughly instructed in the Hebrew language; after 1550 he was in contact with Christians and about 1549 was baptised in the house of Cardinal Reginald Pole (q.v.). In 1541 he became teacher of Hebrew in the cloister school newly instituted at Luca by Pietro Marini Vermigli (q.v.), and published his first professional work, *Medicamenta* (Wittenberg, 1541). Compelled to flight by the introduction of the Inquisition, he found, in 1542, a new field of labor as teacher of Hebrew at the flourishing school in Strasburg, then directed by Johann Sturm. When driven away by the Schmalkald War, he accepted from Archbishop Cramer an invitation to England, and received appointment to the Hebrew chair at Cambridge, in 1549. But in 1552, upon the accession of Mary Tudor, he and his family again had to flee. He then went, on invitation from Diak Wolfgang of the Bishops' Palatinate, as preceptor to the duke's three children. When Calvin, in 1558, sought to attract him to the Old Testament professorship in Geneva, he would gladly have accepted that offer. But Wolfgang refused to let him go, and made him director of the new school in the former cloister of Hornbach, which was opened Jan. 16, 1559. Here he served till Mar. 7, 1561, when he took leave of Wolfgang in tears. Before his departure, Tremellius rendered service to the oppressed Evangelical believers at Metz by taking part in a deputation to

the royal court at Orléans, following the death of King Francis of France, in Jan., 1561, the result being that the Huguenots of Metz were permitted to use a house of prayer outside the city.

On Mar. 4, 1561, the Palatine Elector Frederick III, (see FRANCE, III, r. 1561) called him to the high school at Heidelberg. There, on June 22, 1561, Tremellius was graduated doctor in theology, and, in full accord with Boetius, Olevianus, and Ursinus, exhibited a fruitful academic industry, finding leisure also for larger literary works. He issued *Isaiah's lectures* (Dissel, 1907), which he had based and copied at Cambridge; a Latin translation of Jonathan's *Animae progressus* of the Twelve Minor Prophets (Heidelberg, 1567) and an edition of the Old Syriac translation of the New Testament, also with an Aramaic and Syriac grammar (1569). About 1570 he began his most important work, and continued it from 1576 to 1579 in company with his subsequent son-in-law, Francis Junius, a Latin translation of the Old Testament, issued in five volumes, and received with well-deserved favor (see *BIBLICAL VERSIONS*, A. II, 3). During his labors in Heidelberg, Tremellius remained in close correspondence with his friends in England, though he gratefully declined a professorship there that was offered him in 1565.

It was not permitted the aging Tremellius to end his days at Heidelberg. After the death of Frederick III, he was summoned Dec. 5, 1577, and, after a short sojourn at Metz, was called by Henri de la Tour d'Auvergne as professor to the newly erected academy at Sedan, where he devoted his powers to the service of the French youth with the same ardor that he had shown toward those of Italy, Germany, and England. He was one of the most learned contemporaries of his time.

REFERENCES: Biographies have been written by F. Dunton, *Zeitschriften*, 1849; H. Becker, *Breslau*, 1857; and A. Vossler, in *Wetzlarische Geschichtsblätter*, 1912, nos. 9-10.

END OF VOL. XI.

Indexes

Index of Pages of the Print Edition

i ii iii iv v vi vii viii ix x xi xii xiii xiv xv xvi xvii xviii xix xx 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14
15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 29 30 31 32 33 34 35 36 37 38 39 40 41 42 43 44 45
46 47 48 49 50 51 52 53 54 55 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64 65 66 67 68 69 70 71 72 73 74 75 76
77 78 79 80 81 82 83 84 85 86 87 88 89 90 91 92 93 94 95 96 97 98 99 100 101 102 103 104 105
106 107 108 109 110 111 112 113 114 115 116 117 118 119 120 121 122 123 124 125 126 127 128
129 130 131 132 133 134 135 136 137 138 139 140 141 142 143 144 145 146 147 148 149 150 151
152 153 154 155 156 157 158 159 160 161 162 163 164 165 166 167 168 169 170 171 172 173 174
175 176 177 178 179 180 181 182 183 184 185 186 187 188 189 190 191 192 193 194 195 196 197
198 199 200 201 202 203 204 205 206 207 208 209 210 211 212 213 214 215 216 217 218 219 220
221 222 223 224 225 226 227 228 229 230 231 232 233 234 235 236 237 238 239 240 241 242 243
244 245 246 247 248 249 250 251 252 253 254 255 256 257 258 259 260 260a 260b 261 262 263
264 265 266 267 268 269 270 271 272 273 274 275 276 277 278 279 280 281 282 283 284 285 286
287 288 289 290 291 292 293 294 295 296 297 298 299 300 301 302 303 304 305 306 307 308 309
310 311 312 313 314 315 316 317 318 319 320 321 322 323 324 325 326 327 328 329 330 331 332
333 334 335 336 337 338 339 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 352 353 354 355
356 357 358 359 360 361 362 363 364 365 366 367 368 369 370 371 372 373 374 375 376 377 378
379 380 381 382 383 384 385 386 387 388 389 390 391 392 393 394 395 396 397 398 399 400 401
402 403 404 405 406 407 408 409 410 411 412 413 414 415 416 417 418 419 420 421 422 423 424
425 426 427 428 429 430 431 432 433 434 435 436 437 438 439 440 441 442 443 444 445 446 447
448 449 450 451 452 453 454 455 456 457 458 459 460 461 462 463 464 465 466 467 468 469 470
471 472 473 474 475 476 477 478 479 480 481 482 483 484 485 486 487 488 489 490 491 492 493
494 495 496 497 498 499 500 501 502 503 504